Published in final edited form as:

J Strength Cond Res. 2016 August ; 30(8): 2219–2225. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001313.

Validity of Urine Specific Gravity when Compared to Plasma Osmolality as a Measure of Hydration Status in Male and Female NCAA Collegiate Athletes

Lesley M. Sommerfield, Steven R. McAnulty, Jeffrey M. McBride, Jennifer J. Zwetsloot, Melanie D. Austin, Jonathan D. Mehlhorn, Mason C. Calhoun, Juliane O. Young, Traci L. Haines, and Alan C. Utter

Department of Health and Exercise Science, Appalachian State University, Boone, NC

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the response of urine specific gravity (U_{sg}) and urine osmolality (U_{osm}) when compared to plasma osmolality (P_{osm}) from euhydration to 3% dehydration and then a 2-hr rehydration period in male and female collegiate athletes. Fifty-six National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) wrestlers (mean $\pm$ SEM); height 1.75 ± 0.01 m, age 19.3 ± 0.2 years, and body mass (BM) 78.1 ± 1.8 kg and twenty-six NCAA women's soccer athletes; height 1.64 ± 0.01 m, age 19.8 ± 0.3 years, and BM 62.2 ± 1.2 kg were evaluated. Hydration status was obtained by measuring changes in P_{osm} , U_{osm} , U_{sg} and BM. Male and female subjects dehydrated to achieve an average BM loss of $2.9 \pm 0.09\%$ and $1.9 \pm 0.03\%$, respectively. Using the medical diagnostic decision model, the sensitivity of U_{sg} was high in both the hydrated and dehydrated state for males (92%) and females (80%). However, the specificity of U_{sg} was low in both the hydrated and dehydrated states for males (10% and 6%, respectively) and females (29% and 40%, respectively). No significant correlations were found between U_{sg} and P_{osm} during either the hydrated or dehydrated state for males or females. Based on these results, the use of U_{sg} as a field measure of hydration status in male and female collegiate athletes should be used with caution. Considering that athletes deal with hydration status on a regular basis, the reported low specificity of U_{sg} suggests that athletes could be incorrectly classified leading to the unnecessary loss of competition.

Keywords

DEHYDRATION; URINE OSMOLALITY; DIVISION I ATHLETES; EUHYDRATION

INTRODUCTION

Dehydration, a common occurrence among many athletic populations, can have detrimental performance effects on athletes if not properly monitored. In athletic populations proper hydration and fluid replacement are essential to maintain performance levels, exercise

Corresponding author: Lesley Sommerfield, 1723 Burgin St., Charlotte, NC 28205, (C) 989-882-5419, lsommerfield14@gmail.com.

The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

sessions, and overall health (32). Hydration status is often overlooked as a necessary part of training and competition. Previous research has shown that as little as 2–3% body weight loss due to dehydration can compromise exercise performance, heat dissipation, and cardiovascular function (20).

Within these athletic populations, there are substantial differences in hydration status especially among males and females. Men typically have higher sweating rates than women primarily because men have larger BM and higher metabolic rates when exercising (26). The main outcome of a recent study by Eijssvogels et al. (9) demonstrated that ninety-eight subjects (21–82 yrs old, 56 men and 42 women) walked 30–50km at a self-selected pace, found that men lost significant more BM due to water loss as compared to women (–1.6% vs. –0.9%, respectively) and had a higher incidence of dehydration (34% vs. 12%, respectively). It was concluded in that study that men might be more susceptible to dehydration than women (9). Volpe et al. (32) compared the prepractice hydration status of NCAA Division I athletes and found that more men than women (47% vs. 28%, respectively) appear to be hypohydrated prior to practice. In that study 263 subjects (138 men and 125 women, aged 18–23 yrs old) had a urine sample collected prior to practice for the assessment of U_{sg} and also completed a fluid intake questionnaire.

In previous investigations, several methods have been used to measure hydration status. P_{osm} is often considered the most valid technique for assessing hydration status, because P_{osm} is tested in a laboratory under controlled conditions where body fluids are stable and equilibrated (3). However, in daily activities body fluids are hardly ever stable so in some practical settings urinary measurements (i.e. U_{osm} and U_{sg}) can be accurate representations of hydration status. In addition, U_{osm} and U_{sg} are not as invasive as P_{osm} (29), and U_{sg} has been found to be an inexpensive, simple, fast, and accurate indicator of hydration status prior to exercise (2, 3, 5, 18). A study by Armstrong et al. (6) employed nine highly trained male cyclists, during a 42 hr period, dehydrated to a state of 3.7% of BM, cycle to exhaustion, and then orally rehydrated for 21 hrs. Results of this study demonstrated that urinary measurements were valid and reliable indicators of hydration status and could be used in field settings, as U_{sg} and U_{osm} were significantly correlated ($r = .98$, $p < .001$). It has been shown that the loss of BM of 3–5% due to acute exercise causes the U_{osm} concentration to increase and the U_{sg} to be higher than normal (12, 21).

In the position statement by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM), the biomarkers for hydration status cutoffs (which are employed in the present study) are $P_{osm} < 290 \text{ mOsm/kg}$, $U_{sg} < 1.020$, and $U_{osm} < 700 \text{ mOsm/kg}$ (1). To date, there is conflicting evidence in the literature on U_{sg} and U_{osm} ability to detect hydration status, as compared to P_{osm} in both male and female athletes in a dehydrated state. A study conducted by Oppliger et al. (21) found that only 65% of the athletes (51 subjects total, 16 from a Division I wrestling program, 31 from a Division III wrestling program, and 4 physically active nonwrestlers) were correctly classified using U_{sg} and 63% using U_{osm} against the criterion measure of P_{osm} . Kovacs, Senden, and Brouns (17) enrolled eight well-trained male cyclists to bike until they reached a BM loss of 3% by dehydration and found that none of the urinary measurements had a strong correlation with postexercise hydration levels ($p > 0.05$). In contrast, Hamouti, Del Coso, and Mora-Rodriguez (14) had eighteen aerobically trained

male athletes cycle to 1, 2, and 3% BM loss and found that U_{sg} was just as predictive as P_{osm} to detect low levels of exercise-induced dehydration ($p < 0.05$). To our knowledge, there have been no previous studies where U_{sg} , U_{osm} , and P_{osm} have been tested during acute dehydration in female athletes. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to first evaluate the response of U_{sg} and U_{osm} to a change in hydration status from euhydration to 3% dehydration and a 2-hr rehydration period, then assess the accuracy of U_{sg} and U_{osm} against a gold-standard measure, P_{osm} , in male and female collegiate athletes. We hypothesized that the response of U_{sg} and U_{osm} to a change in hydration status would be similar to the findings of Utter et al. (31) where both would significantly increase from pre-dehydration to post-dehydration and then return to baseline at the 2-hr rehydration period. We also hypothesized that U_{sg} and U_{osm} would be accurate indicators of hydration status when compared to P_{osm} in both male and female collegiate athletes.

METHODS

Experimental Approach to the Problem

The specific aim of this study was to first evaluate the response of U_{sg} and U_{osm} to a change in hydration status from euhydration to 3% dehydration and a 2-hr rehydration period, then to assess the accuracy of U_{sg} and U_{osm} against the gold-standard measure, P_{osm} , in male and female collegiate athletes. Hydration status was calculated by measuring changes in P_{osm} , U_{sg} , U_{osm} , and BM all of which are considered standard laboratory indices (1). The research experiment followed a repeated measures design in which each subject served as their own control. Subjects reported to the Human Performance Lab once for orientation and later that same day for subsequent measurements of P_{osm} , U_{sg} , U_{osm} , and BM during the dehydration/rehydration trials.

Subjects

NCAA wrestlers from Appalachian State University (ASU) and Gardner Webb University ($n = 56$) and ASU women's soccer players ($n = 26$) who competed during the 2014–2015 season participated in this study. Subject characteristics for the males were as follows: (mean $\pm$ SEM); height 1.75 ± 0.01 m, age 19.3 ± 0.2 yrs, average duration of wrestling experience 9.6 ± 0.5 yrs, weight 78.1 ± 1.8 kg, and percent body fat (%BF) $13.9 \pm 0.6\%$. Subject characteristics for the females were as follows: (mean $\pm$ SEM); height 1.64 ± 0.01 m, age 19.8 ± 0.3 yrs, average duration of soccer experience 15.1 ± 0.3 yrs, weight 62.2 ± 1.2 kg, and %BF $20.3 \pm 0.7\%$. Significant differences were found between genders for the following variables: height (m), years of experience (yrs), weight (kg), percent fat (%), U_{sg} , and U_{osm} (m_{osm}/kg) (Table 1). All the subjects competed at the NCAA Division I level. Both wrestling teams were tested in the month of October (preseason) and the soccer team in the month of November (postseason). The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board for investigations involving human subjects at ASU. Subjects were informed of the risks and benefits of the investigation prior to signing an institutionally approved informed consent document to participate in the study.

Procedures

Subjects came to the ASU Human Performance Lab for orientation and the subsequent dehydration/rehydration trials. Subjects were instructed to report to the laboratory in a euhydrated state. Experimental sessions began at either 8:00 am or 2:00 pm for all subjects. Subjects were screened for proper hydration status by obtaining a baseline urine specimen for measurement of U_{sg} . Upon arrival in the laboratory BM, height, body composition (skinfold thickness), U_{sg} (Atago optical refractometer), U_{osm} , and P_{osm} were obtained. P_{osm} and U_{osm} were determined via freezing point depression with an osmometer (Model 3250, Advanced Instruments, Inc., Norwood, MA, USA) calibrated to the manufacturer specification. Plasma and urine samples were taken at four time points throughout the study (Pre-dehydration, Post-dehydration, 1-hr rehydration and 2-hr rehydration). All blood samples were collected by either registered nurses or phlebotomists. Immediately upon acquisition, blood samples were centrifuged down, separating the plasma from the red blood cells. Following aseptic technique, plasma was then aliquoted by 0.5 ml samples into labeled 1 ml sample tubes, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Urine samples were aliquoted in the same manner and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were stored at -80 degrees C and later analyzed. For analysis, once samples were thawed, utilizing aseptic technique, 0.25 ml of each sample was pipetted into a disposable 2 ml tube and placed in the osmometer for analysis in duplicate measures. Body composition was assessed from a 3-site skinfold (triceps, subscapular, and abdominal for males and triceps, suprailiac, and abdominal for females) test using a Lange caliper (Cambridge Scientific Industries, Inc., Cambridge, MD, USA). Body density (D_b) was determined from the 3 skinfold measures using the prediction equation by Lohman (19) for males and Jackson (16) for females. Percent body fat was determined from D_b using the Brozek equation (7) for males and (15) for females.

Subjects were instructed to decrease BM by 3% through controlled dehydration. Acute dehydration was induced by having the subjects participate in their standard exercise regime (2-hr practice session) under supervision of a certified coach. Subjects were asked to report back to the lab after they had attempted to reach their weight loss goal. Upon completion of dehydration a second measure of body weight, U_{sg} , P_{osm} , U_{osm} , and BM were obtained. During the 2-hr rehydration period subjects were instructed to consume a carbohydrate-electrolyte solution (6%, or 60 grams·L⁻¹) (Gatorade®, Barrington, IL). The carbohydrate-electrolyte beverage contained 20 mmol/L of sodium and 3.2 mmol/L of potassium. This solution was chosen because it has been seen to restore plasma osmolality at 120 min recovery after a 2–3% reduction in body weight (31). All beverages were provided to the subjects by research assistants during the trials. During the first 20 min of rehydration subjects consumed beverage equal to one-half of their body weight loss. From 21–40 min of rehydration, subjects consumed a second volume of beverage to replace 100% of their body weight loss. Additional measures of body weight, U_{sg} , P_{osm} , and U_{osm} were obtained at 60 and 120 min.

Statistical Analysis

Values are expressed as mean $\pm$ SEM. Dependent variables were analyzed using a 1-way repeated-measures analysis of variance. Significant main effects were evaluated with paired

t-tests using a Bonferroni adjustment, with statistical significance set at $p < 0.025$. Independent *t*-tests were used to examine differences between genders for baseline subject characteristics.

The quantitative assessment using the medical decision model calculates two values, sensitivity and specificity. Sensitivity is the ability of a test to classify correctly all screened individuals who actually have the condition. Sensitivity is defined as the number of true positives (TP) divided by the sum of TP and false negatives (FN). Specificity is the ability of the test to identify only non-conditioned individuals who actually do not have the condition. It's defined as the number of true negatives (TN) divided by the sum of false positives (FP) and TN.

For this study, the “condition” is hydration status and presence of the condition would be dehydration represented by $P_{\text{osm}} > 290 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$ and absence of the condition would be hydration represented by $P_{\text{osm}} \leq 290 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$. The “diagnostic test” being evaluated in this study is U_{sg} . A U_{sg} value < 1.020 represents a negative test, while a value ≥ 1.020 represents a positive test.

Figure 1 (hydrated state) and Figure 2 (dehydrated state) represent a contingency table created to compute sensitivity and specificity for the diagnostic test U_{sg} with a cut-off for dehydration at ≥ 1.020 for the male and female subjects in the study. TP ($U_{\text{sg}} \geq 1.020$ and $P_{\text{osm}} > 290 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) are shown in the upper left box, TN ($U_{\text{sg}} < 1.020$ and $P_{\text{osm}} \leq 290 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) in the lower right box, FP ($U_{\text{sg}} \geq 1.020$ and $P_{\text{osm}} \leq 290 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) in the upper right box, and FN ($U_{\text{sg}} < 1.020$ and $P_{\text{osm}} > 290 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) in the lower left box. Sensitivity (bottom left box) and specificity (bottom right box) have been calculated according to their definitions.

RESULTS

Male subjects dehydrated to achieve an average BM loss of $2.9 \pm 0.09\%$. BM changes (kg) throughout the study for males were as follows: Pre-dehydration (baseline) = 78.1 ± 1.8 , Post-dehydration = 75.8 ± 1.8 , and 2-hour rehydration = 77.8 ± 1.8 . Female subjects dehydrated to achieve an average BM loss of $1.9 \pm 0.03\%$. BM changes (kg) throughout the study for females were as follows: Pre-dehydration (baseline) = 62.2 ± 1.2 , Post-dehydration = 61.0 ± 1.2 , and 2-hour rehydration = 62.0 ± 1.3 . For rehydration, subjects were provided with beverage amounts equal to 100% of their BM loss. Male subjects were able to regain $2.6 \pm 0.09\%$ of the BM loss, while females were able to regain $1.6 \pm 0.06\%$ of the BM loss during the 2-hour rehydration period.

Significant main effects ($p < 0.025$) were found for P_{osm} , U_{osm} , and U_{sg} for males (Figure 3) and U_{osm} and U_{sg} for females (Figure 4). P_{osm} for the males significantly increased from baseline to post-dehydration (280.4 ± 2.2 to $288.1 \pm 2.4 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$), decreased at the 1-hr rehydration period ($282.0 \pm 2.3 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) and returned to below baseline at the 2-hr rehydration period ($278.0 \pm 2.1 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) (Table 2, Figure 3). A non-significant change was found for P_{osm} in females from baseline to post-dehydration (281.2 ± 2.2 to $282.1 \pm 2.4 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) and a decrease at the 1-hr rehydration period ($280.9 \pm 2.5 \text{ m}_{\text{osm}}/\text{kg}$) (Table 3,

Figure 4). For males, U_{osm} significantly decreased from baseline ($966.8 \pm 25.95 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$) and at all three time points thereafter (882.3 ± 30.5 to 846.7 ± 26.8 to $675.0 \pm 48.1 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$). There was a significant decrease in U_{osm} from baseline to the 2-hr rehydration period ($407.2 \pm 67.3 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$) for females. The U_{sg} for the males slightly increased from baseline to post-dehydration (1.027 ± 0.001 to 1.028 ± 0.001), and significantly decreased below baseline at the 2-hr rehydration period (1.021 ± 0.001). For females U_{sg} remained constant from baseline to post-dehydration (1.021 ± 0.001 to 1.021 ± 0.002), and significantly decreased below baseline at the 2-hr rehydration period (1.011 ± 0.002). No significant correlations were found between U_{sg} and P_{osm} during either the hydrated or dehydrated state for males or females.

DISCUSSION

This study evaluated U_{sg} and U_{osm} as markers of hydration status against P_{osm} . To our knowledge, this study is the first investigation to utilize the medical diagnostic decision model to evaluate dehydration when employing U_{sg} in both male and female athletes during hydrated and dehydrated conditions. Opplinger et al. (21) has previously reported a high sensitivity and low specificity for U_{sg} when compared to P_{osm} . Results of the present study found a high prevalence (58–69%) of FP in both the hydrated and dehydrated conditions for males and females. Opplinger et al. (21) reported that 68.8% of subjects tested were found to be FP, while similarly in the present study 69% of males and 58% of females indicated FP when tested in a hydrated state. The results of the present study demonstrated that the percentage of FP did decrease in the dehydrated condition for both males and females (28% and 48%, respectively), but are still considered high especially in the female population. A study by Popowski et al. (24) also compared P_{osm} to U_{sg} in which twelve male subjects dehydrated to 1%, 3%, and 5% BM loss and found that FP occurred with a probability of 33% (baseline), 100%, 58%, and 33%, respectively. Although the study by Popowski (24) had a relatively small sample size, our results are consistent with their findings in that with progressive dehydration the percentage of FP decreases when assessed by U_{sg} .

Previous investigations have demonstrated a poor association between P_{osm} and urinary measures of hydration status. Armstrong et al. (5) measured subjects in a variety of conditions including before and after rest in an air-conditioned room, exercise in a heated environment, and after an outdoor tennis match and found that no urinary measures significantly correlated with P_{osm} in any of the conditions evaluated. Similarly, Singh and Peters (28) had male and female amateur runners complete a three-day trail run, subjects were examined pre and post run each day, and they reported that urinary measures also did not significantly correlate with P_{osm} . Singh and Peters (28) suggested that the reason for the non-significant correlations between P_{osm} and urinary markers were the result of a delayed response between acute changes in hydration status as measured in both the blood and urine. The lack of significant changes in P_{osm} for females in the present study may be a result of only a 1.9% decrease in BW when compared to a 2.9% reduction for males. This also suggests that an acute BW reduction secondary to dehydration of 1.9% in females was not detectable by changes in P_{osm} .

Our results demonstrated high values of U_{sg} at baseline in the male athletes (1.027 ± 0.001). Similarly a study by Pettersson and Berg (22) that measured U_{sg} the morning of competition in sixty-three (20 females and 43 males) elite wrestlers, judokas, boxers, and taekwondo athletes and found that mean U_{sg} was 1.029 ± 0.006 and that 47.6% of the athletes were hypohydrated (>1.030). In that study none of the participating athletes were found to be well-hydrated and only 7 out of 63 (11%) of the athletes had U_{sg} values < 1.020 . Another study by Phillips, Sykes, and Gibson (23) measured U_{sg} in the first urine void (baseline), pre, and post training of fourteen junior male elite soccer players and found that 77% of the subjects were hypohydrated at baseline on days 1 and 3 and 62% on day 2. They also reported no significant differences found in U_{sg} between baseline and pre or post training. Gibson et al. (11) tested hydration status of thirty-four junior female elite soccer players during two 90-min training sessions and found that the baseline mean U_{sg} was 1.018 ± 0.009 of which is consistent with results of the present study. In the present study and previous investigations mentioned a U_{sg} threshold of > 1.020 have been used as the dehydration cut-off point. Although this cut-off value has been supported by the ACSM, it has been suggested that the U_{sg} threshold to detect dehydration should be raised to > 1.025 in athletes with relative high muscle mass (8, 13). Results of the present study demonstrate that 69% of males and 58% of females had $P_{osm} < 290$ even though their U_{sg} was > 1.020 . The reason for the high prevalence of false positives in the present study is unclear. U_{sg} is influenced by the amount of solutes, such as glucose and protein (27) found in the urine, therefore it is possible that supplement use could increase U_{sg} . Supplement use was not evaluated or quantified in the present investigation.

In addition to U_{sg} , the present study evaluated P_{osm} at baseline and found that both the male and female athletes were considered hydrated (280.8 ± 2.1 and 281.2 ± 2.2 , respectively). Contrary Yankanich et al. (33) examined the hydration status via P_{osm} of twelve NCAA Division I wrestlers by having them lose 6% BW in varying rates (gradual, moderate, or rapid weight loss) throughout six days. Results of that study demonstrated that P_{osm} was elevated when subjects were in the euhydrated state ($> 300 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$). The authors concluded that wrestlers regulate P_{osm} at a higher level than the general population due to the fact that they consistently lose weight by heat and exercise-induced dehydration. The Yankanich et al. study employed twelve subjects while the present study utilized fifty-six males and twenty-six females which could account for the difference in the P_{osm} values at baseline between the two investigations.

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

As stated in the ACSM position statement, there are many physiological and health reasons for screening, detecting, and minimizing dehydration in athletic populations. This is the case for athletes who deliberately or involuntarily experience dehydration, such as the subjects of this study (wrestlers and female soccer players). Dehydration to even 2–3% of BM can have considerable effects on an athlete's performance and overall well-being, so the use of field tests to monitor hydration status becomes warranted. The reported low specificity of U_{sg} for this study as well as others suggests that athletes could be incorrectly classified as dehydrated leading to the unnecessary removal from competition. Coaches and athletic trainers must continue to educate their athletes on safe weight loss methods in weight

classified sports and consider alternative measures to assess hydration to be employed in conjunction with U_{sg} . Future research employing U_{sg} as a measure of hydration status should be evaluated with P_{osm} in both male and female athletes to see if the results are conclusive with the present study. In addition, additional research utilizing all bio-markers of hydration status with female populations is warranted.

Acknowledgments

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute on Aging of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R44AG042990.

References

1. American College of Sports Medicine. Position statement: Exercise and Fluid Replacement. *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*. 2007; 39:377–390. [PubMed: 17277604]
2. Armstrong LE. Hydration assessment techniques. *Nutrition reviews*. 2005; 63:S40–54. [PubMed: 16028571]
3. Armstrong LE. Assessing hydration status: the elusive gold standard. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*. 2007; 26:575s–584s. [PubMed: 17921468]
4. Armstrong LE, Johnson EC, Munoz CX, Swokla B, Le Belle L, Jimenez L, Casa DJ, Maresh CM. Hydration biomarkers and dietary fluid consumption of women. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2012; 112:1056–1061. [PubMed: 22889635]
5. Armstrong LE, Maresh CM, Castellani JW, Bergeron MF, Kenefick RW, LaGasse KE, Riebe D. Urinary indices of hydration status. *International journal of sport nutrition*. 1994; 4:265–279. [PubMed: 7987361]
6. Armstrong LE, Soto JA, Hacker FT Jr, Casa DJ, Kavouras SA, Maresh CM. Urinary indices during dehydration, exercise, and rehydration. *International journal of sport nutrition*. 1998; 8:345–355. [PubMed: 9841955]
7. Brozek J, Grande F, Anderson JT, Keys A. DENSITOMETRIC ANALYSIS OF BODY COMPOSITION: REVISION OF SOME QUANTITATIVE ASSUMPTIONS. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1963; 110:113–140. [PubMed: 14062375]
8. Cheuvront SN, Ely BR, Kenefick RW, Sawka MN. Biological variation and diagnostic accuracy of dehydration assessment markers. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2010; 92:565–573. [PubMed: 20631205]
9. Eijssvogels TM, Scholten RR, van Duijnhoven NT, Thijssen DH, Hopman MT. Sex difference in fluid balance responses during prolonged exercise. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*. 2013; 23:198–206. [PubMed: 22092671]
10. Francesconi RP, Hubbard RW, Szlyk PC, Schnakenberg D, Carlson D, Leva N, Sils I, Hubbard L, Pease V, Young J, et al. Urinary and hematologic indexes of hypohydration. *Journal of applied physiology* (Bethesda, Md: 1985). 1987; 62:1271–1276.
11. Gibson JC, Stuart-Hill LA, Pethick W, Gaul CA. Hydration status and fluid and sodium balance in elite Canadian junior women's soccer players in a cool environment. *Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme*. 2012; 37:931–937.
12. Hahn RG, Waldeus N. An Aggregate Urine Analysis Tool to Detect Acute Dehydration. *International journal of sport nutrition and exercise metabolism*. 2012
13. Hamouti N, Del Coso J, Avila A, Mora-Rodriguez R. Effects of athletes' muscle mass on urinary markers of hydration status. *European journal of applied physiology*. 2010; 109:213–219. [PubMed: 20058021]
14. Hamouti N, Del Coso J, Mora-Rodriguez R. Comparison between blood and urinary fluid balance indices during dehydrating exercise and the subsequent hypohydration when fluid is not restored. *European journal of applied physiology*. 2013; 113:611–620. [PubMed: 22886188]
15. Heyward V, Stolarczyk L. *Applied Body Composition Assessment*. Human Kinetics. 1996; 12

16. Jackson A, Pollock M. Practical assessment of body composition. *Phys Sports Med.* 1985; 13:76–90.
17. Kovacs EM, Senden JM, Brouns F. Urine color, osmolality and specific electrical conductance are not accurate measures of hydration status during postexercise rehydration. *The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness.* 1999; 39:47–53. [PubMed: 10230169]
18. Kutlu M, Guler G. Assessment of hydration status by urinary analysis of elite junior taekwon-do athletes in preparing for competition. *Journal of sports sciences.* 2006; 24:869–873. [PubMed: 16815782]
19. Lohman TG. Skinfolds and body density and their relation to body fatness: a review. *Human biology.* 1981; 53:181–225. [PubMed: 7239496]
20. Maughan RJ. Impact of mild dehydration on wellness and on exercise performance. *European journal of clinical nutrition.* 2003; 57(Suppl 2):S19–23. [PubMed: 14681709]
21. Oppliger RA, Magnes SA, Popowski LA, Gisolfi CV. Accuracy of urine specific gravity and osmolality as indicators of hydration status. *International journal of sport nutrition and exercise metabolism.* 2005; 15:236–251. [PubMed: 16131695]
22. Pettersson S, Berg CM. Hydration status in elite wrestlers, judokas, boxers, and taekwondo athletes on competition day. *International journal of sport nutrition and exercise metabolism.* 2014; 24:267–275. [PubMed: 24280038]
23. Phillips SM, Sykes D, Gibson N. Hydration Status and Fluid Balance of Elite European Youth Soccer Players during Consecutive Training Sessions. *Journal of sports science & medicine.* 2014; 13:817–822. [PubMed: 25435774]
24. Popowski LA, Oppliger RA, Lambert PG, Johnson RF, Johnson KA, Gisolf CV. Blood and urinary measures of hydration status during progressive acute dehydration. *Medicine and science in sports and exercise.* 2001; 33:747–753. [PubMed: 11323543]
25. Pross N, Demazieres A, Girard N, Barnouin R, Santoro F, Chevillotte E, Klein A, Le Bellego L. Influence of progressive fluid restriction on mood and physiological markers of dehydration in women. *The British journal of nutrition.* 2013; 109:313–321. [PubMed: 22716932]
26. Shapiro Y, Pandolf KB, Avellini BA, Pimental NA, Goldman RF. Physiological responses of men and women to humid and dry heat. *Journal of applied physiology: respiratory, environmental and exercise physiology.* 1980; 49:1–8.
27. Shirreffs SM. Markers of hydration status. *The Journal of sports medicine and physical fitness.* 2000; 40:80–84. [PubMed: 10822913]
28. Singh NR, Peters EM. Markers of hydration status in a 3-day trail running event. *Clinical journal of sport medicine: official journal of the Canadian Academy of Sport Medicine.* 2013; 23:354–364. [PubMed: 23558332]
29. Stover EA, Petrie HJ, Passe D, Horswill CA, Murray B, Wildman R. Urine specific gravity in exercisers prior to physical training. *Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme.* 2006; 31:320–327.
30. Thigpen LK, Green JM, O’Neal EK. Hydration profile and sweat loss perception of male and female division II basketball players during practice. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association.* 2014; 28:3425–3431. [PubMed: 24875428]
31. Utter AC, McNulty SR, Sarvazyan A, Query MC, Landram MJ. Evaluation of ultrasound velocity to assess the hydration status of wrestlers. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association.* 2010; 24:1451–1457. [PubMed: 20508445]
32. Volpe SL, Poule KA, Bland EG. Estimation of prepractice hydration status of National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I athletes. *Journal of athletic training.* 2009; 44:624–629. [PubMed: 19911089]
33. Yankanich J, Kenney WL, Fleck SL, Kraemer WJ. Precompetition weight loss and changes in vascular fluid volume in NCAA division I college wrestlers. *Journal of strength and conditioning research / National Strength & Conditioning Association.* 1998; 12:138–145.

Male hydrated		Posm		Total	Female hydrated		Posm		Total
		> 290 mosm/kg	≤ 290 mosm/kg				> 290 mosm/kg	≤ 290 mosm/kg	
U _{sg}	≥ 1.020	TP 22% (n = 12)	FP 69% (n = 38)	50	U _{sg}	≥ 1.020	TP 15% (n = 4)	FP 58% (n = 15)	19
	< 1.020	FN 2% (n = 1)	TN 7% (n = 4)	5		< 1.020	FN 4% (n = 1)	TN 23% (n = 6)	7
Total		13	42	Grand	Total		5	21	Grand
		Sensitivity 92%	Specificity 10%	Total 55			Sensitivity 80%	Specificity 29%	Total 26

Figure 1.

Medical diagnostic decision model for U_{sg} cut-off 1.020 in the hydrated state for males and females

Note. True condition is represented by dehydration ($P_{osm} > 290 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$) and a negative condition is represented by hydration ($P_{osm} \leq 290 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$). A negative test is represented by $U_{sg} < 1.020$ and a positive test represented by $U_{sg} \geq 1.020$. Subjects correctly classified by the test are represented by TP and TN cells.

Male dehydrated		Posm		Total	Female hydrated		Posm		Total
		> 290 mosm/kg	≤ 290 mosm/kg				> 290 mosm/kg	≤ 290 mosm/kg	
U _{sg}	≥ 1.020	TP 65% (n = 35)	FP 28% (n = 15)	50	U _{sg}	≥ 1.020	TP 16% (n = 4)	FP 48% (n = 12)	16
	< 1.020	FN 5% (n = 3)	TN 2% (n = 1)	4		< 1.020	FN 4% (n = 1)	TN 32% (n = 8)	9
Total		38	16	Grand	Total		5	21	Grand
		Sensitivity 92%	Specificity 6%	Total 54			Sensitivity 80%	Specificity 40%	Total 25

Figure 2.

Medical diagnostic decision model for U_{sg} cut-off 1.020 in the dehydrated state for males and females.

Note. True condition is represented by dehydration ($P_{osm} > 290 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$) and a negative condition is represented by hydration ($P_{osm} \leq 290 \text{ m}_{osm}/\text{kg}$). A negative test is represented by $U_{sg} < 1.020$ and a positive test represented by $U_{sg} \geq 1.020$. Subjects correctly classified by the test are represented by TP and TN cells.

Figure 3.

Urine osmolality, urine specific gravity, and plasma osmolality measurements at pre-dehydration, post-dehydration, and 1 and 2 hr rehydration in males

*Significantly different from baseline, $p < 0.016$

Figure 4.

Urine osmolality, urine specific gravity, and plasma osmolality measurements at pre-dehydration, post-dehydration, and 1 and 2 hr rehydration in females.

*Significantly different from baseline, $p < 0.016$

Table 1

Subject characteristics

	Males n = 56	Females n = 26
Height (m)	1.75 ± 0.01	1.64 ± 0.01 *
Age (yrs)	19.3 ± 0.2	19.8 ± 0.3
Years of experience (yrs)	9.6 ± 0.5	15.1 ± 0.3 *
Weight (kg)	78.1 ± 1.8	62.2 ± 1.2 *
Percent fat (%)	13.9 ± 0.6	20.3 ± 0.7 *
Urine specific gravity	1.027 ± 0.001	1.021 ± 0.001 *
Urine osmolality (mosm/kg)	966.8 ± 25.9	737.4 ± 50.5 *
Plasma osmolality (mosm/kg)	280.4 ± 2.2	281.2 ± 2.2

* Denotes statistical significance at $p < 0.025$

Table 2

Male measures of hydration status throughout the trials

Variable name	Pre-hydration	Post-dehydration	1-hour rehydration	2-hour rehydration
Urine osmolality (mosm/kg)	966.8 $\pm$ 25.9	882.3 $\pm$ 30.5	846.7 $\pm$ 26.8	675.0 $\pm$ 48.1 *
Urine specific gravity	1.027 $\pm$ 0.001	1.028 $\pm$ 0.001	1.027 $\pm$ 0.001	1.021 $\pm$ 0.001 *
Plasma osmolality (mosm/kg)	280.4 $\pm$ 2.2	288.1 $\pm$ 2.4 *	282.0 $\pm$ 2.3	278.0 $\pm$ 2.4 *

* Significantly different from baseline, $p < 0.016$

Table 3

Female measures of hydration status throughout the trials

Variable name	Pre-hydration	Post-dehydration	1-hour rehydration	2-hour rehydration
Urine osmolality (mosm/kg)	737.4 ± 50.5	617.6 ± 60.1	700.0 ± 52.3	407.2 ± 67.3 *
Urine specific gravity	1.021 ± 0.001	1.021 ± 0.002	1.022 ± 0.002	1.011 ± 0.001 *
Plasma osmolality (mosm/kg)	281.2 ± 2.2	282.1 ± 2.4	280.9 ± 2.5	282.4 ± 2.9

* Significantly different from baseline, $p < 0.016$