Published in final edited form as:

Adv Exp Med Biol. 2013 ; 757: 17–39. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-4015-4_2.

Germ Cell Specification

Jennifer T. Wang and Geraldine Seydoux

Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Center for Cell Dynamics, Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, 725 North Wolfe Street, PCTB 706, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Geraldine Seydoux: gseydoux@jhmi.edu

Abstract

The germline of *Caenorhabditis elegans* derives from a single founder cell, the germline blastomere P₄. P₄ is the product of four asymmetric cleavages that divide the zygote into distinct somatic and germline (P) lineages. P₄ inherits a specialized cytoplasm (“germ plasm”) containing maternally encoded proteins and RNAs. The germ plasm has been hypothesized to specify germ cell fate, but the mechanisms involved remain unclear. Three processes stand out: (1) inhibition of mRNA transcription to prevent activation of somatic development, (2) translational regulation of the *nanos* homolog *nos-2* and of other germ plasm mRNAs, and (3) establishment of a unique, partially repressive chromatin. Together, these processes ensure that the daughters of P₄, the primordial germ cells Z2 and Z3, gastrulate inside the embryo, associate with the somatic gonad, initiate the germline transcriptional program, and proliferate during larval development to generate ~2,000 germ cells by adulthood.

Keywords

Germ plasm; Polarity; Germ granules; Cell fate; Transcriptional repression; Germline blastomeres; Primordial germ cells; P lineage; Maternal RNA

2.1 Introduction to the Embryonic Germ Lineage (P Lineage)

2.1.1 Embryonic Origin of the Germline

P₄ arises in the 24-cell stage from a series of four asymmetric divisions starting in the zygote (P₀) (Fig. 2.1). Each division generates a larger, somatic blastomere (AB, EMS, C and D) and a smaller, germline blastomere (P₁, P₂, P₃, P₄). Laser ablation of the P₄ nucleus yields sterile worms with no germ cells (Sulston et al. 1983), confirming that P₄ is the sole founder of the germline and that no other cell can replace P₄.

In the 88-cell stage, P₄ divides once to generate two daughters: the primordial germ cells, Z2 and Z3. Soon after their birth, Z2 and Z3 gastrulate into the embryo interior (Harrell and Goldstein 2011). Z2 and Z3 do not divide further during embryogenesis, and remain close to each other and to the intestine. By the 2-fold stage, Z2 and Z3 extend protrusions towards

two intestinal cells (Sulston et al. 1983). Intestinal cells have been suggested to provide sustenance to Z2 and Z3 until the gonad is formed.

In mid-embryogenesis, the somatic gonadal precursors Z1 and Z4 migrate towards Z2 and Z3 to form the gonad primordium (Sulston et al. 1983). Z2 and Z3 resume divisions only in the first (L1) larval stage after the larva begins feeding. Z2 and Z3 will eventually generate ~2,000 germ cells by adulthood (Kimble and White 1981).

2.1.2 Characteristics of the P Blastomeres

2.1.2.1 Asymmetric Divisions—P₀, P₁, P₂, P₃ all divide asymmetrically. Before each division, the spindle becomes displaced towards one side of the cell. The P granules, RNA-rich organelles specific to the germline, and several associated cytoplasmic proteins and RNAs (collectively referred to as “germ plasm”; Table 2.1) also accumulate on that same side. As a result, each division generates daughters of unequal size with the smaller daughter inheriting most of the germ plasm (Gönczy and Rose 2005; Strome 2005).

In the first two divisions, the spindle becomes displaced towards the posterior pole of the embryo, such that P₁ and P₂ are born in the posterior. The posterior pole is defined in the zygote P₀ by the position of the sperm centrosome, which orients the distribution of the PAR polarity regulators (Gönczy and Rose 2005). In the P₂ blastomere, the polarity axis is reversed by signaling from the somatic blastomere EMS, and P₃ and P₄ are born towards the anterior (Schierenberg 1987; Arata et al. 2010). As a result, P₄ is born next to the descendants of the E (intestinal) lineage. Unlike P₀–P₃, P₄ divides symmetrically into two equal size daughters (Z2 and Z3) that both inherit germ plasm.

2.1.2.2 Long Cell Cycle Times—P blastomeres have longer cell cycle times than their somatic sisters. For example, P₁ divides 2 min after AB, in part due to enhanced activity of a DNA replication checkpoint in P₁ (Encalada et al. 2000; Brauchle et al. 2003), and in part due to higher levels of cell cycle regulators (PLK-1 and Cdc25.1) in AB (Rivers et al. 2008; Budirahardja and Gönczy 2008). P₄ divides about 70 min after its birth (Sulston et al. 1983). Z2 and Z3 duplicate their DNA and centrosomes, but remain arrested in G2 until after hatching (Fukuyama et al. 2006).

2.1.2.3 No mRNA Transcription—mRNA transcription begins in the 3- to 4-cell stage in somatic blastomeres, but appears to remain off in the germline blastomeres until gastrulation. In a survey of 16 mRNAs, no newly transcribed mRNAs were detected in P₀–P₄ by in situ hybridization (Seydoux et al. 1996). During the transcription cycle, the serine-rich repeats in the carboxy-terminal tail of RNA polymerase II become phosphorylated, first on Serine 5 during initiation and then on Serine 2 during elongation. These phosphoepitopes are reduced (Pser5) or completely absent (Pser2) in the germline blastomeres (Seydoux and Dunn 1997). Both phosphoepitopes appear transiently in Z2 and Z3 shortly after their birth, but return to low/background levels by the 1.5-fold stage and do not reappear until after hatching (Furuhashi et al. 2010). Z2 and Z3 also lose the active chromatin marks H3K4me₂, H3K4me₃, and H4K8ac (Schaner et al. 2003). Z2 and Z3 are not completely transcriptionally silent, however: zygotic expression of several germline genes have been detected in Z2 and Z3. These include P granule components (*pgl-1*, *glh-1*, and *glh-4*), the

nanos ortholog *nos-1*, and meiotic genes (*htp-3*, *rec-8*) (Subramaniam and Seydoux 1999; Kawasaki et al. 2004; Takasaki et al. 2007; Spencer et al. 2011). In contrast to mRNA transcription, transcription of ribosomal RNAs has been detected in all P blastomeres with the possible exception of P₄ (Seydoux and Dunn 1997).

2.1.2.4 Maintenance of Maternal mRNAs—In situ hybridization and RNA profiling studies have uncovered two classes of maternal mRNAs in early embryos: maternal mRNAs that are maintained in all blastomeres, and maternal mRNAs that are rapidly turned over in somatic blastomeres and maintained only in germline blastomeres (Seydoux and Fire 1994; Seydoux et al. 1996; Baugh et al. 2003). Some in the latter class are also enriched in P granules. For example, the Nanos homolog *nos-2* is partitioned to both germline and somatic blastomeres during the first two divisions. Between the 4- and 8-cell stages, *nos-2* is turned over in somatic blastomeres and maintained in the P lineage, where it is enriched in P granules. By the 28-cell stage, *nos-2* RNA remains only in P₄, where it is finally translated (Subramaniam and Seydoux 1999; Tenenhaus et al. 2001).

2.2 Cellular Mechanisms of Germ Cell Specification

Two general modes of germline specification have been described in animals: induction by extracellular signals and induction by germ plasm, a specialized cytoplasm inherited from the oocyte (Seydoux and Braun 2006). In this section, we describe evidence for each of these mechanisms acting in *C. elegans*.

2.2.1 Asymmetric Segregation of the Germ Plasm

Several lines of evidence suggest that *C. elegans* embryos possess germ plasm. As described above, the germline-specific P granules and associated RNAs and RNA-binding proteins co-segregate to the same side of the P blastomere before each asymmetric cleavage (Table 2.1). P or “germ” granules have been reported in the germline of many different animals, including mammals, and are considered to be intimately associated with germ cell fate (Strome and Lehmann 1997).

Embryo manipulations support the view that at least some aspects of P cell fate are specified by factors that are asymmetrically localized in the zygote. Using a laser microbeam to create holes in the eggshell, Schierenberg (1988) extruded “partial embryos” containing cytoplasm from only the anterior or posterior of the zygote. Partial embryos containing anterior cytoplasm divided symmetrically, whereas partial embryos containing posterior cytoplasm divided asymmetrically, similar to the P blastomeres. However, mixing of posterior cytoplasm into anterior cytoplasm was not sufficient to induce asymmetric divisions. Delaying cell division eliminated the ability of posterior cytoplasm to support asymmetric divisions. Together these observations suggest that the germ plasm is required for germ cell fate but is not sufficient to induce germ cell fate when diluted with “somatic cytoplasm.” In contrast, in *Drosophila*, injection of germ plasm in the anterior pole of the embryo is sufficient to create ectopic germ cells (Mahowald and Illmensee 1974).

Asymmetric distribution of the germ plasm is controlled by the PAR network of polarity regulators, which regulates anterior–posterior polarity in P₀ and most likely also in P₁, P₂,

and P₃ (see below). The PAR proteins PAR-1 and PAR-2 segregate with the germ plasm, and both are maintained in the P lineage through the asymmetric divisions leading to P₄ (Guo and Kemphues 1995; Boyd et al. 1996). PAR-1 and PAR-2 become enriched at the cell periphery on the side of the germ plasm during each asymmetric division. Strong mutations in the *par* genes disrupt all polarity in the 1-cell stage and lead to embryonic lethality. Hypomorphic *par* mutations, however, lead to viable but sterile worms that lack all germ cells (Kemphues et al. 1988; Guo and Kemphues 1995; Spilker et al. 2009). These observations suggest that asymmetric segregation of the germ plasm is required to specify P₄ as the germline founder cell.

2.2.1.1 MEX-5 and MEX-6: Germ Plasm Antagonists—The PAR network regulate germ plasm asymmetry through the action of the PAR-1 kinase and its substrates MEX-5 and MEX-6, two highly related and partially redundant RNA-binding proteins that segregate opposite to the germ plasm. Phosphorylation by PAR-1 stimulates MEX-5 (and presumably MEX-6) diffusion in the posterior cytoplasm of the zygote, causing MEX-5 to become enriched in the anterior (Tenlen et al. 2008; Griffin et al. 2011). As a result, the AB blastomere inherits high levels of MEX-5/6 and low levels of PAR-1, and the P₁ blastomere inherit low levels of MEX-5/6 and high levels of PAR-1. This pattern is repeated during the divisions of P₁, P₂, and P₃ (Schubert et al. 2000; Guo and Kemphues 1995). MEX-5 and MEX-6 promote both asymmetric partitioning of the germ plasm to germ cells during cell division and asymmetric degradation of the germ plasm from the soma after cell division.

2.2.1.2 Asymmetric Partitioning of the Germ Plasm During Division—

Examination of P granule dynamics in live zygotes has revealed that P granule partitioning depends both on MEX-5/6-driven granule disassembly in the anterior cytoplasm and PAR-1-driven granule assembly in the posterior cytoplasm (Cheeks et al. 2004; Brangwynne et al. 2009; Gallo et al. 2010). P granule proteins that become dispersed in the anterior cytoplasm are reincorporated into granules in the posterior cytoplasm. As a result, P₁ inherits more P granule proteins than AB (Gallo et al. 2010). After polarity reversal in P₂, P granules appear to segregate using a different mechanism involving association with the P cell nuclei (Hird et al. 1996). PAR-1 and MEX-5/6 also promote the posterior enrichment of germ plasm proteins that are only loosely associated with P granules, such as PIE-1 and POS-1 (Table 2.1), but the mechanisms involved are not known (Schubert et al. 2000). MEX-5/6 also promotes anterior enrichment of PLK-1 and CDC-25, which contribute to the fast cell cycle of the AB blastomere (Rivers et al. 2008; Budirahardja and Gönczy 2008).

2.2.1.3 Asymmetric Degradation of the Germ Plasm After Division—Asymmetric enrichment of the germ plasm during division is not absolute and low levels of germ plasm RNAs and proteins are inherited by all somatic blastomeres. These low levels are rapidly turned over, and this degradation depends on MEX-5 and MEX-6. In *mex-5;mex-6* embryos, germ plasm proteins are uniformly partitioned to all blastomeres. Heat shock-induced expression of MEX-5 in single blastomere is sufficient to degrade germ plasm proteins in that cell (Schubert et al. 2000). The potent anti-germ plasm effect of MEX-5 may explain why, in the cytoplasmic mixing experiments described above (Schierenberg 1988), anterior cytoplasm “suppresses” the potential for asymmetric division.

In somatic blastomeres, MEX-5 and MEX-6 are required for their own degradation and the degradation of other CCCH zinc finger proteins (POS-1, PIE-1, and MEX-1). CCCH protein degradation depends on ZIF-1, a substrate recognition subunit for the CUL-2 E3 ubiquitin ligase. ZIF-1 recognizes specific CCCH fingers in MEX-5, MEX-1, POS-1, and PIE-1. A fusion between GFP and the PIE-1 first zinc finger (GFP:ZF1) is symmetrically segregated to somatic and germline blastomeres, but degraded in each somatic lineage in a ZIF-1-dependent manner (DeRenzo et al. 2003). The distribution of ZIF-1 protein is not known, but a reporter containing the *zif-1* 3' UTR is activated in each somatic lineage, suggesting that ZIF-1 activity is restricted to somatic blastomeres by translational regulation of the *zif-1* mRNA. Recent studies indicate that *zif-1* translation is controlled combinatorially by several RNA-binding proteins that all bind directly to the *zif-1* 3' UTR. In oocytes, *zif-1* is silenced by OMA-1 and OMA-2 (Güven-Ozkan et al. 2010; Robertson and Lin 2012, Chap. 12), two redundant RNA-binding proteins that interact with the eIF4E-binding protein and translational repressor SPN-2 (Li et al. 2009). In zygotes, OMA-1/2 are phosphorylated by the kinase MBK-2 (Nishi and Lin 2005; Shirayama et al. 2006; Stitzel et al. 2006), leading to the displacement of SPN-2 from the *zif-1* 3' UTR and the eventual degradation of OMA-1 and OMA-2 during the first cleavage (Pellettieri et al. 2003; Nishi and Lin 2005; Shirayama et al. 2006; Güven-Ozkan et al. 2010). *zif-1* continues to be silenced, however, through the combined action of MEX-3 and SPN-4 in zygotes and POS-1 in later stages (Oldenbroek et al. 2012). This repression is lifted in somatic blastomeres by MEX-5 and MEX-6, which compete with POS-1 for binding to the *zif-1* 3' UTR (Oldenbroek et al. 2012). Thus, MEX-5 and MEX-6 promote their own degradation and the degradation of other CCCH-binding proteins by promoting the translation of the E3 ligase subunit that targets them for ubiquitination. MEX-5 activity requires phosphorylation by the Polo kinases PLK-1 and PLK-2, which directly bind to, and segregate with, MEX-5. Phosphorylation by PLK-1 and PLK-2 is primed by MBK-2, which is active in zygotes but not oocytes. This requirement may explain why MEX-5 promotes germ plasm turnover in embryos, but not in oocytes where MEX-5 is also present (Nishi et al. 2008).

The mechanisms by which MEX-5 and MEX-6 also promote RNA degradation in somatic blastomeres are less well understood. Activation of mRNA degradation in the 4-cell stage is temporally correlated with the recruitment of LSM-1 and CCF-1 (CAF1/Pop2 subunit of the CCR4/NOT deadenylase complex) to P bodies, cytoplasmic granules that have been implicated in the decapping and deadenylation of mRNAs. In *mex-5*; *mex-6* (RNAi) embryos, LSM-1 is not recruited to P bodies and maternal mRNAs are stabilized. Consistent with a role for deadenylation, RNAi depletion of *let-711*/Not-1, a component of CCR4/NOT deadenylase, also interferes with LSM-1 recruitment and mRNA degradation (Gallo et al. 2008). Whether LSM-1 is required for this process, however, has not yet been examined.

2.2.1.4 Self-propagation of Germ Plasm and Anti-germ Plasm?—The properties of MEX-5 and MEX-6 suggest that in *C. elegans* the distinction between soma and germline depends both on maintenance of the germ plasm in the P lineage, and on the active degradation of germ plasm in somatic lineages (“anti-germ plasm activity”). In *par-1* mutants, MEX-5 and MEX-6 remain uniform and germ plasm RNAs and CCCH proteins are degraded in all cells by the 4-cell stage. Presumably, in wild-type embryos, PAR-1 maintains

MEX-5 and MEX-6 at low enough levels in the P blastomeres to avoid degradation of the germ plasm. PAR-1 is maintained in all germline blastomeres and in Z2 and Z3, suggesting that PAR-1 is required continuously in the embryonic germ lineage to maintain the germ plasm. Intriguingly, in the zygote, MEX-5/6 activity is required for maximal enrichment of PAR-1 in the posterior (Cuenca et al. 2003). One possibility is that mutual regulation/exclusion by PAR-1 and MEX-5/6 functions in a continuous loop to ensure that germ plasm asymmetry is reestablished in each P blastomere.

2.2.2 Asymmetric Segregation of P Granules: Not Essential?

The P granules are the only components of the germ plasm that persist in all germ cells throughout the development (except in sperm, Updike and Strome 2010). P or “germ” granules have been observed in the germ plasm and/or germ cells of all animals examined (Strome and Lehmann 1997). By electron microscopy in zygotes, P granules appear as round, electron-dense structures without membranes and dispersed throughout the cytoplasm (Wolf et al. 1983). Starting in P₂, P granules associate with the cytoplasmic face of the nuclear envelope, where they will remain until gametogenesis. P granules exclude macromolecules larger than 70 kDa and greater, and have been proposed to extend the nuclear pore environment of the nuclear membrane into the cytoplasm (Updike et al. 2011).

P granules contain both constitutive components present at all stages of development and stage-specific components. Constitutive components include the RGG domain RNA-binding proteins PGL-1 and PGL-3 (Kawasaki et al. 1998, 2004) and the Vasa-related RNA helicases GLH-1,2,3 and 4 (Roussell and Bennett 1993; Kuznicki et al. 2000). PGL-1/3 are the core scaffolding components of P granules and can assemble into granules when expressed on their own in tissue culture cells (Hanazawa et al. 2011). Mutations in *pgl* and *glh* genes interfere with larval germ cell proliferation and gamete formation (Kawasaki et al. 2004; Spike et al. 2008). The most severe defects are seen when the worms are raised at high temperature or when mutations in multiple genes are combined. For example, *pgl-1* mutants are fertile at 20 °C but sterile with underproliferated germlines at 26 °C. Double loss of *pgl-1* and *pgl-3* leads to sterility even at low temperature (Kawasaki et al. 2004). In all mutant combinations, however, germ cells are still formed, suggesting that P granule proteins are required primarily for germ cell proliferation and/or differentiation, but not for germ cell fate specification (Kawasaki et al. 2004; Spike et al. 2008). The redundancy and strong maternal contribution of PGL and GLH proteins, however, has made it difficult to exclude a potential role for P granules in germ cell fate specification in embryos.

In embryos, several germ plasm proteins are enriched on P granules (e.g., PIE-1, POS-1, MEX-1, MEX-3, MEG-1, MEG-2, Sm proteins), raising the possibility that P granules organize the germ plasm. Dynamic association of PIE-1 with P granules has been suggested to drive PIE-1 partitioning into P blastomeres by slowing down PIE-1 diffusion in the cytoplasm destined for P blastomeres (Daniels et al. 2009). Mutants that mislocalize P granules to somatic blastomeres or misexpress P granule components in somatic cells, however, do not make extra germ cells, suggesting that P granules on their own are not sufficient to assemble germ plasm and/or specify germ cell fate (Strome et al. 1995; Tabara et al. 1999; Mello et al. 1992). Mutants that mislocalize P granules often fail to form

primordial germ cells (i.e., *mes-1*), but because these mutants also missegregate other germ plasm components, a specific requirement for P granules could not be inferred.

Recently, a gene required specifically for the asymmetric partitioning of P granules was identified. *pptr-1* codes for a regulatory subunit of the phosphatase PP2A. In *pptr-1* mutants, P granules disassemble during each embryonic cell division. As a result, P granule components, including PGL-1/3, GLH-1/2/4 and the P granule-associated mRNAs *cey-2* and *nos-2* are partitioned equally to somatic and germline blastomeres. Surprisingly, other germ plasm components (including PAR-1, MEX-5/6 and PIE-1) still segregate asymmetrically in *pptr-1* mutants, demonstrating that P granules are in fact not essential to organize germ plasm. Consistent with normal MEX-5 and MEX-6 partitioning, *nos-2* and *cey-2* mRNAs are quickly degraded in each somatic blastomere in *pptr-1* mutants. After MEX-5 and MEX-6 turnover in the somatic lineages, PGL and GLH proteins reassemble into granules during inter-phase, but these granules appear in all cells and become progressively smaller with each division. By the time of the birth of Z2 and Z3, all cells have either very small or undetectable granules (Gallo et al. 2010).

The PGL granules inherited by somatic blastomeres in *pptr-1* mutants are eventually eliminated by autophagy after gastrulation (Zhang et al. 2009). During midembryogenesis, when zygotic transcription of P granule components begins, Z2 and Z3 assemble new P granules. At that time, Z2 and Z3 also initiate expression of the *nos-2* paralog *nos-1*, as they do in wild-type (Subramaniam and Seydoux 1999). Consistent with proper specification of Z2 and Z3, 100 % of *pptr-1* mutants are fertile when raised at 20 °C (Gallo et al. 2010). These observations demonstrate that P granule partitioning is not essential to distinguish soma from germline. If P granules harbor factors that promote germ cell fate, these factors must be quickly inactivated in somatic cells, possibly by MEX-5 and MEX-6.

When raised at 26 °C, 20 % of *pptr-1* mutants grow into sterile adults with underproliferated germlines. The *pptr-1* phenotype is reminiscent of the phenotype of *pgl* and *glh* mutants, and is exacerbated by mutations in *pgl-1*: 15 % of *pptr-1;pgl-1* double mutants are sterile at 20 °C (Gallo et al. 2010). These observations suggest that asymmetric inheritance of maternal P granules, although not essential, ensures that Z2 and Z3 have sufficient P granule material before starting to divide in the larva. Because *pptr-1* mutants *missegagate* but do not *eliminate* all maternal P granule components, the possibility remains that P granules also *contribute* to germ cell fate specification, perhaps as permissive rather than instructive cues.

2.2.3 Cell-to-Cell Signaling: Also Required?

Specification of the embryonic germ lineage also depends on at least one cell–cell interaction. MES-1 is a transmembrane protein that functions with SRC-1 to mediate bidirectional signaling between EMS and P₂. This signaling is required to polarize the EMS spindle and to reverse the polarity of P₂ to ensure that P₃ arises in the anterior (Strome et al. 1995; Berkowitz and Strome 2000; Bei et al. 2002). In the absence of MES-1, P₃ divides symmetrically, and P₄ adopts the somatic fate of its sister D. Both cells inherit P granules and other germ plasm components (Strome et al. 1995). The P₄ to D transformation could be due to “dilution” of the germ plasm below a certain threshold necessary to induce germ cell fate. If so, MES-1 signaling could contribute to germ cell fate indirectly by promoting P₃

polarity. Consistent with this possibility, MES-1 has been shown to be required for the proper localization of PAR-2 (Arata et al. 2010). Another possibility, however, is that signaling by MES-1 also induces other changes in P₂ and P₃ required directly to specify or maintain “germ cell fate.” Because no experiment has yet shown that the germ plasm is sufficient to induce germ cell fate in *C. elegans*, the possibility that other mechanisms are involved, including induction by cell–cell interactions, cannot be excluded at this time.

2.3 Molecular Mechanisms of Germ Cell Specification

While no single molecular mechanism has been shown yet to be *sufficient* to induce germ cell fate, several have been suggested to be *required* for the proper development of P blastomeres and/or Z2 and Z3. We consider each of these in turn below.

2.3.1 Translational Regulation of Maternal RNAs

Several germ plasm components are RNA-binding proteins (Table 2.1). Mutations in these proteins lead to embryonic lethality and cell fate transformations affecting both somatic and germline blastomeres. POS-1 and MEX-3 regulate the translation of several mRNAs and are required to maintain germ plasm asymmetry (Tabara et al. 1999; Jadhav et al. 2008; Mello et al. 1992; Draper et al. 1996). The complex phenotypes of these mutants make it difficult to evaluate their direct contribution to germ cell fate. Because each RNA-binding protein exhibits a unique pattern of perdurance within the germ plasm, one possibility is that they function combinatorially to specify the fate of each germline blastomere and their somatic daughters.

As described above, combinatorial control involving multiple RNA-binding proteins has been demonstrated to restrict the translation of *zif-1* RNA to somatic blastomeres. Analysis of the *nos-2* mRNA supports the view that similar mechanisms cooperate to regulate the translation of mRNAs in the germ plasm. As described above, *nos-2* mRNA is maintained throughout the P lineage but translated only in P₄. Silencing of *nos-2* translation requires SPN-4, OMA-1, OMA-2, MEX-3, 5, and 6, and activation requires PIE-1 and POS-1 (Jadhav et al. 2008; Tenenhaus et al. 2001; D’agostino et al. 2006). OMA-1, OMA-2 and MEX-3 silence *nos-2* during oogenesis, whereas SPN-4 is required primarily to silence *nos-2* in embryos. POS-1 and SPN-4 compete for binding to the *nos-2* 3′ UTR; when SPN-4 levels fall below a threshold in P₄, POS-1 prevails and activates *nos-2* translation (Jadhav et al. 2008).

The role of PIE-1 in the translational activation of *nos-2* is less understood, but is distinct from PIE-1’s role in transcriptional repression (described below). A *pie-1* transgene with mutations in the second zinc finger (PIE-1^{ZF2−}) rescues the transcriptional defects of a *pie-1* null mutation, but is not sufficient to activate *nos-2* translation in P₄ (see below). In embryos expressing PIE-1^{ZF2−}, Z2 and Z3 form normally, but do not gastrulate efficiently. In some embryos, Z2 and Z3 are never incorporated into the embryo proper, and are left behind when the larva crawls out of the egg shell at hatching (Tenenhaus et al. 2001).

These observations support the view that germ plasm proteins, such as PIE-1, promote the translation of mRNAs required for the proper development and/or specification of Z2 and

Z3. The identity of these mRNAs is not yet known. In embryos where *nos-2* is depleted by RNAi, Z2 and Z3 gastrulate normally, and only occasionally fail to associate with the somatic gonad, suggesting that PIE-1 also regulates other mRNAs besides *nos-2*.

Analysis of MEG-1 and MEG-2 supports the view that regulation of germ plasm mRNAs is essential for the proper specification of Z2 and Z3. MEG-1 and MEG-2 are two partially redundant novel proteins that associate with P granules specifically in the P₂, P₃, and P₄ blastomeres. Loss of *meg-1* and *meg-2* leads to germ cell death in the L3 stage (Leacock and Reinke 2008). Interestingly, *meg-1* interacts genetically with *nos-2*.

nos-2(RNAi);meg-1(vr10) animals show the most severe phenotype reported for Z2 and Z3: the cells never proliferate, lose perinuclear P granules, and die by the first larval stage in an apoptosis-independent manner (Kapelle and Reinke 2011). Since MEG-1 and NOS-2 expression overlaps only in P₄, events critical for germ cell fate specification likely occur first in this cell.

NOS-2 levels are partially reduced in *meg-1* embryos, raising the possibility that like other germ plasm components, MEG-1 regulates the expression of germ plasm RNAs. MEG-1 does not contain any recognizable RNA-binding motif, but shows complex genetic interactions with RNA-binding proteins that function during larval germline development (Leacock and Reinke 2008; Kapelle and Reinke 2011). One possibility is that RNA regulation by the MEGs and other germ plasm components initiates the network of protein–RNA regulation that drives germ cell proliferation (see Chap. 8, Nousch and Eckmann 2012).

By the mid-embryogenesis, Z2 and Z3 initiate the transcription of *nos-1*, another Nanos homolog which functions partially redundantly with *nos-2*. Embryos lacking both *nos-1* and *nos-2* do not downregulate marks of active transcription in Z2 and Z3 and all germ cells degenerate during the L3 and L4 larval stages (Subramaniam and Seydoux 1999; Furuhashi et al. 2010). Nanos family members are RNA-binding proteins that often function with the PUF family of translational regulators (Parisi and Lin 2000), so *nos-2* and *nos-1* likely function by regulating the translation of other mRNAs, but the identity of these targets is not known.

Biochemical experiments have begun to define the RNA-binding specificity of some germ plasm proteins (POS-1, MEX-3, MEX-5, Pagano et al. 2007; Farley et al. 2008; Pagano et al. 2009). These types of approaches, together with the identification of RNAs bound by germ plasm proteins in vivo, may help elucidate the complex network of protein–RNA interactions that specify the fate of Z2 and Z3.

2.3.2 Inhibition of mRNA Transcription

As described above, the germline blastomeres P₀–P₄ maintain many maternally inherited mRNAs, but do not transcribe any mRNAs *de novo*. RNA polymerase II is present in the P blastomeres, but kept inactive by two distinct mechanisms.

2.3.2.1 Inhibition of TAF-4 by OMA-1 and OMA-2—In addition to their role as translational regulators (see above), OMA-1 and OMA-2 also inhibit transcription in the

zygote. OMA-1 and OMA-2 interact with TAF-4, a component of the TFIID transcription complex. To activate transcription, TAF-4 must bind to TAF-12 in the nucleus. OMA-1 and 2 compete with TAF-12 for binding to TAF-4, and sequester TAF-4 in the cytoplasm (Güven-Ozkan et al. 2008). OMA-1 and OMA-2 are made during oogenesis, but become competent to bind TAF-4 only in the zygote due to phosphorylation by MBK-2, a kinase activated during the oocyte-to-embryo transition (see above). Phosphorylation by MBK-2 also induces degradation of OMA-1/2 by the two-cell stage (Pellettieri et al. 2003; Stitzel et al. 2006). Regulation by MBK-2 ensures that OMA-1/2 inhibit zygotic transcription specifically in the zygote and early 2-cell stage. OMA-1/2 turnover in the 2-cell stage releases TAF-4 and activates mRNA transcription in the somatic blastomeres ABa and Abp by the three-cell stage (Güven-Ozkan et al. 2008, also see Robertson and Lin 2012, Chap. 12).

2.3.2.2 Inhibition of RNA Polymerase II Phosphorylation by PIE-1—In the germline blastomeres P₂, P₃, and P₄, transcription remains repressed through the action of PIE-1. Unlike other germ plasm components, which are primarily cytoplasmic, PIE-1 also accumulates in the nuclei of each P blastomere (Mello et al. 1996). In *pie-1* mutants, high levels of CTD phosphorylation appear prematurely in P₂, P₃, and P₄ (Seydoux and Dunn 1997). Studies in mammalian cells have shown that PIE-1 inhibits P-TEF-b, the cyclin T-Cdk9 complex that phosphorylates Serine 2 in the CTD repeats of RNA polymerase. PIE-1 binds to cyclin T and inhibits P-TEF-b kinase activity using a pseudo-substrate motif that resembles a nonphosphorylatable version of the CTD (Batchelder et al. 1999). Genetic studies have shown that this activity, although functional in the germline blastomeres, is not essential to promote germ cell fate. A *pie-1* transgene with mutations in the pseudo-substrate motif fails to repress Serine 2 phosphorylation as expected, but still inhibits Serine 5 phosphorylation and mRNA transcription. In fact, such a transgene is sufficient to rescue a *pie-1* loss-of-function mutant to viability and fertility (Ghosh and Seydoux 2008). These observations suggest that PIE-1 uses redundant mechanisms to inhibit RNA polymerase II activity and promote germ cell fate.

Why inhibit mRNA transcription in germline blastomeres? The phenotype of *pie-1* null mutants provides one clue. In *pie-1* mutants, P₂ adopts the fate of its somatic sister EMS. *pie-1* embryos die as disorganized embryos with excess intestine and pharyngeal cells (EMS fates) and no germ cells (Mello et al. 1992). This cell fate transformation depends on the transcription factor SKN-1. SKN-1 is maternally encoded and present at high levels in both P₂ and EMS (Bowerman et al. 1993). One hypothesis therefore is that repression of mRNA transcription serves to protect germline blastomeres from transcription factors like SKN-1 that would otherwise induce somatic development (Seydoux et al. 1996).

Since the original observations in *C. elegans*, inhibition of RNA polymerase II phosphorylation has been observed in the embryonic germlines of *Drosophila*, *Xenopus*, ascidians, and mice (Nakamura and Seydoux 2008; Hanyu-Nakamura et al. 2008; Shirae-Kurabayashi et al. 2011; Kumano et al. 2011; Venkatarama et al. 2010). The factors responsible have been identified in *Drosophila* and ascidians and, remarkably, bear no resemblance to OMA-1/2 or PIE-1 (Hanyu-Nakamura et al. 2008; Shirae-Kurabayashi et al. 2011; Kumano et al. 2011). Inhibition of RNA polymerase II appears, therefore, to be

conserved characteristic of germline development that depends on multiple mechanisms that have diverged during animal evolution.

2.3.3 Chromatin Regulation

While the chromatin of P₀–P₃ resembles that of somatic blastomeres, the chromatin of P₄, Z2, and Z3 adopts a distinct compact configuration. PSer2 and PSer5 appear in Z2 and Z3 at birth coincident with degradation of PIE-1 at that time (Seydoux and Dunn 1997). By mid-embryogenesis, however, PSer2 and PSer5 levels are low again and Z2 and Z3 also become negative for the “active” chromatin marks H3K4me2, H3K4me3, and H4K8ac (Furuhashi et al. 2010; Schaner et al. 2003). PSer2, PSer5, and H3K4me reappear in Z2 and Z3 after hatching (Furuhashi et al. 2010). These observations suggest that Z2 and Z3 remain in a relatively transcriptionally repressed state during embryogenesis, although unlike P₀–P₄, they are capable of transcribing at least a few messages (see Sect. 2.1.2.3). Loss of H3K4me depends on *nos-1* and *nos-2* (Schaner et al. 2003). Whether the unique chromatin of Z2 and Z3 depends on their arrest in G2 is also not known (Fukuyama et al. 2006).

Genetic screens designed to identify maternal factors required for fertility identified four genes coding for chromatin regulators: MES-2, 3, 4, and 6. Mutations in these genes are maternal effect sterile (MES): homozygous mothers are fertile but give rise to sterile progeny (“grandchildless” phenotype). Z2 and Z3 cells are made in embryos derived from *mes/mes* mothers, and proliferate during the first two larval stages but die by necrosis in the L3 and L4 stages (Capowski et al. 1991; Paulsen et al. 1995). In *mes-4* mutants, Z2 and Z3 retain pSer 2 (Furuhashi et al. 2010), suggesting that these cells are already compromised during embryogenesis. *mes* germ cells are also unable to differentiate: ablation of somatic gonadal cells in the L2 stage, which causes wild-type germ cells to differentiate prematurely, only causes *mes-3* germ cells to stop proliferating (Paulsen et al. 1995).

MES-2/3/6 forms a complex related to Enhancer of Zeste that methylates Lys 27 of histone H3, a repressive mark that accumulates on the X chromosome (Xu et al. 2001; Bender et al. 2004). Consistently, the X is mostly inactive in germ cells (with the exception of oocytes; Schaner and Kelly 2006; Reinke 2006; Spencer et al. 2011). MES-4 methylates Lys 36 of histone H3, and MES-4 accumulates preferentially on autosomes (Bender et al. 2006). This specificity depends on MES-2/3/6: in *mes-2*, *3*, and *6* mutants, MES-4 binds all along the X chromosome and the X is inappropriately activated in germ cells (Fong et al. 2002; Bender et al. 2006). Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments revealed that, in embryos, MES-4 associates preferentially with genes that were active in the maternal germ line. For example, MES-4 associates with meiotic genes that are transcribed in germ cells but not in embryos, and does not associate with genes that are transcribed in embryos but not in the maternal germline (Rechtsteiner et al. 2010). H3K36 methylases typically mark genes in a transcription-dependent manner. Surprisingly, MES-4 appears unable to establish the H3K36 mark *de novo*, but is able to maintain the mark in the embryonic germ lineage even though RNA polymerase II is not active in the P blastomeres (Furuhashi et al. 2010; Rechtsteiner et al. 2010). Although further analysis is necessary to clarify the link between genes bound by *mes-4* and those that are misregulated in *mes-4* mutants, the results so far suggest that MES-4 functions as an “epigenetic memory factor” that marks genes expressed in the

maternal germline for the next generation. Maternal contribution of another chromatin-associated protein, MRG-1, is also required for robust germ cell proliferation in the progeny (Takasaki et al. 2007), suggesting that inheritance of a specific chromatin state is key for germ cell development.

MES-4 is inherited maternally and segregated to all blastomeres. After the 100-cell stage, MES-4 is maintained primarily in Z2 and Z3 (Fong et al. 2002). The mechanisms that allow high levels of MES-4 to persist only in the germline are not known. Genetic evidence suggests that MES-4 is also active, at least transiently, in somatic lineages and is antagonized there by the synMuv B class of chromatin regulators. In synMuvB mutants, intestinal cells express germline genes and this ectopic expression requires MES-4 (Unhavaithaya et al. 2002; Wang et al. 2005). When grown at high temperatures, synMuv B mutants arrest as starved larvae, perhaps because germline gene expression compromises intestinal function (Petrella et al. 2011). One possibility is that maternal MES-4 initially confers competence for the germline transcriptional program to all blastomeres, including the intestinal founder cell (E blastomere). During embryogenesis, this competence is erased by the synMuv B complex in somatic lineages, but not in the P lineage, perhaps because that lineage activates transcription later and maintains maternal MES-4 for longer.

2.3.4 Epigenetic Licensing by Maternal RNA

A recent report suggests that activation of the germline transcriptional program also depends on maternal inheritance of specific germline transcripts. The *fem-1* gene is required for masculinization of the germline and soma (Doniach and Hodgkin 1984). Mothers homozygous for deletions that remove the *fem-1* gene produce progeny with feminized germlines, even when these progeny inherit a wild-type copy of the *fem-1* gene from their father. This maternal effect can be rescued by injecting *fem-1* RNA in the maternal germline. Remarkably, rescue is observed even when the injected RNA lacks a start codon, spans only short sub-regions of the *fem-1* gene, or is antisense to the *fem-1* transcript, indicating that inheritance of maternal *fem-1* RNA, but not FEM-1 protein, is needed to “license” zygotic expression of the *fem-1* gene (Johnson and Spence 2011). One possibility is that new germline transcripts are continuously compared to maternally inherited transcripts to avoid expression of potentially toxic “intruder genes.” Whether this phenomenon is specific to *fem-1* or extends to other germline genes remains to be determined.

2.4 Conclusions and Remaining Questions

While the precise molecular mechanisms that specify germ cell fate remain elusive, several themes have emerged. First key to the delineation of distinct soma and germ lineages is the PAR-1-MEX-5/6 polarity axis. MEX-5/6 promotes the disassembly and degradation of germ plasm components in somatic lineages and PAR-1 stabilizes the germ plasm in the germ lineage, in part by physically excluding MEX-5 and MEX-6. The distinction between soma and germline, therefore, involves both active turnover of the germ plasm in somatic cells and protection of the germ plasm in the P blastomeres. Second, although P granules contribute to the proliferation and viability of germ cells during post-embryonic development, P granules are unlikely to be sufficient to *specify* germ cell fate during embryogenesis. We suggest

instead that germ cell fate is specified by the collective action of RNAs and RNA-binding proteins found throughout the germ plasm. In the germline blastomeres, these factors mediate two important functions: (1) inhibition of mRNA transcription which prevents somatic transcription factors from activating somatic development and (2) translation of *nos-2* and other maternal mRNAs whose products promote gastrulation of the primordial germ cells, adhesion to the intestine, and a unique partially repressive chromatin configuration. In Z2 and Z3, the chromatin regulator MES-4, perhaps with the help of “licensing RNAs” in the germ plasm, transmits the “memory” of the maternal germline transcriptional program.

The task of germ cell specification in the embryo may be viewed as a careful balancing act between the need to generate new (somatic) cell types and the need to preserve the germ cell program of the oocyte. In this context, the P₀–P₃ blastomeres may be considered an intermediate cell type, similar to the epiblast cells of the mammalian embryo, where the potential for soma and germline fates temporarily co-exist. Global silencing of transcription and of the translation of certain germline mRNAs (e.g., *nos-2*) in these cells ensures that neither program takes over. P₄ in contrast may be considered the first cell where the germ cell fate program is returned to its original state, but how this program is implemented to modify the chromatin of P₄ is not known.

We also do not yet know when P₄ and/or Z2 and Z3 first activate the germline-specific transcription program. In many studies, “germ cell fate” is evaluated using markers present in germ plasm (such as P granules), but such markers do not necessarily indicate active commitment to germ cell fate. For example, Subramaniam et al. concluded that *nos-1* and *nos-2* are not required for germ cell fate because in *nos-1;nos-2* larvae, the dying “germ cells” still expressed certain germline-specific markers, but whether these markers were maternally inherited or expressed de novo in those cells was not determined (Subramaniam and Seydoux 1999). Because maternal products can perdure in the germline into larval stages (Kawasaki et al. 1998), it will be important in future studies to use markers indicative of an “active germline program” such as germline-specific chromatin marks or zygotic transcripts (as in Schaner et al. 2003; Takasaki et al. 2007). Sequencing of RNAs isolated from Z2 and Z3 dissected from mid-stage embryos has confirmed that these cells already produce several germline-specific transcripts (Gerstein et al. 2010; Spencer et al. 2011). Analyses of the zygotic transcriptome of Z2 and Z3 may provide further insights into the molecular mechanisms that specify germ cell fate.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Seydoux lab for helpful discussions. We gratefully acknowledge funding from NIH (T32 HD007276 to J.W. and HD037047 to G.S.) and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- Arata Y, Lee J-Y, Goldstein B, Sawa H. Extracellular control of PAR protein localization during asymmetric cell division in the *C. elegans* embryo. *Development*. 2010; 137:3337–3345. DOI: 10.1242/dev.054742 [PubMed: 20823070]

- Barbee SA, Evans TC. The Sm proteins regulate germ cell specification during early *C. elegans* embryogenesis. *Dev Biol.* 2006; 291:132–143. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.12.011 [PubMed: 16413530]
- Barbee SA, Lublin A, Evans TC. A novel function for the Sm proteins in germ granule localization during *C. elegans* embryogenesis. *Curr Biol.* 2002; 12:1502–1506. [PubMed: 12225665]
- Batchelder C, Dunn MA, Choy B, et al. Transcriptional repression by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ-line protein PIE-1. *Genes Dev.* 1999; 13:202–212. [PubMed: 9925644]
- Baugh LR, Hill AA, Slonim DK, et al. Composition and dynamics of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* early embryonic transcriptome. *Development.* 2003; 130:889–900. DOI: 10.1242/dev.00302 [PubMed: 12538516]
- Bei Y, Hogan J, Berkowitz LA, et al. SRC-1 and Wnt signaling act together to specify endoderm and to control cleavage orientation in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Dev cell.* 2002; 3:113–125. [PubMed: 12110172]
- Bender L, Cao R, Zhang Y, Strome S. The MES-2/MES-3/MES-6 complex and regulation of histone H3 methylation in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol.* 2004; 14:1639–1643. DOI: 10.1016/j [PubMed: 15380065]
- Bender LB, Suh J, Carroll CR, et al. MES-4: an autosome-associated histone methyltransferase that participates in silencing the X chromosomes in the *C. elegans* germ line. *Development.* 2006; 133:3907–3917. DOI: 10.1242/dev.02584 [PubMed: 16968818]
- Berkowitz LA, Strome S. MES-1, a protein required for unequal divisions of the germline in early *C. elegans* embryos, resembles receptor tyrosine kinases and is localized to the boundary between the germline and gut cells. *Development.* 2000; 127:4419–4431. [PubMed: 11003841]
- Bowerman B, Draper BW, Mello CC, Priess JR. The maternal gene *skn-1* encodes a protein that is distributed unequally in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell.* 1993; 74:443–452. [PubMed: 8348611]
- Boyd L, Guo S, Levitan D, et al. PAR-2 is asymmetrically distributed and promotes association of P granules and PAR-1 with the cortex in *C. elegans* embryos. *Development.* 1996; 122:3075–3084. [PubMed: 8898221]
- Brangwynne CP, Eckmann CR, Courson DS, et al. Germline P granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. *Science.* 2009; 324:1729–1732. DOI: 10.1126/science.1172046 [PubMed: 19460965]
- Brauchle M, Baumer K, Gönczy P. Differential activation of the DNA replication checkpoint contributes to asynchrony of cell division in *C. elegans* embryos. *Curr Biol.* 2003; 13:819–827. DOI: 10.1016/S [PubMed: 12747829]
- Budirahardja Y, Gönczy P. PLK-1 asymmetry contributes to asynchronous cell division of *C. elegans* embryos. *Development.* 2008; 135:1303–1313. DOI: 10.1242/dev.019075 [PubMed: 18305005]
- Capowski EE, Martin P, Garvin C, Strome S. Identification of grandchildless loci whose products are required for normal germ-line development in the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics.* 1991; 129:1061–1072. [PubMed: 1783292]
- Cheeks RJ, Canman JC, Gabriel WN, et al. *C. elegans* PAR proteins function by mobilizing and stabilizing asymmetrically localized protein complexes. *Curr Biol.* 2004; 14:851–862. DOI: 10.1016/j [PubMed: 15186741]
- Cuenca AA, Schetter A, Aceto D, et al. Polarization of the *C. elegans* zygote proceeds via distinct establishment and maintenance phases. *Development.* 2003; 130:1255–1265. DOI: 10.1242/dev.00284 [PubMed: 12588843]
- D'Agostino I, Merritt C, Chen P-L, et al. Translational repression restricts expression of the *C. elegans* Nanos homolog NOS-2 to the embryonic germline. *Dev Biol.* 2006; 292:244–252. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.11.046 [PubMed: 16499902]
- Daniels BR, Perkins EM, Dobrowsky TM, et al. Asymmetric enrichment of PIE-1 in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* zygote mediated by binary counter diffusion. *J Cell Biol.* 2009; 184:473–479. DOI: 10.1083/jcb.200809077 [PubMed: 19221192]
- DeRenzo C, Reese KJ, Seydoux G. Exclusion of germ plasm proteins from somatic lineages by cullin-dependent degradation. *Nature.* 2003; 424:685–689. DOI: 10.1038/nature01887 [PubMed: 12894212]

- Doniach T, Hodgkin J. A sex-determining gene, *fem-1*, required for both male and hermaphrodite development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev Biol*. 1984; 106:223–235. [PubMed: 6541600]
- Draper BW, Mello CC, Bowerman B, et al. MEX-3 is a KH domain protein that regulates blastomere identity in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell*. 1996; 87:205–216. [PubMed: 8861905]
- Encalada SE, Martin PR, Phillips JB, et al. DNA replication defects delay cell division and disrupt cell polarity in early *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos. *Dev Biol*. 2000; 228:225–238. DOI: 10.1006/dbio.2000.9965 [PubMed: 11112326]
- Farley BM, Pagano JM, Ryder SP. RNA target specificity of the embryonic cell fate determinant POS-1. *RNA*. 2008; 14:2685–2697. DOI: 10.1261/rna.1256708 [PubMed: 18952820]
- Fong Y, Bender L, Wang W, Strome S. Regulation of the different chromatin states of autosomes and X chromosomes in the germ line of *C. elegans*. *Science*. 2002; 296:2235–2238. DOI: 10.1126/science.1070790 [PubMed: 12077420]
- Fukuyama M, Rougvie AE, Rothman JH. *C. elegans* DAF-18/PTEN mediates nutrient-dependent arrest of cell cycle and growth in the germline. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:773–779. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2006.02.073 [PubMed: 16631584]
- Furuhashi H, Takasaki T, Rechtsteiner A, et al. Trans-generational epigenetic regulation of *C. elegans* primordial germ cells. *Epigenetics chromatin*. 2010; 3:15.doi: 10.1186/1756-8935-3-15 [PubMed: 20704745]
- Gallo CM, Munro E, Rasoloson D, et al. Processing bodies and germ granules are distinct RNA granules that interact in *C. elegans* embryos. *Dev Biol*. 2008; 323:76–87. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2008.07.008 [PubMed: 18692039]
- Gallo CM, Wang JT, Motegi F, Seydoux G. Cytoplasmic partitioning of P granule components is not required to specify the germline in *C. elegans*. *Science*. 2010; 330:1685–1689. DOI: 10.1126/science.1193697 [PubMed: 21127218]
- Gerstein MB, Lu ZJ, Van Nostrand EL, et al. Integrative analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* genome by the modENCODE project. *Science*. 2010; 330:1775–1787. DOI: 10.1126/science.1196914 [PubMed: 21177976]
- Ghosh D, Seydoux G. Inhibition of transcription by the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline protein PIE-1: genetic evidence for distinct mechanisms targeting initiation and elongation. *Genetics*. 2008; 178:235–243. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.107.083212 [PubMed: 18202370]
- Gönczy, P.; Rose, LS. Wormbook. The *C. elegans* Research Community. Wormbook; 2005. Asymmetric cell division and axis formation in the embryo; p. 1-20.
- Griffin EE, Odde DJ, Seydoux G. Regulation of the MEX-5 gradient by a spatially segregated kinase/phosphatase cycle. *Cell*. 2011; 146:955–968. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.08.012 [PubMed: 21925318]
- Guedes S, Priess JR. The *C. elegans* MEX-1 protein is present in germline blastomeres and is a P granule component. *Development*. 1997; 124:731–739. [PubMed: 9043088]
- Guo S, Kemphues K. *par-1*, a gene required for establishing polarity in *C. elegans* embryos, encodes a putative Ser/Thr kinase that is asymmetrically distributed. *Cell*. 1995; 81:611. [PubMed: 7758115]
- Guyen-Ozkan T, Nishi Y, Robertson SM, Lin R. Global transcriptional repression in *C. elegans* germline precursors by regulated sequestration of TAF-4. *Cell*. 2008; 135:149–160. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.07.040 [PubMed: 18854162]
- Guyen-Ozkan T, Robertson SM, Nishi Y, Lin R. *zif-1* translational repression defines a second, mutually exclusive OMA function in germline transcriptional repression. *Development*. 2010; 137:3373–3382. DOI: 10.1242/dev.055327 [PubMed: 20826530]
- Hanazawa M, Yonetani M, Sugimoto A. PGL proteins self associate and bind RNPs to mediate germ granule assembly in *C. elegans*. *J Cell Biol*. 2011; 192:929–937. [PubMed: 21402787]
- Hanyu-Nakamura K, Sonobe-Nojima H, Tanigawa A, et al. *Drosophila* Pgc protein inhibits P-TEFb recruitment to chromatin in primordial germ cells. *Nature*. 2008; 451:730–733. DOI: 10.1038/nature06498 [PubMed: 18200011]
- Harrell JR, Goldstein B. Internalization of multiple cells during *C. elegans* gastrulation depends on common cytoskeletal mechanisms but different cell polarity and cell fate regulators. *Dev Biol*. 2011; 350:1–12. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.09.012 [PubMed: 20875815]

- Hird SN, Paulsen JE, Strome S. Segregation of germ granules in living *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryos: cell-type-specific mechanisms for cytoplasmic localisation. *Development*. 1996; 122:1303–1312. [PubMed: 8620857]
- Jadhav S, Rana M, Subramaniam K. Multiple maternal proteins coordinate to restrict the translation of *C. elegans* nanos-2 to primordial germ cells. *Development*. 2008; 135:1803–1812. DOI: 10.1242/dev.013656 [PubMed: 18417623]
- Johnson CL, Spence AM. Epigenetic licensing of germline gene expression by maternal RNA in *C. elegans*. *Science*. 2011; 333:1311–1314. DOI: 10.1126/science.1208178 [PubMed: 21885785]
- Kapelle WS, Reinke V. *C. elegans* meg-1 and meg-2 differentially interact with nanos family members to either promote or inhibit germ cell proliferation and survival. *Genesis*. 2011; 49:380–391. DOI: 10.1002/dvg.20726 [PubMed: 21305687]
- Kawasaki I, Shim YH, Kirchner J, et al. PGL-1, a predicted RNA-binding component of germ granules, is essential for fertility in *C. elegans*. *Cell*. 1998; 94:635–645. [PubMed: 9741628]
- Kawasaki I, Amiri A, Fan Y, et al. The PGL family proteins associate with germ granules and function redundantly in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline development. *Genetics*. 2004; 167:645–661. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.103.023093 [PubMed: 15238518]
- Kemphues KJ, Priess JR, Morton DG, Cheng NS. Identification of genes required for cytoplasmic localization in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell*. 1988; 52:311–320. [PubMed: 3345562]
- Kimble JE, White JG. On the control of germ cell development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev Biol*. 1981; 81:208–219. [PubMed: 7202837]
- Kumano G, Takatori N, Negishi T, et al. A maternal factor unique to ascidians silences the germline via binding to P-TEFb and RNAP II regulation. *Curr Biol*. 2011; 21:1308–1313. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2011.06.050 [PubMed: 21782435]
- Kuznicki KA, Smith PA, Leung-Chiu WM, et al. Combinatorial RNA interference indicates GLH-4 can compensate for GLH-1; these two P granule components are critical for fertility in *C. elegans*. *Development*. 2000; 127:2907–2916. [PubMed: 10851135]
- Leacock SW, Reinke V. MEG-1 and MEG-2 are embryo-specific P-granule components required for germline development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics*. 2008; 178:295–306. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.107.080218 [PubMed: 18202375]
- Li W, DeBella LR, Guven-Ozkan T, Lin R, Rose LS. An eIF4E-binding protein regulates katanin protein levels in *C. elegans* embryos. *J Cell Biol*. 2009; 187:33–42. [PubMed: 19786575]
- Mahowald A, Illmensee K. Transplantation of posterior polar plasm in drosophila. Induction of germ cells at the anterior pole of the egg. *PNAS*. 1974; 71:1016–1020. [PubMed: 4208545]
- Mello CC, Draper BW, Weintraub H, Priess JF. The pie-1 and mex-1 genes and maternal control of blastomere in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Cell*. 1992; 70:163–176. [PubMed: 1623520]
- Mello CC, Schubert C, Draper B, et al. The PIE-1 protein and germline specification in *C. elegans* embryos. *Nature*. 1996; 382:710–712. [PubMed: 8751440]
- Nakamura A, Seydoux G. Less is more: specification of the germline by transcriptional repression. *Development*. 2008; 135:3817–3827. [PubMed: 18997110]
- Nishi Y, Lin R. DYRK2 and GSK-3 phosphorylate and promote the timely degradation of OMA-1, a key regulator of the oocyte-to-embryo transition in *C. elegans*. *Dev Biol*. 2005; 288:139–149. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.09.053 [PubMed: 16289132]
- Nishi Y, Rogers E, Robertson SM, Lin R. Polo kinases regulate *C. elegans* embryonic polarity via binding to DYRK2-primed MEX-5 and MEX-6. *Development*. 2008; 135:687–697. DOI: 10.1242/dev.013425 [PubMed: 18199581]
- Nousch, M.; Eckmann, CR. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*. Vol. 757. Springer; New York: 2012. Translational control in the *C. elegans* germ line; p. 205-247. (Chap. 8, this volume)
- Oldenbroek M, Robertson SM, Guven-Ozkan T, et al. Multiple RNA-binding proteins function combinatorially to control the soma-restricted expression pattern of the E3 ligase subunit ZIF-1. *Dev Biol*. 2012; 363:388–398. DOI: 10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.01.002 [PubMed: 22265679]
- Pagano JM, Farley BM, McCoig LM, Ryder SP. Molecular basis of RNA recognition by the embryonic polarity determinant MEX-5. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:8883–8894. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M700079200 [PubMed: 17264081]

- Pagano JM, Farley BM, Essien KI, Ryder SP. RNA recognition by the embryonic cell fate determinant and germline totipotency factor MEX-3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2009; 106:20252–20257. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.0907916106 [PubMed: 19915141]
- Parisi M, Lin H. Translational repression: a duet of Nanos and Pumilio. *Curr Biol*. 2000; 10:R81–R83. [PubMed: 10662662]
- Paulsen JE, Capowski EE, Strome S. Phenotypic and molecular analysis of mes-3, a maternal- effect gene required for proliferation and viability of the germ line in *C. elegans*. *Genetics*. 1995; 141:1383–1398. [PubMed: 8601481]
- Pellettieri J, Reinke V, Kim SK, Seydoux G. Coordinate activation of maternal protein degradation during the egg-to-embryo transition in *C. elegans*. *Dev Cell*. 2003; 5:451–462. [PubMed: 12967564]
- Petrella LN, Wang W, Spike CA, et al. synMuv B proteins antagonize germline fate in the intestine and ensure *C. elegans* survival. *Development*. 2011; 138:1069–1079. DOI: 10.1242/dev.059501 [PubMed: 21343362]
- Rechtsteiner A, Ercan S, Takasaki T, et al. The histone H3K36 methyltransferase MES-4 acts epigenetically to transmit the memory of germline gene expression to progeny. *PLoS Genetics*. 2010; doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1001091
- Reinke, V. WormBook. The *C. elegans* Research Community. Wormbook; 2006. Germline genomics; p. 1-10.
- Rivers DM, Moreno S, Abraham M, Ahringer J. PAR proteins direct asymmetry of the cell cycle regulators Polo-like kinase and Cdc25. *J Cell Biol*. 2008; 180:877–885. DOI: 10.1083/jcb.200710018 [PubMed: 18316412]
- Robertson, S.; Lin, R. *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*. Vol. 757. Springer; New York: 2012. The oocyte-to-embryo transition; p. 351-372.(Chap. 12, this volume)
- Roussell DL, Bennett KL. glh-1, a germ-line putative RNA helicase from *Caenorhabditis*, has four zinc fingers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1993; 90:9300–9304. [PubMed: 8415696]
- Schaner, CE.; Kelly, WG. Wormbook. The *C. elegans* Research Community. Wormbook; 2006. Germline chromatin; p. 1-14.
- Schaner CE, Deshpande G, Schedl PD, Kelly WG. A conserved chromatin architecture marks and maintains the restricted germ cell lineage in worms and flies. *Dev Cell*. 2003; 5:747–757. [PubMed: 14602075]
- Schierenberg E. Reversal of cellular polarity and early cell-cell interaction in the embryo of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev Biol*. 1987; 122:452–463. DOI: 10.1016/0012-1606(87)90309-5 [PubMed: 3596018]
- Schierenberg E. Localization and segregation of lineage-specific cleavage potential in embryos of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Roux's Arch Dev Biol*. 1988; 197:282–293.
- Schubert CM, Lin R, de Vries CJ, et al. MEX-5 and MEX-6 function to establish soma/germline asymmetry in early *C. elegans* embryos. *Mol Cell*. 2000; 5:671–682. [PubMed: 10882103]
- Seydoux G, Braun RE. Pathway to totipotency: lessons from germ cells. *Cell*. 2006; 127:891–904. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.11.016 [PubMed: 17129777]
- Seydoux G, Dunn MA. Transcriptionally repressed germ cells lack a subpopulation of phosphorylated RNA polymerase II in early embryos of *Caenorhabditis elegans* and *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Development*. 1997; 124:2191–2201. [PubMed: 9187145]
- Seydoux G, Fire A. Soma-germline asymmetry in the distributions of embryonic RNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development*. 1994; 120:2823–2834. [PubMed: 7607073]
- Seydoux G, Mello CC, Pettitt J, et al. Repression of gene expression in the embryonic germ lineage of *C. elegans*. *Nature*. 1996; 382:713–716. [PubMed: 8751441]
- Shirae-Kurabayashi M, Matsuda K, Nakamura A. Ci-Pem-1 localizes to the nucleus and represses somatic gene transcription in the germline of *Ciona intestinalis* embryos. *Development*. 2011; 138:2871–2881. DOI: 10.1242/dev.058131 [PubMed: 21693510]
- Shirayama M, Soto MC, Ishidate T, et al. The conserved kinases CDK-1, GSK-3, KIN-19, and MBK-2 promote OMA-1 destruction to regulate the oocyte-to-embryo transition in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol*. 2006; 16:47–55. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.11.070 [PubMed: 16343905]

- Spencer WC, Zeller G, Watson JD, et al. A spatial and temporal map of *C. elegans* gene expression. *Genome Res.* 2011; 21:325–341. DOI: 10.1101/gr.114595.110 [PubMed: 21177967]
- Spike C, Meyer N, Racen E, et al. Genetic analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* GLH family of P-granule proteins. *Genetics.* 2008; 178:1973–1987. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.107.083469 [PubMed: 18430929]
- Spilker AC, Rabilotta A, Zbinden C, et al. MAP kinase signaling antagonizes PAR-1 function during polarization of the early *Caenorhabditis elegans* embryo. *Genetics.* 2009; 183:965–977. DOI: 10.1534/genetics.109.106716 [PubMed: 19720857]
- Stitzel ML, Pellettieri J, Seydoux G. The *C. elegans* DYRK kinase MBK-2 marks oocyte proteins for degradation in response to meiotic maturation. *Curr Biol.* 2006; 16:56–62. DOI: 10.1016/j.cub.2005.11.063 [PubMed: 16338136]
- Strome S. Wormbook. The *C. elegans* Research Community. Wormbook; 2005. Specification of the germ line; p. 1-10.
- Strome S, Lehmann R. Germ versus soma decisions: lessons from flies and worms. *Science.* 1997; 316:392–393. DOI: 10.1126/science.1140846 [PubMed: 17446385]
- Strome S, Martin P, Schierenberg E, Paulsen J. Transformation of the germ line into muscle in mes-1 mutant embryos of *C. elegans*. *Development.* 1995; 121:2961–2972. [PubMed: 7555722]
- Subramaniam K, Seydoux G. nos-1 and nos-2, two genes related to Drosophila nanos, regulate primordial germ cell development and survival in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development.* 1999; 126:4861–4871. [PubMed: 10518502]
- Sulston JE, Schierenberg E, White JG, Thomson JN. The embryonic cell lineage of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Dev Biol.* 1983; 100:64–119. [PubMed: 6684600]
- Tabara H, Hill RJ, Mello CC, et al. pos-1 encodes a cytoplasmic zinc-finger protein essential for germline specification in *C. elegans*. *Development.* 1999; 126:1–11. [PubMed: 9834181]
- Takasaki T, Liu Z, Habara Y, et al. MRG-1, an autosome-associated protein, silences X-linked genes and protects germline immortality in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Development.* 2007; 134:757–767. DOI: 10.1242/dev.02771 [PubMed: 17215300]
- Tenenhaus C, Subramaniam K, Dunn MA, Seydoux G. PIE-1 is a bifunctional protein that regulates maternal and zygotic gene expression in the embryonic germ line of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genes Dev.* 2001; 15:1031–1040. DOI: 10.1101/gad.876201 [PubMed: 11316796]
- Tenlen JR, Molk JN, London N, et al. MEX-5 asymmetry in one-cell *C. elegans* embryos requires PAR-4- and PAR-1-dependent phosphorylation. *Development.* 2008; 135:3665–3675. DOI: 10.1242/dev.027060 [PubMed: 18842813]
- Unhavaithaya Y, Shin TH, Miliaras N, et al. MEP-1 and a homolog of the NURD complex component Mi-2 act together to maintain germline-soma distinctions in *C. elegans*. *Cell.* 2002; 111:991–1002. [PubMed: 12507426]
- Updike D, Strome S. P granule assembly and function in *Caenorhabditis elegans* germ cells. *J Androl.* 2010; 31:53–60. DOI: 10.2164/jandrol.109.008292 [PubMed: 19875490]
- Updike DL, Hachey SJ, Kreher J, Strome S. P granules extend the nuclear pore complex environment in the *C. elegans* germ line. *J Cell Biol.* 2011; 192:939–948. DOI: 10.1083/jcb.201010104 [PubMed: 21402789]
- Venkatarama T, Lai F, Luo X, et al. Repression of zygotic gene expression in the *Xenopus* germline. *Development.* 2010; 137:651–660. DOI: 10.1242/dev.038554 [PubMed: 20110330]
- Wang D, Kennedy S, Conte D, et al. Somatic misexpression of germline P granules and enhanced RNA interference in retinoblastoma pathway mutants. *Nature.* 2005; 436:593–597. DOI: 10.1038/nature04010 [PubMed: 16049496]
- Wolf N, Priess J, Hirsh D. Segregation of germline granules in early embryos of *Caenorhabditis elegans*: an electron microscopic analysis. *J Embryol Exp Morphol.* 1983; 73:297–306. [PubMed: 6683746]
- Xu L, Fong Y, Strome S. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* maternal-effect sterile proteins, MES-2, MES-3, and MES-6, are associated in a complex in embryos. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2001; 98:5061. [PubMed: 11320248]

Zhang Y, Yan L, Zhou Z, et al. SEPA-1 mediates the specific recognition and degradation of P granule components by autophagy in *C. elegans*. *Cell*. 2009; 136:308–321. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.12.022 [PubMed: 19167332]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 2.1.

Embryonic origin of the germline. Abbreviated embryonic lineage from the 1-cell stage to the ~88-cell stage and embryo schematics corresponding to each stage shown in the lineage tree. Germ plasm is denoted in *purple*, germ granules are *darker purple dots*. High levels of MEX-5/6 inherited by somatic blastomeres are denoted in *blue*. *Red nuclei* are not competent for mRNA transcription

Table 2.1

Proteins in germ plasm

Protein	Domains	Protein localization	Loss-of-function phenotype	Proposed function	References
PIE-1	CCCH fingers	P blastomeres Nuclear, cytoplasmic, P granules, centrosomes	Maternal effect embryonic lethality P ₂ transformed to EMS fate No germ cells	Repression of RNA Polymerase II Translational control of mRNAs	Mello et al. (1992), Seydoux et al. (1996), Tenenhaus et al. (2001)
POS-1	CCCH fingers	P blastomeres Cytoplasmic, P granules	Maternal effect embryonic lethality Complex cell fate transformations No germ cells	Translational control of mRNAs	Tabara et al. (1999)
MEX-1	CCCH fingers	P blastomeres Cytoplasmic, P granules	Maternal effect embryonic lethality Complex cell fate transformations No germ cells	Unknown	Mello et al. (1992), Guedes and Priess (1997)
MEX-3	KH domain	Present in oocytes through 4-cell stage Cytoplasmic in multiple cell types P granules in P ₁ through P ₄	Maternal effect embryonic lethality D transformed to P ₄ , as a result more than two Z2/Z3 like cells are formed	Translational control of mRNAs	Draper et al. (1996)
MES-1	Receptor tyrosine kinase related	In P ₂ at junction with EMS contact In P ₃ at junction with E contact	Maternal effect sterility P ₄ transformed to D fate No germ cells	Signaling from EMS to P ₂ to reverse polarity in P ₂	Strome et al. (1995), Berkowitz and Strome (2000)
Sm proteins	LSm fold	P granules	Embryonic arrest at 50- to 100-cell stage Mislocalization of PIE-1, P granules Symmetric divisions and short cell cycles	Regulation of mRNAs	Barbee et al. (2002), Barbee and Evans (2006)
PAR-1	KIN1/MARK kinase	Cortical—P blastomeres and Z2 and Z3 until morphogenesis	Maternal effect embryonic lethality No germ cells	Polarization of P blastomeres	Kemphues et al. (1988), Guo and Kemphues (1995)
MES-2/3/6	Polycomb orthologs	Nuclear in both somatic and germ cells in embryos. Persists in Z2 and Z3 in embryos and L1 larvae.	Maternal effect sterility Germ cell death at L3 and L4 stages	Repression of X chromosome transcription	Bender et al. (2004), Strome (2005)
MES-4	SET domain	Chromatin, preferentially autosomes. Present in somatic and germ cells in early embryogenesis, persists in Z2 and Z3	Maternal effect sterility Germ cell death at L3 and L4 stages	Transmission of germline transcriptional program from mother to progeny Repression of X chromosome transcription (2010)	Fong et al. (2002), Bender et al. (2006), Rechsteiner et al. (2010)
NOS-1/2	Nanos orthologs	NOS-1: expressed in Z2 and Z3 from zygotic mRNA, cytoplasmic NOS-2: expressed in P ₄ from maternal mRNA, cytoplasmic	Z2 and/or Z3 outside somatic gonad Germ cell death at L3 stage	Regulation of mRNAs	Subramaniam and Seydoux (1999)
MEG-1/2	Novel	P granules from P ₂ through P ₄	Maternal effect sterility Germ cell death at L3 stage	Unknown	Leacock and Reinke (2008)
PGL-1/2/3	RGX box	Constitutive P granule components	Sterility with variable phenotypes	Unknown, primarily post-embryonic	Kawasaki et al. (2004)
GLH-1/2/3/4	DEAD box helicase	Constitutive P granule components	Sterility with variable phenotypes	Unknown, primarily post-embryonic	Spike et al. (2008)

Anti-germ plasm.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Protein	Domains	Protein localization	Loss-of-function phenotype	Proposed function	References
MEX-5/6	CCCH fingers	High levels in somatic founder blastomeres—cytoplasmic High/low levels in Ant/Post cytoplasm of P blastomeres—cytoplasmic and enriched on P granules and centrosomes	Embryonic lethality Complex cell fate transformations No germ cells	Dispersal of P granules in dividing P blastomeres Degradation of germ plasm in somatic blastomeres	Schubert et al. (2000) Gallo et al. (2010)