Published in final edited form as:

J Magn Reson. 2012 November ; 224: 38–47. doi:10.1016/j.jmr.2012.08.015.

Broadband Adiabatic Inversion Pulses for Cross-Polarization in Wideline Solid-State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

Kristopher J. Harris^a, Adonis Lupulescu^b, Bryan E. G. Lucier^a, Lucio Frydman^{b,*}, and Robert W. Schurko^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Windsor, 401 Sunset Avenue, Windsor, N9B 3P4, Ontario, Canada

^bDepartment of Chemical Physics, Weizmann Institute of Science, 76100 Rehovot, Israel

Abstract

Efficient acquisition of wideline solid-state NMR powder patterns is a continuing challenge. In particular, when the breadth of the powder pattern is much larger than the cross-polarization (CP) excitation bandwidth, transfer efficiencies suffer and experimental times are greatly increased. Presented herein is a CP pulse sequence with an excitation bandwidth that is up to ten times greater than that available from a conventional spin-locked CP pulse sequence. The pulse sequence, *broadband adiabatic inversion* CP (BRAIN-CP), makes use of the broad, uniformly large frequency profiles of inversion chirped pulses, to provide these same characteristics to the polarization transfer process. A detailed theoretical analysis is given, providing insight into the polarization transfer process involved in BRAIN-CP. Experiments on spin-1/2 nuclei including ¹¹⁹Sn, ¹⁹⁹Hg and ¹⁹⁵Pt nuclei are presented, and the large bandwidth improvements possible with BRAIN-CP are demonstrated. Furthermore, it is shown that BRAIN-CP can be combined with broadband frequency-swept versions of the Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill experiment (for instance with WURST-CPMG, or WCPMG for brevity); the combined BRAIN-CP/WCPMG experiment then provides multiplicative signal enhancements of both CP and multiple-echo acquisition over a broad frequency region.

1 Introduction

Solid-state nuclear magnetic resonance (SSNMR) spectroscopy has become a widely used technique for the characterization of atomic-level structure and dynamics, largely because of the extreme sensitivity of the NMR interactions to the local environment of each nucleus.^{1–2} In many such instances, the anisotropic character of the chemical shift and/or quadrupolar interactions can lead to NMR powder patterns possessing spectral breadths ranging from hundreds of kHz to several MHz. Unfortunately, experimental difficulties associated with acquiring such broad, ultra-wideline (UW), powder patterns can prevent the determination of the NMR parameters and the detailed chemical information they encode. Development of specialized techniques and hardware for the acquisition of UW NMR spectra to provide new materials information has accordingly been the subject of intensive research efforts.³

* Authors for correspondence: rschurko@uwindsor.ca, lucio.frydman@weizmann.ac.il.

Because the dilution of spectral intensity over large frequency regions leads to a reduction in the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N), methods for increasing the signal strength are often required in UW NMR spectroscopy. One technique for enhancing the S/N relies on preservation of the observable transverse-plane NMR signal, by applying a series of refocusing pulses, following the method of Carr/Purcell⁴ and Meiboom/Gill⁵ (CPMG). The CPMG method has proven to be extremely useful for acquiring wideline spectra from both spin-1/2 and quadrupolar nuclei.^{6–7} When the effective bandwidth of the pulses or of the probe electronics is insufficient, the powder pattern can be mapped out with a series of acquisitions at different transmitter frequencies.⁸ Broadband frequency-swept pulses are also capable of exciting spin echoes;^{9–12} for instance, it has recently been shown that a CPMG echo train can be acquired using wideband, uniform rate, smooth truncation (WURST) pulses.¹³ The ensuing WURST-CPMG (WCPMG for brevity) pulse sequence enables extremely broad frequency ranges to be observed simultaneously, providing the S/N advantage of a CPMG-like echo train in experiments involving both spin-1/2 and quadrupolar (spin > 1/2) nuclei.^{14–15}

Cross-polarization (CP) is another S/N-enhancing route that is applied extensively in SSNMR spectroscopy.^{16–18} S/N gains are afforded by the transfer of polarization from proximate higher- γ nuclei. A further advantage over direct excitation methods often results from the faster repetition rates associated with the generally much shorter longitudinal relaxation times (T_1 's) of the high- γ nuclei. Unfortunately, the bandwidth over which CP is effective is often determined by the strength of the spin-locking fields employed, and the resultant excitation covers only a small fraction of the total NMR powder pattern when large anisotropic NMR interactions (and low- γ target nuclei) are investigated. Therefore, once again, either stepwise acquisition or broadband direct excitation methods must be employed, leading to lengthy total experimental times. The recent availability of commercial ultrahigh-field NMR spectrometers and increased interest in NMR studies of paramagnetic materials has placed a further emphasis on this subject. For example, Peng et al. have used frequency-swept adiabatic half passages to improve the efficiency of ^1H to ^{13}C CP in MAS experiments on samples that have paramagnetically broadened ^1H spectra.^{19–21} In recent years, a significant amount of research effort has gone into modifying polarization transfers involving commonly studied nuclides (^{13}C , ^{15}N , ^{29}Si , ^{31}P , etc.) under MAS.²² Many of these studies have focused on improving transfer efficiencies by altering the classical spin-locking scheme with ramped or adiabatic passage modulations of the RF profiles.^{23–26} Studies have also contemplated the effects and use of large anisotropic offsets.²⁷ However, improvements to the limited excitation bandwidth of conventional CP for use in wideline NMR remains an open challenge. To address this challenge, we discuss herein a CP experiment in which an adiabatic full passage is used in place of the conventional monochromatic S-nucleus spin-lock field (a standard rectangular spin-lock pulse is still employed on the high-frequency channel given the relatively narrow $I = ^1\text{H}$ bandwidths of the samples investigated, but in principle I -spin waveforms could also be utilized). Since the characterizing feature of the resulting experiment is a polarization transfer using a broadband adiabatic inversion pulse, we have designated the pulse sequence BRAIN-CP. The application of adiabatic inversion pulses in BRAIN-CP provides two crucial characteristics: (i) the excitation profile is broad and uniform over bandwidths solely

determined by the transmitting electronics, and (ii) the S-nucleus polarization is produced parallel (or anti-parallel) to the external field, which allows the magnetization to remain coherent across the broad powder pattern. A theoretical investigation of this polarization transfer process using average Hamiltonian theory and numerical simulations is presented. We also demonstrate the efficiency and broad excitation bandwidth of BRAIN-CP using ^1H nuclei to polarize ^{119}Sn , ^{199}Hg and ^{195}Pt nuclei in model samples. Furthermore, we demonstrate that BRAIN-CP can be used in conjunction with WCPMG signal acquisition, leading to a completely broadband experiment exhibiting multiplicative S/N gains from both its components.

2 Pulse Sequence Design

BRAIN-CP: Overall Considerations

The theory of adiabatic inversions on which this study is based is well known,^{28–32} so only a brief summary is presented before discussing their use in cross polarization. An adiabatic inversion can be brought about using a wide variety of pulse shapes (or by sweeping the external magnetic field), but the mechanism in all cases is similar, so we focus the discussion on one method, the WURST pulse,^{13,33} for simplicity. A WURST pulse involves a linear chirp of the effective transmitter offset frequency, generated via quadratic phase modulation of the radiofrequency (RF) pulse, and a slow rise/fall of the RF field amplitude at the beginning/end of the pulse (Fig. 1a). While the magnetic field generated by a monochromatic pulse would appear stationary in the rotating frame defined by the transmitter frequency, the field generated by a linearly chirped pulse only appears stationary after a second rotational transformation applied at the instantaneous effective offset frequency shown in Fig. 1a; conventional nomenclature for this shifting frame is the frequency-modulated (FM) frame.^{29–30,32} In the FM frame, the z -component of the effective magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$, varies with time because the instantaneous offset between the effective transmitter frequency and the resonance frequency of a given nucleus continuously changes (Fig. 1b). Typical $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$ trajectories for spins that have different resonance frequencies (e.g., due to an anisotropic interaction) are shown in Fig. 1c. Although the details of these trajectories are different, a slow enough sweep of the effective transmitter frequency guarantees that spins initially polarized along the z -axis will remain locked along the magnetic field as it moves toward the $z < 0$ hemisphere; we note that only cases where the frequency sweep and amplitude modulation are such that the magnetization ends up aligned (or nearly aligned) along the $-z$ -axis are discussed here.

While WURST pulses are typically employed for manipulating existing nuclear spin polarization, a coherence transfer application is explored herein in which the variable-amplitude, variable-angle $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$ generated by a frequency swept pulse is used as the spin-locking field in a modified CP experiment. The BRAIN-CP pulse sequence in Figure 2a shows one possibility for applying this strategy. The $I = ^1\text{H}$ portion of the experiment is unchanged from the conventional CP experiment,^{16–18} while the contact pulse on the low- γ S-spin channel is replaced with a chirped RF sweep applied to the anisotropically broadened target powder pattern. The underlying rationale is foreshadowed in Fig. 1c (and further demonstrated below): at one or more points during the frequency sweep, a Hartmann-Hahn

matching condition is fulfilled for each isochromat of the powder pattern. Polarization can then be transferred to S nuclei regardless of their precise resonance offset, and magnetization will build up for every crystallite at some instant throughout the sweep. Once generated, the S -spin polarization adiabatically follows $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$, resulting in bulk spin polarization aligned along $-z$ for all sections of the powder pattern at the end of sweep. An S -channel $\pi/2$ pulse can be used to convert this polarization stored along $-z$ to observable transverse magnetization—we refer to this final pulse as the conversion pulse. Notice that the S -spin polarization can also be produced along $+z$, either by reversing the chirped pulse sweep direction or by phase-shifting the ^1H excitation by $\pi/2$ vis-à-vis the ensuing spin-lock pulse. This behavior was exploited by implementing a two-step phase cycle in all experiments presented herein, to filter out any initial S -spin polarization arising from thermal processes (which would lie along $+z$).

A variety of conversion schemes can be used to observe the $\pm S_z$ spin polarization produced by BRAIN-CP. For example, a single echo or a train of echoes can be acquired by using the BRAIN-CP-based sequences shown in Figures 2b and 2c, respectively. The use of hard $\pi/2$ and π S -pulses, however, could deprive these sequences of their “broad-bandedness.” Alternatively, the $\pm S_z$ polarization produced by BRAIN-CP can be excited and observed using broadband methods; for instance, via the WCPMG pulse sequence in which the conversion and refocusing pulses are also frequency swept. An example of the resulting BRAIN-CP/WCPMG sequence is shown in Figure 2d, where different labels denote the swept pulses used for polarization transfer (A), for conversion (B) and for refocusing (C). The BRAIN-CP/WCPMG pulse sequence allows for the S/N advantages of both CP and CPMG, and provides broadband characteristics in both these portions of the experiment.

Theoretical Analysis: CP during an Adiabatic Pulse

We consider the effects of the pulse sequence shown in Figure 2a on an isolated I, S spin pair during the contact period. The Hamiltonian may be defined in the doubly rotating frame, with spin I as the polarization source and spin S the target, as:

$$H(t) = \Omega_I I_z + \Omega_S S_z + 2\omega_D I_z S_z + \omega_{1,I} I_x + \omega_{1,S}(t) [S_x \cos(\Psi(t)) + S_y \sin(\Psi(t))] \quad (1)$$

Here, Ω_I and Ω_S are the rotating-frame resonance offsets of the I and S spins, ω_D is the dipolar coupling constant of the I, S spin pair, and the RF amplitudes applied to each spin are given by $\omega_{1,I}$ and $\omega_{1,S}$. The phase profile for the S channel RF is set according to the explicit form of a WURST pulse:

$$\Psi(t) = \frac{Rt^2}{2} - \frac{\Delta\omega}{2}t + \Psi_0 \quad (2)$$

which generates an instantaneous frequency shift of the RF pulse on that channel of

$$\omega_{RF}(t) = \dot{\Psi}(t) = Rt - \frac{\Delta\omega}{2} \quad (3)$$

where the time, t , runs from 0 to τ_{RF} . Ψ_0 is the initial RF phase, and the instantaneous irradiation frequency sweeps over the range ω , from $\pm 1/2 \omega$ to $\mp 1/2 \omega$, at the rate $R = \omega/(\tau_{RF})$. Inclusion of a WURST-like amplitude profile could have an effect on the spin dynamics of S nuclei with offset frequencies that are close to the edges of the frequency sweep (i.e., when Ω_S is near $\pm \omega/2$); however, we expect that most of the behavior is captured by treating $\omega_{1,S}$ as a constant.

After applying consecutive rotations to transform first to the FM frame²⁹ and then to a $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$ parallel to $S_{Z'}$ frame,^{30,32} in which the effective magnetic field is along the z' -axis, the Hamiltonian can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(t) = & \Omega_I^{\text{eff}} I_z + \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) S_{z'} \\ & - 2\omega_D [I_z \cos(\theta_I) - I_x \sin(\theta_I)] [S_{z'} \cos(\theta_S(t)) - S_{x'} \sin(\theta_S(t))] \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where the effective resonance frequencies of the I and S nuclei are

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_I^{\text{eff}} &= \sqrt{\Omega_I^2 + \omega_{1,I}^2} \\ \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) &= \sqrt{(\Omega_S - \omega_{RF}(t))^2 + \omega_{1,S}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Notice that after these transformations the effective fields influencing I and S lie along the z' -axes of their respective $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$ frame, which subtend angles of θ_I and $\theta_S(t)$ with respect to the z -axes of a standard rotating frame, as described by

$$\begin{aligned} \cos(\theta_I) &= \Omega_I / \Omega_I^{\text{eff}} \\ \cos[\theta_S(t)] &= [\Omega_S - \omega_{RF}(t)] / \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

When the frequency sweep rate R is small, as in an adiabatic passage, the function $\theta_S(t)$ varies slowly and further time dependencies can be disregarded. Making the substitution

$$\tilde{U}(t) = \exp\{-i\Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t I_z - i \int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt S_{z'}\} \tilde{\tilde{U}}(t) \quad (7)$$

one obtains a Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tilde{H}}(t) = & -2\omega_D [I_z \cos(\theta_I) - I_x \sin(\theta_I) \cos(\Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t) - I_y \sin(\theta_I) \sin(\Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t)] \times \\ & \times [S_z \cos(\theta_S(t)) - S_x \sin(\theta_S(t)) \cos(\int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt) - S_y \sin(\theta_S(t)) \sin(\int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt)] \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

An approximation to the dynamics can be obtained by considering the spins evolution under the average of Eq. 8

$$\tilde{H}_{AV} = \frac{1}{\tau_{RF}} \int_0^{\tau_{RF}} \tilde{H}(t) dt \quad (9)$$

During the bulk of the irradiation period, the modulation frequencies $\Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t)$ and Ω_I^{eff} impose fast oscillations on $\tilde{H}$ and therefore make no contributions to the mean Hamiltonian.

However, whenever $\Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) \cong \Omega_I^{\text{eff}}$, the integral of $\tilde{H}$ may accumulate. This condition is analogous to the Hartmann-Hahn match,¹⁶ except that in the swept case the time-dependent match will occur at instants when the instantaneous frequency offset of the S -channel chirp fulfills

$$\omega_{RF}(t) = \Omega_S \pm \sqrt{\Omega_I^2 + \omega_{1,I}^2 - \omega_{1,S}^2} \quad (10)$$

Assuming the abundant spins are on resonance, Eq. (10) describes a simple situation for the case where $\omega_{1,I} = \omega_{1,S}$: a polarization transfer occurs when the S -channel sweep is on-resonance with the S spin (i.e., when $\omega_{RF} = \Omega_S$). On the other hand, when $\omega_{1,I} > \omega_{1,S}$, polarization transfer occurs at two distinct positions in the sweep that lie on either side of the Ω_S resonance offset. It is also possible that a single match condition occurs when $\omega_{1,I} > \omega_{1,S}$, depending on the exact range of the RF sweep with respect to Ω_S . These locations of these “match” criteria are further explored below with the aid of numerical simulations.

In order for this kind of broadband CP effect to be useful, it is important that the “matching” condition be maintained long enough for significant polarization to be transferred. Furthermore, for the experiment to be efficiently optimized, it is important to determine how the CP dynamics depend on each experimental parameter. It can be shown (see Appendix) that if the effective RF fields on both channels are equal, cross polarization can occur at each Ω_S position during a time interval τ_{CP} , given by

$$\tau_{CP} = \sqrt[3]{24\pi\omega_{1,S}/R^2} \quad (11)$$

It is more difficult to provide a simple estimate of the effective CP matching time when unequal RF fields are involved; still, in the $\omega_{1,I} \gg \omega_{1,S}$ case, this can be approximated as

$$\tau_{CP} = 2 \sqrt[4]{\frac{\pi^2}{R^1} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{1,S}^2}{\omega_{1,I}^2} \right)} \quad (12)$$

Not surprisingly, a longer period of polarization transfer can be obtained by using either $\omega_{1,S}^{\text{max}} = \omega_{1,I}$ irradiation fields or by sweeping through the S frequency offsets at a slower rate.

BRAIN-CP: Numerical Simulations

Numerical simulations of the behavior of an isolated I,S spin system during the contact portion of the BRAIN-CP experiment were also performed. Simulations are presented for an initial density operator $\rho(0) \propto I_X$ propagated numerically with the Hamiltonian in Eq. 1. All simulations are for a 10 ms chirped RF pulse swept at a constant rate from -75 to +75 kHz and a WURST-40 amplitude profile with a maximum RF power level $\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi=30$ kHz. In each simulation, the expectation values $\langle I_X \rangle(t)$ and $\langle S_Z \rangle(t)$ were calculated in order to monitor the polarization lost by spin I and gained by spin S during the contact period.

The polarization transferred under a range of I -channel RF power levels and S -spin frequency offsets is shown in Fig. 3. In each simulation, the point of polarization transfer from I to S is clear from the drop in $\langle I_X \rangle(t)$; the ensuing progression of the generated S magnetization toward the $-z$ axis is also apparent. In Fig. 3a, where

$\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi=\omega_{1,I}/2\pi=30$ kHz, the simulations evidence a single polarization transfer that occurs at the point where the frequency of the RF sweep equals each S -spin frequency offset (i.e., when $\omega_{RF}(t) = \Omega_S$), in agreement with the prediction in Eq. 10. In Fig. 3b, where $\omega_{1,S}^{\max} \neq \omega_{1,I}$, two separate polarization transfers are evident for the on-resonance $\Omega_S = 0$ case. By contrast, the same set of simulations shows that when $\Omega_S = 60$ kHz, a single transfer happens as only one of the two offset conditions of Eq. 10 ($\omega_{RF}(t)/2\pi = 60$ kHz ± 33.5 kHz) lies within the ± 75 kHz range of the RF sweep. This behavior is further illustrated in Fig. 3c, where the larger difference in RF power levels is such that two polarization transfers are possible only when Ω_S is near the center of the sweep.

Effects of the I - S dipolar coupling strength on the polarization transfers were also investigated. The S -spin polarization calculated at the end of the transfer period is shown in Fig. 4a as a function of ω_D under each of the three RF power conditions described above. The behavior under the lowest power setting clearly demonstrates that the polarization transfer is quenched when the dipolar coupling is higher than a certain threshold value. Most likely, this results from the spin-lock only being maintained when the RF power is of sufficient magnitude to dominate all interactions. The polarization transfer curves for $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 45$ and 60 kHz power levels display an interesting oscillation as a function of ω_D (Fig. 4a), representing efficient or poor polarization transfer at various points. The origin of this behavior is made clear by time-dependent simulations (Figs. 4b, 4c), expanding on the behavior at selected positions of the ω_D -curve in Fig. 4a: the second of the two distinct transfer conditions associated with the frequency sweep may result in further polarization transfer from spin I to spin S (Fig. 4b), or in a reverse transfer, in which some or all of the polarization is returned to spin I (Fig. 4c). Oscillatory shuttling of polarization back and forth between spins in an isolated spin pair is well known in conventional CP,³⁴ but does not typically affect solid state results as the oscillation is often damped out by proton spin diffusion or powder averaging in transfers over long time periods.^{35–37} We therefore expect BRAIN-CP to produce polarization transfers in a net I -to- S direction under usual experimental conditions; however, these results also suggest that the effectiveness of the transfer may vary somewhat from crystallite-to-crystallite.

This last feature was investigated further with a series of numerical SPINEVOLUTION³⁸ calculations probing the ability of BRAIN-CP to provide a faithful representation of a broad powder pattern dominated by chemical shift anisotropy (CSA). An ABCX spin system comprising one *S* and three *I* = ¹H nuclei was deemed to serve as a useful model system including CSA effects (modeled on ¹¹⁹Sn at 9.4 T) as well as both hetero- and homonuclear dipolar coupling (protons are assumed to be on resonance). The results of these simulations (Fig. 5) demonstrate that an accurate powder pattern is obtained when $\omega_I/\omega_S \approx 2$. Under these conditions, the simulations indicate a polarization transfer equal to approximately half of the γ_I/γ_S theoretical maximum. Given that this particular set of simulations only accounts for one of a multitude of possible spin systems and associated NMR parameters, we do not place much weight on this enhancement value; still, such simulations highlight the usefulness and validity of the pulse sequences presented here.

3 Experimental

NMR spectra were acquired using a Varian Infinity Plus console and a 9.4 T Oxford magnet at resonance frequencies of 149.0 MHz for ¹¹⁹Sn, 83.5 MHz for ²⁰⁷Pb, and 71.4 MHz for ¹⁹⁹Hg. All ¹¹⁹Sn experiments were performed using a Varian/Chemagnetics 4 mm HX MAS probe with samples packed in zirconia rotors, while ²⁰⁷Pb and ¹⁹⁹Hg experiments employed a Varian/Chemagnetics 5 mm HX static probe and samples packed in glass tubes. Power calibrations at each frequency were performed using separate experiments with liquid solution standards. ¹¹⁹Sn experiments were referenced to liquid tetramethylstannane at 0 ppm, ¹⁹⁹Hg experiments to a saturated aqueous solution of Hg(ClO₄)₂ at -2253 ppm (with respect to Hg(CH₃)₂ at 0 ppm), and ¹⁹⁵Pt experiments to a 1 M aqueous solution of Na₂PtCl₆ at 0 ppm. All spectra were recorded using proton decoupling power levels of $\omega_1/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 30$ kHz to 50 kHz.

Simulations of polarization transfers were performed using either custom made routines written for Matlab or with SPINEVOLUTION³⁸ as noted in the text. Lineshape simulations of the total powder patterns were generated analytically with WSOLIDS.³⁹

4 Results and Discussion

¹¹⁹Sn NMR Experiments

¹¹⁹Sn has a relatively high gyromagnetic ratio (e.g., $\nu_L = 149$ MHz at 9.4 T), and often exhibits broad powder patterns arising from large CSAs,⁴⁰ making ¹¹⁹Sn an ideal starting point for testing the broadbanded-ness of our methods. Dibutyltin(IV) oxide, whose 110 kHz simulated static spectrum is shown in Fig. 6a,^{40–41} was employed as test sample. Spectra acquired using conventional CP are displayed in Figs. 6b and 6c. The use of a high RF power for the match condition results in a successful enhancement of nearly the entire width of the powder pattern whereas a low-power match results in excitation of only a fraction of the total powder pattern neighboring the on-resonance position. Evidently, the low power condition is not optimal, but mimics samples spanning the ultra-wide bandwidths alluded to earlier. By contrast, the chirped BRAIN-CP approach successfully enhances the entire breadth of dibutyltin oxide's ¹¹⁹Sn powder pattern without the need for high RF power levels (Fig. 6d). The contact-pulse sweep used in this example to provide the broadband CP

transfer involved a WURST envelope and a carrier offset swept from just above to just below the spectral edges, covering a total range of 125 kHz. Interestingly, the same optimum RF power is found for the ^{119}Sn contact pulse in both conventional CP and BRAIN-CP experiments when the amplitude of the ^1H contact pulse is fixed at $\omega_1/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 20$ kHz for each experiment. Furthermore, the sensitivities to mis-sets of the match power are found to be similar in both methods. There is a slight difference in the optimum contact time (5 ms for conventional CP vs. 10 ms for BRAIN-CP). We ascribe the slower polarization transfer observed with BRAIN-CP to the fact that the frequency sweep brings the RF pulse into contact with each isochromat of the powder pattern during only a portion of the total WURST pulse.

Given the success of BRAIN-CP in increasing both the bandwidth of the polarization transfer, the viability of combining this process with CPMG-style acquisitions was tested. A conventional CP/CPMG spectrum, acquired under low-power CP matching conditions, is shown in Fig. 6e. While the initial ^{119}Sn polarization generated by CP is equivalent, the S/N boost provided by the multi-echo acquisition of the CPMG loop allowed the CP/CPMG spectrum to be acquired using 1/10th the number of scans. The BRAIN-CP/CPMG spectrum shown in Fig. 6f, collected using identical pulse powers (and for the acquisition portions, equal timings) as the CP/CPMG spectrum, demonstrates the successful combination of broadband polarization transfer with CPMG acquisition. Still, even for this test spectrum, the observable bandwidth limited by the inversion profile of the rectangular π pulses used in the CPMG train, as evidenced by the loss of intensity in the outer portions of the CPMG-derived powder pattern vis-à-vis its static BRAIN-CP counterpart. These distortions in the BRAIN-CP/CPMG spectrum highlight the need for broadband refocusing pulses in the CPMG train, if one is to obtain a truly ultra-wideline spectrum of a more challenging sample. The spectrum shown in Fig. 6g demonstrates that such broadband polarization/refocusing experiments are feasible if BRAIN-CP is used in conjunction with the S/N enhancement of the (also broadband) WCPMG pulse sequence, using the pulse sequence shown in Fig. 1d. It is also interesting to note that the ensuing experiment appears to be quite robust, as doubling the contact-pulse sweep range to 250 kHz produces an indistinguishable BRAIN-CP/WCPMG spectrum (not shown), with approximately the same optimum ^{119}Sn RF power, and a slightly longer optimum contact time of 15 ms.

^{199}Hg NMR Experiments

Acquisition of ^{199}Hg solid-state NMR spectra represents an even more difficult challenge for broadband CP experiments, as ^{199}Hg has a lower gyromagnetic ratio than ^{119}Sn (e.g., $\nu_L = 71$ MHz at 9.4 T for ^{199}Hg), and is often associated with CSA-dominated powder patterns that are thousands of ppm wide.⁴⁰ Mercury acetate has previously been used to demonstrate the benefits of combining conventional CP with the CPMG protocol,^{42–43} and is therefore a fitting material with which to benchmark BRAIN-CP. The ^{199}Hg CP/CPMG spectrum of mercury acetate is displayed in Figure 7b together with the ~130 kHz broad simulated spectrum. Despite the application of maximum RF power levels for the equipment, the excitation profile of conventional CP covers only a portion of the total spectrum, in agreement with previous reports which found 6 to 9 CP/CPMG sub-spectra were required to obtain the entire powder pattern.^{42–43} By contrast, the BRAIN-CP/WCPMG sequence of

Fig. 1d yields the mercury acetate spectrum shown in Fig. 7c, where the broader excitation profile is evident. Similar to the ^{119}Sn experiments, conventional CP and BRAIN-CP have nearly identical optimum match conditions, and also similar sensitivity to mis-setting of these power levels. Furthermore, the optimum contact time is again slightly longer for BRAIN-CP (25 ms), as compared to conventional CP (15 ms). We also note that a nearly identical spectrum (not shown) could be obtained using BRAIN-CP/WCPMG with a 500 kHz contact-pulse sweep width, a slightly longer contact time (30 ms) and a similar optimum RF power, suggesting that even extremely broad ^{199}Hg powder patterns should be easily observable by this route. Moreover, whereas a recent report has demonstrated that direct ^{199}Hg excitation using frequency-swept pulses also allows for the acquisition of undistorted mercury acetate spectra,¹⁵ the WCPMG experiment was found to be quite inefficient due to the lack of CP's $\sim \gamma_{\text{H}}/\gamma_{\text{Hg}}$ signal enhancement and the extremely slow ^{199}Hg longitudinal relaxation: $5 \times T_1(^{199}\text{Hg}) \approx 25$ minutes. By comparison, the benefits of using BRAIN-CP/WCPMG are reflected in the fact that the spectrum in Fig. 7c was acquired ca. 50 times faster than a similar quality spectrum could be collected with direct excitation using the WCPMG method.

^{195}Pt NMR Experiments

As a final and particularly challenging test of the BRAIN-CP experiment, its use in ^{195}Pt solid-state NMR spectroscopy was investigated. It is well known that spans of ^{195}Pt chemical shift tensors are generally thousands of ppm in square planar environments,^{40,44} generating spectra that are several hundred kHz broad on moderate and high-field NMR spectrometers. $\text{Pt}[\text{NH}_3]_4\text{Cl}_2$ exhibits these characteristics, and was used as a test sample. The ^{195}Pt shift parameters of this compound are: $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -2540(60)$ ppm, $\Omega = 7250(100)$ ppm, and $\kappa = -0.96(1)$; further details of the NMR spectroscopy and its interpretation will be reported in a forthcoming publication. The ^{195}Pt NMR spectrum of powdered $\text{Pt}[\text{NH}_3]_4\text{Cl}_2$ acquired using CP/CPMG is shown in Fig. 8a, evidencing that only a small fraction of the approximately 600 kHz wide powder pattern is excited. Acquisition of the entire powder pattern using CP/CPMG at multiple transmitter positions was not attempted, but it is estimated that ca. 35 sub-spectra would be required for its faithful representation. Obviously, the narrow excitation bandwidth of conventional CP is a severe impediment to ^{195}Pt ultrawide NMR spectroscopy.

The WCPMG spectrum of $\text{Pt}[\text{NH}_3]_4\text{Cl}_2$ in Fig. 8b demonstrates the broadband capability of this frequency swept acquisition method,⁴⁴ but also highlights the significantly lower signal intensity afforded by direct excitation in comparison to the CP/CPMG spectrum. In this case, the reduction in signal is due partly to the longer recycle delay associated with direct excitation (6 s) versus CP experiments (4 s) and the slightly poorer refocusing efficiency of the WURST pulses, yet the most important factor is the larger ^{195}Pt spin polarization afforded by the CP experiment.

^{195}Pt BRAIN-CP/WCPMG spectra of $\text{Pt}[\text{NH}_3]_4\text{Cl}_2$, optimized using three different contact-pulse sweep widths, are shown in Figs. 8c-8e. Experiments with all three contact-pulse sweep widths are found to require nearly identical power levels and optimal match conditions, and these are furthermore similar to the optimum power level for the

conventional CP experiment. It is immediately apparent from this data that the BRAIN-CP/WCPMG spectra provides a broader excitation while retaining the S/N advantages of CP. When a 1 MHz contact-pulse sweep width is applied, some signal intensity is excited over nearly the entire width of the spectrum, and in fact, the same excitation bandwidth as the extremely broadband WCPMG method is achieved. In this case the limiting factor is more likely the excitation and/or receiving bandwidths of the probe, rather than the performances of either the BRAIN-CP or WCPMG portions of the experiments. The same contact time was used for each experiment; however, it should be noted that no intensity was lost when a 10 ms contact time was used with the 250 kHz sweep, and memory limitations of the waveform generator prevented the investigation of contact times longer than 20 ms. Therefore, the behavior of the signal intensity as a function of contact time in these BRAIN-CP ^{195}Pt experiments is not inconsistent with that found for the other nuclei. Given the extremely large excitation bandwidth of the BRAIN-CP/WCPMG pulse sequence, it is possible to obtain even the 650 kHz broad ^{195}Pt spectrum of $\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2$ using a small number of sub-spectra and a short total experimental time (e.g., Fig. 8f). It has previously been noted that the broadband refocusing of WCPMG makes it a preferred method over CP/CPMG for samples with characteristics similar to those of $\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2$; the present results demonstrate that BRAIN-CP/WCPMG represents a further increase in efficiency. We also note that broadband direct ^{195}Pt excitation experiments are often precluded by sample characteristics that are more challenging than those of $\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2$: the sample may be available in limited quantities, have a dilute Pt content ($\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2$ is nearly 60% Pt by weight), or possess unfavorable ^{195}Pt relaxation properties (^{195}Pt T_1 values are often 10x times greater and ^{195}Pt T_2 values much shorter than those of $\text{Pt}(\text{NH}_3)_4\text{Cl}_2$).

5 Conclusions

The BRAIN-CP pulse sequence, which uses frequency-swept adiabatic inversion pulses to achieve broad CP excitation profiles, has been introduced. Experimental results demonstrate that ^1H nuclei can be used to polarize ^{119}Sn , ^{199}Hg and ^{195}Pt nuclei with high efficiency over very broad frequency regions. In particular, the execution of CP along an FM-frame spin-locking field allows broad powder patterns to become uniformly polarized, leading to up to a tenfold reduction in the number of required scans as compared to conventional CP. Moreover, these experiments are found to require similar optimum RF power levels to conventional CP, and their spectral quality is relatively insensitive to changes in the contact-pulse sweep width or contact time. A detailed theoretical analysis provides insight into the behavior of the pulse sequence; as noted above, polarization transfer can occur when the S-channel offset creates an effective magnetic field equaling that experienced by the spin-locked ^1H nuclei. Furthermore, we show here that this transfer can be satisfied for most isochromats in broad powder pattern by sweeping the S-channel offset over a suitable range. This mechanism of polarization transfer leads to a large increase in bandwidth—not at the expense of power, but rather with the price of extended contact times. Experimental results demonstrate that the cost of this increase is well worth paying, making the ensuing BRAIN-CP sequence an attractive alternative for the polarization of CSA-broadened signals. The limits of the resulting bandwidths appear to be governed by the probehead's electronics rather than by spin-physics or waveform generating constraints. It is likely that the use of

flat-Q circuits⁴⁵ could help overcome this particular hurdle. It is also worth noting the potential relevance of this scheme towards the polarization enhancement of quadrupole-broadened central and satellite transitions. This aspect, together with extensions of BRAIN-CP analogues for the case of magic-angle spinning, is actively being investigated. Finally, it is important to note that it is the adiabaticity of the X-channel contact pulse that ensures a homogeneous magnetization transfer across the broad powder pattern. Therefore, the same basic mechanism for CP could be taken advantage of with a wide variety of alternate adiabatic inversion shapes beyond simple linear frequency chirps. The results obtained using BRAIN-CP and frequency-swept WCPMG demonstrate high efficiency and ease of use, and the method shows clear promise for providing spectroscopic access to many nuclei with anisotropically broadened powder patterns in a wide array of materials.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the Israel Science Foundation (ISF 447/09), ERC Advanced Grant #246754, a Helen and Kimmel Award for Innovative Investigation, and the generosity of the Perlman Family Foundation. R.W.S. thanks the Ontario Ministry of Research and Innovation for an Early Researcher Award, and acknowledges the Centre for Catalysis and Materials Research at the University of Windsor for additional funding.

Appendix

We derive here an estimate of the time interval during which polarization transfer can occur using approximations to the equations for an isolated I,S spin pair described in the Theory Section of the main text. Polarization transfer is possible when the instantaneous RF frequency satisfies Eq. 10, and therefore occurs at time(s) t_{CP} of the sweep

$$t_{CP} = \tau_{RF} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\Omega_S}{\Delta\omega} \pm \sqrt{\Omega_I^2 + \omega_{1,I}^2 - \omega_{1,S}^2} \right) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

provided that $0 < t_{CP} < \tau_{RF}$. Polarization transfer should be possible during the interval around t_{CP} for which the Hamiltonian of Eq. 8 is relatively unchanging. If the rapidly oscillating term

$$\begin{aligned} & -2\omega_D \cos(\theta_I) \left[-S_x \sin(\theta_S(t)) \cos\left(\int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt\right) - 2\omega_D S_y \sin(\theta_S(t)) \sin\left(\int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt\right) \right] I_z - \\ & -2\omega_D \sin(\Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t) \cos\left(\int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt\right) I_Y S_X + \cos(\Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t) \sin\left(\int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt\right) I_Y S_X \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

of Eq. 8 is neglected, the Hamiltonian during the match intervals can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(t) \cong & -2\omega_D \cos(\theta_I) \cos(\theta_S(t)) I_Z S_Z - \\ & -2\omega_D \sin(\theta_I) \sin(\theta_S(t)) \frac{1}{2} \cos(\Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t - \int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt) (I_X S_X + I_Y S_Y) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

We note that the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. A.3 is similar to that for conventional CP. Given that $\theta(t)$ is a slowly varying function, the only oscillations are from the cosine term whose phase is given by

$$\Phi(t) = \Omega_I^{\text{eff}} t - \int_0^t \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The change in Φ from $t = t_{CP}$ to $t_{CP} + t'$ is

$$\Phi(t_{CP} + t') - \Phi(t_{CP}) = \omega_{1,I} t' - \int_{t_{CP}}^{t_{CP} + t'} \Omega_S^{\text{eff}}(t) dt \quad (\text{A.5})$$

where, for simplicity, we have taken $\Omega_I = \Omega_S = 0$. Expanding Eq. A.5 to third order as a Taylor series yields the phase change as

$$\Phi(t_{CP} + t') - \Phi(t_{CP}) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\omega_{1,S}^2}{\omega_{1,I}^2} R t'^2} + \frac{1}{6} \frac{\omega_{1,S}^2}{\omega_{1,I}^2} R^2 t'^3 \quad (\text{A.6a})$$

where the $\pm$ is related to the two possible match conditions of Eq. 12. In cases where $\omega_{1,I} = \omega_{1,S}$, the quadratic term in t' vanishes and the condition of Eq. A.6a becomes simplified to

$$\Phi(t_{CP} + t') - \Phi(t_{CP}) = \frac{R^2 t'^3}{6\omega_{1,S}} \quad (\text{A.6b})$$

We define phase changes in Eqs. A.6 of $< |\pi/2|$ as an approximation of the period during which the effective Hamiltonian A.3 varies little, allowing the mean Hamiltonian to build up and CP transfer to occur.

According to this analysis, when $\omega_{1,I} = \omega_{1,S}$ CP occurs during the interval τ_{CP} given by

$$\tau_{CP} = \sqrt[3]{24\pi\omega_{1,S}/R^2} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

To better illustrate the behavior, the phase function Eq. A.4 (and its Taylor series approximation) are shown in Figure A1 for a BRAIN-CP transfer with $\omega/2\pi = 150$ kHz, $\tau_{RF} = 10$ ms and $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = \omega_{1,S}/2\pi = 20$ kHz. The period during which $\Phi(t)$ is relatively stable is apparent in the first panel, as are the rapid oscillations in $\cos[\Phi(t)]$ in the middle panel. It is only near the center portion of the sweep that the rapid oscillation of the cosine

terms does not average to zero, and the integral of $\cos[\Phi(t)]$, rightmost panel of Figure A1, only builds up during this time. Estimation of τ_{CP} directly from Figure A1 gives $\tau_{CP} \cong 0.7$ ms, in excellent agreement with the estimation of 1.0 ms derived from Eq. A.7. A simple estimate analogous to Eq. A.7 is not possible when $\omega_{1,I} \approx \omega_{1,S}$, however, if $\omega_{1,I} \gg \omega_{1,S}$, the cubic contribution can be neglected such that the CP interval is approximated by

$$\tau_{CP} = 2 \sqrt[4]{\frac{\pi^2}{R^2} \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{1,S}^2}{\omega_{1,I}^2}\right)} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

For the case where $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 2 * \omega_{1,S}/2\pi = 40$ kHz, $\omega/2\pi = 150$ kHz and $\tau_{RF} = 10$ ms, one gets $\tau_{CP} \cong 0.5$ ms which agrees with simulations performed for this matching condition. This analysis clearly demonstrates that contact between the spins is not an instantaneous moment, but rather occurs over a significant interval. We also note that τ_{CP} may be somewhat larger in experimental systems as the analysis presented is for a simple 2-spin system, for example, a range of Ω_S values would broaden the condition.

References

- [1]. Duer, MJ. Introduction to Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. Blackwell Publishing Ltd; Oxford, U.K.: 2004.
- [2]. Chan, JCC. Solid-State NMR Spectroscopy. Springer-Verlag; Heidelberg, DE; 2012.
- [3]. Schurko, RW. Acquisition of Wideline Solid-State NMR Spectra of Quadrupolar Nuclei. Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. Grant, DM.; Harris, RK., editors. John Wiley and Sons; Chichester U.K.: 2011.
- [4]. Carr HY, Purcell EM. Phys Rev. 1954; 94:630.
- [5]. Meiboom S, Gill D. Rev Sci Inst. 1958; 29:688–691.
- [6]. Shore SE, Ansermet JP, Slichter CP, Sinfelt JH. Phys Rev Lett. 1987; 58(9):953–956. [PubMed: 10035081]
- [7]. Larsen FH, Jakobsen HJ, Ellis PD, Nielsen NC. J Phys Chem A. 1997; 101(46):8597–8606.
- [8]. Massiot D, Farnan I, Gautier N, Trumeau D, Trokner A, Coutures JP. Solid State Nucl Magn Reson. 1995; 4(4):241–248. [PubMed: 7583059]
- [9]. Böhlen JM, Rey M, Bodenhausen G. J Magn Reson. 1989; 84(1):191–197.
- [10]. Ermakov VL, Böhlen JM, Bodenhausen G. J Magn Reson Ser A. 1993; 103(2):226–229.
- [11]. Bhattacharyya R, Frydman L. J Chem Phys. 2007; 127(19):8.
- [12]. Kervin G, Pintacuda G, Emsley L. Chem Phys Lett. 2007; 435(1–3):157–162.
- [13]. Kup e E, Freeman R. J Magn Reson Ser A. 1995; 115(2):273–276.
- [14]. O'Dell LA, Schurko RW. Chem Phys Lett. 2008; 464:97–102.
- [15]. MacGregor AW, O'Dell LA, Schurko RW. J Magn Reson. 2011; 208(1):103–113. [PubMed: 21130011]
- [16]. Hartmann SR, Hahn EL. Phys Rev. 1962; 128:2042.
- [17]. Pines A, Waugh JS, Gibby MG. J Chem Phys. 1972; 56(4):1776–1777.
- [18]. Pines A, Gibby MG, Waugh JS. J Chem Phys. 1973; 59(2):569–590.
- [19]. Peng WK, Takeda K, Kitagawa M. Chem Phys Lett. 2006; 417(1–3):58–62.
- [20]. Peng WK, Takeda K. J Magn Reson. 2007; 188(2):267–274. [PubMed: 17709265]
- [21]. Peng WK, Samoson A, Kitagawa M. Chem Phys Lett. 2008; 460(4–6):531–535.

- [22]. Engelke, F.; Steuernagel, S. Cross Polarization in Rotating Solids: Spin-1/2 Nuclei. Encyclopedia of Nuclear Magnetic Resonance. Grant, DM.; Harris, RK., editors. John Wiley and Sons; Chichester U.K.: 2011.
- [23]. Metz G, Wu XL, Smith SO. J Magn Reson, Ser A. 1994; 110(2):219–227.
- [24]. Hediger S, Meier BH, Ernst RR. Chem Phys Lett. 1995; 240(5-6):449–456.
- [25]. Hodgkinson P, Pines A. J Chem Phys. 1997; 107(21):8742–8751.
- [26]. Fukuchi M, Ramamoorthy A, Takegoshi K. J Magn Reson. 2009; 196(2):105–109. [PubMed: 19022690]
- [27]. Shekar SC, Lee DK, Ramamoorthy A. J Magn Reson. 2002; 157(2):223–234. [PubMed: 12323141]
- [28]. Abragam, A. The Principles of Nuclear Magnetism. Oxford University Press; Oxford, U.K.: 1961.
- [29]. Baum J, Tycko R, Pines A. Phys Rev A. 1985; 32(6):3435–3447.
- [30]. Tannus A, Garwood M. NMR Biomed. 1997; 10(8):423–434. [PubMed: 9542739]
- [31]. Deschamps M, Kervern G, Massiot D, Pintacuda G, Emsley L, Grandinetti PJ. J Chem Phys. 2008; 129(20):204110. [PubMed: 19045855]
- [32]. Garwood M, DelaBarre L. J Magn Reson. 2001; 153(2):155–177. [PubMed: 11740891]
- [33]. Kup e E, Freeman R. J Magn Reson, Ser A. 1995; 117(2):246–256.
- [34]. Chingas GC, Garroway AN, Bertrand RD, Moniz WB. J Chem Phys. 1981; 74(1):127–156.
- [35]. Müller L, Kumar A, Baumann T, Ernst RR. Phys Rev Lett. 1974; 32(25):1402–1406.
- [36]. Hester RK, Ackerman JL, Cross VR, Waugh JS. Phys Rev Lett. 1975; 34(16):993–995.
- [37]. Kolodziejewski W, Klinowski J. Chem Rev. 2002; 102(3):613–628. [PubMed: 11890752]
- [38]. Veshtort M, Griffin RG. J Magn Reson. 2006; 178(2):248–282. [PubMed: 16338152]
- [39]. Eichele, K.; Wasylishen, RE. WSOLIDS. University of Alberta; Edmonton: 2000. 2.0.18
- [40]. Duncan, TM. A Compilation of Chemical Shift Anisotropies. Farragut Press; Chicago: 1990.
- [41]. Komoroski RA, Parker RG, Mazany AM, Early TA. J Magn Reson. 1987; 73(3):389–398.
- [42]. Hung I, Rossini AJ, Schurko RW. J Phys Chem A. 2004; 108(34):7112–7120.
- [43]. Siegel R, Nakashima TT, Wasylishen RE. J Phys Chem B. 2004; 108(7):2218–2226.
- [44]. Lucier BEG, Reidel AR, Schurko RW. Can J Chem. 2011; 89(7):919–937.
- [45]. Webber GD, Riedi PC. J Phys E. 1981; 14(10):1159–1163.

Figure 1.

Description of a WURST pulse as a representative adiabatic pulse in terms of: (a) effective transmitter frequency sweep and amplitude dependence versus time, and (b), (c) the concomitant path taken by the effective magnetic field, $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$, during a typical adiabatic pulse as viewed in the FM frame. The behavior of the magnitude of $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$ (in red) and polar angle θ (in blue) is shown for cases where anisotropy shifts the resonance frequency of the spin to a lower frequency than the transmitter ($\omega_{\text{off}} < 0$), to a higher frequency ($\omega_{\text{off}} > 0$), or where the spin is on resonance ($\omega_{\text{off}} = 0$). $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}}$ is at a minimum when it crosses the transverse plane (i.e., $\mathbf{B}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{B}_1$ when $\theta = \pi/2$), which occurs at the point where the effective transmitter frequency equals the resonance frequency of the spin.

Figure 2.

Schematic pulse sequences for (a) BRAIN-CP, a cross-polarization sequence based on a broadband adiabatic full passage; (b) BRAIN-CP/echo, a version incorporating a hard π -pulse echo, (c) BRAIN-CP/CPMG, a multiple-hard-pulse echo version; and (d) BRAIN-CP/WCPMG, a fully broadband version of (c) which also incorporates adiabatic frequency sweeps during the acquisition period. These schematic representations assume a WURST envelope and a linear chirp—yet ample flexibility arises in these functions. See text for further details.

Figure 3.

Numerical simulations of polarization transfers for single I,S spin pairs during frequency-swept CP contact. Conditions for each simulation are: (a) $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = \omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi = 30$ kHz, $\omega_D/2\pi = 0.5$ kHz; (b) $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 45$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi = 30$ kHz, $\omega_D/2\pi = 1.3$ kHz; and (c) $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 60$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi = 30$ kHz, $\omega_D/2\pi = 1.5$ kHz. For the initial conditions associated with each panel, results are calculated for anisotropic offsets $\Omega_S/2\pi = 0$ kHz (red), 20 kHz (blue), 40 kHz (green), and 60 kHz (purple), while Ω_I was set to 0 in all simulations. The

transfer is monitored via calculation of the expectation values $\langle I_X \rangle$ and $\langle S_Z \rangle$, which are shown, respectively, at the top (with initial conditions $\langle I_X \rangle = 1$) and middle (initial conditions $\langle S_Z \rangle = 0$) of the frame.

Figure 4.

Numerical simulations of the dipolar coupling dependence of polarization transfers for single I,S spin pairs during BRAIN-CP. (a) Polarization transferred to the S spin at the end of the contact pulse versus $I-S$ dipolar coupling constant, for three different RF power levels:

$\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 30$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi = 30$ kHz (yellow); $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 45$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi = 30$ kHz

(green); and $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 60$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}^{\max}/2\pi = 30$ kHz (purple). Two positions on the $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 45$ kHz curve displayed in panel (a) are expanded upon in (b) and (c), in which the time evolution of $\langle I_x \rangle$ (red) and $\langle S_z \rangle$ (blue) is shown in panel (b) for the dipolar coupling that

yields the most complete transfer ($\omega_D/2\pi = 1.4$ kHz) and in panel (c) for the dipolar coupling that yields the least effective transfer ($\omega_D/2\pi = 3.6$ kHz).

Figure 5.

Simulations of ^1H -to- S BRAIN-CP polarization transfers for an ABCX spin system with one ^{119}Sn and three ^1H nuclei, using SPINEVOLUTION.³⁸ For each simulation,

$\omega_{1,S}^{\text{max}}/2\pi$ ($S=^{119}\text{Sn}$) is 24.5 kHz while the value of $\omega_{1,I}$ ($I=^1\text{H}$) is as listed in the figure. The model system has S -spin chemical shift tensor components: $\delta_{11} = 50 \text{ kHz}$, $\delta_{22} = -12 \text{ kHz}$ and $\delta_{33} = -38 \text{ kHz}$; three equivalent $\omega_D^{1,S}/2\pi$ ($^1\text{H}, ^{119}\text{Sn}$) values of 3.3 kHz; and $\omega_D^{I,I}/2\pi$ ($^1\text{H}, ^1\text{H}$) values of 3, 3.7, and 21 kHz. The inset shows the idealized S -spin powder pattern, which is most accurately reproduced with BRAIN-CP when $\omega_1(^1\text{H})/2\pi \approx 40 \text{ kHz}$.

Figure 6.

^{119}Sn static NMR spectra of dibutyltin(IV) oxide. (a) Simulated spectrum based on literature chemical shift tensor parameters $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -173$ ppm, $\Omega = 737$ ppm (110 kHz at 9.4 T), and $\kappa = 0.12$; 40–41 these values, and those in subsequent figures, are given using the Maryland convention.¹ (b),(c) Echo spectra recorded using conventional CP with a 5 ms contact time. Spectrum (b) was acquired with a moderately high RF power [$\omega_{1,I}/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 70$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}/2\pi(^{119}\text{Sn}) = 65$ kHz], and (c) with a low RF power [$\omega_{1,I}/2\pi = 20$ kHz, $\omega_{1,S}/2\pi = 25$ kHz]. (d) BRAIN-CP spectra recorded using a 10 ms contact time with low RF powers

identical to those employed for spectrum (c). (e) CP/CPMG spectrum recorded using conventional CP with the contact time and RF powers set to equal those applied for the acquisition of spectrum (c). (f),(g). Hard-pulse and swept-pulse CPMG echo variants of the BRAIN-CP experiment, with contact time and RF powers identical to those employed for the experiment displayed in (d). Conventional rectangular pulses used in the echo and CPMG experiments used $\omega_1/2\pi(^{119}\text{Sn}) = 55$ kHz, while the frequency-swept WURST-B (echo-train) pulses were swept over a range of 1 MHz with a power level of $\omega_1/2\pi(^{119}\text{Sn}) = 33$ kHz. All echo train experiments (CPMG and WCPMG) were recorded as fifty 300 μs echoes.

Figure 7.

Simulated and experimental ^{199}Hg SSNMR spectra of mercury acetate. (a) Simulated spectrum based on the literature parameters $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -2496$ ppm, $\Omega = 1850$ ppm (131 kHz at 9.4 T), and $\kappa = 0.87.42$ (b) CP/CPMG spectrum acquired with RF power levels of $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 40$ kHz and $\omega_{1,S}/2\pi(^{199}\text{Hg}) = 27.5$ kHz during the 15 ms contact time and $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi(^{199}\text{Hg}) = 60$ kHz refocusing pulses (c) BRAIN-CP/WCPMG spectrum acquired with a 250 kHz sweep and RF powers of $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 40$ kHz and $\omega_{1,S}^{\text{max}}/2\pi(^{199}\text{Hg}) = 30$ kHz during the 25 ms contact time; 50 μs pulses swept over a range of 1 MHz at $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi(^{199}\text{Hg}) =$

32 kHz were used for the WURST-B (echo-train) pulses. The spectra in both (b) and (c) were recorded as thirty 330 μ s echoes.

Figure 8.

Experimental and simulated ^{195}Pt NMR spectra of polycrystalline $\text{Pt}[\text{NH}_3]_4\text{Cl}_2$. (a) CP/CPMG spectrum acquired using RF power levels of $\omega_1/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 47$ kHz and $\omega_{1,s}/2\pi(^{195}\text{Pt}) = 27.5$ kHz during the 5 ms contact time;). Seventy-five 200 μs echoes were recorded using refocusing pulses with $\omega_1/2\pi(^{195}\text{Pt}) = 100$ kHz. (b) Direct-excitation WCPMG spectrum acquired with 96 scans (128 scans were employed for all CP spectra to account for the 6 s recycle delay necessary for direct ^{195}Pt excitation differing from the 4 s recycle delay of CP experiments). Seventy-five 200 μs echoes were recorded using 50 μs

WURST-B pulses swept over 2 MHz at $\omega_1/2\pi(^{195}\text{Pt}) = 52$ kHz. (c)-(e) BRAIN-CP/WCPMG experiments acquired using the indicated contact-pulse sweep widths, 20ms contact times, and RF power levels of $\omega_{1,I}/2\pi(^1\text{H}) = 47$ kHz and $\omega_{1,S}^{\text{max}}/2\pi(^{195}\text{Pt}) = 27.5$ or 28.5 kHz. Each spectrum was recorded using identical echo train timings and refocusing pulse parameters to those employed in (b). (f) The total spectrum of $\text{Pt}[\text{NH}_3]_4\text{Cl}_2$ from the co-addition of 5 sub-spectra acquired by stepping the transmitter in 150 kHz intervals and employing 500 kHz contact-pulse sweep widths. The simulated spectrum is based on best-fit parameters $\delta_{\text{iso}} = -2540(60)$ ppm, $\Omega = 7250(100)$ ppm (620 kHz at 9.4 T), and $\kappa = -0.96(1)$ ppm.