Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2016 May 20; 18(10): 2371–2374. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.6b00815.

Palladium-Catalyzed Selective α -Alkenylation of Pyridylmethyl Ethers with Vinyl Bromides

Xiaodong Yang^{†,‡,*}, Byeong-Seon Kim[‡], Minyan Li[‡], and Patrick J. Walsh^{†,*}

[†]Key Laboratory of Medicinal Chemistry for Natural Resource, Ministry of Education, School of Chemical Science and Technology, Yunnan University, Kunming, 650091, P. R. China

[‡]Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, United States

Abstract

An efficient palladium-catalyzed α -alkenylation of pyridylmethyl ethers with vinyl bromides is presented. A Pd/NIXANTPHOS-based catalyst system enables a mild and chemoselective coupling between a variety of pyridylmethyl ethers and vinyl bromides in good to excellent yields. Under the mild conditions, β,γ -unsaturated products are obtained without isomerization or Heck byproducts observed.

Graphical Abstract

Transition metal catalyzed vinylations of organic compounds with alkenyl halides is an attractive transformation as well as a long-standing challenge to synthetic chemists.¹ Direct alkenylation of carbanion nucleophiles with vinyl halides is one of the most efficient and economic approaches for installing β,γ -unsaturated units. Despite the large number of transition metal catalyzed methods to mediate C(sp³)-H functionalization reactions with aryl halides electrophiles, use of vinyl halides has been limited to enolate² and nitronate³ nucleophiles (Scheme 1a–b). Methods employing less acidic nucleophiles have met with very limited success.

We have reported a series of catalytic functionalizations of weakly acidic sp³-hybridized C–H bonds via a deprotonative–cross-coupling process (DCCP).⁴ These reactions involve

*Corresponding Authors: ; Email: pwalsh@sas.upenn.edu (P.J.W.). ; Email: xdyang@ynu.edu.cn (X.Y.)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b00815. Procedures, characterization data for all new compounds (PDF)

reversible in situ deprotonation of substrates (pronucleophiles) to generate nucleophiles for transmetalation with the catalyst. Recently, we disclosed a versatile and chemoselective arylation of 2-pyridylmethyl ethers and tandem arylation/[1,2]-Wittig rearrangement.⁵ Despite these efforts, the direct intermolecular C–H alkenylation of pyridylmethyl ethers with vinyl bromides remains unknown. Herein, we report a novel monoalkenylation of pyridylmethyl ethers with vinyl bromides. The pK_a values of the benzylic C–H's of 2- and 4-pyridylmethyl ethers are unknown, but likely similar to those of 2- and 4-methylpyridine (pK_a 's 32–34 in THF).⁶ These high pK_a values make the identification of base/solvent and catalyst combinations that will promote deprotonation of the pyridylmethyl ether, but not the more acidic product, challenging. Furthermore, because the product contains an olefin, byproduct formation derived from the Heck reaction must be suppressed.

We initiated studies of the coupling between 4-pyridylmethyl ethyl ether **1a** and 2-bromoprop-1-ene **2a** with ligand screening on a microscale (0.01 mmol). A total of 41 electronically diverse mono- and bidentate phosphines were examined using Pd(OAc)₂ as the metal source, LiN(SiMe₃)₂ as the base, CPME as the solvent, 12 h of reaction time, and 65 °C (see the Supporting Information for details). The four most promising ligands from this screen were NIXANTPHOS (**L1**), DCE-Phos (**L2**), *rac*-BINAP (**L3**), and PXy₃ (**L4**) (Figure 1). Laboratory scale-up (0.1 mmol) led to the alkenylation product in 78% (NIXANTPHOS), 60% (DCE-Phos), 59% (*rac*-BINAP), and 49% (PXy₃) assay yields (AY) after 1 h (AY determined by ¹H NMR analysis, Table 1, entries 1–4). Interestingly, reducing the catalyst loading from 10 to 2.5 mol % led to a similar assay yield (75%, entry 5). The moderate yield inspired us to conduct a second microscale screen (0.01 mmol) focusing on palladium sources {Pd(OAc)₂, Pd(dba)₂, [PdCl(allyl)]₂, PdCl₂(COD), Pd(NCPh)₂Cl₂, [Pd(2-methylallyl)Cl]₂} and four solvents [THF, CPME, DME, and toluene] with LiN(SiMe₃)₂ at 65 °C for 1 h. The two top Pd/solvent combinations from this screen were [PdCl(allyl)]₂/CPME and Pd(dba)₂/CPME. Scaling to 0.1 mmol resulted in 74% ([PdCl(allyl)]₂) and 88% (Pd(dba)₂) assay yields (entries 6 and 7, respectively).

The Pd:NIXANTPHOS ratio was next examined. Changing the Pd/ligand ratio from 1:1.5 to 1:2 resulted in no change in AY (entry 8). When a 1:1 Pd/L ratio was employed, however, the AY increased to 96% (entry 9). This increase in activity is likely due to inhibition by excess ligand.⁷ Under identical reaction conditions, with the exception of substitution of NaN(SiMe₃)₂ for LiN(SiMe₃)₂, only a 60% AY was obtained (entry 10), highlighting the important role of the counterion in DCCPs with NIXANTPHOS. Further reducing the catalyst loading to 1 mol % provided a 95% AY and 94% isolated yield (entry 11). Attempts to further reduce the amount of the catalyst to 0.5 mol % resulted in a drop in the AY (77%, entry 12).

Starting from the optimized conditions in Table 1 (entry 11), we explored the alkenylation of 4-pyridylmethyl ethyl ether **1a** with a variety of vinyl bromides (Table 2). In general, at a 1 mol % catalyst loading, alkenylated products were formed with very good yields with no product isomerization observed by ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixtures. As noted, 2-bromoprop-1-ene **2a** rendered product **3aa** in 94% yield. Trisubstituted 2-bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene **2b** provided product in 91% yield under standard conditions. Coupling of **1a** with *trans*- and *cis*-2-bromobut-2-ene (**2c** and **2d**) delivered **3ac** and **3ad** in 92% and 80%

yields using a 2.5 and 1 mol % catalyst loading, respectively. We observed that the geometries of products were retained in each case. 1-Bromo-2-methylprop-1-ene **2e** and (bromomethylene) cyclohexane **2f** were successfully coupled with **1a** to afford **3ae** and **3af** in 71% and 70% yields, respectively. Vinyl bromides with aryl substituents (1-bromovinyl)benzene (**2g**) and hindered bromotriphenyl-ethylene (**2h**) afforded **3ag** and **3ah** in 80% and 53% yields at 1 and 10 mol % Pd loadings, respectively. Finally, (1-bromovinyl)trimethylsilane successfully coupled with **1a** furnishing the product **3ai** in 65% yield (1 mol % catalyst).

We next examined the alkenylation of 4- and 2-pyridylmethyl ethers bearing different ether O-R substituents (Table 3). In general, all the pyridylmethyl alkyl or aryl ethers exhibited a good to excellent yield with no isomerization products observed (¹H NMR). The 4-pyridylmethyl methyl ether (**1b**) coupled with 2-bromoprop-1-ene (**2a**) to give product **3ba** in 93% yield with a 1 mol % catalyst loading. 4-Pyridylmethyl *tert*-butyl ether (**1c**) underwent alkenylation with 2-bromoprop-1-ene (**2a**) providing a 72% yield. Alkenylation of 4-pyridylmethyl cyclohexyl ether (**1d**) with a 5 mol % catalyst loading gave a 50% yield after 10 h. 4-Pyridylmethyl alkyl ethers, including methyl ether (**1b**) and *tert*-butyl ether (**1c**), underwent coupling with 2-bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene (**2b**) to give products **3bb** and **3cb** in 97% and 75% yields under the standard conditions. Furthermore, 4-pyridylmethyl aryl ethers, including phenyl (**1f**), 4-isopropylphenyl (**1g**), 4-methoxy-phenyl (**1h**), and 4-fluorophenyl (**1i**), were coupled with 2-bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene (**2b**) (10 mol % catalyst), leading to products **3fb**, **3gb**, **3hb**, and **3ib** in 65%, 71%, 62%, and 74% yields, respectively.

As mentioned earlier, 2-picoline derivatives are less acidic than 4-picolines by a factor of about 100. This difference in acidity is reflected in the reduced suitability of 2-pyridylmethyl ethers in this chemistry. The 2-pyridylmethyl *tert*-butyl ether (**1e**) and *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl ether (**1j**) underwent coupling with 2-bromoprop-1-ene (**2a**) and 2-bromo-3-methylbut-2-ene (**2b**) to provide the corresponding products **3ea**, **3ja**, and **3jb** in 65%, 51%, and 50% yields, respectively. Other 2-pyridylmethyl ethers exhibited poor yields.

Finally, we evaluated the scalability of our method. Conducting the alkenylation of **1b** with 2-bromoprop-1-ene **2a** on a 10 mmol scale with a 1.0 mol % Pd loading (Scheme 2) provided product **3ba** in 83% yield (1.35 g).

In summary, we have developed an efficient and versatile palladium-catalyzed alkenylation of pyridylmethyl ethers with vinyl bromides. The screening of ligands indicated that a (NIXANTPHOS)Pd-based catalyst exhibited the highest reactivity. Under our reaction conditions, a range of pyridylmethyl ethers underwent coupling with vinyl bromides in good to excellent yields. Importantly, no product isomerization or sequential Heck reactions were observed. These observations suggest that an enantioselective version may be possible. Efforts to develop enantioselective catalysts for this reaction are currently being pursued.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

P.J.W. thanks the National Science Foundation (CHE-1464744) and National Institutes of Health (NIGMS 104349) for financial support. X.Y. thanks the Program for China Scholarship Council (201408535034), NSFC (21462049), and Excellent Young Talents of Yunnan University.

References

1. Denmark SE, Butler CR. *Chem Commun.* 2009; 1:20.
2. For a review on Pd- and Ni-catalyzed alkenylation of enolates, see: Ankner T, Cosner CC, Helquist P. *Chem - Eur J.* 2013; 19:1858. [PubMed: 23325616] For selected examples on the development of the Pd-catalyzed α -alkenylation of enolates, see: Grigalunas M, Ankner T, Norrby PO, Wiest O, Helquist P. *Org Lett.* 2014; 16:3970. [PubMed: 25032503] Grigalunas M, Ankner T, Norrby PO, Wiest O, Helquist P. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2015; 137:7019. [PubMed: 26024472] Grigalunas M, Norrby PO, Wiest O, Helquist P. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2015; 54:11822. Hamada T, Buchwald SL. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:999. [PubMed: 11893206] Huang J, Bunel E, Faul MM. *Org Lett.* 2007; 9:4343. [PubMed: 17887766] Chieffi A, Kamikawa K, Åhman J, Fox JM, Buchwald SL. *Org Lett.* 2001; 3:1897. [PubMed: 11405739] Hardegger LA, Habegger J, Donohoe TJ. *Org Lett.* 2015; 17:3222. [PubMed: 26087079] Piers E, Marais PC. *J Org Chem.* 1990; 55:3454. Piers E, Oballa RM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1995; 36:5857. Solé D, Peidró E, Bonjoch J. *Org Lett.* 2000; 2:2225. [PubMed: 10930249] For recent examples of the use of Pd-catalyzed α -alkenylation in synthesis, see: Liu F, Li C. *Org Biomol Chem.* 2014; 12:637. [PubMed: 24297001] Edwankar CR, Edwankar RV, Deschamps JR, Cook JM. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2012; 51:11762. Cosner CC, Bhaskara Reddy Iska V, Chatterjee A, Markiewicz JT, Corden SJ, Löfstedt J, Ankner T, Richer J, Hulett T, Schauer DJ, Wiest O, Helquist P. *Eur J Org Chem.* 2013; 2013:162. Yao Y, Liang G. *Org Lett.* 2012; 14:5499. [PubMed: 23095081]
3. Padilla-Salinas R, Walvoord RR, Tcyrlunikov S, Kozłowski MC. *Org Lett.* 2013; 15:3966. [PubMed: 23885976]
4. (a) Li M, Gonzalez-Esguevillas M, Berritt S, Yang X, Bellomo A, Walsh PJ. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2016; 55:2825. (b) McGrew GI, Stanciu C, Zhang J, Carroll PJ, Dreher SD, Walsh PJ. *Angew Chem, Int Ed.* 2012; 51:11510. (c) Gao F, Kim BS, Walsh PJ. *Chem Commun.* 2014; 50:10661. (d) Bellomo A, Zhang J, Trongsirawat N, Walsh PJ. *Chem Sci.* 2013; 4:849. (e) Cao X, Sha SC, Li M, Kim BS, Morgan C, Huang R, Yang X, Walsh PJ. *Chem Sci.* 2016; 7:611. [PubMed: 27213035] (f) Li M, Yucel B, Adrio J, Bellomo A, Walsh PJ. *Chem Sci.* 2014; 5:2383. [PubMed: 25396041] (g) Zhang J, Bellomo A, Creamer AD, Dreher SD, Walsh PJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2012; 134:13765. [PubMed: 22816972] (h) Li M, Yucel B, Jimenez-Hernandez J, Rotella M, Fu Y, Walsh PJ. *Adv Synth Catal.* in press. (i) Zheng B, Li M, Gao G, He Y, Walsh PJ. *Adv Synth Catal.* in press. (j) Kim BS, Jimenez J, Gao F, Walsh PJ. *Org Lett.* 2015; 17:5788. [PubMed: 26576005] (k) Li M, Berritt S, Walsh PJ. *Org Lett.* 2014; 16:4312. [PubMed: 25093713]
5. (a) Gao F, Kim BS, Walsh PJ. *Chem Sci.* 2016; 7:976. [PubMed: 27014434] (b) Rivero AR, Kim BS, Walsh PJ. *Org Lett.* 2016; 18:1590. [PubMed: 27004592]
6. (a) Bordwell FG. *Acc Chem Res.* 1988; 21:456. (b) Fraser RR, Mansour TS, Savard S. *J Org Chem.* 1985; 50:3232.
7. (a) Barrios-Landeros F, Carrow BP, Hartwig JF. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2009; 131:8141. [PubMed: 19469511] (b) Barrios-Landeros F, Hartwig JF. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:6944. [PubMed: 15884925] (c) Klingensmith LM, Strieter ER, Barder TE, Buchwald SL. *Organometallics.* 2006; 25:82.

Figure 1.
Structures of leading ligands in preliminary catalyst identification with $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (Table 1).

Previous work

(a) An example of enolate vinylation

(b) Nitronate arylation/Tsuji-Trost allylic substitution

This work **Scheme 1.**

Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Alkenylation Chemistry

Scheme 2.

Gram Scale Preparation of 4-(1-Methoxy-2-methylallyl)pyridine (3ba)

Optimization of Alkenylation of 4-Pyridylmethyl Ethyl Ether with 2-Bromoprop-1-ene 2a^{a, b}

Table 1

	Pd source	L	Pd/L (mol %)	base	assay yield (%)
1	Pd(OAc) ₂	L1	10/15	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	78
2	Pd(OAc) ₂	L2	10/15	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	60
3	Pd(OAc) ₂	L3	10/15	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	59
4	Pd(OAc) ₂	L4	10/15	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	49
5	Pd(OAc) ₂	L1	2.5/3.75	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	75
6	[PdCl(allyl)] ₂	L1	2.5/3.75	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	74
7	Pd(dba) ₂	L1	2.5/3.75	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	88
8	Pd(dba) ₂	L1	2.5/5.0	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	88
9	Pd(dba) ₂	L1	2.5/2.5	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	96
10	Pd(dba) ₂	L1	2.5/2.5	NaN(SiMe ₃) ₂	60
11	Pd(dba) ₂	L1	1.0/1.0	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	95(94) ^c
12	Pd(dba) ₂	L1	0.5/0.5	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	77

^a Reactions conducted on a 0.1 mmol scale using 1 equiv of **1a**, and 1.5 equiv of **2a**.

^b Assay yields determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixtures.

^c Isolated yield after chromatographic purification.

Table 2

Scope of Vinyl Bromides in α -Alkenylation of 4-Pyridylmethyl Ethyl Ether **1a**^a

1a	2a-2i	3ab-3ai
3aa 94% y.	3ab 91% y.	3ac 92% y. ^b
3ad 80% y.	3ae 71% y. ^d	3af 70% y. ^d
3ag 80% y. ^d	3ah 53% y. ^c	3ai 65% y. ^e

^a Reactions conducted on 0.2 mmol scale using 1 equiv of **1a** and 1.5 equiv of vinyl bromide **2**. Isolated yields after chromatographic purification.^b 2.5 mol % Pd loading.^c 10 mol % Pd loading, 3 h reaction time.^d 0.5 h reaction time.^e 3 h reaction time.

Table 3Scope of Pyridylmethyl Ethers in Alkenylation with Vinyl Bromides^a

^aReactions conducted on a 0.2 mmol scale using 1 equiv of pyridylmethyl ethers **1** and 1.5 equiv of vinyl bromides **2**. Isolated yield after chromatographic purification.

^b1.0 mol % Pd loading.

^c10 h reaction time.

^d10 mol % Pd loading, 5 h reaction time.