Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2015 April 22; 137(15): 4964–4967. doi:10.1021/jacs.5b02545.

Mechanistic Investigation of the Radical *S*-Adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) Enzyme DesII Using Fluorinated Analogs

Geng-Min Lin¹, Sei-Hyun Choi¹, Mark W. Ruszczycky², and Hung-wen Liu^{1,2,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

²Division of Medicinal Chemistry, College of Pharmacy, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712, USA

Abstract

DesII is a radical *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) enzyme that can act as a deaminase or a dehydrogenase depending on the nature of its TDP-sugar substrate. Previous work has implicated a substrate-derived, C3-centered α -hydroxyalkyl radical as a key intermediate during catalysis. Although deprotonation of the α -hydroxyalkyl radical has been shown to be important for dehydrogenation, much less is known regarding the course of the deamination reaction. To investigate the role played by the C3 hydroxyl during deamination, 3-deutero-3-fluoro analogs of both substrates were prepared and characterized with DesII. In neither case is deamination or oxidation observed; however, in both cases deuterium is efficiently exchanged between the substrate analogs and SAM. These results imply that the C3 hydroxyl plays a key role in both reactions—thereby arguing against a 1,2-migration mechanism of deamination—and that homolysis of SAM concomitant with H-atom abstraction from the substrate is readily reversible when forward partitioning is inhibited.

Graphical Abstract

DesII is a radical *S*-adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM)¹ enzyme that is responsible for the key step in the biosynthesis of TDP-D-desosamine,^{2,3} which is required for the glycosylation of various macrolide antibiotics such as erythromycin and pikromycin.^{3–5} Specifically, DesII acts as a lyase to catalyze the radical-mediated deamination of TDP-4-amino-4,6-dideoxy-D-

*Corresponding Author h.w.liu@mail.utexas.edu.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supporting Information

Details regarding the synthetic procedures, enzyme assays and spectroscopic characterization of all compounds discussed. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

DEDICATION

We dedicate this paper to Prof. Koji Nakanishi on the occasion of his 90th birthday and wish him many more to come.

glucose (**1**) to yield TDP-4,6-dideoxy-3-keto-D-glucose (**2**, see Scheme 1).^{3,6} This chemistry is similar to the radical deamination reactions catalyzed by the B₁₂-dependent ethanolamine ammonia lyase (EAL)⁷ and the glycyl-radical enzyme CutC, which catalyzes elimination of trimethylamine from choline.⁸ In contrast, however, the DesII reaction is initiated by the reductive homolysis of SAM via electron transfer from an active-site [4Fe-4S]¹⁺ cluster.⁶ This produces a 5'-deoxyadenosyl radical (5'-dAdo-CH₂[•]) that subsequently abstracts the C3-hydrogen atom from **1** (see Schemes 2 & 3).⁶ The resulting substrate radical (**7**) is thus activated for the elimination of ammonia; however, the mechanism by which this takes place is unclear.

Of particular interest is the role played by the C3-hydroxyl in the deamination of **1**. One hypothesis is that the C3-hydroxyl is not directly involved in the subsequent deamination. In this case, the key step is proposed to be a radical-induced 1,2-migration of the protonated C4-amino functionality to generate a C3-carbinolamine-C4-radical (**7** → **8**) as shown in Scheme 2. Intermediate **8** then undergoes either reduction to a carbinolamine followed by elimination of ammonia (**8** → **10** → **2**), or eliminates ammonia first prior to the reduction (**8** → **9** → **2**). Such a 1,2-migration mechanism is analogous to the current models of B₁₂-dependent catalysis for EAL as well as diol dehydratase and are supported by EPR and isotope-labeling studies.^{7,9,10} The 1,2-migration mechanism is also consistent with gas phase computations on the EAL system, where the corresponding transition state is found to be energetically reasonable and in most of the cases considered, more favorable versus mechanisms that do not involve direct migration.¹¹

Despite evidence for the 1,2-migration mechanism, experiments involving the alternative DesII substrate TDP-D-quinovose (**3**) suggest that the C3-hydroxyl may actually play a more direct role in the deamination reaction. TDP-D-quinovose is the C4-hydroxy analog of **1** and is readily accepted by DesII as a substrate.^{12,13} As is the case with **1**, TDP-D-quinovose also undergoes C3-hydrogen atom abstraction within the DesII active site and results in an α-hydroxyalkyl radical intermediate analogous to **7**;¹⁴ however, the reaction does not result in a corresponding dehydration but rather C3-dehydrogenation.^{6,12} This is in stark contrast to expectation, because water is rapidly eliminated from 1,2-dihydroxyalkyl radicals generated via pulse radiolysis in bulk media.¹⁵ Furthermore, the B₁₂-dependent diol dehydratases are well-known to catalyze analogous radical-mediated dehydration reactions rather than dehydrogenations.^{9,10} Interestingly, kinetic isotope effect measurements indicated that deprotonation of the C3 α-hydroxyalkyl radical of **3** to generate a ketyl radical intermediate is necessary to facilitate electron transfer to the [4Fe-4S]²⁺ cluster and thus complete the oxidation of **3** to **4**.¹³ In light of these results, there is good reason to believe that the DesII active site contains a residue that can deprotonate the C3 α-hydroxyalkyl radical of whichever substrate is bound.

These observations suggest an alternative deamination mechanism as shown in Scheme 3 in which the amino group is eliminated from C4 directly following the deprotonation of **7** to form a ketyl radical intermediate (i.e., **11**). Despite parallels with the dehydrogenation reaction (i.e., **3** → **4**), such an E1cB-type elimination of NH₃ (**11** → **13**) conflicts with the hypothesis that formation of a strongly reducing ketyl radical promotes electron transfer back to the [4Fe-4S]²⁺ cluster.¹⁶ However, a process wherein the NH₃ leaving group accepts

a proton from the C3 α -hydroxyalkyl radical in concert with its elimination (i.e., **7** $\rightarrow$ **12**) would not only resolve this conflict, but is also consistent with computations that imply a similar activation energy when compared to migration.¹¹ In this case, the putative active site base may operate to facilitate the proton transfer as shown in Scheme 3, though a general acid may alternatively serve as a proton donor counterpart to the base.

One way to evaluate these mechanistic alternatives (i.e., 1,2-migration versus direct elimination) is to consider the effect of replacing the C3 hydroxyl with a less nucleophilic moiety that cannot be easily oxidized. To this end, we designed and synthesized the C3-fluorinated **5** as a probe to test the importance of the C3 hydroxyl during DesII catalysis. Due to the increased electronegativity of a fluoro substituent,¹⁷ its presence should impede the redistribution of electron density required for direct elimination (Scheme 3). In this case, the deamination of **5** would not be observed. In contrast, the C3-fluoro group should have less impact on a radical-induced 1,2-migration of the amino group (Scheme 2). The result would be a 3-amino-3-fluoro species that subsequently decomposes to **2**. We also prepared the analog **6** to determine the effect of C3-fluoro substitution on the DesII-catalyzed oxidation reaction. Deuterium was introduced at C3 of both analogs in order to monitor C3-radical generation even in the absence of net turnover. Our data indicate that the C3-hydroxyl is indeed required for both the deamination and oxidation reactions. Reported herein are our observations with these compounds in the DesII system along with a discussion of the mechanistic implications.

The deuterated 4-amino-3-fluoro substrate analog (**5D**) was prepared according to Scheme 4. The protected azide **19** was obtained from methyl α -D-mannopyranoside (**14**) in a manner similar to a previously reported synthesis.¹⁸ The intermediate **17** was sequentially oxidized and reduced to invert the stereo-chemistry at C4 (**17** $\rightarrow$ **18**) prior to installation of an azide moiety using a Mitsunobu-type azidation reaction (**18** $\rightarrow$ **19**). Acidic hydrolysis of the isopropylidene **19** and selective benzyl protection of the C2-hydroxy (**20** $\rightarrow$ **21**)¹⁹ permitted the equatorial introduction of deuterium at C3 via Swern-oxidation followed by NaBD₄ reduction (**21** $\rightarrow$ **22**).²⁰ Epimerization at C2 occurs during this reaction. Fluorination of **22** using diethylaminosulfur trifluoride (DAST) gave **23** with inversion of stereochemistry at C3.²⁰ This was followed by conversion to the dibenzyl phosphate **25** via a glycosyl phosphite intermediate with the α -anomer as the major product. Hydrogenolysis of **25** led to benzyl deprotection and reduction of the C4 azido moiety to give **26**. Coupling of **26** with TMP-morpholidate provided **5D**. Compound **6D** was prepared in a similar manner (see *Supporting Information*).

When **5D** or **6D** (250 μ M) was incubated at room temperature for up to 8 h in the presence of 300 μ M SAM, 1 mM Na₂S₂O₄, 25 mM 3-[4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-yl]propane-1-sulfonate (EPPS) buffer (50 μ L, pH 8.0) and DesII (ranging from 3.7 to 30 μ M), no consumption of the corresponding fluoro analog was observed by HPLC (see Figures 1 & 1S). Changes in analog concentration (0.25 to 2 mM) had no significant impact on the appearance of the HPLC traces (data not shown). Furthermore, there was no visible development of new HPLC peaks in the 30–40 min region, where the deamination and dehydration products (i.e., **2** and **4**) are expected to elute.^{6,12} However, a slow consumption of SAM was noted in both cases. The disappearance of SAM was dependent on both enzyme

concentration (Figure 1A) and incubation time (Figure 1B) and was accompanied by the appearance of a new HPLC peak with a retention time of 27.3 min. Collection and electrospray ionization mass spectroscopic (ESI-MS) analysis of this new peak demonstrated a signal at 316.1 m/z (positive ion), which is consistent with formation of 5'-deoxyadenosyl-sulfinate (5'-dAdo-SO₂H, predicted [M+H]⁺: 316.07 m/z). The generation of 5'-dAdo-SO₂⁻ during prolonged incubations of SAM and Na₂S₂O₄ with elevated concentrations of DesII has been previously reported²¹ and implies that formation of the 5'-deoxyadenosyl radical indeed occurs in the reaction. This was further substantiated by the observation of low levels of 5'-deoxyadenosine at retention times earlier than 5 min.

One possible explanation for the lack of substrate turnover despite 5'-deoxyadenosyl radical generation is poor formation of a productive Michaelis complex between DesII and the 3-fluoro analogs. Furthermore, an α -fluoro substituent is known to be less effective at stabilizing an alkyl radical in comparison to an α -hydroxyl group.^{17,22} The combined effect of poor substrate binding and inefficient H-atom transfer could thus preclude C3 radical generation making the 3-fluoro analogs ineffective mechanistic probes.

To investigate this possibility, the DesII reactions with **5D** and **6D** were monitored for deuterium exchange between the fluoro analogs and SAM. Reactions were prepared containing 600 μ M **5D** or **6D**, 300 μ M SAM, 500 μ M Na₂S₂O₄ and 20 μ M DesII in 400 μ L of 25 mM EPPS buffer (pH 8.0). The reactions were carried out under anaerobic conditions at 30° C. At 2 h intervals, additional fresh Na₂S₂O₄ was added and a 100 μ L aliquot was removed. HPLC was then used to isolate the residual TDP-sugar substrates and SAM, which were subsequently analyzed by ESI-MS. Deuterium enrichment of each species was determined from the relative MS peak intensities after correcting for natural abundance ¹³C.

Analysis of changes in isotopic enrichment as a function of reaction time demonstrated that both **5D** and **6D** undergo a significant depletion in deuterium content while at the same time SAM is enriched in both the mono- and dideuterated isotopologs (see Figure 3S). The exchange reactions with 20 μ M DesII begin to plateau within the first two hours of incubation. Furthermore, at the 8 h time point, the fractional concentrations of monodeuterated **5** and **6** were 50% and 60%, respectively. Given the initial 2:1 ratio of the deuterated substrate isotopolog (one exchangeable hydrogen) and SAM (two exchangeable hydrogens), these values approach the expected equilibrium value of 50%, assuming that there is little difference in the isotopic fractionation factor²⁴ associated with the C3-position of the substrate and the 5'-position of SAM. Likewise, the expected enrichment of mono- and dideuterated SAM are 50% and 25%, respectively, which are similar to the observed values at 8 h of ca. 50% and 20% in the reactions with both 3-fluoro analogs. Finally, analysis of the 5'-deoxyadenosine produced demonstrated greater than 95% monodeuteration at early time points in the reaction.

The observation of efficient hydrogen exchange between the 3-fluoro analogs and SAM in the presence of DesII indicates that both substrate analogs undergo C3-hydrogen atom abstraction by the 5'-deoxyadenosyl radical generated in the active site. Careful inspection of HPLC traces from the reaction with **5D** also revealed a minor peak (< 5%) that is consistent with 3-*epi*-**5** by ESI-MS (see Figure 2S). This minor product is not isotopically

enriched beyond natural abundance and can account for the observed low levels of monodeuterated 5'-deoxyadenosine in these experiments. The epimerization likely results from reduction of the C3-radical intermediate (**7**) by a solvent-derived H-atom equivalent as has been previously reported in the case of TDP-D-fucose.²¹

The inability of **5D** and **6D** to undergo elimination or oxidation is thus not due to a failure to generate the substrate radical, but is a consequence of the nonreactivity of the C3 fluoroalkyl radicals within the DesII active site. These results are mechanistically significant for three reasons. First, the fact that formation of a C3 radical adduct of **5** does not prompt deamination disfavors a mechanism involving a radical-induced 1,2-migration of the amino group. Second, the results further substantiate the hypothesis that an increase in oxidation potential of the substrate radical is required for oxidation, since the ionization energy of a fluoromethyl radical is approximately 1 eV greater than that of a hydroxymethyl radical.^{17,25}

Third, the apparent equilibration of deuterium between the 3-fluoro analogs and SAM indicates that reductive homolysis of SAM and H-atom abstraction from the substrate are readily reversible. Previous reports have provided evidence for this possibility under turnover conditions,^{6,26,27} and enzymes such as lysine amino mutase,²⁸ spore photoproduct lyase²⁹ and QueE³⁰ are well-known to regenerate SAM as part of their catalytic cycles. However, the present results suggest that the reverse partitioning of the substrate radical, methionine and 5'-deoxyadenosine to regenerate SAM and the substrate (i.e., **7** → **1**) can become the dominant course of reaction when forward partitioning (i.e., **7** → **8**, **11** or **12**) is impeded.

Taken together, the mechanism for the DesII-catalyzed deamination reaction appears to be most consistent with that shown in Scheme 3. Upon formation of the ternary Michaelis complex between DesII, SAM and **1**, SAM is reduced concomitant with C3-H-atom abstraction from the substrate to produce the initial substrate radical **7**. This process is reversible, and the 5'-deoxyadenosyl or substrate radical may also be quenched unproductively to result in uncoupling, sulfinate formation, or C3-epimerization. However, these latter pathways are relatively minor in the case of DesII unless the substrate exhibits significant structural perturbations.²¹

The subsequent reaction of **7** depends on the presence of an ionizable hydroxyl group at C3, since a radical-induced deamination of **5** does not take place. Instead, deamination likely proceeds via a mechanism of direct elimination, wherein the proton from the C3 α -hydroxyalkyl radical is transferred to the amine leaving group at C4 (i.e., **7** → **12**). This may be mediated by the putative active site base and likely proceeds in a concerted manner to avoid possible dehydrogenation due to formation of a ketyl radical (i.e., **11**) as a discrete intermediate.¹³ The catalytic cycle is then completed upon reduction of the enol radical **12** by an external electron donor in a process that has yet to be clarified. Overall, the use of 3-fluoro analogs to investigate the DesII reaction has shed significant light on its mechanism of catalysis and radical SAM enzymes in general.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM035906) and the Welch Foundation (F-1511).

REFERENCES

1. a Frey PA, Hegeman AD, Ruzicka FJ. Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2008; 43:63–88. [PubMed: 18307109] b Broderick JB, Duffus BR, Duschene KS, Shepard EM. Chem. Rev. 2014; 114:4229–4317. [PubMed: 24476342]
2. Xue Y, Zhao L, Liu H.-w. Sherman DH. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1998; 95:12111–12116. [PubMed: 9770448]
3. Szu, P.-h.; He, X.; Zhao, L.; Liu, H.-w. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005; 44:6742–6746.
4. Zhao L, Borisova S, Yeung S-M, Liu H.-w. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001; 123:7909–7910. [PubMed: 11493066]
5. Rusczycky MW, Ogasawara Y, Liu H.-w. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 2012; 1824:1231–1244. [PubMed: 22172915]
6. Szu P-H, Rusczycky MW, Choi S.-h. Yan F, Liu H.-w. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:14030–14042. [PubMed: 19746907]
7. Bandarian, V.; Reed, GH. Chemistry and Biochemistry of B₁₂. Banerjee, R., editor. John Wiley & Sons; New York: 1999. p. 811–833.
8. Craciun S, Marks JA, Balskus EP. ACS Chem. Biol. 2014; 9:1408–1413. [PubMed: 24854437]
9. Toraya T. Chem. Rev. 2003; 103:2095–2127. [PubMed: 12797825]
10. Toraya T. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2014; 544:40–57. [PubMed: 24269950]
11. Semialjac M, Schwarz H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 124:8974–8983. [PubMed: 12137553]
12. Rusczycky MW, Choi S.-h. Liu H.-w. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:2359–2369. [PubMed: 20121093]
13. Rusczycky MW, Choi S.-h. Liu H.-w. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 2013; 110:2088–2093.
14. Rusczycky MW, Choi S.-h. Mansoorabadi SO, Liu H.-w. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:7292–7295. [PubMed: 21513273]
15. a Steenken S, Davies MJ, Gilbert BC. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1986; 2:1003–1010. b Steenken S. J. Phys. Chem. 1979; 83:595–599. c Bansal KM, Gratzel M, Henglein A, Janata E. J. Phys. Chem. 1973; 77:16–19.
16. Rusczycky MW, Liu H.-w. Isr. J. Chem. 2015; 55:1–11.
17. Dolbier WR Jr. Chem. Rev. 1996; 96:1557–1584. [PubMed: 11848804]
18. Bundle DR, Gerken M, Peters T. Carbohydr. Res. 1988; 174:239–251. [PubMed: 2454158]
19. Peters T, Bundle DR. Can. J. Chem. 1989; 67:497–502.
20. Poirot E, Chang AHC, Horton D, Ková P. Carbohydr. Res. 2001; 334:195–205. [PubMed: 11513826]
21. Ko Y, Rusczycky MW, Choi S-H, Liu H.-w. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015; 54:860–863.
22. Bordwell FG, Zhang X-M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993; 26:510–517.
23. a Iwig DF, Booker SJ. Biochemistry. 2004; 43:13496–13509. [PubMed: 15491157] b Hoffman JL. Biochemistry. 1986; 25:4444–4449. [PubMed: 3530324]
24. a Hartshorn SR, Shiner VJ Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972; 94:9002–9012. b Venkatasubban KS, Schowen RL. CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem. 1984; 17:1–44. [PubMed: 6094099]
25. Dyke JM, Ellis AR, Jonathan N, Keddar N, Morris A. Chem. Phys. Lett. 1984; 111:207–210.
26. Yokoyama K, Numakura M, Kudo F, Ohmori D, Eguchi T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:15147–15155. [PubMed: 18001019]

27. Duffus BR, Ghose S, Peters JW, Broderick JB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014; 136:13086–13089. [PubMed: 25099480]
28. a Chirpich TP, Zappia V, Costilow RN, Barker HA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1970; 245:1778–1789. [PubMed: 5438361] b Moss M, Frey PA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1987; 262:14859–14862. [PubMed: 3117791] c Baraniak J, Moss ML, Frey PA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1989; 264:1357–1360. [PubMed: 2492274]
29. a Cheek J, Broderick JB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:2860–2861. [PubMed: 11902862] b Buis JM, Cheek J, Kalliri E, Broderick JB. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006; 281:25994–26003. [PubMed: 16829680]
30. McCarty RM, Krebs C, Bandarian V. *Biochemistry.* 2013; 52:188–198. [PubMed: 23194065]

Scheme 1.
Reactions catalyzed by DesII.

Scheme 2.
Deamination via 1,2-migration.

Scheme 3.
Deamination via direct elimination.

Scheme 4.
Synthesis of 4-amino-3-fluoro analog 5D.

Figure 1.

HPLC traces for incubations involving **5D**, SAM and DesII in the presence of Na₂S₂O₄. Reactions were run anaerobically at room temperature in 25 mM EPPS buffer (pH 8.0). (A) Constant time incubations of 2 h with variable 3.7–30 μM DesII (indicated on each trace) and 250 μM **5D**, 300 μM SAM and 1 mM Na₂S₂O₄. (B) Variable time incubations of 2–8 h (indicated on each trace) with 3.7 μM DesII, 250 μM **5D**, 300 μM SAM and 1 mM Na₂S₂O₄. Methylthioadenosine also contributes to the peaks at early retention times (peak **a**, <5 min),²³ and the minor peaks **b** correspond to thymidine-monophosphate (TMP), impurities in the SAM preparation and 3-*epi*-**5**.