Published in final edited form as:

J Parasitol. 2016 April ; 102(2): 199–207. doi:10.1645/15-867.

ENDOHELMINTHS IN BIRD HOSTS FROM NORTHERN CALIFORNIA AND AN ANALYSIS OF THE ROLE OF LIFE HISTORY TRAITS ON PARASITE RICHNESS

Emily R. Hannon, John M. Kinsella*, Dana M. Calhoun, Maxwell B. Joseph, and Pieter T. J. Johnson

Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of Colorado, Ramaley N122 CB334, Boulder, Colorado 80309

Abstract

The life history characteristics of hosts often influence patterns of parasite infection either by affecting the likelihood of parasite exposure or the probability of infection following exposure. In birds, migratory behavior has been suggested to affect both the composition and abundance of parasites within a host, although whether migratory birds have more or fewer parasites is unclear. To help address these knowledge gaps, we collaborated with airports, animal rescue/rehabilitation centers, and hunter check stations in the San Francisco Bay Area of California to collect 57 raptors, egrets, herons, ducks, and other waterfowl for parasitological analysis. Following dissections of the gastro-intestinal tract of each host, we identified 64 taxa of parasites: 5 acanthocephalans, 24 nematodes, 8 cestodes, and 27 trematodes. We then used a generalized linear mixed model to determine how life history traits influenced parasite richness among bird hosts, while controlling for host phylogeny. Parasite richness was greater in birds that were migratory with larger clutch sizes and lower in birds that were herbivorous. The effects of clutch size and diet are consistent with previous studies and have been linked to immune function and parasite exposure, respectively, whereas the effect of migration supports the hypothesis of ‘migratory exposure’ rather than that of ‘migratory escape’.

Host traits are often associated with both the composition and diversity of parasites found in a given species. In a recent meta-analysis, Kamiya et al. (2014) found that host body size, geographic range size, and population density had consistently positive effects on the parasite richness documented across a wide range of host taxa, including plants, animals, and fungi. Such characteristics can influence infection through at least 3 mechanisms: by affecting the probability a host is exposed to parasites (e.g., larger-bodied and longer lived hosts are more likely to encounter infection, Kamiya et al., 2014), by altering the likelihood they become infected following exposure, and by influencing the persistence of parasites after establishment (Agnew et al., 2000). For example, the diet of a host can alter the probability of exposure to parasites because many parasites are trophically transmitted. In a study of 6 raptor species, Santoro et al. (2012) found that the more diverse a species’ diet, the richer their parasite community. Furthermore, ecoimmunological theory suggests there

Correspondence should be sent to: Emily.hannon@colorado.edu.

*HelmWest Laboratory, 2108 Hilda Avenue, Missoula, Montana, 59801.

are tradeoffs associated with life history traits that can affect the immune system and thus the ability for parasites to infect and persist within a host (Ricklefs and Wikelski, 2002; Johnson et al., 2012). For instance, Johnson et al. (2012) showed that frogs with a faster pace of life (faster development, smaller body size, and shorter lifespan) were more susceptible to infection and pathology relative to large-bodied and slow-developing hosts. Morand and Harvey (2002) argued that mammals with longer lifespans have fewer parasites, which further supports the hypothesis that infection risk could be altered by host's life history traits.

Among birds, migratory behavior has the potential to influence both a host's exposure to parasites as well as the capacity of those parasites – once established – to persist (Loehle, 1995; Waldenstrom et al., 2002; Hoyer, 2011). A migratory bird might have more parasites than a non-migratory bird because they are exposed to more parasites during their passage, herein referred to as “migratory exposure”. For example, Waldenstrom et al. (2002) suggested that increases in blood parasites in migratory songbirds is a cost of migration associated with exposure to reservoir hosts in their wintering habitats in Africa. They reported no difference in blood parasite prevalence between juveniles and adults in the resident host species of *Acrocephalus* compared to a 45% difference in prevalence between the juveniles (birds that have not migrated) and adults (post migration) in the migratory species of *Acrocephalus*. However, there is a competing hypothesis termed “migratory escape”, which suggests that migration reduces either hosts' exposure to parasites or their persistence within hosts because sources of infection, such as feces, are less likely to accumulate within a habitat and infections may be lost along their migratory path (Loehle, 1995). For instance, the intensity of warble fly larva in reindeer was negatively correlated with the distance between calving grounds where larval shedding occurs and the summer pastures where transmission happens (Folstad et al., 1991). Additionally, heavily infected hosts may not leave for migration at the same time as healthy ones, thus causing a temporal separation between infective animals and non-infective animals (Hoyer, 2011). These 2 opposing ideas are currently in debate (Altizer et al., 2011; Bauer and Hoyer, 2014).

In the current study, we assessed the helminth diversity of raptors, egrets, herons, ducks, and other waterfowl from sites within the San Francisco Bay Area of California. This area is part of the Pacific Flyway, which is 1 of the 4 major flyways in North America and has few previous parasitological studies of birds. We further explored the effects of bird species life history traits on parasite richness, including the influence of migratory status, while explicitly accounting for the phylogenetic relationships among host species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study system

The San Francisco Bay Area in California (Bay Area) is located on the Pacific Flyway, which is the westernmost migratory route in North America and extends from Alaska into Mexico (Wilson, 2010). The Bay Area is the largest bay along the western coast, and is where the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers enter the Pacific Ocean (Conomos et al., 1985). This area offers a multitude of different kinds of wetlands, both marine and freshwater, that offer diverse habitats for birds (Conomos et al., 1985). The Bay Area is also

one of the most important sections of the Pacific flyway as it serves as the breeding grounds, wintering grounds, or rest stops for waterfowl, shorebirds, and landbirds; millions of birds visit or live in this area (Cormier and Pitkin, 2008; Wilson, 2010). The combination of wetland habitats and high bird density in this area provides an opportunity for the transmission of many helminths that infect birds.

Specimen collection and species identification

To obtain samples of birds, we collaborated with Oakland International Airport, San Francisco International Airport, Sacramento International Airport, Sulphur Creek Nature Center, International Bird Rescue, and 2 hunting locations in the National Wildlife Refuge system (Alviso Boat Dock and Suisun Bay) between May 2012 and January 2013 (Fig. 1). Airports often have depredation permits that allow them to cull any birds that are a danger to planes during takeoff, which allowed us to obtain specimens. Bird rescue/rehabilitation centers provided birds that were unsuccessful rescues. At the hunting locations, we asked hunters to remove the gastrointestinal tracts from any captured animals.

After collections, birds or their gastrointestinal tracts, were shipped in ice-packed coolers to the University of Colorado Boulder, where they were stored at -20°C until dissection and parasitological examination. Dissection and parasite identification techniques were similar to those described in Sepulveda and Kinsella (2013). The gastrointestinal tract of each bird was examined for helminths by separating the esophagus, proventriculus, gizzard, stomach, duodenum, jejunum, and ileum. Contents of each section were washed into a petri dish for examination and then the mucosa was examined for attached parasites. The lining of the gizzard was removed and inspected and the proventriculus was teased apart to find parasites inside the glands. All of the contents of the gut were washed through a $200\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ mesh sieve followed by a $50\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ sieve, and the contents remaining on both the sieves were examined for parasites using an Olympus SZX10 stereo-dissection microscope (Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

Detected parasites were preserved in an alcohol-formalin-acetic acid mixture (AFA), 70% ethanol, or 95% ethanol depending on intended use. To facilitate morphological species identification, Semichon's carmine or Mayer's hematoxylin were used to stain trematodes and cestodes followed by mounting in Canada balsam. Nematodes were cleared in temporary mounts of lactophenol. Available literature and dichotomous identification keys were used for species identification: we used Yamaguti (1961) and Anderson et al. (2009) for the Nematoda; Yamaguti (1958, 1971), Schell (1985), Gibson et al. (2002), Jones et al. (2005), and Bray et al. (2008) for the Trematoda; Yamaguti (1963) for the Acanthocephala; and Yamaguti (1959), Schmidt (1986), and Khalil et al. (1994) for the Cestoda. Wherever possible, identification to the species level was achieved, but owing to the freezing/thawing process, higher taxonomic level identifications were often used. Voucher specimens were submitted to the Harold W. Manter Collection at the University of Nebraska, Lincoln.

Statistical analysis of life history traits

To explore and identify factors contributing to observed differences among bird species in their parasite community composition and parasite richness, we compiled a list of life

history and demographic traits for each species using data published in the literature (Craighead and Craighead, 1956; Bellrose, 1980; Hom, 1983; Rodewald, 2015). Traits that were included in our analysis included maximum longevity (years), migratory status (non-migratory vs. migratory), maximum body mass (g), mean clutch size (average number of eggs in a reproductive bout), and dietary preferences (predominantly herbivorous vs. carnivorous). Based on previous literature, we expected that larger-bodied birds would have more parasite species owing to greater exposure (Cooper et al., 2012), carnivorous birds would host a wider range of parasites due to trophic transmission, and mean clutch size would be positively associated with parasite richness due to the allocation of resources into reproduction rather than defense (Agnew et al., 2000). Migratory birds could host higher or lower parasite diversity depending on the relative effects of migratory escape vs. migratory exposure (Loehle, 1995; Waldenstrom et al., 2002). For the response variable, parasite family richness was chosen instead of parasite species richness because the taxonomic resolution at the species level was inconsistent.

We developed 3 models to understand how host traits, taxonomy, and phylogeny explained parasite richness. We treated parasite richness as a Poisson distributed random variable, and modeled the expected parasite richness as a function of host clutch size, migratory status, and diet preference. These traits were chosen as explanatory variables after an initial check for collinearity among traits and graphical comparisons. The hybrid duck and Clark's grebe were excluded due to insufficient trait data. Our first and simplest model included these 3 predictors and an intercept term as parameters. The second model included the 3 predictors and intercepts that varied among species, normally distributed around zero with among-species variance estimated from the data (i.e., a species-level random effect). This model explicitly accounts for variation among host species that is unrelated to the traits that we included as covariates. A third model included the same 3 traits, and induced Brownian phylogenetic correlation in the species-specific intercepts, integrating over phylogenetic uncertainty, so that closely related species may be more similar in their parasite richness than distantly related species (de Villemereuil et al., 2012). We used a posterior sample of 1,000 phylogenetic trees from birdtree.org (Jetz et al., 2012), and set a uniform prior over the distribution of correlation matrices generated from these trees in order to account for uncertainty in relationships among species. Priors for other parameters were also not informative. We did not include any interactions or multiplicative effects due to our small sample size and a lack of a priori hypothesized interactions.

Support for each model was evaluated via approximate leave-one-out cross-validation with Pareto smoothed importance sampling, a Bayesian approximation for out of sample (e.g., new host species) predictive power, implemented in the "loo" R package (Gelman et al., 2014; Vehtari and Gelman, 2015). We use the posterior expected log predictive density (elpd_{100}) as a basis for model comparison. This quantity approximates a model's ability to predict future observations. Models that are well supported will have higher elpd_{100} than poorly supported models. Parameters were estimated using Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling in JAGS and R version 3.2.2 (R Development Core Team, 2015), with 3 chains for each model, and convergence was assessed with visual inspection and the R hat statistic (Plummer, 2003).

RESULTS

Parasite survey

During the course of the study, 57 avian hosts of 21 different species were examined for intestinal helminths (see Tables I). We sampled 14 birds from Oakland International Airport, 10 from San Francisco International Airport, 6 from Sacramento International Airport, 9 from Sulphur Creek Nature Center, 11 from International Bird Rescue, 2 from the Alviso Boat Dock and 1 from Suisun Bay. These included 5 species from the family Ardeidae, 7 from Anatidae, 2 from Accipitridae, 2 from Podicipedidae, 1 from Laridae, 1 from Rallidae, 1 from Scolopacidae, and 1 from Tytonidae. Birds from airports were collected in the spring, birds from the rescue centers were collected through the summer, and the birds from the hunting stations were collected during winter. The most common bird families sampled were the Ardeidae with 19 individual hosts and the Anatidae with 16 individual hosts.

Sixty-four helminth taxa were identified: 5 acanthocephalans, 24 nematodes, 8 cestodes, and 27 trematodes (summarized in Tables II–IV). The cestodes were the most abundant with 19,766 total specimens found. The nematodes had the highest infection prevalence with 75% of birds infected. Total parasite richness per host species ranged from 0 in the white-tailed kite to 16 in the gull. The abundance ranged from 0 to nearly 10,000 (9,900 specimens of the cestode *Diplophallus coili* were detected within an American avocet). Although no helminth species were shared among all host species, the following parasites were detected: in 5 host species *Posthodiplostomum* spp., and *Capillaria* spp.; in 4 host species *Tetrameres* spp; in 3 host species *Contracaecum* spp., *Polymorphus* spp., *Southwellina hispida*, *Ascocotyle* spp., *Echinoparyphium* spp., *Notocotylus* spp., and *Fimbriaria fasciolaris*; in 2 host species *Desmidocercella numidica*, *Diplostomum spathaceum*, and *Microsomacanthus* spp.; while the remaining species or genera infected only 1 host species. Due to the freezing process, many of the helminths were difficult to identify to species, especially the cestodes where hook number and arrangement is often crucial and vulnerable to loss due to freezing. There were 13 unidentifiable infections involving cestodes, one unidentifiable acanthocephalan, and 6 unidentifiable nematode infections. The remaining parasites were identified to order, family, genera, or species (see Tables III–V).

Bird life history trait analysis

The phylogenetic model performed best ($\text{elpd}_{100} = -92.5$, $\text{SE}=3.8$), but the varying intercept model had comparable support ($\text{elpd}_{100} = -92.7$, $\text{SE}=3.8$). The simplest model was not as well supported ($\text{elpd}_{100} = -93.8$, $\text{SE}=4.6$), but overall these models performed similarly. Large clutch sizes and migration were associated with higher parasite richness (posterior probability: $\text{pp} = 0.94$ and 0.99 , respectively, from the phylogenetic model), and herbivory was associated with lower parasite family richness, $\text{pp} = 0.98$ (Fig. 2). Estimated coefficients were comparable across the three models, but the models with varying intercepts tended to produce estimates with wider intervals (Fig. 3). On average, migratory birds supported twice as many parasite families relative to non-migratory birds, and carnivorous birds had 30% more parasite families than herbivorous birds.

DISCUSSION

Our results revealed a high diversity of parasites in bird hosts within the Bay Area relative to previous studies done within this region (Hoberg et al., 1989; Ching, 1990; Baker et al., 1996), with 64 taxa of intestinal helminths detected among the bird hosts examined. In total, we analyzed 57 birds representing 21 different species and 8 different families, including raptors, egrets, herons, ducks, and other waterfowl. Variation in parasite richness within bird hosts was associated with life history traits, especially the migratory status of the species, its clutch size, and dietary preferences, even after controlling for the phylogenetic relationships among host species.

Overall, we found high β diversity, such that there were no parasite taxa found in every bird species. The Trematoda had the highest diversity of parasites (27 taxa), likely because many of the examined host species spend significant time in aquatic systems (Rodewald, 2015), which is where many trematodes occur or are transmitted (Schmidt and Roberts, 2009). Similarly, Ching (1990) studied the Western willet and dunlin in Northern California and reported a high diversity of parasites (9 taxa), with several genera in common with the current study, such as *Himasthla* sp., *Aploparaksis* sp., and *Nadejdolepis* sp. In a study of fecal samples from 6 raptor species housed in a rescue center in the Bay Area, Baker et al. (1996) also reported a high prevalence of trematodes, which aligns with the current study. In contrast, Hoberg et al. (1989) studied spotted owls from Oregon, for which they reported a lower overall infection prevalence (71% compared to 92% in the current study) and a parasite community dominated by nematodes (rather than by trematodes, as seen here). One parasite genus that is consistently identified in all listed studies above, including our own, is the nematode *Capillaria* spp., which is ubiquitous.

The observation of the *Ribeiroia* sp. from a mallard duck was noteworthy because this parasite has been frequently recorded in snail and amphibian hosts in the Bay Area (e.g., Johnson et al., 2013), but it has not been reported in a local avian host. Parasites in the genus *Ribeiroia* can cause severe pathology in the amphibian host, including increased mortality and the occurrence of limb deformities such as extra, missing, and misshapen limbs or digits, which are hypothesized to increase transmission to definitive hosts such as birds (Johnson et al., 2002; Wilson et al., 2005; Johnson and Hartson, 2009). While *Ribeiroia* has been recorded in at least 40 bird and 5 mammal definitive hosts, relatively little is known about the importance of particular species in driving the landscape-level distribution of infection. The nearest reports are from Oregon in a California gull (Price, 1931), and 2 reports from double-crested cormorants in California (Dubois and Mahon, 1959; Johnson et al., 2004). The rarity of *Ribeiroia* in the current study could have several explanations. Many of the birds examined here are known to feed commonly in both marine and freshwater habitats, and we lack specific habitat data for each specimen that could offer insights into recent feeding activity. The timing of collection could further affect the probability of detecting *Ribeiroia*, given that many of the hosts included here were collected in the spring and early summer, which could be before they acquire the infection locally. Lastly, previous research from amphibians suggests that *Ribeiroia* is more common at mid- to higher latitudes within the US, which could explain how uncommon *Ribeiroia* was in the current study (Johnson and McKenzie, 2009).

Our analysis of bird life history traits revealed associations between parasite family richness and host migratory status, diet, and clutch size. On average, migratory species had roughly 2 times as many parasite taxa as non-migratory species, which was particularly pronounced among the trematodes. Previous researchers have suggested a positive relationship between migration and parasite richness could stem from a weakening of the immune system during migration (Buehler et al., 2008), a greater aggregation of hosts (Krauss et al., 2010) or exposure to a wider range of habitats and parasite types. The alternative hypothesis ('migratory escape') has been suggested to lower parasite richness in migratory birds due to the opportunities migration provides for avoiding or leaving infections behind (Loehle, 1995; Altizer et al., 2011). Our data support the former hypothesis in which migratory birds have higher parasite richness (migratory exposure), although we acknowledge that our results were confined to the helminth community found within the gastrointestinal tract of these birds.

Our results also supported an effect of host diet, in which more carnivorous birds tended to support a more diverse parasite community relative to species that were predominantly herbivorous. This effect likely stemmed from the increased exposure to trophically transmitted parasites, as evidenced by the observation that the increase was strongest for the trematodes. The effect of diet on the bird parasite community was also analyzed by Santoro et al. (2012), who concluded that birds with more diverse feeding habits (generalist feeders) have a richer parasite community, likely because they consumed more potential intermediate hosts. We also found that birds with larger clutch sizes tended to have higher parasite richness, which is consistent with hypothesized tradeoffs between a host species' investments in reproduction versus immune defense (Ricklefs, 1992). There have been several studies that show a faster pace of life decreases the ability to fight infection (Lee et al., 2008; Johnson et al., 2012), which in birds has been hypothesized to be linked to less differentiation of immune cells and fewer antibodies (Ricklefs, 1992; Lee et al., 2008). Somewhat surprisingly, we found no association between host parasite richness and a species' longevity or body size, both of which have been suggested to increase parasite exposure in previous research (Cooper et al., 2012; Kamiya et al., 2014). Although body size has been well supported to have an effect on the parasite community in the host, other life history traits may have a stronger effect and thus mask the influence of body size when using a small sample size.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported through funds from the Undergraduate Research Opportunities Program, Biological Science Initiative, University of Colorado Boulder Museum Awards Program, the National Science Foundation (DEB-1149308), the National Institutes of Health (R01GM109499), and the David and Lucile Packard Foundation. We thank the following people and organizations for providing samples: M. Case, D. Poston with San Francisco International Airport, D. Milsaps with Oakland International Airport, D. Jernigan with Sacramento International Airport, R. Britton with Sulphur Creek Nature Center, and R. Duerr with the International Bird Rescue. We would also like to thank E. Kellermans for assistance with dissections, J. Jenkins for logistical support, and T. McDevitt-Galles for sample collection. Lastly, we thank S. Orlofske, S. Haas, and W. Stutz for comments helpful in shaping the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Anderson, RC.; Chabaud, AG.; Willmott, S. Keys to the nematode parasites of vertebrates: Archival Volume (Nos. 1–10). CAB International Publishing and the Natural History Museum; Wallingford, Oxfordshire, U.K: 2009. p. 480
- Agnew P, Koella JC, Michalakakis Y. Host life history responses to parasitism. *Microbes and Infection*. 2000; 2:891–896. [PubMed: 10962272]
- Altizer S, Bartel R, Han BA. Animal migration and infectious disease risk. *Science*. 2011; 331:296–302. [PubMed: 21252339]
- Baker DG, Morishita TY, Bartlett JL, Brooks DL. Coprologic survey of internal parasites of Northern California raptors. *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine*. 1996; 27:358–363.
- Bauer S, Hoyer BJ. Migratory animals couple biodiversity and ecosystem functioning worldwide. *Science*. 2014; 344:54–63.
- Bellrose, FC. Ducks, geese, and swans of North America. Stackpole Books; Harrisburg, Pennsylvania: 1980. p. 568
- Bray, RA.; Gibson, DI.; Jones, A. Keys to the trematoda. Vol. 3. CAB International Publishing and the Natural History Museum; Wallingford, Oxfordshire, U.K: 2008. p. 745
- Buehler DM, Piersma T, Matson KD, Tieleman BI. Seasonal redistribution of immune function in a migrant shorebird: Annual-cycle effects override adjustments to thermal regime. *American Naturalist*. 2008; 172:783–796.
- Ching HL. Some helminth-parasites of dunlin (*Calidris alpina*) and Western willet (*Catoptrophorus semipalmatus inornatus*) from California. *Journal of the Helminthological Society of Washington*. 1990; 57:44–50.
- Conomos TJ, Smith RE, Gartner JW. Environmental setting of San Francisco Bay. *Hydrobiologia*. 1985; 129:1–12.
- Cooper N, Kamilar JM, Nunn CL. Host longevity and parasite species richness in mammals. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:1–7.
- Cormier, R.; Pitkin, M. Pocket guide to birds of San Francisco Bay. PRBO Conservation Science; Petaluma, California: 2008. p. 96
- Craighead, JJ.; Craighead, FC. Hawks, owls and wildlife. The Stackpole Co; Harrisburg, Pennsylvania: 1956. p. 443
- de Villemereuil P, Wells JA, Edwards RD, Bloomberg SP. Bayesian models for comparative analysis integrating phylogenetic uncertainty. *BMC Evolutionary Biology*. 2012; 12:102. [PubMed: 22741602]
- Dubois G, Mahon J. Etude de quelques trematodes Nord-Américains (avec note sur la position systématique de *Parorchis* Nicoll 1907) suivie d'une révision des genres *Galactosomun* Looss 1899 et *Ochetosoma* Braun 1901. *Bulletin de la Société Neuchâteloise des Sciences Naturelles*. 1959; 82:191–229.
- Folstad I, Nilssen AC, Halvorsen O, Andersen J. Parasite avoidance – the cause of post-calving migrations in Rangifer. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 1991; 69:2423–2429.
- Gelman A, Hwang J, Vehtari A. Understanding predictive information criteria for Bayesian models. *Statistics and Computing*. 2014; 24:997–1016.
- Gibson, DI.; Jones, A.; Bray, RA. Keys to the trematoda. Vol. 1. CAB International Publishing and the Natural History Museum; Wallingford, Oxfordshire, U.K: 2002. p. 544
- Hoberg EP, Miller GS, Wallnerpendleton E, Hedstrom OR. Helminth parasites of Northern spotted owls (*Strix occidentalis caurina*) from Oregon. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases*. 1989; 25:246–251. [PubMed: 2716105]
- Hom CW. Foraging ecology of herons in a Southern San Francisco Bay salt marsh. *Colonial Waterbirds*. 1983; 6:37–44.
- Hoyer, BJ. PhD thesis. Utrecht University; Utrecht, the Netherlands: 2011. Host-Pathogen interactions on the move: Migratory waterfowl and avian influenza viruses; p. 304
- Jetz W, Thomas GH, Joy JB, Hartmann K, Mooers AO. The global diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature*. 2012; 491:444–448. [PubMed: 23123857]

- Johnson PTJ, Hartson RB. All hosts are not equal: Explaining differential patterns of malformations in an amphibian community. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. 2009; 78:191–201. [PubMed: 18671806]
- Johnson PTJ, Lunde KB, Thurman EM, Ritchie EG, Wray SN, Sutherland DR, Kapfer JM, Frest TJ, Bowerman J, Blaustein AR. Parasite (*Ribeiroia ondatrae*) infection linked to amphibian malformations in the Western United States. *Ecological Monographs*. 2002; 72:151–168.
- Johnson, PTJ.; McKenzie, VJ. Effects of environmental change on helminth infections in amphibians: Exploring the emergence of *Ribeiroia* and *Echinostoma* infections in North America. In: Fried, B.; Toledo, R., editors. *The biology of echinostomes*. Springer; New York, New York: 2009. p. 249–280.
- Johnson PTJ, Preston DL, Hoverman JT, LaFonte BE. Host and parasite diversity jointly regulate pathogen transmission in complex communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013; 110:16916–16921.
- Johnson PTJ, Rohr JR, Hoverman JT, Kellermanns E, Bowerman J, Lunde KB. Living fast and dying of infection: Host life history drives interspecific variation in infection and disease risk. *Ecology Letters*. 2012; 15:235–242. [PubMed: 22221837]
- Johnson PTJ, Sutherland DR, Kinsella JM, Lunde KB. Review of the trematode genus *Ribeiroia* (Psilostomidae): Ecology, life history and pathogenesis with special emphasis on the amphibian malformation problem. *Advances in Parasitology*. 2004; 57:191–253. [PubMed: 15504539]
- Jones, A.; Bray, RA.; Gibson, DI. Keys to the trematoda. Vol. 2. CAB International Publishing and the Natural History Museum; Wallingford, Oxfordshire, U.K: 2005. p. 745
- Kamiya T, O'Dwyer K, Nakagawa S, Poulin R. What determines species richness of parasitic organisms? A meta-analysis across animal, plant and fungal hosts. *Biological Reviews*. 2014; 89:123–134. [PubMed: 23782597]
- Khalil, LF.; Jones, A.; Bray, RA. Keys to the cestode parasites of vertebrates. CAB International Publishing and the Natural History Museum; Wallingford, Oxfordshire, U.K: 1994. p. 751
- Krauss S, Stallknecht DE, Negovetich NJ, Niles LJ, Webby RJ, Webster RG. Coincident ruddy turnstone migration and horseshoe crab spawning creates an ecological 'hot spot' for influenza viruses. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2010; 277:3373–3379. [PubMed: 20630885]
- Lee KA, Wikelski M, Robinson WD, Robinson TR, Klasing KC. Constitutive immune defenses correlate with life-history variables in tropical birds. *Journal of Animal Ecology*. 2008; 77:356–363. [PubMed: 18194261]
- Loehle C. Social barriers to pathogen transmission in wild animal populations. *Ecology*. 1995; 76:326–335.
- Morand S, Harvey PH. Mammalian metabolism, longevity and parasite species richness. *Proceedings of the Royal Society*. 2000; 267:1999–2003.
- Plummer, M. JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sampling. 2003. <http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/>
- Price EW. Four new species of trematode worms from the muskrat, *Ondatra zibethica*, with a key to the trematode parasites of the muskrat. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum*. 1931; 79:1–13.
- R Development Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing; Vienna, Austria: 2015. <http://www.R-project.org>
- Ricklefs RE. Embryonic-development period and the prevalence of avian blood parasites. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 1992; 89:4722–4725.
- Ricklefs RE, Wikelski M. The physiology/life-history nexus. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. 2002; 17:462–468.
- Rodewald, P., editor. *The birds of North America online*. Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology; Ithaca, New York: 2015. Available at: <http://bna.birds.cornell.edu/BNA/> [Accessed 18 February 2015]
- Santoro M, Kinsella JM, Galiero G, degli Uberti B, Aznar FJ. Helminth community structure in birds of prey (Accipitriformes and Falconiformes) in Southern Italy. *Journal of Parasitology*. 2012; 98:22–29. [PubMed: 21951214]
- Schell, SC. *Handbook of the trematodes of North America north of Mexico*. University Press of Idaho; Moscow, Idaho: 1985. p. 263

- Schmidt, GD. CRC Handbook of tapeworm identification. CRC Press; London, U.K: 1986. p. 675
- Schmidt, GD.; Roberts, LS. Foundations of parasitology. 8. McGraw-Hill; New York, New York: 2009. p. 701
- Sepulveda MS, Kinsella JM. Helminth collection and identification from wildlife. Journal of Visualized Experiments. 2013; 82:1–5.
- Vehtari A, Gelman A. Pareto smoothed importance sampling. 2015 ArXiv: 1507.02646.
- Waldenstrom J, Bensch S, Kiboi S, Hasselquist D, Ottosson U. Cross-species infection of blood parasites between resident and migratory songbirds in Africa. Molecular Ecology. 2002; 11:1545–1554. [PubMed: 12144673]
- Wilson, RM. Seeking refuge: Birds and landscapes of the Pacific Flyway. University of Washington Press; Seattle, Washington: 2010. p. 320
- Wilson WD, Johnson PTJ, Sutherland DR, Mone H, Loker ES. A molecular phylogenetic study of the genus *Ribeiroia* (Digenea): Trematodes known to cause limb malformations in amphibians. Journal of Parasitology. 2005; 91:1040–1045. [PubMed: 16419746]
- Yamaguti, S. Systema helminthum. Volume I. Parts 1 and 2. The digenetic trematodes of vertebrates. Interscience Publishers Inc; New York, New York: 1958. p. 979
- Yamaguti, S. Systema helminthum. Volume II. The cestodes of vertebrates. Interscience Publishers Inc; New York, New York: 1959. p. 868
- Yamaguti, S. Systema helminthum. Volume III. The nematodes of vertebrates. Parts 1 and 2. Interscience Publishers Inc; New York, New York: 1961. p. 1261
- Yamaguti, S. Systema helminthum. Volume V. Acanthocephala of vertebrates. Interscience Publishers Inc; New York, New York: 1963. p. 423
- Yamaguti, S. Synopsis of digenetic trematodes of vertebrates. Vol. I and II. Keigaku Publishing Company; Tokyo, Japan: 1971. p. 698

Figure 1.
Map of bird collection sites in Bay Area of California during 2012–2013. All sites are shown as black dots.

Figure 2.

Raw data depicting relationships between parasite family richness and host clutch size, migration habit, and diet classification. Each point represents an individual bird, and the points have been jittered to reduce overplotting.

Figure 3. Posterior estimates for model coefficients. The y axis represents the posterior probability density for each parameter, and each of our three models are represented as different columns.

Table 1

The list of bird hosts dissected during 2012–2013. Site acronyms are as follows: OAK–Oakland International Airport; IBR–International Bird Rescue; SCN–Sulphur Creek Nature Center; SFO–San Francisco International Airport; ALV–Alviso Boat Dock; SUI–Suisun Bay; SCR–Sacramento International Airport.

Common name (Host code)	Scientific name	Host family	Location(s)	N (total)
Hérons and Egrets				
Black crowned night heron (BCNH)	<i>Nycticorax nycticorax</i>	Ardeidae	SFO, IBR	4
Great blue heron (GBHE)	<i>Ardea herodias</i>	Ardeidae	SCR, OAK	3
Great egret (GREG)	<i>Ardea alba</i>	Ardeidae	SCR, OAK	4
Green heron (GRHE)	<i>Butorides virescens</i>	Ardeidae	IBR	3
Snowy egret (SNEG)	<i>Egretta thula</i>	Ardeidae	SCN, OAK	5
Ducks, Grebes and Coots				
American coot (AMCO)	<i>Fulica americana</i>	Rallidae	SCN	1
Clark's grebe (CLGR)	<i>Aechmophorus clarkii</i>	Podicipedidae	IBR	2
Gadwall (GADW)	<i>Anas strepera</i>	Anatidae	SCN	3
Greater scaup (GRSC)	<i>Aythya marila</i>	Anatidae	ALV, SUI	3
Hybrid duck (HYDU)	-	Anatidae	IBR	1
Mallard (MALL)	<i>Anas platyrhynchos</i>	Anatidae	SCR, SCN	4
Pied-billed grebe (PBGR)	<i>Podilymbus podiceps</i>	Podicipedidae	IBR	1
Wood duck (WODU)	<i>Aix sponsa</i>	Anatidae	IBR	2
Bufflehead (BUFF)	<i>Bucephala albeola</i>	Anatidae	SCN	1
Raptors				
Barn owl (BANO)	<i>Tyto alba</i>	Tytonidae	SFO	3
Red-tailed hawk (RTHA)	<i>Buteo jamaicensis</i>	Accipitridae	SFO, OAK	5
White-tailed kite (WTKI)	<i>Elanus leucurus</i>	Accipitridae	SFO	2
Other				
American avocet (AMAV)	<i>Recurvirostra americana</i>	Recurvirostridae	OAK	3
Canada goose (CANG)	<i>Branta canadensis</i>	Anatidae	IBR, SCR	2
California gull (LASP)	<i>Larus californicus</i>	Laridae	SCN, OAK	1
Marbled godwit (MAGO)	<i>Limosa fedoa</i>	Scolopacidae	IBR	1

Table II

Prevalence (percentage of birds infected) and infection intensity (average number of parasites per infected host) of parasites of the Accipitriformes, Gruiformes, and Charadriiformes. Dashes indicate that no parasites of that species were recovered from that bird species.

Helminth Taxon	American avocet (n=3)			American coot (n=1)			Barn owl (n=3)			California gull (n=3)			Marbled godwit (n=1)			Red-tailed hawk (n=5)			White-tailed kite (n=5)		
	In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P	
Acanthocephala																					
<i>Profilicollis almani</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		44.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Southwellina hispida</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		3.0	20.0		-	-	
Nematoda																					
<i>Capillaria recurvirostrae</i>	5.7	100.0		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Cosmocephalus obvelatus</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		22.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Echinuria heterobrachiata</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		11.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Microtetrameres</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		5.0	20.0		-	-	
<i>Paracuaría adunca</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		11.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Skjabinoclava kritscheri</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		8.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
<i>Synhimantus laticeps</i>	-	-		-	-		7.5	66.7		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Viktorocara limosae</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		2.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Nematoda	-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		1.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Cestoda																					
<i>Aploparaksis</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		88.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Dendroterina herodiae</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Diplophallus coili</i>	3,968.0	100.0		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Nadeidolepis</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Tetrabothrius</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		13.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Wardium fryei</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		324.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Unknown Dilepididae	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		2.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Cestoda	-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Trematoda																					
<i>Diplostomum spathaceum</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		2.0	33.3		-	-		1.0	20.0		-	-	
<i>Echinoparyphium</i> spp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		590.0	20.0		-	-	
<i>Galactosomum humburgari</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		4.0	66.7		-	-		-	-		-	-	

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Helminth Taxon	American avocet (n=3)			American coot (n=1)			Barn owl (n=3)			California gull (n=3)			Marbled godwit (n=1)			Red-tailed hawk (n=5)			White-tailed kite (n=5)		
	In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P	
<i>Himastha alincia</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		1.5	66.7		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Microphalus</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		145.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Neodiplostomum</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		2.0	20.0		-	-	
<i>Notocotylus pacifier</i>	-	-		23.0	100.0		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Plagiorchiis elegans</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		3.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
<i>Posthodiplostomum</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		4.0	66.7		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Strigea elegans</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		8.0	20.0		-	-	
Unidentified Diplostomatidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		1.0	20.0		-	-	
Unidentified Echinostomatidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		1.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Heterophyidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		39.5	66.7		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Schistosomatidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	

Table III

Prevalence (percentage of birds infected) and infection intensity (average number of parasites per infected host) of parasites of the Pelecaniformes and Charadriiformes. Dashes indicate that no parasites of that species were recovered from that bird species.

Helminth Taxon	Black crowned night heron (n = 4)			Clark's grebe (n = 2)			GBHE (n = 3)			Great egret (n = 4)			Great blue heron (n = 3)			Pied-billed grege (n = 1)			Snowy egret (n = 5)		
	In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P	
Acanthocephala																					
<i>Polymorphus brevis</i>	14.5	50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Southwellina hispida</i>	15.0	25.0	-	-	-	10.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unidentified	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Acanthocephala																					
Nematoda																					
<i>Contracaecum micropapillatum</i>	33.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Contracaecum</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	4.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	3.0	66.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Contracaecum microcephalum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Desmidocerella numidica</i>	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	33.3	2.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Desportesius invaginatus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Eustrongylides ignotus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Strongyloides</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Tetrameres</i> sp.	1.5	50.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	20.0	-	
Unidentified Tetrameridae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.0	40.0	-	
Unidentified Spinuida	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.3	80.0	-	
Unidentified Nematoda	1.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	2.0	25.0	-	-	3.0	66.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cestoda																					
<i>Dendrouterina herodiae</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	86.0	20.0	-	
Unknown Dilepididae	1.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unknown Cyclophyllidae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Unidentified Cestoda	5.0	25.0	524.5	100.0	-	-	-	1.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	830.0	100.0	-	-	-	
Trematoda																					
<i>Apatemon gracilis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
<i>Ascocotyle</i> sp.	3.0	25.0	-	-	-	125.5	66.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21.0	20.0	-	
<i>Ascocotyle felippeii</i>	7.0	25.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Helminth Taxon	Black crowned night heron (n = 4)			Clark's grebe (n = 2)			GBHE (n = 3)			Great egret (n = 4)			Great blue heron (n = 3)			Pied-billed grege (n = 1)			Snowy egret (n = 5)		
	In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P	
<i>Clinostomum</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		7.0	25.0	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Posthodiplostomum minimum</i>	-	-		-	-		21.0	33.3		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Posthodiplostomum</i> sp.	23.0	25.0		-	-		-	-		10.0	75.0	8.0	33.3	-		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Echinostomatidae	10.0	25.0		11.0	50.0		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Schistosomatidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	-		-	-		1.0	20.0	
Unidentified Strigeidae	1.0	25.0		-	-		-	-		-	-	2.0	33.3	-		-	-		-	-	

Table IV

Prevalence (percentage of birds infected) and infection intensity (average number of parasites per infected host) of parasites of the Anseriformes. Dashes indicate that no parasites of that species were recovered from that bird species.

Helminth Taxon	Bufflehead (n = 1)		Canada goose (n = 3)		Gadwall (n = 3)		Greater scaup (n = 3)		Hybrid duck (n = 1)		Mallard (n = 4)		Wood duck (n = 1)	
	In	P	In	P	In	P	In	P	In	P	In	P	In	P
Acanthocephala														
<i>Corynosoma constrictum</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7.0	25.0	-	-
<i>Polymorphus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	5.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nematoda														
<i>Capillaria</i> sp.	23.0	100.0	-	-	1.0	33.3	15.0	33.3	-	-	2.0	25.0	-	-
<i>Echinuria</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	50.0	-	-
<i>Epomidiostomum uncinatum</i>	-	-	-	-	3.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	7.0	50.0	-	-
<i>Epomidiostomum crami</i>	-	-	2.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Streptocara californiensis</i>	2.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Tetrameres fissispina</i>	-	-	-	-	1.0	66.7	3.0	33.3	-	-	1.0	25.0	-	-
<i>Tetrameres spinosa</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	15.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Trichostrongylus tenuis</i>	-	-	246.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentified Tetrameridae	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.0	25.0	-	-
Unidentified Nematoda	41.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cestoda														
<i>Finbriaria fasciolaris</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	31.0	100.0	28.0	25.0	4.0	100.0
<i>Microsomacanthus</i> spp.	-	-	-	-	5.0	33.3	48.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Nadejolepis</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	71.5	66.7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unknown Cyclophyllidae	-	-	-	-	8.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unidentified Cestoda	-	-	17.0	33.3	448.5	66.7	5,353.0	33.3	10.0	100.0	3.0	25.0	5.0	100.0
Trematoda														
<i>Apotemon gracilis</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0	100.0	-	-	-	-
<i>Cotylurus hebraicus</i>	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Echinochasmus</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	3.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Echinoparyphium</i> spp.	14.0	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	444.0	100.0	18.0	25.0	-	-
<i>Echinostoma</i> sp.	-	-	-	-	-	-	227.0	33.3	-	-	-	-	-	-

Helminth Taxon	Bufflehead (n = 1)			Canada goose (n = 3)			Gadwall (n = 3)			Greater scaup (n = 3)			Hybrid duck (n = 1)			Mallard (n = 4)			Wood duck (n = 1)		
	In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P		In	P	
<i>Echinostoma trivolvis</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		11.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
<i>Maritrema</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		6.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Notocotylus</i> spp.	2.0	100.0		-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Odhneria odhneri</i>	20.0	100.0		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Psilochasmus oxyura</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		19.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
<i>Ribeiroia</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		4.0	25.0		-	-	
<i>Typhlocoelum</i> sp.	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		5.0	100.0		-	-		-	-	
<i>Zygocotyle lunata</i>	-	-		-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Diplostomatidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		2.0	25.0		-	-	
Unidentified Gymnophallidae	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		12.0	100.0	
Unidentified Heterophyidae	32.0	100.0		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-		-	-	
Unidentified Strigeidae	26.0	100.0		-	-		-	-		1.0	33.3		-	-		-	-		-	-	