Geographic and Age-Based Variations in Medicare Reimbursement Among ASSH Members

HAND
2016, Vol. 11(3) 347–352
© American Association for
Hand Surgery 2016
DOI: 10.1177/1558944715627631
hand.sagepub.com

Michael P. Gaspar^{1,2}, Patrick M. Kane^{1,2}, Grace B. Honik¹,
Eon K. Shin^{1,2}, Sidney M. Jacoby^{1,2}, and A. Lee Osterman^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to investigate how American Society for Surgery of the Hand (ASSH) members' Medicare reimbursement depends on their geographical location and number of years in practice. **Methods:** Demographic data for surgeons who were active members of the ASSH in 2012 were obtained using information publicly available through the US Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). "Hand-surgeons-per-capita" and average reimbursement per surgeon were calculated for each state. Regression analysis was performed to determine a relationship between (1) each state's average reimbursement versus the number of ASSH members in that state, (2) average reimbursement versus number of hand surgeons per capita, and (3) total reimbursement from Medicare versus number of years in practice. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect a difference in reimbursement based on categorical range of years as an ASSH member. **Results:** A total of 1667 ASSH members satisfied inclusion in this study. Although there was significant variation among states' average reimbursement, reimbursement was not significantly correlated with the state's hand surgeons per capita or total number of hand surgeons in that given state. Correlation between years as an ASSH member and average reimbursement was significant but non-linear; the highest reimbursements were seen in surgeons who had been ASSH members from 8 to 20 years. **Conclusions:** Peak reimbursement from Medicare for ASSH members appears to be related to the time of surgeons' peak operative volume, rather than any age-based bias for or against treating Medicare beneficiaries. In addition, though geographic variation in reimbursement does exist, this does not appear to correlate with density or availability of hand surgeons.

Keywords: age, ASSH, CMS reimbursement, geographic variation, hand surgeon, medicare payment

Introduction

In April 2014, the US Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) announced the release of information regarding services provided to Medicare beneficiaries for 2012 in an effort to promote transparency of the US health care system.⁶ This information includes data for payment and utilization of Medicare Part B services and provides a potentially powerful analytical tool not only for patients but also for providers, policymakers, and invested businesses.

Studies across many fields of medicine have illustrated regional variations in treatment and reimbursement rates.^{9–11,14,18,24} Among hand surgery patients, studies have shown that patient demographic factors such as age can predict surgical timing as well as overall surgical volume for conditions such as rheumatoid arthritis (RA).^{20,25} Specific to hand surgeons, other studies have demonstrated that surgeon-dependent demographic factors, such as age or membership

in the American Society for Surgery of the Hand (ASSH), predict treatment selection for distal radius fractures (DRFs).^{8,22} However, no study to date has examined the direct relationship between hand surgeon demographic factors and their rates of reimbursement.

The purpose of this study was to investigate which demographic factors may have an impact on hand surgeons' reimbursement rates from Medicare. In particular, using the data provided by the CMS, we aim to illustrate how ASSH-member hand surgeons' reimbursement from Medicare

¹The Philadelphia Hand Center, P.C., Philadelphia, PA, USA

²Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Michael P. Gaspar, The Philadelphia Hand Center, P.C., The Franklin Suite G114, 834 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, USA.
Email: michaelgaspar@gmail.com

depends on their geographical location and the number of years they have been in practice.

Methods

Data were obtained from the CMS Provider Utilization and Payment Data: Physician and Other Supplier Public Use File (Physician and Other Supplier PUF) covering the calendar year 2012.⁷ Because files contain no patient-identifying information and are made publicly available by CMS, this study was exempt from institutional review board approval. We identified all active ASSH members for the year 2012 and used each member's unique National Provider Identifier (NPI) number¹⁵ to match ASSH members with corresponding CMS PUF data.⁷

International members of ASSH were excluded from this study. In addition, providers with inconsistent or inaccurate data between the two data sets were excluded. ASSH members who did not accept Medicare payment as part of their practice in 2012 were not found in the PUF and were thus excluded by design. After compiling a database for all ASSH members with matching PUF data, the number of years as an ASSH member (as of 2012) was calculated for each member based on the year they were elected into the ASSH (year elected = 2013 was designated as 0 years as ASSH member, year 2012 as 1 year, etc.). This number was assumed to be a direct correlation with number of years in practice and was analyzed as both a continuous variable and as a categorical variable (group A, 0-7 years; group B, 8-20 years; and group C, greater than 20 years) for the purpose of this study.

For geographic classification, each surgeon was designated to 1 state, as listed in the CMS PUF. For surgeons with entries across multiple states, the state with the highest total amount paid by Medicare was designated as their primary state of practice. An estimate of each state's total population for 2012 was obtained using projections available from the US Census Bureau.¹⁹ These census data were used in conjunction with the number of ASSH members per state to determine each state's "hand-surgeons-per-capita." Average reimbursement per surgeon was also calculated for each state. Regression analysis was performed to determine a relationship between (1) each state's average reimbursement versus the number of ASSH members in that state, (2) average reimbursement versus number of hand surgeons per capita, and (3) total reimbursement from Medicare versus number of years in practice. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to detect a difference in mean reimbursement based on number of years as an ASSH member, categorized into groups A, B, and C as described above. For each state, the aggregate amount of reimbursement from ancillary services and office visits relative to total reimbursement was recorded and compared using ANOVA.

Figure 1. Distribution among ASSH members of total CMS reimbursement (in \$) per surgeon in 2012.

Note. ASSH, American Society for Surgery of the Hand; CMS, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.

Figure 2. Distribution among ASSH members of years of experience (as estimated by number of years as an ASSH member) as of 2012.

Note. ASSH, American Society for Surgery of the Hand.

Results

A total of 1667 ASSH members satisfied inclusion in this study. Mean total CMS reimbursement among all ASSH members was \$68 139.63 ± \$71 623.52 (distribution shown in Figure 1). Mean number of years as an ASSH Member was 14.21 ± 9.88 across all members (Figure 2). Average reimbursement per surgeon for each state and the corresponding number of ASSH member surgeons per state are shown numerically in Table 1. Figure 3 depicts the total CMS reimbursement per surgeon across the entire United States. Percentages of total Medicare reimbursement obtained from both ancillary services and office visits were not significantly different between any states. Correlation between state's average reimbursement per surgeon and its total number of ASSH members was not found to be of

Table 1. Average CMS Reimbursement (in \$), Number of ASSH Members, Estimated Total Population, and Calculated Hand Surgeons Per Capita for All US States in 2012.

State	Average 2012 CMS reimbursement	No. of ASSH members	Estimated population (2012)	ASSH members per capita (per million)
AK	\$41 752.41	2	731 449	2.73
AL	\$78 278.96	16	4 822 023	3.32
AR	\$118 071.23	7	2 949 131	2.37
AZ	\$74 280.84	41	6 553 255	6.26
CA	\$60 345.85	162	38 041 430	4.26
CO	\$54 225.91	34	5 187 582	6.55
CT	\$81 228.67	33	3 590 347	9.19
DC	\$60 898.26	5	632 323	7.91
DE	\$145 968.11	5	917 092	5.45
FL	\$131 781.00	93	19 317 568	4.81
GA	\$75 613.72	37	9 919 945	3.73
HI	\$70 247.65	4	1 392 313	2.87
IA	\$47 142.75	17	3 074 186	5.53
ID	\$308 12.96	11	1 595 728	6.89
IL	\$78 235.24	83	12 875 255	6.45
IN	\$67 394.12	35	6 537 334	5.35
KS	\$51 784.26	15	2 885 905	5.20
KY	\$53 985.87	26	4 380 415	5.94
LA	\$88 832.53	17	4 601 893	3.69
MA	\$65 216.28	57	6 646 144	8.58
MD	\$82 949.23	45	5 884 563	7.65
ME	\$59 454.97	9	1 329 192	6.77
MI	\$70 553.53	53	9 883 360	5.36
MN	\$23 437.14	42	5 379 139	7.81
MO	\$51 966.55	28	6 021 988	4.65
MS	\$66 246.07	10	2 984 926	3.35
MT	\$45 049.16	10	1 005 141	9.95
NC	\$79 461.47	57	9 752 073	5.84
ND	\$69 852.26	5	699 628	7.15
NE	\$59 613.65	13	1 855 525	7.01
NH	\$82 628.02	9	1 320 718	6.81
NJ	\$80 841.64	56	8 864 590	6.32
NM	\$39 321.90	7	2 085 538	3.36
NV	\$76 802.67	14	2 758 931	5.07
NY	\$72 401.80	104	19 570 261	5.31
OH	\$43 783.16	65	11 544 225	5.63
OK	\$53 316.51	16	3 814 820	4.19
OR	\$55 094.53	20	3 899 353	5.13
PA	\$68 423.97	55	12 763 536	4.31
RI	\$55 003.29	11	1 050 292	10.47
SC	\$82 605.66	27	4 723 723	5.72
SD	\$34 133.66	4	833 354	4.80
TN	\$59 220.26	35	6 456 243	5.42
TX	\$60 857.34	94	26 059 203	3.61
UT	\$30 120.10	18	2 855 287	6.30
VA	\$83 343.41	48	8 185 867	5.86
VT	\$50 553.72	6	626 011	9.58
WA	\$44 538.86	55	6 897 012	7.97
WI	\$39 596.35	37	5 726 398	6.46
WV	\$43 709.50	8	1 855 413	4.31
WY	\$33 105.22	2	576 412	3.47

Note. Highest and lowest values in each category are highlighted in green and red, respectively. ASSH, American Society for Surgery of the Hand.

Figure 3. Geographic distribution of average CMS reimbursement per ASSH member in 2012.

Note. CMS, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services; ASSH, American Society for Surgery of the Hand.

statistical significance ($R^2 = 2.8\%$, $P = .238$). Similarly, average reimbursement was not significantly correlated with hand surgeons per capita ($R^2 = 2.5\%$, $P = .270$). When classifying number of years as an ASSH member as a continuous variable, there was no significant relationship found between number of years as an ASSH member and total CMS reimbursement per surgeon. However, when years as an ASSH member was analyzed as a categorical variable, surgeons in group B (\$74 952.34) had a significantly higher reimbursement per surgeon than both groups A (\$60 501.16) and C (\$64 352.63, $P = .005$).

Discussion

With the continually changing landscape of the US health care economy, increased scrutiny has been placed on government expenditures, particularly regarding reimbursement rates for care providers. The 2012 Medicare data released by the CMS are the largest publicly accessible volume of data available to analyze these trends. For surgeons in particular, this information is of major interest and concern. A 2004 report by the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), which surveyed more than 10 000 practicing, American Board of Orthopaedic Surgeons (ABOS) board-certified orthopedic surgeons, found that of the 5 issues deemed most concerning to surgeons, “Insurance or CMS Reimbursement Levels” had the highest percentage of surgeons endorsing concern, at 91%.²³ That same report also demonstrated that proportion of total income for

orthopedic surgeons from Medicare/Medicaid was at an all-time high, at 31.2%, with a concomitant decrease in income from private insurance.²³ With the passage of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in 2010, some of these concerns may be magnified, particularly among hand surgeons.^{3,16}

Among the many provisions of the ACA that may directly affect hand surgeons are tax increases on medical devices, mandated reduction in payment for surgical services, and greater focus on funding for education of primary care physicians versus surgeons.³ Another mandate of the ACA was the establishment of a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI).^{3,16} A priority of the PCORI has been the increased funding of comparative effectiveness research, in an effort to promote “patient-centeredness,” and is specifically prohibited from analyzing cost-effectiveness.^{3,16} But with reimbursement tied closely to referral rates and treatment selection, it may be unfeasible to compare the efficacy of different treatment options while excluding consideration of the associated costs.^{12,13,17} Thus, it should be of interest for hand surgeons to understand the impact of their own demographics on reimbursement rates and not just those of their patients.

Surgeon age has been shown to play a role in both treatment selection and outcomes for various upper-extremity conditions.^{1,22} However, little is known regarding the direct relationship between surgeon age and their respective reimbursement levels. In our analysis, we used the surgeons’ number of years as an ASSH member as to determine an approximation of their age: Assuming the average age for

an entering medical student to be 24 years,² one would expect the average age of a surgeon first eligible to become an ASSH member to be roughly 35 years (24 + 4 years medical school + minimum 6 years post-graduate training + minimum 1 year in practice for candidate membership). Based on our analysis, this would suggest that among ASSH members, the highest level of total Medicare reimbursement would be in surgeons between 43 and 55 years of age (designated as group B in our analysis), which most closely aligns with surgeons' period of peak operative volume, as demonstrated in previous studies.^{4,5,21,23}

In addition to age, numerous studies have illustrated significant geographical variations in Medicare spending.^{9-11,14,18,24} It has been suggested that regions with higher levels of Medicare spending do not necessarily correlate to areas with patients of poorer health or socioeconomic status, but rather these regions are associated with a larger supply of care providers who perform higher costing services.^{10,11,14} Studies looking specifically at RA patients found higher rates of wrist arthroplasty and arthrodesis in regions with a higher density of orthopedic surgeons, and an inverse relationship between rates of those same surgeries and density of rheumatologists.^{20,25} This would suggest that regional variations in Medicare reimbursement among hand surgeons would correlate with a measure of surgeon supply, such as our calculated hand surgeons per capita. However, although this study does demonstrate geographic variations in Medicare reimbursement among hand surgeons, we were unable to demonstrate any dependence on hand surgeon density or availability. We were also unable to find any difference in proportion of ancillary services provided that would explain these geographic variations. Further study is warranted to determine which factors might account for these regional variations among hand surgeons.

This study is certainly not without limitations. We found the data provided by CMS to have a significant number of flaws regarding specialty designation, similar to the report by Chung et al on the effect of ASSH membership on treatment choice for DRFs.⁸ Also, missing data between the ASSH membership list and the CMS PUF forced us to exclude some ASSH members from our analysis. In addition, as ASSH membership itself does not include all hand surgeons or surgeons who treat conditions of the hand and wrist, these data may not represent all surgeons whose practice is composed of a significant proportion of hand and wrist patient care. However, as the largest verifiable hand surgery society, as well as the significant variability in training paths potentially leading to certification as a hand surgeon, we feel it was the best option for collecting a maximal cohort of US hand surgeons. Another potential limitation is the use of year inducted as an ASSH member to estimate the number of years in practice or surgeon age, particularly in cases where surgeons decide to apply for membership later

in their careers. However, we do feel this number should provide an accurate correlation for the vast majority of ASSH members. Of course, as with any study using Medicare data as the basis for analysis, the ability to generalize these data to hand surgeon reimbursement from all sources of payment and across multiple years is debatable. Finally, the general breakdown of geographical region by states has some inherent flaws. This does not allow us to account for variations between rural and urban populations and how these discrepancies may contribute to the state as a whole. In addition, states with a lower total number of ASSH members are subject to data skewing by ASSH members with outlying reimbursement rates. Thus, future studies of this nature may be best served by classifying geographical regions in a broader manner than by state. However, despite these limitations, we feel this study gives useful insight into geographic differences in Medicare reimbursement among hand surgeons. Future studies should be aimed at examining specific factors leading to reimbursement variation based on both geography and surgeon age, including total surgical volume and number of patients seen and treated and the severity of disease of those patients treated.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by our institutional review board.

Statement of Human and Animal Rights

This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects.

Statement of Informed Consent

There were no human subjects required for this study and therefore informed consent was not required.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

References

1. Adkinson JM, Zhong L, Aliu O, et al. Surgical treatment of cubital tunnel syndrome: trends and the influence of patient and surgeon characteristics. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2015;40(9):1824-1831. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2015.05.009.
2. American Association of Medical Colleges. *Applicant and Matriculant Data Table 6*. <https://www.aamc.org/download/321468/data/factstablea6.pdf> Accessed December 14, 2014.

3. Bailes JS, Kamin DY, Foster SE. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. *Cancer J*. 2010;16(3):588-592. doi:10.1097/PPO.0b013e3181ff2586.
4. Birkmeyer JD, Siewers AE, Finlayson EV, et al. Hospital volume and surgical mortality in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2002;346(15):1128-1137.
5. Birkmeyer JD, Stukel TA, Siewers AE, et al. Surgeon volume and operative mortality in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349(22):2117-2127.
6. Brennan N, Conway PH, Tavenner M. The Medicare physician-data release—context and rationale. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(2):99-101. doi:10.1056/NEJMp1405026.
7. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. *Research, Statistics, Data & Systems*. [http://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems.html](http://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems.html). Accessed June 25, 2014.
8. Chung KC, Shauver MJ, Yin H. The relationship between ASSH membership and the treatment of distal radius fracture in the United States Medicare population. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2011;36(8):1288-1293. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2011.05.028.
9. Fisher E, Wennberg D, Stukel T. The implications of regional variations in spending. Part 1: the content, quality, and accessibility of care. *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;273-287.
10. Fisher E, Wennberg D, Stukel T. The implications of regional variations in spending. Part 2: health outcomes and satisfaction with care. *Ann Intern Med*. 2003;138(4):288-298.
11. Fisher ES, Wennberg JE. Health care quality, geographic variations, and the challenge of supply-sensitive care. *Perspect Biol Med*. 2003;46(1):69-79. doi:10.1353/pbm.2003.0004.
12. Gordon CR, Pryor L, Afifi AM, et al. Hand surgery volume and the US economy: is there a statistical correlation? *Ann Plast Surg*. 2010;65(5):471-474. doi:10.1097/SAP.0b013e3181d377ac.
13. Griggs JJ, Sorbero ME, Ahrendt GM, et al. The pen and the scalpel: effect of diffusion of information on nonclinical variations in surgical treatment. *Med Care*. 2009;47(7):749-757. doi:10.1097/MLR.0b013e31819748b3.
14. Hadley J, Reschovsky JD, O'Malley JA, et al. Factors associated with geographic variation in cost per episode of care for three medical conditions. *Health Econ Rev*. 2014;4:8. doi:10.1186/s13561-014-0008-4.
15. National Plan & Provider Enumeration System. *National Provider Identifier Registry*; 2010. <https://npiregistry.cms.hhs.gov/>. Accessed June 25, 2014.
16. The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/BILLS-111hr3590enr/pdf/BILLS-111hr3590enr.pdf>. Accessed December 14, 2014.
17. Shauver MJ, Chung KC. Applying economic principles to outcomes analysis. *Clin Plast Surg*. 2013;40(2):281-285. doi:10.1016/j.cps.2012.10.004.
18. Skinner J. Causes and consequences of regional variations in health care. In: *Handbook of Health Economics*. Vol. 2. Elsevier B.V.; 2012:45-93. doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-53592-4.00002-5.
19. United States Census Bureau. *2012 National Population Projections*. <http://www.census.gov/population/projections/data/national/2012.html>. Accessed September 5, 2014.
20. Waljee J, Zhong L, Baser O, et al. The incidence of upper and lower extremity surgery for rheumatoid arthritis among medicare beneficiaries. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015;403-410.
21. Waljee JF, Greenfield LJ, Dimick JB, et al. Surgeon age and operative mortality in the United States. *Ann Surg*. 2006;244(3):353-362.
22. Waljee JF, Zhong L, Shauver MJ, et al. The influence of surgeon age on distal radius fracture treatment in the United States: a population-based study. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2014;39(5):844-851. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2013.12.035.
23. Watkins-Castillo S, Frankowski J, Schmalz H. Orthopaedic practice and medical income in the US 2004-2005. Final report. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, Department of Research and Scientific Affairs.
24. Wennberg JE, Fisher ES, Skinner JS. Geography and the debate over Medicare reform. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2002 July-December;Suppl Web Exclusives:W96-W114.
25. Zhong L, Chung KC, Baser O, et al. Variation in rheumatoid hand and wrist surgery among Medicare beneficiaries: a population-based cohort study. *J Rheumatol*. 2015;42(3):429-436. doi:10.3899/jrheum.140658.