Published in final edited form as:

J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2016 June 1; 17(6): 519–524. doi:10.1016/j.jamda.2016.02.004.

Nursing home provider perceptions of telemedicine for reducing potentially avoidable hospitalizations

Julia Driessen, PhD^{a,g}, Andro Bonhomme, MD^b, Woody Chang, MD^c, David A. Nace, MD, MPH^d, Dio Kavalieratos^e, Subashan Perera, PhD^{d,f}, and Steven M. Handler, MD, PhD^{d,g}

^a Department of Health Policy and Management, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^b University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^c Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center-- Medical Education, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^d Division of Geriatric Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^e Division of General Internal Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^f Department of Biostatistics, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

^g Department of Biomedical Informatics, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

Abstract

Objective—Potentially avoidable hospitalizations (PAHs) of nursing home (NH) residents are common, costly, and can have significant economic consequences. Telemedicine has been shown to reduce emergency department and hospitalization of NH residents, yet adoption has been limited and little is known about provider's perceptions and desired functionality for a telemedicine program. The goal of this study was to survey a nationally representative sample of NH physicians and advanced practice providers to quantify provider perceptions and desired functionality of telemedicine in NHs to reduce PAHs.

Design/Setting/Participants/Measurement—We surveyed physician and advanced practice providers who attended the 2015 AMDA – The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care

Corresponding Author: Steven M. Handler MD, PhD, University of Pittsburgh, Division of Geriatric Medicine, 3471 5th Ave. Suite 500, Kaufmann Medical Bldg., Pittsburgh, PA 15213, Phone Office: 412-958-5973, Mobile: 412-310-0033, Fax #: 888-647-3820, ; Email: handlersm@upmc.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Competing interests/conflicts of interests: None.

Ethics approval: Ethics approval was provided by the University of Pittsburgh.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer-reviewed.

Medicine Annual Conference about their perceptions of telemedicine and desired attributes of a telemedicine program for managing acute changes of condition associated with PAHs.

Results—We received surveys from 435 of the 947 conference attendees for a 45.6% response rate. Providers indicated strong agreement with the potential for telemedicine to improve timeliness of care and fill existing service gaps, while disagreeing most with the ideas that telemedicine would reduce care effectiveness and jeopardize resident privacy. Responses indicated clear preferences for the technical requirements of such a program, such as high-quality audio and video and inclusion of an electronic stethoscope, but without strong opinions about who should be performing the consults.

Conclusion—Among NH providers, there is a high degree of confidence in the potential for a telemedicine solution to PAHs in NHs, as well as concrete views about features of such a solution. Such consensus could be used to drive an approach to telemedicine for PAHs in NHs that retains the theoretical strengths of telemedicine and reflects the needs of facilities, providers, and patients. Further research is needed to objectively study the impact of successful telemedicine implementations on patient, provider, and economic outcomes.

Keywords

Nursing homes; telemedicine; telehealth; hospitalization; hospital readmission

INTRODUCTION

Nursing home (NH) residents frequently experience potentially avoidable hospitalizations (PAHs), which are defined by CMS as hospitalizations that could have been avoided because the condition could have been prevented or treated outside of an inpatient hospital setting.¹ A disproportionate number of PAHs come from NHs and short-stay skilled NH residents experience PAHs at a rate of 690 per 1000 patient-years, whereas for long-stay NH residents the rate is 285 per 1000.¹ PAHs expose frail residents to unnecessary health risks and subject payers to additional expense. A primary reason for PAHs in the NH is the lack of access to qualified physicians and advanced practice providers, coupled with the absence of appropriate clinical decision support tools to guide care consistent with care plan goals.²

A NH telemedicine care model for addressing PAHs could improve access to remote providers by allowing them to evaluate a resident with an acute change of condition and recommend a course of treatment without having to do an on-site face-to-face evaluation. The additional telemedicine consult functionality above and beyond what would be feasible via a telephonic consult, such as high-quality audio and video, as well as access to diagnostic tools such as an electronic stethoscope, otoscope or EKG, could allow for a more timely and detailed assessment of a resident's condition and reduce PAHs.³ Moreover, appropriate clinical decision support tools, such as Interventions to Reduce Acute Care Transfers (INTERACT)-compatible order sets, can be coupled with telemedicine technology to reduce the variability in care provided. Order sets have independently been shown to improve the quality of care, reduce the incidence of common adverse events, and frequency of hospitalizations and emergency department visits.⁴ In fact, a recent study showed that, among facilities more engaged in after-hours telemedicine coverage, the monthly

hospitalization rate declined by 8.4% relative to less engaged facilities, representing an average savings of \$151,000 for each of these homes.⁵

Despite the potential benefits, telemedicine adoption in NHs has been limited and additional strategies to manage PAHs are needed. A key stakeholder group in technology adoption and new models of care is providers,^{6,7} whose perceptions of and willingness to use a novel care modality have been identified as a critical element of successful implementation.⁸ Despite the known importance of involving clinicians from the clinical environment in the development and implementation of health information technology (HIT), such involvement has been lacking, most notably in the implementation of electronic medical records (EMRs) in a variety of clinical settings.⁹⁻¹¹ The burgeoning interest in telemedicine in the NH setting represents an opportunity to consider the sociotechnical (an approach to complex organizational work design that recognizes the interaction between people and technology)^{12,13} aspects of a telemedicine solution while developing a novel care modality for the specific setting and the providers who will be using it. This type of tailoring may increase provider and NH engagement, which in turn may heighten the potential impact of telemedicine on an outcome such as PAHs. In the present paper, we describe a survey of NH providers (physicians and advance practice providers), capturing their perceptions and desired attributes of a NH telemedicine program designed to ultimately reduce PAHs.

METHODS

Participants

Potentially eligible participants included the 947 attendees of the 38th AMDA – The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine Annual Conference, held in March 2015 in Louisville, Kentucky. Physician and advanced practice providers were targeted based on their pivotal role in diagnosing and treating acute changes of condition that are associated with PAHs.

Survey Development

We developed a paper survey to gather information regarding perceptions and desired attributes of telemedicine for use in NHs. The survey was modified from Hu et al¹⁴ to include NH-specific content and included four components (see Appendix): first, a case vignette of a NH resident experiencing an acute change of condition and a description of how telemedicine could be used; second, a series of statements about the use of telemedicine in NHs designed to capture perceived benefits and concerns (“perceptions”); third, desired attributes of a telemedicine program to reduce PAHs of NH residents (“attributes”); and fourth, a section capturing demographic information. The vignette was included to provide an example of a telemedicine program and serve as a common framework for survey respondents in thinking about the role of telemedicine and what a telemedicine program should look like. The section on perceptions used a 7-point Likert scale to gauge agreement, ranging from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree,” with lower numbers indicating stronger agreement. For the section on desired attributes, the importance of possible items was queried using a 4-point Likert scale ranging from “extremely important” to “not very important,” with lower numbers indicating greater importance. The final survey was beta-

tested prior to the AMDA annual conference by two physicians and two advanced practice providers with substantial experience in the NH setting.

Survey Distribution

The University of Pittsburgh Institutional Review Board approved the study. The survey was distributed by the AMDA Foundation during the annual conference. The survey was included in attendees' conference materials and was also available at a stand-alone table at the conference. As an incentive to complete and return the survey, the first 400 respondents who returned a completed survey were provided with a complimentary AMDA Guide to Long Term Care Coding, Reimbursement and Documentation, which had a market value of US \$35.00.

Data Analysis

Completed surveys were entered into a Microsoft ACCESS database from paper copies, with dual data entry of all responses to ensure accuracy. Any discrepancies were resolved against the paper forms. Survey responses were summarized using appropriate summary statistics such as means, standard deviations, frequencies and percentages. The statistical analysis was conducted in SAS[®] software version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Survey Participants

Of the surveys distributed to 947 attendees of the conference, 435 surveys were returned for a 45.6% response rate. We excluded 7 surveys because participants did not identify themselves as physicians or advanced practice providers. Missing individual survey items were identified in an additional 96 of completed surveys, with no identifiable pattern of missing responses among the items. The results that follow are based on the 428 surveys with at least some responses.

Approximately 55% of respondents were male (Table 1). Most respondents were physicians (89%), approximately 94% of whom completed residencies in either family medicine or internal medicine, and over 40% fellowship training in geriatric medicine. Approximately 60% were certified in medical direction by the American Board of Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine (ABPLM). Nearly all respondents spent at least some time providing clinical care in NHs (99%), with the majority spending over half of their clinical time providing care in NHs and more than two-thirds providing care in two or more NHs.

Perceptions of Telemedicine

Respondents exhibited the strongest agreement with the statements "telemedicine may fill an existing service gap" (mean 1.95, standard deviation (SD) 1.00), "telemedicine may improve timeliness of appropriate resident care" (mean 1.97, SD 1.06) and "a step toward successful implementation of telemedicine is addressing potential workflow and process challenges" (mean 2.04, SD 0.99). Respondents exhibited the strongest disagreement with the statements "telemedicine may reduce resident care effectiveness" (mean 4.64, SD 1.41), "telemedicine

may jeopardize resident privacy” (mean 4.53, SD 1.65) and “telemedicine takes too much information technology expertise to implement” (mean 4.46, SD 1.50).

Attributes of a Telemedicine Program for PAHs

The attributes that were deemed most important by respondents were sufficiently high sound and video quality to hear and see the resident (mean 1.30, SD 0.49 and mean 1.33, SD 0.50, respectively) as well as hearing heart, lung and bowel sounds using an electronic stethoscope (mean 1.46, SD 0.67). The attributes identified as important by respondents to the least degree related mostly to personnel involved in the telemedicine consultation: having the emergency department that usually receives residents from a specific NH complete the telemedicine consultation (mean 3.16, SD 0.98), having a fellowship-trained geriatrician with NH experience but no knowledge of the resident complete the consultation (mean 2.76, SD 1.00), and having an APP with an established relationship with the resident perform the consult (mean 2.33, SD 0.89).

DISCUSSION

We believe this is the first nationally representative survey of physician and advanced practice provider perceptions and desired attributes of telemedicine for reducing PAHs in NHs. The results indicate that providers generally agree with the potential for telemedicine to improve care delivery and timeliness of care, and also emphasized the implementation should consider the NH's specific workflow and process challenges. Respondents also agreed that telemedicine may help avoid transfers to the emergency room or hospital and improve access to appropriate resident care. The highly positive and strongly-held views of the value of telemedicine for PAHs in NHs suggest a high degree of confidence in the potential impact of this intervention. In contrast, most respondents did not feel as though telemedicine would reduce resident care effectiveness, jeopardize resident privacy, or take too much HIT expertise to implement. Such findings are particularly interesting because of the generally limited HIT penetration in NHs, and suggest that there is potentially unmet demand for a service such as telemedicine.¹⁵

In defining the attributes of a telemedicine intervention for PAHs in NHs, providers emphasized the importance of high-quality audio and video, while also wanting diagnostic capability via an electronic stethoscope as well as the ability to accurately assess pressure ulcers, skin, and wounds. Finally, respondents also stressed the importance of including equipment that was specifically tested for use in NHs. In contrast, the provider type (e.g., the attending of record or attending without prior knowledge of the resident), or the specific training credentials (e.g., fellowship-trained in Geriatric Medicine) was not deemed a priority. Again, the clear consensus around the desired features of a telemedicine solution for PAHs in NHs is surprisingly strong given the general dearth of HIT in this market, and reinforces the idea that NHs may be very receptive to an appropriately designed solution.

Our results are promising in terms of the feasibility of desired attributes for telemedicine in NHs. For one, there is a fair amount of concordance among providers as to the technical functionality desired, indicating clear demand for a specific type of telemedicine solutions. In contrast with the relatively specific technical requirements, respondents were less

adamant as to the precise type and qualifications of the telemedicine provider, which strengthens the feasibility of such a venture. Implementation of a telemedicine program essentially introduces a new model of care, and its inevitable reorganization and redistribution of personnel, workflow, and responsibilities makes such an implementation highly dependent on characteristics of each specific facility such as location, size, and organizational complexity.^{16,17} Thus, while the physical solution should be defined specifically, defining the broader model flexibly in terms of personnel is advantageous and allows for site-specific customization. These results underscore the need to focus on the sociotechnical aspects of implementation and continued use of telemedicine in order to ensure its success through a highly structured change management process. Survey respondents did not indicate strong preferences about who would be performing the consults, suggesting that there are a variety of ways that this technology could be adopted successfully within NHs. Other telemedicine programs in different health care settings have similarly used a diverse array of providers and/or specialties.^{18,22}

Surveys of providers in other clinical environments concur with the general perceptions of the value of telemedicine, as well as the importance of high-quality audio and video when the telemedicine consultation serves as a substitute for an in-person consultation.^{23,24} The issue of provider access is not unique to NHs or PAHs, and has been addressed in other contexts with telemedicine programs that virtually facilitate consults with providers located elsewhere.^{21,25,29} For example, telemedicine programs allow critical care providers in large, urban hospitals to consult on cases seen in rural emergency departments and advise on care plans and the need for transfer.^{30,31} Similarly, telemedicine has facilitated subspecialty consults for patients located in underserved, rural communities, addressing barriers such as lengthy travel times, missed work, and substitution of emergency department services.^{25,32,35} However, having sufficient network capacity to support telemedicine technology that requires high-quality audio and video is not a trivial issue, especially in resource-constrained and rural areas where many NHs are located.^{36,37} In recognition, the Federal Communications Commission's Rural Health Care Program includes financial support for rural health care providers to purchase broadband Internet through the Healthcare Connect Fund and additional federal legislation is pending to extend this program to skilled nursing facilities.³⁸

Many physicians and advance practice providers may not be aware that they can currently use telemedicine in NHs to manage PAHs and in some instances be reimbursed for these services. For example, NH subsequent care codes (CPT E&M 99307 – 99310) can be used with the limitation of one telemedicine visit every 30 days per resident in a rural/non-metropolitan statistical area as per the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA).³⁹ Telemedicine can also be used if a NH is part of a Next Generation Accountable Care Organization (ACO) or could be included in bundled payment programs, such as Comprehensive Care for Joint Replacement (CJR).⁴⁰ Telemedicine will also be particularly useful for the anticipated 150 additional NHs that will be eligible to participate in the Phase 2 of the CMS Innovation Award to reduce PAHs among NH residents.⁴¹ Phase 2 introduces a payment reform to assess the impact of providing NHs and providers additional money for managing a limited set of qualifying conditions in the NH instead of the hospital. Phase 2

allows for the use of telemedicine in addition to in-person visits to confirm the presence of a qualifying medical condition regardless of HRSA designation.

Similarly, telemedicine's value for potentially reducing PAHs is of particular importance since the introduction of the value-based purchasing program for NHs which was included in H.R. 4302. Under this value-based purchasing program, CMS will report the performance on the readmission measure (i.e., the PAH rate) for each NH on Nursing Home Compare beginning in October 1, 2017. Beginning on October 1, 2018 CMS NHs Medicare payment rates will be based on (in part) their PAH rate and the lowest 40% of NHs by ranking will be reimbursed less than otherwise.⁴² A suggested revision to Medicare's conditions of participation which has recently been proposed, but not confirmed, by CMS would require a physician, nurse practitioner or enhanced nurse to see a resident prior to hospitalization.⁴³ Under the current clinical model, this would be a significant hurdle for the after-hours and weekend hospital transfers that currently comprise the majority of hospitalizations from NHs, but telemedicine could be used to facilitate the required additional access.⁴⁴

PAHs represent just one issue facing NHs that relates to provider access and reducing the variability of care provided, creating additional opportunities for extending the telemedicine platform and achieving broader clinical and financial benefits from adoption. Studies of telemedicine in NHs have evaluated the use of technology to improve access to services such as palliative care through remote consults,⁴⁵ teledermatology,^{46,50} telepsychiatry^{51,55} and potentially other specialties such as cardiology, pulmonary and neurology. Thus, telemedicine could be leveraged more broadly as a platform for providing other services, such as enhanced access to other specialty care, elevating the technology to a 'horizontal,' cross-cutting intervention within a NH and potentially increasing the associated clinical and financial benefits.

Limitations of this study include the self-selected study sample and potential biases in the respondent population. Our report is based on a descriptive cross-sectional survey of physicians and advanced practice providers sampled by convenience at a national conference. A significant number of the conference attendees participated. However, they might not be representative of NH physicians and advanced practice providers in general, especially since the majority of attendees are medical directors. Moreover, while the report is based on responses from over 400 physicians and advanced practice providers, it is still a relatively small sample of the providers in the more than 15,000 NHs nationwide. In addition, we did not have an especially high number of advanced practice providers complete the survey, as they were less well represented at the 2015 AMDA conference. Finally, because the target audience was physicians and advanced practice providers, the survey focused more on perceptions around the clinical use and impact of telemedicine rather than factors relating to the adoption of telemedicine, such as the potential capital investment or subscription payment model required to support these services by a NH or ACO.

CONCLUSION

We conducted a survey of providers attending the 2015 AMDA annual conference to capture their perceptions and desired attributes of a NH telemedicine program designed to ultimately

reduce PAHs. The survey results indicated confidence among NH providers in the potential for telemedicine to fill existing service gaps and improve timeliness of care, with similar conviction that such an implementation would need to address workflow and process challenges to be successful. The responses reflect a high degree of confidence in the potential for a telemedicine solution to manage PAHs in NHs, and concrete views about the features of a solution, offering the insight needed to initiate an approach and produce a solution that both retains the theoretical strengths of telemedicine and reflects the needs of providers. More research is needed to objectively study the impact of successful telemedicine implementations on patient, provider, and economic outcomes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank AMDA – The Society for Post-Acute and Long-Term Care Medicine for collaborating with us to allow the distribution of the survey at their annual conference. We would also like to thank Kambria A. Ernst, RN, MSN, for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript.

Funding: This study was supported by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (R01HS018721; R18 HS23779-01; R18 HS022465-01A1; K12HS022989), the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation, a Junior Faculty Career Development Award from the National Palliative Care Research Center, and the Pittsburgh Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center (NIA P30 AG024827).

REFERENCES

1. Segal M, Rollins E, Hodges K, et al. Medicare-Medicaid eligible beneficiaries and potentially avoidable hospitalizations. *Medicare & Medicaid Research Review*. 2014;4.
2. Grabowski DC, Stewart KA, Broderick SM, et al. Predictors of nursing home hospitalization a review of the literature. *Medical Care Research and Review*. 2008; 65:3–39. [PubMed: 18184869]
3. Morley JE. Telemedicine: Coming to Nursing Homes in the Near Future. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2016; 17:1. [PubMed: 26620072]
4. Ouslander JG, Handler SM. Consensus-Derived Interventions to Reduce Acute Care Transfer (INTERACT)-Compatible Order Sets for Common Conditions Associated with Potentially Avoidable Hospitalizations. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2015
5. Grabowski DC, O'Malley AJ. Use of telemedicine can reduce hospitalizations of nursing home residents and generate savings for medicare. *Health Affairs*. 2014; 33:244–250. [PubMed: 24493767]
6. Payton FC. Lessons learned from three interorganizational health care information systems. *Information & Management*. 2000; 37:311–321.
7. Gagnon M-P, Desmartis M, Labrecque M, et al. Systematic review of factors influencing the adoption of information and communication technologies by healthcare professionals. *Journal of medical systems*. 2012; 36:241–277. [PubMed: 20703721]
8. Lapointe L, Rivard S. Getting physicians to accept new information technology: insights from case studies. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2006; 174:1573–1578. [PubMed: 16717265]
9. Simborg DW, Detmer DE, Berner ES. The wave has finally broken: now what? *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2013; 20:e21–e25. [PubMed: 23538723]
10. Scott JT, Rundall TG, Vogt TM, et al. Kaiser Permanente's experience of implementing an electronic medical record: a qualitative study. *BMJ*. 2005
11. Kaplan B, Harris-Salamone KD. Health IT success and failure: recommendations from literature and an AMIA workshop. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2009; 16:291–299. [PubMed: 19261935]

12. Westbrook JI, Braithwaite J, Georgiou A, et al. Multimethod evaluation of information and communication technologies in health in the context of wicked problems and sociotechnical theory. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*. 2007; 14:746–755. [PubMed: 17712083]
13. Or C, Dohan M, Tan J. Understanding critical barriers to implementing a clinical information system in a nursing home through the lens of a socio-technical perspective. *Journal of medical systems*. 2014; 38:1–10. [PubMed: 24395031]
14. Hu PJ-H, Chau PY, Sheng ORL. Adoption of telemedicine technology by health care organizations: an exploratory study. *Journal of organizational computing and electronic commerce*. 2002; 12:197–221.
15. Degenholtz H, Resnick A, Lin M, et al. Development of an applied framework for understanding health information technology in nursing homes. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2015 In Press.
16. Vest JR. More than just a question of technology: Factors related to hospitals' adoption and implementation of health information exchange. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2010; 79:797–806. [PubMed: 20889370]
17. Berg M. Implementing information systems in health care organizations: myths and challenges. *International journal of medical informatics*. 2001; 64:143–156. [PubMed: 11734382]
18. Reed K. Telemedicine: benefits to advanced practice nursing and the communities they serve. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*. 2005; 17:176–180. [PubMed: 15854106]
19. Saffle JR, Edelman L, Theurer L, et al. Telemedicine evaluation of acute burns is accurate and cost-effective. *Journal of Trauma and Acute Care Surgery*. 2009; 67:358–365.
20. Meyer BC, Raman R, Hemmen T, et al. Efficacy of site-independent telemedicine in the STRokE DOC trial: a randomised, blinded, prospective study. *The Lancet Neurology*. 2008; 7:787–795. [PubMed: 18676180]
21. Edirippulige S, Martin-Khan M, Beattie E, et al. A systematic review of telemedicine services for residents in long term care facilities. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2013; 19:127–132.
22. Lilly CM, Fisher KA, Ries M, et al. A national ICU telemedicine survey: validation and results. *CHEST Journal*. 2012; 142:40–47.
23. Rogove HJ, McArthur D, Demaerschalk BM, et al. Barriers to telemedicine: survey of current users in acute care units. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2012; 18:48–53. [PubMed: 22082107]
24. Wagnild G, Leenknecht C, Zauher J. Psychiatrists' satisfaction with telepsychiatry. *Telemedicine Journal & e-Health*. 2006; 12:546–551. [PubMed: 17042708]
25. Marcin JP, Ellis J, Mawis R, et al. Using telemedicine to provide pediatric subspecialty care to children with special health care needs in an underserved rural community. *Pediatrics*. 2004; 113:1–6. [PubMed: 14702439]
26. McConnochie KM, Wood NE, Herendeen NE, et al. Acute illness care patterns change with use of telemedicine. *Pediatrics*. 2009; 123:e989–e995. [PubMed: 19482750]
27. Malasanos TH, Burlingame JB, Youngblade L, et al. Improved access to subspecialist diabetes care by telemedicine: cost savings and care measures in the first two years of the FITE diabetes project. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare*. 2005; 11:74–76. [PubMed: 16036002]
28. Dorsey E, Deuel LM, Voss TS, et al. Increasing access to specialty care: a pilot, randomized controlled trial of telemedicine for Parkinson's disease. *Movement Disorders*. 2010; 25:1652–1659. [PubMed: 20533449]
29. Raza T, Joshi M, Schapira RM, et al. Pulmonary telemedicine—a model to access the subspecialist services in underserved rural areas. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*. 2009; 78:53–59. [PubMed: 18809352]
30. Heath B, Salerno R, Hopkins A, et al. Pediatric critical care telemedicine in rural underserved emergency departments*. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*. 2009; 10:588–591. [PubMed: 19451850]
31. Dharmar M, Romano PS, Kuppermann N, et al. Impact of Critical Care Telemedicine Consultations on Children in Rural Emergency Departments*. *Critical care medicine*. 2013; 41:2388–2395. [PubMed: 23921273]

32. Harper DC. Telemedicine for children with disabilities. *Children's Health Care*. 2006; 35:11–27.
33. Karp WB, Grigsby RK, McSwiggan-Hardin M, et al. Use of telemedicine for children with special health care needs. *Pediatrics*. 2000; 105:843–847. [PubMed: 10742330]
34. Robinson SS, Seale DE, Tiernan KM, et al. Use of telemedicine to follow special needs children. *Telemedicine Journal and e-Health*. 2003; 9:57–61. [PubMed: 12699608]
35. Gentles SJ, Lokker C, McKibbin KA. Health information technology to facilitate communication involving health care providers, caregivers, and pediatric patients: a scoping review. *Journal of medical Internet research*. 2010:12.
36. Kaushal M, Patel K, Darling M, et al. Closing the Rural Health Connectivity Gap: How Broadband Funding Can Better Improve Care. *Health Affairs Blog*. 2015
37. Hein MA. Telemedicine: An important force in the transformation of healthcare. Report of US Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration. Jun.2009 :25.
38. Rural Health Care Connectivity Act of 2015, S.1916, 114th Congress, 2015.
39. Department of Health & Human Services. Telehealth Services: Rural Health Fact Sheet Series. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 2015
40. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Next Generation ACO Model. 2015. <https://innovation.cms.gov/initiatives/Next-Generation-ACO-Model/>
41. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services, Medicare-Medicaid Coordination Office. et al. Initiative to Reduce Avoidable Hospitalizations among Nursing Facility Residents- Payment Reform. Funding Opportunity Number: CMS-1E1-14-0012015.
42. Protecting Access to Medicare Act of 2014, H.R.4302, 113th Congress, 2014.
43. Department of Health & Human Services. Federal Register Proposed Rules. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. 802015
44. Basel D. Could Telemedicine Help With the CMS Rule Change Requiring In-Person Provider Evaluations Before Transfer From Nursing Home to Hospital? *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2015
45. Menon PR, Stapleton RD, McVeigh U, et al. Telemedicine as a Tool to Provide Family Conferences and Palliative Care Consultations in Critically Ill Patients at Rural Health Care Institutions A Pilot Study. *American Journal of Hospice and Palliative Medicine*. 2014; 32:448–453. [PubMed: 24871344]
46. Lubeek SF, van der Geer ER, van Gelder MM, et al. Current dermatologic care in Dutch nursing homes and possible improvements: a nationwide survey. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2015; 16:714. e711–714. e716. [PubMed: 26059629]
47. Delaigue S, Bonnardot L, Olson D, et al. Overview of teledermatology in low-resource settings. *Medecine et sante tropicales*. 2015
48. Landow SM, Oh DH, Weinstock MA. Teledermatology Within the Veterans Health Administration, 2002–2014. *Telemedicine and e-Health*. 2015; 21:769–773. [PubMed: 26083585]
49. Hubiche T, Valério L, Boralevi F, et al. Visualization of Patients' Skin Lesions on Their Smartphones: A New Step During Dermatology Visits. *JAMA dermatology*. 2015:1–3.
50. Chang ALS, Wong JW, Endo JO, et al. Geriatric dermatology review: Major changes in skin function in older patients and their contribution to common clinical challenges. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2013; 14:724–730. [PubMed: 23664020]
51. Volicer L. Nursing home telepsychiatry. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2015; 16:7. [PubMed: 25458907]
52. Jones AM, Shealy KM, Reid-Quinones K, et al. Guidelines for establishing a telemental health program to provide evidence-based therapy for trauma-exposed children and families. *Psychological Services*. 2013; 11:398–409. [PubMed: 24320994]
53. O'Reilly R, Bishop J, Maddox K, et al. Is telepsychiatry equivalent to face-to-face psychiatry? Results from a randomized controlled equivalence trial. *Psychiatric Services*. 2007; 58:836–843. [PubMed: 17535945]

54. Harrell KM, Wilkins SS, Connor MK, et al. Telemedicine and the evaluation of cognitive impairment: The additive value of neuropsychological assessment. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2014; 15:600–606. [PubMed: 24913209]
55. Martin-Khan M, Flicker L, Wootton R, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of telegeriatrics for the diagnosis of dementia via video conferencing. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*. 2012; 13:487. e419–487. e424. [PubMed: 22572552]

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 1**Provider and Practice Characteristics of Survey Respondents**

Characteristic	N	%
Gender		
Male	232	55
Profession		
Physician	381	89
Degree		
MD	342	90
Residency training		
Internal medicine	209	55
Family medicine	150	39
Fellowship training		
Geriatrics fellowship	156	41
CMD certification	227	60
Advanced practice provider	47	11
Degree		
CRNP	38	81
Residency training		
ANP	16	34
GNP	13	28
FNP	9	19
No. of years practicing medicine		
1-5 y	53	12
6-10 y	38	9
11-15 y	65	15
16-20 y	49	11
21-25 y	59	14
>25 y	144	34
Percentage of clinical time in the NH		
<10%	28	7
10-25%	88	21
26-50%	76	18
51-75%	74	17
>75%	154	36
No. of NHs where clinical care is provided		
0	14	3
1	109	25
2-5	229	55
>5	74	17

Table 2Perceptions of telemedicine survey results^{*}

	Statement	N	Mean	St Dev
1	Telemedicine may fill an existing service gap.	428	1.95	1.00
2	Telemedicine may improve timeliness of appropriate resident care.	427	1.97	1.06
3	A step toward successful implementation of telemedicine is addressing potential workflow and process challenges.	427	2.04	0.99
4	Telemedicine may help avoid resident transfers to the ED/hospital.	422	2.13	1.10
5	Telemedicine may improve access to appropriate resident care.	425	2.18	1.05
6	Telemedicine may improve the overall resource utilization in the nursing home.	428	2.46	1.18
7	Telemedicine may improve the overall quality of resident care in the nursing home.	426	2.50	1.17
8	Telemedicine may help improve service productivity of medical staff.	428	2.59	1.25
9	Telemedicine may increase overall efficiency.	422	2.68	1.22
10	Telemedicine when coupled with evidence-based consensus-developed order sets may reduce the variability of care.	425	2.80	1.19
11	Telemedicine may not be as accurate or complete as a face-to-face visit.	428	2.84	1.40
12	Telemedicine may improve the overall resident experience in the nursing home.	427	2.91	1.26
13	Telemedicine may have unintended negative consequences.	424	3.29	1.44
14	Telemedicine may help avoid a face-to-face visit by an attending physician or APP.	428	3.34	1.56
15	Telemedicine may be too expensive to implement and use.	425	3.34	1.52
16	Telemedicine may hinder physician-resident relationships.	426	3.90	1.66
17	Telemedicine may be depersonalizing.	424	3.90	1.63
18	Telemedicine may hinder APP-resident relationships.	420	4.04	1.38
19	Telemedicine may be difficult to learn to use.	423	4.14	1.58
20	Telemedicine takes too much information technology expertise to implement.	426	4.46	1.50
21	Telemedicine may jeopardize resident privacy.	417	4.53	1.65
22	Telemedicine may reduce resident care effectiveness.	425	4.64	1.41

^{*} Responses correspond to a 7-point Likert scale, ranging from “strongly agree” to “strongly disagree,” with lower numbers indicating stronger agreement.

Table 3Telemedicine attributes survey results^{*}

	Statement	N	Mean	St Dev
1	Able to hear the resident without delay, choppiness or interruption in sound quality	428	1.30	0.49
2	Able to see the resident without delay, choppiness or interruption in video quality	428	1.33	0.50
3	Able to hear heart, lung and bowel sounds using an electronic stethoscope	424	1.46	0.67
4	Able to accurately assess pressure ulcers/skin/wounds	428	1.65	0.72
5	Use telemedicine equipment that was specifically tested for use in nursing homes	427	1.80	0.85
6	Able to obtain a 12-lead EKG tracing	426	1.86	0.85
7	Use telemedicine software that is directly integrated and embedded within an existing electronic medical record to be able to provide appropriate clinical context	427	1.89	0.83
8	Ensure the consistent use of evidence-based consensus-developed order sets for conditions associated with the telemedicine consultations	426	1.89	0.79
9	Telemedicine should be available 24/7 and not just for after-hours and weekends	427	2.00	0.98
10	Include the attending physician of record/family/POA directly in the telemedicine encounter	428	2.14	0.94
11	Have the attending physician of record who has an established relationship with the resident complete the telemedicine consultation	426	2.23	0.95
12	Able to determine venous and arterial pulses using a portable Doppler	427	2.23	0.99
13	Able to see the outer and middle ear using an otoscope	427	2.27	1.00
14	Have the APP who has an established relationship with the resident complete the telemedicine consultation	427	2.33	0.89
15	Have fellowship-trained geriatricians with nursing home experience, but no prior knowledge of the resident complete the telemedicine consultation	426	2.76	1.00
16	Have the emergency department where the nursing home usually sends residents to complete the telemedicine consultation	424	3.16	0.98

^{*} Responses correspond to a 4-point Likert scale, ranging from “extremely important” to “not very important,” with lower numbers indicating more importance.