Occupational Exposure to Blood and Body Fluids amongst Health Care Workers in a Teaching Hospital of the Armed Forces

Med Cdt BR Sangwan*, Col Atul Kotwal, sm⁺, Brig AK Verma[#]

Abstract

Background: Health care workers (HCWs) are at risk of infection with blood-borne viruses in the course of their work. Over 90% of these infections are occurring in low-income countries and most are preventable. However, the situation in the Armed Forces hospitals has always been thought to be much better than the public health facilities in India. The focus of the study was on assessing the exposure frequency amongst HCWs in a teaching hospital in the Armed Forces.

Methods: A cross-sectional survey amongst HCWs involved in collecting blood samples and administering injections in all wards of the hospital was conducted. Selection of study participants was by simple random sampling. A pre-tested questionnaire was administered by unlinked, anonymous method.

Result: Total sample studied was 70. The proportion of HCWs experiencing exposure to blood body fluid splash (BBF) and needlestick injury (NSI) during last one week was 47.1% and 31.43%, respectively. The incidence density of BBF exposure was 537.14 per 100 person years and that of NSI episodes was 228.57 per 100 person years. The reasons for not using personal protective equipment (PPE) ranged from busy schedule (37.14%), non use of PPE by co-workers (67.14%), emergencies (91.43%) risk that patients may get offended by PPE use by HCWs (27.14%) to discomfort while using PPE (24.29%). All components of PPE were available as per only 34.29 percent.

Conclusion: The high level of occupational exposure to blood and body fluids and consequent risk of infection amongst a group of HCWs in the Armed Forces highlights the urgent need for interventions to enhance the occupational safety of workers.

MJAFI 2011; 67 : 21-24

Key Words : Occupational exposure; Blood or body fluids (BBF); Needlestick injuries (NSI); Health care workers

Introduction

Health care workers (HCWs) are at risk of infection with blood-borne viruses (BBVs) in the course of their work. This transmission occurs predominantly by percutaneous or mucosal exposure of workers to the blood or body fluids of infected patients by splashes (BBF) or needlestick injuries (NSI). Prospective studies of HCWs have estimated that the average risk for transmission after a percutaneous exposure is approximately 0.3%, 6 to 30%, and 1.8% for HIV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C, respectively. Over 90% of these infections are occurring in low-income countries, and most are preventable [1].

In high-income countries, implementation of Standard/Universal Precautions (UPs), injury surveillance programmes, provision of personal protective equipment (PPE), routine hepatitis B vaccination, post-exposure prophylaxis (PEP) and engineered safety devices have yielded results. In USA, the proportion of HCWs

experiencing one or more NSI in one year preceding survey fell from 24 to 8.6% in a span of eight years [2,3].

In the developing countries, the HCWs are at greater risk due to sub-optimal infection control practices like lack of equipment, training, compliance with UPs [4]. A study amongst HCWs in rural health care facilities showed that proportion exposed to BBF and NSI during last year was 37.1% and 63.2% respectively [5].

However, the situation in the Armed Forces hospitals has always been thought to be much better than the public health facilities in India. The availability of PPE, hepatitis B immunization, formalized PEP guidelines, safety devices and availability of drugs is almost universal in the Armed Forces.

This study was conducted to assess the frequency of exposure to BBF amongst HCWs of a tertiary care hospital and to determine the specific jobs leading to increased risk of exposure to BBF amongst them with an aim to provide information for strengthening the

*Final MBBS Part II Student, *Professor, Department of Community Medicine, AFMC, Pune-40. #Commandant, MH Dehradun.

present system in the Armed Forces hospitals.

Material and Methods

A cross-sectional survey was conducted amongst HCWs involved in collecting blood samples and administering injections. HCWs (nurses and nursing assistants) involved in these activities, from all wards of the hospital were included. The HCWs working in labour room and operation theatre (OT) were not included in the study as they form a different exposure category and hence need a separate study. The doctors (interns, residents, specialists) were also not included due to same reason.

Expecting the frequency of exposure to BBF during last year to be around 20%, alpha as 5% and a chance error of $\pm 10\%$, the sample size worked out to be 61. However, a total sample of 70 HCWs was studied. Nominal roll of all HCWs of the hospital was obtained and participants were selected by simple random sampling using random number table.

A questionnaire, based on available studies and the WHO and CDC guidelines on UPs, was pilot tested and used for data collection. Institutional ethical clearance was obtained. The questionnaires were handed over after obtaining HCW's consent. A mutually convenient time was fixed for collection of the filled up questionnaire. The filled up questionnaire was checked in the participant's presence to check for any omissions. The omitted questions were then asked and informant's reply noted. A database was created in MS Excel and appropriate statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS ver 14.0.

Results

Table 1 depicts the study participants characteristics in relation to the job category. There were 48 (68.57%) males and 22 (31.43%) females (n=70). Though 68 (97.14%) HCWs had been vaccinated against hepatitis B, only 48 (70.59%) had completed the vaccination schedule by taking three doses.

Table 2 shows details of exposure to BBF and NSI amongst the study participants. The difference between the three months and one year exposure to the BBF was statistically significant ($p=0.000$, Fisher's exact). An appreciable number

Table 1
Study participants' characteristics in relation with job category (n=70)

	Job category		Total
	Nursing assistants	Nurses	
Age in years (Mean $\pm$ SD)	32.58 $\pm$ 7.09	32.45 $\pm$ 8.42	32.54 $\pm$ 7.47
Sex (n)			
Males	48	0	48
Females	0	22	22
Years of experience (median, range)	10.0, 1-25	11.0, 1-23	10.0, 1-25
Hep B Doses (n)*			
Less than 3	16	04	20
3 or more	30	18	48

*02 participants had not been vaccinated against hepatitis B

of HCWs (22, 31.43%) had an episode of NSI during past one week.

The association of exposure to BBF and NSI with job category of HCWs has been depicted in Table 3.

HCWs cited various reasons for not using the PPE and emergencies were the reason cited by most of them (91.43%) for not using the PPE. This was followed by non use of PPE by co-workers (67.14%), busy schedule (37.14%), risk of offending the patient (27.14%), discomfort (24.29%) and difficulty in carrying out the job (18.57%). As per majority, the disposal equipment and sharps containers were always available. However, only 24 (34.29%) said that all components of PPE were available to the HCWs.

Total episodes of BBF and NSI exposures occurring during the preceding three months and one year were calculated using the midpoint of the range for the response options. The total occupational blood exposures and risk to HCWs have been summarized in Table 4. The total risk was 5.4 and 2.3 episodes of BBF and NSIs respectively per HCW during one year.

Discussion

The level of occupational exposure to blood and other body fluids amongst HCWs in the tertiary care medical college hospital of the Armed Forces is lower than that found by studies in other parts of India [5] but is still

Table 2
Details of exposure to BBF and NSI amongst HCWs

	Exposure to BBFN (%)	Exposure to NSIN (%)
Frequency of exposure (n=70)		
Hardly or never	9 (12.86)	16 (22.86)
Sometimes	37 (52.86)	45 (64.29)
Often	24 (34.28)	9 (12.86)
Exposure during last week		
Yes	33 (47.14)	22 (31.43)
No	37 (52.86)	48 (68.57)
Part of body exposed during last week (BBF, n=33) (NSI, n=22)		
Face	3 (9.09)	-
Face + hand	1 (3.03)	-
Hands	29 (87.88)	1 (4.54)
Index Finger	-	13 (59.10)
Middle Finger	-	4 (18.18)
Thumb	-	4 (18.18)
Exposure during last three months (n=70)		
Never	14 (20.0)	31 (44.28)
Once	22 (31.43)	24 (34.29)
2-5 times	27 (38.57)	15 (21.43)
5-10 times	6 (8.57)	-
> 10 times	1 (1.43)	-
Exposure during last year (n=70)		
Never	9 (12.86)	20 (28.57)
Once	9 (12.86)	19 (27.14)
2-5 times	22 (31.43)	23 (32.86)
5-10 times	17 (24.28)	8 (11.43)
> 10 times	13 (18.57)	-

Table 3**BBF and NSI Exposure in relation to job category**

Job category	Had an episode of BBF exposure n (%)			Had an episode of NSI exposure n (%)		
	Last week	Last 3 months	Last year	Last week	Last 3 months	Last year
Nur Asst (n=48)	25 (52.08)	41 (85.42)	41 (85.42)	18 (37.5)	27 (56.25)	36 (75.0)
Nurses (n=22)	8 (36.36)	15 (68.18)	20 (90.91)	4 (18.18)	12 (54.54)	14 (63.64)
Total (n=70)	33 (47.14)	56 (80.0)	61 (87.14)	22 (31.43)	39 (57.1)	50 (71.43)

Table 4**Occupational BBF and NSI exposure incidents during the preceding three months and one year**

	BBF exposure		NSI exposure	
	Last three months	Last year	Last three months	Last year
Total episodes amongst HCWs	174	376	77	160
Risk of episodes per HCW	2.5	5.4	1.1	2.3
Incidence density per 100 person years	537.14	228.57		

unacceptably high. The very high level of exposure reported in the preceding week (47.14% BBF exposures and 31.43% percutaneous exposure by NSIs) is both an alarming and perplexing finding. This could be due to the phenomenon of forward telescoping, which is the tendency for people to perceive (and therefore report) that an event occurred more recently than it actually did. However, the fact remains that these events occurred and this finding, despite systems in place in the Armed Forces hospitals, warrants further investigation, ideally a study involving prospective injury surveillance.

The proportion of HCWs reporting NSIs in this study is far higher than in the US and Japan. In one US study, 24% of HCWs (compared to 71.43% in this study) reported a NSI in the preceding year [2]. In another US study, 9% nurses reported a NSI in the preceding year as compared to 63.63% in this study [3]. In Japan, 46% nurses suffered NSI during past one year [6].

However, the proportion of HCWs reporting NSIs in this study is comparable to that found in India and some other low-income countries. A study in rural health care facilities in India found that during last one year, 37% HCW had episodes of BBF exposure and 63.2% had NSI exposure [5]. In a medical college hospital in Iran, 71.1% HCWs had an episode of NSI during the past one year [7]. Fifty-five percent of HCWs in Uganda and 57% of injection providers in Mongolia reported a NSI in the last year [8,9]. More than three-quarters of Chinese nurses (75-82%) experienced a NSI in the preceding year [10].

On comparing the incidence density, our finding of 228.57 per 100 person years NSI is slightly higher than that found in Taiwan i.e. 196 per 100 person years [11] and 194.18 found in Iran [7]. With the current level of percutaneous blood exposure amongst these HCWs and

the population prevalence of BBV diseases in India, the risk of occupational infection with HIV appears to be very low, but the risks of HCV and HBV seem to be higher, particularly for those HCWs who are inadequately or not vaccinated against hepatitis B.

Perceived barriers to the implementation of UPs clearly influence HCWs ability and willingness to comply with them in practice. Of concern is the fact that 91% said that it is not possible for them to protect themselves from blood exposure in an emergency situation as compared to 75% in rural India [12]. The reasons for non-compliance overlap with those reported in studies amongst both developed [13] and developing country HCWs [12,14,15].

In our study, only 34% indicated that adequate PPE was always provided at their workplace as compared to 56% in rural India [12]. It is arguably difficult to establish hospital-wide compliance with UPs without the provision of adequate safety equipment.

Interventions to improve UPs compliance amongst HCWs in the Armed Forces are urgently needed, as these HCWs are experiencing relatively high levels of BBF and NSIs and better compliance is associated with fewer exposures.

The necessity of reinforcing and clarifying the concept of universal precautions and general infection control guidelines, in order to improve knowledge and information and on-going counselling, has been shown by many studies [16-18].

This study describes a high level of occupational exposure to blood and consequent risk of BBV infection amongst a group of HCWs in the Armed Forces and highlights the urgent need for interventions to enhance the occupational safety of workers. A range of responses in addition to the promotion of UPs and the provision of safety equipment are required. A multifaceted approach

involving initial and periodic training along with other correlates like provision of PPE is required. Active promotion of UPs and development of injury surveillance systems are also required in Armed Forces health care facilities. Strict implementation of infection control practices as per guidelines is the need of the hour in all Armed Forces health care facilities. The issue of compliance and effective implementation can only be tackled by an active role of the doctors, paramedicals as well as administrators at all levels.

Poorer recall of more distant events as well as the phenomenon of forward telescoping, discussed earlier, may have lead to some under reporting of the incidents. The relationship between recall ability and time follows an exponential function, so the more distant the reference time period, greater the proportion of under-reported events.

Acknowledgement

ICMR STS 2009 Project 21/MA/AFM-13/09-BMS

Conflicts of Interest

None identified

Intellectual Contribution of Authors

Study Concept : Med Cdt BR Sangwan, Col Atul Kotwal, SM

Drafting & Manuscript Revision : Col Atul Kotwal, SM,

Brig AK Verma, Med Cdt BR Sangwan

Statistical Analysis : Col Atul Kotwal, SM

Study Supervision : Brig AK Verma, Col Atul Kotwal, SM

References

1. World Health Report 2002: Reducing risks, promoting healthy life. Available from: <http://www.who.int/whr/en>. Accessed Jan 2009.
2. Hersey JC, Martin LJ. Use of infection control guidelines by workers in health care facilities to prevent occupational transmission of HBV and HIV: results from a national survey. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1994; 15: 243-52.
3. Clarke SP, Rockett JL, Sloane DM, Aiken LH. Organizational climate, staffing and safety equipment as predictors of needlestick injuries and near-misses in hospital nurses. *Am J Infect Control* 2002; 30: 207-16.
4. Sagoe-Moses C, Pearson RD, Perry J et al. Risks to health care workers in developing countries. *N Engl J Med* 2001; 345: 538-41.
5. Kermode M, Jolley D, Langkham M, Thomas MS, Crofts N. Occupational exposure to blood and risk of bloodborne virus infection among health care workers in rural north Indian health care settings. *Am J Infect Control* 2005; 33: 34-41.
6. Smith DR, Mihashi M, Adachi Y, Nakashima Y, Ishitake T. Epidemiology of needlestick and sharps injuries among nurses in a Japanese teaching hospital. *J Hosp Infect* 2006; 8: 200-03.
7. Mehrdad A, Malekmakan L. The prevalence of needlestick injuries in Medical, Dental, Nursing and Midwifery Students at the University Teaching Hospitals of Shiraz, Iran. *Indian J Med Sci* 2006; 60: 227-32.
8. Logez S, Soyolgerel G, Fields R, Luby S, Hutin Y. Rapid assessment of injection practices in Mongolia (2001). In: WHO. Pilot-testing the WHO tools to assess and evaluate injection practices: A summary of 10 assessments coordinated by WHO in seven countries (2000-2001). Geneva: WHO/BCT/03.10; 2003.
9. Newsom DH, Kiwanuka JP. Needle-stick injuries in a Ugandan teaching hospital. *Ann Trop Med and Parasitol* 2002; 96: 517-22.
10. Phipps W, Honghong W, Min Y, Burgess J, Pellico L, Watkins CW et al. Risk of medical sharps injuries among Chinese nurses. *Am J Infect Control* 2002; 30: 277-82.
11. Hsieh WB, Chiu NC, Lee CM, Huang FY. Occupational blood and infectious body fluid exposures in a teaching hospital: a three-year review. *Microbiol Immunol Infect* 2006; 39: 321-7.
12. Kermode M, Jolley D, Langkham B, Thomas M S, Holmes W, Gifford SM. Compliance with Universal/ Standard Precautions among health care workers in rural north India. *Am J Infect Control* 2005; 33: 27-33.
13. Michalsen A, Delclos GL, Felknor SA, Davidson AL, Johnson PC, Vesley D et al. Compliance with universal precautions among physicians. *J Occup Environ Med* 1997; 39: 130-7.
14. Vaziri S, Najafi F, Miri F, Jalalvandi F, Almasi A. Practice of standard precautions among health care workers in a large teaching hospital. *Indian J Med Sci* 2008; 62: 292-4.
15. Wu S, Li L, Wu Z, Cao H, Lin C, Yan Z et al. Universal Precautions in the Era of HIV/AIDS: Perception of Health Service Providers in Yunnan, China. *AIDS and Behaviour* 2008; 12: 806-14.
16. Chan R, Molassiotis A, Chan E, Chan V, Ho B, Lai P et al. Nurses' knowledge of and compliance with universal precautions in an acute care hospital. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 2002; 39: 157-63.
17. Rabaud C, Zanea A, Mur JM, Blech MF, Dazy D, May T. Occupational exposure to blood: search for a relation between personality and behaviour. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2000; 21: 564-74.
18. Henderson DK. Raising the bar: the need for standardising the use of standard precautions as a primary intervention to prevent occupational exposures to bloodborne pathogens. *Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology* 2001; 22: 70-2.