Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2016 June ; 38: 27–37. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2016.01.006.

The neural circuits of mating and fighting in male mice

Koichi Hashikawa¹, Yoshiko Hashikawa¹, Annegret Falkner¹, and Dayu Lin^{1,2,3}

¹Institute of Neuroscience, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA

²Department of Psychiatry, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA;
Center for Neural Science, New York University, New York, NY 10003, USA

Abstract

Tinbergen proposed that instinctive behaviors can be divided into appetitive and consummatory phases. During mating and aggression, the appetitive phase contains various actions to bring an animal to a social target and the consummatory phase allows stereotyped actions to take place. Here, we summarize recent advances in elucidating the neural circuits underlying the appetitive and consummatory phases of sexual and aggressive behaviors with a focus on male mice. We outline the role of the main olfactory inputs in the initiation of social approach; the engagement of the accessory olfactory system during social investigation, and the role of the hypothalamus and its downstream pathways in orchestrating social behaviors through a suite of motor actions.

Mating and aggression are innate social behaviors prevalent across mammalian and non-mammalian species. Pursuit of the underlying neural circuitry responsible for these behaviors has been a goal of neuroscientists for nearly a century. Here we will provide an updated view regarding the neural circuits of mating and aggression with a special focus on mice – a genetically tractable model organism widely used in the laboratory. Sexual and aggressive behaviors are highly sexually dimorphic and thus the underlying neural circuits for these behaviors are likely to be different between sexes. In this review we will focus on male neural circuits, given that this has been the subject of choice for most recent studies. The lack of studies in females is possibly due to concerns about confounding contributions from the estrous cycles and maternal states, the relatively low level of aggression in females or simply out of convention. Fortunately, with NIH's new emphasis on sex balance in animal studies [1], research using female subjects is likely to increase, which will provide a better understating of sex differences in neural circuits of mating and aggression.

Lorenz and Tinbergen proposed that each sequence of instinctive behavior can be divided into a variable appetitive phase and a more rigid consummatory phase [2]. The appetitive phase contains variable seeking actions that bring an organism into contact with a certain stimulus, which would then elicit relatively stereotypic consummatory actions. In rodents,

³Author of correspondence.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The authors declare no conflict-of-interest.

the appetitive phase of both mating and aggression involves approach and investigation of a social stimulus. The subsequent consummatory phase for mating includes mounting, intromission and ejaculation whereas attack is the major consummatory action of aggression. Generally speaking, as mating and aggression advances from the appetitive phase to consummatory phase, the behavioral expression as well as the involved brain regions become increasingly different.

The main olfactory pathway relays information for social approach

Approach, as a critical appetitive step of mating and aggression, can potentially be triggered by sensory cues that are detectable from a distance, such as auditory, visual and olfactory cues. For rodents, olfactory volatiles play an especially important role relative to other sensory modalities. For example, male mice preferentially approach soiled bedding which are enriched in volatiles from a stranger male over clean bedding but show no preference towards the conspecific in a solid plexi-glass cylinder that blocked the olfactory cues [3].

Which brain regions are involved in detecting a distant conspecific odor prior to initiating approach? In mice, odors can be detected through either accessory olfactory system (AOS) or the main olfactory system (MOS) [4]. The AOS detects mostly non-volatile odorants including major urinary proteins [5] and steroids [6] through close contact with the source of odor [7, 8] and probably contributes minimally to the initial approach. For the MOS, the odor recognition starts from the binding of small volatile molecules to the over ~1000 olfactory receptors in the main olfactory epithelium [9]. Neurons expressing the same olfactory receptor converge onto a pair of glomeruli in the main olfactory bulb (MOB) and thus transform the conspecific odor to a distinctive glomerular activity map [10–13]. Interestingly, not all components in the conspecific odor contribute equally to the activation map. *In vivo* recording revealed that certain biologically salient cues (e.g. MTMT-a female attractant specifically present in male urine) are overrepresented in the main olfactory bulb [14].

From the main olfactory bulb, the odor information is relayed to five main downstream areas, including the anterior olfactory nucleus, piriform cortex, olfactory tubercle, anterior and posterolateral cortical amygdala (COAa and COApl) and lateral entorhinal cortex [15–16]. Among those regions, the cortical amygdala has been recently suggested to be essential for mediating approach and avoidance behaviors towards innately attractive or aversive odors. This region, unlike the piriform cortex, retains the topographic organization pattern present in the MOB [17–18]. This topographic specificity suggests that if innately attractive or aversive odors evoke distinct glomerular activation patterns in the MOB, this segregation in patterns will be preserved in the COA and could be used to activate innate pathways for approach and avoidance. Indeed, aversive odors, such as TMT (a component of fox feces) activate mainly the anterior COA [19] whereas attractive odors, such as 2-phenylethanol (a component of male mouse feces [20]) activate mainly the posterior COA [21] (Figure 1). When Root et al. optogenetically inhibited either the MOB to COA pathway or cells in the COA, they eliminated the attraction towards 2-phenylethanol and avoidance towards TMT [21]. Conversely, optogenetic reactivation of the COA population activated by the 2-phenylethanol is appetitive whereas reactivation of the TMT responsive cells is aversive [21].

(Figure 1). Thus, activity in the COApl is essential for the innate approach response towards an attractive odor (Figure 2). One interesting feature of the COApl cells that receives little attention is its abundant expression of estrogen receptor alpha (ER α) and androgen receptor (AR) [22]. The highly enriched hormone receptors suggest that the responsiveness of the COApl cells and correspondingly the attractiveness of odors may be under the regulation of the circulating sex hormones. The levels of these sex hormones can change drastically during development, aging and after various social experience (e.g. winning a fight) and could modify the role of the COApl in approach behavior [23].

Although the neural activity in the COApl during social approach remains unknown, high levels of expression of Fos, a surrogate molecular marker for neural activity, is observed after episodes of male or female chemo-investigation [24]. One interesting question is whether the approach-promoting conspecific volatiles detected by the COA provide sufficient information to identify the social target as an opponent or a potential mate. Our previous Fos Catfish mapping (a method that allows the comparison of neural activity after two separable behaviors within a single animal) revealed that a relatively large percentage of mating-activated and fighting-activated cells overlap in the COApl [25], suggesting that male and female odor may recruit similar COA cells that carry little information regarding the sex identity of the targeted animal.

Major targets of the COApl includes the bed nucleus of stria terminalis (anterior, posterolateral and transverse nuclei), medial (posterodorsal and anterior) and central amygdala, ventral subiculum (SUBv), ventral part of the lateral septum (LSv), substantia innominate (SI), nucleus accumbens (NAc) and infralimbic area [26] (Figure 2). Among those downstream areas, the NAc and SI have been identified as potential regions for regulating social interest. Mice that experience repeated defeat develop a long-lasting aversion to social contact. This change in behavior is accompanied by increased brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) in the dopaminergic cells in the ventral tegmental area (VTA), and increased dopamine release as well as dramatic changes in the gene expression pattern in the NAc, a major downstream area of the VTA [27, 28]. Blockage of BDNF activity in the VTA reverses changes in gene expression pattern in the NAc and restores the social approach in defeated male mice [27]. In addition, comparison of the Prairie and montane vole, two species that are closely related but exhibit opposite social phenotypes, has implicated the SI in promoting social interest. Prairie voles are monogamous, seek out social contact, and form long-lasting social bonds. In contrast, montane voles are promiscuous and avoid social contact, except for the purpose of mating. In monogamous social voles, the vasopressin receptor 1a (VR1a) is expressed at much higher levels in the ventral pallidum (which contains a large part of SI) [29]. Strikingly, increasing the VR1a expression in the ventral pallidum significantly enhances social interaction in the promiscuous voles [30]. Future pathway specific functional manipulations will help address whether the COApl initiates approaching behavior through its projections to these two areas.

Social investigation activates the accessory olfactory system

Once the animal reaches a conspecific target, it often closely investigates the target. The length of investigation depends on the familiarity of the intruder [31] and the experience of the animal [32] and can range from a few seconds to several minutes. Most of the investigation is directed towards the anal and facial regions of the intruder which are rich sources of chemical signals that convey information about sex and strain, namely pheromones [33, 34]. Pheromones are actively pumped into a liquid filled lumen of the vomeronasal organ (VNO) lined up with specialized receptors [35]. With each bout of investigation, the concentration of pheromone cues increases in the VNO [36]. Within a bout of investigation, the activity of mitral cells in the AOB gradually increases over the course of 20 s after initial contact and remains active for 10 to 30 s after cessation of direct interaction [8].

Investigation of male and female pheromones results differential activation patterns in the AOB: male mice exposed to female urine showed a strong Fos induction in the rostral AOB whereas male urine induced a bias distribution of Fos in the posterior AOB [37]. This differential activation pattern is likely to result in differential activation patterns in the central brain. Whereas both rostral and caudal AOB project heavily to the medial amygdala (MEA), posteromedial COA (COApm) and bed nucleus of the accessory olfactory tract (BOAT), only rostral AOB projects to the BNST, posteromedial part (BNSTpm) [38] (Figure 2). Thus, BNSTpm may be preferentially activated during female but not male investigation. Consistent with this, a significant increase in Fos expression was only observed in the BNSTpm after female investigation but not male investigation [39]. In line with these data, large electrical lesions encompassing both BNSTpm and its lateral part significantly reduced chemoinvestigation of females in male hamsters [40]. It is worth noting that posterodorsal part of the MEA, the other major target of the AOB, also projects heavily to the BNSTpm [41]. Thus, male pheromones could still have indirect access to the BNSTpm (Figure 2). However, MEApd projection cells are largely GABAergic [42] whereas AOB mitral cells are exclusively glutamatergic [43]. Thus, these two pathways likely influence the activity of BNSTpm cells in opposite directions. Future studies using more precise functional manipulation and recording techniques will help understand any potentially differential involvement of the BNSTpm in male and female investigation.

MEApd is arguably the most studied downstream targets of the AOB [44]. Indeed, multiple lines of evidences suggest the activation of the MEApd during social behaviors. Immediate early gene mapping studies consistently reported increased Fos expression in the MEApd after mating, fighting or conspecific investigation [25, 39, 45, 46]. Electrophysiological recordings in anaesthetized animals showed that MEA cells respond robustly to conspecific pheromones especially from the opposite sex [47]. Recordings made in freely moving male rats revealed elevated MEA cell activity during both investigation of females and during discrete copulatory events [48]. Consistent with this, lesioning the MEA in several species robustly impaired male sexual behaviors but resulted in minor or inconsistent effects on male aggression [49–56]. However, recent data from cell type specific functional manipulations of the MEA suggest a more dominant role of the MEApd in aggression in comparison to mating [57, 58] (Figure 3). Ablation of aromatase expressing cells in the

MEApd significantly increased the latency to attack and the total number of attack events but did not affect male sexual behaviors [58]. Optogenetic inhibition of the GABAergic cells in the MEApd instantaneously halted ongoing attack but failed to disrupt ongoing intromission ([57] and personal communication with Weizhe Hong, UCLA). Although mounting can be induced by optogenetic activation of GABAergic cells in the MEApd, it is relatively rare in comparison to aggression which can be elicited in 100% of test animals [57] (Figure 3). The discrepancy between the results from recent cell-type specific manipulations and previous non-selective lesion studies could suggest the existence of additional subpopulations in the MEA that are more critically involved in mating or that other AOB targets such as the BNSTpm may play a more essential role in male sexual behavior than previously appreciated.

Other AOB targets, such as the BOAT, MEAa, MEApv and COApm are less well studied although all these areas show strong Fos induction after encounter with conspecific stimulus [39, 59, 60]. Do they play redundant, distinct or counter-acting roles in mediating social behaviors? Functional manipulation and recordings in each of these areas will be needed to address this question and provide a more comprehensive understanding of how pheromonal information is processed during social investigation.

The role of the main olfactory input is not limited to the approach phase of social behaviors. In fact, MOB inputs during social investigation are probably as critical as AOB inputs and may also be required to promote consummatory actions. Unconditional disruption of genes that encode signal transduction proteins that are required for activating olfactory neurons resulted in the impairment of several social behaviors [61–63]. More recently, Mastuo et al. selectively deleted the dorsal part of the MOB and found that the manipulation reduced aggression towards males and also ultrasonic vocalization towards females, whereas copulatory behavior remained relatively unchanged [64]. However, these mutant mice lacking the dorsal MOB showed mostly normal investigation towards a conspecific and the activity in the AOB was unchanged. These data suggest that MOB input is required for the initiation of certain aspects of consummatory social actions even after the contact with the stimulus animal was successfully established.

The hypothalamus plays an essential role in aggressive and sexual behaviors

The medial hypothalamus is the most prominent downstream target of both the medial and cortical amygdaloid areas. Not surprisingly, this region has been identified as the most critical site for expression of innate social behaviors based on decades of lesion, stimulation, hormone implantation and electrophysiological recording experiments. Here, we will review some recent progress regarding the role of the medial preoptic area (MPA) and the ventrolateral part of the ventromedial hypothalamus (VMHvl) in mating and aggression. Both of these areas express high level of hormone receptors and integrate inputs from the main and accessory olfactory systems.

A series of classic electric stimulation studies mapped out a “hypothalamic attack area (HAA)” from which attack can be induced in rats. This region includes a part of the VMHvl

and its anterior and lateral structures [65, 66]. More recently, our lab and others pinpointed the VMHvl as a critical site for eliciting male mouse aggression. The VMHvl spans approximately 700 μm along the anterior-posterior axis, 400 μm medial-laterally and 200–400 μm dorsal-ventrally depending on the anterior-posterior position. We estimate that it contains approximately 10,000 neurons, most of which (>90%) are glutamatergic [42]. Non-cell type specific pharmacogenetic inhibition, optogenetic inhibition of the *Esr1* expressing cells or killing the progesterone receptor (PR) expressing cells in the VMHvl all effectively suppressed natural inter-male attack [25, 67, 68]. Conversely, optogenetic activation of the VMHvl cells, either non-selectively or selectively in the *Esr1* population induced attack towards castrated males, females and even inanimate objects [25, 67]. Electrophysiological recording showed that a quarter to a half of VMHvl cells respond maximally during attack and also carry information regarding the imminence and intensity of future attacks [25, 69, 70]. During interaction with a female, a subset of VMHvl cells are also activated (but to a much lesser extent) and the majority of the female-excited cells overlap with male excited cells [25, 69]. Female-excited VMHvl cells were most active during female investigation and initial mounting. During intromission and ejaculation, the female-excited cells gradually decreased activity and as a population the VMHvl activity decreases [25]. Consistent with the low level of activity increase in the VMHvl during sexual behaviors, no change in mating was observed during optogenetic inhibition of *Esr1* cells or non-selective pharmacogenetic inhibition of VMHvl cells although ablation of the PR expressing cells reduced mounting and intromission events [25, 67, 68] (Figure 3). Thus, whereas the VMHvl is indispensable for male aggression, it may play a subsidiary role in promoting male sexual behavior. This role may possibly include facilitating mount initiation and the transition to intromission.

Unlike the VMHvl, the MPA appears to be indispensable for male sexual behavior based on numerous classical lesion, stimulation, hormone implantation and pharmacological studies (Reviewed in [71]). Unfortunately, research effort along this direction has diminished in recent years despite the fact that our understanding of the MPA function is far from complete. MPA is a large structure, spanning approximately 1.2 mm along the anterior-posterior axis. It converges both olfactory inputs from the amygdala and bed nucleus of stria terminalis and the somatosensory inputs from the genital area via the central tegmental field [72, 73], a region that becomes highly active during penile stimulation and ejaculation [74–77]. The MPA is heterogeneous, containing a variety of neuropeptides (e.g. calbindin, galanin, neurotensin and enkephalin), neurotransmitters (GABA and glutamate), hormone receptors (*Esr1* and AR) and receiving a variety of neuromodulatory and neuropeptergic inputs (e.g. neuropeptide Y, oxytocin, serotonin) (www.brain-map.org) [78, 79]. Further complicating this heterogeneity, tracing studies revealed distinct input and output patterns from each subdivisions of the MPA [80]. Thus, the MPA contains a cluster of subregions, each with different molecular features, projection patterns and possibly non-overlapping roles in sexual behaviors. For example, a specific subregion of the MPA (posterodorsal preoptic nucleus, a small cluster of cells situated in the posterior dorsal part the MPA) was only found active after repeated ejaculations [81]. While the role of the MPA in male sexual behavior is well established, many details remain to be filled in.

The role of the MPA in aggression remains unclear. Some studies reported that the MPA is active during aggression [82–83], but others failed to find such an involvement of the MPA [60–84]. This discrepancy in results could be partly due to the different MPA subregions targeted in each study. Veening et al. noticed that whereas the anterior MPOA was activated only after mating, the posterior MPA was activated after both male and fighting [82], suggesting that the posterior MPA may be more relevant for aggression. Future studies using refined cell type specific manipulation and recording tools will be especially useful in teasing apart the functions of individual MOPA cell groups in social behaviors (e.g. [84]).

Although the review focuses on results from rodents, the functions of the VMHvl and MPA are evolutionarily conserved. In visually dominant animals, such as birds and primates, the MPA and VMH remain the key sites for mating and aggression [85–88]. How socially relevant information from other sensory systems, such as vision, reaches and informs those hypothalamic areas is largely unknown and represents an important knowledge gap.

The motor output of social behaviors beyond the hypothalamus

The activity in the hypothalamus ultimately needs to propagate to motoneurons to initiate discrete mating and aggression related movements, such as mount, chase, circle, lunge and bite. The precise pathway information from the hypothalamus takes to reach the motoneurons is not completely understood. In 1990, Holstege et al. proposed two parallel pathways governing the motor outputs. One is the well-known voluntary motor system involving the motor cortex, the other is so-called “emotional motor system” involving direct projections from the limbic system (including hypothalamus, amygdala, bed nucleus of stria terminalis and prefrontal cortex) to the brainstem and spinal cord [89–90]. The existence of the emotional motor pathway is evident from hemiplegic patients with damage to corticobulbar fibers. Although those patients suffer from paresis of the lower face on one side, they are able to smile symmetrically when they, for instance, enjoy a joke. This example and many others illustrates that there exists a complete dissociation between the voluntary and emotional routes to control motor neurons. In rodents, lesion and tracing studies suggest that most of innate social behavior related movements depend little on the motor cortex. In extreme cases, neonatally decorticated rats fight and copulate nearly indistinguishably from their intact counterparts [91–93].

The periaqueductal gray (PAG) represents one of the most important relays between the hypothalamus and the motor neurons in the spinal cord. It is the major midbrain target of the MPA and the VMHvl as well as other hypothalamic nuclei [80–94–96]. Tracing studies reveal that PAG neurons project to the nucleus raphe magnus (NRM) and pallidus (NRP), the ventral part of the caudal pontine, the medullary reticular formation and directly to the spinal cord [97–100]. The PAG projecting brainstem areas in turn project diffusely, but very strongly to all parts of the gray matter throughout the length of the spinal cord [101–103]. Thus, the PAG provides a potential access point for the hypothalamus to directly control the spinal cord motor neurons. To illustrate this, when the pathway between the dorsomedial part of the VMH, a region essential for predator defense, and the PAG was optogenetically activated, the animal showed instantaneous immobility [104]. Although pathway specific activation between the hypothalamus and PAG has not yet been reported in the context of

aggression, the VMHv1, including the $Esr1^{+/PR+}$ subpopulation, projects heavily to the dorsomedial and lateral columns of the PAG [68, 94]. These PAG columns also express high level of Fos after aggressive behaviors [45, 105]. Limited electrophysiological recordings revealed that cells related to fighting exist in the PAG [106]. Electric stimulation of the PAG could elicit attack though it was often accompanied by motor disturbance [107]. Electric lesion in the PAG decreased natural aggression and increased the current threshold required to induce attack from the hypothalamus [108].

Several lines of evidence suggest a role of the PAG in sexual behavior although it remains unclear whether the role is behavior-promoting or suppressing. Increased Fos expression was found in the dorsal and ventral PAG after mating [75] (but see [45]). Medial preoptic cells that are active during mating project to the lateral PAG [109]. However, lesioning a large part of the PAG accelerated instead of suppressing mounting behaviors [110], suggesting that PAG may play an inhibitory role in sexual behavior. Consistent with this hypothesis, recent tracing studies show that projection from the MPA to PAG may be originated largely from GABAergic cells rather than glutamatergic cells (www.brain-map.org experiment ID: 305270515 and 292123352). Thus, during natural sexual behaviors, MPA inputs to the PAG may facilitate the sexual behaviors by inhibiting cells in the PAG.

In contrast to PAG mediated motor actions, many other types of actions are mediated through striatal circuits. Do the striatal motor circuits play any role in mating and fighting? In a widely cited paper, Mogenson et al. proposed that the ventral tegmental area (VTA) may link hypothalamic output to the striatum [111]. In this model, the hypothalamus specifies the goal of the future actions and then relays this information through the VTA to the striatum which then initiates the appropriate motor actions to achieve the goal. Anatomical tracing supports the existence of a projection from the medial preoptic area to VTA dopaminergic cells which in turn projects heavily to the NAc, a major component of the ventral striatum [112, 113]. The dopaminergic projection from the VTA to NAc appears to be functionally relevant for social behaviors since changing the activity level of the VTA-NAc projection can bi-directionally modulate the time spent in social interaction [114]. Microdialysis studies further demonstrated that dramatic increases of dopamine level in the NAc emerge during mating or fighting [48, 115]. This increase can be sensed by the downstream dopamine receptor expressing cells and reflected in the activity level of protein kinase A in those cells [116]. Despite a clear involvement of the NAc during social behavior, a close look at the dynamics of the dopamine activity suggests that the role of the NAc is less likely to be motor related but more likely to be motivation and/or reward associated. For examples, the dopamine increases not only during fighting but also when the animal anticipates a fight and is sustained after a fight [48]. Antagonizing dopamine receptors in the NAc nearly abolished an appetitive operant response for the opportunity to attack but only partially reduced attack during a resident-intruder assay [117]. Whereas dopamine levels in the NAc increased during repeated copulation with sexually-receptive females, no concurrent dopamine increase was detected during interaction with non-receptive females even when the test animal attempted to mount the female for a few times [115]. Thus, the non-striatal emotional motor circuit may be indeed sufficient for the initiation and full execution of fighting and mating while the VTA to NAc pathway may modulate the likelihood and intensity of the behavior at the moment and in the future.

Concluding marks

Through nearly a century of research, key brain regions have been identified as critical nodes for the appetitive and consummatory phases of sexual and aggressive behavior. However, the circuit diagram underlying these behaviors remains incomplete. First, while some headway has been made in a few areas in identifying relevant molecularly defined populations, we still have far to go. Each known relevant regions likely contains heterogeneous subpopulations that may play distinct yet unknown roles in social behaviors. Second, the functional roles and physiological responses of many brain regions that are directly connected to the known aggression/mating loci have not been investigated. Third, basic principles regarding how information is relayed or transformed between brain regions is unclear. Lastly, how circuits are modified with social experience is largely unknown. Future studies can fill these knowledge gaps by taking advantage of the various cell-type and pathway specific manipulation, recoding and tracing tools that are becoming increasingly available in mice and other species. Given that fighting and mating are innate behaviors universal among vertebrate animal species, the basic brain mechanisms underlying those behaviors are likely evolutionarily conserved and principles learned from study in experimental organisms will likely be applicable to humans.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Sloan Foundation (D. L.), McKnight Foundation (D. L.), Mather's Foundation (D.L.), National Institute of Health Grant (1R01MH101377) (D. L.), JSPS postdoctoral fellowship (K.H) and Uehara postdoctoral fellowship (K.H).

Reference annotations

*: of interest

**: of special interest

1. Clayton JA, Collins FS. Policy: NIH to balance sex in cell and animal studies. *Nature*. 2014; 509(7500):282–3. [PubMed: 24834516]
2. Tinbergen, N. *The study of instinct*. Oxford Eng: Clarendon Press; 1951. p. xii, 228
3. Ryan BC, et al. Olfactory cues are sufficient to elicit social approach behaviors but not social transmission of food preference in C57BL/6J mice. *Behav Brain Res*. 2008; 193(2):235–42. [PubMed: 18586054]
4. Stowers L, Logan DW. Olfactory mechanisms of stereotyped behavior: on the scent of specialized circuits. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2010; 20(3):274–80. [PubMed: 20338743]
5. Chamero P, et al. Identification of protein pheromones that promote aggressive behaviour. *Nature*. 2007; 450(7171):899–902. [PubMed: 18064011]
6. Nodari F, et al. Sulfated steroids as natural ligands of mouse pheromone-sensing neurons. *J Neurosci*. 2008; 28(25):6407–18. [PubMed: 18562612]
7. Luo M, Katz LC. Encoding pheromonal signals in the mammalian vomeronasal system. *Curr Opin Neurobiol*. 2004; 14(4):428–34. [PubMed: 15321063]
8. Luo M, Fee MS, Katz LC. Encoding pheromonal signals in the accessory olfactory bulb of behaving mice. *Science*. 2003; 299(5610):1196–201. [PubMed: 12595684]
9. Buck L, Axel R. A novel multigene family may encode odorant receptors: a molecular basis for odor recognition. *Cell*. 1991; 65(1):175–87. [PubMed: 1840504]
10. Mombaerts P, et al. Visualizing an olfactory sensory map. *Cell*. 1996; 87(4):675–86. [PubMed: 8929536]

11. Vassar R, et al. Topographic organization of sensory projections to the olfactory bulb. *Cell*. 1994; 79(6):981–91. [PubMed: 8001145]
12. Schaefer ML, et al. Olfactory fingerprints for major histocompatibility complex-determined body odors II: relationship among odor maps, genetics, odor composition, and behavior. *J Neurosci*. 2002; 22(21):9513–21. [PubMed: 12417675]
13. Schaefer ML, Young DA, Restrepo D. Olfactory fingerprints for major histocompatibility complex-determined body odors. *J Neurosci*. 2001; 21(7):2481–7. [PubMed: 11264322]
- 14*. Lin DY, et al. Encoding social signals in the mouse main olfactory bulb. *Nature*. 2005; 434(7032): 470–7. By combining electrophysiological recording and gas chromatography-olfactometer, the study identified a sulfur containing compound named MTMT that is over represented in the mouse main olfactory bulb. When MTMT was spiked into the castrated male urine, females spent significantly more time investigating the MTMT spiked urine in comparison to vehicle spiked urine. [PubMed: 15724148]
15. Skeen LC, Hall WC. Efferent projections of the main and the accessory olfactory bulb in the tree shrew (*Tupaia glis*). *J Comp Neurol*. 1977; 172(1):1–35. [PubMed: 65361]
16. Scalia F, Winans SS. The differential projections of the olfactory bulb and accessory olfactory bulb in mammals. *J Comp Neurol*. 1975; 161(1):31–55. [PubMed: 1133226]
17. Sosulski DL, et al. Distinct representations of olfactory information in different cortical centres. *Nature*. 2011; 472(7342):213–6. [PubMed: 21451525]
18. Miyamichi K, et al. Cortical representations of olfactory input by trans-synaptic tracing. *Nature*. 2011; 472(7342):191–6. [PubMed: 21179085]
19. Day HE, Masini CV, Campeau S. The pattern of brain c-fos mRNA induced by a component of fox odor, 2,5-dihydro-2,4,5-trimethylthiazoline (TMT), in rats, suggests both systemic and processive stress characteristics. *Brain Res*. 2004; 1025(1–2):139–51. [PubMed: 15464754]
20. Duvall, D.; Müller-Schwarze, D.; Silverstein, RM. Chemical signals in vertebrates 4: ecology, evolution, and comparative biology. New York: Plenum Press; 1986. p. xi, 742
- 21*. Root CM, et al. The participation of cortical amygdala in innate, odour-driven behaviour. *Nature*. 2014; 515(7526):269–73. An elegant series of experiments used pathway specific optogenetic manipulation to demonstrate that the main olfactory bulb to cortical amygdala projection is required for the innate attraction towards 2-phenylethanol and innate avoidance from TMT. Re-activating the cortical amygdala population recruited by the 2-phenylethanol is sufficient to elicit behavioral attraction whereas reactivating the TMT related population has the opposite effect. [PubMed: 25383519]
22. Cai H, et al. Central amygdala PKC-delta(+) neurons mediate the influence of multiple anorexigenic signals. *Nat Neurosci*. 2014; 17(9):1240–8. [PubMed: 25064852]
23. Oyegbile TO, Marler CA. Winning fights elevates testosterone levels in California mice and enhances future ability to win fights. *Horm Behav*. 2005; 48(3):259–67. [PubMed: 15979073]
- 24*. Kim Y, et al. Mapping social behavior-induced brain activation at cellular resolution in the mouse. *Cell Rep*. 2015; 10(2):292–305. The study used cFos-GFP mice and automated whole brain 2-photon imaging to compare the Fos activation pattern after male-female and male-male social interactions. It provides a comprehensive view of the brain responses towards the social stimuli at single-cell resolution. [PubMed: 25558063]
- 25*. Lin D, et al. Functional identification of an aggression locus in the mouse hypothalamus. *Nature*. 2011; 470(7333):221–6. Using Fos Catfish method that can compare the Fos activation patterns after two behaviors in the same animal, the study revealed that mating and fighting activated many intermingled but relatively distinct populations of cells in the amygdala and hypothalamus. Cortical amygdala is one of the few regions where the similar sets of cells were co-activated after fighting and mating. In addition, the study used optogenetic activation and pharmacogenetic inhibition to demonstrate an essential role of the VMHvl in mediating male mouse aggression. Electrophysiological recording revealed that VMHvl cells are highly activated during inter-male aggression. A smaller percentage of VMHvl cells also respond during male-female investigation but not during advanced sexual behaviors. [PubMed: 21307935]
26. Canteras NS, Simerly RB, Swanson LW. Connections of the Posterior Nucleus of the Amygdala. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1992; 324(2):143–179. [PubMed: 1430327]

27. Berton O, et al. Essential role of BDNF in the mesolimbic dopamine pathway in social defeat stress. *Science*. 2006; 311(5762):864–8. [PubMed: 16469931]
28. Tidey JW, Miczek KA. Social defeat stress selectively alters mesocorticolimbic dopamine release: an in vivo microdialysis study. *Brain Res*. 1996; 721(1–2):140–9. [PubMed: 8793094]
29. Insel TR, Wang ZX, Ferris CF. Patterns of brain vasopressin receptor distribution associated with social organization in microtine rodents. *J Neurosci*. 1994; 14(9):5381–92. [PubMed: 8083743]
30. Lim MM, et al. Enhanced partner preference in a promiscuous species by manipulating the expression of a single gene. *Nature*. 2004; 429(6993):754–7. [PubMed: 15201909]
31. Winslow JT, Camacho F. Cholinergic Modulation of a Decrement in Social-Investigation Following Repeated Contracts between Mice. *Psychopharmacology*. 1995; 121(2):164–172. [PubMed: 8545521]
32. Thor DH, Holloway WR. Persistence of Social Investigatory Behavior in the Male-Rat-Evidence for Long-Term-Memory of Initial Copulatory Experience. *Animal Learning & Behavior*. 1981; 9(4):561–565.
33. Dulac C, Torello AT. Molecular detection of pheromone signals in mammals: from genes to behaviour. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2003; 4(7):551–62. [PubMed: 12838330]
34. Kimoto H, et al. Sex-specific peptides from exocrine glands stimulate mouse vomeronasal sensory neurons. *Nature*. 2005; 437(7060):898–901. [PubMed: 16208374]
35. Keverne EB. The vomeronasal organ. *Science*. 1999; 286(5440):716–20. [PubMed: 10531049]
36. He J, et al. Distinct signals conveyed by pheromone concentrations to the mouse vomeronasal organ. *J Neurosci*. 2010; 30(22):7473–83. [PubMed: 20519522]
- 37*. Dudley CA, Moss RL. Activation of an anatomically distinct subpopulation of accessory olfactory bulb neurons by chemosensory stimulation. *Neuroscience*. 1999; 91(4):1549–1556. This study examined the Fos expression pattern in the AOB after male mice being exposed to either female or male soiled bedding and found distinctive activation patterns in the area. [PubMed: 10391458]
- 38*. Mohedano-Moriano A, et al. Segregated pathways to the vomeronasal amygdala: differential projections from the anterior and posterior divisions of the accessory olfactory bulb. *Eur J Neurosci*. 2007; 25(7):2065–80. Using anterograde tracing from either the anterior or the posterior part of the AOB and retrograde tracing from the BNST and MEA, this study demonstrated that anterior and posterior AOB has differential projection patterns. Most noticeably, only the anterior AOB not the posterior AOB projects to the BNSTpm. [PubMed: 17419754]
39. Kim Y, et al. Mapping Social Behavior-Induced Brain Activation at Cellular Resolution in the Mouse. *Cell Reports*. 2015; 10(2):292–305. [PubMed: 25558063]
40. Powers JB, Newman SW, Bergondy ML. MPOA and BNST lesions in male Syrian hamsters: differential effects on copulatory and chemoinvestigatory behaviors. *Behav Brain Res*. 1987; 23(3):181–95. [PubMed: 3555537]
41. Canteras NS, Simerly RB, Swanson LW. Organization of projections from the medial nucleus of the amygdala: a PHAL study in the rat. *J Comp Neurol*. 1995; 360(2):213–45. [PubMed: 8522644]
42. Choi GB, et al. Lhx6 delineates a pathway mediating innate reproductive behaviors from the amygdala to the hypothalamus. *Neuron*. 2005; 46(4):647–60. [PubMed: 15944132]
43. Quaglini E, et al. Immunocytochemical localization of glutamate and gamma-aminobutyric acid in the accessory olfactory bulb of the rat. *J Comp Neurol*. 1999; 408(1):61–72. [PubMed: 10331580]
44. Newman SW. The medial extended amygdala in male reproductive behavior – A node in the mammalian social behavior network. *Advancing from the Ventral Striatum to the Extended Amygdala*. 1999; 877:242–257.
45. Kollack-Walker S, Newman SW. Mating and agonistic behavior produce different patterns of Fos immunolabeling in the male Syrian hamster brain. *Neuroscience*. 1995; 66(3):721–36. [PubMed: 7644033]
46. Veening JG, et al. Do similar neural systems subserve aggressive and sexual behaviour in male rats? Insights from c-Fos and pharmacological studies. *Eur J Pharmacol*. 2005; 526(1–3):226–39. [PubMed: 16263109]
- 47*. Bergan JF, Ben-Shaul Y, Dulac C. Sex-specific processing of social cues in the medial amygdala. *Elife*. 2014; 3:e02743. This study recorded the electrophysiological responses of the medial amygdala neurons to male and female conspecific and predator urines in anaesthetized mice and

revealed striking sexual dimorphic responses: male MEA cells are significantly more responsive to female cues than to male cues whereas female MEA cells are more responsive to male cues than to female cues. [PubMed: 24894465]

48. Minerbo G, et al. Activity of peptidergic neurons in the amygdala during sexual behavior in the male rat. *Exp Brain Res*. 1994; 97(3):444–50. [PubMed: 8187855]
49. Busch DE, Barfield RJ. A failure of amygdaloid lesions to alter agonistic behavior in the laboratory rat. *Physiol Behav*. 1974; 12(5):887–92. [PubMed: 4600066]
50. Newman SW. The medial extended amygdala in male reproductive behavior. A node in the mammalian social behavior network. *Ann N Y Acad Sci*. 1999; 877:242–57. [PubMed: 10415653]
51. Kondo Y. Lesions of the medial amygdala produce severe impairment of copulatory behavior in sexually inexperienced male rats. *Physiol Behav*. 1992; 51(5):939–43. [PubMed: 1615054]
52. Lehman MN, Winans SS, Powers JB. Medial Nucleus of the Amygdala Mediates Chemosensory Control of Male Hamster Sexual-Behavior. *Science*. 1980; 210(4469):557–560. [PubMed: 7423209]
53. Kondo Y, Arai Y. Functional Association between the Medial Amygdala and the Medial Preoptic Area in Regulation of Mating-Behavior in the Male-Rat. *Physiology & Behavior*. 1995; 57(1):69–73. [PubMed: 7878127]
54. Kemble ED, et al. Taming in wild rats following medial amygdaloid lesions. *Physiol Behav*. 1984; 32(1):131–4. [PubMed: 6538976]
55. Wang Y, et al. Medial amygdala lesions modify aggressive behavior and immediate early gene expression in oxytocin and vasopressin neurons during intermale exposure. *Behav Brain Res*. 2013; 245:42–9. [PubMed: 23403283]
56. Rosvold HE, Mirsky AF, Pribram KH. Influence of amygdectomy on social behavior in monkeys. *J Comp Physiol Psychol*. 1954; 47(3):173–8. [PubMed: 13163250]
- 57*. Hong W, Kim DW, Anderson DJ. Antagonistic control of social versus repetitive self-grooming behaviors by separable amygdala neuronal subsets. *Cell*. 2014; 158(6):1348–61. This study optogenetically manipulated the MEA GABAergic cells and glutamergic cells in the MEA and found that low intensity activation of the MEA GABAergic cells induced mounting behavior whereas high intensity activation induced fighting behavior. Inactivation of the GABAergic cells stopped ongoing attack. In contrast, activation of the glutamatergic cells in the MEA induced self-grooming. [PubMed: 25215491]
- 58*. Unger EK, et al. Medial Amygdalar Aromatase Neurons Regulate Aggression in Both Sexes. *Cell Reports*. 2015; 10(4):453–462. The study found that the male aggression and maternal aggression were disrupted after killing the aromatase expressing cells in the MEApd. In contrast, ultrasonic vocalization towards females, and female and male sexual behaviors were not affected by the manipulation. [PubMed: 25620703]
59. Durkin MJ, et al. Seasonal Variation of Common Surgical Site Infections: Does Season Matter? *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol*. 2015; 36(9):1011–6. [PubMed: 26008876]
60. Porucznik CA, et al. A Preliminary Study of Biomonitoring for Bisphenol-A in Human Sweat. *J Anal Toxicol*. 2015; 39(7):562–6. [PubMed: 26013102]
61. Mandiyan VS, Coats JK, Shah NM. Deficits in sexual and aggressive behaviors in *Cnga2* mutant mice. *Nat Neurosci*. 2005; 8(12):1660–2. [PubMed: 16261133]
62. Wang Z, et al. Pheromone detection in male mice depends on signaling through the type 3 adenylyl cyclase in the main olfactory epithelium. *J Neurosci*. 2006; 26(28):7375–9. [PubMed: 16837584]
63. Dhungel S, et al. Both Olfactory Epithelial and Vomeronasal Inputs Are Essential for Activation of the Medial Amygdala and Preoptic Neurons of Male Rats. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 199:225–234. [PubMed: 21983295]
- 64*. Matsuo T, et al. Genetic dissection of pheromone processing reveals main olfactory system-mediated social behaviors in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; 112(3):E311–20. This study showed that genetically ablate the dorsal MOB did not significantly affect the social investigation. However, a variety of social behaviors were affected. Most strikingly, the inter-male aggression was almost eliminated. On the othe hand, the sexual behavior towards females was only slightly decreased. These results support an essential role of the MOB in male aggression. [PubMed: 25564662]

65. Kruk MR, et al. Discriminant-Analysis of the Localization of Aggression-Inducing Electrode Placements in the Hypothalamus of Male-Rats. *Brain Research*. 1983; 260(1):61–79. [PubMed: 6681724]
66. Lammers JH, et al. Hypothalamic substrates for brain stimulation-induced attack, teeth-chattering and social grooming in the rat. *Brain Research*. 1988; 449(1–2):311–27. [PubMed: 3395851]
67. Dielenberg RA, Hunt GE, McGregor IS. “When a rat smells a cat”: the distribution of Fos immunoreactivity in rat brain following exposure to a predatory odor. *Neuroscience*. 2001; 104(4): 1085–97. [PubMed: 11457592]
68. Yang CF, et al. Sexually dimorphic neurons in the ventromedial hypothalamus govern mating in both sexes and aggression in males. *Cell*. 2013; 153(4):896–909. [PubMed: 23663785]
69. Wong LC, et al. Effective modulation of male aggression through lateral septum to medial hypothalamus projection. *Current Biology*. 2016 In press.
- 70*. Falkner AL, et al. Decoding ventromedial hypothalamic neural activity during male mouse aggression. *J Neurosci*. 2014; 34(17):5971–84. Detailed analysis of the electrophysiological responses of the VMHvl cells during inter-male interaction revealed that the VMHvl carries information regarding the imminence of attack and future attack duration after accounting for the variation in sensory and motor parameters. [PubMed: 24760856]
71. Hull EM, Dominguez JM. Sexual behavior in male rodents. *Horm Behav*. 2007; 52(1):45–55. [PubMed: 17499249]
72. Simerly RB, Swanson LW. The Organization of Neural Inputs to the Medial Preoptic Nucleus of the Rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1986; 246(3):312–342. [PubMed: 3517086]
73. Romero-Carbente JC, Hurtazo EA, Paredes RG. Central tegmental field and sexual behavior in the male rat: Effects of neurotoxic lesions. *Neuroscience*. 2007; 148(4):867–875. [PubMed: 17706882]
74. Kollack-Walker S, Newman SW. Mating-induced expression of c-fos in the male Syrian hamster brain: role of experience, pheromones, and ejaculations. *J Neurobiol*. 1997; 32(5):481–501. [PubMed: 9110260]
75. Gréco B, et al. Androgen receptor immunoreactivity and mating-induced Fos expression in forebrain and midbrain structures in the male rat. *Neuroscience*. 1996; 75(1):161–71. [PubMed: 8923531]
76. Gréco B, et al. Fos induced by mating or noncontact sociosexual interaction is colocalized with androgen receptors in neurons within the forebrain, midbrain, and lumbosacral spinal cord of male rats. *Horm Behav*. 1998; 33(2):125–38. [PubMed: 9647938]
77. Holstege G, et al. Brain activation during human male ejaculation. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2003; 23(27):9185–9193. [PubMed: 14534252]
78. Simerly RB, Gorski RA, Swanson LW. Neurotransmitter specificity of cells and fibers in the medial preoptic nucleus: an immunohistochemical study in the rat. *J Comp Neurol*. 1986; 246(3): 343–63. [PubMed: 2422228]
79. Tsuneoka Y, et al. Functional, anatomical, and neurochemical differentiation of medial preoptic area subregions in relation to maternal behavior in the mouse. *J Comp Neurol*. 2013; 521(7):1633–63. [PubMed: 23124836]
80. Simerly RB, Swanson LW. Projections of the medial preoptic nucleus: a Phaseolus vulgaris leucoagglutinin anterograde tract-tracing study in the rat. *J Comp Neurol*. 1988; 270(2):209–42. [PubMed: 3259955]
81. Coolen LM, Peters HJ, Veening JG. Anatomical interrelationships of the medial preoptic area and other brain regions activated following male sexual behavior: a combined fos and tract-tracing study. *J Comp Neurol*. 1998; 397(3):421–35. [PubMed: 9674566]
82. Shen WH, et al. Nuclear receptor steroidogenic factor 1 regulates the mullerian inhibiting substance gene: a link to the sex determination cascade. *Cell*. 1994; 77(5):651–61. [PubMed: 8205615]
83. Patil SN, Brid SV. Relative role of neural substrates in the aggressive behavior of rats. *J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol*. 2010; 21(4):357–67. [PubMed: 21305851]
84. Wu Z, et al. Galanin neurons in the medial preoptic area govern parental behaviour. *Nature*. 2014; 509(7500):325–30. [PubMed: 24828191]

85. Ball GF, Balthazart J. Hormonal regulation of brain circuits mediating male sexual behavior in birds. *Physiol Behav.* 2004; 83(2):329–46. [PubMed: 15488549]
86. Goodson JL. The vertebrate social behavior network: evolutionary themes and variations. *Horm Behav.* 2005; 48(1):11–22. [PubMed: 15885690]
87. Lipp HP, Hunsperger RW. Threat, attack and flight elicited by electrical stimulation of the ventromedial hypothalamus of the marmoset monkey *Callithrix jacchus*. *Brain Behav Evol.* 1978; 15(4):260–93. [PubMed: 100172]
88. Perachio AA, Marr LD, Alexander M. Sexual behavior in male rhesus monkeys elicited by electrical stimulation of preoptic and hypothalamic areas. *Brain Res.* 1979; 177(1):127–44. [PubMed: 115544]
89. Holstege G. Descending motor pathways and the spinal motor system: limbic and non-limbic components. *Prog Brain Res.* 1991; 87:307–421. [PubMed: 1678191]
90. Holstege G. The Emotional Motor System. *European Journal of Morphology.* 1992; 30(1):67–79. [PubMed: 1642954]
91. Pellis SM V, Pellis C, Whishaw IQ. The Role of the Cortex in Play Fighting by Rats- Developmental and Evolutionary Implications. *Brain Behavior and Evolution.* 1992; 39(5):270–284.
92. Whishaw IQ, Kolb B. Can Male Decorticate Rats Copulate. *Behavioral Neuroscience.* 1983; 97(2): 270–279. [PubMed: 6849689]
93. Whishaw IQ, Kolb B. The mating movements of male decorticate rats: evidence for subcortically generated movements by the male but regulation of approaches by the female. *Behav Brain Res.* 1985; 17(3):171–91. [PubMed: 4084390]
94. Canteras NS, Simerly RB, Swanson LW. Organization of projections from the ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus: a Phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin study in the rat. *J Comp Neurol.* 1994; 348(1):41–79. [PubMed: 7814684]
95. Hahn JD, Swanson LW. Connections of the juxtaventromedial region of the lateral hypothalamic area in the male rat. *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience.* 2015; 9
96. Canteras NS, Swanson LW. The dorsal preammillary nucleus: an unusual component of the mammillary body. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1992; 89(21):10089–93. [PubMed: 1279669]
97. Abols IA, Basbaum AI. Afferent Connections of the Rostral Medulla of the Cat – a Neural Substrate for Midbrain-Medullary Interactions in the Modulation of Pain. *Journal of Comparative Neurology.* 1981; 201(2):285–297. [PubMed: 7287930]
98. Holstege G. Direct and indirect pathways to lamina I in the medulla oblongata and spinal cord of the cat. *Prog Brain Res.* 1988; 77:47–94. [PubMed: 3064180]
99. Mouton LJ, Holstege G. The periaqueductal gray in the cat projects to lamina VIII and the medial part of lamina VII throughout the length of the spinal cord. *Exp Brain Res.* 1994; 101(2):253–64. [PubMed: 7531157]
100. Cameron AA, et al. The efferent projections of the periaqueductal gray in the rat: a Phaseolus vulgaris-leucoagglutinin study. II. Descending projections. *J Comp Neurol.* 1995; 351(4):585–601. [PubMed: 7721985]
101. Kuypers HG V, Maisky A. Retrograde axonal transport of horseradish peroxidase from spinal cord to brain stem cell groups in the cat. *Neurosci Lett.* 1975; 1(1):9–14. [PubMed: 19604744]
102. Tohyama M, et al. Spinal Projections from the Lower Brain-Stem in the Cat as Demonstrated by the Horseradish-Peroxidase Technique. 2. Projections from the Dorsolateral Pontine Tegmentum and Raphe Nuclei. *Brain Research.* 1979; 176(2):215–231. [PubMed: 497809]
103. Holstege G, Kuypers HGJM. The Anatomy of Brain-Stem Pathways to the Spinal-Cord in Cat – a Labeled Amino-Acid Tracing Study. *Progress in Brain Research.* 1982; 57:145–175. [PubMed: 7156396]
- 104*. Wang L, Chen IZ, Lin D. Collateral pathways from the ventromedial hypothalamus mediate defensive behaviors. *Neuron.* 2015; 85(6):1344–58. This study examines the pathways from the ventromedial hypothalamus, dorsomedial and central part (VMHdm/c) to its downstream areas in mediating the predator defensive behaviors. Optogenetic activation of the VMHdm/c to the anterior hypothalamic nucleus (AHN) elicits flight, escape jump and is aversive to the animal. In contrast, activating the VMHdm/c to the dorsal PAG (dPAG) projection elicits instantaneous

immobility. Interestingly, VMHdm/c cells send collateral projections to both AHN and dPAG and thus orchestrate the defensive behaviors with a one-to-many organization pattern. [PubMed: 25754823]

105. Haller J, et al. Patterns of violent aggression-induced brain c-fos expression in male mice selected for aggressiveness. *Physiol Behav.* 2006; 88(1–2):173–82. [PubMed: 16687160]
106. Adams DB. Cells related to fighting behavior recorded from midbrain central gray neuropil of cat. *Science.* 1968; 159(3817):894–6. [PubMed: 5688821]
107. Mos J, et al. Aggressive behavior induced by electrical stimulation in the midbrain central gray of male rats. *Aggressive Behavior* Volume 8, Issue 3. *Aggressive Behavior.* 1982; 8:261–284.
108. Mos J, et al. Effects of midbrain central gray lesions on spontaneous and electrically induced aggression in the rat. *Aggressive Behavior* Volume 9, Issue 2. *Aggressive Behavior.* 1983; 9:133–155.
109. Struthers WM. Sex-induced fos in the medial preoptic area: projections to the midbrain. *Neuroreport.* 2001; 12(14):3065–8. [PubMed: 11568637]
110. Brackett NL, Iuvone PM, Edwards DA. Midbrain lesions, dopamine and male sexual behavior. *Behav Brain Res.* 1986; 20(2):231–40. [PubMed: 3524604]
111. Mogenson GJ, Jones DL, Yim CY. From motivation to action: functional interface between the limbic system and the motor system. *Prog Neurobiol.* 1980; 14(2–3):69–97. [PubMed: 6999537]
112. Watabe-Uchida M, et al. Whole-brain mapping of direct inputs to midbrain dopamine neurons. *Neuron.* 2012; 74(5):858–73. [PubMed: 22681690]
113. Beier KT, et al. Circuit Architecture of VTA Dopamine Neurons Revealed by Systematic Input-Output Mapping. *Cell.* 2015; 162(3):622–34. [PubMed: 26232228]
- 114*. Gunaydin LA, et al. Natural neural projection dynamics underlying social behavior. *Cell.* 2014; 157(7):1535–51. This study developed and employed a new technique, namely fiber photometry, to record the population responses of dopaminergic neurons in the ventral tegmental area (VTA). Those cells were found to be highly active during initial phases of social interaction. Optogenetically changing the activity of dopaminergic cells and their projection to the NAc, but not their projection to prefrontal cortex, can bidirectionally modulate the time spent on social interaction, supporting a modulatory role of the VTA to NAc pathway in social interest. [PubMed: 24949967]
115. Wenkstern D, Pfaus JG, Fibiger HC. Dopamine Transmission Increases in the Nucleus-Accumbens of Male-Rats during Their 1st Exposure to Sexually Receptive Female Rats. *Brain Research.* 1993; 618(1):41–46. [PubMed: 8402177]
- 116*. Goto A, et al. Circuit-dependent striatal PKA and ERK signaling underlies rapid behavioral shift in mating reaction of male mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2015; 112(21):6718–23. The study developed a novel method to monitor the activities of PKA and extracellular signal regulated kinase (ERK), two enzymes along the signaling cascade of the D1 and D2 receptors, in medial spiny neurons in the striatum. The PKA activity increased in D1 expressing neurons only when the males showed strong interest towards the females. When the D1 expressing cells were suppressed pharmacogenetically, the social interest towards female significantly decreased. [PubMed: 25964359]
117. Lindberg D, Chen P, Li C. Conditional viral tracing reveals that steroidogenic factor 1-positive neurons of the dorsomedial subdivision of the ventromedial hypothalamus project to autonomic centers of the hypothalamus and hindbrain. *J Comp Neurol.* 2013; 521(14):3167–90. [PubMed: 23696474]
- *. Stowers L, et al. Loss of sex discrimination and male-male aggression in mice deficient for TRP2. *Science.* 2002; 295(5559):1493–500. Trp2 is a putative ion channel required for the VNO neuron signaling transduction. Trp2^{-/-} mice mounted male and female indistinguishably, suggesting an essential role of the VNO in mediating aggressive behaviors. This result promoted an intriguing idea that mating is a default behavior in the absence of aggression provoking cues. [PubMed: 11823606]
- ** . Lee H, et al. Scalable control of mounting and attack by Esr1+ neurons in the ventromedial hypothalamus. *Nature.* 2014; 509(7502):627–32. Optogenetic activation of the Esr1 expressing cells in the VMHvl induced mounting towards both castrated male and intact male at low intensity but elicited attack at high intensity. Optogenetic inhibition of the VMHvl Esr1

expressing cells stopped ongoing attack but has little effect on ongoing sexual behaviors. These results support an important role of the *Esr1* expressing cells in male aggression and raise the question regarding the relationship between the mating and fighting related cells in the VMHvl. [PubMed: 24739975]

- *. Sano K, et al. Differential effects of site-specific knockdown of estrogen receptor alpha in the medial amygdala, medial pre-optic area, and ventromedial nucleus of the hypothalamus on sexual and aggressive behavior of male mice. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2013; 37(8):1308–19. This study examined changes in sexual and aggressive behaviors after knockdown the *Esr1* mRNA in the MPA, VMH and MEA. MPA manipulation disrupted male sexual behavior. VMH manipulation disrupted both behaviors whereas MEA manipulation changed neither behavior. These studies suggest that sex hormones are essential to maintain the functions of MPA and VMH cells in social behaviors but do not rule out a role of the MEA cells in those behaviors. [PubMed: 23347260]

Highlights

- Mating and aggression can be divided into appetitive and consummatory phases.
- In rodents, conspecific volatiles activate the main olfactory system to initiate approach towards a social target.
- Social investigation engages the accessory olfactory system and specifies subsequent consummatory actions.
- Hypothalamus is essential for the expression of both mating and fighting behaviors.
- Periaqueductal gray represents a key region to relay the hypothalamic signal to the spinal cord for motor execution of social behaviors.

Figure 1. CoApl neurons are essential for innate odor driven avoidance and attraction. (Root et al., 2014)

(a), Optogenetic inhibition of cells in the CoA (upper) or axonal terminals from the MOB to the CoA (lower) eliminated TMT induced avoidance and 2-PE induced attraction. (b), Reactivation of odor responsive neurons in CoA recapitulates the odor-induced behavioral responses. Using ArcCreERT2 mice, ChR2 is selectively expressed in neural ensembles activated by TMT or 2-PE in CoA (left). Light activation of the ChR2 expressing cells recapitulates the avoidance and attraction behaviors elicited by TMT and 2-PE, respectively (middle and right). COA: cortical amygdala; MOB: main olfactory bulb; TMT: 2,3,5-trimethyl-3-thiazoline; 2-PE: 2-Phenylethanol.

Figure 2. Neuronal Circuits implicated in different phases of aggression and sexual behaviors in rodents

Red, blue and purple lines indicate pathways mainly involved in fighting, mating or both. AOBa: anterior accessory olfactory bulb; AOBp: posterior AOB; BNSTpl/pm: posterolateral/posteromedial bed nucleus of the stria terminalis; CoApl/pm: posterolateral/posteromedial cortical amygdala; CTF: central tegmental field; MDRN: medullary reticular nucleus; MEA: medial amygdala; MOB: main olfactory bulb; MPA: medial preoptic area; NAc: nucleus of accumbens; NRM: nucleus of raphe magnus; NRP: nucleus of raphe pallidus; PAG: periaqueductal gray; PnC: caudal Pontine; SI: substantia innominate; VTA: ventral tegmental area.

Figure 3. The roles of the MEApd and VMHvl in male mouse aggression and mating
(a), Coronal atlas illustrating the MEApd and VMHvl. **(b)**, Molecularly defined subpopulations in the VMHvl (left) and MEApd (right). **(c)**, Summary of behavioral effects induced by functional manipulations in the MEApd and VMHvl on aggression and mounting. ↑: increase behavior; ↓: decrease behavior; →: no change in behavior. -: not reported. **(d)**, Summary of *in vivo* electrophysiological responses of cells in the VMHvl and MEApd during sampling of male and female conspecific odors or various phases of aggression and mating. ↑: activation, ↓: inhibition. Number of Arrows indicates the magnitude of the responses across the population.