Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2015 September 9; 137(35): 11242–11245. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b07010.

Solution NMR Experiment for Measurement of ^{15}N - ^1H Residual Dipolar Couplings in Large Proteins and Supramolecular Complexes

Alexander Eletsky[§], Surya V.S.R.K. Pulavarti[§], Victor Beaumont^{§,†}, Paul Gollnick[†], and Thomas Szyperski^{*,§}

[§]Department of Chemistry, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States

[†]Department of Biological Sciences, State University of New York at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States

Abstract

NMR residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) are exquisite probes of protein structure and dynamics. A new solution NMR experiment named 2D SE2 *J*-TROSY is presented to measure N-H RDCs for proteins and supramolecular complexes in excess of 200 kDa. This enables validation and refinement of their X-ray crystal and solution NMR structures, and the characterization of structural and dynamic changes occurring upon complex formation. Accurate N-H RDCs were measured at 750 MHz ^1H resonance frequency for 11-mer 93 kDa ^2H , ^{15}N -labeled Trp RNA-binding Attenuator Protein (TRAP) tumbling with a correlation time τ_c of 120 ns. This is about twice as long as for the most slowly tumbling system, for which N-H RDCs could be measured so far, and corresponds to molecular weights ~ 200 kDa at 25 °C. Furthermore, due to the robustness of SE2 *J*-TROSY with respect to residual ^1H density from exchangeable protons, increased sensitivity at ^1H resonance frequencies around 1 GHz promises to enable N-H RDC measurement for even larger systems.

TOC image

Solution NMR Experiment for Measurement of ^{15}N - ^1H Residual Dipolar Couplings in Large Proteins and Supramolecular Complexes

Alexander Eletsky, Surya V.S.R.K. Pulavarti, Victor Beaumont, Paul Gollnick and Thomas Szyperski

*Corresponding Author: szypersk@buffalo.edu.

†Present Addresses: Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, United States.

Supporting Information

Details of sample preparation, methods and results. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

NMR studies of large proteins and supramolecular complexes ($>> \sim 100$ kDa) rely on transverse relaxation optimized spectroscopy¹ (TROSY) with samples having backbone $^{15}\text{N}^1\text{H}$ and/or sidechain $^{13}\text{C}^1\text{H}_3$ moieties in a uniformly ^2H -labeled background. Strategies for respective ^{15}N , ^{13}C , ^1H resonance assignment exist² and structural constraints can be derived from backbone chemical shifts,³ ^1H – ^1H nuclear Overhauser effects and paramagnetic relaxation enhancement, potentially combined with constraints from ‘evolutionary coupling’ of sequence variations.⁴ N-H RDC⁵ measurements, however, are thus far restricted to $\tau_c < \sim 50$ – 60 ns because an experiment to readily measure N-H RDCs for very large systems is not available (Supporting Information section 1; Figure S1). Here we present a solution NMR experiment to measure such RDCs for systems tumbling with τ_c being well above 100 ns.

For $\tau_c \gg 50$ ns, the major limitation for N-H RDC (D -coupling) measurement arises from very fast relaxation of the ^{15}N ‘anti-TROSY’ transition⁶ during scalar coupling (J) evolution. Hence, any new approach needs to separate J -evolution from TROSY-based ^{15}N frequency labeling. Sensitivity enhancement (SE) during a delay τ_J can then be achieved *via* simultaneous detection of both orthogonal signal components resulting from J -evolution,⁷ analogous to preservation of equivalent pathways (PEP).⁸ Furthermore, it is highly advantageous if J -evolution does not shift peak positions in 2D [^{15}N , ^1H] spectra (as for frequency domain measurements^{6, 9}) so that peak assignments can be readily retained.

Based on these criteria, we implemented a novel TROSY-based experiment. As in constant time (ct) J -HSQC,^{8b} J evolves during a fixed delay τ_J and is encoded in peak intensities in two sub-spectra recorded, respectively, with the radio-frequency (r.f.) pulse modules (1) and (2) of Figure 1. In the absence of differential spin relaxation, addition (‘+’) and subtraction (‘−’) of the sub-spectra yields $\cos(\pi J \tau_J)$ - and $\sin(\pi J \tau_J)$ - scaled 2D [^{15}N , ^1H] TROSY spectra; J is calculated from the peak volumes $V^{(+)}$ and $V^{(-)}$ as

$$J = \arctan(V^{(-)}/V^{(+)})/(\pi\tau_J) + n/\tau_J \quad (\text{Equation 1})$$

if J -evolution is n -fold aliased during τ_J (Supporting Information 2). Moreover, calculation of error propagation reveals that precision is highest at $\tau_J = 1/R_{2\text{N}}$, the inverse average transverse relaxation rate of ^{15}N in-phase and anti-phase coherences (Supporting Information 3). Importantly, the ST2-PT TROSY pulse module^{1b, 10} allows combining pulse field gradient (PFG) based SE during ^{15}N -shift evolution with the second SE during J -evolution (product operator¹¹ calculation: Supporting Information 2). Hence, the new

experiment is ‘doubly’ sensitivity enhanced and named ‘2D SE2 J -TROSY’ (for brevity, we will refer to 2D SE TROSY^{1b, 10} as 2D TROSY).

We compared the theoretically expected *precision* of J -measurement of SE2 J -TROSY (Figure 1) with two other TROSY-based approaches, *i.e.* ‘Amide RDCs by TROSY Spectroscopy’ (ARTSY)¹³ and J -modulated TROSY, congener of J -modulated HSQC.¹⁴ ARTSY is the most sensitive approach available thus far for large systems. It involves recording two 2D TROSY spectra acquired with J -evolution of transverse $^1\text{H}^\text{N}$ magnetization during an initial INEPT delay extended to $\tau_\text{A} \approx 1/J$. For the first and second spectrum, J -evolution effectively evolves, respectively, during τ_A and $\tau_\text{A}/2$. J -modulated TROSY relies on sampling $\cos(\pi J\tau)$ -evolution at multiple delays τ prior to ^{15}N frequency labeling, requiring comparably long J -evolution times for precise measurement of J .¹⁴ The same holds for frequency-based methods such as 2D CE-TROSY^{6, 9b} and recording two 2D TROSY spectra with and without ^1H decoupling in $t_1(^{15}\text{N})$.¹⁵ For these two experiments, J -evolution is cosine sampled in concert with ^{15}N frequency labeling and intrinsic sensitivities are comparable to J -modulated TROSY. Hence, we focused on comparing SE2 TROSY with ARTSY and J -modulated TROSY.

We performed error propagation calculations (Figure 2) for a rigid, isotropically reorienting ^2H , ^{15}N -labeled protein neglecting differential relaxation of in-phase/anti-phase terms as well as ^{15}N chemical shift anisotropy (CSA)/ ^{15}N - ^1H dipole-dipole (DD) cross-correlated relaxation during J -evolution (Supporting Information 3). Figure 2 shows that (i) for τ_c up to ~ 30 ns, all three experiments promise to yield, for both β -sheets and α -helices, comparable precision, (ii) for $\tau_\text{c} > \sim 30$ ns, J -modulated TROSY is significantly less precise than SE2 TROSY and ARTSY, (iii) for $\tau_\text{c} > \sim 75$ ns, ARTSY is expected to be significantly less precise than SE2 J -TROSY for α -helices (due to the higher residual ^1H density when compared with β -sheets), and (iv) for $\tau_\text{c} > \sim 120$ ns, ARTSY is less precise than SE2 J -TROSY also for β -sheets. Importantly, even for $\tau_\text{c} > \sim 120$ ns, SE2 J -TROSY is expected to yield quite similar precision for α -helices and β -sheets, reflecting its robustness with respect to residual ^1H density. This is because sensitivity of SE2 J -TROSY depends on $R_{2\text{N}}$ (during J -evolution), which is affected to a lesser degree by the residual proton density than $R_{2\text{H}}$ (during J -evolution) as in ARTSY.

We then assessed the theoretical *accuracy* of J -measurement with SE2 J -TROSY. Accuracy is reduced by spin relaxation during τ_J affecting $V^{(-)}/V^{(+)}$, *i.e.* differential relaxation of $N^\pm$ and $2N^\pm H_z$,¹⁶ and CSA/DD cross-correlated relaxation resulting in differential relaxation of $N^\pm H^\beta$ and $N^\pm H^\alpha$. Hence, we followed previous studies^{16a, 17} to solve the Liouville-von-Neumann equation for the 4-dimensional subspace spanned by N_y , $2N_x H_z$, N_x , and $2N_y H_z$, considering r.f. pulses (Figure 1), chemical shift and J -evolution during τ_J for the spin Hamiltonian. In contrast to the previous studies, we derived an analytical solution considering both the difference of $N^\pm$ and $2N^\pm H_z$ relaxation rates,^{16b} R , and the ^{15}N CSA/DD cross correlated relaxation rate η_N in the regime $(R/(2\pi J))^2 \ll 1$ and $(R/(2\eta_\text{N}))^2 \ll 1$ (Supporting Information 4). For large τ_c , relaxation significantly affects the measured coupling, J_app , obtained with Equation 1. Although $V^{(-)} \sim \sin(\pi J\tau_J)$ still holds, one finds, as Equation 2, that

$$V^{(+)} \propto \cos(\pi J \tau_J) + \frac{\Delta R(\eta_N(\exp(-\eta_S \tau_J) - \cos(\pi J \tau_J)) - \pi J \sin(\pi J \tau_J))}{2(\eta_N^2 + (\pi J)^2)}$$

Figure 3a shows $J = (J_{\text{app}} - J)$ versus τ_c , where J was calculated using Eq. S81 (Supporting Information 5) for a rigid ^2H , ^{15}N -labeled protein tumbling isotropically ($J = -93$ Hz; 750 MHz). Up to $\tau_c \sim 70$ ns, $J/J < 1\%$ so that SE2 J -TROSY enables accurate measurement, while J/J becomes significant for large τ_c and reaches, respectively, $\sim 5\%$ and $\sim 12\%$ for β -sheets and α -helices at $\tau_c \sim 200$ ns. Since R and η_N are difficult to measure accurately for large systems, extraction of J from J_{app} values is challenging for $\tau_c > \sim 70$ ns. However, D is obtained from the difference of couplings with and without partial alignment: $D_{\text{app}} = (J + D)_{\text{app}} - J_{\text{app}}$. Since τ_c is very similar with and without alignment,¹⁸ $(J + D)$ and J cancel largely. Figure 3b shows $D = D_{\text{app}} - J_{\text{app}}$ for $J = -93$ Hz in α -helices, where R is larger than in β -sheets (Figure 3a), for $\tau_c \sim 120$ ns and with D varying between -20 and $+10$ Hz. The calculations predict that D can be accurately measured (within ~ 1 Hz) for $\tau_c \sim 120$ ns. Furthermore, $(J + D)$ is approximately proportional to $J + D$, so that D is proportional to D . For uniform R and η_N values, such a systematic error with $D \sim D$ solely yields a change of the apparent magnitude of the alignment tensor but not inaccurate orientational constraints. Finally, differential dynamic frequency shifts for $N^{\text{H}}\text{H}^{\beta}$ and $N^{\text{H}}\text{H}^{\alpha}$ ^{14, 19} have been shown to be only ~ 0.7 Hz for $\tau_c > \sim 10$ ns,¹⁹ and they cancel likewise.

We verified experimentally high precision and accuracy of J measurement with SE2 J -TROSY for a ~ 1 mM solution of 16 kDa protein ‘OR457’ ($\tau_c \sim 8$ ns; PDB ID 2MR5) without and with partial Pf1 phage¹⁸ alignment. We acquired in duplicate SE2 J -TROSY as well as J -modulated HSQC spectra.¹⁴ This yielded root-mean-squared deviation (r.m.s.d.) around ~ 0.2 Hz calculated between duplicate sets of D -couplings with either of the two methods, and ~ 0.6 Hz between sets obtained with the two methods (Supporting Information 10).

Next, we acquired (Supporting Information 9) in duplicate (i) SE2 J -TROSY, ARTSY and J -modulated TROSY data at 750 MHz and 47.5 °C for a ~ 0.22 mM solution of tryptophan-bound 11-mer 93 kDa ^2H , ^{15}N -labeled Trp RNA-binding Attenuator Protein (TRAP) from *B. stearothermophilus*²⁰ ($\tau_c \sim 31$ ns; Supporting Information 8) without and with Pf1 phage alignment (Figure 4), and (ii) SE2 J -TROSY and ARTSY data at 0 °C ($\tau_c \sim 120$ ns; Figure 5). $^{15}\text{N}/^1\text{H}$ assignments at 47.5 °C²¹ were confirmed using 3D ^{15}N -resolved [$^1\text{H}-^1\text{H}$] NOESY and transferred to 0 °C with a 2D TROSY temperature series. All chemical shifts changed according to temperature coefficients expected for a folded protein,²² confirming that TRAP does not (partially) cold denature. Consistently, τ_c measured (Supporting Information 10) at 0 °C (~ 120 ns) and 47.5 °C (31 ns) are in close agreement with hydrodynamic calculations (120 and 32 ns, respectively; Supporting Information 7) for the TRAP structure²⁰ (Figure 4a). The structure was also used to calculate Q-factors to assess agreement with D -couplings.

At 47.5 °C ($\tau_c \sim 31$ ns; Figure 4; Supporting Information 10), the same high precision of J and D measurement was obtained, as predicted (Figure 2), for SE2 J -TROSY (r.m.s.d. values

between duplicate sets of 58 J - and D -couplings from well resolved peaks are 0.28 and 0.39 Hz), ARTSY (0.33 and 0.43 Hz) and J -modulated TROSY (0.37 and 0.50 Hz). High accuracy of D measurement was validated with (i) r.m.s.d. values for sets of D -couplings from SE2 J -TROSY *versus* ARTSY (0.81 Hz, Figure 4b); SE2 J -TROSY *versus* J -modulated TROSY (0.96 Hz); ARTSY *versus* J -modulated TROSY (0.62 Hz) and (ii) low Q-factors of 0.22, 0.23 and 0.20 obtained, respectively, for D -couplings from SE2 J -TROSY, ARTSY and J -modulated TROSY.

In accordance with predictions (Figure 2), at 0 °C ($\tau_c \sim 120$ ns), J -modulated TROSY is not sufficiently sensitive, while rather *precise* RDCs are obtained (Supporting Information 10) with SE2 J -TROSY (r.m.s.d. between duplicate sets of 45 RDCs is 1.9 Hz) and ARTSY (2.3 Hz). However, Q-factors (SE2 J -TROSY: 0.25; ARTSY: 0.51) (Figure 5; Supporting Information 10) revealed that only SE2 J -TROSY offered sufficient *accuracy* to derive orientational constraints (R.m.s.d. between SE2 and ARTSY derived RDC sets: 3.0 Hz). This finding may, at least partially, be due to the fact that ARTSY is affected to a larger degree by $^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}$ CSA/DD cross-correlated relaxation than SE2 J -TROSY is by R and ^{15}N CSA/DD relaxation ($^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}$ cross-correlated relaxation is only partially suppressed in the second ARTSY spectrum¹³).

Taken together, we showed that SE2 J -TROSY employed at a moderately high ^1H frequency of 750 MHz enables accurate measurement of N-H RDCs up to $\tau_c \sim 120$ ns, which corresponds to molecular weights of ~ 200 kDa at 25 °C. Moreover, increased sensitivity offered by 1.0 – 1.2 GHz spectrometers close to the ‘magic TROSY field’,^{1a} along with sensitivity enhancement from paramagnetic relaxation agents²³ and possibly dynamic nuclear polarization,²⁴ promise to enable N-H RDC measurement up to $\tau_c \sim 200$ ns. For even longer τ_c , residual ^1H density can be reduced by adding 50% $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and cross-relaxation induced polarization transfer (CRIPT)²⁵ can be employed. Notably, (i) solid state NMR spectroscopy promises to enable sequential N-H resonance assignment for even the largest systems,²⁶ and (ii) aromatic TROSY-based²⁷ SE2 J -TROSY can be envisaged for aromatic ^{13}C - ^1H RDCs²⁸ with alternate ^{13}C labeling.²⁹

SE2 J -TROSY measurement of N-H RDCs will enable (i) assessment and refinement of X-ray structures of large proteins and supramolecular complexes for solution studies, (ii) structure-based $^{15}\text{N}/^1\text{H}$ resonance assignment, and (iii) characterization of conformational and dynamic changes upon complex formation (*e.g.* to study allostery). The new approach alleviates an important size limitation of solution NMR.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NSF (MCB 0817857 to T.S. and MCB 1019969 to P.G.) and NIH (U54 GM094597 to T.S.). We thank Dr. M. Foster, Ohio State University, for providing TRAP backbone $^{15}\text{N}/^1\text{H}$ chemical shifts and for recommending alignment with Pf1 phages, and Drs. A. Ghosh and B. Sathyamoorthy for support in the initial phase of the project.

References

1. (a) Pervushin K, Riek R, Wider G, Wuthrich K. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1997; 94:12366–12371. [PubMed: 9356455] (b) Pervushin KV, Wider G, Wuthrich K. *J Biomol NMR*. 1998; 12:345–348. [PubMed: 21136330] (c) Tugarinov V, Hwang PM, Ollerenshaw JE, Kay LE. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2003; 125:10420–10428. [PubMed: 12926967]
2. Goebel C, Madl T, Simon B, Sattler M. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc*. 2014; 80:26–63. [PubMed: 24924266]
3. Shen Y, Lange O, Delaglio F, Rossi P, Aramini JM, Liu G, Eletsky A, Wu Y, Singarapu KK, Lemak A, Ignatchenko A, Arrowsmith CH, Szyperski T, Montelione GT, Baker D, Bax A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:4685–4690. [PubMed: 18326625]
4. Tang Y, Huang YJ, Hopf TA, Sander C, Marks DS, Montelione GT. *Nat Methods*. 2015; 8:751–754. [PubMed: 26121406]
5. (a) Chen, K.; Tjandra, N. The Use of Residual Dipolar Coupling in Studying Proteins by NMR. In: Zhu, G., editor. *NMR of Proteins and Small Biomolecules*. Vol. 326. Springer; Berlin Heidelberg: 2012. p. 47–67. (b) de Alba E, Tjandra N. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc*. 2002; 40:175–197. (c) Prestegard JH, Bougault CM, Kishore AI. *Chem, Rev*. 2004; 104:3519–3540. [PubMed: 15303825]
6. Bhattacharya A, Revington M, Zuiderweg ERP. *J Magn Reson*. 2010; 203:11–28. [PubMed: 20018538]
7. Atreya HS, Garcia E, Shen Y, Szyperski T. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:680–692. [PubMed: 17227032]
8. (a) Palmer AG, Cavanagh J, Wright PE, Rance M. *J Magn Reson*. 1991; 93:151–170. (b) Tolman JR, Prestegard JH. *Journal of Magnetic Resonance Series B*. 1996; 112:245–252. [PubMed: 8921605]
9. (a) Ding KY, Gronenborn AM. *J Magn Reson*. 2003; 163:208–214. [PubMed: 12914836] (b) Jain NU, Noble S, Prestegard JH. *J Mol Biol*. 2003; 328:451–462. [PubMed: 12691753]
10. Weigelt J. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:10778–10779.
11. Sorensen OW, Eich GW, Levitt MH, Bodenhausen G, Ernst RR. *Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc*. 1983; 16:163–192.
12. Grzesiek S, Bax A. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1993; 115:12593–12594.
13. Fitzkee NC, Bax A. *J Biomol NMR*. 2010; 48:65–70. [PubMed: 20694505]
14. Tjandra N, Grzesiek S, Bax A. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1996; 118:6264–6272.
15. Schwieters CD, Suh J-Y, Grishaev A, Ghirlando R, Takayama Y, Clore GM. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:13026–13045. [PubMed: 20731394]
16. (a) Harbison GS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1993; 115:3026–3027. (b) Peng JW, Wagner G. *Biochemistry*. 1992; 31:8571–8586. [PubMed: 1390643]
17. (a) Ghose R, Prestegard JH. *J Magn Reson*. 1998; 134:308–314. (b) Meersmann T, Bodenhausen G. *Chem Phys Lett*. 1996; 257:374–380.
18. Hansen MR, Mueller L, Pardi A. *Nat Struct Biol*. 1998; 5:1065–1074. [PubMed: 9846877]
19. Bruschweiler R. *Chem Phys Lett*. 1996; 257:119–122.
20. Chen XP, Antson AA, Yang M, Li P, Baumann C, Dodson EJ, Dodson GG, Gollnick P. *J Mol Biol*. 1999; 289:1003–1016. [PubMed: 10369778]
21. McElroy C, Manfredo A, Wendt A, Gollnick P, Foster M. *J Mol Biol*. 2002; 323:463–473. [PubMed: 12381302]
22. Baxter NJ, Williamson MP. *J Biomol NMR*. 1997; 9:359–369. [PubMed: 9255942]
23. Oktaviani N, Risør M, Lee Y-H, Megens R, de Jong D, Otten R, Scheek R, Enghild J, Nielsen N, Ikegami T, Mulder FA. *J Biomol NMR*. 2015; 62:129–142. [PubMed: 25820763]
24. Günther, UL. Dynamic Nuclear Hyperpolarization in Liquids. In: Heise, H.; Matthews, S., editors. *Modern NMR Methodology*. Vol. 335. Springer; Berlin Heidelberg: 2013. p. 23–69.
25. Riek R, Wider G, Pervushin K, Wuthrich K. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1999; 96:4918–4923. [PubMed: 10220394]
26. Mainz A, Religa TL, Sprangers R, Linser R, Kay LE, Reif B. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2013; 52:8746–8751.

27. Eletsky A, Atreya HS, Liu GH, Szyperski T. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:14578–14579. [PubMed: 16231903]
28. Sathyamoorthy B, Singarapu KK, Garcia AE, Szyperski T. *ChemBioChem.* 2013; 14:684–688. [PubMed: 23494854]
29. (a) Milbradt AG, Arthanari H, Takeuchi K, Boeszoermyeni A, Hagn F, Wagner G. *J Biomol NMR.* 2015; 62:291–301. [PubMed: 25957757] (b) Weininger U, Respondek M, Akke M. *J Biomol NMR.* 2012; 54:9–14. [PubMed: 22833056]

Figure 1.

R.f. pulse sequence of 2D SE2 *J*-TROSY. The modules in dashed boxes labeled (1) and (2) are applied to acquire two sub-spectra. $\tau_J \approx 1/R_{2N}$ is the delay for *J*-evolution. Rectangular 90° and 180° pulses are indicated by thin and thick vertical bars, and phases for Agilent spectrometers are indicated above the pulses. Where no r.f. phase is marked, the pulse is applied along *x*. $\delta = 2\text{pwN}/\pi$ compensates for shift and *J*-evolution during the 90° ¹⁵N r.f. pulse of duration pwN (phase ϕ_1) and the subsequent 180° ¹⁵N and ¹H r.f. pulses (Supporting Information 2). High-power 90° pulse lengths: ~13 μs for ¹H, ~34 μs for ¹⁵N. Duration and strengths of sine-bell shaped PFGs: *G*₁ (0.5 ms, 14.7 G/cm); *G*₂ (0.5 ms, 10.3 G/cm); *G*₃ (0.5 ms, -14.7 G/cm); *G*₄ (1 ms, 26.6 G/cm); *G*₅ (1 ms, 14.2 G/cm); *G*₆ (2 ms, 31.9 G/cm); *G*₇ (0.5 ms, 17 G/cm); *G*₈ (0.5 ms, 8 G/cm); *G*₉ (0.2 ms, 32.4 G/cm). τ_J , τ_1 , τ_2 , τ_3 and phase ϕ_4 (Table S1) are optimized for higher sensitivity and/or artifact suppression (Supporting Information 2.3). Phase cycling: $\phi_1=x, -x$; $\phi_2=x, x, y, y, -x, -x, -y, -y$; $\phi_3=x$; $\phi_4=y$; $\phi_{\text{rec}}=x, -x, -x, x$. Quadrature detection in *t*₁(¹⁵N): recording of a second dataset with phases ϕ_3 , ϕ_4 and gradient *G*₉ inverted.¹⁰ Water magnetization is flipped back¹² by two 1.4 ms soft pulses (sinc center lobe). Dashed 90° ¹⁵N pulses and gradients *G*₁ and *G*₂ purge ¹⁵N steady state magnetization to quantify the impact of *R* on *J*-measurement (see text); they should be omitted otherwise for somewhat improved sensitivity and accuracy of *J*-measurement (Supporting Information 4). Importantly, ¹⁵N CSA/DD cross correlated relaxation during τ_J is identical for modules (1) and (2) (except for 2δ which is negligible).

Figure 2.

Precision of J -measurement calculated for a $^{15}\text{N}, ^2\text{H}$ -labeled protein tumbling at τ_c : SE2 J -TROSY (thick lines), ARTSY (thin lines) and J -modulated TROSY (dots) $^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}\text{-}^1\text{H}^{\text{N}}$ distances in secondary structure elements and 3.4% residual protonation otherwise were considered (Supporting Information 5). Plotted *versus* τ_c is the product of the standard deviations for J -measurement, σ_J , and the ‘volume over noise ratio’, V_0/N , observed in a reference TROSY spectrum. The curves for SE2 J -TROSY and ARTSY are from analytical solutions of error propagation calculations and dots for J -modulated TROSY are from simulations (Supporting Information 3).

Figure 3.

(a) Plot of calculated $J = (J_{app} - J)$ versus τ_c at $\tau_J = 1/R_{2N}$ for a rigid ^2H , ^{15}N -labeled protein tumbling isotropically ($J = -93$ Hz; 750 MHz); the distances between $^1\text{H}^N$ and other ^1H are as in Figure 2 (solid line: β -sheet; dashed line: α -helix). (b) For α -helix at $\tau_c = 120$ ns: plot of, $D_{app} = (J+D)_{app} - J_{app}$ versus D being between -20 and 10 Hz. The corresponding range of D is indicated at the bottom: the small D allows derivation of orientational constraints.⁵

Figure 4.

(a) Ribbon drawing of 93 kDa 11-mer Trp RNA-binding Attenuator Protein (TRAP) from *B. stearothermophilus*²⁰ with Trp (shown as 'ball-and-stick' representations) bound to the subunits (PDB ID: 1QAW). (b) D -couplings and standard deviations (calculated *via* error propagation from peak V/N ratios; bars) measured at 47.5 °C ($\tau_c \sim 31$ ns; measurement time 47 hrs for each experiment; $V_0/N \sim 270$ in reference 2D TROSY; Table S1).

Figure 5. D_{calc} predicted from TRAP structure *versus* D measured at 0 °C ($\tau_c \sim 120$ ns) with (a) SE2 J -TROSY and (b) ARTSY. For D , the mean of the duplicate measurements (Table S1) and standard deviations (calculated *via* error propagation from peak V/N ratios; horizontal bars) are displayed. For D_{calc} , mean values and standard deviations (vertical bars) are displayed as obtained for the 11 subunits of the TRAP X-ray crystal structure (total measurement time 84 hrs for each experiment; $V_0/N \sim 180$ in reference TROSY; Table S1).