Published in final edited form as:

Ment Health Phys Act. 2016 March ; 10: 25–32. doi:10.1016/j.mhpa.2016.05.001.

Exercise Self-Efficacy Moderates the Relation between Anxiety Sensitivity and Body Mass Index and Exercise Tolerance in Treatment-Seeking Smokers

Samantha G. Farris^{1,2,*}, Michelle L. Davis³, David Rosenfield⁴, Brooke Y. Kauffman², Scarlett O. Baird³, Mark B. Powers³, Michael W. Otto⁵, Bess H. Marcus⁶, Timothy S. Church⁷, Jasper A. J. Smits³, and Michael J. Zvolensky^{1,8}

¹University of Houston, Department of Psychology, Houston, TX

²Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Department of Psychiatry and Human Behavior, Providence, RI

³The University of Texas at Austin, Department of Psychology and Institute for Mental Health Research, Austin, TX

⁴Southern Methodist University, Department of Psychology, Dallas, TX

⁵Boston University, Department of Psychology, Boston, MA

⁶University of California, San Diego, Department of Family & Preventive Medicine, San Diego, CA

⁷Pennington Biomedical Research Center, Baton Rouge, LA

⁸The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Behavioral Science, Houston, TX

Abstract

There is little known about factors that contribute to the comorbidity of cigarette smoking and obesity. The current study sought to test whether exercise self-efficacy moderated the relation between anxiety sensitivity (fear of internal sensations) and BMI and exercise tolerance among cigarette smokers. Smokers ($n = 72$; 50% female; $M_{cpd} = 19.3$, $SD = 10.65$) were recruited to participate in a smoking cessation treatment trial. During medical screen, we measured weight, height, and exercise tolerance (functional capacity) employing a standardized maximal exercise testing protocol. After adjusting for participant sex and cigarettes per day, exercise self-efficacy

*Corresponding author: Ms. Samantha G. Farris, at The University of Houston, Department of Psychology, 126 Fred J. Heyne Building, Suite 104, Houston, Texas 77204-5502, United States. sgfarris@uh.edu (Phone): 713-743-8056; (Fax): 713-743-8588.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Ethical approval: All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

moderated the association between anxiety sensitivity and BMI, such that the positive association between anxiety sensitivity and BMI was significantly stronger when exercise self-efficacy was low. The same pattern of results emerged for exercise tolerance. Exercise self-efficacy moderated the association between anxiety sensitivity and exercise tolerance, such that the negative association between anxiety sensitivity and exercise tolerance was significantly stronger when exercise self-efficacy was low. Among smokers, anxiety sensitivity may be a risk variable that, directly and indirectly in the context of low self-efficacy for exercise, causes or maintains higher body weight and lower exercise tolerance.

Keywords

nicotine dependence; obesity; physical activity; self-efficacy; anxiety vulnerability; functional capacity

Obesity is associated with increased risk for various medical problems (e.g., heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, certain cancers), and approximately 78.6 million adults (34.9%) in the United States are obese (Ogden, Carroll, Kit, & Flegal, 2014). Additionally, the prevalence of obesity worldwide has more than doubled in the past four decades (World Health Organization [WHO], 2015). Cigarette smoking is also a common problematic health behavior, occurring among approximately 42.1 million adults in the United States (17.8%; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDCP], 2014), and smoking directly causes around 6 million annual deaths worldwide (WHO, 2015). The comorbidity of smoking and obesity is associated with a marked increase in risk of mortality relative to normal weight non-smokers (Freedman et al., 2006; Prospective Studies Collaboration, 2009). Thus, both problems contribute to and compound risk for morbidity and early mortality. Increasing physical activity is one important modifiable health behavior that can facilitate weight management (United States Department of Health & Human Services [USDHHS], 1996), reduce risk of cardiovascular disease (Fletcher, 1999), and promote smoking cessation (Abrantes et al., 2014; Korhonen, Goodwin, Miesmaa, Dupuis, & Kinnunen, 2011; Maddison et al., 2014; Marcus et al., 2005). However, engagement in and adherence to physical activity programs is low (Marcus et al., 2000) and may be affected by a host of biopsychosocial factors (e.g., motivational status, low social support, limited access to physical activity facilities, depression, and anxiety; Ekkekakis & Lind, 2006).

One transdiagnostic psychological process that has been linked to *both* smoking and physical inactivity is anxiety sensitivity. Anxiety sensitivity reflects the fear of the physiological sensations associated with anxiety and somatic arousal (Reiss, Peterson, Gursky, & McNally, 1986). Anxiety sensitivity is associated with increased risk for anxiety and depressive symptoms (Olatunji & Wolitzky-Taylor, 2009), smoking (Leventhal & Zvolensky, 2015), maladaptive eating behavior (Hearon, Utschig, Smits, Moshier, & Otto, 2013; Herson, Quatromoni, Mascoop, & Otto, 2014), and avoidance of physical activity (Hearon et al., 2014; Smits, Tart, Presnell, Rosenfield, & Otto, 2010). Indeed, data indicate that anxiety sensitivity is associated with lower levels of physical activity when physical activity is assessed via self-report and ambulatory monitoring (Hearon et al., 2014; Moshier, Szuhany, Hearon, Smits, & Otto, 2015). Theoretically, smokers with elevated anxiety

sensitivity may be unwilling to engage in physical activity due to the perceived distressing nature of the sensations evoked during exercise. In turn, such smokers may be prone to avoid exercise, thereby presenting with higher body mass and lower tolerance for exercise (or functional capacity).

Literatures also indicates that one of the strongest psychological factors associated with physical activity behaviors is self-efficacy (Sherwood & Jeffery, 2000), defined as the extent to which one believes in their ability to execute actions required to achieve specified outcomes (Bandura, 1997). Specifically, *exercise* self-efficacy, or an individual's perceived capabilities to complete a prescribed physical activity or perform a specific exercise task (McAuley, Lox, & Duncan, 1993), appears to be associated with initial engagement in physical activity routine (Blanchard et al., 2007). Increases in exercise self-efficacy are evident in exercise programs (Higgins, Middleton, Winner, & Janelle, 2014) and changes in exercise self-efficacy can affect self-efficacy for controlled eating (Annesi, 2011a,b; Annesi & Marti, 2011). Data also suggest that various affective symptoms can negatively affect exercise self-efficacy, such as depression (Clum, Rice, Broussard, Johnson, & Webber, 2014; Craft, Perna, Freund, & Culpepper, 2008; Kangar, Baldwin, Rosenfield, Smits, & Rethorst, 2015) and anxiety (Annesi, 2011c). Although exercise self-efficacy is important to physical activity and affective symptoms influence exercise self-efficacy (Clum et al., 2014), there is little empirical work examining how this cognitive process relates to the interplay between anxiety sensitivity and physical activity-relevant factors, or specifically among individuals who are also cigarette smokers. Cigarette smoking may uniquely modify (or potentially exacerbate) the expression of the relationships between anxiety sensitivity and physical inactivity given that health consequences of smoking may potentiate the salience of negative interoceptive experiences.

Drawing from this work, high anxiety sensitivity smokers may be particularly likely to avoid exercise or have low tolerance (capacity) for persisting in exercise, especially smokers who have less confidence (self-efficacy) in their ability to complete exercise activities, and may thus be at risk for obesity-relevant factors. Accordingly, among smokers, lower self-efficacy for exercise may serve as an amplifier of the deleterious effect of anxiety sensitivity on overweight/obese status and low exercise tolerance, defined as one's functional capacity for exercise measured by metabolic equivalents (METs). A theoretical heuristic is provided in Figure 1 to illustrate the proposed associations between these processes.

The current study aimed to replicate and extend previous research by (1) examining the association of anxiety sensitivity with body mass index (BMI) and exercise tolerance (METs during maximal exercise testing) among sedentary cigarette smokers and (2) examining exercise self-efficacy as a moderator of these hypothesized relations. Specifically, we expected the relations between anxiety sensitivity and both BMI and exercise tolerance, respectively, would be stronger among those with lower exercise self-efficacy as compared to those with higher levels of exercise self-efficacy.

Method

Participants

Adult daily smokers were recruited as part of a larger exercise-based smoking cessation treatment trial (Smits et al., 2015). Inclusion criteria for the parent trial included individuals between 18–65 years of age, engaging in moderate exercise < 2 days per week and 30 minutes per session, smoking an average of 10 cigarettes per day for at least 1 year, elevated anxiety sensitivity (pre-screen score of 20 on the 16-item Anxiety Sensitivity Inventory [ASI; Reiss et al., 1986]), and self-reporting motivation to quit as 5 on a 10-point scale. Exclusion criteria included: regular use of other tobacco products; medical conditions preventing exercise (including high blood pressure, blood lipid abnormalities, or BMI 40); high risk for suicide or current past psychotic disorders; pregnancy, breastfeeding, or plans to become pregnant in near future; alcohol or substance use disorder within the past 6 months; recent (within 3 months) psychotherapy for mood or anxiety disorders; or concurrent use of smoking cessation treatment. Based on these criteria, a total of 136 participants were enrolled in the parent trial.

In the larger trial, participants were randomly assigned to participate in smoking cessation treatment combined with either an exercise or wellness education intervention. The current study included screening and baseline data from only those participants randomized to the exercise condition ($n = 72$; $M_{age} = 42.2$; $SD = 11.20$), as exercise self-efficacy was only assessed among these individuals. Participants were 50.0% female and primarily identified as White (70.8%); fewer identified as Black/African American (25.0%) or other (4.2%). Marital status was reported as single (40.3%), married (27.8%), cohabitating with a partner (16.7%), or divorced/separated (15.3%). In terms of educational attainment, most participants reported completing at least some college or higher (79.2%).

Measures

Demographics Form—A demographic form was used to collect information on sex, age, race, marital status, and educational attainment.

Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND; Heatherton, Kozlowski, Frecker, & Fagerström, 1991)—The FTND is a 6-item self-report assessment of gradations in severity of physiological dependence on nicotine, on which higher scores indicate higher levels of nicotine dependence (possible range 0–10). Scores on the FTND are associated with biochemical indicators of smoking (e.g., salivary cotinine; Heatherton et al., 1991). The internal consistency of the FTND items in the current sample was relatively low (Cronbach's $\alpha = .46$), which is not uncommon for this measure (Korte, Capron, Zvolensky, & Schmidt, 2013).

Smoking History Questionnaire (SHQ; Brown, Lejuez, Kahler, & Strong, 2002)—The SHQ is a self-report assessment used to assess smoking history (e.g., onset of regular daily smoking) and pattern (e.g., number of cigarettes consumed per day), as well as quit history. In the present study, the SHQ was employed to describe the sample on smoking

history and patterns of use, and average cigarettes per day was used as a covariate in regression models.

Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 (ASI-3; Taylor et al., 2007)—The ASI-3 is an 18-item self-report measure of the possible negative consequences of somatic-related symptoms (e.g., “It scares me when my heart beats rapidly”). The ASI-3 was derived, in part, from the 16-item ASI (Reiss et al., 1986), and was used at baseline to assess anxiety sensitivity. Responses are rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*very little*) to 4 (*very much*) and summed to create a total score (possible range 0–52). The ASI-3 has strong and improved psychometric properties relative to previous measures of the construct (Reiss et al., 1986; Taylor et al., 2007). The ASI-3 items demonstrate strong psychometric properties in treatment-seeking cigarette smokers (Farris et al., 2015). Internal constancy of the ASI-3 items was excellent in the current sample (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .93$).

Exercise Self-Efficacy (ESE; Marcus, Selby, Niaura, & Rossi, 1992)—The ESE is a 5-item self-report assessment of one’s beliefs about their ability to engage in an exercise plan when busy, when with friends/family, when sore or tired, in a bad mood, or when exercise is not enjoyable. Items are rated on a scale that ranges from 1 (*not confident at all*) to 8 (*extremely confident*), with higher scores indicating greater exercise self-efficacy (possible range 5–40). The ESE has been demonstrated to differentiate participants at various stages of motivation for physical activity, and has good internal consistency ($\alpha = .82$; Marcus et al., 1992). Internal consistency of ESE items in the current study was excellent (Cronbach’s $\alpha = .91$).

Body Mass Index (BMI)—BMI was calculated based on measured weight and height as an index of body weight ($[\text{weight}(\text{lbs})/[\text{height}(\text{in})]^2 \times 703]$) per World Health Organization recommendations (WHO, 2000).

Exercise Tolerance—Metabolic equivalents (METs) were used as an index of participants’ exercise tolerance and were calculated based on participants’ performance (e.g., length of exercise, grade, and speed) during a maximal exercise test completed as part of the medical screening (Jette, Sidney, & Blümchen, 1990). The maximal exercise testing followed the Cornell protocol (Glass et al., 2007), which involves having participants walk on a treadmill, gradually increasing the speed and incline every 2 minutes for a maximum of 14 minutes. A certified exercise physiologist conducted the testing and encouraged participants to continue exercise until they reached their maximum exercise capacity.

Procedure

Treatment-seeking adult daily smokers were recruited through community advertisements in Dallas, Texas. Individuals deemed eligible participated in a medical examination including height/weight measurement and a physical examination to determine whether it was safe to engage in physical activity. Participants eligible after the medical screening were scheduled for an in-person baseline appointment within three weeks of the screening visits, during which self-report assessments were completed, including the ASI-3, ESE, and smoking-relevant measures. Screening and baseline data were used in the current analyses. Informed

consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All protocol procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board at Southern Methodist University.

Statistical Analyses

Data were first checked for assumptions of normality; no violations were detected. Next, regression analyses were used to test the moderating role of exercise self-efficacy on the relation between anxiety sensitivity and the dependent variables. Continuous predictors were mean centered prior to model entry. Analyses were conducted using PROCESS, a conditional process modeling program that utilizes an ordinary least squares-based analytical framework (Hayes, 2013). Anxiety sensitivity (ASI-3) was entered as the predictor (X) and exercise self-efficacy (ESE) was entered as the moderator (M). Participant sex and average number of cigarettes per day were entered as model covariates, based on prior work that has documented sex differences in anxiety sensitivity and physical activity-relevant variables (Hearon et al., 2014; Medina et al., 2014).

Significant effects were plotted visually using two graphical and statistical probing procedures. The uncentered variables were used to facilitate interpretation of scores. First, simple slope analyses were used to test for significant differences in the effect of the anxiety sensitivity on the criterion variables at ± 1 *SD* values of exercise self-efficacy. Second, in order to further characterize the nature of the interaction, the Johnson–Neymann (J–N) technique was utilized (per recommendations by Hayes, 2013). The J–N technique statistically identifies points in the range of the continuous moderator variable where the effect of the predictor on the criterion variable transitions from being statistically significant to non-significant. The J–N technique identifies the value of the moderator variable for which the ratio of the conditional effect to its standard error is equal to the critical *t* score.

Results

Sample Characteristics

Participants reported smoking initiation at an average age of 16.3 (*SD* = 5.83) years and smoking daily for an average of 22.5 (*SD* = 11.60) years. Moderate levels of nicotine dependence were reported per the FTND (*M* = 5.3, *SD* = 1.95) and participants reported smoking an average of 19.3 (*SD* = 10.65) cigarettes per day. Table 1 includes descriptive information and inter-correlations among study variables. While all participants were recruited on the basis of having elevated anxiety sensitivity (per the ASI) at the telephone screening (*M* = 30.8, *SD* = 8.94), baseline average score on the ASI-3 was 18.1 (*SD* = 12.40; observed range of 0–53). These data indicate that while there was no range restriction for baseline ASI-3, anxiety sensitivity did decline after the telephone assessment (see Broman-Fulks, Berman, Martin, Marsic, & Harris, 2009 for previous documentation of this phenomenon). The average BMI was in the overweight range (mean = 26.6; *SD* = 4.79; range 17.9 – 39.2) and average METs was 11.1 (*SD* = 2.58; range 3.9– 16.4). Female smokers, relative to male, had significantly lower exercise self-efficacy scores (*M* = 22.6, *SD* = 7.22 versus *M* = 27.1, *SD* = 7.80, respectively). There were no significant differences in

participant sex in terms of average number of cigarettes smoked per day, anxiety sensitivity, BMI or METS.

Of the 72 participants in the exercise condition, we were missing BMI data ($n = 3$), METs data ($n = 1$), ASI-3 ($n = 4$), smoking history ($n = 6$), and exercise self-efficacy ($n = 23$; some participants were inadvertently not given the exercise self-efficacy questionnaire to complete). Little's MCAR test was conducted and was non-significant, indicating that there is no evidence that the data are systematically biased. Hence, missing data was imputed using the expectation-maximization algorithm in SPSS 21.0. In cases in which the N is small and the proportion of missing cases is large, it is recommended that analyses be repeated both with and without the missing data imputed in order to increase confidence in the results (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2013). Thus, analyses were conducted with the imputed dataset and the non-imputed raw dataset. Results from the dataset with imputed missing data, were identical in terms of significant and non-significant findings to results from the raw, non-imputed, dataset, thus, below we report the results from the expectation-maximization data set.

Results for Body Mass Index (BMI)

Results from the multiple regression predicting BMI are displayed in Table 2. The overall model was significant ($F(5,66) = 2.93, p = .019$) and accounted for 18.2% of variance in BMI ($f^2 = 0.22$; medium to large effect). There was a significant (negative) association between cigarettes per day and BMI ($b = -.11, p = .049$). The effect of participant sex on BMI was non-significant. Results indicated that the main effects of anxiety sensitivity and exercise self-efficacy on BMI were not significant (since ASI-3 and ESE were centered at their mean, these main effects represent the average effect of each variable on BMI across the sample). However, there was a significant interaction between ASI-3 and ESE, which accounted for 5.9% of the variance in BMI ($F(1,66) = 4.73, p = .033; f^2 = 0.06$, indicating a small to medium effect size).

Figure 2 illustrates the model based estimations of the “simple slopes” (regression lines) showing the relation between anxiety sensitivity and BMI for participants at two levels of the moderator (exercise self-efficacy): ± 1 SD from the mean of ESE. The form of the interaction revealed that higher anxiety sensitivity was significantly related to higher BMI for those with lower levels of exercise self-efficacy (-1 $SD; b = .12, t = 2.02, p = .001$), but not for those with higher levels of exercise self-efficacy ($+1$ $SD; b = -.05, t = -0.78, p = .437$). Specifically, the J-N technique revealed that the conditional effect of anxiety sensitivity on BMI was significant for those with scores ≤ 17.3 on the ESE (which included 18.1% of our sample). Scores in this range on the ESE are observed among individuals who do not yet engage in exercise (i.e., earlier stage of readiness to change their exercise behavior) [40]. Thus, as exercise self-efficacy decreased, the association between anxiety sensitivity and BMI became stronger, whereas at a score above 17.3, the association between anxiety sensitivity and BMI was non-significant.

Results for Exercise Tolerance (METs)

Results from the regression model predicting METs are displayed in Table 2. The model was significant ($F(5,66) = 5.25, p = .0004$) and accounted for 28.5% of variance in METs ($f^2 = 0.40$; large effect). After adjusting for the non-significant covariate effects of participant sex and average cigarettes per day, results from the model revealed a significant negative association between anxiety sensitivity and METs on average for the sample ($b = -.05, p = .018$). Additionally, there was a significant positive association between exercise self-efficacy and METs ($b = .14, p = .0005$). The ASI-3 x ESE interaction was significant, accounting for 9.2% of the variance in METs ($F(1,66) = 8.44, p = .005; f^2 = 0.11$), indicating a small to medium effect size.

The form of the interaction is presented in Figure 3. Simple slope analysis calculating model based estimates of the relation between ASI-3 and METs indicated that, for individuals with higher levels of exercise self-efficacy, there was a non-significant relation between anxiety sensitivity and METs during the maximal exercise testing (+1 *SD*; $b = .01, t = 0.06, p = .952$). However, for those with lower levels of exercise self-efficacy, there was a significant (negative) association between anxiety sensitivity and METs during maximal exercise testing (−1 *SD*; $b = -.11, t = -3.61, p = .0006$; see Figure 3), indicating that higher anxiety sensitivity was associated with lower METs. The J-N analysis showed that the relation between anxiety sensitivity and METs was significant for individuals with ESE scores 26.2, and that the negative relation was stronger for those with lower levels of ESE; 52.8% of our sample had ESE scores below 26.2. ESE scores in this range are typically observed among individuals who are just starting to exercise [40]. The relation between anxiety sensitivity and METs was not significant for individuals with ESE scores over 26.2.

Discussion

The current study examined the association between anxiety sensitivity and two physical activity-relevant variables (BMI and exercise tolerance measured by METs) among sedentary cigarette smokers, and the moderating role of exercise self-efficacy. Consistent with expectation, exercise self-efficacy significantly moderated the association between anxiety sensitivity with BMI and exercise tolerance, suggesting that these relations were specific to individuals with low to moderate levels of exercise self-efficacy. Specifically, the association between anxiety sensitivity and BMI was significant only when smokers had exercise self-efficacy scores that reflected the lack of confidence to initiate exercise (e.g., “I am *not confident at all* that I can participate in regular exercise when ‘I am in a bad mood’ or ‘I am tired’”). Thus, anxiety sensitivity may be more strongly linked to BMI among smokers with low levels of exercise self-efficacy – potentially indicative of a high risk group of smokers who may be likely to avoid exercise. In contrast, having low to even moderate confidence for engaging in exercise was associated with a stronger link between anxiety sensitivity and exercise tolerance. As such, even among smokers who held some confidence for exercise, anxiety sensitivity appeared to be strongly associated with low exercise tolerance. The current findings suggest that increasing exercise self-efficacy for those with low to moderate self-efficacy, and facilitating reductions in anxiety sensitivity, could increase engagement in physical activity among overweight smokers. Indeed, clinically

addressing exercise self-efficacy and anxiety sensitivity may be important in terms of smoking cessation and weight management.

It is worth commenting on the reduction in anxiety sensitivity from the telephone assessment (per the ASI) to the baseline assessment (per the ASI-3). While anxiety sensitivity is conceptualized as a dispositional (trait-like) construct (Reiss et al., 1986), several studies have documented that assessment conditions influence level of reported anxiety sensitivity (e.g., Broman-Fulks et al., 2009; Maltby, Mayers, Allen, & Tolin, 2005). However, data indicate that reductions in anxiety sensitivity scores appear to only occur between the initial and subsequent assessment administrations, and are thereafter stable (Marsic, Broman-Faulks, & Bermna, 2011).

A number of other findings warrant comment. First, findings indicated that anxiety sensitivity was directly associated with lower exercise tolerance, measured via METs during maximal exercise testing. This is consistent with studies that have found that anxiety sensitivity is associated with physical inactivity (Hearon et al., 2014; Smits et al., 2010; Moshier et al., 2015), and may indicate that smokers high in anxiety sensitivity may be more avoidant of experiences that elicit interoceptive distress (Leventhal & Zvolensky, 2015; Smits, Berry, Tart, & Powers, 2008). Additionally, there was an association between exercise self-efficacy and tolerance for exercise. This finding is consistent with prior work that has found that higher levels of exercise self-efficacy are related to engagement in physical activity (Higgins et al., 2014). In contrast, current findings indicated that anxiety sensitivity was not directly associated with BMI among smokers. This finding is contrary to prior research among non-smokers that has found that anxiety sensitivity is related to higher body weight and maladaptive eating (Hearon et al., 2013), although consistent with other work that found a non-significant association between body weight and anxiety sensitivity (Smits et al., 2010). Additionally, there was no main effect of exercise self-efficacy on BMI, which is somewhat surprising given some work has indicated that lower exercise self-efficacy is associated with higher BMI and waist circumference (Clum et al., 2014; Konttinen et al., 2010) and higher exercise self-efficacy is predictive of weight loss and weight loss maintenance (Teixeira et al., 2010). Exercise self-efficacy is posited to be linked with weight loss via several pathways, including increased overall general confidence in ability to manage weight (Teixeira, Going, Sardinha, & Lohman, 2005), transference from self-regulation of one behavior (exercise) to another behavior (eating; Mata et al., 2009), and/or greater commitment and compliance to an established dietary plan (Annesi & Unruh, 2008). However, nicotine may importantly alter the nature of BMI and cognitive-affective processes among smokers. For example, some data suggest that smokers tend to weigh less due to the appetite suppression and increased energy expenditure associated with nicotine (Hofstetter, Schutz, Jéquier, & Wahren, 1986). It is possible that there may be other more potent emotional vulnerability processes (e.g., inflexibly responding to smoking-related somatic distress) that may contribute to overweight/obese BMI among smokers (Farris, Zvolensky, Robles, & Schmidt, 2015). Moreover, during exercise, there may be other experiences (e.g., physical discomfort) that override the influence of exercise self-efficacy (Smits et al., 2010), that more directly impact BMI among smokers. More work is needed to further understand bio-psychological processes linked to smoking and obesity.

It is worth commenting on the reduction in anxiety sensitivity from the telephone assessment (per the ASI) to the baseline assessment (per the ASI-3). While anxiety sensitivity is conceptualized as a dispositional (trait-like) construct (Reiss et al., 1986), several intervention studies have documented this decline in anxiety sensitivity between screen and baseline (e.g., Broman-Fulks et al., 2009; Maltby, Mayers, Allen, & Tolin, 2005). Subsequent studies have shown that this decline is specific to the first two administrations (i.e., scores are stable thereafter), suggesting that inclusion of high anxiety sensitivity smokers in future studies should require positive screens on two administrations (Marsic, Broman-Faulks, & Bermna, 2011; Smits et al., 2008).

There are a number of study caveats. First, the current data were cross-sectional in nature. Therefore, it is unknown how changes in self-efficacy for exercise or anxiety sensitivity affect BMI or exercise tolerance over time. Second, the sample consisted of sedentary, treatment-seeking smokers who were included in a larger study, based on certain characteristics (e.g., self-reported motivation to quit smoking and willingness to enroll in an exercise-based intervention). Thus, the extent to which these characteristics are representative of the larger population of treatment-seeking smokers is unknown. Third, smokers with BMI ≥ 40 were not included in the sample (due to exclusion criteria for parent study). This selection criterion naturally limits generalizability of these findings; however, a BMI of 25 – 29.9 is considered overweight and BMI of 30 or higher is in the obesity range (CDCP, 2015). Accordingly, 55.8% of our sample was in the overweight/obese range. However, the restricted range in BMI may have affected the observed range of scores on the ESE or METs. Fourth, the sample was relatively small in size; while medium to large effect sizes were observed, replication of these findings would bolster confidence in the observed findings. Fourth, two obesity-relevant variables were modeled (BMI and exercise tolerance), however other relevant variables were not (e.g., maladaptive eating behavior; Clum et al., 2014; Craft et al., 2008). Examining a comprehensive model of psychological factors that contribute to smoking-obesity relation is required.

Overall, the present data suggest that there may be clinical utility in addressing exercise self-efficacy among high anxiety sensitivity smokers to help address BMI and exercise tolerance. Future work is also needed to identify the mechanisms explaining the observed effects. It may be possible that among high anxiety sensitive smokers, increasing exercise self-efficacy could be related to greater willingness to engage in physical activities that may elicit discomfort or reduce reactivity to negative affective states, indirectly affecting indicators of health such as BMI and exercise tolerance.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by an R01 grant (R01DA027533) from the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA). The parent clinical trial is registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01065506). The funding source had no other role other than financial support. Ms. Farris is supported by pre-doctoral National Research Service Award (F31-DA035564).

References

Abrantes AM, Bloom EL, Strong DR, Riebe D, Marcus BH, Desaulniers J, ... Brown RA. A preliminary randomized controlled trial of a behavioral exercise intervention for smoking cessation.

- Nicotine & Tobacco Research. 2014; 16(8):1094–1103. DOI: 10.1093/ntr/ntu036 [PubMed: 24812023]
- Annesi JJ. Moderation of participant characteristics in the relationships of changes in self-regulation for exercise with self-regulation for controlled eating, and self-efficacy for exercise with self-efficacy for controlled eating. *Psychological Reports*. 2011a; 108(1):329–337. DOI: 10.2466/06.13.20.PR0.108.1.329-337 [PubMed: 21526616]
- Annesi JJ. Behaviorally supported exercise predicts weight loss in obese adults through improvements in mood, self-efficacy, and self-regulation, rather than by caloric expenditure. *The Permanente Journal*. 2011b; 15(1):23–27.
- Annesi JJ. Moderation of fatigue and stress in the carry-over of self-regulation and self-efficacy for exercise to self-regulation and self-efficacy for managed eating. *Psychology*. 2011c; 2(7):694–699. DOI: 10.4236/psych.2011.27106
- Annesi JJ, Marti CN. Path analysis of exercise treatment-induced changes in psychological factors leading to weight loss. *Psychology & Health*. 2011; 26(8):1081–1098. DOI: 10.1080/08870446.2010.534167 [PubMed: 21780982]
- Annesi JJ, Unruh JL. Relations of exercise, self-appraisal, mood changes and weight loss in obese women: Testing propositions based on Baker and Brownell's 2000 model. *The American Journal of Medical Sciences*. 2008; 335:198–204.
- Bandura, A. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. New York: Freeman; 1997.
- Blanchard CM, Fortier M, Sweet S, O'Sullivan T, Hogg W, Reid RD, Sigal RJ. Explaining physical activity levels from a self-efficacy perspective: The physical activity counseling trial. *Annals Of Behavioral Medicine*. 2007; 34(3):323–328. DOI: 10.1007/BF02874557 [PubMed: 18020942]
- Broman-Fulks JJ, Berman ME, Martin HM, Marsic A, Harris JA. Phenomenon of declining anxiety sensitivity scores: a controlled investigation. *Depression & Anxiety*. 2009; 26:E1–9. [PubMed: 18956473]
- Brown RA, Lejuez CW, Kahler CW, Strong DR. Distress tolerance and duration of past smoking cessation attempts. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 2002; 111(1):180–185. DOI: 10.1037/0021-843X.111.1.180 [PubMed: 11866171]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDCP]. [Accessed 18 Sep 2015] Assessing your weight—About adult BMI. 2015. http://www.cdc.gov/healthyweight/assessing/bmi/adult_bmi/index.html
- Clum GA, Rice JC, Broussard M, Johnson CC, Webber LS. Associations between depressive symptoms, self-efficacy, eating styles, exercise and body mass index in women. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2014; 37(4):577–586. DOI: 10.1007/s10865-013-9526-5 [PubMed: 23934179]
- Craft LL, Perna FM, Freund KM, Culpepper L. Psychosocial correlates of exercise in women with self-reported depressive symptoms. *Journal of Physical Activity & Health*. 2008; 5(3):469–480. [PubMed: 18579923]
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDCP]. Current cigarette smoking among adults — United States, 2005–2013. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*. 2014; 63(47):1108–1112. [PubMed: 25426653]
- Freedman DM, Sigurdson AJ, Rajaraman P, Doody MM, Linet MS, Ron E. The Mortality Risk of Smoking and Obesity Combined. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*. 2006; 31(5):355–362. DOI: 10.1016/j.amepre.2006.07.022 [PubMed: 17046405]
- Ekkkekakis P, Lind E. Exercise does not feel the same when you are overweight: The impact of self-selected and imposed intensity on affect and exertion. *International Journal Of Obesity*. 2006; 30(4):652–660. DOI: 10.1038/sj.ijo.0803052 [PubMed: 16130028]
- Farris SG, DiBello AM, Allan NP, Hogan J, Schmidt NB, Zvolensky MJ. Evaluation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3 among treatment-seeking smokers. *Psychological Assessment*. 2015; 27(3): 1123–1128. DOI: 10.1037/pas0000112 [PubMed: 25894700]
- Farris SG, Zvolensky MJ, Robles Z, Schmidt NB. Examining substance use and affective processes as multivariate risk factors associated with overweight body mass among treatment-seeking smokers. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*. 2015; 20(7):846–857. DOI: 10.1080/13548506.2014.963129
- Fletcher G. Physical inactivity as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease. *The American Journal of Medicine*. 1999; 107(2):10–11.

- Glass, S.; Dwyer, GB. American College of Sports Medicine. ACSM's metabolic calculations handbook. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2007.
- Hayes, AF. Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach. New York: Guilford Press; 2013.
- Hearon BA, Utschig AC, Smits JJ, Moshier SJ, Otto MW. The role of anxiety sensitivity and eating expectancy in maladaptive eating behavior. *Cognitive Therapy And Research*. 2013; 37(5):923–933. DOI: 10.1007/s10608-012-9491-2
- Hearon BA, Quatromoni PA, Mascoop JL, Otto MW. The role of anxiety sensitivity in daily physical activity and eating behavior. *Eating Behaviors*. 2014; 15(2):255–258. DOI: 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2014.03.007 [PubMed: 24854814]
- Heatherton TF, Kozlowski LT, Frecker RC, Fagerström K. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: A revision of the Fagerström Tolerance Questionnaire. *British Journal Of Addiction*. 1991; 86(9):1119–1127. DOI: 10.1111/j.1360-0443.1991.tb01879.x [PubMed: 1932883]
- Higgins TJ, Middleton KR, Winner L, Janelle CM. Physical activity interventions differentially affect exercise task and barrier self-efficacy: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychology*. 2014; 33(8):891–903. DOI: 10.1037/a0033864 [PubMed: 23957904]
- Hofstetter A, Schutz Y, Jéquier E, Wahren J. Increased 24-hour energy expenditure in cigarette smokers. *The New England Journal of Medicine*. 1986; 314(2):79–82. DOI: 10.1056/NEJM198601093140204 [PubMed: 3941694]
- Jette M, Sidney K, Blümchen G. Metabolic equivalents (METs) in exercise testing, exercise prescription, and evaluation of functional capacity. *Clinical Cardiology*. 1990; 13(8):555–565. [PubMed: 2204507]
- Kangas JL, Baldwin AS, Rosenfield D, Smits JJ, Rethorst CD. Examining the moderating effect of depressive symptoms on the relation between exercise and self-efficacy during the initiation of regular exercise. *Health Psychology*. 2015; 34(5):556–565. DOI: 10.1037/hea0000142 [PubMed: 25110850]
- Kontinen H, Silventoinen K, Sarlio-Lähteenkorva S, Männistö S, Haukka A. Emotional eating and physical activity self-efficacy as pathways in the association between depressive symptoms and adiposity indicators. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 2010; 92(5):1031–9. DOI: 10.3945/ajcn.2010.29732 [PubMed: 20861176]
- Korhonen T, Goodwin A, Miesmaa P, Dupuis EA, Kinnunen T. Smoking cessation program with exercise improves cardiovascular disease biomarkers in sedentary women. *Journal of Women's Health*. 2011; 20(7):1051–1064. DOI: 10.1089/jwh.2010.2075
- Korte KJ, Capron DW, Zvolensky M, Schmidt NB. The Fagerström Test for Nicotine Dependence: Do revisions in the item scoring enhance the psychometric properties? *Addictive Behaviors*. 2013; 38(3):1757–1763. DOI: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.10.013 [PubMed: 23254226]
- Leventhal AM, Zvolensky MJ. Anxiety, depression, and cigarette smoking: A transdiagnostic vulnerability framework to understanding emotion–smoking comorbidity. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2015; 141(1):176–212. DOI: 10.1037/bul0000003 [PubMed: 25365764]
- Maddison R, Roberts V, McRobbie H, Bullen C, Prapavessis H, Glover M, ... Tsai M. Exercise counseling to enhance smoking cessation outcomes: The Fit2Quit randomized controlled trial. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. 2014; 48(2):194–204. DOI: 10.1007/s12160-014-9588-9 [PubMed: 24604528]
- Maltby N, Mayers MF, Allen GJ, Tolin DF. Anxiety sensitivity: Stability in prospective research. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*. 2005; 19(6):708–716. DOI: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2004.08.003 [PubMed: 15927783]
- Marcus BH, Forsyth LH, Stone EJ, Dubbert PM, McKenzie TL, Dunn AL, Blair SN. Physical activity behavior change: Issues in adoption and maintenance. *Health Psychology*. 2000; 19(1 Suppl):32–41. DOI: 10.1037/0278-6133.19.Suppl.1.32 [PubMed: 10709946]
- Marcus BH, Lewis BA, Hogan J, King TK, Albrecht AE, Bock B, ... Abrams DB. The efficacy of moderate-intensity exercise as an aid for smoking cessation in women: A randomized controlled trial. *Nicotine & Tobacco Research*. 2005; 7(6):871–880. DOI: 10.1080/14622200500266056 [PubMed: 16298722]

- Marcus BH, Selby VC, Niaura RS, Rossi JS. Self-efficacy and the stages of exercise behavior change. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*. 1992; 63(1):60–66. [PubMed: 1574662]
- Marsic A, Broman-Fulks JJ, Berman ME. The effects of measurement frequency and timing on anxiety sensitivity scores. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*. 2011; 35(5):463–468. DOI: 10.1007/s10608-010-9321-3
- Mata J, Silva MN, Vieira PN, Carraça EV, Andrade AM, Coutinho SR, ... Teixeira PJ. Motivational ‘spill-over’ during weight control: Increased self-determination and exercise intrinsic motivation predict eating self-regulation. *Health Psychology*. 2009; 28(6):709–716. DOI: 10.1037/a0016764 [PubMed: 19916639]
- McAuley E, Lox C, Duncan TE. Long-term maintenance of exercise, self-efficacy, and physiological change in older adults. *Journal Of Gerontology*. 1993; 48(4):P218–P224. DOI: 10.1093/geronj/48.4.P218 [PubMed: 8315239]
- Medina JL, DeBoer LB, Davis ML, Rosenfield D, Powers MB, Otto MW, Smits JA. Gender moderates the effect of exercise on anxiety sensitivity. *Mental Health and Physical Activity*. 2014; 7(3):147–151. DOI: 10.1016/j.mhpa.2014.08.002 [PubMed: 26617672]
- Moshier SJ, Szuhany KL, Hearon BA, Smits JA, Otto MW. Anxiety sensitivity uniquely predicts exercise behaviors in young adults seeking to increase physical activity. *Behavior Modification*. 2015 Epub ahead of print.
- Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, Flegal KM. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. *JAMA: Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2014; 311(8):806–814. [PubMed: 24570244]
- Olatunji BO, Wolitzky-Taylor KB. Anxiety sensitivity and the anxiety disorders: A meta-analytic review and synthesis. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2009; 135(6):974–999. DOI: 10.1037/a0017428 [PubMed: 19883144]
- Prospective Studies Collaboration et al. Body-mass index and cause-specific mortality in 900,000 adults: Collaborative analyses of 57 prospective studies. *The Lancet*. 2009; 373(9669):1083–1096. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60318-4
- Reiss S, Peterson RA, Gursky DM, McNally RJ. Anxiety sensitivity, anxiety frequency and the predictions of fearfulness. *Behaviour Research And Therapy*. 1986; 24(1):1–8. DOI: 10.1016/0005-7967(86)90143-9 [PubMed: 3947307]
- Sherwood NE, Jeffery RW. The behavioral determinants of exercise: Implications for physical activity interventions. *Annual Review of Nutrition*. 2000; 20(1):21–44. DOI: 10.1146/annurev.nutr.20.1.21
- Smits JJ, Berry AC, Rosenfield D, Powers MB, Behar E, Otto MW. Reducing anxiety sensitivity with exercise. *Depression & Anxiety*. 2008; 25(8):689–699. DOI: 10.1002/da.20411 [PubMed: 18729145]
- Smits JJ, Berry AC, Tart CD, Powers MB. The efficacy of cognitive-behavioral interventions for reducing anxiety sensitivity: A meta-analytic review. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*. 2008; 46(9):1047–1054. DOI: 10.1016/j.brat.2008.06.010 [PubMed: 18687421]
- Smits JJ, Tart CD, Presnell K, Rosenfield D, Otto MW. Identifying potential barriers to physical activity adherence: Anxiety sensitivity and body mass as predictors of fear during exercise. *Cognitive Behaviour Therapy*. 2010; 39(1):28–36. DOI: 10.1080/16506070902915261 [PubMed: 19675961]
- Smits JA, Zvolensky MJ, Davis ML, Rosenfield D, Marcus BH, Church TS, ... Baird SO. The efficacy of vigorous-intensity exercise as an aid to smoking cessation in adults with high anxiety sensitivity: A Randomized controlled trial. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2015 Epub ahead of print.
- Tabachnick, B.; Fidell, L. Using multivariate statistics. 6. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon/Pearson Education; 2013. p. 71
- Taylor S, Zvolensky MJ, Cox BJ, Deacon B, Heimberg RG, Ledley DR, ... Cardenas SJ. Robust dimensions of anxiety sensitivity: Development and initial validation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3. *Psychological Assessment*. 2007; 19(2):176–188. DOI: 10.1037/1040-3590.19.2.176 [PubMed: 17563199]
- Teixeira PJ, Going SB, Sardinha LB, Lohman TG. A review of psychosocial pre-treatment predictors of weight control. *Obesity Reviews*. 2005; 6:43–65. [PubMed: 15655038]

- Teixeira PJ, Silva MN, Coutinho SR, Palmeira AL, Mata J, Vieira PN, et al. Mediators of weight loss and weight loss maintenance in middle-aged women. *Obesity*. 2010; 18(4):725–735. [PubMed: 19696752]
- United States Department of Health & Human Services [USDHHS]. Physical activity and health: a report of the Surgeon General. Georgia: DIANE Publishing; 1996.
- World Health Organization [WHO]. [Accessed 18 Sep 2015] Obesity and overweight. 2015. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>
- World Health Organization [WHO]. [Accessed 18 Sep 2015] WHO report on the global tobacco epidemic, 2015: Raising taxes on tobacco. 2015. <http://escholarship.org/uc/item/1fh1f32m>
- World Health Organization [WHO]. Obesity: Preventing and managing the global epidemic. Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2000.

Highlights

- Higher anxiety sensitivity is associated with lower exercise tolerance in smokers
- Self-efficacy about ability to engage in exercise is an important cognitive process related to exercise tolerance
- Sensitivity to internal distress states may contribute to physical inactivity, especially when exercise self-efficacy is low.

Figure 1.

Heuristic of theoretical associations

Note: Smoking may directly serve as a vulnerability factor at several points. The current study tests two processes related to physical inactivity – BMI and exercise tolerance.

Figure 2.
Conditional association between Anxiety Sensitivity and BMI at different levels of Exercise Self-Efficacy.

Figure 3.
Conditional association between Anxiety Sensitivity and Exercise Tolerance via Exercise Self-Efficacy.

Table 1

Bivariate (zero-order) correlations

Variables	1	2	3	4	5
1. Cigarettes/day ^a	--	.25 [*]	.20	-.29 [*]	.10
2. Anxiety Sensitivity ^b		--	.12	-.02	-.18
3. Exercise Self-Efficacy ^c			--	-.16	.36 ^{**}
4. Body Mass Index (BMI) ^d				--	-.40 ^{**}
5. Exercise Tolerance (METs) ^e					--
Mean/N	19.4	18.1	24.9	26.6	11.1
SD	10.23	12.40	7.80	4.79	2.58

**
p<.05;

*
p<.01;

^aCigarettes/day (Smoking History Questionnaire; item 5);

^bESE-Total (Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale –Total score);

^cASI-3 Total (Anxiety Sensitivity Index -3 Total score);

^dBMI (Body Mass Index) - criterion variable;

^eMETs (Exercise Tolerance) - criterion variable.

Table 2

Moderation Results

Criterion Variable: BMI ^g	R ²	b ^c	se	t	p
Sex ^d	.182 ^a	-1.31	1.13	-1.16	.251
Cigarettes/Day		-.11	.06	-2.01	.049
ASI-3 ^f		.04	.04	0.87	.387
ESE ^e		-.12	.07	-1.66	.102
ASI-3 × ESE	.059 ^{b*}	-.01	.01	-2.18	.033

Criterion Variable: METs ^h	R ²	b ^c	se	t	P
Sex ^d	.285 ^a	-.44	.57	-0.77	.447
Cigarettes/Day		.01	.03	.001	.994
ASI-3 Total ^e		-.05	.02	-2.42	.018
ESE-Total ^f		.14	.04	3.69	< .001
ASI-3 × ESE	.092 ^{b**}	.01	.01	2.91	.005

* p<.05;
** p<.01;
^aTotal model R²;
^bR² increase due to interaction;
^cb = unstandardized effect;
^dSex (coded 0 = male, 1 = female);
^eASI-3 (Anxiety Sensitivity Index -3 Total score);
^fESE (Exercise Self-Efficacy Scale –Total score);
^gBMI (Body Mass Index) – criterion variable;
^hMETs (Exercise Tolerance) - criterion variable.