Published in final edited form as:

Phys Rev Lett. 2016 April 15; 116(15): 154501. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.154501.

Scaling Behavior for Ionic Transport and its Fluctuations in Individual Carbon Nanotubes

Eleonora Secchi, Antoine Niguès, Laetitia Jubin, Alessandro Siria, and Lydéric Bocquet

Laboratoire de Physique Statistique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, UMR 8550, 24 Rue Lhomond
75005 Paris, France

Abstract

In this Letter, we perform an experimental study of ionic transport and current fluctuations inside individual carbon nanotubes (CNTs). The conductance exhibits a power law behavior at low salinity, with an exponent close to $1/3$ versus the salt concentration in this regime. This behavior is rationalized in terms of a salinity dependent surface charge, which is accounted for on the basis of a model for hydroxide adsorption at the (hydrophobic) carbon surface. This is in contrast to boron nitride nanotubes which exhibit a constant surface conductance. Further, we measure the low frequency noise of the ionic current in CNTs and show that the amplitude of the noise scales with the surface charge, with data collapsing on a master curve for the various studied CNTs at a given pH .

The transport of fluids at the nanoscale remains, to a large extent, virgin territory. Over recent years, new phenomena have been unveiled such as fast flows [1–4] or peculiar ion transport in carbon nanotubes [5], large osmotic power in boron nitride nanotubes [6], or high permeation across nanoporous graphene and graphene oxides [7–9]. Many of these phenomena remain to be rationalized [10,11]. While the field has been explored exhaustively on the theoretical and numerical side, there is still a lack of experimental output, as studies in this domain are very challenging. However, a systematic understanding of fluidic transport within nanochannels, and in particular the somewhat mysterious carbon materials, is a prerequisite for gaining fundamental insights into the mechanisms at play at the nanoscale level. A lot of hope has, indeed, been raised by the fluidic properties of these materials with impact on societal questions like desalination and energy harvesting, and it is accordingly crucial to pinpoint the physical origin of their specific behavior.

In this Letter, we explore ionic transport inside individual carbon nanotubes (CNTs) of various sizes, typically in the tens of nanometers range. We focus, in particular, on the ionic conductance and its dependence on salt concentration, as well as on the fluctuations of the ionic current. We report an “unusual” scaling behavior of the conductance at low salt concentration, which can be interpreted in terms of hydroxide adsorption on the carbon surface. Further, the measurements of the current noise highlight an intimate dependence of the noise amplitude on the surface charge, suggesting that surface adsorption plays a key role in the low frequency behavior of ionic transport. Results are shown to be strongly different to the response of boron nitride nanotubes (BNNTs), which exhibit the same crystallography but radically different electronic properties.

Individual nanotubes and experimental setup

The individual transmembrane nanotube device consists of an individual nanotube inserted in the hole of a pierced silicon nitride membrane. The methodology for fabricating these nanosystems is similar to that reported in Ref. [6], here extended to carbon nanotubes. Briefly, a single multiwall nanotube is inserted inside a ~ 200 nm hole, previously pierced using a focused ion beam. The insertion is performed using a homemade nanomanipulator, which consists of piezoinertial step motors, inside a scanning electron microscope. Sealing is obtained by cracking naphthalene locally under the electronic beam. All details of this procedure, as well as the benchmarking steps and cross-checks, follow those described in detail in the Supplemental Material of [6]. Carbon nanotubes used in this study are commercially available from Sigma Aldrich (ref 659258). The transmembrane nanotube is then squeezed between two macroscopic fluid reservoirs containing potassium chloride (KCl) solutions of various concentrations; Ag/AgCl electrodes inside the reservoirs were connected to an external patch-clamp amplifier for the electrical measurements with a resolution in the tens of picoamperes for a 5 kHz sampling rate.

Here, we performed experiments with five carbon nanotubes—radius $R \approx 35$ nm and length $L \approx 1500$ nm, radius $R \approx 14$ nm and length $L \approx 2000$ nm, radius $R \approx 10$ nm and length $L \approx 2500$ nm, radius $R \approx 7$ nm and length $L \approx 1000$ nm, radius $R \approx 3.5$ nm and length $L \approx 3000$ nm. Two boron nitride nanotubes, with $R \approx 15$ nm and $L = 800$ nm, and $R \approx 25$ nm length $L \approx 500$ nm were also considered for comparison.

Ionic transport and scaling behavior of the conductance

First, we measure the ionic current induced by a voltage drop. As for the BNNTs in [6], the current varies linearly with the voltage in all conditions (not shown). Figure 1 then reports the values for the conductance $G = I/V$ versus the salt concentration for the various CNTs explored. A striking observation on Fig. 1 is that the conductance in CNTs does not exhibit a saturation at low salt concentration, as expected for a nanochannel with a constant surface charge [10] and exhibited by BNNTs, see Ref. [6]. Rather, a scaling behavior of the conductance with the salt concentration is exhibited at low salt concentration, in the form

$$G \sim C_{\text{salt}}^{\alpha}, \quad (1)$$

with an exponent close to $\alpha = 1/3$. This result echoes similar observations by Lindsay *et al.* [4,12] and Forniasero *et al.* [13] in CNTs. There is, up to now, no explanation for the origin of this behavior. Descriptions in terms of fixed surface charge or fixed potential at the walls are not able to account for this behavior. Also, charged carboxylic groups localized at the CNT mouth, as put forward in [14] to explain charge exclusion, are insufficient for explaining a finite surface conduction along the CNT.

Here, we propose a possible interpretation for this behavior in terms of hydroxide adsorption at the surface of the (rather hydrophobic) carbon nanotubes, see Supplemental Material [15]. Adsorption of ions at the hydrophobic surface has long been suggested, and many measurements suggest a preferential adsorption of hydroxide ions at surfaces at neutral and

alkaline pH [16], even though the origin is still debated. Accordingly, we assume that OH^- ions have a preferred energy of adsorption, say $\mathcal{U}_{ads}$, at the carbon surface. In the context of a standard charge-regulation model [17], the chemical potential of the OH^- ions at the surface writes $\mu_i = k_B T \log([OH^-]_s \lambda^2) - eV_s + \mathcal{U}_{ads}$, where the index s denotes the value at the CNT surface and V_s is the electrostatic potential (λ is a microscopic normalizing length). We neglect, here, exclusion effects between hydroxide ions: this will lead to a saturation of the hydroxide concentration at the surface to a maximum limiting value. The surface charge $|\Sigma| \equiv [OH^-]_s$ is fixed by the equilibrium condition, $\mu_i = \mu_i^{bulk} = k_B T \log([OH^-]_{bulk} \lambda^3)$. This yields $|\Sigma| = k_A \times \exp[\phi_s]$, with $\phi_s = eV_s/k_B T$, $k_A = (K_A \lambda / K_e) 10^{pH}$, $K_e = 10^{14}$ the dissociation constant of water, and $K_A = \exp[-\mathcal{U}_{ads}/k_B T]$ a surface adsorption constant.

This equation is complemented by the nonlinear Poisson-Boltzmann (PB) equation for the electrostatic potential inside the tube. A full analytical resolution is not possible, and we reduce the discussion to its key ingredients. A first integration of the PB equation coupled to the surface boundary condition leads to a relationship between the surface potential ϕ_s , center potential ϕ_0 , and the surface charge: $\cosh \phi_s - \cosh \phi_0 = 2/(\kappa \ell_{GC})^2$, with $\ell_{GC} = (2\pi \ell_B |\Sigma|)^{-1}$ the Gouy-Chapmann (GC) length, $\ell_B = e^2/4\pi\epsilon k_B T$ the Bjerrum length, and $\kappa^{-1} = 1/\sqrt{8\pi\ell_B \rho_s}$ the Debye length; ρ_s is the salt number density (in m^{-3} , while the equivalent salt concentration C_{salt} is in moles per liter). In the limit where the surface potential is large and dominates over the averaged (Donnan) potential in the tube, the PB equation leads to the simple relationship between the surface potential and surface charge: $\cosh \phi_s \approx 2(\kappa \ell_{GC})^{-2}$. Combining with the previous charge regulation condition for the surface charge, $\exp[\phi_s] = |\Sigma|/k_A$ (with $\phi_s < 0$ for a negative surface charge), we obtain, accordingly, the self-consistent equation for Σ as $(k_A/2|\Sigma|) \approx (\pi \ell_B \Sigma^2/\rho_s)$. Altogether, this predicts

$$|\Sigma| \approx \left(\frac{k_A}{2\pi \ell_B} \rho_s \right)^{1/3}. \quad (2)$$

Note that we cannot exclude, at this stage, a proper covalent bonding of $-OH$ groups at the carbon surface, as, in the end, the physical chemistry would lead to a qualitatively similar dependence.

Since it is expected that, at low salt concentration, the conductance is proportional to the surface charge [10], therefore, this prediction explains the experimental observations in Fig. 1 and the scaling in (Eq. 1). Going further, we use the following expression for the conductance, based on the Poisson-Nernst-Planck model for conduction, which is adapted for confined geometries in the context of a Donnan description [10,18]

$$G = 2e^2 \mu \frac{\pi R^2}{L} \sqrt{\rho_s^2 + \frac{\Sigma^2}{R^2}}, \quad (3)$$

with ρ_s the KCl concentration, e the electronic charge,

$\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{K^+} + \mu_{Cl^-}) = 4.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ s Kg}^{-1}$ the KCl mobility, and $e\Sigma$ the surface charge density on the surface (in C/m²). Note that, in order to keep the description to its simplest form, we omit the electro-osmotic conductance (which scales like Σ^2 [10] and can be checked *a posteriori* to be negligible in the present conditions). We also do not include specific ion mobility effects at the surface [19]. We combine this expression with a density dependent charge $\Sigma(\rho_s)$, defined in line with (Eq. 2) as $\left| \Sigma \right| \ell_B^2 = C_0 (\rho_s \ell_B^3)^{1/3}$, with C_0 a dimensionless, pH dependent prefactor.

As shown in Fig. 1, this prediction is altogether in good agreement with the experimental data for the various tubes and pH investigated. As shown in the Supplemental Material [15], the constant C_0 extracted from the fits is found to depend on pH as $C_0 \sim 10^{\beta pH}$, with an exponent $\beta \approx 0.2-0.33$ in fair agreement with the prediction $\left| \Sigma \right| \propto k_A^{1/3} \propto 10^{pH/3}$, see (Eq. 2). Some slight dependence of C_0 on the tube diameter remains which we cannot explain at this stage. From the fit of C_0 versus pH , one may then get a rough estimate of the adsorption constant K_A , which gives an adsorption energy $\mathcal{U}_{ads} \approx 0.6 \text{ eV}$: this value is substantially smaller than covalent adsorption energies [20] and rather suggests physisorption of hydroxide ions at the CNT surface. This is *a posteriori* consistent with our initial assumption for the reversible adsorption of hydroxide at the CNT surface.

Current fluctuations

The conductance measurements show, therefore, that the CNTs exhibit a quite unusual, but robust, surface behavior. In this context, we extend our investigations to the current noise. Specifically, we study the fluctuations of the ionic current as a constant voltage drop is applied between the two sides of the tube. Experimentally, the presence of a low frequency ($f \sim 1 \text{ kHz}$) pink noise in the ionic current has been repeatedly reported in solid state and biological nanopores [21–25], but its origin has not found a satisfactory explanation up to now. Qualitatively, such low frequency current noise is characterized by a power spectrum $S(f) \propto 1/f^\gamma$ where f is the frequency and with $\gamma \approx 1$. A convenient way to describe such dependency is based on the long standing Hooge's empirical relation [26]

$$S(f) = \frac{A_H}{f} = \frac{\alpha \bar{I}^2}{f}, \quad (4)$$

with A_H the low frequency noise amplitude parameter and $\bar{I}$ the mean ionic current. According to Hooge, an (again empirical) observation is that the noise amplitude is usually inversely dependent on the total number of charge carriers inside the channel N_C , $\alpha \propto 1/N_C$, although there is no proper explanation for this behavior.

We have analyzed the fluctuations of the noise using a Molecular Device Axopatch 200B. The ionic current is acquired with low pass filtering at a cutoff frequency of 5 kHz. The current is recorded and the power spectrum obtained with a homemade LABVIEW program.

In Fig. 2, we report the power spectra of the noise under various imposed voltage, for both a CNT and a BNNT. These measurements confirm the presence of a low frequency $1/f$ noise, whose amplitude A_H increases with the imposed voltage. We plot, in Fig. 3, the amplitude versus the mean current: as suggested by (Eq. 4), we find that the noise amplitude scales like the square of the mean (dc) current, $A_H \propto \bar{I}^2$.

Varying the pH highlights, a different behavior for the CNTs and the BNNT. As shown in Fig. 3, the noise amplitude A_H for the CNT strongly varies with the salt concentration at low pH , while all results for A_H follow the investigations to the current noise. Specifically, we study same functional dependence for the largest pH . The same behavior is observed for all CNTs with varying diameters, but with a noise amplitude which does depend on the CNT under scrutiny. In contrast to CNTs, the noise amplitude for the BNNT remains insensitive to salt concentration whatever the pH .

While this behavior is somewhat complex, we show, in Fig. 4, that the data for the noise amplitude rescaled by the apparent surface charge—defined as above as

$\sum_{app} \ell_B^2 = C_0 (\rho_s \ell_B^3)^{1/3}$ with the parameter C_0 previously measured—do collapse on a single curve for all the investigated CNTs with various diameters, for pH 6 and 9. The fact that the noise should originate in the process occurring at the surface was proposed for some time [23]. Here, the collapse, highlighted experimentally for various systems, strongly supports that the origin of the low frequency noise stems from the process involving surface charge fluctuations.

Simple arguments allow us to get insight into the amplitude of the low frequency noise. First, one may assume that the low frequency noise fluctuations are linked to the conductance fluctuations, δG . Writing $\delta I = I - \bar{I} = \delta G \times V$, with $\bar{I} = \bar{G} V$ the mean current, then one gets for the noise spectrum $S(f) = \langle \delta I^2 \rangle(f) = \langle \delta G^2 \rangle(f) V^2 \times \bar{I}^2$. We formally rewrite this expression as $S(f) = \langle \delta G^2 \rangle(f) V^2 \times \bar{I}^2 \times \mathcal{A}(f)$, with $\mathcal{A}(f)$ a frequency dependent function which has the dimension of an inverse frequency. We will not discuss further the frequency dependence of $\mathcal{A}(f)$, which is found experimentally to scale like $\mathcal{A}(f) \sim 1/f$, therefore, following the longstanding Hooge's relationship.

We now, rather, focus on the noise amplitude. Assuming that the noise takes its origin in the surface charge fluctuations, one may conjecture that $\langle \delta G^2 \rangle \propto e^2 \langle \delta \Sigma^2 \rangle$. A crucial remark, then, is that surface charge fluctuations are intimately related to the differential capacitance of the interface [27], $e^2 \langle \delta \Sigma^2 \rangle = k_B T C_{diff}$, with C_{diff} the differential capacitance defined as $C_{diff} = (e \Sigma / V_s)$, with V_s the surface electrostatic potential. Within the approximated PB framework described above, the charge $e \Sigma$ is related to the potential via $|\Sigma| \approx (\kappa/4\pi\ell_B) \exp[-\phi_s/2]$, so that $C_{diff} \simeq e^2 |\Sigma| / 2k_B T$ in the present regime. Gathering results, the noise amplitude A_H is predicted to scale as

$$A_H = \alpha \bar{I}^2 \propto \frac{e |\Sigma|}{G^2} \times \bar{I}^2, \quad (5)$$

Beyond the scaling in G^{-2} , therefore, this prediction suggests that the noise amplitude α is directly proportional to the average surface charge: this is, indeed, in full agreement with the collapse of our experimental data in Fig. 4 for the various CNTs. Even the scaling like G^{-2} is recovered for pH6. For pH9, we still find a rescaling with the surface charge $e\Sigma$ but measure a different exponent for the conductance dependence, as $\alpha/e|\Sigma| \propto G^{-\gamma}$ with $\gamma = 1.2$. For pH10, the parameter α is found to be independent of G . The origin of this change in exponent for higher pH is not understood at this level of analysis.

Discussion

In conclusion, we have presented a combined study of the ionic conduction and its fluctuations inside individual carbon nanotubes. We have shown that, in the case of CNTs, the conductance exhibits a power law behavior at low salinity, with an exponent close to 1/3 versus the salt concentration. This is in contrast to BNNTs which exhibits a constant surface conductance. We have been able to rationalize this behavior in terms of a model accounting for hydroxide adsorption at the carbon surface. Similar processes are likely to be at the origin of a similar observation in smaller single wall carbon nanotubes [5,12,13].

This analysis of the conductance is particularly useful in order to get insights into the ionic noise through CNTs. The measurements of fluctuations in ionic conductance show an intimate link with the properties of the fluid-solid interface, as highlighted by a collapse of the low frequency noise amplitude once rescaled by the surface charge. This demonstrates that surface effects play a key role in the low frequency behavior of ionic transport. While the role of the surface charge on current fluctuations had been pointed for the high frequency regime [23], such a link is shown here for the first time for the dominant low frequency component. The physical origin of such a $1/f$ component to the noise remains largely mysterious. The scaling behavior measured in CNTs suggests new leads for the understanding of these slow dynamics in terms of the dynamics of adsorption processes at the confining surface. Work along these lines is in progress.

L. B. acknowledges funding from the European Union's FP7 Framework Programme / ERC Advanced Grant Micromegas, A. S. acknowledges funding from the European Union's H2020 Framework Programme / ERC Starting Grant Agreement No. 637748—NanoSOFT. The authors thank D. Stein, B. Rotenberg, R. Netz, and A. Michaelides for many fruitful discussions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References

- [1]. Holt JK, Park HG, Wang YM, Stadermann M, Artyukhin AB, Grigoropoulos CP, Noy A, Bakajin O. Fast mass transport through sub-2-nanometer carbon nanotubes. *Science*. 2006; 312:1034. [PubMed: 16709781]
- [2]. Majumder M, Chopra N, Andrews R, Hinds BJ. Nanoscale hydrodynamics: Enhanced flow in carbon nanotubes. *Nature (London)*. 2005; 438:930. [PubMed: 16355208]

- [3]. Whitby M, Cagnon L, Thanou M, Quirke N. Enhanced Fluid Flow through Nanoscale Carbon Pipes. *Nano Lett.* 2008; 8:2632. [PubMed: 18680352]
- [4]. Liu H, He J, Tang J, Liu H, Pang P, Cao D, Krstic P, Joseph S, Lindsay S, Nuckolls C. Translocation of single-stranded DNA through single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Science.* 2010; 327:64. [PubMed: 20044570]
- [5]. Choi W, Ulissi ZW, Shimizu SFE, Bellisario DO, Ellison MD, Strano MS. Diameter-dependent ion transport through the interior of isolated single-walled carbon nanotubes. *Nat Commun.* 2013; 4:2397. [PubMed: 24025921]
- [6]. Siria A, Poncharal P, Bianco A-L, Fulcrand R, Blase X, Purcell S, Bocquet L. Giant osmotic energy conversion measured in a single transmembrane boron nitride nanotube. *Nature (London).* 2013; 494:455. [PubMed: 23446417]
- [7]. O'Hern SC, Boutilier MSH, Idrobo J-C, Song Y, Kong J, Laoui T, Atieh M, Karnik R. Selective ionic transport through tunable subnanometer pores in single-layer graphene membranes. *Nano Lett.* 2014; 14:1234. [PubMed: 24490698]
- [8]. Joshi RK, Carbone P, Wang FC, Kravets VG, Su Y, Grigorieva IV, Wu HA, Geim AK, Nair RR. Precise and ultrafast molecular sieving through graphene oxide membranes. *Science.* 2014; 343:752. [PubMed: 24531966]
- [9]. Celebi K, Buchheim J, Wyss RM, Droudian A, Gasser P, Shorubalko I, Kye J-I, Lee C, Park HG. Ultimate permeation across atomically thin porous graphene. *Science.* 2014; 344:289. [PubMed: 24744372]
- [10]. Bocquet L, Charlaix E. Nanofluidics, from bulk to interfaces. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2010; 39:1073. [PubMed: 20179826]
- [11]. Bocquet L, Tabeling P. Physics and technological aspects of nanofluidics. *Lab Chip.* 2014; 14:3143. [PubMed: 25046581]
- [12]. Pang P, He J, Park JH, Krstic PS, Lindsay S. Origin of giant ionic currents in carbon nanotube channels. *ACS Nano.* 2011; 5:7277. [PubMed: 21888368]
- [13]. Guo S, Buchsbaum SF, Meshot ER, Davenport MW, Siwy Z, Fornasiero F. Giant conductance and anomalous concentration dependence in sub-5 nm carbon nanotube nanochannels. *Biophys J.* 2015; 108:175a. abstract for the 59th Annual Meeting of the Biophysical Society (2015).
- [14]. Fornasiero F, Park HG, Holt JK, Stadermann M, Grigoropoulos CP, Noy A, Bakajin O. Ion exclusion by sub-2-nm carbon nanotube pores. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2008; 105:17250. [PubMed: 18539773]
- [15]. See Supplemental Material at <http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.154501> for the detailed theoretical derivation of the surface charge scalings, as well as the experimental pH dependence of the surface charge.
- [16]. Zimmermann R, Freudenberg U, Schweiss R, Kuttner D, Werner C. Hydroxide and hydronium ion adsorption— A survey. *Curr Opin Colloid Interface Sci.* 2010; 15:196.
- [17]. Israelachvili, JP. *Intermolecular and Surface Forces.* Academic Press; New York: 2011.
- [18]. Balme S, Picaud F, Manghi M, Palmeri J, Bechelany M, Cabello-Aguilar S, Abou-Chaaya A, Miele P, Balanzat E, Janot JM. Ionic transport through sub-10 nm diameter hydrophobic high-aspect ratio nanopores: experiment, theory and simulation. *Sci Rep.* 2015; 5:10135. [PubMed: 26036687]
- [19]. Maduar SR, Belyaev AV, Lobaskin V, Vinogradova OI. Electrohydrodynamics Near Hydrophobic Surfaces. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2015; 114:118301. [PubMed: 25839314]
- [20]. Michaelides A. private communication.
- [21]. Smeets RMM, Keyser UF, Dekker NH, Dekker C. Noise in solid-state nanopores. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2008; 105:417. [PubMed: 18184817]
- [22]. Powell MR, Vlassiuk I, Martens C, Siwy ZS. Nonequilibrium 1/f Noise in Rectifying Nanopores. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2009; 103:248104. [PubMed: 20366233]
- [23]. Hoogerheide DP, Garaj S, Golovchenko JA. Probing Surface Charge Fluctuations with Solid-State Nanopores. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2009; 102:256804. [PubMed: 19659110]
- [24]. Tasserit C, Koutsioubas A, Llairez D, Zalczer G, Clochard M-C. Pink Noise of Ionic Conductance through Single Artificial Nanopores Revisited. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2010; 105:260602. [PubMed: 21231637]

- [25]. Wohnsland F, Benz R. 1/f-noise of open bacterial porin channels. *J Membr Biol.* 1997; 158:77. [PubMed: 9211723]
- [26]. Hooge FN. 1/f Noise. *Physica (Amsterdam).* 1976; 83B:14.
- [27]. Limmer DT, Merlet C, Salanne M, Chandler D, Madden PA, van Roij R, Rotenberg B. Charge Fluctuations in Nanoscale Capacitors. *Phys Rev Lett.* 2013; 111:106102. [PubMed: 25166683]

Fig. 1.

Conductance $G = I/V$ measured inside single carbon nanotubes with various geometrical characteristics and pH . (a) $\{R, L\} = \{35 \text{ nm}, 1500 \text{ nm}\}$, $pH = 6, 9, 10$ from bottom to top; (b) $\{R, L\} = \{14 \text{ nm}, 2000 \text{ nm}\}$, $pH = 6, 9, 10$ from bottom to top; (c) $\{R, L\} = \{10 \text{ nm}, 2500 \text{ nm}\}$, $pH = 4$; (d) $\{R, L\} = \{3.5 \text{ nm}, 3000 \text{ nm}\}$, $pH = 4, 6, 8, 10$ from bottom to top. The dashed lines are predictions according to the model in the text,

$$G = 2e^2\mu \left(\pi R^2/L \right) \sqrt{\rho_s^2 + \Sigma^2/R^2}, \text{ with a surface charge scaling with salt concentration } C_{\text{salt}}$$

as $\Sigma \propto C_{\text{salt}}^{1/3}$ (C_{salt} in Mol L^{-1} and $\rho_s = 6.0210^{26} \times C_s$ the numerical density in m^{-3}). See text and Supplemental Material [15] for details.

Fig. 2. Noise current power spectra for CNT with $\{R,L\} = \{35\text{nm}, 1500\text{nm}\}$ (a) and BNNT with $\{R,L\} = \{25\text{ nm}, 500\text{ nm}\}$ (b), measured at $pH6$ and at salt concentration equal to 1 M, for different applied voltage. Peaks in the noise at harmonics of 50 Hz are due to ac electric supply grid.

Fig. 3.

Evolution of the parameter A_H with the dc ionic current I (log-log scales). The experiments have been performed on CNT with $\{R, L\} = \{35 \text{ nm}, 1500 \text{ nm}\}$ (a) and (b) and BNNT with $\{R, L\} = \{25 \text{ nm}, 500 \text{ nm}\}$ (c) and (d), for different values of pH [$pH 6$, (a) and (c) and $pH 10$, (b) and (d); see Supplemental Material [15] for the curves at $pH 9$]. The colors of the symbols correspond to various KCl concentration: 10^{-3} M (blue), 10^{-2} M (red), 10^{-1} M (black), 1 M (green). The dashed lines have a slope 1 in log-log scales, highlighting the linear dependence of A_H with I^2 .

Fig. 4.

Collapse of the noise amplitude rescaled by surface charge, $\alpha/e\Sigma$, as a function of the conductance G . The symbols are CNT with $\{R, L\} = \{35 \text{ nm}, 1500 \text{ nm}\}$ (blue); CNT with $\{R, L\} = \{14 \text{ nm}, 2000 \text{ nm}\}$ (orange); CNT with $\{R, L\} = \{7 \text{ nm}, 1000 \text{ nm}\}$ (green). (a) Results at pH6. The dashed line has a slope equal to -2 , $\alpha/\Sigma \propto 1/G^2$. (b) Results at pH9. The dashed line has a slope equal to -1.2 , $\alpha/\Sigma \propto 1/G^{1.2}$. (c) α versus conductance for the three CNTs at pH10 and BNNT with $\{R, L\} = \{25 \text{ nm}, 500 \text{ nm}\}$ at pH6 (red) and pH10 (violet).