Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2016 September ; 63(9): 1563–1570. doi:10.1002/pbc.26074.

High Incidence of Veno-Occlusive Disease with Myeloablative Chemotherapy following Craniospinal Irradiation in Children with Newly Diagnosed High-Risk CNS Embryonal Tumors: a Report from the Children's Oncology Group (CCG-99702)

Kellie J. Nazemi, MD,

Oregon Health & Science University, Doernbecher Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, CDRC-P, Portland, Oregon 97239

Violet Shen, MD,

Children's Hospital of Orange County, Cancer Institute, 1201 West LaVeta, Orange, California 92868

Jonathan L. Finlay, MB ChB, FRCP[†],

Children's Hospital of Los Angeles, Neuro-Oncology Program, 4650 Sunset Blvd., Mail Stop#54, Los Angeles, CA 90027-6016

James Boyett, PhD,

Saint Jude Children's Research Hospital, Biostatistics, 262 Danny Thomas Place, MS763, Memphis, Tennessee 38105-3678

Mehmet Kocak, PhD,

University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Department of Preventive Medicine, 66 N. Pauline Street, Suite 633, Memphis, Tennessee 38103

Deborah Lafond, DNP, PPCNP-BC, CPON,

Children's National Health System, Department of Hematology-Oncology, 111 Michigan Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20010-2970

Sharon L. Gardner, MD,

New York University Langone Medical Center, Hassenfeld Children's Center, 160 East 32nd Street, 2nd Floor, New York, NY 10016

Roger J. Packer, MD, and

Children's National Health System, Center for Neuroscience and Behavioral Medicine, Brain Tumor Institute, 111 Michigan Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20010-2970

H. Stacy Nicholson, MD, MPH[‡]

Corresponding Author: Kellie J. Nazemi, MD, Oregon Health & Science University, Doernbecher Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, CDRC-P, Portland, Oregon 97239, Telephone: (503) 494-1543, Fax: (503) 494-0714, nazemik@ohsu.edu.

Current Address: Nationwide Children's Hospital, Division of Hematology, Oncology and BMT, 700 Children's Drive, ED544, Columbus, OH 43205

Current address: Phoenix Children's Hospital, Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, 1919 E. Thomas Road, Phoenix, AZ 85016

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors have none.

Oregon Health & Science University, Doernbecher Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Hematology-Oncology, 3181 SW Sam Jackson Park Road, CDRC-P, Portland, Oregon 97239

Abstract

Background—The outcomes with high-risk central nervous system embryonal tumors remain relatively poor despite aggressive treatment. The purposes of this study using post-irradiation myeloablative chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic stem cell rescue (ASCR) were to document feasibility and describe toxicities of the regimen, establish the appropriate dose of thiotepea and estimate the overall survival and event-free survival.

Procedure—The Children's Cancer Group conducted this pilot study in children and adolescents with central nervous system embryonal tumors. Treatment consisted of induction chemotherapy to mobilize hematopoietic stem cells, chemoradiotherapy, and myeloablative consolidation chemotherapy with ASCR.

Results—The study accrued 25 subjects in 40 months and was closed early due to toxicity, namely veno-occlusive disease (VOD) of the liver, more recently termed sinusoidal obstructive syndrome (SOS). Of 24 eligible subjects, 3 of 11 (27%) receiving thiotepea Dose Level 1 (150 mg/m²/day × 3 days) and 3 of 12 (25%) receiving de-escalated Dose Level 0 (100 mg/m²/day × 3 days) experienced VOD/SOS. One additional subject experienced toxic death attributed to septic shock; post-mortem examination revealed clinically undiagnosed VOD/SOS. The 2-year event-free survival and overall survival were 54 ± 10% and 71 ± 9%, respectively. The 5-year event-free survival and overall survival were 46 ± 11% and 50 ± 11%.

Conclusions—The treatment regimen was deemed to have an unacceptable rate of VOD/SOS. There was complete recovery in all 6 cases. The overall therapeutic strategy using a regimen less likely to cause VOD/SOS may merit further evaluation for the highest-risk patients.

Keywords

veno-occlusive disease (VOD); sinusoidal obstructive syndrome (SOS); myeloablative chemotherapy; high-risk medulloblastoma (MB); primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET); CCG-99702

Introduction

Medulloblastoma (MB) is the most common malignant brain tumor in children.[1] Patients have historically been risk-stratified according to age, presence of metastases, extent of resection, and more recently, degree of anaplasia.[2-4] Standard- or average-risk patients, defined by these criteria, have experienced improved survival over the past 3 decades with combined chemotherapy and radiotherapy, leading to a 5-year survival of greater than 75%. [5] However, the outcomes for central nervous system (CNS) primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNET) and high-risk MB remain relatively poor despite aggressive treatment strategies using surgery, radiotherapy and chemotherapy. Five-year survival for these high-risk patients has historically been reported between 30% and 70%.[5-11] Molecular subgroups of MB have since been elucidated,[12-15] and molecular risk-stratification is now

being incorporated into clinical trial design.[16,17] Still, more effective regimens must be developed for certain subgroups of patients that carry the worst prognoses. Such regimens may include maximally aggressive chemotherapy approaches and the addition of molecularly targeted agents.

With the highest-risk groups of patients in mind, we report the results of the Children's Cancer Group pilot study (CCG-99702) consisting of induction chemotherapy primarily aimed at mobilizing hematopoietic stem cells to facilitate sufficient collection, chemoradiotherapy, and post-irradiation myeloablative consolidation chemotherapy supported by autologous hematopoietic stem cell rescue (ASCR) for children and adolescents with high-risk CNS embryonal tumors, largely PNET and MB. This study was initially conceived based on promising preliminary results using high-dose chemotherapy in recurrent medulloblastoma,[18-19] and was 1 of 2 pilot trials conducted concurrently by the CCG designed as potential experimental arms in a subsequent randomized Phase III study in this population. The results of this study are important to consider as future clinical trials are being designed for patients with high-risk embryonal tumors.

Methods

Patient Eligibility

Eligible subjects were at least 3 and less than 22 years of age at the time of diagnosis of a high-risk embryonal tumor. The target tumors for this study included “high-risk” MB (M_{1-3} or M_0 with > 1.5 centimeter² residual), supratentorial PNET (M_{0-3}), and atypical teratoid rhabdoid (AT/RT) tumors (M_{0-3}). Patients with M_4 disease (extra-neural metastasis) were not eligible. Central pathology and neuroradiology review were not performed. Protocol therapy was required to start within 31 days of original surgery. Additional criteria to proceed with consolidation included adequate peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) collection during induction, defined as $> 15 \times 10^6$ CD34+ cells/kilogram (kg) harvested. Institutional review board approval was required at each participating institution. All study participants (or their parent/guardian) provided informed consent.

Study Design and Treatment Plan

The primary aims of this study were (1) to document the feasibility and describe the toxicities of this regimen using myeloablative chemotherapy with ASCR in this population, (2) to demonstrate the safety of delaying radiotherapy by approximately 1 month to facilitate PBSC collection, and (3) to establish the appropriate dose of thiotepea using a limited dose-escalation design. The secondary aim was to estimate the event-free survival (EFS) and overall survival (OS) of patients with high-risk embryonal tumors treated with post-irradiation myeloablative chemotherapy and ASCR. The treatment plan details are summarized in Table I.

Induction—Began within 31 days of initial surgery, and included 1 course of chemotherapy to induce PBSC mobilization and allow sufficient collection to support subsequent consolidation therapy. Treatment of residual or metastatic tumor and clinically inapparent systemic disease was also a goal of induction chemotherapy. Hematopoietic stem

cells were harvested from peripheral blood when the CD34 count was $> 20 \times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$. One or more harvesting apheresis sessions were performed until at least 5×10^6 CD34+ cells/kg were available for each of the 3 planned re-infusions in consolidation therapy (total collection 15×10^6 CD34+ cells/kg).

Chemoradiotherapy—Started once PBSC harvest was complete and the ANC was $1000/\mu\text{L}$ and platelet count was $100,000/\mu\text{L}$, within 28 days of starting induction. Weekly vincristine for a total of 8 doses started the same week that radiotherapy was initiated. Irradiation of the craniospinal axis followed by a boost to the primary tumor and any CNS metastatic sites was delivered. The target volume for the boost was the whole posterior fossa if the primary site was in the infratentorial region. The boost target volume for supratentorial tumors and metastatic tumors was 1 centimeter around the tumor as seen on the pre-surgical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan. Upon completion of radiotherapy, subjects had a 4 to 6 week rest period.

Consolidation—Therapy consisted of 3 courses of chemotherapy, each supported by ASCR. Course 1 was initiated within 4 to 6 weeks of completion of radiotherapy when the ANC was $1000/\mu\text{L}$ and platelet count $75,000/\mu\text{L}$. Subsequent courses were started on Day 21 of the prior course or when ANC was $750/\mu\text{L}$ and platelet count $30,000/\mu\text{L}$, off filgrastim for at least 24 hours. Courses 1 and 3 (Consolidation A) were identical myeloablative regimens containing thiotepa. The starting dose-level was $150 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day} \times 3$ days and the de-escalation dose used was $100 \text{ mg}/\text{m}^2/\text{day} \times 3$ days. Individual subjects received the same dose level of thiotepa for Course 1 and 3 unless a dose reduction was required due to toxicity. PBSC were infused on Day 7 and filgrastim was started on the same day. Consolidation B was administered during Course 2. PBSC were infused on Day 5 and filgrastim was started on the same day.

Subject Evaluations

Pre-treatment evaluation included MRI of brain and spine, lumbar cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) cytological evaluation, CBC, renal function, audiometric evaluation, and bone marrow evaluation. MRI of brain (and MRI spine and lumbar CSF, if previously abnormal) were repeated prior to initiation of chemoradiotherapy and consolidation and upon completion of therapy. Renal function was evaluated prior to each course of consolidation. Audiometric evaluation was repeated at the end of Course 2 and upon completion of therapy. VOD/SOS was defined as “the presence of at least 2 of the following: total bilirubin > 2 milligram (mg)/deciliter, weight gain $> 20\%$, liver enlargement, and right-upper quadrant pain in the absence of another cause”.

The extent of tumor resection was based primarily on post-surgical MRI, in addition to neurosurgeon's assessment of residual tumor. Recurrence was defined as reappearance of tumor in any site in subjects who had previously responded completely to therapy. Progressive disease was defined in subjects with previously detectable residual disease as an increase of $>25\%$ in tumor area, compared to immediate pre-study area or area of best prior response at that site, **or** the reappearance of tumor in sites of involvement which had

responded completely to therapy (including surgery), or the appearance of new tumor in previously uninvolved sites.

Statistical Considerations

The initial sample-size calculation was based on the dose-escalation design for thiotepa in consolidation. The study was expected to require up to 48 subjects to select a dose level.

The dose escalation algorithm was designed to select the highest thiotepa dose level associated with < 10% levels of thiotepa-related dose-limiting toxicity (DLT). A dose level at which 3 or more of 12 subjects experienced DLT was considered toxic. The definition of DLT associated with thiotepa included toxic death, VOD/SOS, hemolytic uremic syndrome, septic shock, failure to recover counts, as well as any grade 3 or grade 4 toxicity not resolving to Grade 2 within 7 days.

Delays in the start of radiation therapy were monitored continually. Delays of greater than 2 weeks (i.e. past day 35 of induction), if occurring in more than 10% of subjects, was considered unacceptable. A Bayesian monitoring rule with prior density Beta (1,6.6) on the probability p of delay past day 35 was a planned trigger for examination of the causes.

The endpoints for treatment efficacy were EFS and OS. EFS was defined as the time between diagnosis and progression, recurrence of disease, occurrence of a second malignant neoplasm, or death by any cause. OS was defined as the time between diagnosis and death by any cause. Subjects who did not experience an event for EFS or OS were censored at their last follow-up date. Data collection cut-off was March 30th 2007. EFS and OS distributions were estimated using the product limit (Kaplan-Meier) method, with standard error performed via the Peto-Pike formula which updates standard error upon censoring. Survival distributions among subgroups were compared using log-rank test.

Results

Subject Selection

Twenty-five subjects were accrued over a 40-month period from July 1999 to November 2002. One subject who had no residual tumor was declared ineligible when the original designation of CSF involvement (M1) was changed to negative (M0) on re-review of cytology. Of the 24 eligible subjects, 11 were assigned to thiotepa Dose Level 1 (150 mg/m²/day), 12 were assigned to de-escalated Dose Level 0 (100 mg/m²/day), and 1 was not assigned a dose level due to a protocol deviation during induction.

Demographics and Baseline Characteristics

The median age at diagnosis of the 24 eligible patients was 7.3 years (range: 3.1 to 18.4 years). Sixteen (66.7%) were male and 18 (75%) were Caucasian. Nine (37.5%) had non-metastatic (M0) tumors, 12 (50%) had PNET (1 with histologic diagnosis of medullomyoblastoma, designated as PNET in data analysis due to supratentorial location), 8 (33.3%) had MB (1 of which was designated desmoplastic MB), 2 had AT/RT, and 2 had pineoblastoma (included with PNET in survival analysis). Eighteen subjects (75%)

underwent at least near total resection of the primary tumor. Key characteristics, treatment details and outcomes for each subject are summarized in Table II.

PBSC Collection Prior to Radiotherapy and Initiation of Consolidation Therapy Following Chemoradiotherapy

All subjects began radiotherapy by day 38 (range 18 to 38 days, median 26 days). No relapse or progression occurred during induction. Therefore, up-front collection of hematopoietic stem cells did not cause delay in initiation of radiotherapy and was deemed feasible. One subject discontinued protocol therapy before starting consolidation by parental/patient choice. The remaining 23 subjects had adequate PBSC harvested and started consolidation therapy with adequate hematopoietic function within 4 to 6 weeks of completing chemoradiotherapy.

Toxicity

The most frequent severe toxicity was dose-limiting VOD/SOS during consolidation. Three of 11 (27%) subjects on thiotepa Dose Level 1 and 3 of 12 (25%) subjects on de-escalated Dose Level 0 experienced VOD/SOS. The onset of this toxicity was not limited to any specific course number. Four of the 6 with VOD/SOS (2 from each dose level) relapsed at 2, 6, 16 and 18 months. Further details of dose-limiting VOD/SOS are summarized in Table III. While the protocol definition of DLT due to VOD/SOS was met in 6 cases, it is noted that only 3 required supportive care in the hospital setting (including 1 requiring intensive care). There was complete recovery of VOD/SOS in all cases.

One additional subject experienced toxic death attributed to septic shock. Post-mortem examination revealed VOD/SOS that had not been clinically recognized. This subject was a 15-year-old female with metastatic MB who had started consolidation course 3. Prior to administration of the final dose of thiotepa, she developed hypotension, fever, hematuria and shaking chills. She stabilized after 6 days in intensive care and received autologous PBSC infusion. Two days later, she was transferred back to intensive care with anasarca, hematuria, hematochezia and hematemesis. Abdominal CT showed typhlitis, cystitis, and cholecystitis. The subject experienced progressive multi-system organ failure and died after discontinuation of ventilator support. Autopsy examination revealed pulmonary hemorrhage, hemorrhage in the trachea and stomach, hemorrhagic cystitis, and anasarca. Though preliminary results suggested recurrent tumor in the posterior fossa, the final autopsy report did not confirm recurrent disease. This subject was also found to have VOD/SOS on autopsy (therefore included in Table III), which was thought to possibly play a role in her death, though this toxic death was technically attributed to septic shock.

Other toxicities were not dose-limiting or were expected. Consolidation therapy at both dose levels resulted in grade 4 neutropenia and thrombocytopenia for most subjects. One subject on Dose Level 0 experienced grade 3 diarrhea with hypotension due to *Clostridium difficile* colitis during consolidation. Fluid resuscitation and inotropic support with dopamine was required. Of note, at least 3 long-term survivors are known to have persistent, apparently permanent, and complete alopecia. No secondary malignancies have been reported. No grade 3 or 4 ototoxicity was reported during chemoradiotherapy or consolidation.

Event-Free Survival and Overall Survival

There were 12 deaths, with 11 due to tumor progression, and 1 due to septic shock. The 2-year EFS and OS were $54 \pm 10\%$ and $71 \pm 9\%$, respectively. The 5-year EFS and OS were $46 \pm 11\%$ and $50 \pm 11\%$. Neither the EFS distribution (Figure 1A), nor the OS distribution (Figure 1B), were significantly different for subjects with PNET (including pineoblastoma) compared to those with MB (log-rank p-values are 0.58 and 0.28, respectively). EFS and OS were not significantly different between the 2 dose levels studied (log-rank p-values are 0.34 and 0.71, respectively at 5 years).

Discussion

In this pilot study, the treatment regimen was deemed to have an unacceptably high rate of VOD/SOS as defined in the protocol. There was complete recovery in all 6 cases, only 3 requiring hospitalization for supportive care. Still, this regimen is no longer used in practice.

CCG-99702 demonstrated that delivering an up-front course of chemotherapy to mobilize and collect hematopoietic stem cells did not cause delay in initiation of radiotherapy and was feasible. The OS and EFS associated with this regimen suggests that the overall therapeutic strategy using a regimen less likely to produce VOD/SOS may merit further evaluation in the design of future trials for patients with PNET. The general strategy may be relevant for MB patients with high risk for relapse based on increasing molecular insight.

High-dose chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic stem cell rescue showed early promise in patients with recurrent MB.[18-19] Given that prior reports showed little chance of long-term survival for patients with recurrent MB,[20] these results supported the evaluation of high-dose chemotherapy with ASCR in newly-diagnosed high-risk MB patients as designed in CCG-99702. A decade later, the efficacy and role of high-dose chemotherapy in the recurrent setting was questioned. A large collective experience of this treatment approach was summarized by Gajjar and Pizer following an international consensus and state of the art workshop held in Milan in 2006.[21] From the 8 studies represented in this comprehensive review of outcomes, the authors concluded that a very limited number of previously irradiated patients were successfully treated following relapse of MB/PNET using a strategy based on high-dose chemotherapy. The discrepancy in outcomes appeared to be at least in part explained by the superior outcomes reported with thiotepa-based myeloablative regimens compared with non-thiotepa-based regimens, especially for patients with disseminated MB at recurrence.[22,23] It is also now recognized that additional radiotherapy may be associated with better treatment outcomes at relapse, [22,24] which was likely a confounding factor in several prior reports about the efficacy of high-dose chemotherapy.

VOD/SOS is characterized histologically by marked sinusoidal fibrosis, necrosis of pericentral hepatocytes, and narrowing and fibrosis of central veins, with diffuse damage to the centrilobular zone of the liver.[25] Clinically it is a form of toxic liver injury that leads to tender hepatomegaly, fluid retention, and hyperbilirubinemia following cytotoxic therapy. [26,27] The incidence of VOD/SOS in the stem cell transplant population varies, depending on differences in conditioning regimens.[26-31] Our regimen included thiotepa and

carboplatin during courses 1 and 3 of consolidation, and cyclophosphamide and carboplatin during course 2 of consolidation. Thiotepa has been implicated in chemotherapy regimens causing VOD/SOS,[32] and cyclophosphamide-based conditioning regimens have been implicated in the allogeneic stem cell transplant literature.[25,26] Based on *in vitro* studies, cyclophosphamide is thought to be one of the most important hepatic toxins among drugs used in conditioning regimens.[33-36] Individual variability in drug metabolism is also felt to be a major contributor for developing VOD/SOS following cyclophosphamide-based regimens.[37] The Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study (IRS) Group reported an unexpected complication of VOD in children treated on IRS IV compared to prior IRS studies and suggested that escalation of the cyclophosphamide dose to 2.2g/m² (with vincristine and actinomycin doses and schedule unchanged) triggered the development of VOD/SOS.[38]

The liver toxicity we saw following administration of myeloablative chemotherapy may have also been enhanced by the recent completion of craniospinal irradiation. Transplant regimens using cyclophosphamide and total-body irradiation > 13.2 Gy may cause VOD/SOS in 50% of cases.[26] In an analysis of Wilms tumor patients treated according to the SIOP-9 protocol (actinomycin and vincristine +/- other agents and +/- radiotherapy according to surgical stage and histology) 64 of 511 participants developed VOD. Radiotherapy was a significant risk factor ($p < 0.001$).[39] Our patient population received 36 Gy to the craniospinal axis. The medial segment of the left lobe of the liver would be expected to receive scatter dose via the anterior exit beam. Though the dose to this focal segment is presumably closer to 12 Gy, it is possible that this contributed to liver toxicity on this regimen. As proton beam radiotherapy becomes a more common treatment modality, this may be less of a factor.

Thiotepa-containing high-dose chemotherapy regimens have been tolerated in recurrent disease settings, though they are typically administered many months to years after up-front therapy including radiotherapy.[18,40,41] VOD/SOS is reported very rarely in the Head Start regimens for newly diagnosed patients, despite cumulative doses of 900 mg/m² of thiotepa and 10.5 g/m² of cyclophosphamide.[42-45] However, this population is not irradiated prior to receiving this therapy. The St. Jude group has treated newly diagnosed high-risk MB patients with 4 cycles of cyclophosphamide-based, high-dose chemotherapy (in combination with cisplatin and vincristine) with ASCR following 36 Gy craniospinal irradiation, and report no cases of VOD/SOS.[10] Notably, there is no vincristine administered during radiotherapy on the St. Jude protocols, compared to 8 weekly doses administered during chemoradiotherapy on the regimen we report here. VOD/SOS associated with Wilms tumor and rhabdomyosarcoma treatment has been reported with vincristine and actinomycin alone.[39,46,47] Though the VOD/SOS is often attributed to actinomycin, it is possible that vincristine plays a role in this treatment complication.[46]

We therefore suspect that the use of thiotepa following craniospinal irradiation with extended weekly vincristine led to the high incidence of VOD/SOS on this study. The short duration of rest between craniospinal irradiation and initiation of myeloablative chemotherapy may have also played a role. The St. Jude regimen prescribes a rest period of at least 6 weeks following completion of radiotherapy, while our regimen had a rest period

between 4 and 6 weeks after radiotherapy completion and even shorter rest period after completion of weekly vincristine.

As future clinical trials are designed for patients with high-risk embryonal tumors, regimens involving post-irradiation myeloablative chemotherapy may benefit from extension of the rest period following radiotherapy to a minimum of 6 weeks, limitation or exclusion of weekly vincristine during radiotherapy, and/or placement of standard cytotoxic cycles between radiotherapy and myeloablative consolidation therapy. Additionally, increasing the interval between consolidation cycles from 21 days to 28 days to allow more complete organ function recovery, may improve the tolerability. Doppler ultrasound surveillance in addition to clinical monitoring could be a useful adjunct for early detection of VOD/SOS,[48] and the recent U.S. Food and Drug Administration approval of defibrotide for treatment of severe VOD may change the overall risk associated with this toxicity.

In addition to the limitation of a lack of central pathology review, the molecular distinctions between histologic disease entities and their molecular subgroups were not known at the time of CCG-99702 study conception and design. With enrollment of a variety of histologic entities and unknown sub-group status, the survival outcomes of this study are difficult to interpret in the molecular era. For the past few decades, MB patients have been stratified into 2 distinct risk categories – “standard/average-risk” and “high-risk” – based primarily on clinical findings at presentation. PNET patients have generally been considered high-risk by histology alone and have been included in high-risk MB protocols despite their molecular distinction from MB. Newer clinical trials must stratify using better informed criteria, adding molecular signatures to clinical and histological features, in order to understand the potential benefit of the treatment approach being evaluated. Recently defined very-high risk groups, such as medulloblastoma with *MYC* enrichment and sonic hedgehog medulloblastoma with *TP53* mutations,[15,49] can be predicted to do poorly with today's most intensive therapies. Moreover, 3 molecular subgroups of PNET have been characterized and likely require different therapeutic approaches.[50] The patients with the highest risk embryonal tumors will need new strategies to achieve better outcomes, for example, adding targeted agents to craniospinal radiotherapy and standard cytotoxic and/or myeloablative chemotherapy.

In conclusion, the therapy prescribed on CCG-99702 caused dose-limiting VOD/SOS leading to early closure of the study due to safety concerns. Of note, there was complete resolution in all cases. The up-front mobilization and collection of hematopoietic stem cells did not cause delay in initiation of radiotherapy. The overall strategy using post-radiotherapy myeloablative chemotherapy supported by ASCR remains feasible for the highest-risk populations.

Acknowledgments

To Amar Gajjar and Michael Recht for assistance in preparation of the manuscript

Funding: Children's Cancer Group and subsequently Children's Oncology Group (COG), National Cancer Institute COG Chairman's Grant (U10 CA98543-01 H.S.N.)

References

1. Blaney, SM.; Kun, LE.; Hunter, J.; Rorke-Adams, LB.; Lau, C.; Strother, D.; Pollack, IF. Tumors of the Central Nervous System. In: Pizzo, PA.; Poplack, DG., editors. Principles and Practice of Pediatric Oncology. Fifth. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2006. p. 786-864.
2. Partap, S.; Fisher, PG. Embryonal Tumors. In: Gupta, N.; Banerjee, A.; Haas-Kogan, D., editors. Pediatric CNS Tumors. Second. New York: Springer; 2010. p. 89-114.
3. Eberhart CG, Kepner JL, Goldthwalte PT, Kun LE, Duffner PK, Friedman HS, Strother DR, Burger PC. Histopathologic Grading of Medulloblastomas. Cancer. 2002; 94:552–560. [PubMed: 11900240]
4. Eberhart CG, Kratz J, Wang Y, Summers K, Stearns D, Cohen K, Dang CV, Burger PC. Histopathological and Molecular Prognostic Markers in Medulloblastoma: c-myc, N-myc, TrkC, and Anaplasia. J Neuropathol Exp Neurol. 2004; 63:441–449. [PubMed: 15198123]
5. Zeltzer PM, Boyett JM, Finlay JL, Albright AL, Rorke LB, Milstein JM, Allen JC, Stevens KR, Stanley P, Li H, Wisoff JH, Geyer JR, McGuire-Cullen P, Stehbens JA, Shurin SB, Packer RJ. Metastasis Stage, Adjuvant Treatment, and Residual Tumor are Prognostic Factors for Medulloblastoma in Children: Conclusions from the Children's Cancer Group 921 Randomized Phase III Study. J Clin Oncol. 1999; 17:832–845. [PubMed: 10071274]
6. Cohen BH, Zeltzer PM, Boyett JM, Geyer JR, Allen JC, Finlay JL, McGuire-Cullen P, Milstein JM, Rorke LB, Stanley P, Stehbens JA, Shurin SB, Wisoff J, Stevens KR, Albright AL. Prognostic Factors and Treatment Results for Supratentorial Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumors in Children Using Radiation and Chemotherapy: A Children's Cancer Group Randomized Trial. J Clin Oncol. 1995; 13:1687–96. [PubMed: 7602359]
7. Kortmann RD, Kuhl J, Timmerman B, Mittler U, Urban C, Budach V, Richter E, Willich N, Flentje M, Berthold F, Slavc I, Wolff J, Meisner C, Wiestler O, Sörensen N, Warmuth-Metz M, Bamberg M. Postoperative Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Before Radiotherapy As Compared To Immediate Radiotherapy Followed By Maintenance Chemotherapy Before In The Treatment Of Medulloblastoma In Childhood: Results Of The German Prospective Randomized Trial HIT '91. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2000; 46:269–279. [PubMed: 10661332]
8. Taylor RE, Bailey CC, Robinson KJ, Weston CL, Walker DA, Ellison D, Ironside J, Pizer BL, Lashford LS. Outcome for patients with metastatic (M2-3) medulloblastoma treated with SIOP/UKCCSG PNET-3 chemotherapy. Eur J Cancer. 2005; 41:727–734. [PubMed: 15763649]
9. Allen J, Donahue B, Mehta M, Miller DC, Borke LB, Jakacki R, Robertson P, Spoto R, Holmes E, Vezina G, Muraszko K, Puccetti D, Prados M, Chan KW. A Phase II Study Of Preradiotherapy Chemotherapy Followed By Hyperfractionated Radiotherapy For Newly Diagnosed High-Risk Medulloblastoma/Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumor: A Report From The Children's Oncology Group (CCG 9931). Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 2009; 74:1006–1011. [PubMed: 19356859]
10. Gajjar A, Chintagumpala M, Ashley D, Kellie S, Kun LE, Merchant TE, Woo S, Wheeler G, Ahern V, Krasin MJ, Fouladi M, Broniscer A, Krance R, Hale G, Stewart C, Dauser R, Sanford RA, Fuller C, Lau C, Boyett JM, Wallace D, Gilbertson RJ. Risk-adapted craniospinal radiotherapy followed by high-dose chemotherapy and stem-cell rescue in children with newly diagnosed medulloblastoma (St Jude Medulloblastoma-96): long-term results from a prospective, multicentre trial. Lancet Oncol. 2006; 7:813–820. [PubMed: 17012043]
11. Jakacki RI, Burger PC, Zhou T, Holmes EJ, Kocak M, Onar A, Goldwein J, Mehta M, Packer RJ, Tarbell N, Fitz C, Vezina G, Hilden J, Pollack IF. Outcome of Children with Metastatic Medulloblastoma Treated with Carboplatin During Craniospinal Radiotherapy: A Children's Oncology Group Phase I/II Study. J Clin Oncol. 2012; 30:2648–2653. [PubMed: 22665539]
12. Thompson MC, Fuller C, Hogg TL, Dalton J, Finkelstein D, Lau CC, Chintagumpala M, Adesina A, Ashley DM, Kellie SJ, Taylor MD, Curran T, Gajjar A, Gilbertson RJ. Genomics Identifies Medulloblastoma Subgroups That Are Enriched for Specific Genetic Alterations. J Clin Oncol. 2006; 24:1924–1931. [PubMed: 16567768]
13. Kool M, Koster J, Bunt J, Hasselt N, Lakeman A, van Sluis P, Troost D, Schouten-van Meeteren N, Caron HN, Cloos J, Mršić A, Ylstra B, Grajkowska W, Hartmann W, Pietsch T, Ellison D, Clifford SC, Versteeg R. Integrated Genomics Identifies Five Medulloblastoma Subtypes with Distinct

Genetic Profiles, Pathway Signatures and Clinicopathological Features. PLoS ONE. 2008; 3(8):e3088. [PubMed: 18769486]

14. Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Witt H, Hielscher T, Eberhart CG, Mack S, Bouffet E, Clifford SC, Hawkins CE, French P, Rutka JT, Pfister S, Taylor MD. Medulloblastoma Comprises Four Distinct Molecular Variants. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:1408–1414. [PubMed: 20823417]
15. Cho YJ, Tsherniak A, Tamayo P, Santagata S, Ligon A, Greulich H, Berhoukim R, Amani V, Goumnerova L, Eberhart CG, Lau CC, Olson JM, Gilbertson RJ, Gajjar A, Delattre O, Kool M, Ligon K, Meyerson M, Mesirov JP, Pomeroy SL. Integrative Genomic Analysis of Medulloblastoma Identifies a Molecular Subgroup That Drives Poor Clinical Outcome. J Clin Oncol. 2011; 29:1424–1430. [PubMed: 21098324]
16. Leary SE, Olson JM. The Molecular Classification of Medulloblastoma: Driving the next generation of clinical trials. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2012; 24:33–39. [PubMed: 22189395]
17. Ramaswamy V, Northcott PA, Taylor MD. FISH and chips: the recipe for improved prognostication and outcomes for children with medulloblastoma. Cancer Genet. 2011; 204:577–588. [PubMed: 22200083]
18. Dunkel IJ, Boyett JM, Yates A, Rosenblum M, Garvin JH, Bostrom BC, Goldman S, Sender LS, Gardner SH, Li H, Allen JC, Finlay J. High-dose carboplatin, thiopeta and etoposide with autologous stem-cell rescue for patients with recurrent medulloblastoma. Children's Cancer Group. J Clin Oncol. 1998; 16:222–228. [PubMed: 9440746]
19. Dupuis-Girod S, Hartmann O, Benhamou E, Doz F, Mechinaud F, Bouffet E, Coze C, Kalifa C. Will high dose chemotherapy followed by autologous bone marrow transplantation supplant cranio-spinal irradiation in young children treated for medulloblastoma? J Neurooncol. 1996; 27:87–98. [PubMed: 8699230]
20. Torres CF, Rebsamen S, Silber JH, Sutton LN, Bilaniuk LT, Zimmerman RA, Goldwein JW, Phillips PC, Lange BJ. Surveillance Scanning Of Children With Medulloblastoma. N Engl J Med. 1994; 330:892–895. [PubMed: 8114859]
21. Gajjar A, Pizer B. Role of High-Dose Chemotherapy for Recurrent Medulloblastoma and Other CNS Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumors. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 2010; 54:649–651. [PubMed: 20146223]
22. Dunkel IJ, Gardner SL, Garvin JH, Goldman S, Shi W, Finlay J. High-dose carboplatin, thiopeta, and etoposide with autologous stem cell rescue for patients with previously irradiated recurrent medulloblastoma. Neuro-Oncology. 2010; 12:297–303. [PubMed: 20167818]
23. Butturini AM, Jacob M, Aguajo J, Vander-Walde NA, Villablanca J, Jubran R, Erdreich-Epstein A, Marachelian A, Dhall G, Finlay J. High-dose chemotherapy and autologous hematopoietic progenitor cell rescue in children with recurrent medulloblastoma and supratentorial primitive neuroectodermal tumors: the impact of prior radiotherapy on outcome. Cancer. 2009; 115(13): 2956–2963. [PubMed: 19402050]
24. Gururangan S, Krauser J, Watral MA, Driscoll T, Larrier N, Reardon DA, Rich JN, Quinn JA, Vredenburgh JJ, Desjardins A, McLendon RE, Fuchs H, Kurtzberg J, Friedman HS. Efficacy of high-dose chemotherapy or standard salvage therapy in patients with recurrent medulloblastoma. Neuro-Oncology. 2008; 10:745–751. [PubMed: 18755919]
25. DeLeve LD, Shulman HM, McDonald GB. Toxic Injury to Hepatic Sinusoids: Sinusoidal Obstructive Syndrome (Veno-Occlusive Disease). Seminars Liver Dis. 2002; 22:27–41.
26. McDonald GB, Hinds MS, Fisher LB, Schoch HG, Wolford JL, Banaji M, Hardin BJ, Shulman HM, Clift RA. Veno-occlusive Disease of the Liver and Multiorgan Failure after Bone Marrow Transplantation: A Cohort Study of 355 Patients. Ann Intern Med. 1993; 118:255–267. [PubMed: 8420443]
27. Jones RJ, Lee KSK, Beschoner WE, Vogel VG, Grochow LB, Braine HG, Vogelsang GB, Sensenbrenner LL, Santos GW, Saral R. Venooclusive Disease Of The Liver Following Bone Marrow Transplantation. Transplantation. 1987; 44:778–783. [PubMed: 3321587]
28. McDonald GB, Sharma P, Matthews DE, Shulman HM, Thomas ED. Venocclusive disease of the liver after bone marrow transplantation: diagnosis, incidence, and predisposing factors. Hepatology. 1984; 4:116–122. [PubMed: 6363247]

29. Ganem G, Saint-Marc Girardin MF, Kuentz M, Cordonnier C, Marinello G, Teboul C, Braconnier F, Vernant JP, Dhumeaux D, Le Bourgeois JP. Venocclusive disease of the liver after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in man. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1988; 14:879–884. [PubMed: 3283084]
30. Carreras E, Bertz H, Arcese W, Vernant JP, Tomás JF, Hagglund H, Bandini G, Esperou H, Russell J, de la Rubia J, Di Girolamo G, Demuyneck H, Hartmann O, Clausen J, Ruutu T, Leblond V, Iriondo A, Bosi A, Ben-Bassat I, Koza V, Gratwohl A, Apperley JF. Incidence and outcome of hepatic veno-occlusive disease after blood or marrow transplantation: a prospective cohort study of the European group for blood and marrow transplantation. *Blood*. 1998; 92:3599–3604. [PubMed: 9808553]
31. Hasegawa S, Horibe K, Kawabe T, Kato K, Kojima S, Matsuyama T, Hirabayashi N. Veno-occlusive disease of the liver after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in children with hematologic malignancies: incidence, onset time and risk factors. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 1998; 22:1191–1197. [PubMed: 9894723]
32. Lee JL, Gooley T, Bensinger W, Schiffman K, McDonald GB. Veno-occlusive disease of the liver after busulfan, melphalan, and thiotepe conditioning therapy: incidence, risk factors, and outcome. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 1999; 5:306–15. [PubMed: 10534061]
33. DeLeve LD, Wang XD, Huybrechts MM. Cellular Target of Cyclophosphamide Toxicity in the Murine Liver: Role of Glutathione and Site of Metabolic Activation. *Hepatology*. 1996; 24:830–837. [PubMed: 8855185]
34. Clelland BD, Pokorny CS. Cyclophosphamide related hepatotoxicity. *Aust N Z J Med*. 1993; 23:408. [PubMed: 8240157]
35. Modezelewski JR, Daeschner C, Joshi VV, Mullick FG, Ishak KG. Veno-occlusive disease of the liver induced by low dose cyclophosphamide. *Mod Pathol*. 1994; 7:967–72. [PubMed: 7892168]
36. Honjo I, Suou T, Hirayama C. Hepatotoxicity of cyclophosphamide in man: pharmacokinetic analysis. *Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol*. 1988; 61:149–65. [PubMed: 3187190]
37. McDonald GB, Ren S, Bouvier ME. Venocclusive disease of the liver and cyclophosphamide pharmacokinetics: a prospective study in marrow transplant patients [abstract]. *Hepatology*. 1999; 30:314.
38. Ortega JA, Donaldson SS, Ivy SP, Pappo A, Maurer HM. Venocclusive disease of the liver after chemotherapy with vincristine, actinomycin D, and cyclophosphamide for the treatment of rhabdomyosarcoma. A report of the Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study Group, Children's Cancer Group, the Pediatric Oncology Group, and the Pediatric Intergroup Statistical Center. *Cancer*. 1997; 79:2435–9. [PubMed: 9191535]
39. Bisogno G, de Kraker J, Weirich A, Masiero L, Ludwig R, Tournade MF, Carli M. Veno-occlusive disease of the liver in children treated for Wilms tumor. *Med Pediatr Oncol*. 1997; 29:245–51. [PubMed: 9251728]
40. Modak S, Gardner S, Dunkel IJ, Balmaceda C, Rosenblum MK, Miller DC, Halpern S, Finlay JL. Thiotepe-Based High-Dose Chemotherapy With Autologous Stem-Cell Rescue in Patients With Recurrent or Progressive CNS Germ Cell Tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004; 10:1934–1943. [PubMed: 15143087]
41. Broniscer A, Nicolaides TP, Dunkel IJ, Gardner SL, Johnson J, Allen JC, Sposto R, Finlay JL. High-Dose Chemotherapy With Autologous Stem-Cell Rescue in the Treatment of Patients With Recurrent Non-Cerebellar Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumors. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2004; 42:261–267. [PubMed: 14752864]
42. Mason WP, Grovas A, Halpern S, Dunkel IJ, Garvin J, Heller G, Rosenblum M, Gardner S, Lyden D, Sands S, Puccetti D, Lindsley K, Merchant TE, O'Malley B, Bayer L, Petriccione MM, Allen J, Finlay JL. Intensive chemotherapy and bone marrow rescue for young children with newly diagnosed malignant brain tumors. *J Clin Oncol*. 1998; 16:210–221. [PubMed: 9440745]
43. Chi SN, Gardner SL, Levy AS, Knopp EA, Miller DC, Wisoff JH, Weiner HL, Finlay JL. Feasibility and response to induction chemotherapy intensified with high-dose methotrexate for young children with newly diagnosed high-risk disseminated medulloblastoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004; 22:4881–4887. [PubMed: 15611503]
44. Fangusaro J, Finlay J, Sposto R, Ji L, Saly M, Zacharoulis S, Asgharzadeh S, Abromowitch M, Olshefski R, Halpern S, Dubowy R, Comito M, Diez B, Kellie S, Hukin J, Rosenblum M, Dunkel

- I, Miller DC, Allen J, Gardner S. Intensive chemotherapy followed by consolidative myeloablative chemotherapy with autologous hematopoietic cell rescue (AuHCR) in young children with newly diagnosed supratentorial primitive neuroectodermal tumors (sPNETs): a report of the Head Start I and II experience. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008; 50:312–318. [PubMed: 17668858]
45. Dhall G, Grodman H, Ji L, Sands S, Gardner S, Dunkel IJ, McCowage GB, Diez B, Allen JC, Gopalan A, Cornelius AS, Termuhlen A, Abromowitch M, Sposto R, Finlay JL. Outcome of children less than three years old at diagnosis with non-metastatic medulloblastoma treated with chemotherapy on the “Head Start” I and II protocols. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008; 50:1169–1175. [PubMed: 18293379]
46. Langholz B, Skolnik JM, Barrett JS, Renbarger J, Siebel N, Zajicek A, Arndt CAS. Dactinomycin and vincristine toxicity in the treatment of childhood cancer: a retrospective study from the Children's Oncology Group. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2011; 57:252–7. [PubMed: 21671362]
47. Sulis ML, Bessmertny O, Granowetter L, Weiner M, Kelly KM. Veno-occlusive disease in pediatric patient receiving actinomycin D and vincristine only for the treatment of rhabdomyosarcoma. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. 2004; 26:843–6. [PubMed: 15591910]
48. Yoshimoto K, Ono N, Okamura T, Sata M. Recent Progress in the Diagnosis and Therapy for Veno-Occlusive Disease of the Liver. *Leukemia & Lymphoma*. 2003; 44:229–234. [PubMed: 12688338]
49. Zhukova N, Ramaswamy V, Remke M, Pfaff E, Shih DJ, Martin DC, Castelo-Branco P, Baskin B, Ray PN, Bouffet E, von Bueren AO, Jones DT, Northcott PA, Kool M, Sturm D, Pugh TJ, Pomeroy SL, Cho YJ, Pietsch T, Gessi M, Rutkowski S, Bogner L, Klekner A, Cho BK, Kim SK, Wang KC, Eberhart CG, Fevre-Montange M, Fouladi M, French PJ, Kros M, Grajkowska WA, Gupta N, Weiss WA, Hauser P, Jabado N, Jouvett A, Jung S, Kumabe T, Lach B, Leonard JR, Rubin JB, Liao LM, Massimi L, Pollack IF, Shin Ra Y, Van Meir EG, Zitterbart K, Schüller U, Hill RM, Lindsey JC, Schwalbe EC, Bailey S, Ellison DW, Hawkins C, Malkin D, Clifford SC, Korshunova A, Pfister S, Taylor MD, Tabori U. Subgroup-specific prognostic implications of TP53 mutation in medulloblastoma. *J Clin Oncol*. 2013; 31(23):2977–2935.
50. Picard D, Miller S, Hawkins CE, Bouffet E, Rogers HA, Chan TS, Kim SK, Ra YS, Fangusaro J, Korshunov A, Toledano H, Nakamura H, Hayden JT, Chan J, Lafay-Cousin L, Hu P, Fan X, Muraszko KM, Pomeroy SL, Lau CC, Ng HK, Jones C, Van Meter T, Clifford SC, Eberhart C, Gajjar A, Pfister SM, Grundy RG, Huang A. Markers of survival and metastatic potential in childhood CNS primitive neuro-ectodermal tumours: an integrative genomic analysis. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012; 13(8):838–848. [PubMed: 22691720]

Abbreviations

ANC	absolute neutrophil count
ASCR	autologous hematopoietic stem cell rescue
AT/RT	atypical teratoid rhabdoid tumor
CBC	complete blood count
CCG	Children's Cancer Group
CNS	central nervous system
CSF	cerebrospinal fluid
DLT	dose-limiting toxicity
EFS	event-free survival
g	gram

Gy	Gray
IRS	Intergroup Rhabdomyosarcoma Study
i.v	intravenously
m²	meter-squared
MB	Medulloblastoma
µg	microgram
mg	milligram
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
OS	overall survival
PBSC	peripheral blood stem cell
PNET	primitive neuroectodermal tumor
s.c	subcutaneously
SOS	sinusoidal obstructive syndrome
VOD	veno-occlusive disease

Figure 1.

Survival (A) Event-Free Survival (EFS) for Primitive Neuroectodermal Tumor (PNET) including Pineoblastoma (5-year EFS $58 \pm 15\%$) and high-risk Medulloblastoma (5-year EFS $40 \pm 15\%$); (B) Overall Survival (OS) for PNET including Pineoblastoma (5-year OS $67 \pm 15\%$) and high-risk MB (5-year OS $40 \pm 16\%$).

Table I
Treatment Plan

INDUCTION	
Day	Therapy
0	Cyclophosphamide 2.1 g/m ² with MESNA 420 mg/m ² i.v.; vincristine 1.5 mg/m ² i.v. (maximum dose 2 mg)
1	Cyclophosphamide 2.1 g/m ² with MESNA 420 mg/m ² i.v. MESNA 420 mg/m ² i.v. infused over 3 hours and repeated as a 15-minute i.v. bolus at hours 6, 9, and 12
2+	Start filgrastim 10 µg/kg/day s.c. at least 1 day after completion of chemotherapy (continue for minimum of 7 days and until ANC 10,000/µL)

	One or more harvesting apheresis sessions until total 15×10^6 CD34+ cells/kg were available
CHEMORADIO THERAPY	
Day	Therapy
5 days/week	Irradiation of the craniospinal axis to 36 Gy followed by Boost of 19.8 Gy to primary tumor and CNS metastatic sites (180 cGy daily doses, 5 days/week)
Weekly	Vincristine 1.5 mg/m ² i.v. (maximum dose 2 mg) every 7 days for a total of 8 doses 4 to 6 week rest period upon completion of radiotherapy
CONSOLIDATION (3 Courses)	
Course 1 and 3 (Consolidation A)	
Day	Therapy
0	Vincristine 1.5 mg/m ² i.v. (maximum dose 2 mg); carboplatin 500 mg/m ² /day (or AUC = 7 mg/mL/min) i.v.
1	Carboplatin 500 mg/m ² /day (or AUC = 7 mg/mL/min) i.v.
2	Thiotepa per dose level assignment
3	Thiotepa per dose level assignment
4	Thiotepa per dose level assignment
5	-----
6	-----
7	PBSC infusion; start filgrastim 5 µg/kg/day s.c. (continue for minimum of 7 days and until ANC 10,000/µL)
Course 2 (Consolidation B)	
Day	Therapy
0	Vincristine 1.5 mg/m ² i.v. (maximum dose 2 mg); carboplatin 500 mg/m ² /day (or AUC = 7 mg/mL/min) i.v.
1	Carboplatin 500 mg/m ² /day (or AUC = 7 mg/mL/min) i.v.
2	Cyclophosphamide 2.1 g/m ² with MESNA 420 mg/m ² i.v.
3	Cyclophosphamide 2.1 g/m ² with MESNA 420 mg/m ² i.v.
4	-----
5	PBSC infusion; start filgrastim 10 µg/kg/day s.c. (continue for minimum of 7 days and until ANC 10,000/µL)

Abbreviations: ANC, absolute neutrophil count; AUC, area under the curve; cGy, centigray; CNS, central nervous system; g, grams; Gy, gray; i.v., intravenously; kg, kilogram; mg, milligrams; m², meter-squared; µg, micrograms; µL, microliter; PBSC, peripheral blood stem cell s.c., subcutaneously; mL, milliliter

Table II

Summary of Clinical Characteristics

Subject	Age at Dx	Tumor Histology	Tumor Location	Extent of Resection	M-Stage	Thiotepa (mg/m ² /d)	VOD/ SOS	Death	Last F/U from Dx	Off-Therapy Reason
1	11 y	PNET	Cerebrum	PR	M0	150	No	Yes	0.5 y	Death (progression)
2	14 y	PNET	Brain, NOS	Bx	M3b	150	Yes	No	6.5 y	Completed protocol
3	7 y	MB ^a	Cerebellum	NTR	M3b	150	No	Yes	1.1 y	Completed protocol
4	4 y	MB	Cerebellum	NTR	M0	150	No	No	5.9 y	Completed protocol
5	5 y	MB ^a	Cerebellum	STR	Unknown	150	No	No	6.4 y	Completed protocol
6	15 y	PNET ^b	Cerebrum	NTR	M0	150	No	No	6.9 y	Relapse/Progression
7	6 y	AT/RT	Brain, NOS	GTR	M0	150	Yes	Yes	1.8 y	Withdrawn
8	18 y	PNET	Occipital	NTR	Unknown	150	No	Yes	1.4 y	Completed protocol
9	4 y	MB	Cerebellum	NTR	M3b	150	No	Yes	3.8 y	Completed protocol
10	7 y	PNET	Frontal	GTR	M0	150	No	No	4.7 y	Completed protocol
11	3 y	MB	Cerebellum	STR	M3a	150	Yes	Yes	0.5 y	Toxicity
12	15 y	PNET	Cerebrum	GTR	M3a	100	No	No	6.6 y	Completed protocol
13	16 y	PNET	Spinal cord	NTR	M3a	100	No	No	5.9 y	Completed protocol
14	8 y	PNET	Brainstem	NTR	M2	100	No	Yes	2.6 y	Completed protocol
15	4 y	PNET	Ventricle	GTR	M0	100	No	No	6.7 y	Completed protocol
16	5 y	AT/RT	Parietal	NTR	M3b	100	No	Yes	0.9 y	Completed protocol
17	8 y	MB	Cerebellum	NTR	M3a	100	No	Yes	2.8 y	Completed protocol
18	4 y	MB	Cerebellum	NTR	M1	100	No	No	4.9 y	Completed protocol
19	10 y	Pineo	Brain, NOS	PR	M0	None	No	No	4.3 y	Withdrawn
20	5 y	Pineo	Brain, NOS	STR	M0	100	No	No	5.3 y	Completed protocol
21	11 y	MB	Cerebellum	GTR	M3b	100	Yes	No	5.2 y	Toxicity
22	15 y	MB	Cerebellum	NTR	M3b	100	Yes	Yes	0.5 y	Death (sepsis)
23	6 y	MB ^c	Brainstem	GTR	M2	100	Yes	Yes	2.9 y	Toxicity
24	4 y	PNET	Parietal	GTR	M0	100	Yes	Yes	3.7 y	Completed protocol

Abbreviations: AT/RT, atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor; Bx, biopsy; d, day; Dx, diagnosis; F/U, follow-up; GTR, gross total resection; MB, medulloblastoma; mg, milligrams; m², meter-squared; NOS, not otherwise specified; NTR, near total resection; Pineo, pineoblastoma; PNET, primitive neuroectodermal tumor; SOS, sinusoidal obstructive syndrome; STR, sub-total resection; VOD, veno-occlusive disease; y, years; protocol definitions for metastatic stage (M-Stage): no evidence of subarachnoid or hematogenous metastasis (M0), microscopic tumor cells found in cerebrospinal fluid (M1), gross nodular seeding demonstrated in the intracranial subarachnoid space or ventricular system distant from primary site (M2), gross nodular seeding in the spinal subarachnoid space without evidence of intracranial seeding (M3a), gross nodular seeding in the spinal subarachnoid space as well as intracranial seeding (M3b)

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

PNET histology defined during study period, designated medulloblastoma due to cerebellar location
Medulloblastoma histology defined during study period, final designation remains medulloblastoma despite reported brainstem location due to specific documentation of desmoplastic variant (characteristic of medulloblastoma)
Medulloblastoma histology defined during study period, designated PNET due to supratentorial location

Table III

Summary of Dose-Limiting VOD/SOS in Consolidation

Subject	Thiotepa Dose Level (mg/m ² /day)	Course	Peak total bilirubin (mg/dL)	Portal venous flow on ultrasound	Level of care required	Outcome
2	150	3	10.6	Normal flow	Minimal (no hospitalization) ursodiol given	VOD/SOS resolved; alive without disease
7	150	2	33.5	Flow reversed	Prolonged hospitalization (no intensive care): oxygen, paracentesis, thoracentesis, diuretics, defibrotide	VOD/SOS resolved; death due to progressive disease
11	150	1	12	Flow reversed	Intensive care: 1 vasopressor, ventilator, repeated paracentesis	VOD/SOS resolved; death due to progressive disease
21	100	2	10.7	Flow reversed	Prolonged hospitalization (no intensive care): diuretics given	VOD/SOS resolved; alive without disease
22	100	3	6.8	Not assessed	Intensive care: 3 vasopressors, antibiotics, ventilator then oscillator, white cell infusions, plasma exchange	Death attributed to septic shock; VOD/SOS noted at time of autopsy
23	100	2	6.3	Normal flow	Minimal (no hospitalization)	VOD/SOS resolved; death due to progressive disease
24	100	3	2.2	No reversal of flow: "Variability of portal vein slightly abnormal"	Minimal (no hospitalization)	VOD/SOS resolved; death due to progressive disease

Abbreviations: dL, deciliter; mg, milligrams; m², meter-squared; SOS, sinusoidal obstructive syndrome; VOD, veno-occlusive disease