Published in final edited form as:

Chemphyschem. 2014 February 24; 15(3): 436–443. doi:10.1002/cphc.201300857.

Heteronuclear Cross-Relaxation Effects in the NMR Spectroscopy of Hyperpolarized Targets

Kevin J. Donovan, Adonis Lupulescu, and Lucio Frydman*

Chemical Physics Department, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Abstract

Dissolution DNP enables high-sensitivity solution phase NMR experiments on long-lived nuclear spin species such as ^{15}N and ^{13}C . This report explores certain features arising in solution-state ^1H NMR, upon polarizing low- γ nuclear species. Following solid state hyperpolarization of both ^{13}C and ^1H , solution-phase ^1H NMR experiments on dissolved samples revealed transient effects whereby peaks arising from protons bonded to the naturally-occurring ^{13}C nuclei, appeared larger than the typically dominant ^{12}C -bonded ^1H resonances. This enhancement of the satellite-peaks was examined in detail, with respect to a variety of mechanisms that could potentially originate it. Both two- and three-spin phenomena active in the solid state could lead to this kind of effect; still, experimental observations revealed that the enhancement originates from $^{13}\text{C} \rightarrow ^1\text{H}$ polarization transfer processes active in the liquid state. Kinetic equations based on modified heteronuclear cross-relaxation models were examined, and found to describe well the distinct patterns of growth and decay shown by the ^{13}C -bound ^1H NMR satellite resonances. The dynamics of these novel cross-relaxation phenomena were determined, and their potential usefulness as tools for investigating hyperpolarized ensembles and for obtaining enhanced-sensitivity ^1H NMR traces, is explored.

Keywords

NMR spectroscopy; Nuclear Hyperpolarization; Polarization Transfer; Satellite-peak Enhancement

1 Introduction

Dissolution dynamic nuclear polarization (dDNP, [1]) is a promising method to increase sensitivity in nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and imaging (MRI). Orders-of-magnitude enhancements can be delivered by dDNP for solution samples, depending on the targeted nucleus and on the kind of observed system. Maximal post-dissolution enhancements are usually achieved by carrying out the high-field cryogenic hyperpolarization on slowly-relaxing nuclei, like those arising in the non-protonated sites of small- or medium-sized molecules. For larger biomolecules and/or when targeting rapidly relaxing sites such as ^1H s the cryogenic spin alignment is also high; but the rapid relaxation experienced by the spins during the time elapsed between the sample dissolution and the

* lucio.frydman@weizmann.ac.il, +972-8-934-4903.

high-resolution liquid-phase spectral acquisition, can rob the experiment from much of its usefulness. Several efforts have been proposed to surmount this problem, including storing magnetization as singlet states [2–5], and modifying the hardware to minimize the phase in which the sample travels between the polarizing and the NMR fields [6]. Additional improvements in the sample melting and injection procedures, have recently enabled the dDNP NMR of biomolecules [7]. Despite these advances, dissolution DNP has been mostly confined to ^{13}C sites in small molecules with relatively long longitudinal relaxation times [8–13].

A feature of the dissolution DNP process rests in the fact that, prior to the dissolution and transfer step, the sample is maintained at cryogenic conditions. Under these conditions the nuclear T_1 s can become exceedingly long – minutes to hours – both for dilute and abundant nuclei. Given these long relaxation times the possibility arises to polarize multiple nuclei in a given a sample –by relying on simultaneous thermal mixing if electron linewidths are comparable to the nuclear Larmor frequencies [14], or by targeting multiple DNP conditions if these profiles are characterized by resolved solid effects. In this latter instance one could hyperpolarize first ^{13}C nuclei by irradiating the polarizing radical off-resonance by the ^{13}C Larmor frequency offset, and then switching the microwave pumping to protons by shifting this offset by the ^1H Larmor frequency. Given the relatively long cryogenic T_1 times of the ^{13}C (hours) *vis-à-vis* the ^1H DNP build-up times (minutes), no significant fraction of the previously created ^{13}C hyperpolarization would be lost. This study explores some of the features that arise when high-resolution liquid state spectra are collected on a sample where nuclei were polarized in this manner prior to the dissolution step. It was found that suitable hyperpolarization conditions can then lead to unusual liquid-state ^1H NMR spectra, displaying intense peaks resulting from the ^{13}C -bonded protons (the “satellite peaks”). Furthermore, these conditions led to enhanced ^1H resonances persisting for times that exceeded the ^1H T_1 relaxation times of the targeted molecules in solution. A number of potential mechanisms that could lead to such behavior were examined; the leading cause was identified as cross-relaxation effects in solution. Potential avenues for exploiting these effects to polarize rapidly relaxing nuclei like ^1H s in solutions, are put forward.

2 Materials and Methods

Dissolutions were performed using two different Oxford Instruments Hypersense[®] polarizers. NMR spectra were acquired using a 500 MHz Varian spectrometer and a 400 MHz Bruker spectrometer, on conventional 5 mm NMR double-resonance probes. Time-dependent post-dissolution ^{13}C and ^1H acquisitions were collected in a rapid and interleaved succession on the 500 MHz spectrometer; conventional 1D ^1H NMR series were collected at 400 MHz. BDPA (Sigma-Aldrich) was used as polarizing agent in all samples, at a 40 mM concentration. Pyridine and quinoline were mixed in equal proportions with d_6 -DMSO to create their respective glassy matrices at low temperatures; toluene was hyperpolarized undiluted as it self-glasses. All dissolutions were performed with approximately 4 mL methanol as the solvent that rapidly melted and subsequently transferred the sample. Samples were polarized either at the full (≈ 180 mW) or half (≈ 100 mW) the power of the microwave unit. Simulations and fits were performed using Matlab[®] 7.10 (The Mathworks)

and Mathematica (Wolfram). All spectra are displayed in reference to the transmitter offsets used for their respective acquisitions.

3 Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the basic phenomenon examined in this study, using pyridine as a prototypical organic dDNP target. Shown in panel (a) is the conventional 1D ^1H NMR thermal spectrum. Panels (b) and (c) show dissolution counterparts arising upon preceding the NMR by DNP polarization at $-\omega_{\text{H}}$ and $+\omega_{\text{H}}$ offsets away from the central ω_{e} Larmor frequency of the polarizing radical. Given the relatively inefficient solid-effect process driving DNP for the B_0 field and for the radical used, the recording of opposite-phased resonances showing $\approx 10\times$ enhancement vis-à-vis the thermal counterpart, is as expected. Less intuitive are the 1D ^1H NMR spectra in panels (d) and (e), arising from acquisitions where the $\omega_{\text{e}} \pm \omega_{\text{H}}$ irradiation of the previous two experiments, were preceded by ca. 3 hours of microwave irradiation at the ^{13}C $\omega_{\text{e}} + \omega_{\text{C}}$ solid-effect conditions. Notice that in addition to the expected center band behavior, these traces show the appearance of previously unobserved peaks with a phase-invariant behavior.

The appearance of the new peaks in Figs. 1d, 1e is not unique to pyridine. Figure 2 evidences their appearances in two additional simple compounds: toluene and quinoline. Although peak crowding in the 1D ^1H NMR spectrum of these compounds obscures somewhat the appearance of these new resonances, the difference between the traces arising after polarizing solely the ^1H s vs the results after subsequently polarizing the ^{13}C and the ^1H spins, is clear.

The central role played by the ^{13}C in the generation of these unusual ^1H resonances is hinted by the latter invariance vis-à-vis the ^{13}C hyperpolarizing conditions (Figure 1). The role played by the ^{13}C can be further appreciated from Figure 3, which illustrates the time-progression of dDNP ^1H spectra acquired following polarization at the $\omega_{\text{e}} + \omega_{\text{C}}$ (3b) and $\omega_{\text{e}} - \omega_{\text{H}}$ (3c) conditions, respectively. These small-tip-angle time series, as well as the more extensive ones included in animated formats as Supplementary Material, evidence the initial growth and subsequent decay of the anomalous peaks when pumping the ^{13}C DNP; by contrast, the ^{13}C -bound proton peaks mimic the mono-exponential decay of the ^{12}C -bound centerbands if only the ^1H s are pumped. Moreover, both in their resonance positions and in their intensities the anomalous peaks eventually decay into the ^{13}C -bound proton satellites – providing confirmation regarding their molecular origin.

The differences observed upon polarizing just the ^1H s under cryogenic conditions vs the ^1H traces observed upon hyperpolarizing the heteronuclei, suggest the onset of a multi-spin process that differentially affect ^1H s bonded to carbon-12 and carbon-13. Such processes are known to arise upon executing cryogenic DNP experiments in the solid state [14, 15]; this is further evidenced in the microwave frequency sweep shown in Figure 4, reflecting the ^{13}C polarization detected in the solid state for a pyruvic acid sample. Noticeable beyond the dominant double- and zero-quantum solid-effect peaks at $\omega_{\text{e}} \pm \omega_{\text{C}}$, are minor resonant transfer phenomena aligning the ^{13}C bulk magnetizations with opposite signs of polarization at microwave frequencies $\omega_{\text{e}} \pm \omega_{\text{H}} \pm \omega_{\text{C}}/2$, that derive from simultaneous solid- and cross-

effects [16]. A possible source of anomalous ^1H hyperpolarization could thus involve multiple forbidden transitions between all three spins; a double solid effect of the kind first described by de Boer [17]. To evaluate whether these effects could be relevant to the experiments in Figures 1 and 2, we consider an electron S coupled simultaneously to a ^1H and to a ^{13}C nucleus through hyperfine/dipole interactions, and subjected to microwave irradiation. The relevant Hamiltonian is then

$$\mathcal{H} = \underbrace{\omega_e S_z + \omega_H H_z + \omega_C C_z}_{\mathcal{H}_z} + \underbrace{A_{z+}^{\text{SH}} S_z H_+ + A_{z-}^{\text{SC}} S_z C_+ + \dots}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{HF}}} + \underbrace{\omega_{\text{MW}} \cos(\omega t) S_x}_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{MW}}} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_z$ describes the Zeeman coupling to the external magnetic field, $\mathcal{H}_{\text{HF}}$ accounts for the hyperfine/dipole interactions, and $\mathcal{H}_{\text{MW}}$ represents the coupling to the oscillating field acting during the DNP process. The hyperfine interaction will impart small corrections to the Zeeman eigenstates; a detailed analysis shows that if the electron has significant hyperfine couplings to both ^1H and ^{13}C nuclei, second order corrections to the Zeeman eigenstates may enable microwave-driven transitions between energy levels separated this time by $\omega_e \pm \omega_H \pm \omega_C$. Such transitions may in principle lead to enhanced polarization transfers to ^1H nuclei that are in close proximity to ^{13}Cs . However, since these three-spin phenomena are made possible by second order corrections to the energy eigenstates, their associated transition rates must be significantly smaller than the rates responsible for the “normal” solid-effect process. A simple estimation of the ratio between the first-order transition rates enabling the two-spin solid effect, and the second-order rates enabling these three-spin phenomena, gives

$$\left(\frac{\omega_{\text{MW}} A_{z+}^{\text{SH}}}{\omega_H} \right)^2 / \left(\frac{\omega_{\text{MW}} A_{z+}^{\text{SH}} A_{z+}^{\text{SC}}}{\omega_H \omega_C} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\omega_C}{A_{z+}^{\text{SC}}} \right)^2 \cong 1000 \quad (2)$$

with $\omega_C/2\pi = 35$ MHz and $A_{z+}^{\text{SC}}/2\pi \cong 1$ MHz taken as typical values. Such ratio between rates clearly appears too small to lead to the significant ^1H enhancements in Figures 1 and 2, exceeding the conventional solid DNP effects of the ^1H centerbands by two orders of magnitude. Likewise, the emergence of hybrid solid- / cross-effects among the three spins by irradiation at $\omega_e \pm \omega_H \pm \omega_C/2$ would fail, by themselves, to account for the entirety of these phenomena.

Another phenomenon that could be relevant in these multi-nuclear DNP effects, rests on the truncated ^1H - ^1H spin diffusion that will arise upon replacing a carbon-12 with a ^{13}C : the dipolar coupling among bonded ^{13}C and ^1H spins could truncate ^1H - ^1H spin-diffusion effects, and by doing so “enrich” the polarization of the ^{13}C -bonded sites. In an effort to explore this effect a number of simulations were performed on multiple-spin ^{13}C - ^1H - $(^1\text{H})_4$ systems with active nuclear dipole-dipole couplings, and compared against counterparts which lacked the ^{13}C species. In such calculations the initial source of polarization was presumed to be at the carbon-bonded proton, and its transfer of polarization to the remaining “bulk” was examined. The plots illustrated in Figure 5, suggest that an additional ^{13}C -

dipolar coupling may indeed lead to an enhanced polarization on the bonded proton. This phenomenon alone, however, cannot explain the multi-fold enhancement of the spectral ^1H satellite patterns observed in the liquid state.

It follows from these arguments that while unusual multi-spin processes may occur during cryogenic hyperpolarization, the relative contributions of these mechanisms cannot by themselves explain the results in Figures 1 and 2. With this as premise we focus on heteronuclear cross-relaxation processes happening once the sample has been melted and transferred into high fields, as the main cause of the anomalous ^1H peaks. To describe the behavior of protons acted by heteronuclear cross-relaxation effects in a ^{13}C -hyperpolarized sample, we refer to Goldman's description [18] of a two-spin heteronuclear system in liquids – modified to account for the presence of large chemical shift anisotropies (CSAs) on both the ^1H and ^{13}C spins involved. Using a notation analogous to Goldman's, the relevant equations are then:

$$\frac{dH_z}{dt} = - \left(A_1 + T_1^{\text{H}} \right) (H_z - 2H_0) - E_1(C_z - 2C_0) - \mathbf{B}_1 \cdot 2H_z C_z \quad (3a)$$

$$\frac{dC_z}{dt} = - A'_1(C_z - 2C_0) - E_1(H_z - 2H_0) - \mathbf{B}'_1 \cdot 2H_z C_z \quad (3b)$$

$$\frac{d2H_z C_z}{dt} = - \mathbf{B}_1(H_z - 2H_0) - \mathbf{B}'_1(C_z - 2C_0) - \left(C_1 + \frac{1}{T_1^{\text{C}}} \right) \cdot 2H_z C_z \quad (3c)$$

Parameters involved in these equations include

$$A_1 = D\tau_c \left\{ \frac{6(1+\alpha_{\text{H}}^2)}{1+\omega_{\text{H}}^2\tau_c^2} + \frac{2}{1+(\omega_{\text{H}} - \omega_{\text{C}})^2\tau_c^2} + \frac{12}{1+(\omega_{\text{H}} + \omega_{\text{C}})^2\tau_c^2} \right\} \quad (4a)$$

$$A'_1 = D\tau_c \left\{ \frac{6(1+\alpha_{\text{C}}^2)}{1-\omega_{\text{C}}^2\tau_c^2} + \frac{2}{1-(\omega_{\text{H}} - \omega_{\text{C}})^2\tau_c^2} + \frac{12}{1+(\omega_{\text{H}} - \omega_{\text{C}})^2\tau_c^2} \right\} \quad (4b)$$

$$B_1 = D\tau_c \left\{ \frac{12\alpha_{\text{H}}}{1+\omega_{\text{H}}^2\tau_c^2} \right\} \quad (4c)$$

$$B_1' = D\tau_c \left\{ \frac{12\alpha_C}{1 + \omega_C^2 \tau_c^2} \right\} \quad (4d)$$

$$C_1 = D\tau_c \left\{ \frac{6(1 + \alpha_H^2)}{1 + \omega_H^2 \tau_c^2} + \frac{6(1 + \alpha_C^2)}{1 + \omega_C^2 \tau_c^2} \right\} \quad (4e)$$

$$E_1 = D\tau_c \left\{ \frac{12}{1 - (\omega_H + \omega_C)^2 \tau_c^2} - \frac{2}{1 + (\omega_H - \omega_C)^2 \tau_c^2} \right\} \quad (4f)$$

$$D = \frac{1}{20} \gamma_H^2 \gamma_C^2 \hbar^2 r^{-6} \quad (4g)$$

$$\alpha_H = -\frac{2}{3} B_0 (\sigma_{\parallel, H} - \sigma_{\perp, H}) r^3 / (\gamma_H \hbar) \quad (4h)$$

$$\alpha_C = -\frac{2}{3} B_0 (\sigma_{\parallel, C} - \sigma_{\perp, C}) r^3 / (\gamma_C \hbar) \quad (4i)$$

where τ_c is the correlation time, r is the internuclear distance between a proton and its directly bonded ^{13}C , α_H and α_C give the ratios of CSA to the heteronuclear dipolar fields for each species, $\sigma_{\parallel}$ and $\sigma_{\perp}$ are the respective components –parallel and perpendicular– of the assumed-axial CSA tensors of the two sites in their principal axes systems, and T_1^H, T_1^C are phenomenological longitudinal proton and carbon relaxation times unrelated to the specific ^{13}C - ^1H pair (e.g., T_1^H would take into account mechanisms that control relaxation in the carbon-12 bonded ^1H s). Taking the ^{13}C -bonded protons as a doublet spin system, the individual resonances appearing in their spectrum will then be given by

$$H_z^{(1)} = (H_z - 2H_z C_z) / 2 \quad (5a)$$

$$H_z^{(2)} = (H_z + 2H_z C_z) / 2 \quad (5b)$$

With this formalism at hand, we set out to fit the data observed in pyridine's dissolution trajectories and explore if solution cross-relaxation effects could by themselves give a qualitative and quantitative understanding of the anomalous ^1H spectra. (A precaution taken in the fitting of these data included a correction to account for magnetization losses due to pulsing [19–20].) Figure 6 shows two examples of dissolution ^1H and ^{13}C NMR experiments, corresponding to different initial conditions of the ^{13}C hyperpolarization. Simulations show a close fit to the acquired data upon adopting $\sigma_{\text{C}} = |\sigma_{\parallel,\text{C}} - \sigma_{\perp,\text{C}}| = 120$ ppm for the ^{13}C and $\sigma_{\text{H}} = 10$ ppm for the bonded ^1H s, an $r = 1.08$ Å internuclear distance, and a non- ^{13}C -derived ^1H relaxation loss $T_1^{\text{H}} = 13.4$ sec and 11.7 sec for figures 6a and 6b, respectively (akin to the T_1 measured by a conventional inversion recovery sequence [21] for the ^{12}C -bonded ^1H s: $T_1 \approx 6.75$ sec at 400 MHz). The initial ^{13}C polarization at the time the sample was injected into the NMR tube was also fitted, giving a best match when set to approximately 14000 and -8000 –consistent with other enhancements achieved using this dissolution DNP hardware and methods. Fitting the post-dissolution data also required a τ_{c} ; Figure 6 leads to correlation times of 2.75 ± 0.25 ps, a reasonable fit to the $\tau_{\text{c}} = 1.85$ ps value previously measured for pure pyridine at a slightly different temperature [22].

The good fit between this model and the experimental time series confirms that the extent of the ^{13}C hyperpolarization directly bears on the extent to which ^{13}C -bonded protons will increase their satellites' intensities. During their initial growth period these post-dissolution fits indicate that the hyperpolarized ^{13}C nuclei transfer $\approx 10\%$ of their initial polarizations to the bonded protons, reaching a maximum after ≈ 10 seconds. The ^1H enhancement then persists for a time $\approx 5T_1^{\text{C}}$, and the higher the degree of ^{13}C hyperpolarization, the stronger will be the satellites in the corresponding ^1H NMR spectrum. Furthermore, the direction in which these proton satellite transitions “grow” vis-à-vis the ^1H centerband, is determined by the direction of ^{13}C polarization (Fig. 6).

A feature evidenced by all experiments, is a systematic difference in the initial intensities of the proton satellites. Analysis of the formalisms embodied by eqs (3) – (5), however, predicts that in the initial absence of the bilinear spin term $2H_{\text{Z}}C_{\text{Z}}$, the intensities of the ^1H satellites should have identical intensities. Only the onset of different cross-relaxation effects acting on each $H_{\text{Z}}^{(1,2)}$ components of this doublet due to the combined dipolar/CSA effects, should eventually endow these peaks with a growing asymmetry. This point raises again the possibility that some anomalous phenomenon is already active at time zero; *i.e.*, that some form of two-spin order originates already in the solid phase or over the course of the low-field sample transfer [23]. It should be remarked, however, that sample settling in dDNP is a process requiring a certain time before the NMR observations can be carried out; an interval over which the relaxation effects summarized eqs. (3)–(5) could already have been active. Given that data of the kind illustrated in Figure 6 yields a very accurate fit of the parameters involved in the cross-relaxation, these fitting parameters could be used to back-calculate the nature of the heteronuclear polarization transfer present at the approximate time of injection. This is a blind-spot in the dDNP methodology; it is in the order of 2 sec, yet it is not 100% reproducible and hence not known with perfect precision. This uncertainty notwithstanding, Figure 7 presents an estimate of what the polarizations of the individual proton satellites could have been, when the sample was injected into the NMR tube at the high magnetic

field. Looking at the results of these back-projections of the dissolution trajectories, it follows that there is most likely a minimal amount of the bilinear spin term $2H_ZC_Z$ in the system at the time of injection: the back-projections indicate that the two transitions of the ^{13}C -bound proton doublet have approximately the same magnitude if back-projected some 2.2 seconds, which is a very reasonable time for these methanol-driven sample injections. Indeed, sample settling conditions were optimized with a chase gas time of 1.75 seconds and a settling time of ca. 0.12 sec, followed immediately by a first spectral acquisition that was actually collected on the ^{13}C .

A final feature worth remarking, is the fact that the cross-correlated relaxation model predicts that always one of the components in the ^1H satellite doublet –the one associated with the $|-1/2\rangle$ ^{13}C eigenstate– will reach the highest absolute polarization. This will occur, regardless of the absolute direction of the ^{13}C polarization. The spectral sets illustrated in Figure 8 demonstrate a way of reversing/controlling this condition. These experiments involved inverting the ^{13}C populations immediately after sample injection, and letting the cross-relaxation do the $^{13}\text{C} \rightarrow ^1\text{H}$ transfer; notice that proton doublets then end up with an opposite asymmetry than in all other spectra shown in this report. The overall intensity of the individual proton satellites also undergoes a peculiar trajectory, crossing the zero intensity line a number of times over the course of their evolution. Calculations based on eqs. (3)-(5) are consistent with this train of events, predicting that when the carbon-13 population is inverted the relative intensities of the ^1H satellites will also be reversed (as a result of the 180° pulse inverting the bilinear magnetization term $2H_ZC_Z$) and so will the direction of their polarization's enhancement – reaching a maximum and eventually decaying to the thermal equilibrium values (Figure 8e). This manipulation illustrates how modulations in the sense of the ^{13}C polarization, will be directly mapped into the signals arising from its bonded satellites. This, in combination with the long lifetimes supported by the ^{13}C spins, opens new vistas in the acquisition of 2D heteronuclear correlations in systems subject to this kind of hyperpolarization.

4 Conclusions

This study discussed aspects arising in ^1H solution-phase NMR when dealing with a combination of strongly non-thermal ^{13}C polarizations, sizable ^{13}C relaxation times, and cross-relaxation effects. All these factors can lead to a significant and selective enhancement of the ^1H satellite signals, as driven by the ^{13}C hyperpolarization. This was demonstrated by sequentially hyperpolarizing carbon and/or protons and observing the ensuing ^1H NMR in natural-abundance samples; similar effects should arise in systems including enriched ^{13}C materials, or other DNP target nuclei such as ^{15}N . The resulting enhancements could be further leveraged by collapsing the heteronuclear J-multiplets into single-site resonances via decoupling or other strategies [24] – something that this study did not attempt in order to better illustrate the new physical phenomena being targeted. The time-dependent ^1H polarization gains, could be well explained by established models for heteronuclear polarization transfer with realistic and convincing values. Although contemplated, no evidence was found regarding the presence of anomalous pre-dissolution solid-state DNP effects like spin-diffusion quenching or three-spin processes; neither was there evidence for

the formation in the solid or over the course of the low-field transfer process, of multi-spin ordered states.

The ensuing experiments spontaneously achieved high ^1H polarizations, and preserved them on timescales given largely by the T_1^c –in the present instance, close to a minute. It is interesting to compare these spontaneous polarization transfer processes with analogs based on J-transfers, discussed in the hyperpolarized literature [8, 10–13, 25–28]. Although it is likely that coherent transfer sequences like INEPT could lead to larger single-shot ^1H signals, the mechanism here presented evidences a number of advantageous features. These include a simplicity that would be welcome in single resonance systems (including MRI scanners), and a predictable and long-lived nature of the transfer that enables the simple acquisition of arrays of ^1H -enhanced spectra. This could in turn prove valuable for achieving further enhancements in 1D ^1H NMR acquisitions than what were here described, as well as ^1H -based 2D NMR acquisitions. This phenomenon could also have potential in heteronuclear correlations where one seeks to select only coupled spin systems while suppressing carbon-12 bonded protons; a topic of significant recent interest [29–31]. These and other potential uses are currently under investigation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support from ERC Advanced Grant #246754, DIP joint Germany-Israel research grant #710907, EU'S BioNMR Grant #261863, a Helen and Kimmel Award for Innovative Investigation, and the generosity of the Perlman Family Foundation, are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1]. Ardenkjær-Larsen JH, Fridlund B, Gram A, Hansson G, Hansson L, Lerche MH, Servin R, Thaning M, Golman K. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003; 100:10158–10163. [PubMed: 12930897]
- [2]. Carravetta A, Johannessen OG, Levitt MH. *Phys Rev Lett*. 2004; 92:153003. 92. [PubMed: 15169282]
- [3]. Carravetta A, Levitt MH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:6228–6229. [PubMed: 15149209]
- [4]. Warren WS, Jenista E, Branca RT, Chen X. *Science*. 2009; 323:1711–1714. [PubMed: 19325112]
- [5]. Vasos PR, Comment A, Sarkar R, Ahuja P, Jannin S, Ansermet JP, Konter JA, Hautle P, van der Brandt B, Bodenhausen G. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009; 106:18469–18473. [PubMed: 19841270]
- [6]. Leggett J, Hunter R, Granwehr J, Panek R, Perez-Linde AJ, Horsewill AJ, McMaster J, Smith G, Kockenberger W. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2010; 12:5883–5892. [PubMed: 20458428]
- [7]. Bowen S, Hilty C. *Phys Chem Chem Phys*. 2010; 12:5766–5770. [PubMed: 20442947]
- [8]. Frydman L, Blazina D. *Nature Physics*. 2007; 3:415–419.
- [9]. Saunders MG, Ludwig C, Gunther UL. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:6914–6915. [PubMed: 18465853]
- [10]. Bowen S, Zeng H, Hilty C. *Anal Chem*. 2008; 80:5794–5798. [PubMed: 18605696]
- [11]. Mishkovsky M, Frydman L. *ChemPhysChem*. 2008; 9:2340–2348. [PubMed: 18850607]
- [12]. Giraudeau P, Shrot Y, Frydman L. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:13902–13903. [PubMed: 19743849]
- [13]. Zeng H, Lee Y, Hilty C. *Anal Chem*. 2010; 82:8897–8902. [PubMed: 20942386]

- [14]. deBoer W, Borghini M, Morimoto K, Niinikoski TO, Udo F. *J Low Temp Phys.* 1974; 15:249–267.
- [15]. Borghini M, de Boer W, Morimoto K. *Phys Lett.* 1974; 48A:249–267.
- [16]. Reynolds S, Patel H. *Appl Magn Reson.* 2008; 34:495–508.
- [17]. de Boer W. *J Low Temp Phys.* 1976; 22:244–246.
- [18]. Goldman M. *J Magn Reson.* 1984; 60:437–452.
- [19]. Barb AW, Hekmatyar SW, Glushka JN, Prestegard JH. *J Magn Reson.* 2011; 212:304–310. [PubMed: 21824795]
- [20]. Patyal BR, Gao JH, Williams RF, Roby J, Saam B, Rockwell BA, Thomas RJ, Stolarski DJ, Fox PT. *J Magn Reson.* 1997; 126:58–65. [PubMed: 9177796]
- [21]. Vold RL, Waugh JS, Klein MP, Phelps DE. *J Chem Phys.* 1968; 48:3831–3832.
- [22]. Schweitzer D, Spiess HW. *J Magn Reson.* 1974; 15:529–539.
- [23]. Tayler MCD, Marco-Rius I, Kettunen MI, Brindle KM, Levitt MH, Pileio G. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:7668–7671. [PubMed: 22509846]
- [24]. Andersson P, Weigelt J, Otting G. *J Biomol NMR.* 1998; 12:435–441. [PubMed: 9835050]
- [25]. Mishkovsky M, Frydman L. *Ann Rev Phys Chem.* 2009; 60:429–448. [PubMed: 18999994]
- [26]. Ludwig C, Marin-Montesinos I, Saunders MG, Gunther UL. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2010; 132:2508–2509. [PubMed: 20131776]
- [27]. Harris T, Giraudeau P, Frydman L. *Chem Eur J.* 2011; 17:697–703. [PubMed: 21207591]
- [28]. Donovan KJ, Frydman L. *J Magn Reson.* 2012; 225:115–119. [PubMed: 23159821]
- [29]. Garbow JR, Weitekamp DP, Pines A. *Chem Phys Lett.* 1982; 93:504–509.
- [30]. Emetarom C, Hwang TL, Mackin G, Shaka AJ. *J Magn Reson.* 1995; 115:137–140.
- [31]. Lupulescu A, Olsen GL, Frydman L. *J Magn Reson.* 2012; 218:141–146. [PubMed: 22446507]

Figure 1.

Single-scan 1D ^1H NMR spectra acquired on: (a) A pyridine sample at thermal equilibrium. (b,c) Similar samples following hyperpolarization of the pyridine's ^1H nuclei for ≈ 20 min at $\approx \omega_e \pm \omega_H$, where ω denotes the Larmor frequency of the individual species. (d, e) Idem but after first hyperpolarizing the ^{13}C for ≈ 3 hours at $\omega_e + \omega_C$, and then spending 20 min polarizing the ^1H nuclei as described in (b, c).

Figure 2.

1D ^1H NMR spectral from quinoline (a) and toluene (b), showing the appearance of anomalous peaks upon dDNP. Conventional spectra are shown at top, acquired on the dissolution samples that were subject to dDNP after they have returned to thermal equilibrium. Shown on the bottom panels are hyperpolarized spectra acquired on these samples immediately after their dissolution and injection. In the quinoline hyperpolarized spectrum both the carbon-12 and the carbon-13 bonded protons are polarized opposite to thermal polarization with the carbon-13 bonded protons appearing disproportionately large at approximately half the intensity of the carbon-12 bonded protons. Prior to injection the quinoline sample was polarized for 3 hours at $\omega_e - \omega_C$, and then at $\approx \omega_e + \omega_H$ for the final 20 minutes. The toluene sample was prepared by polarizing for 3 hours at $\omega_e + \omega_C$, and then at $\approx \omega_e + \omega_H$ for the final 20 minutes.

Figure 3.

(a) Pulse sequence used to acquire the time progressions shown in (b) and (c). The top-most spectra in these panels illustrate initial ^1H NMR traces collected in these experiments right after sample injection; the bottom curves show the time evolution of the proton resonances marked in red, green and blue. Notice that anomalous peaks in (b) grow for approximately 8 seconds after injection; following this both red and blue peaks eventually decay into the conventional ^{13}C satellites, reaching a steady value approximately one minute after injection. For the trajectory shown in (c) all proton resonances (both carbon-12 and carbon-13 bonded) follow a similar mono-exponential decay to their equilibrium values.

Figure 4.

^{13}C DNP enhancements observed in the solid state upon varying the microwave frequency. Black and brown vertical lines indicate the (approximate) positions calculated for the microwave at frequencies $\omega_e \pm \omega_C$ and $\omega_e \pm \omega_H$, respectively. We ascribe the apparent non-linearity of these phenomena vis-à-vis the horizontal frequency axis, to the sweep's use of the microwave unit (Elva-1 VCOM-10) beyond its specified operating conditions. The frequency sweep was acquired on an Oxford Instrument Hypersense with approximately 100 μL of 40 mM BDPA in ^{13}C -enriched pyruvic acid. Each point in the microwave sweep was acquired following 10 minutes of microwave irradiation frequency at 177 mW power.

Figure 5.

Simulated time evolution of proton polarization in pyridine starting from a non-equilibrium initial state. (a) ^1H polarization dynamics with all carbon sites occupied by the ^{12}C isotope and the H_{α} proton being initially hyperpolarized. (b) As in (a) except that the H_{β} proton is initially hyperpolarized. (c) Polarization dynamics starting from the same initial state as in (a) but with C_{α} site occupied by the ^{13}C isotope. (d) Polarization dynamics starting from the same initial state as in (b) but with C_{β} site occupied by a ^{13}C isotope.

Figure 6.

(a) Pulse sequence used for fitting the relaxation-driven time progress of ^1H satellite peaks, based on fitting alternating proton and carbon-13 acquisitions on the same hyperpolarized samples. (b,c) Fits for the time-dependent evolution of the indicated pyridine ^1H and ^{13}C NMR peaks when the sample was polarized at $\omega_e - \omega_C$ (left) and $\omega_e + \omega_C$ (right) for 3 hours. These fits were calculated by successive iteration of equations 3a-3c and are shown in all plots as solid black lines. Additional details on the parameters involved in the fit are given in the main text.

Figure 7.

(a, b) Back-extrapolation of the time-dependent ^1H satellite evolutions introduced in Figure 6, with black dots indicating data that were calculated on the basis of eqs. (3-5), from the first experimental data point back to the approximate time of injection. Plot insets show that at an estimated time of sample injection of 2.2 sec, the back-calculated satellite intensities are nearly equal. (c) Simulations of the carbon-13 ^1H satellites' evolution in dDNP experiments where the heteronuclear polarization transfer governed by equations 3-5 but where different extents of the bilinear spin term $2H_z C_z$ are assumed present at the time of injection. These calculations also assumed a $-10000 \times$ ^{13}C hyperpolarization (vis-à-vis its thermal equilibrium at 11.74T), and a ^1H nuclear enhancement $\epsilon_H \approx 57$ (which is the enhancement expected from transferring an equilibrated sample from 1.5 K and 3.35 T, into a 298 K, 11.74 T NMR). Simulations were conducted for three initial amounts of bilinear spin order, revealing an increasing difference between the magnetizations of the two carbon-13 bonded proton transitions and increasing deviations from the experimental observations. These results suggest minimal contributions, if any at all, of $2H_z C_z$ bilinear spin order arriving from the solid phase.

Figure 8.

Manipulating the modulation of the ^1H satellites via ^{13}C -based pulses. (a) A pyridine sample was initially polarized at $\omega_e + \omega_C$ for 2 hours and at $\approx \omega_e + \omega_H$ for the final 20 minutes, and the pulse sequence shown was then applied. This included an initial delay of ≈ 2 sec, a hard 180° ^{13}C pulse, and the collection of a series of ^1H spectra in succession with small flip-angle pulses. (b) First ^1H spectrum in the series, emphasizing an asymmetry in the ^{13}C -bonded satellites which is opposite to the asymmetries shown in all previous spectra of this study. (c) Complete time series evolved by the upfield H_a resonance, with acquisitions ≈ 1 sec apart revealing the unusual evolution of the individual satellite transitions before gradually returning to their equilibrium values. (d,e) Summary of the experimental satellite peak intensities and expectations from eqs. (3-5), with the dashed line indicating the time at which the hyperpolarized carbon-13 magnetization was inverted.