Comparison of sonoelastography with sonourethrography and retrograde urethrography in the evaluation of male anterior urethral strictures

Shyam Manoharlal Talreja¹, Vinay Tomar¹, Sher Singh Yadav¹, Usha Jaipal², Shivam Priyadarshi¹, Neeraj Agarwal¹, Nachiket Vyas¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: Retrograde urethrography (RUG) is the most common and preferred imaging modality for imaging of the anterior urethral strictures despite its well-known limitations and disadvantages. Sonourethrography (SUG) was introduced in 1988 to overcome the limitations of RUG and to provide more accurate results. As proper selection of imaging modality is very important for planning the treatment, various advances in this area are required. One of the major factors for recurrence of stricture disease is spongiofibrosis. Sonoelastography (SE) is a newer technique, tried in various other pathologies. In this study, we have used this technique for the first time to assess its efficacy in the evaluation of anterior urethral stricture disease by comparison with RUG and SUG.

Material and methods: Between August 2014 and May 2015, 77 patients with clinical features of anterior urethral stricture disease were included in the study and evaluated by RUG followed by SUG and SE for stricture location, length, depth of spongiofibrosis and periurethral pathologies. The results were then correlated with operative and histopathological findings.

Results: Overall diagnostic accuracy of SE, SUG, and RUG for the estimation of stricture location, and length were estimated 92.68% vs. 91.54%, 79% vs. 78.87% and 80.48% vs. 43.66%, respectively, while for depth of spongiofibrosis SE, and SUG had accuracy rates of 87.3%, 48%, respectively. The mean length measured on SE was nearest to the mean intra-operative stricture length (21.34±11.8 mm). SE findings significantly correlated with the colour of bladder mucosa on cystoscopic examination ($p=0.003$) whereas the association was non-significant ($p=0.127$) for difficulty in incision. While a nonsignificant correlation existed between SUG findings related both to the colour of the bladder mucosa and difficulty in incision on cystoscopy, SE findings had a significant association ($p<0.001$) with histopathology findings for severe degree of fibrosis.

Conclusion: Sonoelastography estimates stricture site and length better in comparison with RUG and SUG. It estimates degree of spongiofibrosis which serves as an important prognostic factor for stricture recurrence more accurately than SUG.

Keywords: Anterior urethral strictures; elastography; retrograde urethrography; sonourethrography.

¹Department of Urology, Sawai Man Singh Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Radiology, Sawai Man Singh Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Submitted:
27.11.2015

Accepted:
01.02.2016

Correspondence:
Shyam Manoharlal Talreja
E-mail: talrejasmyam@gmail.com

©Copyright 2016 by Turkish Association of Urology

Available online at
www.turkishjournalofurology.com

Introduction

Proper selection of imaging modality for pre-operative evaluation of urethral strictures is of paramount importance in planning treatment.

[1,2] Retrograde urethrography (RUG) is a conventional imaging technique and is regarded as a standard modality.[3-10] It has certain limitations like variation in stricture length due to inadequate patient positioning and penile traction and it does not delineate periurethral fibrosis apart from the well-known disadvantage of radiation exposure to gonads.[1,3-11] In 1988 McAninch et al.[12] evaluated urethra with

a new technique known as sonourethrography (SUG). SUG is better than RUG as it gives a more accurate estimate of stricture length and periurethral pathology along with additional information about periurethral fibrosis. It also has an advantage of no exposure to radiation. [1-11]

Sonoelastography (SE), a newer imaging tool in combination with ultrasound (also known as real time elastography [RTE]) has become one of the most important addition to the armamentarium of sonographic techniques in the last decade. [13,14] Although, not yet routinely used in urol-

ogy clinical practice, previous studies have shown its usefulness in the differential diagnosis of breast, thyroid and prostate pathologies along with its role in diagnosing and staging acute appendicitis.^[13-16]

Sonoelastography maps the elastic property of soft tissues examined^[16] which propelled us to use this technique in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures not only for length but also for degree of spongiofibrosis and periurethral pathologies.^[1,2,5] Hence in the present study, we sought to compare the efficacy of real-time SE with SUG and RUG in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures.

Material and methods

Present study was conducted in Department of Urology in Sawai Mann Singh Medical College and Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan after the approval from ethics committee of the institution was obtained. It is a hospital-based descriptive, observational, comparative study done from August 2014 to May 2015.

Inclusion criteria:

- a) All patients with clinical features of anterior urethral stricture.

Exclusion criteria:

- a) Proximal bulbar and bulbo-membranous urethral stricture.
- b) History of recent traumatic catheterization.
- c) Obliterated urethral stricture.
- d) Bladder outlet obstruction or conditions responsible for urinary retention.
- e) Active urinary tract infection.
- f) Meatal or submeatal stricture.
- g) Pan anterior urethral stricture.
- h) Patients who did not undergo or gave negative consent for treatment were excluded from the study.

A total of seventy-seven patients (Post Hoc power analysis) satisfying the inclusion criteria were included in the study. Past and present detailed history was taken and physical examination was done. Routine urinalysis followed by uroflowmetry and radiological investigations were done. Written informed consent was obtained from the patients.

All patients were further investigated via RGU and Micturating Cystourethrogram (MCUG) followed 4-6 days later by SUG and SE performed by a separate experienced senior radiologist who was blinded to the RUG report.^[3]

Standard dynamic RUG and MCUG techniques were used. The patient was placed in supine, 45-degree oblique position and using a 10-12 Fr Foley catheter (in case of meatal stenosis

feeding tube was used), 10-15 mL of contrast medium (76% Urografin) was injected into the urethra and spot films were taken.^[6,8]

Sonourethrography was performed using a 12 Fr Foley catheter through which saline was injected as described by McAninch et al.^[2,12] The urethra was screened by a 7.5 MHz linear array transducer through the ventral surface of penis up to bulbo-membranous junction using trans-scrotal and trans-perineal approach. Multiple cross-sectional and longitudinal images were obtained. Stenotic segments were identified as areas of reduced distensibility with saline injection. Cases where the proximal extent of the stricture was not clear, the patient was asked to void with a full bladder, which helped to delineate the proximal extent. Spongiofibrosis appeared as areas of hyperechogenicity.

The following parameters were recorded: site, number, length, and diameter of the stricture, spongiofibrosis, other periurethral pathology (presence of false tracts, filling defects, diverticula, etc.) and complications, if any. The parameters were recorded using an electronic scale for RUG (after correcting for magnification at 100% magnification) and SUG.

After detailed B-mode sonourethrographic examination, urethra was evaluated by SE (HITACHI HI-VISION PREIRUS) with a linear (L-74M) 5-13 MHz transducer, kept perpendicular to the skin surface. The urethra was then gently compressed with the specific probe and slowly released. The adequacy of the compression was indicated by real-time elastography scale displayed on the screen during scanning. Images were considered optimum when the adequacy criterion for compression was optimally attained. The sonoelastographic pattern (elastogram) of urethral spongiofibrosis after adequate compression was evaluated and categorized into three groups according to the tissue stiffness. Stiffness is depicted in a continuum of colours from red to green to blue designating soft (i.e. high strain), intermediate (equal strain) and hard (no strain) tissue.^[17,18] The procedure was repeated at least once to avoid spurious results. The strain was calculated as an independent parameter irrespective of ultrasound B mode or elastogram characteristics. The most representative image obtained with optimal compression factor was stored for further assessment. Other parameters evaluated by SE were same as that of SUG. Stricture length on SE was measured as the length of the colour pattern while depth as vertical extent of the colour pattern.

Stricture length was classified as short (≤ 15 mm), intermediate (16-25 mm) and long (> 25 mm) segment.^[8] Stricture severity was based on the parameters like degree of luminal narrowing on SUG, colour of mucosa and difficulty of incision according to McAninch et al.^[12] as depicted in Table 1.^[2,3,5] Spongiofibrosis

was graded as mild (involving $<1/3^{\text{rd}}$ of corpus spongiosum thickness), moderate ($1/3$ - $1/2$) and severe ($>1/2$).^[3]

All the cases were managed either by visual internal urethrotomy (VIU) by single author (V.T) or open surgery. During VIU, stricture length was measured by the markings on Sachse' urethrotome sheath while a measuring scale was used at open surgery. Assessment of severity of stricture was done according to the data elicited in Table 1.^[3,5]

Retrograde urethrography, SUG and SE findings were then independently compared with operative findings. Histopathological assessment of stricture segments for the degree of spongiofibrosis was done on specimens obtained from open surgery cases for validation of intra-operative grading. Haematoxylin and eosin, and Massons' trichome stained slides were used for the evaluation of the same.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was done using computer software Primer and MS Excel. The qualitative data were expressed in proportions and percentages and the quantitative data as mean and

standard deviations. The difference in proportion was analysed by using *chi-square* test and the difference in means were analysed by using Student T test and one way ANOVA, which were further analysed by post-hoc test (Tukey test). Diagnostic accuracy, sensitivity, specificity and predictive values were calculated for different investigation modalities against the cystoscopic and histopathological findings. Level of significance for tests was determined within 95% confidence interval ($p < 0.05$).

Results

The commonest presenting complaint was poor stream of urine (59.4%, 44 patients) followed by dysuria (23.3%, 18 patients). Mean age of the patients was 34.67±0.97 (range: 21-56 years). Post- catheterization (42.86%), idiopathic (28.57%) and traumatic strictures (9.09%) were observed.

Strain pattern of blue and green colour were in equal proportion as evaluated on SUG. Evaluation of 77 patients revealed that 45.5% (35/77) of the patients underwent VIU, 43.0% (33/77) had open surgery (mainly anastomotic and tube flap substitution urethroplasty) while 11.5% (9/77) of them were found to have normal urethra on cystoscopy. A total of 82 strictures were demonstrated in 77 male patients, of which 79 strictures were diagnosed on RUG while 74 strictures on SUG and SE compared to 71 intra-operatively detected strictures. Most common stricture site was bulbar region of urethra followed by peno-bulbar region and no significant difference was observed among the imaging modalities in aspect to stricture site localisation.

Mean stricture length measured by RUG, SUG, and SE was 16.37±10.09 mm, 17.6±10.37 mm and 22.54±11.03 mm respec-

Table 1. Parameters for assessing severity of spongiofibrosis

	SUG (degree of luminal, nal narrowing)	Operative findings Colour of the urethral mucosa	Difficulty in incision
Mild	<33%	Pink	Mild
Moderate	33-50%	Grey	Moderate
Severe	>50%	White	Severe
SUG: sonourethrography			

Table 2. Diagnostic accuracy of RUG, SUG and SE regarding stricture length

Statistical test	RUG			SUG			SE		
	Short	Intermediate	Long	Short	Intermediate	Long	Short	Intermediate	Long
Total no. of pts.	52	18	9	40	22	12	37	19	18
True positive	22	9	9	28	16	12	28	19	18
False positive	30	9	0	12	6	0	9	0	0
False negative	6	16	9	0	9	6	0	6	0
Sensitivity (%)	78.57	36	50	100	64	66.7	100	76	100
Specificity (%)	44.44	84.2	100	77.8	89.5	100	80.43	100	100
Accuracy (%)	85.2	69.5	89	85.4	82	92.7	87.84	92	100
PPV (%)	42.3	50	100	70	72.7	100	75.68	100	100
NPV (%)	80	75	87.7	100	85	91.4	100	89.1	100
SUG: sonourethrography; RUG: retrograde urethrography; no.: number; PPV: positive predictive value; NPV: negative predictive value									

Table 3. Assessment of spongiofibrosis by SUG and SE together with cystoscopic findings

SUG	Cystoscopy										
	Colour of the urethral mucosa					Difficulty in incision					
	Total	Grey		White		Mild		Moderate		Severe	
		n	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Number	34	21	61.76	13	38.24	15	44.12	13	38.24	6	17.65
Mild	14	10	47.62	4	30.77	6	42.86	8	57.14	0	0
Moderate	17	11	52.38	6	46.15	9	52.94	5	29.41	3	50.00
Severe	3	0	0.00	3	23.08	0	0.00	0	0.00	3	50.00
p value	p=0.065					p=0.449					
SE											
Mild	16	12	57.14	4	30.77	9	60	7	53.85	0	0.00
Moderate	12	9	42.86	3	23.08	6	40	3	23.08	3	50.00
Severe	6	0	0.00	6	46.15	0	0	3	23.08	3	50.00
p value	p=0.003					p=0.127					
SUG: sonourethrography; No.: number											

Table 4. Assessment of spongiofibrosis by SUG and SE together with histopathology findings

Spongiofibrosis	Histopathology	Mild		Moderate		Severe	
		SUG	SE	SUG	SE	SUG	SE
Moderate	9	2	3	6	3	1	3
Severe	28	0	0	19	0	9	28
Total	37	2	3	25	3	10	31
Diagnostic accuracy (%)		80.49	76.83	74.29	74.39	71.95	89.02
p value		NA		p=0.333		p<0.001	
SUG: sonourethrography; SE: sonoelastography; NA: not applicable							

tively. The mean length measured on sonoelastography was closest to the mean intra-operatively detected stricture length (21.34±11.8 mm).

Overall, diagnostic accuracy in predicting stricture length for short, intermediate and long strictures was 85.2%, 69.5% and 89% by RUG, 85.4%, 82.0% and 92.7% by SUG and 87.84%, 92% and 100% by SE, respectively. Sonoelastography showed higher accuracy in estimating the lengths of intermediate and long segment strictures as compared to other two techniques (Table 2).

Significant association (p=0.003) between SE findings and colour of the mucosa on cystoscopy was found whereas non-significant correlation between SE findings and difficulty in

incision was observed. Sonourethrographic findings were not significantly correlated with both colour of the urethral mucosa and difficulty in incision on cystoscopy (Table 3).

Thirty-four patients were managed by open surgery in the form of excisional, and primary anastomosis and substitution urethroplasty e.g. prepuccial tube flap. A total of 37 strictures were found during open surgery and assessed histopathologically. Out of these 37 strictures, 28 had severe while 9 had moderate degree of spongiofibrosis. The diagnostic accuracy of SE in predicting spongiofibrosis compared to the gold standard histopathology was 76.83%, 74.39% and 89.02% for mild, moderate and severe degree of spongiofibrosis whereas SUG has 80.49%, 74.29% and 71.95% accuracy for the same pathology, respectively. Significant association was observed for severe degree

Figure 1. a-f. (a) Retrograde urethrography showing penobulbar urethral stricture segment measuring 23 mm in length. (b) Sonourethrography showing the same stricture segment measuring 28 mm in length with moderate degree of fibrosis. (c) Sonoelastography is also showing the same stricture segment with blue pattern, measuring 35 mm in length and with severe degree of fibrosis. (d, e) Gross specimen of the same urethral stricture segment measuring 33 mm in length and showing thickened wall. (f) Micrograph depicting severe degree of fibrosis involving full thickness of the wall (X 100, Masson's trichrome stain)

of fibrosis between SE and histopathology findings ($p < 0.001$) (Table 4).

Associated periurethral pathology such as fistula was diagnosed in 3.80% of the cases on RUG and 4.05% on SUG. Complications observed during RUG were fever and intravasation of dye in 5.06%, dysuria in 3.8%, and urethral bleeding in 2.5% of the cases. No such significant complications were observed during SUG and SE procedures.

Discussion

Various imaging modalities are available for the evaluation of the urethral stricture, but up to date RUG is the most common and preferred modality despite its well-known limitations and disadvantages like inadequate positioning of the patient and penile traction during contrast injection leading to alteration in stricture length and overlapping, inability to evaluate spongiofibrosis, extravasation and intravasation of dye in cases of forceful injection of contrast material, underestimation of length of anterior especially bulbar urethral stricture^[5,6,7], radiation exposure to gonads and contrast allergy in some susceptible patients.^[3-6,8,9] To overcome these limitations, an adjuvant technique of sonourethrography was introduced by McAninch et

al.^[12] in 1988^[3,5,7,8] which provided better estimation of stricture length^[2], delineation of spongiofibrosis and periurethral pathology with an added advantage of no radiation exposure and hypersensitivity reaction. Various studies have been conducted for the comparison of both techniques.

Priyadarshi et al.^[8] reported an overall sensitivity and accuracy of SUG in predicting stricture length as 95.55% vs. 97.33% compared to RUG (77.22% vs. 85.33%). They also reported an accuracy of 78%, 66% and 88% for assessment of mild, moderate and severe spongiofibrosis. Mitterberger et al.^[7] found that correlation between RUG and SUG regarding stricture length was stronger for penile compared to bulbar urethra. Various other studies have shown that SUG was better in predicting location, and length of the stricture and it had an advantage of delineating spongiofibrosis and periurethral pathology more accurately.^[3-7,9-11] However, echogenicity and mechanical attributes of the tissue do generally not correlate, and therefore proper estimation of tissue stiffness will provide a better idea of the underlying fibrosis.^[18,19] Spongiofibrosis is a crucial determinant of surgical outcome and prognosis. Its severity is directly proportional to recurrence of stricture and may dictate management.^[2,5,6,18] Nash et al.^[20] highlighted a shortcoming of SUG, that it cannot accurately measure the depth of spongiofi-

Figure 2. a-f. (a) Retrograde urethrography showing penile urethral stricture segment measuring 18 mm in length. (b) Sonourethrography showing the same stricture segment measuring 22 mm in length with mild degree of fibrosis. (c) Sonoelastography also showing the same stricture segment with green pattern, measuring 26 mm in length and with moderate degree of fibrosis. (d, e) Gross specimen of the same urethral stricture segment measuring 27 mm in length and showing thickened wall. (f) Micrograph depicting moderate degree of fibrosis (X 100, Masson's trichrome stain)

Figure 3. a-f. (a) Retrograde urethrography showing bulbar urethral stricture segment measuring 14 mm in length. (b) Sonourethrography showing the same stricture segment measuring 18 mm in length with mild degree of fibrosis. (c) Sonoelastography also showing the same stricture segment with blue pattern, measuring 22 mm in length and with moderate degree of fibrosis. (d) On cystoscopy, the same stricture segment measured 25 mm in length, (e) had white coloured mucosa and (f) severe difficulty in incision was observed during visual internal urethrotomy

brosis when compared with histopathological assessment which was in agreement with other studies^[3,5,9,20] RUG utilizes intraluminal opacification, therefore it provides minimal information about direct assessment of periurethral pathology.^[1,3]

Sonoelastography also known as virtual or electronic palpation is a novel technique used for measurement of tissue stiffness.^[21] Compared to manual palpation which has a historical importance in physical examination,^[22] SE has an advantage of evaluating deeper lesions and furthermore it is semi-quantifiable. The basic principle of this technique is that an abnormal tissue (i.e. the one affected by fibrosis, inflammation or neoplastic process) is stiffer than the normal tissue. It is this property of SE, which we have especially utilized (estimating longitudinal extent and depth of spongiofibrotic segment) in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures; as spongiofibrosis is one of the most important determinant in planning treatment and prognosis.^[2,17,21] To our best knowledge, this is the first study assessing the role of sonoelastography in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures, which could be a promising potential target for this upcoming imaging technology.

Strain elastography is defined as a technique of imaging tissue elasticity or stiffness by measuring spatial rate of tissue displacement in response to certain amount of pressure applied on the tissue. Strain is defined as a relative change in shape or size of an object due to externally applied forces and it is expressed as change in length during compression divided by length before compression. Stress is force per unit area. Stiffness of tissue is calculated via Young's modulus, defined as $E = \text{stress} / \text{strain}$. As most machines measure strain and not Young's modulus, direct quantification is not possible.^[15,17] The strain map is called elastogram. SE is performed in a split screen mode with the conventional B-mode image on the right, and the elastogram on the left side.^[17,18] SE measures tissue stiffness both qualitatively, and semi-quantitatively,^[15,17] in the form of strain pattern and strain ratio, respectively. Strain images show the relative stiffness of the lesion compared with the adjacent tissue stiffness.^[15,23] Strain pattern is depicted in continuum of colours as described earlier in this study. However, colour standardization is yet not present and some SE machines follow an inverse colour scale different from others.^[17]

In our study, SE correlated better in estimation of stricture location, length and degree of spongiofibrosis when compared with intra-operative and histopathological findings.

Overall diagnostic accuracy, for estimation of stricture location by SE, SUG and RUG was 92.68%, 79% and 80.48% respectively while for stricture length SE, SUG, and had diagnostic accuracies as 91.54, 78.87, and 43.66%, respectively. SE

yielded an accuracy of 87.3% for estimation of spongiofibrosis compared to 48% of SUG.

Estimation of periurethral pathology like presence of false tracts on SE was comparable to SUG but better than RUG. Estimation of stricture length and depth is of vital importance as it forms the basis of description among cases for endoscopic management (short segment strictures) and open surgery (intermediate and long segment requiring end to end anastomosis and prepuce tube replacement).^[2] The starting and end point of the stricture length were estimated from the point where the narrowing had started to begin. SE displayed better visualization of stricture length and by virtue of strain pattern we were able to visualize extension of the length compared with B-mode and RUG. Degree of spongiofibrosis was also delineated better by SE as it displayed more accurate estimation of extent of depth. Depth of spongiofibrosis observed by SE was compared with SUG measurements and validated by intra-operative and histopathological assessment as depicted in Figures 1, 2, and 3. Complications during SE procedure were none to minimal (1 case). Choudhary et al.^[3] reported pain, urethral bleeding and contrast medium intravasation during RUG procedure.

Our study showed that SE provided more accurate estimation of urethral stricture evaluation parameters in comparison to RUG and SUG and it correlated excellently with intra-operative and histopathological findings. It best estimated spongiofibrosis which is an important prognostic factor for stricture recurrence. Therefore, it should be routinely used as an adjuvant to RUG and SUG.

Ethics Committee Approval: Ethics committee approval was received for this study from the ethics committee of Sawai Man Singh Medical College and Hospital (No. Dated: 1689/MC/EC/2015).

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from patients who participated in this study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept – S.M.T., V.T., S.S.Y., U.J., N.A., N.V.; Design – S.M.T., S.S.Y., S.P., N.V.; Definition of Content – S.M.T., V.T., U.J., N.A., N.V.; Data Collection and/or Processing – S.M.T., V.T., S.S.Y., U.J., S.P., N.A., N.V.; Statistical Analysis – S.M.T., V.T., S.S.Y., U.J.; Literature Search – S.M.T., U.J., S.P., N.A., N.V.; Clinical Studies – S.M.T., S.S.Y., S.P., N.A.; Experimental Studies – S.M.T., V.T., S.P., N.V.; Writing Manuscript – S.M.T., V.T., U.J., N.A.; Critical Review – S.M.T., S.S.Y., S.P., N.V.; Other – S.M.T., V.T., S.S.Y., U.J., S.P., N.A., N.V.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank Dr. Divya Pursnani for her help in grammatical proof reading of the write-up of study and Dr. Rashmi Sharma for help in statistical analysis of the study.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

- Maciejewski C, Rourke K. Imaging of urethral stricture disease. *Transl Androl Urol* 2015;491:2-9.
- Dahiya N, Menias CO, Siegel CL. Imaging of the male urethra. In: Brandes SB, Morey AF, editors. *Advanced Male urethral and genital reconstructive surgery*, 2nd ed. New York: Humana press; 2014. p. 51-68. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Choudhary S, Singh P, Sundar E, Kumar S, Sahai A. A comparison of sonourethrography and retrograde urethrography in evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. *Clin Radiol* 2004;59:736-42. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- El-ghar MA, Osman Y, Elbaz E, Refiae H, Diasty TE. MR urethrogram versus combined retrograde urethrogram and sonourethrography in diagnosis of urethral stricture. *Eur J Radiol* 2010;74:193-8. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Gupta N, Dubey D, Mandhani A, Shrivastava A, Kapoor R, Kumar A. Urethral stricture assessment: a prospective study evaluating urethral ultrasonography and conventional radiological studies. *BJU Int* 2006;98:149-53. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ravikumar BR, Tejus C, Madappa KM, Prashant D, Dhayanand GS. A comparative study of ascending urethrogram and sono-urethrogram in the evaluation of stricture urethra. *IBJU* 2015;41:388-92.
- Mitterberger M, Christian G, Pinggera GM, Bartsch G, Strasser H, Pallwein L, et al. Gray scale and color doppler sonography with extended field of view technique for the diagnostic evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. *J Urol* 2007;177:992-7. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Priyadarshi V, Singh M, Kumar V, Tiwari R, Gupta SK, Sehgal N. The role of sonourethrography in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures: A correlation with retrograde urethrography. *Uro Today Int J* 2012;5:1-6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Mandal SK, Bhattacharyya SK, Mandal A, Deoghuria D, Mandal PK. Sonourethrography in the evaluation of anterior urethral stricture: correlation with retrograde urethrography in male. *Int J Pharm Biomed Res* 2012;3:77-80.
- Hatgaonkar A, Pendharkar P. Sonourethrography in evaluation of abnormalities of anterior male urethra. *IOSR-JDMS* 2014;13:53-9. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Khan N, Modishi HM, Tsatsi LD, Khatoon A, Seogone A. Comparison of sonourethrography and retrograde urethrography in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. *S Afr J Rad* 2004;8:6-9. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- McAninch JW, Laing FC, Jeffery Jr RB. Sonourethrography in the evaluation of urethral strictures: a preliminary report. *J Urol* 1988;139:294-7.
- Dudea SM, Jid CB. Ultrasound elastography in thyroid disease. *Med Ultrason* 2015;17:74-96.
- Kapoor A, Kapoor A, Mahajan G. Real-time Elastography in acute appendicitis. *J Ultrasound Med* 2010;29:871-7.
- Mousa AE, Aboelatta M, Zalata K. Combined sonoelastographic scoring and strain ratio in evaluation of breast masses. *EJRN* 2012;43:647-56. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Lyshchik A, Higashi T, Asato R, Tanaka S, Ito J, Hiraoka M, et al. Cervical lymph node metastases: diagnosis at sonoelastography-initial experience. *Radiology* 2007;243:258-67. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Carlsen JF, Ewertsen C, Önn L, Nielsen MB. Strain elastography ultrasound: An overview with emphasis on breast cancer diagnosis. *Diagnostics* 2013;3:117-25. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Gheorghe L, Lacob S, Gheorghe C. Real-time Sonoelastography-a new application in the field of liver disease. *J Gastrointest Liver Dis* 2008;17:469-74.
- Ophir J, Kallel F, Varghese T, Konofagou E, Kaiser Alam SKS, Krouskop T, et al. Elastography. *Optical and Acoustical Imaging of Biological Media* 2001;2:1193-212. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Nash PA, McAninch JW, Bruce JE, Hanks DK. Sonourethrography in the evaluation of anterior urethral strictures. *J Urol* 1995;154:72-6. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ruchala M, Szczepanek-Parulska E, Zybek A, Moczko J, Czarnywojtek A, Kaminski G, et al. The role of sonoelastography in acute, subacute and chronic thyroiditis: a novel application of the method. *Eur J Endocrinol* 2012;166:425-32. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Wells PNT, Liang HD. Medical ultrasound: imaging of soft tissue strain and elasticity. *JR Soc Interface* 2011;8:1521-49. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Barr RG, Nakashima K, Amy D, Cosgrove D, Farrokh A, Schafer F, et al. WFUMB guidelines and recommendations for clinical use of ultrasound elastography: Part 2: breast. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2015;41:1148-60. [\[CrossRef\]](#)