Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem Front. 2014 ; 1(3): 231–241. doi:10.1039/C4QI00003J.

Luminescent iminophosphorane gold, palladium and platinum complexes as potential anticancer agents†

Malgorzata Frik^a, Josefina Jiménez^b, Vadim Vasilevski^a, Monica Carreira^a, Andreia de Almeida^c, Elena Gascón^b, Farrah Benoit^a, Mercedes Sanaú^d, Angela Casini^c, and María Contel^a

Malgorzata Frik: mariacontel@brooklyn.cuny.edu; Angela Casini: a.casini@rug.nl

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Brooklyn College and The Graduate Center, The City University of New York, Brooklyn, NY, 11210, US ^bDepartamento de Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias-Instituto de Síntesis Química y Catálisis Homogénea (ISQCH), Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC, Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain ^cDept. of Pharmacokinetics, Toxicology and Targeting, Research Institute of Pharmacy, University of Groningen, 9713 AV Groningen, The Netherlands ^dDepartamento de Química Inorgánica, Universidad de Valencia, Burjassot, Valencia, 46100, Spain

Abstract

A series of coordination gold(III), palladium(II), and platinum(II) complexes with a luminescent iminophosphorane ligand derived from 8-aminoquinoline [$\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N}$] (**1**), have been synthesized and structurally characterized. The coordination palladium(II) and platinum(II) compounds can evolve further, under appropriate conditions, to give stable cyclometalated *endo* species $[\text{M}\{\kappa^3\text{-C,N,N-C}_6\text{H}_4(\text{PPh}_2=\text{N-8-C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N})\text{Cl}\}]$ ($\text{M} = \text{Pd, Pt}$) by C-H activation of the phenyl group of the PPh_3 fragment. Iminophosphorane **1** and the new metallic complexes are luminescent in DMSO or DMSO:H₂O (1:1 mixture) solutions at RT. The compounds have been evaluated for their antiproliferative properties in a human ovarian cancer cell line (A2780S), in human lung cancer cells (A-549) and in a non-tumorigenic human embryonic kidney cell line (HEK-293T). Most compounds have been more toxic to the ovarian cancer cell line than to the non-tumorigenic cell line. The new complexes interact with human serum albumin (HSA) faster than cisplatin. Studies of the interactions of the compounds with DNA indicate that, in some cases, they exert anticancer effects *in vitro* based on different mechanisms of action with respect to cisplatin.

Graphical Abstract

†In memory of Prof. María Pilar García Clemente who was an invaluable role model as a female inorganic chemistry professor for many of us at the University of Zaragoza (Spain).

Correspondence to: Angela Casini, a.casini@rug.nl; María Contel.

†Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Table with the crystal data and structure refinement for complexes **3** and **4**, luminescence profiles and studies of the luminescence overtime in solution for ligand **1** and compounds **2–7**; Stability of compounds **2–7** in d⁶-DMSO solution overtime by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

Luminescent d⁸ metals iminophosphorane complexes as potent cytotoxic agents on ovarian cancer cell lines which do not interact with DNA

Introduction

Cisplatin and the follow-on drugs carboplatin (paraplatin™) and oxaliplatin (eloxatin™) have been the treatment of choice for ovarian, testicular, head and neck, and non-small cell lung cancer for the past 40 years.¹ However, their effectiveness is still hindered by clinical problems, including acquired or intrinsic resistance, a limited spectrum of activity, and high toxicity leading to side effects.^{1,2} During the past two decades promising anticancer activities of a variety of other metal complexes have been reported.^{3–8} Recent progress in this field has brought a better understanding on the mode of action for some of these derivatives.⁹ In particular, a number of gold compounds have overcome cisplatin resistance to specific cancer cells¹⁰ which makes them attractive potential therapeutics. In addition, it has been found that DNA is not the primary target for most gold compounds reinforcing the idea that their mode of action is different with respect to cisplatin.^{10,11} This has prompted the search for alternative biomolecular targets not only for gold but for other non-platinum complexes^{10–13} and a revision of the mode of action of anticancer platinum compounds themselves.¹⁴ Thus, multiple biological pathways have been proposed for non-platinum derivatives including the inhibition of mitochondrial enzymes and of the proteasome for gold compounds.^{15–19}

We have reported that non-toxic iminophosphorane or iminophosphane (IM) compounds serve as stabilizing (C,N- or N,N) chelating ligands in the preparation of anticancer organometallic and coordination d⁸ metal complexes (Chart 1).^{20–24} Organogold(III) complexes containing iminophosphorane (C,N-IM) ligands of the type PPh₃=NPh (such as **a** in Chart 1) displayed a high cytotoxicity *in vitro* against human ovarian cancer and leukemia cell lines (in some cases with IC₅₀ values in the nanomolar range)^{20,21} while being less toxic to normal T-lymphocytes by a non-cisplatin mode of action (involving mitochondrial production of reactive oxygen species).²¹ We have also reported on the cytotoxicity of coordination and organometallic Pt(II) and Pd(II) complexes with water-soluble iminophosphorane ligands.^{22,23} Interestingly, we observed that some of these Pt and Pd compounds (**b–d**) were more cytotoxic than cisplatin to leukemia cell lines (both T-Jurkat and cisplatin-resistant Jurkat sh-Bak) by a mode of action different to that of cisplatin.^{22,23}

More recently we have reported on the preparation of di- and tri-metallic Au(III) and Pd(II) coordination complexes containing iminophosphorane ligands derived from ferrocenyl-phosphanes (like **e** and **f** in Chart 1).²⁴ The trimetallic complexes [(Cp-P(Ph₂)=N-CH₂-2-

$\text{NC}_5\text{H}_4\text{MCl}_2)_2\text{Fe}]A_2$ ($M = \text{Au}$, $A = \text{ClO}_4^-$ **e** $M = \text{Pd}$, $A = \text{none}$ **f**) were more cytotoxic to cancer cells than their corresponding monometallic fragments. Moreover, these complexes were significantly more cytotoxic than cisplatin in the resistant human ovarian A2780R and in the human breast MCF7 cancer cell lines. Studies of the interactions of the trimetallic compounds with DNA and the zinc-finger protein PARP-1 indicate that they exert anticancer effects *in vitro* based on different mechanisms of action with respect to cisplatin.²⁴

Within this frame, we aimed to explore the biological properties of related IM complexes containing d^8 transition metal centers incorporating a luminescent molecule. The potential of metal-based theranostics, biologically active luminescent metal complexes that can target and probe a specific biomolecule, in the treatment of human diseases has been recently highlighted.²⁵ In this context, we decided to explore the possibility to exploit the native luminescence of metal complexes to determine its intracellular distribution by using fluorescence microscopy.^{26,27} Thus, we report here on the synthesis, characterization and luminescence studies of gold, palladium and platinum derivatives containing the previously reported²⁸ iminophosphorane ligand derived from 8-aminoquinoline [$\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N}$] (**1**). The complexes have been evaluated for their antiproliferative properties in a human ovarian cancer cell line (A2780S), in human lung cancer cells (A-549) and in a non-tumorigenic human embryonic kidney cell line (HEK-293T). Most compounds have been more effective to the ovarian cancer cell line than to the non-tumorigenic cell line. The compounds have also been tested for their possible interactions with plasmid (pBR322) DNA used as a model nucleic acid, and for their reactivity with the transport protein human serum albumin (HSA).

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization

Ligand [$\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N}$] (**1**) was prepared by a modification of a previously²⁷ reported procedure. 8-azidoquinoline was obtained by reaction of 8-aminoquinoline with triflyl azide²⁸ and subsequently reacted with PPh_3 by the Staudinger method.²⁹

The addition of this ligand to a *in situ* prepared CH_3CN solution of $[\text{AuCl}_2(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2]A$ ($A = \text{ClO}_4^-$, PF_6^-), or CH_2Cl_2 solutions/suspensions of $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{COD})$ and PtCl_2 afford coordination complexes of gold(III) (**2**, **3**) palladium (II) (**4**) and platinum (II) (**5**) in moderate to high yields (scheme 1).

The coordination complexes have been characterized by spectroscopic methods, mass spectrometry and elemental analysis. The crystal structures of the gold(III) derivative **3** and the palladium compound **4** have been determined by an X-ray analysis (Figure 1). Selected bond lengths and angles for both compounds are collected in table 1.

The geometry about the Au(III) and Pd(II) centers is pseudo-square planar with the N(2)-M(1)-N(1) angle of $80.5(4)^\circ$ (**3**) and $80.5(2)^\circ$ (**4**) suggesting a rigid 'bite' angle of the chelating ligand. The Au and Pd centers are on an almost ideal plane with negligible deviations from the least-squares plane.

The main distances M-N(1) or M-N(iminic) and M-N(2) found for the Pd complex **4** are similar to that in related iminophosphorane complexes like [PdCl₂(TPA=N-C(O)-2-NC₅H₄)],²² [Pd{k²-C,N-C₆H₄(PPh₂=NC₆H₄Me-4')-2}{μ-OAc}]₂,³⁰ [Pd{k²-C,N-C₆H₄(PPh₂=NC₆H₄Me-4')-2}(tmeda)]ClO₄,³⁰ [Pd(C₆H₄CH₂NMe₂)(Ph₃PNC(O)-2-NC₅H₄)]ClO₄,³¹ [(Cp-P(Ph₂)=N-CH₂-2-NC₅H₄)PdCl₂]₂Fe]²⁴ and [(Cp-P(Ph₂)=N-CH₂-2-NC₅H₄)PdCl₂]₂Fe(Cp)].²⁴ The distances Au-N(1), Au-M(2), Au-Cl(1) and Au-Cl(2) in **3** are similar to the ones obtained by X-ray crystallographic analysis or DFT calculations for [AuCl₂(Ph₂PyP=NC(O)-Ph)]ClO₄,³² [(Ph₃P=N-CH₂-2-NC₅H₄)AuCl₂]₂ClO₄,³² [AuCl₂(TPA=N-C(O)-2-NC₅H₄)]ClO₄,²² [(Cp-P(Ph₂)=N-CH₂-2-NC₅H₄)AuCl₂]₂Fe](ClO₄)₂²⁴ and [(Cp-P(Ph₂)=N-CH₂-2-NC₅H₄)AuCl₂]₂Fe(Cp)]ClO₄.²⁴ The distances P=N are shorter than in compounds which incorporate a carbonyl group bonded to the iminic nitrogen (due to a smaller delocalized charge density as compared to the CO group).^{22–24,32}

The stability of the compounds in solution can be easily ascertained by ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectroscopy in deuterated solvents (see supplementary information SI). Most compounds are very stable in CD₃CN or CD₂Cl₂ solution (weeks). The stability in d⁶-DMSO solution was also evaluated (see SI). Compounds **2** and **3** have half-lives of 4 (**2**) or 6 (**3**) hours and they decompose to phosphane oxide and the coordination gold(III) compound based on the deprotonated uninegative bidentate 8-aminoquinoline fragment [Au(N-8-C₉H₇N)-κ-N,N)Cl₂]. The lack of stability for some monometallic gold(III) iminophosphorane coordination complexes^{23,24} and their decomposition to biologically active gold(III) amino derivatives²⁴ has been described previously. The coordination Pd(II) compound **4** in d⁶-DMSO solution over time evolve to the *endo* cyclometalated species [Pd{κ³-C,N,N-C₆H₄(PPh₂=N-8-C₉H₆N)Cl}] (**6** scheme 1) by activation of the C-H of one aryl group from the PPh₃ fragment of the iminophosphorane ligand. The half-life of **4** is ca. 1.5 hours. The coordination platinum(II) compound **5** evolves to a cyclometalated analogue of **6** to give species [Pt{κ³-C,N,N-C₆H₄(PPh₂=N-8-C₉H₆N)Cl}] (**7** scheme 1) by heating DMSO solutions of **5**. The new cyclometalated species **6** and **7** have been fully characterized by spectroscopic methods (including ¹⁹⁵Pt{¹H} NMR), mass spectrometry, conductivity and elemental analysis (see the experimental section). These species can also be cleanly obtained in high yields (Scheme 1) by reaction of ligand **1** and Pd(AcO)₂ in CH₂Cl₂ followed by treatment with LiCl in MeOH (**6**) or by refluxing the coordination platinum(II) compound **5** in CH₂Cl₂ (**7**). The new cyclometalated species (**6**, **7**) are stable in d⁶-DMSO solution with half-lives of several weeks. That **6** and **7** are orthometalated species is clear from the downfield shifts undergone by the ³¹P NMR signals with respect to coordination compounds **4** and **5**, respectively. In the ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of **6** and **7** there are also new signals due to the 4 protons (a–d) of the cyclometalated aryl ring and six well resolved peaks (for the carbons of this C₆H₄- fragment). In addition, the ²J_{Pt-P} coupling constant of 426 Hz is visible only for the cyclometalated derivative **7** (its ³¹P{¹H} NMR displays a signal at 44.7 ppm with ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites while the signal in the ¹⁹⁵Pt{¹H} NMR is a doublet at –2899.7 ppm). A J_{Pt-P} coupling constant is not observable for the coordination iminophosphorane compound **5**. We have not seen this coupling constant for other coordination iminophosphorane platinum derivatives either.²² The IM ligand in compounds **6** and **7** acts as a C,N,N- pincer ligand. Urriolabeitia and co-workers have reported on the selective C-H activation by palladium(II) complexes in iminophosphoranes based on type of

iminophosphorane ligand, the solvents employed and the temperature at which the cyclometalation reaction takes place giving endo or exo derivatives.^{34–37} The semi stabilized IM ligand described here [Ph₃P=N-C₉H₆N] (**1**) coordinated to a palladium or platinum center (II) in compounds **4** and **5** affords cyclometalated *endo* derivatives with the IM acting as a C,N,N- pincer ligand in **6** and **7**.

The only example of a Pt(II) cyclometalated compound containing an iminophosphorane ligand reported so far is the *endo* [Pt(C₆H₄-2-PPh₂=N-C(O)-2-NC₅H₄- κ -C,N,N)Cl] derivative obtained by reaction of [PtCl₂(NCPh)₂] with Ph₃P=N-C(O)-2-NC₅H₄ in refluxing 2-methoxyethanol.³⁷

Luminescence Studies

We have studied the luminescence of the new metallic complexes **2–7** and of the previously described ligand **1**²⁷ whose luminescence had not been reported before. All the compounds are luminescent in DMSO solution (5 x 10⁻⁴ M) at RT.

The excitation and emission data as well as the lifetimes for excited states are summarized in Table 2. The lifetimes are all relatively long (7–12 μ s), which indicate the emission transitions are all forbidden and phosphorescent. Ligand **1**, non-cyclometalated complexes **2–4** and cyclometalated palladium complex **6** all show similar optical behavior. The spectra show a broad band with the emission maximum at around 486–521 nm, upon excitation between 290–410 nm (see Supporting Information). Thus, an intraligand transition modified by the coordination to metal is probably responsible for the luminescence in all of these complexes **2–4** and **6**. The luminescence profile and features (excitation and emission maxima) of the cyclometalated platinum complex **7** are different. For this compound, an emission band showing some evidence of vibrational structure is observed, whose maximum is red-shifted from 521 nm in the free ligand **1** to 677 nm (see also SI). This spectral shift suggests a ³MLCT character in the excited state of **7**.³⁸ On the other hand, unlike what we observed for **1** and complexes **2–6**, the absorption spectrum of **7** exhibits a lower-energy band in the 400–500 nm region (see SI) at substantially longer wavelength than the absorption of the free ligand. This new band leads to the observed emissions and is likely to arise from charge-transfer transitions involving the metal, as it has been described for other Pt(II) complexes. Thus, a triplet state of mixed $\pi\pi^*$ /MLCT character is probably responsible for the phosphorescence in **7**.^{38–42}

Non-cyclometalated platinum complex **5** deserves special attention. A DMSO diluted solution of this pure compound is brightly emissive yellow under a common UV lamp (ex 365 nm) at RT. However, the spectrum shows two emissions, whose intensities depend on the excitation wavelength and the time of the luminescence measurement (see figures S12 and S13 in SI). At 390 nm, it exhibits two emission bands, a broad one with the maximum at 513 nm (similar to that observed for ligand **1** and complexes **2–4** and **6**) and another one with a profile and features similar to those observed for the cyclometalated platinum complex **7**, with a maximum at 677 nm. Excitation at a longer wavelength, 450 nm, only gives the emission band at 677 nm. When the luminescence of this solution is measured immediately afterwards, the spectrum only shows the emission band at 677 nm.. Under a

common UV lamp (ex 365 nm) we observed an orange-red emission for the irradiated area while the non-irradiated area remained yellow. This observation seems indicative of the transformation of coordination complex **5** into cyclometalated **7** upon UV irradiation, which has been demonstrated by $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ and ^1H NMR spectroscopy. The transformation is not clean, though, and the NMR spectra of the DMSO solution after irradiation show other signals besides those corresponding to **5** and **7**, which have not been identified.

2 and **3** are rare examples of non-cyclometalated gold(III) complexes with chlorido ligands which emit in a fluid solution at room temperature. Two essential control parameters to obtain luminescent (square-planar) gold(III) complexes have been highlighted: the ligand field strength and the rigidity of chelating ligands.^{43,44} These parameters are also relevant for the preparation of luminescent Pt(II) complexes.³⁸ The iminophosphorane ligands are strong σ -donors, and this is expected to lead to an increase in the ligand field strength, which, in turn, decreases the probability for the thermal population of non-emissive d-d states. Importantly, for all complexes, the energy of the excitation and emission bands are potentially of suitable wavelengths for cellular distribution studies.²⁶

We also studied the luminescence properties of the compounds in DMSO solution over time. As expected, the most stable cyclometalated palladium and platinum compounds in DMSO solution (**6**, **7**) and ligand **1** did not change their luminescence significantly over time.

Figure 2 shows the change of the luminescence properties of compound **6** in DMSO solution at RT over time (24 hour period). The other figures are collected in SI.

In the case of coordination Au(III) complexes, **2** and **3**, and coordination Pd(II) complex, **4**, the study of the luminescence overtime affords results that are in agreement with the stability of these compounds in DMSO solution. As mentioned before, **2** and **3** decompose (with half-lives of ca. 4 (**2**) and 6 (**3**) hours in d^6 -DMSO) to phosphane oxide ($\text{PPh}_3=\text{O}$) and the coordination gold(III) compound $[\text{Au}(\text{N}-8\text{-C}_9\text{H}_7\text{N})-\kappa\text{-N,N})\text{Cl}_2]$. Compound **2** shows a shift of the emission band at 513 nm to lower wavelength (473 nm) in concomitance to the appearance of two new bands of lower intensity at 634 and 683 nm after 3.5 hours. The spectrum is identical 24 hours later and therefore it may correspond to that of decomposition product $[\text{Au}(\text{N}-8\text{-C}_9\text{H}_7\text{N})-\kappa\text{-N,N})\text{Cl}_2]$. Compound **3** is slightly more stable and the change in intensity and position of the bands to give an spectra identical to that of **2** over time occurs 8 hours later. Coordination Pd(II) complex **4** shows a shift of the emission band at 496 nm to lower wavelength (486 nm), which corresponds to that of the *endo* cyclometalated species **6** decomposition product.

The study of the luminescence of compound **7** in a mixture DMSO:H₂O (50:50) was carried out and a broad emission band at 669 nm was observed, which is similar to that obtained for **7** in DMSO (see figure S15 in SI). However, the spectrum was completely different after 6 h, showing two broad emission bands at 430 and 630 nm, which may correspond to decomposition products. NMR studies of solutions of mixtures of **7** in DMSO: H₂O close to 1:1 ratio showed decomposition to different products over time including most plausibly cyclometalated species **7** with a Cl displaced by DMSO and hydrolysed species. Importantly, the solutions containing these products are still luminescent after 24 hours and their

excitation and emission bands are of suitable wavelengths for intramolecular cellular distribution studies.

These preliminary luminescence studies support the idea to select the most intense compounds **3** and **7** as candidates for further intramolecular cellular distribution studies by fluorescence microscopy.

Antiproliferative Studies

The antiproliferative properties of ligand **1** and metallic complexes **2–7** were assayed by monitoring their ability to inhibit cell growth using the MTT assay (see Experimental Section). The cytotoxic activity of the compounds was determined as described in the Experimental Section in the human ovarian cancer A2780 cell line, and in the human lung cancer cell line A549, in comparison to cisplatin. The results are summarized in Table 3. Ligand **1** and its PPh₃-based decomposition product (PPh₃=O) are not cytotoxic in all tested cell lines. The coordination complexes **2** (Au(III)) and **5** (Pt(II)) and cyclometalated monometallic Pt(II) complex **7** are as cytotoxic or more cytotoxic than cisplatin toward the A2780 cell line (low micromolar range). However, these compounds are poorly selective, being very cytotoxic also towards the non-tumorigenic HEK-293T cell line. The selectivity towards the A2780 cells is more pronounced for the coordination and cyclometalated Pd(II) compounds **4** and **6**. Most compounds are poorly cytotoxic towards the human lung cancer cell line A459, with the exception of the cyclometalated monometallic Pt(II) compound **7** which is ca. 3-fold more cytotoxic than cisplatin. However **7** is quite toxic to HEK-293T cell lines as well.

Studies of intracellular distribution on A2780 cells were attempted with selected compounds (e.g. **3**, and **7**) using fluorescence microscopy, but unfortunately, and in spite of the different conditions tested (different compounds' concentrations and incubation time with cells), it was impossible to observe any fluorescence.

Reactivity with DNA and HSA (Human serum albumin)

Since DNA replication is a key event for cell division, it is among the critically important targets in cancer chemotherapy. It is known that most cytotoxic platinum drugs can form strong covalent bonds with the DNA bases,⁴⁵ and, depending on the ancillary ligands, can also act as DNA intercalators.⁴⁶ There are also reports on palladium derivatives interacting with DNA in covalent^{47,48} and non-covalent ways.^{49,50} Conversely, most gold-based compounds do not display strong interaction with DNA.^{10,11} Thus, we performed agarose gel electrophoresis studies to unravel the effects of the new compounds **2–7** on plasmid (pBR322) DNA (Fig. 3). Plasmid DNA has two main forms: OC (open circular or relaxed form, Form II) and CCC (covalently closed or supercoiled form, Form I). Changes in electrophoretic mobility of both forms are usually taken as evidence of metal-DNA binding. Generally, the larger the retardation of supercoiled DNA (CCC, Form I), the greater the DNA unwinding produced by the drug.⁵¹ Binding of cisplatin to plasmid DNA, for instance results in a decrease in mobility of the CCC form and an increase in mobility of the OC form (see lanes a–d for cisplatin in Fig. 3).

Treatment with increasing amounts of compounds **3–7** do not affect the mobility of the faster-running supercoiled form (Form I or CCC) at ratios up to 1.0 metal/DNA bp. In the case of the coordination compounds of Au(III) **3** and Pt(II) **5**, at the highest ratio of 2.0 metal/DNA bp, there is an effect of retardation of the faster-running supercoiled form (CCC). We can say that these complexes have no (**4**, **6**, **7**) or weak interaction (**3**, **5**) with DNA. In contrast, the coordination gold(III) compound **2** modifies the electrophoretic mobility and the retardation of the faster-running supercoiled form (CCC) in the same way as described previously for other coordination gold(III) compounds containing IM ligands.²³ This is due to the lack of stability of these complexes in DMSO/buffer solutions with the cleavage of the P=N from the IM ligand and the subsequent decomposition of the Au(III)-IM complex into PPh₃=O and cytotoxic square-planar gold(III) compounds which are known to intercalate in DNA.²³ Compound **3** is slightly more stable than **2** in solution and thus this effect is less marked. However, oxidative damage of the DNA produced by the metal center cannot be ruled out. The rest of compounds which are more stable (coordination and cyclometalated compounds of palladium(II) and platinum(II)) have no or a weak interaction with plasmid DNA. We have found this to be the case for some coordination and organometallic compounds of gold(III) and organometallic derivatives of palladium(II) containing iminophosphorane ligands.^{20,22–24} Coordination iminophosphorane complexes of palladium and platinum have displayed interactions with DNA stronger but of a different nature than those exerted by cisplatin.^{22,24}

Human serum albumin (HSA) is the most abundant carrier protein in plasma and is able to bind a variety of substrates including metal cations, hormones and most therapeutic drugs. It has been demonstrated that the distribution, the free concentration and the metabolism of various drugs can be significantly altered as a result of their binding to the protein.⁵² HSA possesses three fluorophores, these being tryptophan (Trp), tyrosine (Tyr) and phenylalanine (Phe) residues, with Trp214 being the major contributor to the intrinsic fluorescence of HSA. This Trp fluorescence is sensitive to the environment and binding of substrates, as well as changes in conformation that can result in quenching (either dynamic or static).

Thus, the fluorescence spectra of HSA in the presence of increasing amounts of compounds **2–7** and cisplatin were recorded in the range of 300–450 nm upon excitation of the tryptophan residue at 295 nm (Fig. 4). The compounds caused a concentration dependent quenching of fluorescence without changing the emission maximum or shape of the peaks, as seen in Fig. 4(A) for compound **3**.

The fluorescence data were analyzed by the Stern-Volmer equation. While a linear Stern-Volmer plot is indicative of a single quenching mechanism, either dynamic or static, the positive deviation observed in the plots of F_0/F versus $[Q]$ of our compounds (Fig. 4) suggests the presence of different binding sites in the protein.⁵³ Of note, a similar behaviour was observed in the case of some coordination and organometallic iminophosphorane complexes of d⁸ metals for which we also reported a concentration dependent fluorescence quenching.^{23,24} In this graph higher quenching by the iminophosphorane complexes was observed compared to that of cisplatin under the chosen conditions, most likely due to the faster reactivity of our compounds with HSA compared to cisplatin.

In the case of $[\text{MCl}_2(\text{TPA}=\text{N}-\text{C}(\text{O})-2-\text{NC}_5\text{H}_4)]$ ($\text{M} = \text{Pd}, \text{Pt}$) isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC)²³ showed two different binding interactions which explained the lack of linearity observed in the fluorescence quenching studies, as the Stern-Volmer method assumes all binding sites to be equivalent. We believe that a similar reactivity takes place for the iminophosphorane compounds described here.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

All manipulations involving air-free syntheses were performed at an nitrogen vacuum manifold using standard Schlenk-line techniques under an argon atmosphere or in a glove-box MBraun MOD System. Solvents were purified by use of a PureSolv purification unit from Innovative Technology, Inc. The phosphine substrate TPA was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, $\text{K}[\text{AuCl}_4]$, AgClO_4 , $[\text{PdCl}_2(\text{COD})]$, $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ and PtCl_2 were purchased from Strem chemicals and used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded in a Bruker AV400 (^1H NMR at 400 MHz, ^{13}C NMR at 100.6 MHz, ^{31}P NMR at 161.9 MHz, ^{195}Pt NMR at 85.8 MHz). Chemical shifts (δ) are given in ppm using CD_3CN , CDCl_3 , d^6 -DMSO or D_2O as solvents. ^1H and ^{13}C resonances were measured relative to solvent peaks considering $^m\text{S} = 0$ ppm. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ was externally referenced to H_3PO_4 (85%) and $^{195}\text{Pt}\{^1\text{H}\}$ was referenced to $[\text{PtCl}_6]^{2-}$. Infrared spectra ($4000\text{--}250\text{ cm}^{-1}$) were recorded on a Nicolet 6700 FT-IR spectrophotometer from nujol mulls between polyethylene sheets. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin Elmer 2400 CHNS/O Analyzer, Series II. Mass spectra (ESI) were performed on an Agilent Analyzer and on a Bruker Analyzer. Conductivity was measured in an OAKTON PC 700 pH/conductivity meter in acetone solution. Electrophoresis experiments were carried out in a Bio-Rad Mini sub-cell GT horizontal electrophoresis system connected to a Bio-Rad Power Pac 300 power supply. Photographs of the gels were taken with an Alpha Innotech FluorChem 8900 camera. Fluorescence intensity measurements were carried out on a PTI QM-4/206 SE Spectrofluorometer (PTI, Birmingham, NJ) with right angle detection of fluorescence using a 1 cm path length quartz cuvette.

Synthesis

$\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-8\text{-C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N}$ (1).²⁷—An alternative method of synthesis was used: a solution of PPh_3 (2.59 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 was added dropwise to a solution of 8-Azidoquinoline²⁸ (2.59 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 at RT and allowed to react for 1 h. The solvent was reduced *in vacuo* to 2 mL and Et_2O (10 mL) was added. A pale-yellow solid precipitated and was filtered off and dried *in vacuo*. **1** was used without further purification. Yield: 0.857 g, 82%.

$[\text{Au}(\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-8\text{-C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N})-\kappa\text{-N,N})\text{Cl}_2]\text{X}$ [$\text{X} = \text{PF}_6^-$ (2); ClO_4^- (3)]—To $\text{K}[\text{AuCl}_4]$ (0.076 g, 0.2 mmol) in dry MeCN (10 mL), AgClO_4 (0.091 g, 0.44 mmol) for the obtention of **2** or AgPF_6 (0.111 g, 0.44 mmol) for the obtention of **3** in dry MeCN (5 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred in the darkness for 30 min and subsequently filtered through celite (to remove AgCl). **1** (0.081 g, 0.2 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) was added and the yellow solutions became purple instantly. After stirring for 30 min the reaction mixture was filtered through celite (to remove KClO_4) and then the solvent was reduced *in vacuo* to ~2 mL.

Upon addition of Et₂O (10 mL), a purple solid was obtained, which was washed with MeCN (<1 mL at a time) and Et₂O and dried *in vacuo*. **2**: Yield: 0.1198 g, 73%. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₁AuCl₂F₆N₂P₂ (817.28): C, 39.68; H, 2.59; N, 3.43. Found: C, 39.21; H, 2.36; N, 3.21. MS(ESI+) [m/z]: 671.0 [M - PF₆]⁺. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 39.6 (s), -142.5 (sept, PF₆⁻). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 6.66 (1H, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, H₇), 7.15 (1H, t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H₆), 7.62 (1H, d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, H₅), 7.62–7.82 (9H, m, H_{para} + H_{meta}), 8.04 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.3, 5.7 Hz, H₃), 8.13 (6H, dd, *J* = 12.6, 7.6 Hz, H_{ortho}), 8.85 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H₄), 9.44 (1H, d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, H₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 121.6 (s, C₉H₆N), 122.6 (s, C₉H₆N), 122.9 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, C₇), 123.6 (s, C₅), 123.9 (s, C₃), 128.8 (s, C₆), 128.9 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, C_{meta}), 131.4 (s, C_{ipso}), 134.2 (d, *J* = 10.6 Hz, C_{ortho}), 135.1 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, C_{para}), 144.4 (s, C₄), 146.1 (s, CN=P), 148.4 (s, C₂). IR (cm⁻¹): ν 370 (Au-Cl), 838 (v br, PF₆⁻), 1266 (P=N).

Conductivity (acetone): ν = 110 μS/cm. **3**: Yield: 0.109 g, 69%. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₁AuCl₃N₂O₄P (771.77): C, 42.02; H, 2.74; N, 3.63. Found: C, 42.08; H, 2.96; N, 3.66. MS(ESI+) [m/z]: 671.1 [M - ClO₄]⁺. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 39.6 (s). ¹H NMR (CD₃CN): δ 6.48 (1H, d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, H₇), 7.06 (1H, t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H₆), 7.49 (1H, d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, H₅), 7.62–7.82 (9H, m, H_{para} + H_{meta}), 7.93 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.0, 5.6 Hz, H₃), 8.16 (6H, dd, *J* = 12.6, 7.6 Hz, H_{ortho}), 8.67 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H₄), 9.41 (1H, d, *J* = 5.3 Hz, H₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₃CN): δ 121.5 (s, C₉H₆N), 122.4 (s, C₉H₆N), 122.6 (s, C₇), 124.1 (s, C₅), 124.2 (s, C₃), 128.4 (s, C₆), 130.2 (d, *J* = 13.3 Hz, C_{meta}), 131.5 (s, C_{ipso}), 134.1 (d, *J* = 10.5 Hz, C_{ortho}), 135.1 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, C_{para}), 144.0 (s, C₄), 145.8 (s, CN=P), 149.0 (s, C₂). IR (cm⁻¹): ν 368 (Au-Cl), 1083 (v, br) and 622 (ClO₄⁻), 1267 (P=N). Conductivity (acetone): ν = 128 μS/cm.

[Pd((Ph₃P=N-8-C₉H₆N)-κ-N,N)Cl₂] (4)—[PdCl₂(COD)] (0.041 g, 0.15 mmol) and **1** (0.042 g, 0.15 mmol) were dissolved in dry and degassed CH₂Cl₂ (4 mL) and left to react for 3 hours at room temperature after which an orange precipitate had formed. The solvent was then reduced to a minimum *in vacuo* and upon addition of Et₂O a pale orange solid was obtained which was washed twice with CHCl₃ and dried *in vacuo*. Solution of **1** (0.081 g, 0.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ was dropwise added to the a solution of PdCl₂(COD) (0.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ while stirring at RT and allowed to react for 30 min. The product was then concentrated under vacuum and precipitated with diethyl ether, producing a light orange solid. Yield: 0.098 g, 84%. Anal. Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₁Cl₂N₂PPd (581.77): C, 55.74; H, 3.64; N, 4.82. Found: C, 55.38; H, 3.65; N, 4.90. MS(ESI+) 509.0 [M-Cl₂]²⁺, 544.4 [M-Cl]⁺. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 30.0 (s). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 6.27 (1H, d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, H₇), 6.88 (1H, t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, H₆), 7.13 (1H, d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, H₅), 7.47 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.3, 5.2 Hz, H₃), 7.58–7.65 (9H, m, H_{para} + H_{meta}), 8.11–8.18 (6H, m, H_{ortho}), 8.22 (1H, d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, H₄), 9.26 (1H, dd, *J* = 5.2, 1.4 Hz, H₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 118.6 (s, C₇), 118.7 (s, C₅), 121.8 (s, C₃), 125.2 (s, C₉H₆N), 126.2 (s, C₉H₆N), 127.2 (s, C₆), 129.0 (d, *J* = 12.9 Hz, C_{meta}), 130.0 (s, C_{ipso}), 133.2 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, C_{para}), 133.8 (d, *J* = 9.8 Hz, C_{ortho}), 138.2 (s, C₄), 149.7 (s, CN=P), 150.2 (s, C₂). IR (cm⁻¹): ν 342 (Pd-Cl), 1268 (P=N). Conductivity (acetone): ν = 3.4 μS/cm.

[Pt(Ph₃P=N-8-C₉H₆N)-κ-N,N)Cl₂] (5)—A solution of **1** (0.1616 g, 0.4 mmol) in 20 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added to a suspension of PtCl₂ (0.1064 g, 0.4 mmol) in 15 mL and refluxed for 3 h. Upon completion a brown side-product was filtered off and the resulting yellow

solution was concentrated under vacuum. Upon addition of diethyl ether, a bright yellow solid was obtained. Yield: 0.1662 g, 62%. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{27}H_{21}Cl_2N_2Pt$ (670.43): C, 48.37; H, 3.16; N, 4.18. Found: C, 48.18; H, 3.14; N, 4.15. MS(ESI+) [m/z]: 635.1 [M-Cl]⁺. $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 31.3 (s). $^{195}Pt\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ -1930.3 (s). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 6.23 (1H, d, J = 7.9 Hz, H_7), 6.83 (1H, t, J = 7.9 Hz, H_6), 7.11 (1H, d, J = 8.0 Hz, H_5), 7.46 (1H, t, J = 8.3 Hz, H_3), 7.57–7.67 (9H, m, H_{para} + H_{meta}), 8.14 (6H, dd, J = 8.0, 8.2 Hz, H_{ortho}), 8.30 (1H, d, J = 8.1 Hz, H_4), 9.63 (1H, d, J = 5.0 Hz, H_2). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 118.8 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, C_7), 119.2 (s, C_5), 122.1 (s, C_3), 125.0 (s, C_9H_6N), 126.0 (s, C_9H_6N), 127.0 (s, C_6), 129.0 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, C_{meta}), 130.2 (s, C_{ipso}), 133.2 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, C_{para}), 133.8 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, C_{ortho}), 137.3 (s, C_4), 148.6 (s, C_2), 151.0 (s, CN=P). IR (cm^{-1}): ν 341 (Pt-Cl), 1266 (P=N). Conductivity (acetone): ν = 1.2 $\mu S/cm$.

[Pd(κ^3 -C,N,N-C₆H₄(PPh₂=N-8-C₉H₆N)Cl)] (6)—A solution of **1** (0.121 g, 0.3 mmol) in 20 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added to $Pd(OAc)_2$ (0.067 g, 0.3 mmol) in 10 mL CH_2Cl_2 . The mixture was allowed react overnight while stirring at RT. Excess LiCl (0.020 g, 0.47 mmol) in MeOH was then added and the mixture was left to react for 30 min. Concentration under vacuum and precipitation with diethyl ether afforded an orange solid. Yield: 0.133 g, 81%. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{27}H_{20}ClN_2PPd$ (545.31): C, 59.47; H, 3.70; N, 5.14. Found: C, 58.98; H, 3.72; N, 5.20. MS(ESI+) [m/z]: 544.4 [M], 509.3 [M - Cl]⁺. $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 43.4 (s). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 6.73 (1H, dd, J = 6.6, 1.7 Hz, H_7), 6.90 (1H, ddd, J = 11.3, 7.7, 1.4 Hz, H_d), 7.05 (1H, ddd, J = 12.8, 7.4, 1.1 Hz, H_c), 7.14–7.20 (2H, m, H_5 + H_6), 7.26 (1H, t, J = 7.7 Hz, H_b), 7.45 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 4.8 Hz, H_3), 7.53–7.61 (4H, m, H_{meta}), 7.65–7.61 (2H, m, H_{para}), 7.91–7.99 (4H, m, H_{ortho}), 8.17 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 1.5 Hz, H_4), 8.24 (1H, dd, J = 7.9, 1.6 Hz, H_a), 9.19 (1H, dd, J = 4.7, 1.6 Hz, H_2). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 115.7 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, C_7), 117.2 (s, C_5 + C_6), 121.9 (s, C_3), 124.6 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, C_d), 125.5 (s, C_9H_6N), 126.4 (s, C_9H_6N), 127.4 (s, C_5 + C_6), 128.8 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, C_c), 129.4 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, C_{meta}), 130.7 (s, C_{ipso}), 131.3 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, C_b), 133.1 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, C_{ortho}), 133.6 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, C_{para}), 137.7 (s, C_4), 138.6 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, C_a), 143.5 (d, J = 144.7 Hz, C_e , C-P), 147.2 (s, CN=P), 148.4 (s, C_2), 154.5 (d, J = 19.1 Hz, Cf, C-Pd). IR (cm^{-1}): ν 307 (Pd-Cl), 1285 (P=N). Conductivity (acetone): ν = 30.5 $\mu S/cm$.

[Pt(κ^3 -C,N,N-C₆H₄(PPh₂=N-8-C₉H₆N)Cl)] (7)—The coordination platinum(II) complex **5** (0.167 g, 0.25 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and the resulting solution refluxed for 3 days. After cooling at RT, the solution was concentrated under vacuum and 20 mL of diethyl ether were added. A yellow solid precipitated (**7**) which was filtered off, dried *in vacuo* and used without further purification. Yield: 0.1353 g, 87%. Anal. Calcd. for $C_{27}H_{20}ClN_2Pt \cdot H_2O$ (651.08): C, 49.74; H, 3.40; N, 4.30. Found: C, 49.77; H, 3.37; N, 4.20. MS (ESI+) [m/z]: 634.08 [M+H]⁺. $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 44.7 (s, $^2J_{Pt-P}$ = 426 Hz). $^{195}Pt\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ -2899.69 (d, $^2J_{P-Pt}$ = 419 Hz). 1H NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 6.74 (1H, t, J = 4.3 Hz, H_7), 6.93 (1H, dd, J = 11.7, 7.6 Hz, H_d), 7.06 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 6.9 Hz, H_c), 7.14–7.20 (2H, m, H_5 + H_6), 7.31 (1H, dd, J = 7.6 Hz, H_b), 7.51 (1H, dd, J = 8.3, 4.8 Hz, H_3), 7.55–7.60 (4H, m, H_{meta}), 7.69 (2H, t, J = 7.2 Hz, H_{para}), 7.95 (4H, dd, J = 12.3, 7.6 Hz, H_{ortho}), 8.23–8.29 (2H, m, H_4 + H_a), 9.47 (1H, d, J = 4.3 Hz, H_2). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR ($CDCl_3$): δ 116.1 (s, C_7), 117.8 (s, C_5 + C_6), 122.1 (s, C_3), 124.0 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, C_c), 125.2 (s, C_9H_6N), 126.0 (s, C_9H_6N), 127.5 (s, C_5 + C_6), 129.1 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, C_d), 129.5 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, C_{meta}),

131.1 (d, $J = 3.2$ Hz, C_b), 131.3 (s, C_{ipso}), 133.2 (d, $J = 10.5$ Hz, C_{ortho}), 133.7 (d, $J = 2.8$ Hz, C_{para}), 137.1 (d, $J = 13.8$ Hz, C_a), 137.6 (s, C₄), 142.7 (d, $J = 138.4$ Hz, C_e, C-P), 147.4 (s, CN=P), 147.7 (s, C₂), signal corresponding to C-Pt (Cf) not observable. IR (cm⁻¹): ν 319 (Pt-Cl), 1286 (P=N). Conductivity (acetone): $\Lambda = 5.7$ μ S/cm.

Luminescence Studies

Absorption spectra in solution were recorded with a Unicam UV-4 spectrophotometer. Steady-state photoluminescence spectra were recorded with a Jobin-Yvon Horiba Fluorolog FL-3-11 spectrofluorimeter using band pathways of 3 nm for both excitation and emission. Phosphorescence lifetimes were recorded with a Fluoromax phosphorimeter accessory containing a UV xenon flash tube at a flash rate between 0.05 and 25 Hz. The lifetime data were fit using the Jobin-Yvon software package and the Origin 7.0 program.

Cell culture and inhibition of cell growth

The human lung cancer cell line A549 and the human ovarian cancer cell line A2780 and the human (obtained from the European Centre of Cell Cultures ECACC, Salisbury, UK) were cultured in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium) and RPMI, respectively, containing GlutaMaxI supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (all from Invitrogen), at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% of air and 5% CO₂ (Heraeus, Germany). Non-tumoral human embryonic kidney cells HEK293 were kindly provided by Dr. Maria Pia Rigobello (CNRS, Padova, Italy), and were cultivated in DMEM medium, added with GlutaMaxI (containing 10% FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (all from Invitrogen) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂. For evaluation of growth inhibition, cells were seeded in 96-well plates (Costar, Integra Biosciences, Cambridge, MA) and grown for 24 h in complete medium. Solutions of the compounds were prepared by diluting a freshly prepared stock solution (in DMSO) of the corresponding compound in aqueous media. Afterwards, the intermediate dilutions of the compounds were added to the wells (100 μ L) to obtain a final concentration ranging from 0 to 150 μ M, and the cells were incubated for 72 h. DMSO at comparable concentrations did not show any effects on cell cytotoxicity. Following 72 h drug exposure, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) was added to the cells at a final concentration of 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ incubated for 2 h, then the culture medium was removed and the violet formazan (artificial chromogenic precipitate of the reduction of tetrazolium salts by dehydrogenases and reductases) dissolved in DMSO. The optical density of each well (96-well plates) was quantified three times in tetraplicates at 540 nm using a multiwell plate reader, and the percentage of surviving cells was calculated from the ratio of absorbance of treated to untreated cells. The IC₅₀ value was calculated as the concentration reducing the proliferation of the cells by 50% and is presented as a mean ($\pm$ SE) of at least three independent experiments.

Interaction of metal complexes with plasmid (pBR322) DNA by Electrophoresis (Shift Mobility Assay)

10 μ L aliquots of pBR322 plasmid DNA (20 μ g/mL) in buffer (5 mM Tris/HCl, 50 mM NaClO₄, pH = 7.39) were incubated with molar ratios between 0.25 and 4.0 of the compounds at 37 °C for 20 h in the darkness. Samples of free DNA and cisplatin-DNA adduct were prepared also as controls. After the incubation period, 2 μ L of loading dye were

added to the samples and of these mixtures, only 7 μL were finally loaded into the gel. The samples were separated by electrophoresis in 1% (w/v) agarose gel for 1.5 h at 80 V in Tris-acetate/EDTA buffer (TAE). Afterwards, the gel was dyed for 30 min with a solution of GelRed Nucleic Acid stain.

Interaction of metal complexes with HSA by Fluorescence Spectroscopy

The excitation wavelength was adjusted at 295 nm, and the emission spectra were recorded at room temperature in the range of 300 to 450 nm. The fluorescence intensities of the new compounds, the buffer and the DMSO are negligible under these conditions, and so is the effect of additions of pure DMSO to the fluorescence of HSA. An 8 mM solution of each compound in DMSO was prepared and ten aliquots of 2.5 μL were added successively to a solution of HSA (10 μM) in phosphate buffer (pH = 7.39), the fluorescence being measured after each addition.

The data was analyzed using the classical Stern-Volmer equation $\left(\frac{F_0}{F} = 1 + K_{SV}[Q]\right)$.

Conclusions

In conclusion we have prepared a series of luminescent iminophosphorane complexes of gold(III), palladium(II) and platinum(II) derived from 8-aminoquinoline. As previously noted, the stability of coordination iminophosphorane complexes is lower than that of their cyclometalated counterparts (especially in the case of gold(III) derivatives). The coordination palladium(II) and platinum(II) compounds can evolve further, under appropriate conditions, to give stable cyclometalated *endo* species $[\text{M}\{\kappa^3\text{-C,N,N-C}_6\text{H}_4(\text{PPh}_2=\text{N-8-C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N})\}\text{Cl}]$ (M = Pd, Pt) by C-H activation of the phenyl group of the PPh_3 fragment. All the cyclometalated compounds are very stable in DMSO solution. The compounds exhibit important cytotoxic effects in the low micromolar range in a human ovarian cancer cell line (A2780S), and in particular the Pd(II) derivatives (monometallic coordination **4** and cyclopalladated **6**) show promising selectivity being poorly toxic for the non-tumorigenic human embryonic kidney cell line (HEK-293T).

Studies of the interactions of the compounds with plasmid (pBR322) DNA indicate that (unless they lose the iminophosphorane skeleton in solution) they have none or little interaction with DNA supporting the idea of different mechanisms of action than cisplatin. The complexes display a concentration dependent fluorescence quenching of HSA which has been correlated to a faster reactivity of our compounds with HSA compared to cisplatin.

Although, unfortunately, the luminescence properties of the compounds did not allow us to detect them in cells via fluorescence microscopy, these results will allow us to design new IM complexes in order to achieve better stability in biological media and to increase the fluorescence detection limits. More specifically we will focus on the synthesis of cyclometalated IM derivatives containing luminescent phosphines.

Notably, the results described herein and previous reports of our group^{20–24} support the idea that cyclometalated iminophosphorane compounds of d^8 transition metals are an attractive

type of compounds which exert anticancer effects *in vitro* based on different mechanisms of action with respect to cisplatin.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Research at Brooklyn College was supported by a grant from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS), SC2GM082307 and a grant from the National Cancer Institute (NCI) 1SC1CA182844 (M.C.). We thank the University of Groningen (Rosalind Franklin Fellowship, A.C.) and the New York Louis Stokes Alliance for Minority Participation (Fellowship to undergraduate student F.B.) for funding. The Spanish team thanks the MINECO “Ministerio de Ciencia y Economía” (CTQ2011-22589) and Gobierno de Aragón (Dpto. de Ciencia, Tecnología y Universidad) for financial support (J.J.). EU COST Action CM1105 is gratefully acknowledged for providing opportunities of discussion and for financial support. We thank Dr. Esteban P. Urriolabeitia for useful discussions.

Notes and references

1. Thayer AM. Chem Eng News. 2010; 88(26):24–28.
2. Kelland L. Nat Rev Cancer. 2007; 7:573. [PubMed: 17625587]
3. Alessio, E. Bioinorganic Medicinal Chemistry. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim, Germany: 2011.
4. Noffke AL, Habtemarian A, Pizarro AM, Sadler PJ. Chem Commun. 2012; 48:5219.
5. Aris SM, Farrell NP. Eur J Inorg Chem. 2009;1293. [PubMed: 20161688]
6. Berners-Price SJ, Filipovska A. Metallomics. 2011; (41):279.
7. Komeda S, Casini A. Curr Top Med Chem. 2012; 12:219. [PubMed: 22236158]
8. Bergamo A, Gaiddon C, Schellens JHM, Beijnen JH, Sava G. J Inorg Biochem. 2012; 106:90. [PubMed: 22112845]
9. Casini A. J Inorg Biochem. 2012; 109:97. [PubMed: 22342074]
10. Nobili S, Mini E, Landini I, Gabbiani C, Casini A, Messori L. Med Res Rev. 2010; 30:550. [PubMed: 19634148]
11. Casini A, Hartinger C, Gabbiani C, Mini E, Dyson PJ, Keppler BK, Messori L. J Inorg Biochem. 2008; 102:564. [PubMed: 18177942]
12. Bergamo A, Sava G. Dalton Trans. 2011; 40:7817. [PubMed: 21629963]
13. Pizarro AM, Habtemarian A, Sadler P. Topics Organomet Chem. 2010; 32:21.
14. Casini A, Reedijk J. Chem Sci. 2012; 3:3135.
15. Schuh E, Pfluger C, Citta A, Folda A, Rigobello MP, Bindoli A, Casini A, Mohr F. J Med Chem. 2012; 55:5518. [PubMed: 22621714]
16. Berners-Price SJ, Filipovska. Metallomics. 2011; 3:863. [PubMed: 21755088]
17. Dalla Via L, Nardon C, Fregona D. Future Med Chem. 2012; 4:525. [PubMed: 22416778]
18. Serratrice M, Edafe F, Mendes F, Scopelliti R, Zakeeruddin SM, Gratzel M, Santos I, Cinellu MA, Casini A. Dalton Trans. 2012; 41:3287. [PubMed: 22289927]
19. Mendes F, Groessel M, Nazarov AA, Tsybin YO, Sava G, Santos I, Dyson PJ, Casini A. J Med Chem. 2011; 54:2196. [PubMed: 21370912]
20. Shaik N, Martínez A, Augustin I, Giovinazzo H, Varela A, Aguilera R, Sanaú M, Contel M. Inorg Chem. 2009; 48:1577. [PubMed: 19146434]
21. Vela L, Contel M, Palomera L, Azaceta G, Marzo I. J Inorg Biochem. 2011; 105:1306. [PubMed: 21864808]
22. Carreira M, Calvo-Sanjuán R, Sanaú M, Zhao X, Magliozzo RS, Marzo I, Contel M. J Inorg Biochem. 2012; 116:204. [PubMed: 23063789]
23. Carreira M, Calvo-Sanjuán R, Sanaú M, Marzo I, Contel M. Organometallics. 2012; 31:5772. [PubMed: 23066172]

24. Lease N, Vasilevski V, Carreira M, de Almeida A, Sanaú M, Hirva P, Casini A, Contel M. *J Med Chem.* 2013; 56:5806. [PubMed: 23786413]
25. Ma DL, He HZ, Leung KH, Chan DSH, Leung CH. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2013; 52:2.
26. Barnard PJ, Wedlock LE, Baker MV, Berners-Price SJ, Joyce DA, Skelton BW, Steer JH. *Angew Chem Int Ed.* 2006; 45:5966.
27. Tasan S, Zava O, Bertrand B, Berhard C, Goze C, Picquet M, Le Gendre P, Harvey P, Denat F, Casini A, Bodio E. *Dalton Trans.* 2013; 42:6102. [PubMed: 23232925]
28. Abe N, Fujii H, Tahara K, Shiro M. *Heterocycles.* 2001; 55:1659.
29. Liu Q, Tor Y. *Org Lett.* 2003; 5:2751. [PubMed: 12868906]
30. Vicente J, Abad JA, Clemente R, Lopez-Serrano J, Jones PG, Bautista D. *Organometallics.* 2003; 22:4248.
31. Falvello LR, Gracia MM, Lazaro I, Navarro R, Urriolabeitia EP. *New J Chem.* 1999; 35:227.
32. Aguilar D, Contel M, Navarro R, Soler T, Urriolabeitia EP. *J Organomet Chem.* 2009; 694:486.
33. Bennett MA, Contel M, Hockless DCR, Welling LL, Willis AC. *Inorg Chem.* 2002; 41:844. [PubMed: 11849085]
34. Aguilar D, Aragues MA, Bielsa R, Serrano E, Navarro R, Urriolabeitia EP. *Organometallics.* 2007; 26:3541.
35. Bielsa R, Navarro R, Urriolabeitia EP, Lledos A. *Inorg Chem.* 2007; 46:10133. [PubMed: 17975914]
36. Bielsa R, Larrea A, Navarro R, Soler T, Urriolabeitia EP. *Eur J Inorg Chem.* 2005:1724.
37. Aguilar D, Bielsa R, Contel M, Lledos A, Navarro R, Soler T, Urriolabeitia EP. *Organometallics.* 2008; 27:2929.
38. Williams, JAG. *Photochemistry and Photophysics of Coordination Compounds II.* Balzani, V.; Campagna, S., editors. Vol. 281. Springer-Verlag; Berlin: 2007. p. 205
39. Kozhevnikov DN, Kozhevnikov VN, Shafikov MZ, Prokhorov AM, Bruce DW, Williams JAG. *Inorg Chem.* 2011; 50:3804. and references therein. [PubMed: 21428319]
40. Vezzu DAK, Deaton JC, Jones JS, Bartolotti L, Harris CF, Marchetti AP, Kondakova M, Pike RD, Huo SQ. *Inorg Chem.* 2010; 49:5107. [PubMed: 20426482]
41. Rausch AF, Murphy L, Williams JAG, Yersin H. *Inorg Chem.* 2012; 51:312. [PubMed: 22129080]
42. Sicilia V, Fuertes S, Martín A, Palacios A. *Organometallics.* 2013; 32:4092.
43. Vogler A, Kunkely H. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2001; 219:489.
44. Bronner C, Wenger OS. *Dalton Trans.* 2011; 40:12409. [PubMed: 21701744]
45. Dabrowiak, JC. *Metals in Medicine.* Vol. Ch 4. John Wiley and Sons, Ltd; Chichester, UK: 2009. p. 109
46. Liu HK, Sadler P. *Acc Chem Res.* 2011; 44:349. [PubMed: 21446672]
47. For example: Ruíz J, Cutillas N, Vicente C, Villa MD, López G, Lorenzo J, Avilés FX, Moreno V, Bautista D. *Inorg Chem.* 2005; 44:7365. [PubMed: 16212362]
48. Gao E, Zhu M, Liu L, Huang Y, Wang L, Shi S, Chuyue Z, Sun Y. *Inorg Chem.* 2010; 49:3261. [PubMed: 20199051]
49. Quiroga A, Pérez JM, López-Solera I, Masaguer JR, Luque A, Román P, Edwards A, Alonso C, Navarro-Ranninger C. *J Med Chem.* 1998; 41:1399. [PubMed: 9554873]
50. Gao E-J, Wang K-H, Zhu M-C, Liu L. *Eur J Med Chem.* 2010; 45:2784. [PubMed: 20359787]
51. Fox, K. *Methods in Mol Biol.* Humana Press Inc; Totowa, NJ: 1997. *Drug-DNA Interact Protocols.*
52. Timerbaev AR, Hartinger CG, Aleksenko SS, Keppler BK. *Chem Rev.* 2006; 106:2224. [PubMed: 16771448]
53. Lacowicz, JR. *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy.* Vol. Ch 8. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; New York: 1999. p. 238

Figure 1. Molecular structure of the cation in compound $[\text{Au}((\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-8-\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N})-\kappa\text{-N,N})\text{Cl}_2]\text{ClO}_4$ **3** and of the compound $[\text{Pd}((\text{Ph}_3\text{P}=\text{N}-8-\text{C}_9\text{H}_6\text{N})-\kappa\text{-N,N})\text{Cl}_2]$ **4** with the atomic numbering scheme.

Figure 2. Study of the luminescence of compound **6** in DMSO solution 5×10^{-4} M at RT over time (24 hours).

Figure 3. Electrophoresis mobility shift assays for cisplatin and compounds **2–7** (see Experimental for details). DNA refers to untreated plasmid pBR322. *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* correspond to metal/DNA bp ratios of 0.25, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 respectively.

Figure 4.

(a) Fluorescence titration curve of HSA with compound **3**. Arrow indicates the increase of quencher concentration (10–100 mM). (b) Stern-Volmer plot for HSA fluorescence quenching observed with compounds **2–7** and cisplatin.

Scheme 1.
Preparation of the new luminescent metallic complexes 2–7.

Chart 1.
Selected iminophosphorane (IM) d⁸ transition metal complexes with significant anticancer properties prepared in our group.^{20–24}

Table 1Selected bond lengths [$\text{\AA}$] and angles [$^\circ$] for complexes **3** and **4**.

3		4	
Au(1)-N(2)	1.998(8)	Pd(1)-N(2)	2.034(5)
Au(1)- N(1)	2.045(13)	Pd(1)- N(1)	2.060(5)
Au(1)-Cl(2)	2.254(3)	Pd(1)-Cl(2)	2.2738(15)
Au(1)-Cl(1)	2.278(5)	Pd(1)-Cl(1)	2.2903(15)
P(1)-N(1)	1.656(11)	P(1)-N(1)	1.622(5)
N(2)-Au(1)-N(1)	80.5(4)	N(2)-Pd(1)-N(1)	80.5(2)
N(2)-Au(1)-Cl(2)	172.7(3)	N(2)-Pd(1)-Cl(2)	170.63(15)
N(2)-Au(1)-Cl(1)	95.1(3)	N(2)-Pd(1)-Cl(1)	93.62(15)
N(1)-Au(1)-Cl(2)	95.4(3)	N(1)-Pd(1)-Cl(2)	95.51(13)
N(1)-Au(1)-Cl(1)	175.7(3)	N(1)-Pd(1)-Cl(1)	173.73(14)
Cl(2)-Au(1)-Cl(1)	88.88(15)	Cl(2)-Pd(1)-Cl(1)	89.91(6)

Table 2Luminescent spectral data and lifetime measurement for the compounds **1–7**.

Compound	λ_{max} , solution (298 K) ^a	
	λ_{exc}	λ_{em} [τ (μs)]
1	296, 336, 370, 407	521[10]
2	290, 350 sh, 371 sh, 400	513[11]
3	294, 337, 370, 404	517 [10]
4	298 sh, 342, 368 sh	496 [7]
5	306, 343, 377 sh, 390	513 [12]
6	294, 341 sh, 378, 402	486 [10]
7	348 sh, 373, 440	645, 677 [8], 702 sh

^aData of wavelength are given in nm. Data using DMSO as solvent at room temperature, 5×10^{-4} M.

Table 3

IC₅₀ (μM) of ligand **1**, PPh₃ oxide and metal complexes **2–7**, and cisplatin in human cell lines.^a

	A2780	A549	HEK-293T
PPh ₃ =O	> 100	> 100	> 100
1	> 100	> 100	> 100
2 *	6.35 ± 0.69	25.1 ± 3.8	21.6 ± 5.1
4	11.0 ± 1.5	62.5 ± 3.7	53.5 ± 10.4
5	3.33 ± 0.14	19.5 ± 6.5	10.8 ± 0.8
6	13.2 ± 2.1	86.5 ± 2.5	66.0 ± 5.5
7	3.56 ± 0.70	4.60 ± 0.50	2.64 ± 0.87
cisplatin	3.90 ± 1.80	8.0 ± 0.5	11.0 ± 2.9

^aMTT assay (see experimental). All compounds were dissolved in 1% of DMSO and diluted with water before addition to cell culture medium for a 72 h incubation period. Cisplatin was dissolved in H₂O.

^bSince the biological activity of cationic iminophosphorane gold compounds is due to the cation, **2** was used to evaluate the biological activity of compounds **2** and **3** with identical cations.