Published in final edited form as:

Hum Genet. 2016 October ; 135(10): 1145–1159. doi:10.1007/s00439-016-1707-1.

Genetic variants in microRNA and microRNA biogenesis pathway genes and breast cancer risk among women of African ancestry

Frank Qian¹, Ye Feng², Yonglan Zheng¹, Temidayo O. Ogundiran³, Oladosu Ojengbede⁴, Wei Zheng⁵, William Blot⁵, Christine B. Ambrosone⁶, Esther M. John^{7,8}, Leslie Bernstein⁹, Jennifer J. Hu¹⁰, Regina G. Ziegler¹¹, Sarah Nyante¹², Elisa V. Bandera¹³, Sue A. Ingles², Michael F. Press¹⁴, Katherine L. Nathanson¹⁵, Anselm Hennis¹⁶, Barbara Nemesure¹⁷, Stefan Ambs¹⁸, Laurence N. Kolonel¹⁹, Olufunmilayo I. Olopade¹, Christopher A. Haiman², and Dezheng Huo²⁰

¹Department of Medicine, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

²Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine and Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³Department of Surgery, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

⁴Center for Population and Reproductive Health, College of Medicine, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

⁵Division of Epidemiology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

⁶Roswell Park Cancer Institute, Buffalo, NY, USA

⁷Cancer Prevention Institute of California, Fremont, CA, USA

⁸Department of Health Research and Policy (Epidemiology) and Stanford Cancer Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine Stanford, CA, USA

⁹Division of Cancer Etiology, Department of Population Science, Beckman Research Institute, City of Hope, Duarte, CA, USA

¹⁰Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center and Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of Miami Miller School of Medicine, Miami, FL, USA

¹¹Epidemiology and Biostatistics Program, Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, DC, USA

¹²Department of Epidemiology, Gillings School of Global Public Health, and Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

Corresponding author: Dr. Dezheng Huo, 5841 S. Maryland Ave., MC 2007, Chicago, Illinois 60637. Phone: (773) 834-0843; dhuo@health.bsd.uchicago.edu.

Compliance with ethical standards

The authors declare no conflicts of interest. This study is compliant with the ethical standards of human research. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

¹³Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA

¹⁴Department of Pathology, Keck School of Medicine and Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

¹⁵Department of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

¹⁶Chronic Disease Research Centre and Tropical Medicine Research Institute, University of the West Indies, Bridgetown, Barbados

¹⁷Department of Preventive Medicine, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY, USA

¹⁸Laboratory of Human Carcinogenesis, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA

¹⁹Epidemiology Program, University of Hawaii Cancer Center, Honolulu, HI, USA

²⁰Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

Abstract

Background—MicroRNAs (miRNA) regulate breast biology by binding to specific RNA sequences, leading to RNA degradation and inhibition of translation of their target genes. While germline genetic variations may disrupt some of these interactions between miRNAs and their targets, studies assessing the relationship between genetic variations in the miRNA network and breast cancer risk are still limited, particularly among women of African ancestry.

Methods—We systematically put together a list of 822 and 10,468 genetic variants among primary miRNA sequences and 38 genes in the miRNA biogenesis pathway, respectively; and examined their association with breast cancer risk in the ROOT consortium which includes women of African ancestry. Findings were replicated in an independent consortium. Logistic regression was used to estimate the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results—For overall breast cancer risk, three single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in miRNA biogenesis genes *DROSHA* rs78393591 (OR=0.69, 95% CI: 0.55–0.88, $P=0.003$), *ESR1* rs523736 (OR=0.88, 95% CI: 0.82–0.95, $P=3.99\times 10^{-4}$), and *ZCCHC11* rs114101502 (OR=1.33, 95% CI: 1.11–1.59, $P=0.002$) and one SNP in primary miRNA sequence (rs116159732 in miR-6826, OR=0.74, 95% CI: 0.63–0.89, $P=0.001$) were found to have significant associations in both discovery and validation phases. In a subgroup analysis, two SNPs were associated with risk of estrogen receptor (ER)-negative breast cancer and three SNPs were associated with risk of ER-positive breast cancer.

Conclusion—Several variants in miRNA and miRNA biogenesis pathway genes were associated with breast cancer risk. Risk associations varied by ER status, suggesting potential new mechanisms in etiology.

Keywords

Breast Neoplasms; Genome Wide Association Studies; microRNA; African Americans; Biogenesis

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer among women world-wide and is a leading cause of cancer death (Coughlin and Ekwueme 2009). While common in high-income countries, the incidence of breast cancer is also increasing rapidly in many middle and low-income countries, including Sub-Saharan Africa (Parkin et al. 2008; Sitas et al. 2008). Numerous high-risk genes, such as *BRCA1* and *BRCA2*, and low-penetrance common genetic variants have been identified as breast cancer risk factors, but a large proportion of heritability remains unexplained, suggesting that there are still uncharacterized genetic variants that modify the risk of breast cancer. Furthermore, already identified variants that affect breast cancer risk may not do so in all population groups because of differences in population characteristics (Chen et al. 2011; Chen et al. 2014; Yao et al. 2013; Zheng et al. 2013).

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are ~22 nucleotide long, single-stranded RNA molecules that function in post-transcriptional gene regulation by binding to messenger RNA (mRNA) and leading to mRNA degradation (Kim 2005; Kim et al. 2009; Krol et al. 2010). The role of miRNAs in the initiation and progression of cancer has been confirmed in numerous studies and is a notable source of interest for developing targeted cancer therapy (Blenkiron and Miska 2007; He et al. 2015; Lee and Dutta 2009; Lin and Gregory 2015; Nana-Sinkam and Croce 2013; Ryan et al. 2010; Serpico et al. 2014). Furthermore, the genes involved in the synthesis of miRNAs have also been of interest given some evidence of association with cancer risk (De Santa et al. 2013; Gregory and Shiekhattar 2005; Ha and Kim 2014). miRNAs have frequently been found to be located in fragile sites (FRA) or cancer-associated genomic regions (CAGRs), including those implicated in breast cancer (Calin et al. 2004). Several studies have examined the single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of miRNA biogenesis genes and their association with breast cancer risk among Asian populations, but the observations are rather inconclusive (Jiang et al. 2013; Sung et al. 2011; Sung et al. 2012). To our knowledge, there has been no large scale study that has taken a genome-wide approach of screening SNPs in the miRNA network for their association with breast cancer risk among women of African ancestry.

In this case-control study, we hypothesized that SNPs in miRNA and miRNA biogenesis genes are associated with risk of breast cancer and that this risk is further modified by factors such as hormone receptor status. Through a literature review, we identified 35 genes involved in miRNA biogenesis and three additional genes known to be involved in the hormonal regulation of miRNA biogenesis (Alanazi et al. 2013; Krol et al. 2010; Leaderer et al. 2011; Sung et al. 2011; Sung et al. 2012; Tchatchou et al. 2009). In addition, we examined genetic variants found in primary miRNA sequences using the miRBase version 20 (<http://www.mirbase.org/>) (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2006). We comprehensively evaluated these genetic variants in the Genome-Wide Association Study of Breast Cancer in the African Diaspora - the ROOT consortium, and validated the findings in independent samples from the African American Breast Cancer consortium (AABC).

Materials and Methods

Study participants

The study populations of the ROOT consortium have been described previously (Boersma et al. 2006; Huo et al. 2012; Nemesure et al. 2009; Qian et al. 2014; Zheng et al. 2013). Briefly, this study included 3,686 participants of African ancestry (1,657 breast cancer cases and 2,029 controls). Ascertainment of cases and controls occurred in Ibadan, Nigeria (711 cases and 624 controls), Barbados (92 cases and 229 controls), and four sites in the USA (854 cases and 1,176 controls). Samples in the replication stage of the study were from the AABC consortium, previously described in detail (Chen et al. 2013). In brief, the AABC consists of 5,984 African Americans (3,153 cases and 2,831 controls) from nine case-control studies (John et al. 2007a; John et al. 2007b; Kolonel et al. 2000; Marchbanks et al. 2002; Newman et al. 1995; Prorok et al. 2000; Signorello et al. 2005; Smith et al. 2008).

SNP genotyping and imputation

Genotyping in the ROOT consortium was conducted using the Illumina HumanOmni2.5–8v1 array, which included approximately 2.4 million genetic variants. Genotyping was attempted for a total of 3,909 study samples, of which 3,859 were successful. These samples were derived from 3,774 participants, with 85 of these with duplicate samples. After genotyping, quality control analysis was carried out by checking gender discordance, chromosomal anomalies, participant relatedness, population structure, missing call rates, batch effects, duplicate sample discordance, Mendelian errors, Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, and duplicate SNP probes. First, duplicate samples from 85 pairs were excluded. Chromosomal anomalies > 5 Mb and anomalies on chromosomes where the sum of the anomaly lengths > 10 Mb were identified. No samples were excluded for this reason but all genotypes were set to missing for regions with chromosome anomalies. According to the identical by descent analysis, samples from 59 participants were excluded because they were possibly relatives of other participants. Additionally, 20 samples (18 African Americans and 2 African Barbadians) were excluded because principal components analysis showed that they were clustered with the CEU/TSI HapMap populations (i.e., high percentage of European ancestry). We further filtered out 7 samples with a missing call rate > 2% and 2 samples with possible tumor contamination. After these exclusions, a total of 3,686 participants (1,657 cases and 2,029 controls) were included for the final analysis. After SNP level quality control analysis, genotype imputation was conducted using IMPUTE2 software (Howie et al. 2009). With the 1000 Genomes Project phase I integrated variant set as the reference panel, 16,147,413 were imputed and passed the imputation quality filter (imputation score > 0.3).

Genotyping in the AABC consortium was conducted using the Illumina Human1M-Duo BeadChip (Chen et al. 2013). Samples based on the following exclusion criteria were removed: (1) unexpected replicates (< 98.9% genetically identical) that were confirmed through discussions with study investigators; (2) unknown replicates that could not be confirmed; (3) samples with call rates < 95% after a second genotyping attempt; (4) samples with < 5% African ancestry; and (5) samples with < 15% mean heterozygosity of SNPs on the X chromosome and/or similar mean allele intensities of SNPs on the X and Y

chromosomes, as these are likely to be males. African ancestry was assessed using principal components analysis and the first eigenvector was used to distinguish between participants of African and those of other ancestries. This was confirmed by using input genotypes from the HapMap populations, CEU (CEPH Utah), YRI (Yoruba), and JPT (Japanese). Lastly, SNPs with <95 % call rate were removed.

A total of 17,291 candidate SNPs were extracted from the 38 miRNA biogenesis genes (Supplemental Table S1) using the dbSNP database (National Center for Biotechnology Information), including all SNPs found 5kb upstream and downstream of the known coding region. SNPs in this list were then matched to the ones present in stage 1 of our study. For miRNA variants, using the NCBI build 37 positions, 1,283 SNPs in our study that laid within the starting and ending positions of the primary miRNA sequence in miRBase version 20 were extracted. Variants that had MAF < 0.01 among controls or imputation score <0.7 were excluded from the stage 1 analysis. After these selections, 10,468 SNPs in biogenesis genes and 822 SNPs in the miRNA sequences were included in the association analysis.

Statistical analysis

Case-control differences in demographic and disease characteristics were compared using chi-squared tests for categorical variables and t-tests for continuous variables. Within each of the SNPs identified in miRNA or miRNA biogenesis genes in stage 1, we identified the most significant markers by testing the association of each SNP with breast cancer risk using logistic regression models, adjusting for age, study site (Nigeria, USA, or Barbados), and the first 10 eigenvectors from the principal component analysis (PCA). In both the discovery and replication stages, the first ten principal components were computed using the smartpca program in the EIGENSOFT package (Patterson et al. 2006). No eigenvectors from the principal component analysis were strongly associated with case status after controlling for the matching factors, DNA source, and study. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated in logistic regressions as estimates for allele dosage effect using SNPTEST v2.5 (Oxford University). As an exploratory analysis, we also examined whether SNPs were associated with risk of estrogen receptor (ER)-negative or ER-positive breast cancer. SNPs with $P < 0.05$ in stage 1 were examined in the stage 2 replication study in AABC. ORs and 95% CIs were estimated using unconditional logistic regression, adjusting for age, the first 10 eigenvectors from the PCA, and study site. An overall odds ratio or OR_{meta} , its 95% CI, and P -value were estimated by inverse-variance weighting of the stage 1 and 2 results.

The top SNP signal in each gene was determined by the smallest P -value from the genetic association test. After identifying the top signal, a conditional analysis was conducted by including this SNP in the genetic association test model as a covariate and examining whether there were additional signals that reached statistical significance. SNPs found to be statistically significant in the same miRNA biogenesis gene were examined for linkage disequilibrium (LD) using HaploReg v2 (Broad Institute, Massachusetts Institute of Technology) using $r^2 > 0.2$ as the threshold.

We performed corrections for multiple testing by estimating number of independent variants for each miRNA biogenesis gene. Using Haploview (Barrett et al. 2005), we identified the

number of SNPs that can tag all SNPs with $MAF \geq 0.05$ in the genomic region 5kb upstream and downstream of each miRNA biogenesis gene for Yoruba in Ibadan, Nigeria (YRI) population from the International HapMap Project. The method of aggressive tagging was used in which up to two SNPs can be used to tag a SNP if they are in LD with $r^2 > 0.8$. The regional corrected alpha level was calculated by dividing 0.05 by the number of tagging SNPs in each region, whereas a genome-wide correction was calculated by dividing by the total number of SNPs from all regions. For miRNA variants, we applied a genome-wide correction based on the number of SNPs we tested that had $MAF \geq 0.05$ in our stage 1 population.

Statistical analysis was conducted using the SAS 9.3 package (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and Stata 14.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). All P -values are two-sided and a P -value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for demographic and disease characteristics and SNP identification in stage 1 analysis.

Results

The initial analysis included 1,657 cases and 2,029 controls among women of African ancestry from Nigeria, USA, and Barbados (Table 1). The mean age of cases was 49.3 years whereas the mean age of controls was 48.4. The majority of cases and controls in the sample were African American. Compared to controls, higher proportions of cases were post-menopausal, had a family history of breast cancer, and had ever consumed alcohol. Controls had a higher mean BMI (29.7) than cases (28.4) and were more likely to have ever used oral contraceptives. In the replication analysis in AABC consortium, 3,153 cases and 2,831 controls were analyzed. The age of the cases and controls in the replication sample ranged from 22 to 87 years, with median ages of 55 and 58 years, respectively (Table 1). Roughly 11% of the participants in both our discovery study and the replication sample had a first-degree family history of breast cancer. Approximately 60% of the cases in the replication sample had ER positive disease, which is slightly higher than the percentage in the discovery study.

Across the miRNAs and 38 miRNA biogenesis genes, 1,743 SNPs (1,638 from biogenesis genes and 105 from miRNA) were significantly associated with breast cancer risk at significant level of 0.05 in stage 1. Out of these SNPs, 575 were associated with overall breast cancer risk (Supplementary Table S2). In addition, 736 were associated with ER-negative breast cancer risk (Supplementary Table S3) whereas 676 were associated with ER-positive breast cancer risk (Supplementary Table S4). These SNPs were further examined in stage 2 of our study.

Using Haploview, we found that 622 SNPs could be used to tag all SNPs with $MAF > 0.05$ in the miRNA biogenesis genes and gene-level multiple testing corrected significance level were presented in Supplementary Table S1. The genome-wide corrected alpha level for the SNPs in the miRNA biogenesis genes was calculated to be 8.04×10^{-5} . For SNPs in primary miRNA sequences, we found that 439 of the SNPs we tested had $MAF \geq 0.05$, which can be used to calculate a genome-wide corrected alpha level of 1.14×10^{-4} . These corrected alpha-values were applied to identify significant variants in the pooled analysis.

Table 2 displays the 14 individual SNPs from miRNA biogenesis pathway genes that were associated with overall breast cancer risk in pooled analysis. The most significant SNPs in each miRNA biogenesis pathway gene are presented. Three SNPs were consistently found to be associated with breast cancer risk in both stages 1 and 2, including *DROSHA* rs78393591 (G vs. A, $OR_{meta} = 0.69$, 95% CI: 0.55 – 0.88, $P = 0.003$), *ESR1* rs523736 (G vs. A, $OR_{meta} = 0.88$, 95% CI: 0.82 – 0.95, $P = 3.99 \times 10^{-4}$), and *ZCCHC11* rs114101502 (G vs. C, $OR_{meta} = 1.33$, 95% CI: 1.11 – 1.59, $P = 0.002$). Table 3 displays the 14 individual SNPs located in miRNAs that were associated with overall breast cancer risk. One SNP was consistently found to be associated with breast cancer risk in both stages 1 and 2: *mir-6826* rs116159732 (C vs. T, $OR_{meta} = 0.74$, 95% CI: 0.63 – 0.89, $P = 0.001$).

Table 4 shows the association between individual SNPs and breast cancer risk stratified by ER status. Two SNPs were found to be associated with risk of ER-negative breast cancer: *mir-4725* rs73991220 (A vs. G; $OR_{meta} = 1.27$, 95% CI: 1.09 – 1.48, $P = 0.002$), and *PAPD4* rs146287903 (A vs. T; $OR_{meta} = 0.49$, 95% CI: 0.33 – 0.72, $P = 3.27 \times 10^{-4}$) in both stages 1 and 2. Three SNPs were found to be associated with risk of ER-positive breast cancer in both stages, including *miR-339-3p* rs72631820 (T vs. C, $OR_{meta} = 1.36$, 95% CI: 1.10 – 1.69, $P = 0.004$), *ESR1* rs9479113 (C vs. T, $OR_{meta} = 0.86$, 95% CI: 0.78 – 0.95, $P = 0.003$), *SMAD3* rs79730172 (T vs. C; $OR_{meta} = 1.30$, 95% CI: 1.11 – 1.54, $P = 0.001$).

Discussion

In this comprehensive investigation of miRNA-related SNPs in 9,670 women of African ancestry (4,810 cases and 4,860 controls), we identified four SNPs in miRNA biogenesis genes or miRNA sequences were consistently significantly associated with breast cancer risk, and additional five SNPs in miRNA biogenesis genes or miRNA sequences were associated with breast cancer risk after stratification by ER status. None of these SNPs were in linkage disequilibrium with genetic variants previously identified in GWAS (Chen et al. 2013; Michailidou et al. 2015; Michailidou et al. 2013; Song et al. 2013).

We found a total of five SNPs that differed in their relative association with breast cancer risk according to tumor ER status, which is consistent with prior studies that showed that the patterns of miRNA expression vary according to the specific breast tumor subtype (Blenkiron et al. 2007; Iorio et al. 2005; Lowery et al. 2009). For ER-negative breast cancers, we found two novel SNPs (rs73991220 and rs146287903) which conferred breast cancer susceptibility. rs146287903 was in the *PAPD4* 3'UTR region, which may implicate this particular variant in the transcriptional regulation of *PAPD4* expression. For ER-positive breast cancers, rs72631820 in *miR-339-3p* was consistently found to be associated with increased risk in both consortia. In previous studies, altered expression of *miR-339-3p* was associated with risk of prostate cancer and also served as a prognostic factor in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (Lim et al. 2015; Medina-Villaamil et al. 2014). Furthermore, there is some evidence that expression levels of the related *miR-339-5p* have direct effects on the pathogenesis of breast cancer, including initial invasion and subsequent metastasis (Wang et al. 2014; Wu et al. 2010). One other SNP located in *miR-202* (rs12355840) was found to be associated with breast cancer in stage 1 of our study ($OR = 0.78$, $P = 0.005$), but not in the stage 2 replication ($OR = 0.94$, $P = 0.27$), with the pooled result ($OR_{meta} = 0.90$, $P = 0.02$).

This particular SNP and miR-202 were found to be associated with breast cancer risk and prognosis in several other studies, indicating a potential functional role of this miRNA, and warranting further studying of this miRNA in breast cancer diagnosis, prevention, and treatment (Joosse et al. 2014; Rawlings-Goss et al. 2014; Schrauder et al. 2012).

Our finding of SNPs in the gene *ZCCHC11* being associated with breast cancer risk may be based on a unifying pathway in the regulatory circuit of the *let-7* miRNA family, which has been found to be associated with tumorigenesis (van Kouwenhove et al. 2011; Yu et al. 2007). *LIN28* has been found to recruit *ZCCHC11* to mediate *LIN28*'s blockage of *let-7* miRNA biogenesis (Hagan et al. 2009; Lin and Gregory 2015; Piskounova et al. 2011). The possible changes in *let-7* expression through this pathway may be a driver for the development of many types of cancers. The complex interactions of these proteins in addition to genetic variants that may alter their functional capabilities warrant further in-depth investigations.

We found SNPs in the *SMAD3* gene that were associated with both with overall and ER-positive-specific risk of breast cancer. Several recent studies have examined the pathways through which *SMAD3* may influence breast cancer pathogenesis. First, through the mediation of transformation growth factor β (TGF- β), *SMAD3* can interact directly with *miR-21*, which has been shown previously to be upregulated during breast cancer (Davis et al. 2008; Li et al. 2014). A second pathway is through the interaction of the *BRCA1* gene with *DROSHA* along with the *SMAD3/p53/DHX9* pathway in promoting miRNA maturation (Dubrovskaya et al. 2005; Kawai and Amano 2012). This result may confer an additional pathway through which *BRCA1* modulates breast cancer pathogenesis, particularly among women of African ancestry, who have higher rates of *BRCA1/BRCA2* mutations and may be more susceptible to the deleterious effects of *BRCA1/BRCA2* on inducing triple-negative breast cancer (Dietze et al. 2015; Hall et al. 2009).

ER plays a prominent role in breast cancer signaling, progression, and metastasis, and is also a potent target for hormonal therapies such as tamoxifen (Clarke et al. 2003; Fuqua 2001). The differential associations of miRNA with ER-negative and ER-positive breast cancer suggests that miRNA may interact directly with or is modulated by the ER. In a prior study, variants in a miRNA binding site in *ESR1* were associated with familial breast cancer risk (Tchatchou et al. 2009). The specific *ESR1* variant, rs2747648, was noted to affect the binding capacity of *miR-453*. Another study demonstrated that miRNA processing could involve complex regulatory pathways with both ER and c-Myc, leading to differential levels of miRNA expression in ER-positive or ER-negative breast cancers (Castellano et al. 2009). The interaction between miRNA and ER is hence of particular interest as a better understanding of this pathway could unveil additional therapeutic targets for breast cancer. While we were not able to replicate this finding in the present study, we did find one SNP in *ESR1* (rs523736), the gene encoding ER α that was consistently associated with breast cancer risk regardless of ER status. This SNP was not found to be in strong LD with GWAS identified *ESR1* SNPs, such as rs2046210 or rs12662670, which were both found to be strongly associated with breast cancer risk in European and Asian populations (Garcia-Closas et al. 2013; Hein et al. 2012; Yang et al. 2013). This suggests a possible novel mechanism by which ER α influences breast cancer risk among African populations.

Our study has several strengths, including its large sample size and replication which reduces the likelihood of false positive findings, a comprehensive search of genes/miRNA of interest, and the high coverage of known SNPs using a genome-wide scan. We also assessed the LD between the SNPs in our study and known breast cancer susceptibility loci and did not find any notable linkages. The limitations of this study include the need to determine the functionality of the particular SNPs in altering the expression level or function of the biogenesis gene or miRNA and the resultant effects on breast cancer risk. Additional research is needed to confirm the associations found for these SNPs and determine how these miRNAs and biogenesis genes alter the disease pathway of breast cancer. The majority of the variants found in our study were intronic variants which may exert their effect through effects on alternative splicing, binding of regulatory miRNA or RNA binding proteins, and additional interactions with enhancers or repressors (Ward and Kellis 2012). In addition, we did not have complete hormone receptor status for all breast cancer cases in stage 1 and 2 studies, thus decreasing the number of cases and controls tested in stratified analyses. Furthermore, while our study examined the association of individual SNPs with the risk of breast cancer, growing research shows that the joint variations of multiple miRNAs (Zhong et al. 2014) have profound effects on breast cancer risk; understanding these complex interplays will be key in determining novel preventive, diagnostic and therapeutic strategies. Lastly, we cannot rule out the possibility that variants found in this study could be false positives. Since there were statistically significant differences in several baseline characteristics in our discovery cohort, we performed a sensitivity analysis in which we additionally adjusted for these variables. Overall, the effect estimates are not materially different from our main analysis, though several variants that previously had borderline statistical significance were no longer significant (Supplementary Table 5). In addition, we applied a more liberal form of correction by using regional tagging SNPs for each gene and a combined genome-wide correction. Additional studies in populations of African ancestry as well as other populations will be needed to confirm our findings, since the SNPs examined in our study did not reach our corrected genome-wide significance. Nevertheless, our study provides further evidence that miRNA play a potentially important regulatory role in breast cancer and highlight the importance of using miRNA as novel biomarkers for clinical risk assessment as well as potential therapeutic targets.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Cancer Institute grants R01-CA142996, P50-CA125183, and R01-CA89085.

References

- Alanazi M, Pathan AA, Abduljaleel Z, Shaik JP, Alabdulkarim HA, Semlali A, Bazzi MD, Parine NR. Association between PARP-1 V762A polymorphism and breast cancer susceptibility in Saudi population. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e85541. [PubMed: 24392019]
- Barrett JC, Fry B, Maller J, Daly MJ. Haploview: analysis and visualization of LD and haplotype maps. *Bioinformatics*. 2005; 21:263–265. [PubMed: 15297300]

- Blenkiron C, Goldstein LD, Thorne NP, Spiteri I, Chin SF, Dunning MJ, Barbosa-Morais NL, Teschendorff AE, Green AR, Ellis IO, Tavare S, Caldas C, Miska EA. MicroRNA expression profiling of human breast cancer identifies new markers of tumor subtype. *Genome Biol.* 2007; 8:R214. doi: gb-2007-8-10-r214 [pii] 10.1186/gb-2007-8-10-r214. [PubMed: 17922911]
- Blenkiron C, Miska EA. miRNAs in cancer: approaches, aetiology, diagnostics and therapy. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2007; 16(Spec No 1):R106–R113. [PubMed: 17613543]
- Boersma BJ, Howe TM, Goodman JE, Yfantis HG, Lee DH, Chanock SJ, Ambs S. Association of breast cancer outcome with status of p53 and MDM2 SNP309. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2006; 98:911–919. [PubMed: 16818855]
- Calin GA, Sevignani C, Dumitru CD, Hyslop T, Noch E, Yendamuri S, Shimizu M, Rattan S, Bullrich F, Negrini M, Croce CM. Human microRNA genes are frequently located at fragile sites and genomic regions involved in cancers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2004; 101:2999–3004. [PubMed: 14973191]
- Castellano L, Giamas G, Jacob J, Coombes RC, Lucchesi W, Thiruchelvam P, Barton G, Jiao LR, Wait R, Waxman J, Hannon GJ, Stebbing J. The estrogen receptor-alpha-induced microRNA signature regulates itself and its transcriptional response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2009; 106:15732–15737. [PubMed: 19706389]
- Chen F, Chen GK, Stram DO, Millikan RC, Ambrosone CB, John EM, Bernstein L, Zheng W, Palmer JR, Hu JJ, Rebbeck TR, Ziegler RG, Nyante S, Bandera EV, Ingles SA, Press MF, Ruiz-Narvaez EA, Deming SL, Rodriguez-Gil JL, Demichele A, Chanock SJ, Blot W, Signorello L, Cai Q, Li G, Long J, Huo D, Zheng Y, Cox NJ, Olopade OI, Ogundiran TO, Adebamowo C, Nathanson KL, Domchek SM, Simon MS, Hennis A, Nemesure B, Wu SY, Leske MC, Ambs S, Hutter CM, Young A, Kooperberg C, Peters U, Rhie SK, Wan P, Sheng X, Pooler LC, Van Den Berg DJ, Le Marchand L, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Haiman CA. A genome-wide association study of breast cancer in women of African ancestry. *Hum Genet.* 2013; 132:39–48. [PubMed: 22923054]
- Chen F, Stram DO, Le Marchand L, Monroe KR, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Haiman CA. Caution in generalizing known genetic risk markers for breast cancer across all ethnic/racial populations. *Eur J Hum Genet.* 2011; 19:243–245. [PubMed: 21102626]
- Chen QH, Wang QB, Zhang B. Ethnicity modifies the association between functional microRNA polymorphisms and breast cancer risk: a HuGE meta-analysis. *Tumour Biol.* 2014; 35:529–543. [PubMed: 23982873]
- Clarke R, Liu MC, Bouker KB, Gu Z, Lee RY, Zhu Y, Skaar TC, Gomez B, O'Brien K, Wang Y, Hilakivi-Clarke LA. Antiestrogen resistance in breast cancer and the role of estrogen receptor signaling. *Oncogene.* 2003; 22:7316–7339. [PubMed: 14576841]
- Coughlin SS, Ekwueme DU. Breast cancer as a global health concern. *Cancer Epidemiol.* 2009; 33:315–318. [PubMed: 19896917]
- Davis BN, Hilyard AC, Lagna G, Hata A. SMAD proteins control DROSHA-mediated microRNA maturation. *Nature.* 2008; 454:56–61. [PubMed: 18548003]
- De Santa F, Iosue I, Del Rio A, Fazi F. microRNA biogenesis pathway as a therapeutic target for human disease and cancer. *Curr Pharm Des.* 2013; 19:745–764. [PubMed: 23016856]
- Dietze EC, Sistrunk C, Miranda-Carboni G, O'Regan R, Seewaldt VL. Triple-negative breast cancer in African-American women: disparities versus biology. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2015
- Dubrovskaya A, Kanamoto T, Lomnytska M, Heldin CH, Volodko N, Souchelnytskyi S. TGFbeta1/Smad3 counteracts BRCA1-dependent repair of DNA damage. *Oncogene.* 2005; 24:2289–2297. [PubMed: 15735739]
- Fuqua SA. The role of estrogen receptors in breast cancer metastasis. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia.* 2001; 6:407–417. [PubMed: 12013530]
- Garcia-Closas M, Couch FJ, Lindstrom S, Michailidou K, Schmidt MK, Brook MN, Orr N, Rhie SK, Riboli E, Feigelson HS, Le Marchand L, Buring JE, Eccles D, Miron P, Fasching PA, Brauch H, Chang-Claude J, Carpenter J, Godwin AK, Nevanlinna H, Giles GG, Cox A, Hopper JL, Bolla MK, Wang Q, Dennis J, Dicks E, Howat WJ, Schoof N, Bojesen SE, Lambrechts D, Broeks A, Andrulis IL, Guenel P, Burwinkel B, Sawyer EJ, Hollestelle A, Fletcher O, Winqvist R, Brenner H, Mannermaa A, Hamann U, Meindl A, Lindblom A, Zheng W, Devilee P, Goldberg MS, Lubinski J, Kristensen V, Swerdlow A, Anton-Culver H, Dork T, Muir K, Matsuo K, Wu AH, Radice P, Teo SH, Shu XO, Blot W, Kang D, Hartman M, Sangrajrang S, Shen CY, Southey MC,

Park DJ, Hammet F, Stone J, Veer LJ, Rutgers EJ, Lophatananon A, Stewart-Brown S, Siriwanarangsang P, Peto J, Schrauder MG, Ekici AB, Beckmann MW, Dos Santos Silva I, Johnson N, Warren H, Tomlinson I, Kerin MJ, Miller N, Marme F, Schneeweiss A, Sohn C, Truong T, Laurent-Puig P, Kerbrat P, Nordestgaard BG, Nielsen SF, Flyger H, Milne RL, Perez JJ, Menendez P, Muller H, Arndt V, Stegmaier C, Lichtner P, Lochmann M, Justenhoven C, et al. Genome-wide association studies identify four ER negative-specific breast cancer risk loci. *Nature genetics*. 2013; 45:392–398. 398e1–398e2. [PubMed: 23535733]

Gregory RI, Shiekhattar R. MicroRNA biogenesis and cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65:3509–3512. [PubMed: 15867338]

Griffiths-Jones S, Grocock RJ, van Dongen S, Bateman A, Enright AJ. miRBase: microRNA sequences, targets and gene nomenclature. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2006; 34:D140–D144. [PubMed: 16381832]

Ha M, Kim VN. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2014; 15:509–524. [PubMed: 25027649]

Hagan JP, Piskounova E, Gregory RI. Lin28 recruits the TUTase Zcchc11 to inhibit let-7 maturation in mouse embryonic stem cells. *Nature structural & molecular biology*. 2009; 16:1021–1025.

Hall MJ, Reid JE, Burbidge LA, Pruss D, Deffenbaugh AM, Frye C, Wenstrup RJ, Ward BE, Scholl TA, Noll WW. BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations in women of different ethnicities undergoing testing for hereditary breast-ovarian cancer. *Cancer*. 2009; 115:2222–2233. [PubMed: 19241424]

He B, Pan Y, Xu Y, Deng Q, Sun H, Gao T, Wang S. Associations of polymorphisms in microRNAs with female breast cancer risk in Chinese population. *Tumour Biol*. 2015

Hein R, Maranian M, Hopper JL, Kapuscinski MK, Southey MC, Park DJ, Schmidt MK, Broeks A, Hogervorst FB, Bueno-de-Mesquita HB, Muir KR, Lophatananon A, Rattanamongkongul S, Puttawibul P, Fasching PA, Hein A, Ekici AB, Beckmann MW, Fletcher O, Johnson N, dos Santos Silva I, Peto J, Sawyer E, Tomlinson I, Kerin M, Miller N, Marmee F, Schneeweiss A, Sohn C, Burwinkel B, Guenel P, Cordina-Duverger E, Menegaux F, Truong T, Bojesen SE, Nordestgaard BG, Flyger H, Milne RL, Perez JJ, Zamora MP, Benitez J, Anton-Culver H, Ziogas A, Bernstein L, Clarke CA, Brenner H, Muller H, Arndt V, Stegmaier C, Rahman N, Seal S, Turnbull C, Renwick A, Meindl A, Schott S, Bartram CR, Schmutzler RK, Brauch H, Hamann U, Ko YD, Wang-Gohrke S, Dork T, Schurmann P, Karstens JH, Hillemanns P, Nevanlinna H, Heikkinen T, Aittomaki K, Blomqvist C, Bogdanova NV, Zalutsky IV, Antonenkova NN, Bermisheva M, Prokovieva D, Farahtdinova A, Khusnutdinova E, Lindblom A, Margolin S, Mannermaa A, Kataja V, Kosma VM, Hartikainen J, Chen X, Beesley J, Lambrechts D, Zhao H, Neven P, Wildiers H, Nickels S, Flesch-Janys D, Radice P, Peterlongo P, Manoukian S, Barile M, Couch FJ, Olson JE, Wang X, Fredericksen Z, Giles GG, Baglietto L, et al. Comparison of 6q25 breast cancer hits from Asian and European Genome Wide Association Studies in the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC). *PloS one*. 2012; 7:e42380. [PubMed: 22879957]

Howie BN, Donnelly P, Marchini J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet*. 2009; 5:e1000529. [PubMed: 19543373]

Huo D, Zheng Y, Ogundiran TO, Adebamowo C, Nathanson KL, Domchek SM, Rebbeck TR, Simon MS, John EM, Hennis A, Nemesure B, Wu SY, Leske MC, Ambs S, Niu Q, Zhang J, Cox NJ, Olopade OI. Evaluation of 19 susceptibility loci of breast cancer in women of African ancestry. *Carcinogenesis*. 2012; 33:835–840. [PubMed: 22357627]

Iorio MV, Ferracin M, Liu CG, Veronese A, Spizzo R, Sabbioni S, Magri E, Pedriali M, Fabbri M, Campiglio M, Menard S, Palazzo JP, Rosenberg A, Musiani P, Volinia S, Nenci I, Calin GA, Querzoli P, Negrini M, Croce CM. MicroRNA gene expression deregulation in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2005; 65:7065–7070. [PubMed: 16103053]

Jiang Y, Chen J, Wu J, Hu Z, Qin Z, Liu X, Guan X, Wang Y, Han J, Jiang T, Jin G, Zhang M, Ma H, Wang S, Shen H. Evaluation of genetic variants in microRNA biosynthesis genes and risk of breast cancer in Chinese women. *Int J Cancer*. 2013; 133:2216–2224. [PubMed: 23629745]

John EM, Miron A, Gong G, Phipps AI, Felberg A, Li FP, West DW, Whittemore AS. Prevalence of pathogenic BRCA1 mutation carriers in 5 US racial/ethnic groups. *JAMA*. 2007a; 298:2869–2876. [PubMed: 18159056]

- John EM, Schwartz GG, Koo J, Wang W, Ingles SA. Sun exposure, vitamin D receptor gene polymorphisms, and breast cancer risk in a multiethnic population. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2007b; 166:1409–1419. [PubMed: 17934201]
- Joesse SA, Muller V, Steinbach B, Pantel K, Schwarzenbach H. Circulating cell-free cancer-testis MAGE-A RNA, BORIS RNA, let-7b and miR-202 in the blood of patients with breast cancer and benign breast diseases. *Br J Cancer*. 2014; 111:909–917. [PubMed: 24983365]
- Kawai S, Amano A. BRCA1 regulates microRNA biogenesis via the DROSHA microprocessor complex. *J Cell Biol*. 2012; 197:201–208. [PubMed: 22492723]
- Kim VN. MicroRNA biogenesis: coordinated cropping and dicing. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2005; 6:376–385. [PubMed: 15852042]
- Kim VN, Han J, Siomi MC. Biogenesis of small RNAs in animals. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2009; 10:126–139. [PubMed: 19165215]
- Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Hankin JH, Nomura AM, Wilkens LR, Pike MC, Stram DO, Monroe KR, Earle ME, Nagamine FS. A multiethnic cohort in Hawaii and Los Angeles: baseline characteristics. *American journal of epidemiology*. 2000; 151:346–357. [PubMed: 10695593]
- Krol J, Loedige I, Filipowicz W. The widespread regulation of microRNA biogenesis, function and decay. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2010; 11:597–610. [PubMed: 20661255]
- Leaderer D, Hoffman AE, Zheng T, Fu A, Weidhaas J, Paranjape T, Zhu Y. Genetic and epigenetic association studies suggest a role of microRNA biogenesis gene exportin-5 (XPO5) in breast tumorigenesis. *Int J Mol Epidemiol Genet*. 2011; 2:9–18. [PubMed: 21552306]
- Lee YS, Dutta A. MicroRNAs in cancer. *Annu Rev Pathol*. 2009; 4:199–227. [PubMed: 18817506]
- Li S, Yang X, Yang J, Zhen J, Zhang D. Serum microRNA-21 as a potential diagnostic biomarker for breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Exp Med*. 2014
- Lim EL, Trinh DL, Scott DW, Chu A, Krzywinski M, Zhao Y, Robertson AG, Mungall AJ, Schein J, Boyle M, Mottok A, Ennishi D, Johnson NA, Steidl C, Connors JM, Morin RD, Gascoyne RD, Marra MA. Comprehensive miRNA sequence analysis reveals survival differences in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma patients. *Genome Biol*. 2015; 16:18. [PubMed: 25723320]
- Lin S, Gregory RI. MicroRNA biogenesis pathways in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2015; 15:321–333. [PubMed: 25998712]
- Lowery AJ, Miller N, Devaney A, McNeill RE, Davoren PA, Lemetre C, Benes V, Schmidt S, Blake J, Ball G, Kerin MJ. MicroRNA signatures predict oestrogen receptor, progesterone receptor and HER2/neu receptor status in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res*. 2009; 11:R27. [PubMed: 19432961]
- Marchbanks PA, McDonald JA, Wilson HG, Burnett NM, Daling JR, Bernstein L, Malone KE, Strom BL, Norman SA, Weiss LK, Liff JM, Wingo PA, Burkman RT, Folger SG, Berlin JA, Deapen DM, Ursin G, Coates RJ, Simon MS, Press MF, Spirtas R. The NICHD Women's Contraceptive and Reproductive Experiences Study: methods and operational results. *Annals of epidemiology*. 2002; 12:213–221. [PubMed: 11988408]
- Medina-Villaamil V, Martinez-Breijo S, Portela-Pereira P, Quindos-Varela M, Santamarina-Cainzos I, Anton-Aparicio LM, Gomez-Veiga F. Circulating MicroRNAs in blood of patients with prostate cancer. *Actas Urol Esp*. 2014; 38:633–639. [PubMed: 24661838]
- Michailidou K, Beesley J, Lindstrom S, Canisius S, Dennis J, Lush MJ, Maranian MJ, Bolla MK, Wang Q, Shah M, Perkins BJ, Czene K, Eriksson M, Darabi H, Brand JS, Bojesen SE, Nordestgaard BG, Flyger H, Nielsen SF, Rahman N, Turnbull C, Fletcher O, Peto J, Gibson L, dos-Santos-Silva I, Chang-Claude J, Flesch-Janys D, Rudolph A, Eilber U, Behrens S, Nevanlinna H, Muranen TA, Aittomaki K, Blomqvist C, Khan S, Aaltonen K, Ahsan H, Kibriya MG, Whittemore AS, John EM, Malone KE, Gammon MD, Santella RM, Ursin G, Makalic E, Schmidt DF, Casey G, Hunter DJ, Gapstur SM, Gaudet MM, Diver WR, Haiman CA, Schumacher F, Henderson BE, Le Marchand L, Berg CD, Chanock SJ, Figueroa J, Hoover RN, Lambrechts D, Neven P, Wildiers H, van Limbergen E, Schmidt MK, Broeks A, Verhoef S, Cornelissen S, Couch FJ, Olson JE, Hallberg E, Vachon C, Waisfisz Q, Meijers-Heijboer H, Adank MA, van der Luijt RB, Li J, Liu J, Humphreys K, Kang D, Choi JY, Park SK, Yoo KY, Matsuo K, Ito H, Iwata H, Tajima K, Guenel P, Truong T, Mulot C, Sanchez M, Burwinkel B, Marme F, Surowy H, Sohn C, Wu AH, Tseng CC, Van Den Berg D, Stram DO, Gonzalez-Neira A, Benitez J, et al. Genome-

wide association analysis of more than 120,000 individuals identifies 15 new susceptibility loci for breast cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2015; 47:373–380. [PubMed: 25751625]

- Michailidou K, Hall P, Gonzalez-Neira A, Ghoussaini M, Dennis J, Milne RL, Schmidt MK, Chang-Claude J, Bojesen SE, Bolla MK, Wang Q, Dicks E, Lee A, Turnbull C, Rahman N, Fletcher O, Peto J, Gibson L, Dos Santos Silva I, Nevanlinna H, Muranen TA, Aittomäki K, Blomqvist C, Czene K, Irwanto A, Liu J, Waisfisz Q, Meijers-Heijboer H, Adank M, van der Luijt RB, Hein R, Dahmen N, Beckman L, Meindl A, Schmutzler RK, Muller-Myhsok B, Lichtner P, Hopper JL, Southey MC, Makalic E, Schmidt DF, Uitterlinden AG, Hofman A, Hunter DJ, Chanock SJ, Vincent D, Bacot F, Tessier DC, Canisius S, Wessels LF, Haiman CA, Shah M, Luben R, Brown J, Luccarini C, Schoof N, Humphreys K, Li J, Nordestgaard BG, Nielsen SF, Flyger H, Couch FJ, Wang X, Vachon C, Stevens KN, Lambrechts D, Moisse M, Paridaens R, Christiaens MR, Rudolph A, Nickels S, Flesch-Janys D, Johnson N, Aitken Z, Aaltonen K, Heikkinen T, Broeks A, Veer LJ, van der Schoot CE, Guenel P, Truong T, Laurent-Puig P, Menegaux F, Marme F, Schneeweiss A, Sohn C, Burwinkel B, Zamora MP, Perez JL, Pita G, Alonso MR, Cox A, Brock IW, Cross SS, Reed MW, Sawyer EJ, Tomlinson I, Kerin MJ, Miller N, Henderson BE, et al. Large-scale genotyping identifies 41 new loci associated with breast cancer risk. *Nat Genet.* 2013; 45:353–361. 361e1–361e2. [PubMed: 23535729]
- Nana-Sinkam SP, Croce CM. Clinical applications for microRNAs in cancer. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2013; 93:98–104. [PubMed: 23212103]
- Nemesure B, Wu SY, Hambleton IR, Leske MC, Hennis AJ. Barbados National Cancer Study G. Risk factors for breast cancer in a black population--the Barbados National Cancer Study. *Int J Cancer.* 2009; 124:174–179. [PubMed: 18814239]
- Newman B, Moorman PG, Millikan R, Qaqish BF, Geradts J, Aldrich TE, Liu ET. The Carolina Breast Cancer Study: integrating population-based epidemiology and molecular biology. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 1995; 35:51–60. [PubMed: 7612904]
- Parkin DM, Sitas F, Chirenje M, Stein L, Abratt R, Wabinga H. Part I: Cancer in Indigenous Africans--burden, distribution, and trends. *Lancet Oncol.* 2008; 9:683–692. [PubMed: 18598933]
- Patterson N, Price AL, Reich D. Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genet.* 2006; 2:e190. doi: 06-PLGE-RA-0101R3 [pii] 10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190. [PubMed: 17194218]
- Piskounova E, Polyarchou C, Thornton JE, LaPierre RJ, Pothoulakis C, Hagan JP, Iliopoulos D, Gregory RI. Lin28A and Lin28B inhibit let-7 microRNA biogenesis by distinct mechanisms. *Cell.* 2011; 147:1066–1079. [PubMed: 22118463]
- Prorok PC, Andriole GL, Bresalier RS, Buys SS, Chia D, Crawford ED, Fogel R, Gelmann EP, Gilbert F, Hasson MA, Hayes RB, Johnson CC, Mandel JS, Oberman A, O'Brien B, Oken MM, Rafla S, Reding D, Rutt W, Weissfeld JL, Yokochi L, Gohagan JK. Design of the Prostate, Lung, Colorectal and Ovarian (PLCO) Cancer Screening Trial. Controlled clinical trials. 2000; 21:273S–309S. [PubMed: 11189684]
- Qian F, Ogundiran T, Hou N, Ndom P, Gakwaya A, Jombwe J, Morhason-Bello I, Adebamowo C, Ademola A, Ojengbade O, Olopade OI, Huo D. Alcohol consumption and breast cancer risk among women in three sub-Saharan African countries. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9:e106908. [PubMed: 25198723]
- Rawlings-Goss RA, Campbell MC, Tishkoff SA. Global population-specific variation in miRNA associated with cancer risk and clinical biomarkers. *BMC Med Genomics.* 2014; 7:53. [PubMed: 25169894]
- Ryan BM, Robles AI, Harris CC. Genetic variation in microRNA networks: the implications for cancer research. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2010; 10:389–402. [PubMed: 20495573]
- Schrauder MG, Strick R, Schulz-Wendtland R, Strissel PL, Kahmann L, Loehberg CR, Lux MP, Jud SM, Hartmann A, Hein A, Bayer CM, Bani MR, Richter S, Adamietz BR, Wenkel E, Rauh C, Beckmann MW, Fasching PA. Circulating micro-RNAs as potential blood-based markers for early stage breast cancer detection. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7:e29770. [PubMed: 22242178]
- Serpico D, Molino L, Di Cosimo S. microRNAs in breast cancer development and treatment. *Cancer Treat Rev.* 2014; 40:595–604. [PubMed: 24286642]
- Signorello LB, Hargreaves MK, Steinwandel MD, Zheng W, Cai Q, Schlundt DG, Buchowski MS, Arnold CW, McLaughlin JK, Blot WJ. Southern community cohort study: establishing a cohort to

- investigate health disparities. *Journal of the National Medical Association*. 2005; 97:972–979. [PubMed: 16080667]
- Sitas F, Parkin DM, Chirenje M, Stein L, Abratt R, Wabinga H. Part II: Cancer in Indigenous Africans--causes and control. *Lancet Oncol*. 2008; 9:786–795. [PubMed: 18672214]
- Smith TR, Levine EA, Freimanis RI, Akman SA, Allen GO, Hoang KN, Liu-Mares W, Hu JJ. Polygenic model of DNA repair genetic polymorphisms in human breast cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis*. 2008; 29:2132–2138. [PubMed: 18701435]
- Song C, Chen GK, Millikan RC, Ambrosone CB, John EM, Bernstein L, Zheng W, Hu JJ, Ziegler RG, Nyante S, Bandera EV, Ingles SA, Press MF, Deming SL, Rodriguez-Gil JL, Chanock SJ, Wan P, Sheng X, Pooler LC, Van Den Berg DJ, Le Marchand L, Kolonel LN, Henderson BE, Haiman CA, Stram DO. A genome-wide scan for breast cancer risk haplotypes among African American women. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e57298. [PubMed: 23468962]
- Sung H, Lee KM, Choi JY, Han S, Lee JY, Li L, Park SK, Yoo KY, Noh DY, Ahn SH, Kang D. Common genetic polymorphisms of microRNA biogenesis pathway genes and risk of breast cancer: a case-control study in Korea. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2011; 130:939–951. [PubMed: 21766210]
- Sung H, Zhang B, Choi JY, Long J, Park SK, Yoo KY, Noh DY, Ahn SH, Zheng W, Kang D. Common genetic variants in the microRNA biogenesis pathway are not associated with breast cancer risk in Asian women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2012; 21:1385–1387. [PubMed: 22714736]
- Tchatchou S, Jung A, Hemminki K, Sutter C, Wappenschmidt B, Bugert P, Weber BH, Niederacher D, Arnold N, Varon-Mateeva R, Ditsch N, Meindl A, Schmutzler RK, Bartram CR, Burwinkel B. A variant affecting a putative miRNA target site in estrogen receptor (ESR) 1 is associated with breast cancer risk in premenopausal women. *Carcinogenesis*. 2009; 30:59–64. [PubMed: 19028706]
- van Kouwenhove M, Kedde M, Agami R. MicroRNA regulation by RNA-binding proteins and its implications for cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2011; 11:644–656. [PubMed: 21822212]
- Wang B, Li J, Sun M, Sun L, Zhang X. miRNA expression in breast cancer varies with lymph node metastasis and other clinicopathologic features. *IUBMB Life*. 2014; 66:371–377. [PubMed: 24846313]
- Ward LD, Kellis M. Interpreting noncoding genetic variation in complex traits and human disease. *Nature biotechnology*. 2012; 30:1095–1106.
- Wu ZS, Wu Q, Wang CQ, Wang XN, Wang Y, Zhao JJ, Mao SS, Zhang GH, Zhang N, Xu XC. MiR-339-5p inhibits breast cancer cell migration and invasion in vitro and may be a potential biomarker for breast cancer prognosis. *BMC Cancer*. 2010; 10:542. [PubMed: 20932331]
- Yang Z, Shen J, Cao Z, Wang B. Association between a novel polymorphism (rs2046210) of the 6q25.1 locus and breast cancer risk. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 2013; 139:267–275. [PubMed: 23609471]
- Yao S, Graham K, Shen J, Campbell LE, Singh P, Zirpoli G, Roberts M, Ciupak G, Davis W, Hwang H, Khoury T, Bovbjerg DH, Jandorf L, Pawlish KS, Bandera EV, Liu S, Ambrosone CB, Zhao H. Genetic variants in microRNAs and breast cancer risk in African American and European American women. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2013; 141:447–459. [PubMed: 24062209]
- Yu F, Yao H, Zhu P, Zhang X, Pan Q, Gong C, Huang Y, Hu X, Su F, Lieberman J, Song E. let-7 regulates self renewal and tumorigenicity of breast cancer cells. *Cell*. 2007; 131:1109–1123. [PubMed: 18083101]
- Zheng Y, Ogundiran TO, Falusi AG, Nathanson KL, John EM, Hennis AJ, Ambs S, Domchek SM, Rebbeck TR, Simon MS, Nemesure B, Wu SY, Leske MC, Odetunde A, Niu Q, Zhang J, Afolabi C, Gamazon ER, Cox NJ, Olopade CO, Olopade OI, Huo D. Fine mapping of breast cancer genome-wide association studies loci in women of African ancestry identifies novel susceptibility markers. *Carcinogenesis*. 2013; 34:1520–1528. [PubMed: 23475944]
- Zhong L, Zhu K, Jin N, Wu D, Zhang J, Guo B, Yan Z, Zhang Q. A systematic analysis of miRNA-mRNA paired variations reveals widespread miRNA misregulation in breast cancer. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014; 2014:291280. [PubMed: 24949432]

Demographic and disease characteristics for participants in the stage 1 and stage 2 analysis

Table 1

	Stage 1: ROOT Consortium		P-value ^d	Stage 2: AABC Consortium	
	Case	Control		Case	Control
	N = 1,657	N = 2,029		N = 3,016	N = 2,745
Age, mean ± SD	4 9.3 ± 12.4	4 8.4 ± 12.9	0 .04	55	58
Race, n (%)			< 0.001		
					Body mass index, (%)
Nigerian	7 11 (42.9)	6 24 (30.8)		22	22
African American	8 54 (51.5)	1 176 (58.0)		76	76
African Barbadian	9 2 (5.6)	2 29 (11.3)			
Body mass index (kg/m ²), mean ± SD	2 8.4 ± 7.0	2 9.7 ± 7.0	< 0.001	18	11
Height (cm), mean ± SD	1 62.4 ± 7.7	1 62.0 ± 7.2	0 .11	79	86
Menopausal status, n (%)			< 0.001		
					Age at menarche (%)
Pre-menopausal	7 81 (47.1)	1 080 (53.2)		49	50
Post-menopausal	8 76 (52.9)	9 48 (46.7)		33	35
Family history of breast cancer, n (%)			< 0.001	12	13
Yes	2 72 (17.9)	1 67 (10.0)			
No	1 248 (82.1)	1 499 (90.0)		15	13
Alcohol consumption, n (%)			0 .03	42	46
					Age at first birth (%) ^b
Ever	4 36 (27.9)	4 23 (24.6)		37	38

Stage 1: ROOT Consortium				Stage 2: AABC Consortium	
	Case	Control	P-value ^a	Case	Control
	N = 1,657	N = 2,029		N = 3,016	N = 2,745
Never	1 125 (72.1)	1 294 (75.4)			
Hormonal contraceptive use, n (%)			0 .001		
Yes	6 93 (48.4)	8 15 (54.5)		15	13
No	7 40 (51.6)	6 80 (45.5)		40	41
Age at menarche, mean ± SD	1 3.7 ± 2.3	1 3.6 ± 2.3	0 .27	39	45
Parity, n (%)			0 .001		
Nulliparous	1 74 (11.1)	1 88 (11.1)		520 (50)	
1–2	5 20 (33.3)	5 86 (34.7)		88 (33)	
3–4	4 38 (28.0)	5 49 (32.5)		239 (41)	
5+	4 31 (27.6)	3 66 (21.7)		144 (38)	
Age at first live birth, mean ± SD	2 2.3 ± 5.1	2 2.1 ± 5.2	0 .33		
Estrogen receptor status, n (%)					
Positive	4 03 (51.9)			5 (2)	
Negative	3 74 (48.1)			477 (49)	
Progesterone receptor status, n (%)				65 (32)	
Positive	3 15 (41.8)				
Negative	4 39 (58.2)			072 (36)	
HER2 receptor status, n (%)				109 (37)	

Stage 1: ROOT Consortium				Stage 2: AABC Consortium	
	Case	Control	P-value ^a	Case	Control
	N = 1,657	N = 2,029		N = 3,016	N = 2,745
Positive	7 1 (19.9)				
Negative	2 86 (80.1)				
Tumor stage, n (%) ^c					
I	1 39 (19.9)				
IIA	1 24 (17.7)				
IIIB	1 12 (16.0)				
IIIA	8 1 (11.6)				
IIIB	8 2 (11.7)				
IV	1 62 (23.1)				
Tumor grade, n (%)					
1	7 3 (9.9)				
2	2 74 (37.1)				
3	3 92 (53.0)				

Notes: DCIS: ductal carcinoma in-situ.

Some variables in the table have missing data. Percentages were calculated out of participants who had data. Bold values indicate statistical significance at $P<0.05$

^a P -values were calculated using χ^2 test for categorical variables and student's t-test for continuous variables. All P -values are two sided.

^b Among parous women

^c Regional/Metastatic based on SEER and AJCC coding.

SNPs in miRNA biogenesis pathway genes and overall breast cancer risk

SNPs (rs#)	Biogen- esis Gene	Cytogen- etic locus	All ele- s ^a	Imputat- ion Score ^b	Function al Annotati- on	MAF (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls)		Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls)		Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls)		
							C as e	Co ntr ol	OR (95% CI) ^c	p ^d	OR (95% CI) ^c	p ^d	OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^e
rs373 8030	ADAR	1 q21.3	A/ C	0.97	Intronic	5 .9 6	4 .28 6	1 (1.13, 1.75)	0.0 02	1 (0.96, 1.33)	0.1 36	1 (1.07, 1.40)	1 .22 02
rs114 2327 27	AGO1	1 p34.3	T/ A	0.90	Intronic	3 .6 0	4 .57 0	0 (0.56, 0.92)	0.0 09	0 (0.65, 1.16)	0.3 35	0 (0.64, 0.94)	0 .78 09
rs297 7458	AGO2	8 q24.3	G/ T	0.78	Intronic	2 4. 9 3	2 7.6 0	0 (0.74, 0.94)	0.0 04	0 (0.87, 1.07)	0.4 84	0 (0.84, 0.98)	0 .91 15
rs101 4443 6	DICER1	1 4q32.13	C/ A	Genoty- ped	3'-UTR	1 0. 2 9	1 1.7 1	0 (0.74, 1.00)	0.0 47	0 (0.81, 1.06)	0.2 86	0 (0.81, 0.99)	0 .90 34
rs783 9359 1	DROS HA	5 p13.3	G/ A	Genoty- ped	Intronic	1 .7 2	2 .39 2	0 (0.50, 0.96)	0.0 27	0 (0.49, 1.00)	0.0 47	0 (0.55, 0.88)	0 .69 03
rs185 1573 31	KHSRP	1 9p13.3	G/ A	0.94	Intronic	6 .0 8	5 .10 8	1 (1.01, 1.54)	0.0 43	1 (0.93, 1.33)	0.2 54	1 (1.02, 1.33)	1 .16 30
rs523 736	ESR1	6 q25.1	G/ A	0.94	Intronic	2 8. 5 1	2 6.5 9	0 (0.79, 0.99)	0.0 27	0 (0.81, 0.96)	0.0 06	0 (0.82, 0.95)	0 .88 9E-04
rs599 4340 4	RAD52	1 2p13.33	T/ G	0.97	Intronic	3 3. 0 4	3 0.6 0	1 (1.01, 1.24)	0.0 30	1 (0.97, 1.14)	0.1 97	1 (1.01, 1.15)	1 .08 19
rs115 9874 85	SMAD2	1 8q21.1	C/ T	Genoty- ped	Intronic	2 .4 4	3 .38 4	0 (0.53, 0.91)	0.0 09	0 (0.64, 1.07)	0.1 47	0 (0.63, 0.92)	0 .76 05

SNPs (rs#)	Biogen esis Gene	Cytogen etic locus	All ele s ^a	Imputat ion Score ^b	Function al Annotati on	MAF (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls)		Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls)		Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls)	
							C	Co ntr ol	OR (95% CI) ^c	p ^d	OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^c	p ^e
rs580 5668 0	<i>SMAD3</i>	1 5q22.33	G/ C	0.95	Intronic	6 .7 2	8 .25	0 .78 (0.65, 0.94)	0 .85 (0.72, 1.00)	0.0 54	0 (0.73, 0.93)	0.0 02
rs129 1253 4	<i>SMAD6</i>	1 5q22.31	G/ A	0.95	Intronic	2 0. 1 5	1 7.9 6	1 .16 (1.03, 1.31)	1 .09 (0.99, 1.21)	0.0 80	1 (1.04, 1.21)	0.0 04
rs169 4257 3	<i>SRSF1</i>	1 7q22	T/ C	Genoty ped	3'-UTR	2 .2 6	3 .28	0 .73 (0.55, 0.97)	0 .83 (0.67, 1.03)	0.0 97	0 (0.67, 0.94)	0.0 09
rs133 8127 0	<i>SMAD7</i>	1 8q21.1	A/ G	0.92	Intronic	1 2. 6 9	1 4.4 4	0 .82 (0.72, 0.95)	0 .90 (0.80, 1.02)	0.1 09	0 (0.79, 0.95)	0.0 03
rs114 1015 02	<i>ZCCH C11</i>	1 p32.3	G/ C	1.00	Intronic	4 .1 2	2 .87	1 .35 (1.05, 1.74)	1 .30 (1.00, 1.68)	0.0 46	1 (1.11, 1.59)	0.0 02

Notes: MAF: minor allele frequency; OR: odds ratio; OR_{meta}: pooled odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

^aEffect allele vs. comparison allele

^bIndicates if the SNP is genotyped or the imputation score

^cAdjusted for age, site of study, and principal components in unconditional logistic regression models

^dP value for trend test from additive models of effect

^eP value was calculated from additive models of effect after weighting the for the size of each study

SNPs in miRNA and overall breast cancer risk

Table 3

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA	Chromosome	All elements	Imputation Score ^a	Functional Annotation	MAF (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls)	OR (95% CI) ^b	P ^d	Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls)	R (95% CI) ^b	P ^d	OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^b	P ^e
rs138 40818 7	miR-6742-3p	1	C/T	0.97	Intronic (TRMT1)	.28 7	1 0.0 34 2.26)	.53 (1.03, 2.26)	0.0	1 0.2 26 1.64)	.21 (0.89, 1.64)	0.2	1 .32 (1.04, 1.68)	0.4
rs111 85152 3	miR-1285-2	2	C/T	0.99		.11 7	1 0.0 02 2.13)	.59 (1.18, 2.13)	0.0	1 0.8 14 1.36)	.03 (0.79, 1.36)	0.8	1 .26 (1.03, 1.53)	0.6
rs113 58083 3	miR-1285-2	2	C/A	0.99		.12 9	1 0.0 02 2.15)	.60 (1.19, 2.15)	0.0	1 0.8 40 1.35)	.03 (0.78, 1.35)	0.8	1 .26 (1.03, 1.54)	0.6
rs116 15973 2	miR-6826	3	C/T	0.99	Intronic (COPG1)	.24 9	0 0.0 33 0.98)	.74 (0.55, 0.98)	0.0	0 0.0 10 0.93)	.75 (0.60, 0.93)	0.0	0 .74 (0.63, 0.89)	0.1
rs678 7734	miR-3135a	3	C/T	1.00	Intronic	4 2. 60	4 1 1.07, 1.29)	.18 (1.07, 1.29)	0.0	0 0.8 63 1.07)	.99 (0.92, 1.07)	0.8	1 .06 (1.00, 1.13)	0.4
rs112 62814 8	miR-4802-5p	4	T/C	0.94	Intronic	1 .8 2	2 0 0.0 0.87)	.63 (0.46, 0.87)	0.0	0 0.2 44 1.12)	.85 (0.65, 1.12)	0.2	0 .75 (0.61, 0.92)	0.7
rs115 76916 9	miR-4457	5	C/T	0.99		4 .2 9	3 1 0.0 1.69)	.32 (1.03, 1.69)	0.0	1 0.0 83 1.47)	.20 (0.98, 1.47)	0.0	1 .24 (1.06, 1.46)	0.7
rs779 66622	miR-5706	5	G/A	0.99	Intronic	1 4. 72	1 1 0.0 1.36)	.18 (1.03, 1.36)	0.0	1 0.1 71 1.23)	.09 (0.96, 1.23)	0.1	1 .13 (1.03, 1.24)	0.9

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA	Chromosome	All allele	Imputation Score ^b	Functional Annotation	MAF (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls)			Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls)			Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls)	
							C as e	Co ntr ol	OR (95% CI) ^b	<i>p</i> ^d	R (95% CI) ^b	<i>p</i> ^d	OR ^{meta} (95% CI) ^b	<i>p</i> ^e
rs776 74981	miR- 4641	6	G/ A	0.95	Intronic	5 .6 5	6 .54 5	.81 (0.66, 0.99)	0 0 .87 (0.72, 1.04)	0 0.1 33 (0.74, 0.97)			0 .84 (0.74, 0.97)	0 01 4 (0.97)
rs670 42258	mir- 6128	1	G/ A	1.00		1 9 83	2 1.9 3	.88 (0.78, 0.98)	0 0 .88 (0.78, 0.98)	0.2 68 (0.86, 0.99)			0 .92 (0.86, 0.99)	0 02 4 (0.99)
rs752 58105	mir- 5700	1 2	G/ T	0.92		1 9 7	1 .44 7	.80 (1.21, 2.67)	1 0 .24 (0.96, 1.60)	0.1 04 (1.12, 1.72)			1 .38 (1.12, 1.72)	0 00 3 (1.72)
rs114 15353 0	mir- 4708	1 4	C/ T	0.99		4 .2 1	3 .47 1	.29 (1.01, 1.66)	1 0 .15 (0.94, 1.42)	0.1 76 (1.03, 1.42)			1 .21 (1.03, 1.42)	0 01 9 (1.42)
rs734 10309	mir- 4739	1 7	G/ C	0.90		4 5 73	4 2.3 3	.11 (1.01, 1.23)	1 0 .07 (0.97, 1.17)	0.1 63 (1.02, 1.16)			1 .09 (1.02, 1.16)	0 01 5 (1.16)
rs114 04107 1	mir- 8074	1 9	A/ G	1.00		2 0 2	1 .26 2	.89 (1.29, 2.77)	1 0 .96 (0.65, 1.42)	0.8 42 (1.03, 1.79)			1 .36 (1.03, 1.79)	0 02 9 (1.79)

Notes: MAF: minor allele frequency; OR: odds ratio; OR_{meta}: pooled odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

^aEffect allele vs. comparison allele

^bIndicates if the SNP is genotyped or the imputation score

^cAdjusted for age, site of study, and principal components in unconditional logistic regression models

^dPvalue for trend test from additive models of effect

^ePvalue was calculated from additive models of effect after weighting the for the size of each study

Distributions of selected SNPs in miRNA and biogenesis genes and breast cancer risk by estrogen receptor status

Table 4

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA/Biogenesis Gene	Chromosome/ Cytogenetic Locus	All ele sa	Functional Annotation	M AF among control s (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls) OR (95% CI) pc	Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls) OR (95% CI) pc	Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls) OR _{meta} (95% CI) pc	pd
<i>ER-</i>									
rs184 6473 65	mir-3129		G/2 A	Intronic	3 .01	0 .56 (0.32, 0.98)	0 .77 (0.50, 1.19)	0 .68 (0.49, 0.96)	0.02 9
rs112 6281 48	mir-4802- 5p		T/4 C	Intronic	2 .79	0 .51 (0.29, 0.88)	0 .70 (0.46, 1.07)	0 .62 (0.44, 0.87)	0.00 5
rs738 1453 8	mir-4638		T/5 G		3 .92	0 .60 (0.36, 0.99)	0 .75 (0.49, 1.13)	0 .68 (0.49, 0.94)	0.02 0
rs776 7498 1	mir-4641		G/6 A	Intronic	6 .02	0 .65 (0.46, 0.92)	0 .84 (0.64, 1.09)	0 .76 (0.62, 0.94)	0.01 1
rs736 6530 3	mir-7150		T/9 C	Intronic (DENND1 A)	1 8.6 9	0 .73 (0.59, 0.92)	0 .96 (0.82, 1.12)	0 .88 (0.77, 1.00)	0.04 2
rs753 3047 4	mir-323b	1 4	C/ T		9 .30	1 .53 (1.13, 2.08)	1 .18 (0.95, 1.46)	1 .29 (1.08, 1.54)	0.00 5
rs739 9122 0	mir-4725	1 7	A/ G		1 3.9 5	1 .33 (1.02, 1.74)	1 .24 (1.03, 1.50)	1 .27 (1.09, 1.48)	0.00 2
rs595 055	AGO1	1 p34.3	T/ C	Intronic	3 0.2 9	1 .24 (1.04, 1.49)	1 .09 (0.96, 1.22)	1 .13 (1.02, 1.25)	0.01 5

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA/Biogenesis Gene	Chromosome/Cytogenetic Locus	All ele ^s _a	Functional Annotation	M AF among controls (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls)	Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls)	Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls)
						OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^b
rs2977458	<i>AGO2</i>	8 q24.3	G/ T	Intronic	27.60	0.036 (0.65, 0.98)	0.3 (0.80, 1.08)	0.04 (0.78, 1.00)
rs80194640	<i>DDX20</i>	1 p13.2	C/ T	Intronic	4.91	0.011 (0.40, 0.89)	0.5 (0.68, 1.23)	0.01 (0.73, 0.97)
rs67254327	<i>DICER1</i>	1 q32.13	G/ A	Intronic	13.81	0.015 (0.58, 0.94)	0.2 (0.75, 1.07)	0.02 (1.03, 1.41)
rs192790682	<i>DROSHA</i>	5 p13.3	C/ T	Intronic	10.73	0.033 (1.03, 1.78)	1.1 (0.94, 1.38)	0.01 (0.80, 0.97)
rs7775047	<i>ESR1</i>	6 q25.1	G/ C	Intronic	35.38	0.028 (0.70, 0.98)	0.0 (0.81, 1.02)	0.00 (0.72, 0.95)
rs10521868	<i>FMRI</i>	X q27.3	C/ A	upstream variant 2KB	14.44	0.017 (0.60, 0.95)	0.0 (0.74, 1.02)	0.04 (0.62, 1.00)
rs141972174	<i>GEMIN4</i>	1 p13.3	A/ G	Intronic	13.44	0.033 (0.60, 0.98)	0.3 (0.78, 1.09)	0.04 (0.76, 1.00)
rs113463563	<i>ILF3</i>	1 p13.2	C/ T	Intronic	6.41	0.013 (1.10, 2.14)	1.1 (0.87, 1.38)	0.04 (1.01, 1.47)
rs185157331	<i>KHSRP</i>	1 p13.3	G/ A	Intronic	5.10	0.015 (1.09, 2.24)	1.1 (0.97, 1.56)	0.00 (1.09, 1.61)
rs191136486	<i>LIN28A</i>	1 p36.11	T/ C	3'-UTR	1.75	0.027 (0.24, 0.92)	0.0 (0.38, 1.00)	0.00 (0.38, 0.83)

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA/Biogenesis Gene	Chromosome/Cytogenetic Locus	All ele ^s _a	Functional Annotation	M AF among controls (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls) OR (95% CI) <i>P</i> ^c	Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls) OR (95% CI) <i>P</i> ^c	Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls) OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^b <i>P</i> ^d
rs113031263	<i>NANOG</i>	12p13.31	C/T	Intronic	10.37	0.73 (0.55, 0.96) 0 0.026	.88 (0.72, 1.07) 0 0.1	0.83 (0.70, 0.97) 0 0.019
rs146287903	<i>PAPD4</i>	5q14.1	A/T	3'-UTR	1.69	.43 (0.22, 0.84) 0 0.014	.53 (0.33, 0.85) 0 0.0	0.49 (0.33, 0.72) 0 3.27 E-04
rs11937875	<i>SMAD1</i>	4q31.21	C/T	Intronic	27.69	.80 (0.66, 0.96) 0 0.019	.95 (0.83, 1.08) 0 0.3	.89 (0.80, 1.00) 0 0.042
rs28647290	<i>SMAD3</i>	5q22.33	G/A	Intronic	12.39	.68 (0.52, 0.90) 0 0.007	.93 (0.78, 1.11) 0 0.4	.85 (0.73, 0.99) 0 0.034
rs187961948	<i>SRRRT</i>	7q22.1	G/A	Intronic	1.29	.91 (1.33, 6.37) 2 0.008	.28 (0.76, 2.15) 1 0.3	.64 (1.06, 2.54) 1 0.025
rs74404570	<i>TRIM71</i>	3p22.3	C/G	Intronic	4.50	.50 (1.01, 2.21) 1 0.044	.15 (0.87, 1.52) 1 0.3	.26 (1.00, 1.58) 1 0.049
rs145065238	<i>XPO5</i>	6p21.1	G/A	Intronic	13.54	.78 (0.61, 0.99) 0 0.037	.86 (0.72, 1.03) 0 0.1	.83 (0.72, 0.96) 0 0.011
<u>ER+</u>								
rs72631820	miR-339-3p	7	T/C	Intronic	2.96	.57 (1.01, 2.45) 1 0.046	.36 (1.04, 1.76) 1 0.0	.36 (1.10, 1.69) 1 0.004
rs56195815	mir-548aw	9	C/T		25.06	.32 (1.09, 1.59) 1 0.005	.05 (0.94, 1.17) 1 0.3	.81 (0.68, 0.96) 0 0.017

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA/Biogenesis Gene	Chromosome/Cytogenetic Locus	All ele ^s _a	Functional Annotation	M AF am ong con trol s (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls)	Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls)	Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls)
						OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI) ^b	OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^b
rs123 5584 0	mir-202	1 C/ 0 T			2 0.3 7	0 .78 (0.65, 0.93)	0 .94 (0.85, 1.05)	0 .90 (0.82, 0.98)
rs170 9140 3	mir-2110	1 C/ 0 T		5'-UTR	4 .20	0 .60 (0.41, 0.87)	0 .82 (0.67, 1.02)	0 .76 (0.63, 0.92)
rs115 2750 01	mir-6762	1 A/ 2 C		Intronic (TPCN1)	8 .62	0 .69 (0.49, 0.98)	0 .85 (0.69, 1.05)	1 .11 (1.01, 1.22)
rs752 5810 5	mir-5700	1 G/ 2 T			2 .43	2 .25 (1.18, 4.29)	1 .29 (0.95, 1.75)	1 .41 (1.12, 1.76)
rs114 1535 30	mir-4708	1 C/ 4 T			3 .42	1 .87 (1.24, 2.84)	1 .22 (0.95, 1.56)	1 .32 (1.03, 1.69)
rs735 7604 5	mir-1292	2 C/ 0 T		Intronic	3 .03	1 .62 (1.01, 2.59)	1 .22 (0.91, 1.63)	1 .43 (1.08, 1.88)
rs178 4386 5	ADAR	1 T/ q21.3 C		Missense	3 .60	2 .07 (1.38, 3.10)	1 .07 (0.82, 1.39)	1 .30 (1.04, 1.63)
rs294 4773	AGO2	8 A/ q24.3 G		Intronic	4 6.4 8	1 .23 (1.04, 1.46)	1 .08 (0.98, 1.20)	1 .12 (1.03, 1.22)
rs560 4613 4	DICER1	1 A/ 4q32.13 G		Intronic	1 1.6 3	1 .40 (1.09, 1.81)	1 .08 (0.93, 1.25)	1 .15 (1.01, 1.31)
rs802 1428 8	DROSHA	5 A/ p13.3 G		Intronic	1 6.1 7	1 .25 (1.00, 1.57)	1 .09 (0.95, 1.24)	1 .13 (1.00, 1.27)

SNPs (rs#)	miRNA/Bi ogenesis Gene	Chromosome/ Cytogenetic Locus	All ele s ^a	Functional Annotatio n	M AF am ong con trol s (%)	Stage 1 (1,657 cases, 2,029 controls) OR (95% CI)	P ^c	Stage 2 (3,153 cases, 2,831 controls) OR (95% CI) ^b	P ^c	Stage 1 + Stage 2 (4,810 cases, 4,860 controls) OR _{meta} (95% CI) ^b	P ^d
rs947 9113	<i>ESR1</i>	6 q25.1	C/ T	Intronic	3 7.4 6	0 .79 (0.65, 0.96)	0.017	0 .89 (0.79, 0.99)	0.0 35	0 .86 (0.78, 0.95)	0.00 3
rs186 3289 44	<i>HNRNPAl</i>	1 2q13.13	G/ A	Intronic	1 .18	0 .35 (0.16, 0.76)	0.008	0 .74 (0.46, 1.18)	0.2 05	0 .60 (0.40, 0.90)	0.01 4
rs141 2096 28	<i>ILF2</i>	1 q21.3	G/ T	upstream variant 2KB	3 .50	1 .74 (1.08, 2.81)	0.023	1 .18 (0.89, 1.57)	0.2 38	1 .31 (1.03, 1.67)	0.03 0
rs141 3773 06	<i>RAD52</i>	1 2p13.33	T/ C	3'-UTR	1 .82	2 .40 (1.21, 4.77)	0.012	1 .40 (0.98, 2.00)	0.0 61	1 .57 (1.15, 2.16)	0.00 5
rs797 3017 2	<i>SMAD3</i>	1 5q22.33	T/ C	Intronic	7 .32	1 .44 (1.06, 1.95)	0.020	1 .25 (1.03, 1.52)	0.0 23	1.30 (1.11, 1.54)	0.00 1
rs561 7079 9	<i>SMAD6</i>	1 5q22.31	T/ A	Intronic	1 0.6 5	0 .70 (0.53, 0.91)	0.008	0 .89 (0.76, 1.05)	0.1 72	0.84 (0.73, 0.96)	0.01 2
rs139 4345 38	<i>SMAD7</i>	1 8q21.1	G/ A	Intronic	2 .42	0 .56 (0.33, 0.96)	0.036	0 .84 (0.60, 1.17)	0.3 03	0 .75 (0.56, 1.00)	0.04 7
rs227 3013	<i>SNIP1</i>	1 p34.3	C/ T	Synonym ous	6 .88	0 .72 (0.53, 0.99)	0.040	0 .83 (0.69, 1.00)	0.0 51	0 .80 (0.68, 0.94)	0.00 6
rs783 8156 3	<i>TRIM71</i>	3 p22.3	G/ A	Intronic	8 .11	1 .46 (1.08, 1.98)	0.014	1 .15 (0.95, 1.40)	0.1 51	1 .24 (1.05, 1.46)	0.01 1
rs115 0372 40	<i>XPO5</i>	6 p21.1	G/ A	Intronic	5 .25	0 .63 (0.43, 0.92)	0.016	0 .84 (0.67, 1.06)	0.1 48	0 .78 (0.64, 0.95)	0.01 3

Notes: MAF: minor allele frequency; OR: odds ratio; OR_{meta}: pooled odds ratio; CI: confidence interval

^d P value was calculated from additive models of effect after weighting the for the size of each study

^c P value for trend test from additive models of effect

^b Adjusted for age, site of study, and principal components in unconditional logistic regression models

^a Effect allele vs. comparison allele

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript