Aggressive Use of Diagnostic Services is Counterproductive

Col MM Arora*, Lt Col JK Bhatia⁺

Abstract

Background: Medical fraternity requisitions diagnostic tests for multiple reasons. More often than not, the tests lead to more tests either to exclude or to confirm doubts raised by the test results. These tests have an inherent morbidity, discomfort and cost. Growing expenditure on diagnostic tests without matching improvement in the health status warrants an internal audit of the laboratory utilization.

Methods: A retrospective utility audit was done for certain routinely advised laboratory tests at a hospital. Blood urea estimation in annual / periodic medical examination (AME/ PME), bleeding and clotting time in pre-anaesthetic check-up and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) and antibodies to hepatitis C virus (anti-HCV) in diagnostic work-up of acute onset jaundice were included in the audit.

Results: During the study period, 793 individuals underwent AME / PME and urea estimation did not provide any additional information in these cases which was not inferred by serum creatinine. Similarly, in diagnostic workup of acute onset jaundice, 6049 aspartate aminotransferase (AST) estimations in 1024 patients did not contribute anything more than what was inferred by alanine aminotransferase (ALT). Prevalence of anti HCV antibodies in acute onset jaundice in serving soldiers (11 out of 1225; 0.89%) though more than that in the blood donors from the same population (17 out of 4105; 0.41%) was less than anticipated false positives (18 out of 1225; 1.5%) as per the claimed specificity (98.5%) of the test kit. None of the 2766 bleeding and clotting time tests detected a bleeding or coagulation disorder.

Conclusion: The study reveals significant overuse of the laboratory that may not be good for the patient and the organization in terms of direct and indirect costs due to false positive results. This laboratory overload adversely affects the quality and availability of laboratory results. Therefore, a test should only be advised, if positive or negative result would dictate a change in patient management.

MJAFI 2007; 63 : 253-256

Key Words: Laboratory overuse; Aspartate aminotransferase; Anti HCV; Bleeding time; Clotting time; Blood urea

Introduction

Laboratory services are as essential to the health and vitality of medical profession as the medical profession is to the health and vitality of society. No doubt, laboratory services account for a big chunk in the ever-fattening bill of medical care paid by the patient. Expenditure on diagnostic tests is growing without matching improvement in the health status suggesting that investigations are being overused [1].

Medical fraternity requisitions laboratory tests for screening risk factors in the population, differential diagnosis and staging of various diseases. Tests are also required for evaluation of severity, monitoring the course, estimating prognosis, detecting recurrence, selecting drug and adjusting therapy as part of management. Physicians sometimes order diagnostic tests to reduce the risk of malpractice liability without realizing that aggressive testing reduces the overall quality of patient care [2].

However, there is a price to be paid beyond the bleeding of the patient and his purse. Tests do have inherent morbidity (mortality?) and discomfort. More often than not, the tests lead to more tests either to exclude or to confirm doubts raised by the test results. Anxiety and cost involved in detecting inconsequential abnormalities and false positives is one more reason for us to redefine laboratory utilization.

The aim of the study was to audit the relevance and utility of specific laboratory tests in screening and diagnosis of disease. The screening tests audited were blood urea with serum creatinine estimation in annual and periodic medical examination and bleeding and clotting time in pre-anaesthetic check-up. Diagnostic tests audited were aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and anti hepatitis C virus (anti-HCV) antibodies in differential diagnosis and monitoring of acute onset jaundice.

*Professor & Head (Biochemistry), Armed Forces Medical College, Pune-411040. ⁺Graded Specialist (Pathology), Military Hospital, Mathura (UP).

Material and Methods

We analysed indications and utility of the following routine laboratory investigations done in a large hospital.

- Blood urea and serum creatinine estimations being done as part of annual / periodic medical examination of personnel as per the existing orders. Blood urea was estimated by glutamate dehydrogenase-urease method (Erba Diagnostics Mannheim, Transasia) and serum creatinine by alkaline picrate method.
- Bleeding and clotting time being done for pre anaesthetic screening of bleeding and coagulation disorders. Bleeding time was done by Dukes method and clotting time by Dale and Laidlaw's capillary tube method.
- ALT, AST and anti-HCV testing in acute onset jaundice for hepatic damage assessment. ALT and AST estimations were done by UV- Kinetic (IFCC) method (Autopack, Bayer Diagnostics). Anti-HCV was detected by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Zhongshan HCV, Zhongshan Biotech Co, China).

Symptomatic individuals and those with previous history of kidney disease were excluded while analysing blood urea and serum creatinine. Individuals with a known history of bleeding disorder or intake of non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) were excluded in the analysis of bleeding time and clotting time while old cases of hepatitis C were excluded from the analysis of anti-HCV testing.

Results

Blood urea and serum creatinine estimations were done in 793 individuals as part of annual/periodic medical exam. None of these individuals had serum creatinine in abnormal range (>1.5 mg/dl). Abnormal blood urea levels (>40 mg/dl) were found in 20 individuals. In these 20, a repeat estimation in basal conditions after ensuring hydration revealed normal values for urea as well as creatinine. Bleeding time and clotting time was normal in all the 2766 cases.

All patients with jaundice having bilirubin of more than 1.0 mg/dl and who underwent ALT and AST estimation were analysed. During the course of disease, 6049 paired ALT and AST estimations were done in these 1024 patients. The test results were ALT >100 & AST >100 IU in 1732 instances, ALT >100 & AST <100 IU in 762 instances, and ALT <100 & AST <100 IU in 3555 instances. No patient ever had ALT <100 & AST >100 IU. Therefore it can be inferred that AST estimation gave no additional information over ALT in acute onset jaundice.

Out of 1225 patients, 11 were positive for anti-HCV (0.89%), while the prevalence in blood donors from the same hospital was 17 out of 4105 (0.41%). Specificity of the ELISA kit in hospitalised patients as claimed by the manufacturers (Zhongshan HCV, Zhongshan Biotech Co, China) is 98.5%, suggesting a possible false positivity of 1.5% (100-specificity = false positivity). Thus observed prevalence of anti-HCV antibodies in jaundice patients, though more than that in the blood donors, is far less than anticipated false positives (18 / 1225) as per the claimed specificity of the test.

Discussion

As per the existing orders, healthy individuals in the armed forces are screened for kidney diseases by estimating blood urea and serum creatinine periodically.

Large number of variables affect blood urea estimation namely protein intake, state of hydration etc. Urea is predominantly handled by kidneys via re-absorption and filtration. Creatinine is formed at a relatively constant rate by muscle and its excretion is not routinely affected by diet. Estimation of serum creatinine has established methodology, reproducibility, accuracy and a defined reference range for the given population. Blood urea and serum creatinine estimations have independent indications in people with kidney disease. However, creatinine is a better indicator of overall functioning of kidneys in asymptomatic individuals. In this study blood urea estimation did not provide any additional information that was not inferred by serum creatinine in any individual. Therefore, routine testing of blood urea in periodic medical examination may be dispensed with, resulting in reduced cost and workload.

With normal platelet counts, the bleeding time should fall within the laboratory's established reference interval. The usefulness of bleeding time is less accurate in the frequently encountered haemostatic screening of asymptomatic patients. The predictive utility of relationship between platelet count and bleeding time also has been questioned [3]. A prospective study in 40 patients with negative history and no recent intake of non steroidal anti inflammatory drugs (NSAIDS) after coronary artery bypass surgery, found no predictive relationship between preoperative bleeding time test and either peri or post-operative bleeding [4]. This questions the utility of bleeding time estimation in asymptomatic patients with no history of bleeding tendency [5]. A normal bleeding time does not imply normal haemostasis and the results of the test do not correlate with bleeding at other sites [6].

In hepatitis the cardinal finding is rise of serum AST and ALT, where both become more than 200 IU/L. The AST/ALT ratio is close to one. AST and ALT catalyze reversibly the reductive transfer of amino group of either aspartate or alanine to alpha ketoglutarate to yield glutamate plus the corresponding keto acid of the starting amino acid. AST is ubiquitous in the body tissues including heart and muscle, where as ALT is found primarily in the liver. While 80% of AST is located in the mitochondria, ALT is non-mitochondrial. ALT is increased more than AST in patients of acute or chronic viral hepatitis and ALT activity is more specific in detecting liver disease in non-alcoholic patients. AST/

ALT ratio in patients of viral hepatitis is generally less than one. The reversal of this ratio helps in diagnosing alcoholic liver disease where it may rise to 3:1 or more. Most cases of acute onset jaundice are due to epidemic/endemic viral hepatitis where AST does not provide any additional information over ALT as observed in this study. It is recommended that AST should be asked in specific cases only and not as a routine test for differential diagnosis and monitoring of jaundice.

Hepatitis C is a parenterally transmitted infection with potential of silent progression to chronic hepatitis, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma. Prevalence in India varies from 1:1054 [7] to 4.8% [8-10]. Detection of anti-HCV is insufficient to identify all persons infected with HCV. The most sensitive indicator is the presence of HCV RNA, which requires molecular amplification by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). HCV RNA can be detected within a few days of exposure to HCV, well before the appearance of anti-HCV and tends to persist for the duration of HCV infection. However in patients with chronic HCV infection, HCV RNA may be detectable only intermittently [11]. In a study from Mexico [12] anti-HCV prevalence by ELISA in two groups of blood donors was 0.61 % for Group A and 1.32 % for Group B, whereas with recombinant immunoblot assay the prevalence was 0.19% for Group A and 0.47 % for Group B. On PCR analysis, the prevalence in Group A was 0.10% and in Group B it was 0.47%. These findings highlight the false positivity in anti-HCV testing. The test at best has very limited diagnostic utility in armed forces population where hepatitis C prevalence is low and most of the cases are due to hepatitis A infection.

The cost-effectiveness of a medical intervention changes for different population subsets [13]. If the prevalence of a disease in the tested population is low and the modes of transfer are limited, aggressive use of diagnostic tests may not be good in terms of direct and indirect costs due to false positive results as seen in blood urea and anti-HCV testing. Statistically speaking, normal (reference) range for most of the tests being mean $\pm$ 2 SD, 5% of test results from any healthy

Fig. 1 : Why false positives are unavoidable?

population will fall outside the limits of normal and will be false positive (Fig.1). Futility of aggressive screening for diagnosis of blunt carotid artery injury has recently been reported [14].

From the clinician's standpoint, the options available to him after examining the patient are to treat, do not treat, or test and decide. Clinicians weigh the pros and cons and decide to treat if he/she is reasonably sure of the disease. Mathematically it may be expressed as the treatment threshold i.e. the probability of disease at which clinician will decide to treat. If clinician has gathered such clues on clinical examination that probability of disease is beyond the treatment threshold he will treat, else he/she will order a test, the positive result of which will shift the probability across treatment threshold. If the clinician decides to order a test and then plan treatment, sensitivity and specificity of the test will have a direct bearing on success of the treatment. Don't treat or test decision may be valid for a self limiting disease with minimal morbidity. Pus culture and sensitivity may not be required for a skin pustule in an otherwise healthy individual. If the pretest probability is on one side of the threshold and posttest probability on the other, then the test contributes to decision making and is justified otherwise it is better avoided (Fig.2). Using this approach there was a 22.5% reduction in the rate of chest radiography utilization in an intensive care unit setting without any adverse clinical outcomes [15]. In our study testing for AST, bleeding and clotting time did not contribute to the clinical decision making and hence was avoidable.

The study reveals significant overuse of the laboratory that may not be good for the patient and the organization in terms of direct and indirect costs due to false positive results. Though studied at an armed forces hospital, these instances have implications both within and outside the organisation. Laboratory tests do not substitute for the art of detailed history taking and careful clinical examination. At times, these may add to the confusion. Every unnecessary test consumes time and laboratory reagents, in effect, denying a genuine patient quality and

Fig. 2 : Decision making : test for confirming disease

availability of test. Therefore, a test should be advised only if positive or negative result would dictate a change in patient management. To conclude, more questionable the indications of a test, more doubts the result will create.

Conflicts of Interest

None identified

References

1. Winkens R, Dinant GJ. Evidence base of diagnostic research: Rational cost effective use of investigations in clinical practice. *BMJ* 2002; 324:783-5.
2. De Kay ML, Asch DA. Is the defensive use of diagnostic services good for patients or bad? *Med Decis Making* 1998;18:19-28.
3. Rodgers RPC, Levin J. A critical appraisal of the bleeding time. *Semin Thromb Hemost* 1990; 6 :1-20.
4. De Caterina R, Lanza M, Manca G. Bleeding time and bleeding: An analysis of the relationship of the bleeding time test with parameters of surgical bleeding. *Blood* 1994; 84: 3363-6.
5. Miller JL. Blood Platelets. In: Henry JB, editor. *Clinical diagnosis and management by laboratory methods*. 20th ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders, 2001: 623-41.
6. Laffan MA, Manning RA. Investigation of hemostasis. In: Lewis SM, Bain BJ, Bates I, editors. *Dacie and Lewis Practical Hematology*, 9th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone, 2001:339-90.
7. Chadha MS, Tungatkar SP, Arankalle VA. Insignificant prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis C in a rural area of western Maharashtra. *Indian Journal of Gastroenterology* 1999;18:22-3.
8. Bhattacharya S, Badrinath S, Hamide A, Sujatha S. Seroprevalence of hepatitis C virus in a hospital based general population in South India. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology* 2003; 21: 43-5.
9. Gupta N, Kaur A. Prevalence of hepatitis C antibodies in healthy blood donors. *Indian Journal of Community Medicine* 2002; 27: 39-41.
10. Gupta N, Kumar V, Kaur A. Seroprevalence of HIV, HBV, HCV and syphilis in voluntary blood donors. *Indian J Med Sci* 2004; 58:255-7.
11. Dienstag JL, Isselbacher KJ. Acute Viral Hepatitis. In: Braunwald E, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, et al, editors. *Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine*. 15th ed. New Delhi: Mc Graw-Hill, 2001; 1721-37.
12. Lopez RA, Romero-Estrella S, Infante-Ramirez L, Mendez-Aquino JS, Berron-Ruiz P, Morales-Alfaro NA, et al. Hepatitis C seroprevalence in accepted versus deferred blood-donor candidates evaluated by medical history and self-exclusion form. *Transfusion* 2004; 44:1344-9.
13. Phelps CE. Good technologies gone bad: how and why the cost effectiveness of a medical intervention changes for different populations. *Med Decis Making* 1997; 17: 107-17.
14. Mayberry JC, Brown CV, Mullins RJ, Velmahos GC. Blunt carotid artery injury: The futility of aggressive screening and diagnosis. *Arch Surg* 2004; 139: 609-12.
15. Krinsley JS. Test ordering strategy in the intensive care unit. *J Intensive Care Med* 2003;18: 330-9.

ATTENTION ADVERTISERS

Rates of advertisements in Medical Journal Armed Forces India :

	Black & White	Colour	Size
Full page (per issue)	Rs. 5000/-	Rs. 10000/-	25 x 18 cms
Half page (per issue)	Rs. 3000/-	Rs. 6000/-	18 x 12 cms
(Positive for advertisement to be supplied by client)			
Inserts (two pages)	Rs. 5000/- per insert (Black and White / Colour)		
	(Printed inserts to be supplied by client)		

Address for Correspondence

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF
MEDICAL JOURNAL ARMED FORCES INDIA
C/O ARMED FORCES MEDICAL COLLEGE, PUNE - 411 040.