Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2016 February 7; 14(5): 1623–1628. doi:10.1039/c5ob02455b.

Formation of DPM Ethers Using *O*-Diphenylmethyl Trichloroacetimidate Under Thermal Conditions

Kyle T. Howard^a, Brian C. Duffy^a, Matthew R. Linaburga^a, and John D. Chisholm^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, 1-014 Center for Science and Technology, Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244

Abstract

Alcohols are effectively converted to their corresponding diphenylmethyl (DPM) ethers by reaction with *O*-diphenylmethyl trichloroacetimidate in refluxing toluene without the requirement of a catalyst or other additive. A number of acid and base sensitive substrates were protected in excellent yield using this new method without disturbing pre-existing functionality present in these molecules. This reaction is the first example of the formation of an ether from stoichiometric amounts of a trichloroacetimidate and an alcohol without the addition of a Brønsted or Lewis acid catalyst.

Introduction

Diphenylmethyl (DPM) ethers (also known as benzhydryl ethers) are commonly employed as protecting groups for alcohols.¹ DPM ethers may be cleaved either by hydrogenation² (making them a good surrogate for benzyl ethers) or under acidic conditions³ (where they can substitute for PMB or MOM ethers). This flexibility in the removal of DPM ethers is especially beneficial in complex molecules with delicate functionality where it can be difficult to predict the best means of deprotection *a priori*. The large size of the DPM ether has also proven advantageous in some enantioselective transformations where the bulk provided by the DPM ether provides a steric bias, increasing selectivity in certain substrates.⁴ The DPM ether has also been employed in medicinal chemistry to incorporate a large hydrophobic group into biologically active molecules, increasing lipophilicity.⁵

Typically DPM ethers are formed under acidic conditions from benzhydryl alcohol using protic or Lewis acids.³ These conditions are often harsh, and usually require high acid catalyst loadings and elevated temperature.⁶ Metal catalysts such as palladium (II) chloride⁷ and gold (I) salts⁸ have also been used to install DPM ethers under more mild conditions, with the caveat that these catalysts are more expensive. Attempts to find more mild reaction conditions to form DPM ethers have led to the use of diphenyldiazomethane.⁹ While these conditions are quite mild, diazo compounds are inherently unstable, typically requiring fresh

jdchisho@syr.edu; Fax: (315)-443-4070; Tel: (315)-443-6894.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Full experimental details, tabulated characterization data, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra, and chiral HPLC traces.

preparation for good yields. In addition, given the high toxicity and shock sensitive nature of diazo compounds,¹⁰ care must be taken in their use, especially on large scale.

Schmidt and co-workers have previously described the synthesis of *O*-diphenylmethyl trichloroacetimidate, which was employed in the synthesis of DPM ethers.¹¹ Traditionally trichloroacetimidates have been used to protect alcohols as ethers by utilizing Brønsted or Lewis acid catalysts,¹² with the DPM ethers being no exception, providing excellent yields under mild conditions when a catalytic amount of TMSOTf was employed. These conditions may be problematic for acid-sensitive substrates, however. For example, etherification of β -trimethylsilylethanol has been reported to be incompatible with acidic trichloroacetimidate protection conditions.¹³ The development of new imidate-based reagents has been an active field, with similar systems based on a triazine scaffold having recently been introduced for the introduction of benzyl¹⁴ and PMB ethers.¹⁵ New trifluoroacetimidate¹⁶ and phosphinimide-based¹⁷ reagents for etherification have also been advanced, however all these systems require activation with TMSOTf or another acid catalyst.

Of late there has been a surge of research activity in the development of new reagents for the protection of alcohols as substituted benzyl ethers under mild conditions that do not disturb sensitive functionality in complex molecules. Exemplifying these reagents, Dudley has reported the benzylation of alcohols using benzyloxy pyridinium triflates in refluxing α,α,α -trifluorotoluene.^{13, 18} Similar systems have also been developed for the formation of PMB ethers utilizing a lepidine derivative as the benzyl transfer reagent.¹⁹ The quaternary pyridinium salts may also be generated *in situ* by the addition of methyl triflate to an appropriately functionalized pyridine or quinoline system.^{19b,20} Recent additions to these reagents include triazinylammonium salts developed by the Kunishima group which install benzyl ethers at room temperature.²¹ Both the Dudley and Kunishima systems function optimally with the addition of an additive such as MgO, which functions as a mild base and desiccant to scavenge adventitious acid and/or trace amounts of water.

Our recent experiences with the reactivity of trichloroacetimidates in the formation of esters²² and sulfides²³ without an added catalyst led us to consider the direct formation of ethers from an alcohol and a trichloroacetimidate without the use of any additive. In our earlier studies^{22a} we noted that DPM imidate **1** would rearrange to the corresponding acetamide **4** when heated in refluxing toluene (Figure 1). Allylic trichloroacetimidates are known to rearrange through a concerted [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement (the Overman rearrangement²⁴), but this mechanism is not available to imidate **1**. There have been previous reports of benzylic imidates undergoing rearrangement in the presence of strong acid,²⁵ likely through a cationic pathway. Due to the stability of the diphenylmethyl cation, we therefore hypothesized that the imidate ionizes under thermal conditions to form the cation **3** and the trichloroacetamide anion **2**, a weak base and poor nucleophile. Should no competing nucleophile be present, the trichloroacetamide anion adds to the cation and the corresponding acetamide **4** is formed. However, in the presence of an external nucleophile like an alcohol, we reasoned it may be possible to intercept cation **3**, leading to the direct formation of ether **7** and trichloroacetamide **6**. A search of the literature found a single example of the uncatalyzed formation of an ether from a trichloroacetimidate reported by Schmidt and co-workers.²⁶ This reaction involved the solvolysis of an *O*-glucopyranosyl

trichloroacetimidate with methanol. Attempts to displace the same imidate with more complex alcohols were reported to provide no ether products under similar solvolysis conditions.

Results and discussion

The more reactive DPM imidate **1** may be able to capture a stoichiometric amount of an alcohol nucleophile to form the corresponding DPM ether (Figure 1). This reaction would provide a mild entry into DPM ethers under near neutral conditions, where the strongest base present is the trichloroacetamide anion (the pK_a of trichloroacetamide has been reported as 11.2²⁷). Furthermore, DPM trichloroacetimidate **1** is a white solid that is easy to handle and can be stored for long periods of time (several months in a refrigerator^{22a}) without decomposition. Therefore imidate **1** has the makings of an excellent etherification reagent. Given its high reactivity DPM imidate **1** was chosen as a test case for additive-free ether formation, with some exploratory reaction screening performed to determine the viability of the process.

Initial experiments were conducted with 1-octadecanol **8**. When alcohol **8** and 1.2 equivalents of imidate **1** were dissolved in toluene and heated to 50 °C for 24 hours a 24% yield of the desired ether **9** along with a significant amount of unreacted starting materials were obtained. Warming the reaction to reflux in toluene led to a more useful 85% isolated yield. Other solvents were less effective, even when heated to temperatures near that of refluxing toluene (1,4-dioxane, α,α,α -trifluorotoluene, DMF). Removal of the trichloroacetamide by-product **6** from the nonpolar ether product **9** was accomplished by washing the crude reaction mixture with aqueous 2 M sodium hydroxide solution, greatly facilitating purification. As needed, additional purification by chromatography removed trace amounts of the dimeric DPM ether **10** and, occasionally, small amounts of trichloroacetamide **4**.

With conditions in hand a number of simple substrates were tested in this new etherification process (Table 2). The reaction preceded very well for primary benzyl alcohols as shown in entries 2–4 (Table 2). Interestingly cinnamyl alcohol (entry 5), which is a challenging substrate for some etherification reagents,^{18d} gave an 88% yield of the corresponding ether **14**. Propargyl alcohol also proved an excellent substrate, and gave the corresponding ether **15** in 97% yield. Simple secondary alcohols were also successively etherified with DPM imidate as shown in entries 7 and 8 (Table 2). Entries 9 and 10 demonstrate that tertiary alcohols may be protected with DPM imidate in high yields.

In addition to the simple substrates shown in Table 2, a number of more complex examples were subjected to the etherification conditions with DPM imidate **1** (Table 3). Sensitive substrates like the epoxide containing ether **20** were successfully formed in good yields (entry 1, Table 3). Etherification of β -trimethylsilylethanol was also readily accomplished (entry 2, Table 3) in 79% yield. This result is notable as protection of β -silyl alcohols is complicated by rapid Peterson elimination²⁸ under acidic or basic conditions, complicating etherification. As discussed above, the protection of β -trimethylsilylethanol has been reported to be incompatible with acid-catalyzed imidate etherification reactions.¹³ The base

sensitive *N*-hydroxyphthalimide also provided a high yield of the DPM ether **22**. Other sensitive substrates also performed well, resulting in the formation of β -alkoxyester **26** and α -alkoxyester **27** in yields of 96% and 90% respectively.

No racemization was observed in the formation of serine ether **23**, lactate DPM ether **27** or threonine ether **28** as determined by chiral HPLC (for **23** and **27**) and ^1H NMR analysis (**28**). A number of phenol and phenol-like substrates were also etherified with this methodology (entries 10–13, Table 3). These reactions demonstrate that DPM ethers can be formed under neutral conditions in the presence of sensitive functionality utilizing only the DPM trichloroacetimidate.

Conclusions

In summary, a mild method for protecting primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols in high yields using diphenylmethyl trichloroacetimidate **1** under thermal conditions has been demonstrated. In contrast to other conditions more commonly advanced, these thermal protection conditions do not require the use of an acid catalyst or other additive. Indeed, this study is the first report of the etherification of an alcohol utilizing a stoichiometric amount of a trichloroacetimidate that proceeds without the addition of an acid catalyst or promoter. The DPM ethers may be formed in high yields in systems containing both acid and base sensitive functionality. These mild reaction conditions have shown compatibility with polyfunctional molecules, and the necessary reagents may be accessed from simple, inexpensive starting materials. In addition the DPM imidate reagent **1** is quite stable, and can be stored for long periods of time in a refrigerator, making it easy to maintain a stock of reagent. This procedure provides a general method for the protection of alcohols as DPM ethers, and should both facilitate the protection of sensitive substrates and popularize the use of DPM ethers in synthetic applications.

Experimental Section

Representative procedures for the formation of DPM ethers with DPM Trichloroacetimidate (1) under thermal conditions

Octadecyloxydiphenylmethane (8)—1-Octadecanol (200 mg, 0.739 mmol) was placed in a flame dried round bottom flask and dissolved in anhydrous toluene to a concentration of 0.25 M (3 mL). Trichloroacetimidate **1** (291 mg, 0.887 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added and the reaction warmed to reflux. After 18 hours, the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was pre-adsorbed on silica gel and purified by silica gel column chromatography (1% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to provide 0.273 g (85%) of octadecyloxydiphenylmethane (**8**) as a white solid. mp = 47–48 °C; TLC R_f = 0.80 (10% ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (thin film from CH_2Cl_2) 3027, 2923, 2852, 1493, 1453, 1097 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.21–7.37 (m, 10H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 3.44 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.60–1.67 (m, 2H), 1.26 (m, 30H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 142.9, 128.5, 127.5, 127.2, 83.8, 69.4, 32.2, 30.1, 29.94, 29.91, 29.87, 29.85, 29.7, 29.6, 26.5, 22.9, 14.3 (several signals in the aliphatic region were not resolved). Anal calcd for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{48}\text{O}$: C, 85.26; H, 11.08. Found: C, 85.18; H, 11.13.

1-(Benzhydryloxy)adamantane (19)—1-Adamantanol (200 mg, 1.313 mmol) was placed in a flame dried round bottom flask and dissolved in anhydrous toluene to a concentration of 0.25 M (5 mL). Trichloroacetimidate **1** (515 mg, 1.576 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added and the reaction warmed to reflux. After 18 hours, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was pre-adsorbed on silica gel and purified by silica gel column chromatography (1% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to provide 0.383 g (92%) of 1-(benzhydryloxy)adamantane (**19**) as an orange solid. mp = 64–66 °C; TLC R_f = 0.71 (10% ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (thin film from CH₂Cl₂) 3025, 2905, 2850, 1492, 1451, 1354, 1082 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.20–7.39 (m, 10H), 5.80 (s, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.83 (bs, 6H), 1.62 (bs, 6H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.3, 128.2, 127.2, 126.9, 74.4, 73.8, 43.0, 36.6, 30.8. Anal calcd for C₂₃H₂₆O: C, 86.75; H, 8.23. Found: C, 86.72; H, 8.18.

(S)-Benzyl 3-(benzhydryloxy)-2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino) propanoate (23)—N-benzyloxycarbonyl-L-serine benzyl ester (200 mg, 0.607 mmol) was placed in a flame dried round bottom flask and dissolved in anhydrous toluene to a concentration of 0.25 M (3 mL). Trichloroacetimidate **1** (239 mg, 0.728 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added and the reaction warmed to reflux. After 24 hours the reaction still showed starting material by thin layer chromatography analysis, so more trichloroacetimidate **1** was added (239 mg, 0.728 mmol, 1.2 equiv). After another 24 hours at reflux the reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with 2M aq. NaOH (3×), dried (Na₂SO₄) and concentrated (this workup removes the trichloroacetamide byproduct). The residue was pre-adsorbed on silica gel and purified by silica gel column chromatography (15% ethyl acetate/hexanes) to provide 0.273 g (91%) of (S)-benzyl 3-(benzhydryloxy)-2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino) propanoate (**23**) as a clear oil. $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ –12.5 (c 1.26, CHCl₃); TLC R_f = 0.18 (10% ethyl acetate/hexanes); IR (thin film from CH₂Cl₂) 3434, 3341, 3062, 3030, 2949, 2876, 1722, 1498, 1339, 1197, 1067 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.07–7.30 (m, 20H), 5.63 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 5.12 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 2H), 5.04 (s, 2H), 4.49 (dt, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (dd, J = 9.4, 2.8 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (dd, J = 9.4, 3.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 170.3, 156.1, 141.6, 141.4, 136.4, 135.4, 128.7, 128.65, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.0, 126.9, 84.2, 69.0, 67.4, 67.2, 54.8 (two signals in the aromatic region were not resolved.) Anal calcd for C₃₁H₂₉NO₅: C, 75.13; H, 5.90; N, 2.83. Found: C, 74.94; H, 5.97; N, 3.00. Chiral HPLC analysis: Chiralcel OD (heptane/2-PrOH = 90/10, 1.0 mL/min, 254 nm, 25 °C): $t_{(S \text{ enantiomer})}$ = 16.7 min, $t_{(R \text{ enantiomer})}$ = 23.9 min.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support was provided by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R15-GM116054). Acknowledgement is also made to the Donors of the American Chemical Society Petroleum Research Fund for a New Directions award in support of this research (54823-ND1). Support for the Syracuse University NMR facility used in this study was provided by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1229345), which is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

1. (a) Etayo P, Badorrey R, Diaz-de-Villegas MD, Galvez JA. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2007; 18:2812. (b) Cattoën X, Pericás MA. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 72:3253. [PubMed: 17402785] (c) Jenkinson SF, Best D, Saville AW, Mui J, Martinez RF, Nakagawa S, Kunitatsu T, Alonzi DS, Butters TD, Norez C, Becq F, Bleriot Y, Wilson FX, Weymouth-Wilson AC, Kato A, Fleet GWJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2013; 78:7380. [PubMed: 23688199] (d) Martin R, Murruzzu C, Pericas MA, Riera A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:2325. [PubMed: 15760222]
2. Olah GA, Prakash GKS, Narang SC. *Synthesis*. 1978:825.
3. Thornton MT, Henderson LC. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* 2013; 45:395.
4. (a) Andrus MB, Hicken EJ, Stephens JC. *Org. Lett.* 2004; 6:2289. [PubMed: 15200342] (b) Andrus MB, Liu J, Ye Z, Cannon JF. *Org. Lett.* 2005; 7:3861. [PubMed: 16119917] (c) Andrus MB, Hicken EJ, Stephens JC, Bedke DK. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 71:8651. [PubMed: 17064051] (d) Andrus MB, Ye Z. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008; 49:534.(e) Jolit A, Walleser PM, Yap GPA, Tius MA. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2014; 53:6180.
5. (a) Hüls A, Purand K, Stark H, Ligneau X, Arrang JM, Schwartz JC, Schunack W. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 1996; 6:2013.(b) Lewis DB, Matecka D, Zhang Y, Hsin L-W, Dersch CM, Stafford D, Glowa JR, Rothman RB, Rice KC. *J. Med. Chem.* 1999; 42:5029. [PubMed: 10585212] (c) Falch E, Krogsgaard-Larsen P. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 1991; 26:69.(d) Burdeinyi ML, Popkov SV, Kharchevnikova MV. *Russ. Chem. Bull.* 2009; 58:936.(e) Kainuma M, Kasuga J-i, Hosoda S, Wakabayashi K-I, Tanatani A, Nagasawa K, Miyachi H, Makishima M, Hashimoto Y. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006; 16:3213. [PubMed: 16617018] (f) Richardson JL, Nett IRE, Jones DC, Abdille MH, Gilbert IH, Fairlamb AH. *Chem Med Chem.* 2009; 4:1333. [PubMed: 19557801] (g) Nilsson JLG, Wagermark J, Dahlbom R. *J. Med. Chem.* 1969; 12:1103. [PubMed: 4390829] (h) Dutta AK, Fei X-S, Beardsley PM, Newman JL, Reith MEA. *J. Med. Chem.* 2001; 44:937. [PubMed: 11300876] (i) Kim D-I, Schweri MM, Deutsch HM. *J. Med. Chem.* 2003; 46:1456. [PubMed: 12672245] (j) Zhang S, Izenwasser S, Wade D, Xu L, Trudell ML. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2006; 14:7943. [PubMed: 16905323]
6. (a) Paredes R, Perez RL. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:2037.(b) Kolovos M, Froussios C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984; 25:3909.(c) Lapatsanis L. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1978:3943.(d) Stanescu MA, Varma RS. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002; 43:7307.(e) Sharma GVM, Rajendra Prasad T, Mahalingam AK. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2001; 42:759.(f) Namboodiri VV, Varma RS. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002; 43:4593.(g) Adinolfi M, Barone G, Iadonisi A, Schiattarella M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003; 44:3733.
7. (a) Bikard Y, Weibel J-M, Sirlin C, Dupuis L, Loeffler J-P, Pale P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007; 48:8895. (b) Saudi M, van Aerschoot A. *Molecules*. 2013; 18:8524. [PubMed: 23873390] (c) Bikard Y, Mezaache R, Weibel J-M, Benkouider A, Sirlin C, Pale P. *Tetrahedron*. 2008; 64:10224.
8. Liu Y, Wang X, Wang Y, Du C, Shi H, Jin S, Jiang C, Xiao J, Cheng M. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2015; 357:1029.
9. (a) Best D, Jenkinson SF, Rule SD, Higham R, Mercer TB, Newell RJ, Weymouth-Wilson AC, Fleet GWJ, Petursson S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008; 49:2196.(b) Jackson G, Jones HF, Petursson S, Webber JM. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1982; 102:147.(c) Petursson S, Scully SM, Jonsdottir S. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2014; 388:37. [PubMed: 24607539] (d) Bethell D, Newall AR, Whittaker D. *J. Chem. Soc. B.* 1971:23.(e) Kirmse W. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1963; 666:9.(f) Kirmse W, Horner L, Hoffmann H. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1958; 614:19.(g) Petursson S. *J. Chem.* 2013:183049.
10. (a) Fulton JR, Aggarwal VK, de Vicente J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2005:1479.(b) Sammakia, T. *Encycl. Reagents Org. Synth.* Paquette, LA., editor. 2001. p. 2209
11. Ali IAI, El Ashry ESH, Schmidt RR. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2003:4121.
12. (a) Takaku H, Ueda S, Ito T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1983; 24:5363.(b) Wessel HP, Iversen T, Bundle DR. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1.* 1985:2247.(c) Nakajima N, Horita K, Abe R, Yonemitsu O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1988; 29:4139.(d) Rai AN, Basu A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2003; 44:2267.(e) Kurosu M, Li K. *Synthesis*. 2009:3633.
13. Poon KWC, Dudley GB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 71:3923. [PubMed: 16674068]
14. Yamada K, Fujita H, Kunishima M. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:5026. [PubMed: 22994426]
15. Yamada K, Fujita H, Kitamura M, Kunishima M. *Synthesis*. 2013; 45:2989.

16. (a) Tsabedze SB, Kabotso DEK, Pohl NLB. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013; 54:6983.(b) Nakajima N, Saito M, Ubukata M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:5565.
17. Aoki H, Mukaiyama T. *Chem. Lett.* 2005; 34:1016.
18. (a) Poon KWC, House SE, Dudley GB. *Synlett.* 2005:3142.(b) Poon KWC, Albiniak PA, Dudley GB. *Org. Synth.* 2007; 84:295.(c) Albiniak PA, Dudley GB. *Synlett.* 2010:841.(d) Tummatorn J, Albiniak PA, Dudley GB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 72:8962. [PubMed: 17935354] (e) Wang, T-w; Intaranukulkit, T.; Rosana, MR.; Slegieris, R.; Simon, J.; Dudley, GB. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012; 10:248. [PubMed: 22025036]
19. (a) Nwoye EO, Dudley GB. *Chem. Commun.* 2007:1436.(b) Stewart CA, Peng X, Paquette LA. *Synthesis.* 2008:433.
20. (a) Strayer TA, Culy CC, Bunner MH, Frank AR, Albiniak PA. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015; 56:6807. (b) Salvati AE, Hubley CT, Albiniak PA. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2014; 55:7133.
21. Yamada K, Tsukada Y, Karuo Y, Kitamura M, Kunishima M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2014; 20:12274. [PubMed: 25111963]
22. (a) Adhikari AA, Shah JP, Howard KT, Russo CM, Wallach DR, Linaburg MR, Chisholm JD. *Synlett.* 2014:283.(b) Shah JP, Russo CM, Howard KT, Chisholm JD. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2014; 55:1740.
23. Duffy BC, Howard KT, Chisholm JD. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2015; 56:3301.
24. (a) Overman LE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1976; 98:2901.(b) Overman LE, Carpenter NE. *Org. React.* 2005; 66:1.
25. (a) Cramer F, Pawelzik K, Lichtenthaler FW. *Chem. Ber.* 1958; 91:1555.(b) Cramer F, Pawelzik K, Baldauf HJ. *Chem. Ber.* 1958; 91:1049.
26. Schmidt RR, Michel J. J. *Carbohydr. Chem.* 1985; 4:141.
27. Donohoe TJ, Bataille CJR, Innocenti P. *Org. React.* 2012; 76:1.
28. Ager DJ. *Org. React.* 1990; 38:1.

Figure 1.
Intercepting the DPM Cation with an Alcohol

Table 1 Etherification with Imidate **1** under Thermal Conditions

Entry	Solvent	Temperature	Yield ^a
1	toluene	50 °C	24%
2	toluene	111 °C	85%
3	α,α,α -trifluorotoluene	102 °C	62%
4	1,2-dichloroethane	83 °C	66%
5	dichloromethane	40 °C	18%
6	THF	66 °C	36%
7	1,4-dioxane	101 °C	60%
8	acetonitrile	82 °C	28%
9	DMF	110 °C	33%

^a Isolated yield.

Table 2 Etherification of Simple Alcohols using DPM Imidate **1**

Entry	DPM Ether	Yield ^a
1	 8	85%
2	 11	94%
3	 12	71%
4	 13	88%
5	 14	88%
6	 15	97%
7	 16	93%
8	 17	92%
9	 18	85%
10	 19	92%

^a Isolated yield.

Table 3 Etherification of Complex Alcohols and Phenols using DPM Imidate **1**

Entry	DPM Ether	Yield ^a
1	20	65%
2	21	79%
3	22	80%
4	23	91% ^b
5	24	73%
6	25	80%
7	26	96%
8	27	90%

Entry	DPM Ether	Yield ^a
9	 28	84% ^b
10	 29	91%
11	 30	61%
12	 31	53%
13	 32	74%

^a Isolated yield.

^b The reaction was allowed to proceed for 48 hours, and a second equiv of DPM imidate was added after 24 h.