Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2016 June 15; 14(24): 5599–5605. doi:10.1039/c6ob00132g.

Mechanistic Studies on Intramolecular C-H Trifluoromethoxylation of (Hetero)arenes *via* OCF₃-Migration

Katarzyna N. Lee^{a,b}, Zhen Lei^{a,b}, Cristian A. Morales-Rivera^c, Peng Liu^c, and Ming-Yu Ngai^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York, 11794-3400, USA

^bInstitute of Chemical Biology and Drug Discovery, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794-3400, USA.

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 15260, USA.

Abstract

The one-pot two-step intramolecular aryl and heteroaryl C-H trifluoromethoxylation recently reported by our group has provided a general, scalable, and operationally simple approach to access a wide range of unprecedented and valuable OCF₃-containing building blocks. Herein we describe our investigations to elucidate its reaction mechanism. Experimental data indicates that the *O*-trifluoromethylation of *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives is a radical process, whereas the OCF₃-migration step proceeds *via* a heterolytic cleavage of the N–OCF₃ bond followed by rapid recombination of a short-lived ion pair. Computational studies further support the proposed ion pair reaction pathway for the OCF₃-migration process. We hope that the current study would provide useful insights for the development of new transformations using versatile *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine synthons.

Introduction

Addition of fluorine atoms into organic molecules has a profound influence on their chemical, physical and biological properties. As a consequence, fluorine is frequently incorporated into drug molecules to enhance their lipophilicity, bioavailability, and metabolic stability.¹ In recent years, there has been a significant progress towards development of synthetic methodologies that allow introduction of fluorine-containing functional groups into arenes and heteroarenes. Among fluorinated substituents, the trifluoromethoxy group (OCF₃) has attracted increasing attention owing to its unique structural and electronic properties. In contrast to methoxybenzenes, which favor a planar conformation, trifluoromethoxybenzenes prefer to adopt a conformation in which the O–CF₃ bond lies in a plane orthogonal to the aryl ring (Fig. 1a).² This unique orientation, which results from the n_O → σ*_{C–F} hyperconjugative interaction (Fig. 1b) and the steric bulk of the CF₃ group, provides additional conformational flexibility and renders the OCF₃ group an

ming-yu.ngai@stonybrook.edu.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be Included here].
See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

electron-withdrawing substituent [χ (F) = 4.0, χ (OCF₃) = 3.7].³ In addition, the OCF₃ group has excellent lipophilicity as indicated by its Hansch-Leo parameter [π_{χ} (SF₅) = +1.23, π_{χ} (OCF₃) = +1.04, π_{χ} (CF₃) = +0.88, π_{χ} (F) = +0.14, π_{χ} (OCH₃) = -0.02].⁴ These properties of the OCF₃ group are particularly beneficial in drug discovery and development as introduction of the OCF₃ group into drug candidates may enhance their binding affinity, improve their metabolic stability and efficacy, promote their *in vivo* uptake and transport in biological systems, and minimize their side effects.⁵ Trifluoromethoxylated arenes are thus of great importance in medicinal chemistry and agrochemicals (Fig. 1c).^{5c, 5d, 6, 7} Moreover, incorporation of the OCF₃ group into organic molecules increases their melting point and boiling point difference under ambient pressure, and lowers their surface tension, dielectric constant, and pour point.^{5e, 8} These properties are particularly useful in designing electronic devices and materials.⁹

Despite the prevalence of the OCF₃ moiety in different areas of science, facile synthesis of (hetero)aryl trifluoromethyl ethers remains an unmet challenge in organic synthesis.¹⁰ Over the past few decades, there have been a number of synthetic routes towards trifluoromethoxylated (hetero)arenes: (i) chlorine-fluorine exchange on (hetero)aryl trichloromethyl ethers;¹¹ (ii) deoxyfluorination of phenol fluoroformates;¹² (iii) oxidative desulfurization-fluorination of aryl dithiocarbonates (xanthogenates);¹³ (iv) electrophilic trifluoromethylation of phenols;¹⁴ (v) nucleophilic trifluoromethoxylation of benzyne;¹⁵ (vi) silver-mediated trifluoromethoxylation of aryl stannanes and aryl boronic acids;¹⁶ (vii) radical trifluoromethoxylation;¹⁷ and (viii) silver-mediated trifluoromethylation of phenols.¹⁸ However, most of these approaches suffer from poor substrate scope and functional group tolerance, require the use of highly toxic, corrosive and/or temperature sensitive reagents, or impractical reaction conditions.

Our group has recently developed a new method for preparation of *ortho*-OCF₃ (hetero)arylamine derivatives *via* a two-step process: *O*-trifluoromethylation of *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives followed by OCF₃-migration step (Fig. 2).¹⁹ Our approach is operationally simple and amenable to one pot as well as gram-scale synthesis, features a broad substrate scope and high functional group compatibility, and provides a wide range of valuable *ortho*-trifluoromethoxylated (hetero)arylamine scaffolds that would otherwise be difficult to synthesize. In view of its potential synthetic utility, an in-depth understanding of its mechanism is desirable. Herein, we report our mechanistic investigations to provide insights for this two-step transformation. Although the following studies were done using the *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives, the proposed mechanism is also applicable to the *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives.

Results and discussion

O-Trifluoromethylation of *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives

Treatment of protected *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamines (**1**) with 1-trifluoromethyl-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one (Togni reagent II, 1.2 equiv) in the presence of a catalytic amount of base (0.1 equiv Cs₂CO₃) in CHCl₃ (0.1 M) at room temperature afforded the desired *O*-trifluoromethylation products (**2**) in high yields. These mild reaction conditions tolerate arenes with a wide variety of substitution patterns, electronic properties, and molecular

complexities. To probe the nature of this reaction mechanism, we performed the *O*-trifluoromethylation reaction in the presence of radical traps: 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-*para*-cresol (BHT) and 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-*N*-oxyl (TEMPO) (Scheme 1a). It is known that Togni reagent II can be used to produce the CF₃ radical in the presence of a one-electron donor through a single electron transfer (SET) process.²⁰ If such a process takes place in our reaction, BHT and/or TEMPO would trap the CF₃ radical and lower the reaction yields. Indeed, addition of a stoichiometric amount of either BHT or TEMPO to the reaction mixture under standard conditions had a detrimental effect on the formation of *O*-trifluoromethylated *N*-hydroxylamine – the yield of the desired product dropped from 97% to 28%, and 37%, respectively. The CF₃ radical trapping products (BHT-CF₃ and TEMPO-CF₃) were detected by GC-MS and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. We have also found that the *O*-trifluoromethylation reaction is oxygen sensitive and requires use of strictly degassed solvent. These results corroborate the intermediacy of trifluoromethyl radical in the reaction pathway, which is in agreement with literature precedents.²⁰⁻²¹

Based on these studies and experimental observations, a plausible mechanism for the *O*-trifluoromethylation is depicted in Scheme 1b. Deprotonation of protected *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine (**1**) forms *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine anion **I**. Subsequent SET from **I** to Togni reagent II generates *N*-hydroxyl radical **II** and Togni II radical anion that collapses to liberate the electrophilic CF₃ radical and 2-iodo-benzoate.²² Recombination of *N*-hydroxyl radical **II** with trifluoromethyl radical gives the desired *O*-trifluoromethylated hydroxylamine derivative (**2**).

OCF₃-Migration of *N*-(trifluoromethoxy)-*N*-aniline derivatives (**2**)

Compounds **2** with an electron-deficient or -neutral *N*-aryl ring are stable at room temperature and could be purified through column chromatography. However, heating **2** in nitromethane (MeNO₂, 1.0 M) cleaved the N–OCF₃ bond and formed a new C–OCF₃ bond to give *ortho*-OCF₃ aniline derivatives (**3**) (Fig. 2). To gain mechanistic insights into the OCF₃-migration step, we first examined whether the N–O bond is broken homo- or heterolytically. Homolytic cleavage of the N–OCF₃ bond would generate *N*-amidyl and trifluoromethoxyl ([•]OCF₃) radicals; we envisioned that if these radicals are indeed being formed, the reaction yield should drop upon addition of a radical trap, which would disable radical recombination process. Thus, we performed the rearrangement reaction of **2b** in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of BHT (Scheme 2). Similar yields were obtained regardless the presence or absence of BHT, which indicates that formation of long-lived radical species under the reaction conditions is unlikely.

In order to further shed light to the nature of the N–O bond cleavage, we performed linear free energy relationship analysis using Hammett plot. Relative rate constants were determined for the OCF₃-migration with seven *para/meta*-substituted *O*-trifluoromethylated *N*-hydroxylamine derivatives, R-C₆H₄N(OCF₃)C(O)Me (R = H (**2b**), *m*-OMe (**2c**), *p*-I (**2d**), *p*-Br (**2e**), *m*-F (**2f**), *m*-CO₂Me (**2g**), and *m*-CF₃ (**2h**)). The rearrangement reaction was conducted at 80°C and its rate was monitored by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. For substrates with fast kinetics (R = H, *m*-OMe, *p*-I, and *p*-Br), the disappearance of the starting material peak was normalized against an internal standard (*α,α,α*-trifluorotoluene) and was measured for

at least three half-lives. The k_{obs} of each reaction was determined from a first-order plot of $-\ln[\text{SM}]$ versus time. For substrates with slow kinetics ($R = m\text{-F}$, $m\text{-CO}_2\text{Me}$, and $m\text{-CF}_3$), the rate of the appearance of the first 10-15% of product was measured. The k_{obs} of each reaction was determined from a first-order plot of $[\text{P}]$ versus time. The Hammett plot of $\log(k_{\text{R}}/k_{\text{H}})$ versus σ showed a highly negative linear slope ($\rho = -11.86$; $R^2 = 0.99$) (Fig. 3), which is commonly observed in organic reactions involving formation of a positive charge.²³ Therefore, these results strongly suggest a heterolytic cleavage of the N–OCF₃ bond, in which a nitrenium ion and trifluoromethoxide are generated.

The formation of an intermediate nitrenium ion, whose stability is dependent on the electronic properties of nitrogen substituents, is further evidenced by the fact that the OCF₃-migration is very slow at 80 °C for substrates bearing a strongly electron withdrawing group at the *para*-position of the *N*-aryl substituent ($R = \text{CO}_2\text{Me}$ (**2a**), $\sigma_{\text{p}} = 0.45$; COMe (**2i**), $\sigma_{\text{p}} = 0.50$).²⁴ These substrates (**2a** and **2i**) require a temperature of 120 °C for the rearrangement reaction to go to completion within a reasonable timeframe (24 h). When $R = \text{CN}$ (**2j**, $\sigma_{\text{p}} = 0.56$),²⁴ an even higher reaction temperature (140 °C) is required to thermally cleave the N–O bond. In an extreme case, no desired product is formed upon attempted rearrangement of *N*-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)-*N*-(trifluoromethoxy)acetamide (**2k**) due to the presence of two CF₃ groups ($\sigma_{\text{m}} = 0.43$)²⁴ on the aryl ring. In contrast, rearrangement takes place at room temperature when an electron-donating substituent, which can stabilize the positive charge, is present, e.g. $R = \text{Me}$ (**2l**, $\sigma_{\text{p}} = -0.17$).²⁴ While the presence of nitrenium ion was evidenced by the Hammett analysis, the intermediacy of trifluoromethoxide was corroborated by detection of fluorophosgene (decomposition product of trifluoromethoxide) and BF₄[−] by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (see the SI for details).²⁵

Having investigated the N–OCF₃ bond cleavage process, we then sought to determine if the final carbon–OCF₃ bond forming step is an intramolecular reaction. We performed a cross-over experiment using *N*-(4-bromophenyl)-*N*-(trifluoromethoxy)acetamide (**2e**) and *N*-(4-chlorophenyl)-*N*-(perfluoroethoxy)acetamide (**6**), which was synthesized by treatment of *N*-(4-chlorophenyl)-hydroxamic acid with pentafluoroethyl analog of Togni reagent II.²⁶ Heating **2e** and **6** together either in MeNO₂ or neat at 80 °C formed only non-cross-over products (**3e** and **7**) as monitored by GC-MS and ¹⁹F NMR (Scheme 3a). The lack of cross-over products suggests that the OCF₃-migration is likely an intramolecular process and that the rate of recombination of an ion pair is much faster than an intermolecular OCF₃-group transfer (see the SI for possible intermolecular mechanisms of OCF₃-migration), which would require dissociation of two ions of opposite charge. The intramolecular mechanism is further corroborated by formation of benzoxazole **3m'** during the rearrangement reaction of methyl 4-(*N*-(trifluoromethoxy)benzamido)benzoate (**2m**) (Scheme 3b). This side product results from a competing intramolecular trapping of the nitrenium ion by an internal nucleophile, and its isolation further confirms that the nitrenium ion intermediates are very reactive and thus short lived.

Computational Studies

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to investigate the mechanism of the OCF₃ migration of compound **2b**. The calculations were performed at the M06-2X/

6-311++G(d,p)//M06-2X/6-31+G(d) level of theory with the SMD solvation model in MeNO₂.²⁷ The computed reaction energy profile of the stepwise OCF₃ migration is shown in Fig. 4a.²⁸ The heterolytic cleavage of the N–OCF₃ bond of **2b** to form the ion pair intermediate (**10**) requires an activation free energy of 27.6 kcal/mol (**TS1**),²⁹ which is feasible under the experimental conditions (80 °C). The ion pair (**10**) is an anion- π complex³⁰ between trifluoromethoxide and the highly electron-deficient phenyl ring. The relatively strong anion- π interaction is evidenced by the short O(OCF₃)–C distances (Fig. 4b). The recombination of the ion pair (**10**) through O–C(*ortho*) bond formation to yield the dearomatized intermediate **11** requires a very low barrier and is highly exothermic. This indicates a very short lifetime of the ion pair intermediate, which is in agreement with the crossover experiments. The low barrier of the ion pair recombination is attributed to the structural similarity of **10** and **TS2**. The O(OCF₃)–C(*ortho*) distance is only slightly shortened to 2.45 Å in the recombination transition state (**TS2**). The subsequent 1,3-hydrogen shift from the dearomatized intermediate **11** to the final product **3b** occurs through a stepwise mechanism involving the autoionization of **11** to form **12a** and **12b** followed by the highly exothermic proton transfer from **12a** to **12b** to form **3b**.³¹

Altogether, these results are consistent with the proposed mechanism shown in Scheme 4. The N–O bond of **2** undergoes the thermally induced heterolytic cleavage to form a short-lived ion pair of a nitrenium ion and trifluoromethoxide. Rapid recombination of this ion pair affords intermediate **IV**, which then tautomerizes to restore aromaticity and generate the desired product **3**. The ionic mechanism for the N–O bond cleavage of *N*-protected *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamines is also well-precedented in the literature.³²

Conclusions

In summary, the experimental results obtained in this work delineate the mechanism of the two-step synthesis of *ortho*-OCF₃ (hetero)arylamine derivatives. The radical trapping experiments with TEMPO and BHT indicate that *O*-trifluoromethylated hydroxylamine derivatives are formed *via* radical recombination reaction between *N*-hydroxyl radical and trifluoromethyl radical ($\cdot$ CF₃). The highly negative slope ($\rho = -11.86$) of the Hammett plot provides evidence for the generation of a positive charge in the course of the OCF₃-migration reaction and strongly suggests that the N–OCF₃ bond undergoes heterolytic cleavage. The intermediacy of a nitrenium ion and trifluoromethoxide is further verified by isolation of a benzoxazole side product and detection of trifluoromethoxide decomposition products by 19F NMR spectroscopy. The lack of cross-over products in a cross-over experiment supports intramolecular transfer of the OCF₃ group. Computational studies further support the reaction pathway of a heterolytic cleavage of the N–OCF₃ bond followed by rapid recombination of a short-lived ion pair. It is hoped that the deeper mechanistic insights stemming from the current study will be useful for the development of new transformations using versatile *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine synthons.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This paper is dedicated to Prof. Stephen L. Buchwald on the occasion of his 60th birthday. K.N.L., Z.L., and M.-Y.N. acknowledge generous start-up funds from Stony Brook University in support of this work. K.N.L. is grateful for the fellowship from the NIH Chemical-Biology training grant (T32GM092714). C.A.M.-R. and P.L. acknowledge generous start-up funds from University of Pittsburgh in support of this work. We thank TOSOH F-Tech, Inc. for their gift of TMSCF₃ for the preparation of Togni reagents. Calculations were performed at the Center for Simulation and Modeling at the University of Pittsburgh and the Extreme Science and Engineering Discovery Environment (XSEDE) supported by the NSF (ACI-1053575).

Notes and references

- 1 (a). Thayer AM. Chem. Eng. News. 2006; 84:15–24.(b) Hagmann WK. J. Med. Chem. 2008; 51:4359–4369. [PubMed: 18570365] (c) O'Hagan D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008; 37:308–319. [PubMed: 18197347] (d) Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S, Gouverneur V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008; 37:320–330. [PubMed: 18197348] (e) Ojima, I. Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology. Wiley-Blackwell; Chichester, U.K.: 2009. (f) Liang T, Neumann CN, Ritter T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013; 52:8214–8264.(g) Barnes-Seeman D, Beck J, Springer C. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2014; 14:855–864. [PubMed: 24484427] (h) Wang J, Sánchez-Roselló M, Aceña JL, del Pozo C, Sorochinsky AE, Fustero S, Soloshonok VA, Liu H. Chem. Rev. 2014; 114:2432–2506. [PubMed: 24299176] (i) Zhou Y, Wang J, Gu Z, Wang S, Zhu W, Aceña JL, Soloshonok VA, Izawa K, Liu H. Chem. Rev. 2016; 116doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.5b00392
2. Federsel D, Herrmann A, Christen D, Sander S, Willner H, Oberhammer H. J. Mol. Struct. 2001; 567:127–136.
3. Mcclinton MA, Mcclinton DA. Tetrahedron. 1992; 48:6555–6666.
4. Hansch, C.; Leo, A. Substituent Constants for Correlation Analysis in Chemistry and Biology. Wiley; New York: 1979.
- 5 (a). Oreilly P, Kobayashi S, Yamane S, Phillips WG, Raymond P, Castanho B. Brighton Crop Prot. Conf.-Pest Dis. 1992:427–434.(b) Bensimon G, Lacomblez L, Meininger V, Bouche P, Delwaide C, Couratier P, Blin O, Viader F, Peyrostopaul H, David J, Maloteaux JM, Hugon J, Laterre EC, Rascol A, Clanet M, Vallat JM, Dumas A, Serratrice G, Lechevallier B, Peuch AJ, Nguyen T, Shu C, Bastien P, Papillon C, Durrleman S, Louvel E, Guillet P, Ledoux L, Orvoenfria E, Dib M. N. Engl. J. Med. 1994; 330:585–591. [PubMed: 8302340] (c) Delorenzi FG. Pulm. Pharmacol. 1994; 7:129–135. [PubMed: 8081073] (d) Santel HJ, Bowden BA, Sorensen VM, Mueller KH. 1999 Brighton Conference: Weeds. 1999; 1-3:23–28.(e) Leroux F, Jeschke P, Schlosser M. Chem. Rev. 2005; 105:827–856. [PubMed: 15755078] (f) Jeschke P, Baston E, Leroux FR. Mini-Rev. Med. Chem. 2007; 7:1027–1034. [PubMed: 17979805] (g) Muller K, Faeh C, Diederich F. Science. 2007; 317:1881–1886. [PubMed: 17901324] (h) Beria I, Valsasina B, Brasca MG, Ceccarelli W, Colombo M, Cribioli S, Fachin G, Ferguson RD, Fiorentini F, Gianellini LM, Giorgini ML, Moll JK, Poster H, Pezzetta D, Roletto F, Sola F, Tesei D, Caruso M. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010; 20:6489–6494. [PubMed: 20932759] (i) Beria I, Bossi RT, Brasca MG, Caruso M, Ceccarelli W, Fachin G, Fasolini M, Forte B, Fiorentini F, Pesenti E, Pezzetta D, Poster H, Sclaro A, Depaolini SR, Valsasina B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011; 21:2969–2974. [PubMed: 21470862]
- 6 (a). Stover CK, Warren P, VanDevanter DR, Sherman DR, Arain TM, Langhorne MH, Anderson SW, Towell JA, Yuan Y, McMurray DN, Kreiswirth BN, Barry CE, Baker WR. Nature. 2000; 405:962–966. [PubMed: 10879539] (b) Valsasina B, Beria I, Alli C, Alzani R, Avanzi N, Ballinari D, Cappella P, Caruso M, Casolaro A, Ciavolella A, Cucchi U, De Ponti A, Felder E, Fiorentini F, Galvani A, Gianellini LM, Giorgini ML, Isacchi A, Lansén J, Pesenti E, Rizzi S, Rocchetti M, Sola F, Moll J. Mol. Cancer Ther. 2012; 11:1006–1016. [PubMed: 22319201] (c) Landelle G, Panossian A, Leroux FR. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2014; 14:941–951. [PubMed: 24484423] (d) Burness CB. Drugs. 2015; 75:1559–1566. [PubMed: 26323341]
- 7 (a). Howard J, Wall R. B. Entomol. Res. 1995; 85:71–77.(b) Amir OG, Peveling R. J. Appl. Entomol. 2004; 128:242–249.(c) Chen Y, Zhang AF, Wang WX, Zhang Y, Gao TC. Ann. Appl. Biol. 2012; 161:247–254.(d) Sagheer M, Yasir M, Mansoor-ul-Hasan, Ashfaq M. Pak. J. Agr. Sci. 2012; 49:173–178.

- 8 (a). Kirsch, P. *Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry : Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications*. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2004. (b) Siegemund, G.; Schwertfeger, W.; Feiring, A.; Smart, B.; Behr, F.; Vogel, H.; McKusick, B. *Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA; 2000.
- 9 (a). Kirsch P, Bremer M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2000; 39:4217–4235. (b) Mamada M, Shima H, Yoneda Y, Shimano T, Yamada N, Kakita K, Machida T, Tanaka Y, Aotsuka S, Kumaki D, Tokito S. *Chem. Mater.* 2015; 27:141–147.
- 10 (a). Leroux FR, Manteau B, Vors JP, Pazenok S. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 4(b) Lee KN, Lee JW, Ngai M-Y. *Synlett.* 2016; eFirst. doi: 10.1055/s-0035-1560516
- 11 (a). Yagupolskii LM. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR.* 1955; 105:100–102. (b) Yarovenko NN, Vasileva AS. *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* 1958; 28:2502–2504. (c) Yagupolskii LM, Troitskaya VI. *J. Gen. Chem. USSR.* 1961; 31:845–852. (d) Yagupolskii LM, Orda VV. *Zh. Obshch. Khim.* 1964; 34:1979–1984. (e) Manteau B, Genix P, Brelot L, Vors JP, Pazenok S, Giornal F, Leuenberger C, Leroux FR. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 2010:6043–6066.
12. Sheppard WA. *J. Org. Chem.* 1964; 29:1–11.
- 13 (a). Kuroboshi M, Suzuki K, Hiyama T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992; 33:4173–4176. (b) Kanie K, Tanaka Y, Suzuki K, Kuroboshi M, Hiyama T. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 2000; 73:471–484. (c) Kuroboshi M, Kanie K, Hiyama T. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2001; 343:235–250.
- 14 (a). Umemoto T, Adachi K, Ishihara S. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 72:6905–6917. [PubMed: 17676906] (b) Stanek K, Koller R, Togni A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:7678–7685. [PubMed: 18771328]
15. Kolomeitsev AA, Vorobyev M, Gilland H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008; 49:449–454.
16. Huang C, Liang T, Harada S, Lee E, Ritter T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:13308–13310. [PubMed: 21827148]
17. Venturini F, Navarini W, Famulari A, Sansotera M, Dardani P, Tortelli V. *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2012; 140:43–48.
18. Liu JB, Chen C, Chu L, Chen ZH, Xu XH, Qing FL. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015; 54:11839–11842.
- 19 (a). Hojczyk KN, Feng PJ, Zhan CB, Ngai MY. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014; 53:14559–14563. (b) Feng PJ, Lee KN, Lee JW, Zhan CB, Ngai MY. *Chem. Sci.* 2016; 7:424–429.
- 20 (a). Parsons AT, Buchwald SL. *Angw. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:9120–9123. (b) Wang X, Ye Y, Zhang S, Feng J, Xu Y, Zhang Y, Wang J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:16410–16413. [PubMed: 21936560] (c) Chen Z-M, Bai W, Wang S-H, Yang B-M, Tu Y-Q, Zhang F-M. *Angw. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:9781–9785. (d) Liu X, Xiong F, Huang X, Xu L, Li P, Wu X. *Angw. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:6962–6966. (e) Matoušek V, Pietrasiak E, Sigrist L, Czarniecki B, Togni A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2014; 2014:3087–3092.
21. Mizuta S, Engle KM, Verhoog S, Galicia-López O, O'Duill M, Médebielle M, Wheelhouse K, Rassias G, Thompson AL, Gouverneur V. *Org. Lett.* 2013; 15:1250–1253. [PubMed: 23465076]
- 22 (a). Reduction potential ($E_{1/2}^{red}$) of Togni reagent II is between –1.10 and –0.94 V vs SCE in CH₃CN, see Yasu Y, Koike T, Akita M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 124:9705–9709.; Mizuta S, Verhoog S, Wang X, Shibata N, Gouverneur V, Médebielle M. *J. Fluorine Chem.* 2013; 155:124–131. Although $E_{1/2}^{red}$ of **I** has not been measured, $E_{1/2}^{red}$ of parent *N*-aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives (**1**) is around –0.48 V vs SCE, see, Xu F, Deussen HJW, Lopez B, Lam L, Li KC. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2001; 268:4169–4176. [PubMed: 11488909]
23. Gassman PG, Granrud JE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1984; 106:1498–1499.
24. Hansch C, Leo A, Taft RW. *Chem. Rev.* 1991; 91:165–195.
- 25 (a). Seppelt K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1977; 16:322–323. (b) Christe KO, Hegge J, Hoge B, Haiges R. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007; 46:6155–6158.
26. Li Y, Studer A. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:8221–8224.
27. All calculations were performed using Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, Frisch MJ, et al. 2009Gaussian, Inc. Wallingford CT See the Supporting Information for computational details.
28. The concerted 1,3-OCF₃ migration transition state that connects **2b** and **11** cannot be located. Scan of the potential energy surface indicates that the concerted transition state, even if it exists, would have a much higher barrier than the stepwise transition state (**TS1**). This is also true for substrate **2a**, see Supporting Information for details.

29. This computed activation energy is comparable to the experimentally calculated value (30.8 kcal/mol). See supporting information for the detailed calculation.
- 30 (a). Quinonero D, Garau C, Rotger C, Frontera A, Ballester P, Costa A, Deya PM. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2002; 41:3389–3392.(b) Schottel BL, Chifotides HT, Dunbar KR. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2008; 37:68–83. [PubMed: 18197334]
- 31 (a). Although a thermal 1,3-hydrogen shift is symmetry-allowed according to the Woodward-Hoffmann rules, the requirement of the Mobius transition state geometry prohibits such a shift. Dedobbelaere JR, Vandijk JMF, Dehaan JW, Buck HM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1977; 99:392–397.; Houk KN, Li Y, Evanseck JD. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1992; 31:682–708.
- 32 (a). Kikugawa Y, Shimada M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1989:1450–1451.(b) Tabolin AA, Ioffe SL. *Chem. Rev.* 2014; 114:5426–5476. [PubMed: 24628517]

Fig. 1.
Properties and applications of OCF_3 -containing arenes.

Fig. 2. Synthesis of (hetero)aryl trifluoromethyl ethers *via* O-trifluoromethylation of *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives followed by OCF₃-migration.¹⁹

Fig. 3. Hammett plot for OCF_3 -migration of $\text{R-C}_6\text{H}_4\text{N(OCF}_3\text{)C(O)Me}$ ($\text{R} = m\text{-OMe, } p\text{-I, } p\text{-Br, } m\text{-F, } m\text{-CO}_2\text{Me, and } m\text{-CF}_3$).

Fig. 4.
Computational investigations of the mechanism of OCF_3 -migration.

a. Radical trap experiments for *O*-trifluoromethylation of **1a** b. Proposed radical *O*-trifluoromethylation reaction mechanism **Scheme 1.**

Radical trap experiments and proposed mechanism for the *O*-trifluoromethylation of *N*-(hetero)aryl-*N*-hydroxylamine derivatives. [a] 1 equiv.

Scheme 2.

OCF₃-Migration in the presence of radical trap. [a] 1 equiv.

a. Crossover experiments

b. Benzoxazole formation via trapping of carbocation intermediate

Scheme 3.

Crossover and trapping of carbocation intermediate experiments.

Scheme 4.

Proposed mechanism for the OCF₃-migration process.