Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2016 April 11; 55(16): 4914–4918. doi:10.1002/anie.201510570.

HandaPhos. A general ligand enabling sustainable *ppm* levels of palladium-catalyzed cross-couplings in water at room temperature

Dr. Sachin Handa^a, Prof. Dr. Martin P. Andersson^b, Dr. Fabrice Gallou^c, Dr. John Reilly^d, and Prof. Dr. Bruce H. Lipshutz^a

Bruce H. Lipshutz: lipshutz@chem.ucsb.edu

^aDept. of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106 (USA) ^bNano-Science Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Copenhagen, Denmark ^cNovartis Pharma AG, Basel, Switzerland ^dNovartis Institute for Medical Research, Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

Abstract

A new monophosphine ligand, HandaPhos, has been identified that when complexed in a 1:1 ratio with Pd(OAc)₂, forms a catalyst that is generally useful, enabling Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings to be run using 1000 ppm of this catalyst. Applications to heavily utilized Suzuki-Miyaura reactions involving highly functionalized reaction partners are demonstrated, all run in the absence of organic solvents using environmentally benign nanoparticle reactors in water at ambient temperatures. Comparisons with existing state-of-the-art ligands and catalysts are discussed herein.

Graphical abstract

Keywords

Ligand; Micellar Catalysis; Green Chemistry; E Factor; Suzuki-Miyaura couplings

Ligated palladium remains among the most enthusiastically utilized catalysts in synthetic and materials chemistry.^[1] The Nobel Prize awarded to Heck, Negishi, and Suzuki in 2010 serves to encourage further use and applications of these transition metal-mediated reactions.^[2] Unfortunately, the world's supply of economically accessible palladium is

limited; *i.e.*, it is an endangered element.^[3] Hence, aside from its status as a precious and, therefore, costly metal, there is considerable incentive to address this issue from the perspective of sustainability. Perhaps of equal impact, especially for the pharmaceutical industry, is the amount of residual palladium found in the products, which typically requires special processing to reduce to FDA-approved levels. Two options include a move away from palladium entirely, or use of palladium at especially low levels, and preferably, with recycling. While alternative transition metals, such as nickel^[4] and copper,^[5] may be attractive for certain applications, palladium remains the metal of choice. Traditional uses of palladium catalysts under homogeneous conditions in organic solvents tend to employ catalyst loadings in range of 1–5 mol %. While there are isolated examples in the literature where ppm,^[6] and even ppb,^[6c–e] levels have been reported, none is of any generality and in virtually all cases, forcing conditions are required. Moreover, regardless of the extent of Pd invested per reaction, neither catalyst nor solvent is typically fully recycled, creating considerable organic waste especially at scale.

In this report, we disclose a truly general, mild, efficient, and environmentally sustainable technology based on the new ligand, racemic “HandaPhos.” When combined in a 1:1 ratio with Pd(OAc)₂,^[7] a pre-catalyst results that, via *in situ* reduction, enables Pd-catalyzed reactions, most notably Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings, to be run in water at room temperature using ppm levels of palladium (Figure 1A). In essence, the level of ligated palladium that need be invested can be reduced by 1–2 orders of magnitude. To No other technology of this generality and environmental attractiveness is currently known.

Traditional Pd-catalyzed *sp*²-*sp*² bond formations carried out in organic solvents rely on ligands cleverly crafted based on crucial steric, conformational, and stereoelectronic properties.^[8] Upon completion, most, if not all, of the active palladium catalyst is either discarded as waste or re-processed in efforts to recover spent precious metal. Rarely is there any attention directed towards recovery/recycling of costly ligands, especially significant at higher catalyst loadings. Indeed, the cost associated with such (proprietary) ligands is oftentimes more than that for palladium. Moreover, considerable amounts of Pd are usually present within the isolated product, leading to additional metal losses and necessitating further purification.^[9] This latter situation can dictate whether Pd-catalyzed couplings are even eligible for use at later stages of a synthesis *en route* to an active pharmaceutical ingredient (API). By contrast, reactions run in size- and shape-controlled nanomicelles feature much higher substrate and catalyst concentrations within their inner cores than those found in organic solvent-based solution. This hydrophobic environment offers a unique opportunity to increase the effective catalyst concentration (*i.e.*, its binding constant) based on its lipophilicity, in addition to adjustments to other standard reaction parameters.^[10] Thus, by increasing the time spent by the catalyst in close proximity to the coupling partners, less catalyst should be needed to achieve coupling within the same or shorter time period.

Our attention was directed towards oxaphosphole-containing ligands in the BI-DIME family, described previously by Tang et al., and found to be very useful as their derived Pd complexes as catalysts in SM couplings in aqueous toluene using 2–5 mol % of palladium.^[11] Since these catalysts, however, are ineffective under micellar conditions at the

1000 ppm level (0.1 mol %; *vide infra*), a structurally related monophosphine with enhanced activity was envisioned based on increased lipophilicity and donicity, while maintaining optimal coordination properties achieved *via* conformational rigidity and steric effects. An extensive investigation of various carbon-based appendages at the 2-position (e.g., **L1**–**L8**, Scheme 1B) included racemic derivative **L8** bearing a 2,4,6-triisopropylphenylmethyl residue. Remarkably, in a ~1:1 combination with typically 1000 ppm of Pd(OAc)₂, this new catalyst efficiently mediates SM cross-couplings. When run in aqueous nanoreactors composed of the inexpensive and commercially available designer surfactant Nok,^[12] along with 1.5–2.0 equivalents of Et₃N, a wealth of functionality in either reaction partner is tolerated. Excellent yields of cross-coupling products are obtained, and no special precautions in catalyst handling are needed insofar as sensitivity towards air and moisture. Moreover, as the extensive survey of products **3**–**35** illustrates in Table 1, this technology is amenable to substrates bearing chloride (e.g., **5**, **8**, and **9**), bromide or iodide as leaving group, although other chlorides studied required mild heating to 45 °C to reach full conversion. Likewise, the source of boron is especially broad, including boronic acids, Bpin or B(MIDA) derivatives, and BF₃K salts. Noteworthy observations regarding these examples include: (a) biaryl products such as **12**, **33**, **34** can be fashioned, notwithstanding their congested state, which might otherwise be very challenging to form in organic solvents at room temperature using even much higher levels of known catalysts; (b) alkenylboron derivatives readily participate with equal effectiveness (**14** and **23**); (c) doubly protected nitrogen, as found in products **36**–**38** (Table 2A), and other sensitive groups, remain intact under these basic albeit especially mild conditions, where ~1000 ppm of (HandaPhos)Pd suffices to afford products **36**–**48**. Couplings based on other hybridizations at carbon (sp and sp³) are also amenable (Table 2B). Attempted coupling using a state-of-the-art catalyst, e.g., (SPhos)palladacycle, under literature conditions (5 mol %, 50,000 ppm)^[13] led predominantly to loss of the Boc protecting group (Table 2C); (d) similar direct comparisons of Pd ligated by HandaPhos at the 1000 ppm level with other highly acclaimed catalysts clearly documented their limited utility under these conditions, as little, if any, biaryl product was found in all cases (Scheme 2A); (e) reactions can be run at global concentrations of 0.5 M or higher; hence, minimal amounts of water are involved; (f) after in-flask extraction followed by filtration through silica, ICP analysis for Pd content in the product indicated levels on the order of 9 ppm, which compares very favorably with levels observed using traditional coupling conditions (Scheme 2B).

The amount of Pd invested in each coupling can be reduced further by recycling of the aqueous reaction medium. As described previously in our study of SM using aryl MIDA boronates,^[14] the solid biaryl or related product(s) can be easily obtained by dilution of the reaction mixture with water followed by product isolation via filtration. The filtrate can then be recycled, upon addition of surfactant (back to 2 wt %), and ligated Pd (back to the original ppm level).

As summarized in Scheme 2C, couplings between educts **49** and **50** led to consistent isolated yields of biaryl **24** over five cycles. Given that organic solvents constitute the vast majority of organic waste created by the chemical enterprise,^[15] in general, an E Factor¹⁶ calculated based on this reaction variable, therefore, approaches zero; *i.e.*, the reaction from start to

finish involves no organic solvent. In addition, all of the water is re-used in subsequent reactions. Future incorporation of HandaPhos into a palladacycle should assist with mechanistic investigations, as well as further reductions in catalyst loadings.

A representative sequence of reactions performed in a single pot is illustrated in Scheme 3 (top). An initial double SM coupling on a bis-MOM-protected 3,3'-dibromo-BINOL is followed by hydrolysis of both MOM residues in a single pot to afford BINOL **51** in 92% overall yield, highlighting the use of both micellar and the surrounding aqueous media. Prospects for HandaPhos-ligated Pd to mediate analogous Pd-catalyzed Sonogashira couplings, in the absence of copper, were also tested leading to unsymmetrical alkyne **52** (85%), likewise formed in water at RT. Adduct **52** bears several functional groups suggesting that these same mild coupling conditions will allow for considerable scope in the alkyne partner as well.

To gain insight as to the key attributes associated with the design of HandaPhos that impart the observed catalytic activity to its *in situ*-derived palladium complex, Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations have been performed using the COSMO-RS implicit solvent model.^[17] This allows for analysis of thermodynamic properties such as solubility, partitioning, and reaction free energies in a two-phase system such as exists with Nok-derived nanomicelles in an aqueous medium. Surprisingly, the extent of partitioning of four mono-ligated Pd catalysts containing XPhos, SPhos, IPr, or HandaPhos in this medium is predicted to be virtually identical. Any difference, therefore, ascribed to hydrophobicity of the various ligands should have a minor impact on local concentrations therein, and hence, minimal influence on reaction rates. The *electronic structures* of these ligands, however, can be quite different (Scheme 3, bottom; also, see SI). The center of the occupied states projected onto Pd *d*-orbitals correlates linearly with the reaction energy leading to a stable intermediate from the oxidative addition step. Reaction energy differences span ca. 140 kJ/mol, implying dissimilarity in resulting catalyst activity as a function of ligand. XPhos and HandaPhos, however, have essentially the same occupied *d*-orbital center, with only 20 kJ/mol between them. Therefore, the potential role of sterics was investigated by calculating the reaction energy in Nok micelles for binding a second ligand of HandaPhos and XPhos to mono-ligated Pd. The free energy of formation between the mono- and di-ligated complexes is +66 kJ/mol for HandaPhos, and -62 kJ/mol for XPhos. This strongly suggests that HandaPhos forms an exclusively mono-ligated complex with Pd(0), while XPhos prefers the (less active) di-ligated state. Thus, the large variations in reactivity, and ultimately, yields, between several established Pd catalysts and HandaPhos(Pd) may be attributed, on the one hand, to the modest role of ligand lipophilicity, while the major influence is due to synergies between steric effects (vs. XPhos) and the electronic structure of HandaPhos (vs. SPhos and IPr).

Overall, this work provides a significant advance in the area of Pd catalysis, and cross-coupling chemistry in particular. Specifically, it offers the synthetic community, based on the new ligand HandaPhos,^[18] the following features now associated with the most heavily utilized of all Pd-catalyzed cross-couplings, the Suzuki-Miyaura reaction, most of which are not characteristic of current methodologies: (1) uses ppm levels of palladium (<0.1 mol %); (2) is generally applicable to a broad range of functionality in either reaction partner; (3)

involves very mild conditions, typically room temperature (ca. 22 °C); (4) utilizes an aqueous reaction medium that avoids organic solvents, yet involves very little water • allows for “in-flask” recycling of the surfactant, water, and catalyst; (5) leads to ppm levels of residual palladium in cross-coupling products. The process further illustrates the synthetic potential of micellar catalysis in combination with catalyst design, where enhancing the lipophilic and, in particular, steric and electronic properties of the ligand chelating Pd leads to catalyst loadings that can be reduced to ppm levels. Further applications of HandaPhos technology to several other Pd catalyzed reactions (e.g., a more extensive study on Sonogashira couplings), as well as its use with other precious metals (e.g., Au) at the ppm level will be reported in due course.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Financial support provided by Novartis is warmly acknowledged. The palladium used in this study was generously supplied by Dr. Thomas Colacot at Johnson Matthey. Technical assistance provided by Mr. R. Linstadt and Dr. Ye Wang is also well appreciated. We are also grateful for support by the NIH in the form of a Shared Instrument Grant (1S10OD012077-01A1).

References

- (a) Yao Q, Kinney EP, Zheng C. *Org. Lett.* 2004; 6:2997. [PubMed: 15330667] (b) Phan NTS, Van Der Sluys M, Jones CW. *Adv. Synth. & Catal.* 2006; 348:609. (c) DeAngelis, A.; Colacot, TJ. *New Trends in Cross-Coupling: Theory and Applications*. The Royal Society of Chemistry; 2015. p. 20-90. (d) Johansson Seechurn, CCC.; Li, H.; Colacot, TJ. *New Trends in Cross-Coupling: Theory and Applications*. The Royal Society of Chemistry; 2015. p. 91-138.
- (a) Colacot TJ. *Platinum Metals Rev.* 2011; 55:84. (b) Johansson Seechurn CCC, Kitching MO, Colacot TJ, Snieckus V. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:5062. (c) Molander, G.; Wolfe, JP.; Lerhed, M. *Science of synthesis: cross-coupling and Heck-type reactions*. Thieme: Workbench Edition; 2013. (d) Gildner, PG.; Colacot, TJ. *Organometallics*. ASAP; 2015.
- (a) Davies E, Renner R. *Chem. World.* 2011; 1:50. https://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=3&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CC4QFjACahUKEwj1uqHngLbIAhWFOIgKHcnWBbI&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.rsc.org%2Fimages%2FEndangered%2520Elements%2520-%2520Critical%2520Thinking_tcm18-196054.pdf&usg=AFQjCNFkgJFYRSOL4sSvvtDNaSEWx_OHDQ. (a) Mike P. *New Scientist*. 2011; 2(2799) <http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg20927995.700-lets-take-better-care...>
- (a) Tasker SZ, Standley EA, Jamison TF. *Nature*. 2014; 509:299. (b) Han F-S. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013; 42:5270. [PubMed: 23460083] (c) Handa S, Slack ED, Lipshutz BH. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2015; 54:11994.
- (a) Thathagar MB, Beckers J, Rothenberg G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:11858. [PubMed: 12358523] (b) Mao J, Guo J, Fang F, Ji S-J. *Tetrahedron*. 2008; 64:3905. (c) Gurung SK, Thapa S, Kafle A, Dickie DA, Giri R. *Org. Lett.* 2014; 16:1264–1267. [PubMed: 24499358]
- (a) Arvela RK, Leadbeater NE, Sangi MS, Williams VA, Granados P, Singer RD. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:161. [PubMed: 15624918] (b) Leadbeater NE, Williams VA, Barnard TM, Collins MJ. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2006; 10:833. (c) Wong SM, So CM, Chung KH, Lau CP, Kwong FY. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2012; 2012:4172. (d) Dong Z, Ye Z. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014; 356:3401. (e) Deraedt C, Salmon L, Astruc D. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2014; 356:2525.

7. In very recent work by Colacot and co-workers, Pd(OAc)₂ has been shown to be more accurately viewed as Pd₃(OAc)₆; see Carole WA, Bradley J, Sarwar M, Colacot T. *Org. Lett.* 2015; 17:5472. [PubMed: 26507318]
8. (a) Barder TE, Walker SD, Martinelli JR, Buchwald SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:4685. [PubMed: 15796535] (b) Martin R, Buchwald SL. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2008; 41:1461. [PubMed: 18620434] (c) Bruno NC, Tudge MT, Buchwald SL. *Chem. Sci.* 2013; 4:916. [PubMed: 23667737] (d) Zhang D, Wang Q. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2015; 286:1.
9. Koide, K. *New Trends in Cross-Couplings: Theory and Applications*. RCS Catalysis Series. Colacot, T., editor. 2014. p. P779-P810. <http://pubs.rsc.org/en/content/ebook/9781849738965#!divbook>
10. (a) Dwars T, Paetzold E, Oehme G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2005; 2:7174. (b) Handa S, Lippincott DJ, Aue DH, Lipshutz BH. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2014; 53:10658. (c) La Sorella G, Strukul G, Scarso A. *Green Chem.* 2015; 17:644.
11. Zhao Q, Li C, Senanayake CH, Tang W. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013; 19:2261. [PubMed: 23296637]
12. Klumphu P, Lipshutz BH. *J. Org. Chem.* 2014; 79:888. [PubMed: 24447127]
13. Dufert MA, Billingsley KL, Buchwald SL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013; 135:12877. [PubMed: 23909907]
14. Isley NA, Gallou F, Lipshutz BH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013; 135:17707. [PubMed: 24224801]
15. Sheldon RA. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012; 41:1437. [PubMed: 22033698]
16. Roschangar F, Sheldon RA, Senanayake C. *Green Chem.* 2015; 17:752. (b) Sheldon RA. *Green Chem.* 2007; 9:1273. (c) Lipshutz BH, Isley NA, Fennewald JC, Slack ED. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:10952.
17. Klamt A, Eckert F, Arlt W. *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.* 2010; 1:101. [PubMed: 22432575]
18. HandaPhos will soon be an item of commerce, offered by Sigma-Aldrich (catalog #79958)

(A) sustainable approach to Pd-catalyzed Suzuki-Miyaura cross-couplings

(B) model reaction partners, and ligands examined

Scheme 1.

A ligand-based sustainable approach to Pd-catalyzed C-C bond formation.

(A) comparison of ligands

	Tang's oxaphosphine with Pd(OAc) ₂ isolated yield 25 (%)	Buchwald's phosphine with palladacycle isolated yield 25 (%)	IPr with Pd ₂ (dba) ₃ isolated yield 25 (%)	this work with Pd(OAc) ₂ isolated yield 25 (%)
in Nok surfactant	12	trace	no reaction	83
in toluene/H ₂ O	28	15	no reaction	70
in toluene/H ₂ O and 5 mol % catalyst	50	69	52	80

(B) residual Pd in products

this work: (HandaPhos)Pd (1000 ppm) 2 wt % Nok, Et₃N, RT	residual Pd in 25 1 ppm	residual Pd in 38 9 ppm
traditional work: (SPhos)Pd (20,000-50,000 ppm) 2-5 mol % Pd cat., aq. K ₃ PO ₄ , dioxane, 85 °C, 18 h	171 ppm	217 ppm

(C) recycle study **Scheme 2.**

(A) Comparative studies with state-of-the-art ligands; (B) levels of Pd in products; (C) recycle studies.

occupied DOS projected onto Pd d-orbitals

Scheme 3.

(top) Tandem reactions to **51**, and Sonogashira coupling to **52**, with ppm levels of (HandaPhos)Pd; (bottom) Occupied density of states (DOS) projected onto the Pd d-orbitals for five mono-ligated Pd catalysts.

Table 1

Representative examples using (HandaPhos)Pd

<p>3 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 98%, 24 h</p>	<p>4 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂, cat = 500 ppm, 98%, 12 h</p>	<p>5 Y = B(OH)₂ X = Cl/Br, 98%, 24-30</p>
<p>6 X = Br, 12 h Y = B(OH)₂, 85% Y = BF₃K, 87%</p>	<p>7 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 90%, 12 h</p>	<p>8 Y = B(MIDA), cat = 900 ppm X = Cl, 98%, 28 h X = Br, 98%, 16</p>
<p>9 Y = B(OH)₂, cat = 800 ppm X = Cl, 96%, 28 h X = Br, 98%, 16 h</p>	<p>10 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ cat = 800 ppm, 94%, 14 h</p>	<p>11 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂, cat = 800 ppm R = OMe, 98%, 18 h R = CHO, 95%, 16 h R = MOM, 91%, 20 h</p>
<p>12 X = Br, *Y = BF₃K, 97%, 28 h X = I, *Y = BF₃K, 98%, 24 h</p>	<p>13 X = Br, *Y = B(OH)₂, 96%, 34 h X = I, *Y = B(OH)₂, 98%, 28 h</p>	<p>14 X = Br, *Y = BF₃K 91%, 28 h</p>
<p>15 X = Br, Y = B(MIDA) cat = 800 ppm, 90%, 26 h</p>	<p>16 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ cat = 1200 ppm, 90%, 20 h</p>	<p>17 X = Br, Y = B(MIDA) 81%, 29 h</p>
<p>18 X = Br, Y = B(MIDA) cat = 1200 ppm, 91%, 28 h</p>	<p>19 X = Br, Y = BF₃K 90%, 32 h</p>	<p>20 X = Br, Y = B(MIDA), cat = 900 ppm, 97%, 12 h X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂, cat = 900 ppm, 88%, 12 h</p>
<p>21 X = Br, Y = B(MIDA) 94%, 32 h</p>	<p>22 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 93%, 21 h</p>	<p>23 Y = B(OH)₂ X = Br, 93%, 24 h; X = I, 95%, 20 h</p>
<p>24 Y = B(MIDA) X = Br, 90%, 24 h; X = I, 92%, 18 h</p>	<p>25 X = Br, Y = B(MIDA), 95%, 16 h X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂, 83%, 15 h</p>	<p>26 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 90%, 48 h</p>
<p>27 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 89%, 32 h</p>	<p>28 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 72%, 31 h</p>	<p>29 X = Br, Y = Bpin 87%, 27 h</p>
<p>30 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 89%, 24 h</p>	<p>31 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 80%, 24 h</p>	<p>32 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 88%, 28 h</p>
<p>33 X = I, Y = B(OH)₂ 89%, 32 h</p>	<p>34 Y = B(OH)₂ X = Br, 84%, 30 h; X = I, 85%, 28 h</p>	<p>35 X = Br, Y = B(OH)₂ 84%, 32 h</p>

* unless otherwise mentioned, [Pd] = 1000 ppm (see SI for details).

Table 2

Substrates with sensitive functionality and/or sp and sp^3 carbon-based reaction partners

(A) examples with sensitive protecting groups

(B) C-C couplings between sp^2 and sp^3/sp partners

(C) Comparative study with a substrate containing sensitive functionality

Reaction conditions for A and B: 0.5 mmol ArX, 0.53–0.6 mmol Ar'[B], 1000 ppm Pd(OAc)₂ 0.01 M in toluene, 1020 ppm HandaPhos, 0.75–1.0 mmol Et₃N, 1.0 mL 2 wt % Nok in H₂O, RT. Unless otherwise mentioned, RT = room temperature For Scheme B, reaction temperature is 45 °C.

*Y was added in two portions at 12 h intervals (see SI, pages S17–S18 for a general procedure).