Published in final edited form as:

Gynecol Oncol. 2016 June ; 141(3): 588–591. doi:10.1016/j.ygyno.2016.04.001.

Sequencing of Mutational Hotspots in Cancer-Related Genes in Small Cell Neuroendocrine Cervical Cancer

Michael Frumovitz^{1,*}, Jennifer K. Burzawa¹, Lauren A. Byers², Yasmin A. Lyons¹, Preetha Ramalingam³, Robert C. Coleman¹, and Jubilee Brown¹

¹Department of Gynecologic Oncology and Reproductive Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

²Department of Thoracic/Head & Neck Medical Oncology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

³Department of Pathology, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

Abstract

Objectives—Small cell cervical cancer is a rare malignancy with limited treatment options for recurrent disease. We sought to determine if tumor specimens of small cell cervical cancer harbor common somatic mutations and if any of these are actionable.

Methods—Using a registry of patients with neuroendocrine cervical cancer, we identified 44 patients with pure or mixed small cell cervical cancer who had undergone mutational analysis. Mutations had been detected using next generation sequencing of mutational hotspots in 50 cancer-related genes.

Results—Thirty-five mutations were identified in 24 patients (55%). Fifteen of these 24 patients (63%) had 1 mutation, 7 patients (29%) had 2 mutations, and 2 patients (8%) had 3 mutations. In all 44 patients, the most commonly seen mutations were mutations in *PIK3CA* (8 patients; 18%), *KRAS* (6 patients; 14%), and *TP53* (5 patients; 11%). No other mutation was found in >7% of specimens. Of the 24 patients who had a mutation, 21 (88%) had at least 1 alteration for which there currently exists a class of biological agents targeting that mutation. In the entire cohort of 44 patients, 48% had at least 1 actionable mutation.

Conclusion—Although no single mutation was found in the majority of patients with small cell cervical cancer, almost half had at least 1 actionable mutation. As treatment options for patients with recurrent small cell cervical cancer are currently very limited, molecular testing for targetable mutations, which may suggest potential therapeutic strategies, may be useful for clinicians and patients.

*Corresponding author: Michael Frumovitz, MD, MPH, Department of Gynecologic Oncology, CPB6.3244, Unit 1362, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1155 Herman Pressler, Houston, TX 77030. Phone: (713) 592-9599. Fax: (713) 792-7586. ; Email: mfrumovitz@mdanderson.org

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Introduction

Although the incidence of cervical cancer has steadily decreased in developed countries because of effective screening and human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination, cervical cancer remains the second most prevalent cancer among women worldwide.[1] The vast majority (>95%) of cervical cancers are of the HPV-associated histologic subtypes of squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, or adenosquamous carcinoma.[2] Fewer than 1% of women with cervical cancer have a neuroendocrine tumor, which translates to approximately 100 to 200 cases of neuroendocrine cervical cancer diagnosed each year in the United States.

Neuroendocrine carcinoma of the cervix encompasses several histologic subtypes, including small cell, large cell, and carcinoid (low- and high-grade) tumors. Unlike the more common squamous and adenocarcinoma subtypes, which spread primarily by local extension, small and large cell neuroendocrine cervical cancers have a propensity to spread both locally and hematogenously, and affected patients frequently present with extrapelvic disease (e.g., liver and lung parenchymal metastases) at initial diagnosis.[3] In addition, even among patients with disease clinically limited to the cervix, the prevalence of regional nodal disease is substantially higher among patients with neuroendocrine cervical cancer than among patient with the more common histologic subtypes: up to 40% of newly diagnosed patients with stage IB1 small cell cervical cancer have nodal metastases.[3-5] Stage for stage, the survival of women with small cell carcinoma of the cervix compares poorly against the survival of women with the more common cervical cancer subtypes.

Because of the rarity of small cell carcinoma of the cervix, no prospective trials have been performed to determine optimal therapy for women with the disease. These tumors do, however, have pathologic appearances and clinical behaviors similar to those of small cell lung cancer. Therefore, almost all patients with small cell cervical cancer receive cisplatin and etoposide as part of their primary therapy, according to guidelines developed by professional societies and largely extrapolated from treatment protocols for small cell lung cancer.[6, 7] In addition, because of the aggressiveness of small cell cervical cancer, most patients undergo multimodal therapy with consideration of surgery, radiation therapy, and/or chemotherapy. Fifty-eight percent of patients receive dual-modality treatment, and 9% receive all 3 treatment modalities.[8] Nevertheless, overall survival remains poor, despite multimodal treatment plans, with 5-year survival rates ranging from 13% to 25% for all patients and as low as 0% for women with advanced-stage disease (stages II-IV).[9]

Improving outcomes for women with small cell carcinoma of the cervix has proven difficult because of the rarity of this disease. For patients with recurrent disease, there are no standard treatment protocols, and both the Society of Gynecologic Oncology and Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup recommend individualized treatment because of the acknowledged lack of any clinical trials to guide therapy for these women.[6, 7] As outcomes are poor and therapeutic regimens are uncertain, we sought to determine whether there were common somatic mutations that might inform targeted therapy or potential clinical trials for women with recurrent small cell cancer of the cervix. Specifically, we reviewed the results in a cohort of 44 patients with small cell carcinoma of the cervix who had next generation

sequencing at our institution to identify mutations in a panel of 50 genes that are commonly altered and/or targetable with existing drug inhibitors.

Methods

Data presented in this manuscript were abstracted from the Neuroendocrine Cervical Tumor Registry (NeCTuR) of The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. This Institutional Review Board–approved registry collects a wide range of data on women with small and large cell cervical cancers. Women who have been diagnosed with this disease or family members of deceased patients consent to participate in the registry and then provide their medical records for entry. Participants are recruited through a Facebook support group (www.facebook.com/groups/scccsisters), our website (www.necervix.com), or word of mouth. This study is a retrospective review of all patients with confirmed small cell cervical cancer (pure or mixed) who underwent molecular testing of a tumor specimen at MD Anderson Cancer Center from January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2015. Patients with pure large cell or carcinoid tumors were excluded. All pathologic specimens were reviewed by a pathologist specializing in gynecologic malignancies to confirm the histologic diagnosis of small cell neuroendocrine cervical cancer. A total of 44 patients met these inclusion criteria. Forty-three patients were seen at least once at MD Anderson for treatment and/or treatment recommendations. One patient had pathology review and molecular testing at MD Anderson but was not seen by a gynecologic oncologist at MD Anderson.

For the somatic genomic analysis, DNA was extracted, purified, and quantified from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded archived tissue obtained from surgery or biopsy. Next generation sequencing was performed using the Ion Ampliseq Cancer Panel (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY).[10] Specimens required >20% tumor cell content for analysis. The initial 8 patients (18%) had mutation hotspots assessed in 46 cancer-related genes. In July 2013, an additional 4 genes were added to the testing panel (*EZH2*, *IDH2*, *GNA11*, and *GNAQ*), and the remaining 36 patients (82%) had evaluation of all 50 genes (Table 1). This 50 gene panel was standardized for clinical molecular testing across the entire institution. These 50 genes were originally chosen as they were either commonly mutated genes in malignancies or had targeted agents either developed or in development. Additional details regarding this platform's analytic sensitivity and genomic aberration coverage are provided in the supplemental methods, available online. Details of mutational analysis are also provided in the supplemental methods.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient demographic and mutation data. Patients were considered to have an actionable mutation if there currently existed an agent (approved or in development) that targeted the mutation or abnormalities in the molecular pathway of the mutation.[11]

Results

Forty-four patients with small cell cervical cancer had molecular testing for genomic alterations. Demographics for the entire cohort are shown in Table 2. The median age was 37.5 years (range, 24.7-63.6). Thirty-eight patients (84%) had pure small cell cervical cancer

and 6 (14%) had mixed small and large cell cervical cancer. Twenty-six patients (59%) had clinical stage I disease.

Tumor for molecular evaluation was obtained from the cervix in 37 patients (84%), from a lymph node in 3 patients (7%), from the vagina in 2 patients (5%), and from the lung and from a subcutaneous lesion in 1 patient each (2%). In 37 patients (84%), tumor specimens were obtained prior to initiation of therapy; in the remaining 7 patients (16%), tumor specimens were obtained from persistent disease after treatment or at time of first recurrence.

All tumor samples yielded adequate DNA for genomic sequencing. Thirty-five mutations were identified in 24 patients (55%) (Table 3). Fifteen patients (63%) had 1 mutation, 7 patients (29%) had 2 mutations, and 2 patients (8%) had 3 mutations. In all 44 patients, the most commonly seen mutations were mutations in *PIK3CA* (8 patients), *KRAS* (6 patients), and *TP53* (5 patients). Of the 24 patients who had a mutation, 21 (88%) had at least 1 alteration for which there currently existed a class of biological agents targeting that mutation. In the entire cohort of 44 patients, 48% had at least 1 actionable mutation. Details of individual mutations are shown in the Supplemental Material Table 1.

The median follow-up time for the entire cohort was 16.6 months (range, 0.0-45.0). At this writing, 7 patients are undergoing active primary treatment, 10 patients are without evidence of disease after primary treatment, 14 patients are alive with disease being treated for recurrence, and 13 patients are dead of disease. Of the 37 patients who have completed primary treatment, 27 (73%) have had a recurrence.

Discussion

In this study of 44 patients with small cell cervical cancer, a rare disease, the most commonly mutated gene was *PIK3CA*, which was mutated in more than 18% of patients. Other mutations found in more than 10% of patients were *KRAS* (14% of patients) and *TP53* (11%). Fifty-five percent of all patients in the series had at least 1 mutation, and many of these mutations were targetable by a drug in the emerging portfolio of novel targeted agents (Table 3).

The pattern of mutations in this series of patients with small cell cervical cancer seems different from the pattern of mutations in HPV-related cervical cancer histologic subtypes, such as squamous cell and adenocarcinoma. For example, 38% of squamous cell carcinomas of the cervix and 25% of adenocarcinomas of the cervix have a *PIK3CA* mutation,[12] but we found that only 18% of small cell carcinomas had this mutation. Mutations in *PIK3CA* are also commonly found in other HPV-associated malignancies, such as oropharyngeal cancer (28%)[13] and squamous cell anal cancer (22%).[14]

These differences may stem from the fact that small and large cell cervical cancers do not seem to require HPV for malignant transformation as do squamous and adenocarcinomas. The reported rates of HPV in neuroendocrine tumors of the cervix vary widely, from 53% to 100%.[15-19] Without virtually universal detection of HPV in all specimens of small cell cervical cancer, we should not assume that HPV is necessary for development of small cell

cervical cancer as it is for squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma of the cervix. As the prevalence of anogenital HPV in sexually active women is greater than 80%, HPV detected in neuroendocrine cervical cancer specimens may be a reflection of carrier status as opposed to a causal factor. Furthermore, as small cell cervical cancer seems more pathologically similar to small cell lung cancer than to squamous cell or adenocarcinoma of the cervix, it is notable that small cell lung cancers have no association with HPV infection. [20]

As more than 30,000 new cases of small cell lung cancer are diagnosed in the United States each year (compared to fewer than 150 cases of small cell cervical cancer), small cell lung cancer has been studied in depth. Inactivating mutations in *TP53* and *RBI* are seen in almost all small cell lung cancer specimens when exome sequencing is performed. [21] However, as next generation sequencing focuses on hotspot mutation identification, our experience has revealed fewer abnormalities in *TP53* and *RBI* with this method than seen in whole exome sequencing of small cell lung cancer specimens and similar underestimation of mutations may be present in small cell cervical cancer too. Researchers have also identified histone modification [21] and mutations in the SOX family of genes [22] as potentially relevant alterations in small cell lung cancer. Furthermore, *PARP1* expression is frequently seen in small cell lung cancers, and PARP inhibitors have been shown to be effective in small cell lung cancer cell lines and patients.[23, 24] Whether these genetic mutations are also present in small cell cervical cancer specimens is currently under investigation by our group.

Although small cell cervical cancer is a rare tumor without a unifying mutational event at this level of interrogation, the presence of actionable events provides an opportunity to individualize therapy and to better understand the series of events that may describe this disease's unique natural history. We recently reported a patient with recurrent small cell cervical carcinoma whose tumor was found to have a *KRAS* mutation (NM_033360.2 (*KRAS*): c.35G>A p.G12D). She was treated with the MEK inhibitor trametinib and had a complete radiologic response after 3 cycles.[25] Of interest, the type of RAS mutation may have implications for therapeutic management. While G12D and G12V are the 2 most common *KRAS* mutations, their downstream signaling is not completely the same. The G12D variant signals primarily through the PI3K, FAK, JNK, and p38 pathways and less dependently through RAF/ERK.[26, 27] In contrast, the G12V variant signals predominantly through the MAPK cascade and has lost the ability to bind to and signal through PI3K, specifically by blocking Akt activation.[27] Thus, tumor cells with G12V mutation may be more sensitive to a selective MEK inhibitor, while tumor cells with G12D mutation might require inhibitors targeting both the MAPK and PI3K pathways.

Studies in patients with uncommon tumors can be difficult; however, there currently exists a rich network of women with small cell cervical cancer on social media sites, making recruitment to protocols much more feasible.[8] We currently are characterizing tumors from a variety of small cell cancers arising at sites other than lung (cervix, uterus, bladder, prostate, colorectal, and head and neck) for a potential clinical trial of extrapulmonary small cell cancers. Although there are a variety of genetic mutations among patients with small cell cervical cancer and likely among those with small cell cancers at other sites, a trial could be designed on the basis of actionable drivers in small cell cervical cancer. A recent

study in lung cancer showed that patients with mutations given therapy targeting their mutation had a hazard ratio for death of 0.69 compared to patients who had an oncogenic driver but did not receive targeted therapy.[28]

Although this study included a limited number of tumor specimens, it is the first to attempt to detect genetic abnormalities in neuroendocrine carcinoma of the cervix and certainly is the largest effort to date. The testing described here, performed through our Institute for Personalized Cancer Therapy, only included analysis of mutation hotspots in 50 genes. We are currently collecting more tumor samples in order to validate our findings and expand our knowledge of potential drivers. We plan to undertake a much more expansive investigation of molecular abnormalities in small cell cervical cancer through RNA and whole exome sequencing.

In conclusion, tumors from women with small cell cervical cancer have a high likelihood of genetic mutations; however, no single mutation was found in more than 18% of specimens examined. Many small cell cervical cancers will harbor actionable driver mutations, and we are optimistic that a clinical trial designed to triage patients to biological therapy is feasible and has potential to improve outcomes in this highly aggressive disease. In the near future, we hope to have results from RNA and whole exome sequencing of small cell carcinoma to further inform therapeutic development for this highly aggressive disease.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Supported by the NIH/NCI under award number P30CA016672.

References

1. Bray F, Ren JS, Masuyer E, Ferlay J. Global estimates of cancer prevalence for 27 sites in the adult population in 2008. *Int J Cancer*. 2013; 132:1133–45. [PubMed: 22752881]
2. Alfsen GC, Thoresen SO, Kristensen GB, Skovlund E, Abeler VM. Histopathologic subtyping of cervical adenocarcinoma reveals increasing incidence rates of endometrioid tumors in all age groups: a population based study with review of all nonsquamous cervical carcinomas in Norway from 1966 to 1970, 1976 to 1980, and 1986 to 1990. *Cancer*. 2000; 89:1291–9. [PubMed: 11002225]
3. Chen J, Macdonald OK, Gaffney DK. Incidence, mortality, and prognostic factors of small cell carcinoma of the cervix. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2008; 111:1394–402. [PubMed: 18515524]
4. McCusker ME, Cote TR, Clegg LX, Tavassoli FJ. Endocrine tumors of the uterine cervix: incidence, demographics, and survival with comparison to squamous cell carcinoma. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2003; 88:333–9. [PubMed: 12648583]
5. Wang KL, Chang TC, Jung SM, Chen CH, Cheng YM, Wu HH, Liou WS, Hsu ST, Ou YC, Yeh LS, Lai HC, Huang CY, Chen TC, Chang CJ, Lai CH. Primary treatment and prognostic factors of small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the uterine cervix: a Taiwanese Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Eur J Cancer*. 2012; 48:1484–94. [PubMed: 22244826]
6. Gardner GJ, Reidy-Lagunes D, Gehrig PA. Neuroendocrine tumors of the gynecologic tract: A Society of Gynecologic Oncology (SGO) clinical document. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2011; 122:190–8. [PubMed: 21621706]

7. Satoh T, Takei Y, Treilleux I, Devouassoux-Shisheboran M, Ledermann J, Viswanathan AN, Mahner S, Provencher DM, Mileskin L, Avall-Lundqvist E, Pautier P, Reed NS, Fujiwara K. Gynecologic Cancer InterGroup (GCIG) consensus review for small cell carcinoma of the cervix. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2014; 24:S102–8. [PubMed: 25341572]
8. Zaid T, Burzawa J, Basen-Engquist K, Bodurka DC, Ramondetta LM, Brown J, Frumovitz M. Use of social media to conduct a cross-sectional epidemiologic and quality of life survey of patients with neuroendocrine carcinoma of the cervix: a feasibility study. *Gynecol Oncol*. 2014; 132:149–53. [PubMed: 24145111]
9. Burzawa J, Gonzales N, Frumovitz M. Challenges in the diagnosis and management of cervical neuroendocrine carcinoma. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther*. 2015; 15:805–10. [PubMed: 25980782]
10. Singh RR, Patel KP, Routbort MJ, Reddy NG, Barkoh BA, Handal B, Kanagal-Shamanna R, Greaves WO, Medeiros LJ, Aldape KD, Luthra R. Clinical validation of a next-generation sequencing screen for mutational hotspots in 46 cancer-related genes. *J Mol Diagn*. 2013; 15:607–22. [PubMed: 23810757]
11. Boland GM, Piha-Paul SA, Subbiah V, Routbort M, Herbrich SM, Baggerly K, Patel KP, Brusco L, Horombe C, Naing A, Fu S, Hong DS, Janku F, Johnson A, Broaddus R, Luthra R, Shaw K, Mendelsohn J, Mills GB, Meric-Bernstam F. Clinical next generation sequencing to identify actionable aberrations in a phase I program. *Oncotarget*. 2015; 6:20099–110. [PubMed: 26015395]
12. Wright AA, Howitt BE, Myers AP, Dahlberg SE, Palescandolo E, Van Hummelen P, MacConaill LE, Shoni M, Wagle N, Jones RT, Quick CM, Laury A, Katz IT, Hahn WC, Matulonis UA, Hirsch MS. Oncogenic mutations in cervical cancer: genomic differences between adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas of the cervix. *Cancer*. 2013; 119:3776–83. [PubMed: 24037752]
13. Nichols AC, Palma DA, Chow W, Tan S, Rajakumar C, Rizzo G, Fung K, Kwan K, Wehrli B, Winquist E, Koropatnick J, Mymryk JS, Yoo J, Barrett JW. High frequency of activating PIK3CA mutations in human papillomavirus-positive oropharyngeal cancer. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2013; 139:617–22. [PubMed: 23787421]
14. Casadei Gardini A, Capelli L, Ulivi P, Giannini M, Freier E, Tambari S, Scarpi E, Passardi A, Zoli W, Ragazzini A, Amadori D, Frassinetti GL. KRAS, BRAF and PIK3CA status in squamous cell anal carcinoma (SCAC). *PLoS One*. 2014; 9:e92071. [PubMed: 24642661]
15. Horn LC, Lindner K, Szepankiewicz G, Edelmann J, Hentschel B, Tannapfel A, Bilek K, Liebert UG, Richter CE, Eibenkel J, Leo C. p16, p14, p53, and cyclin D1 expression and HPV analysis in small cell carcinomas of the uterine cervix. *Int J Gynecol Pathol*. 2006; 25:182–6. [PubMed: 16633070]
16. Siriaunkgul S, Utaipat U, Settakorn J, Sukpan K, Srisomboon J, Khunamornpong S. HPV genotyping in neuroendocrine carcinoma of the uterine cervix in northern Thailand. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2011; 115:175–9. [PubMed: 21872245]
17. Wang HL, Lu DW. Detection of human papillomavirus DNA and expression of p16, Rb, and p53 proteins in small cell carcinomas of the uterine cervix. *Am J Surg Pathol*. 2004; 28:901–8. [PubMed: 15223960]
18. Wang KL, Yang YC, Wang TY, Chen JR, Chen TC, Chen HS, Su TH, Wang KG. Neuroendocrine carcinoma of the uterine cervix: A clinicopathologic retrospective study of 31 cases with prognostic implications. *J Chemother*. 2006; 18:209–16. [PubMed: 16736891]
19. Wistuba II, Thomas B, Behrens C, Onuki N, Lindberg G, Albores-Saavedra J, Gazdar AF. Molecular abnormalities associated with endocrine tumors of the uterine cervix. *Gynecol Oncol*. 1999; 72:3–9. [PubMed: 9889022]
20. Hartley CP, Steinmetz HB, Memoli VA, Tafe LJ. Small cell neuroendocrine carcinomas of the lung do not harbor high-risk human papillomavirus. *Hum Pathol*. 2015; 46:577–82. [PubMed: 25661244]
21. Peifer M, Fernandez-Cuesta L, Sos ML, George J, Seidel D, Kasper LH, Plenker D, Leenders F, Sun R, Zander T, Menon R, Koker M, Dahmen I, Muller C, Di Cerbo V, Schildhaus HU, Altmuller J, Baessmann I, Becker C, de Wilde B, Vandesompele J, Bohm D, Ansen S, Gabler F, Wilkening I, Heynck S, Heuckmann JM, Lu X, Carter SL, Cibulskis K, Banerji S, Getz G, Park KS, Rauh D, Grutter C, Fischer M, Pasqualucci L, Wright G, Wainer Z, Russell P, Petersen I, Chen Y, Stoelben E, Ludwig C, Schnabel P, Hoffmann H, Muley T, Brockmann M, Engel-Riedel W, Muscarella LA, Fazio VM, Groen H, Timens W, Sietsma H, Thunnissen E, Smit E, Heideman DA, Snijders PJ,

- Cappuzzo F, Ligorio C, Damiani S, Field J, Solberg S, Brustugun OT, Lund-Iversen M, Sanger J, Clement JH, Soltermann A, Moch H, Weder W, Solomon B, Soria JC, Validire P, Besse B, Brambilla E, Brambilla C, Lantuejoul S, Lorimier P, Schneider PM, Hallek M, Pao W, Meyerson M, Sage J, Shendure J, Schneider R, Buttner R, Wolf J, Nurnberg P, Perner S, Heukamp LC, Brindle PK, Haas S, Thomas RK. Integrative genome analyses identify key somatic driver mutations of small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2012; 44:1104–10. [PubMed: 22941188]
22. Rudin CM, Durinck S, Stawiski EW, Poirier JT, Modrusan Z, Shames DS, Bergbower EA, Guan Y, Shin J, Guillory J, Rivers CS, Foo CK, Bhatt D, Stinson J, Gnad F, Haverty PM, Gentleman R, Chaudhuri S, Janakiraman V, Jaiswal BS, Parikh C, Yuan W, Zhang Z, Koeppen H, Wu TD, Stern HM, Yauch RL, Huffman KE, Paskulin DD, Illei PB, Varella-Garcia M, Gazdar AF, de Sauvage FJ, Bourgon R, Minna JD, Brock MV, Seshagiri S. Comprehensive genomic analysis identifies SOX2 as a frequently amplified gene in small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Genet.* 2012; 44:1111–6. [PubMed: 22941189]
23. Byers LA, Wang J, Nilsson MB, Fujimoto J, Saintigny P, Yordy J, Giri U, Peyton M, Fan YH, Diao L, Masrourpour F, Shen L, Liu W, Duchemann B, Tumula P, Bhardwaj V, Welsh J, Weber S, Glisson BS, Kalhor N, Wistuba II, Girard L, Lippman SM, Mills GB, Coombes KR, Weinstein JN, Minna JD, Heymach JV. Proteomic profiling identifies dysregulated pathways in small cell lung cancer and novel therapeutic targets including PARP1. *Cancer Discov.* 2012; 2:798–811. [PubMed: 22961666]
24. Mamdani H, Induru R, Jalal SI. Novel therapies in small cell lung cancer. *Transl Lung Cancer Res.* 2015; 4:533–44. [PubMed: 26629422]
25. Lyons YA, Frumovitz M, Soliman PT. Response to MEK inhibitor in small cell neuroendocrine carcinoma of the cervix with a KRAS mutation. *Gynecol Oncol Rep.* 2014; 10:28–9. [PubMed: 26075998]
26. Cespedes MV, Sancho FJ, Guerrero S, Parreno M, Casanova I, Pavon MA, Marcuello E, Trias M, Cascante M, Capella G, Mangues R. K-ras Asp12 mutant neither interacts with Raf, nor signals through Erk and is less tumorigenic than K-ras Val12. *Carcinogenesis.* 2006; 27:2190–200. [PubMed: 16679305]
27. Ihle NT, Byers LA, Kim ES, Saintigny P, Lee JJ, Blumenschein GR, Tsao A, Liu S, Larsen JE, Wang J, Diao L, Coombes KR, Chen L, Zhang S, Abdelmelek MF, Tang X, Papadimitrakopoulou V, Minna JD, Lippman SM, Hong WK, Herbst RS, Wistuba II, Heymach JV, Powis G. Effect of KRAS oncogene substitutions on protein behavior: implications for signaling and clinical outcome. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2012; 104:228–39. [PubMed: 22247021]
28. Kris MG, Johnson BE, Berry LD, Kwiatkowski DJ, Iafrate AJ, Wistuba II, Varella-Garcia M, Franklin WA, Aronson SL, Su PF, Shyr Y, Camidge DR, Sequist LV, Glisson BS, Khuri FR, Garon EB, Pao W, Rudin C, Schiller J, Haura EB, Socinski M, Shirai K, Chen H, Giaccone G, Ladanyi M, Kugler K, Minna JD, Bunn PA. Using multiplexed assays of oncogenic drivers in lung cancers to select targeted drugs. *JAMA.* 2014; 311:1998–2006. [PubMed: 24846037]
29. Knowledge Base for Precision Oncology. Retrieved December 25, 2015 from <https://pct.mdanderson.org>

Highlights

- Hotspot mutations were found in 55% of patients with small cell cervical cancer
- Druggable mutations were seen in 48% of patients with small cell cervical cancer
- PIK3CA (18%), KRAS (14%), and TP53 (11%) were the most common mutations present

Table 1
Gene Panel for Next Generation Sequencing

ABL1	EGFR	GNAQ	KRAS	PTPN11
AKT1	ERBB2	GNAS	MET	RB1
ALK	ERBB4	HNF1A	MLH1	RET
APC	EZH2	HRAS	MPL	SMAD4
BRAF	FGFR1	IDH2	NOTCH1	SMARCB1
CDH1	FGFR2	JAK2	NRAS	SRC
CDKN2A	FGFR3	JAK3	PDGFRA	STK11
CSF1R	FLT3	KDR	PIK3CA	TP53
CTNNB1	GNA11	KIT	PTEN	VHL

NOTE: Genes in boldface were added to the original panel partway through the study period (see Methods section for details).

Table 2
Patient Demographics and Clinical Characteristics

Characteristic	Value (n=44)
Median age (range), years	37.7 (24.7-63.6)
Median BMI (range), kg/m ²	26.2 (19.5-50.1)
Race/ethnicity, no. (%)	
Caucasian	33 (75)
Hispanic	6 (14)
Asian	3 (7)
Black	2 (4)
Histologic subtype, no. (%)	
Pure small cell	38 (86)
Mixed small and large cell	6 (14)
Stage, no. (%)	
IB1	12 (27)
IB2	14 (32)
IIA	2 (4)
IIB	2 (4)
IIIA	0 (0)
IIIB	3 (7)
IVA	0 (0)
IVB	10 (23)
Unknown	1 (2)
Specimen Type, no. (%)	
Primary (untreated) disease	37 (84)
Recurrent (treated) disease	7 (16)

Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index.

Table 3
Mutations Found in Patients with Small Cell Cervical Cancer

Mutated Gene [*]	<i>n</i>	% of Cohort (n=44)	Potential Therapeutic Targeted Drugs ²⁹
<i>PIK3CA</i>	8	18	mTOR, mTORC1/2, AKT, and PI3K inhibitors
<i>KRAS</i>	6	14	MEK inhibitors
<i>TP53</i>	5	11	WEE-1 and exportin inhibitors
<i>GNAS</i>	3	7	None
<i>CTNNB1</i>	3	7	None
<i>SMAD4</i>	2	5	None
<i>MET</i>	2	5	Tyrosine kinase, MET, and HGF inhibitors
<i>AKT1</i>	1	2	mTOR, mTORC1/2, AKT, and PI3K inhibitors
<i>PTEN</i>	1	2	mTOR, mTORC1/2, AKT, and PI3K inhibitors
<i>RB1</i>	1	2	CDK 4/6 inhibitors
<i>SMARCB1</i>	1	2	None
<i>NRAS</i>	1	2	MEK inhibitors
<i>FBXW7</i>	1	2	None

NOTE: Mutations were found in 24 of the 44 patients in the cohort. Seven patients had 2 mutations, and 2 patients had 3 mutations.

^{*} Exomic coverage for each of these genes is described in the supplemental methods, available online.