Published in final edited form as:

J Acad Nutr Diet. 2016 May ; 116(5): 828–836.e2. doi:10.1016/j.jand.2015.12.011.

Elevated Serum Osmolality and Total Water Deficit Indicate Impaired Hydration Status in Long Term Care Residents Regardless of Low or High Body Mass Index

Melissa Ventura Marra, PhD, RDN,

Assistant Professor, Division of Animal and Nutritional Sciences, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV. P.O Box 6108, Morgantown, WV 26506, 304-293-2690, 304-293-2232

Abbie L. Hudson, RDN,

Research Assistant, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. Vanderbilt Center for Human Nutrition 1211 21st Ave., 514 MAB Nashville, TN 37232, 615-936-0365, 615-343-1587

Emily K. Hollingsworth, MSW,

Research Coordinator, Vanderbilt University, Department of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, Center for Quality Aging, Nashville, TN. 2525 West End, Suite #350 Nashville, TN 37203, 615-936-2718, 615-322-1754

Emily Long, BA, BS,

Research Assistant, Vanderbilt University, Department of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, Center for Quality Aging, Nashville, TN. 2525 West End, Suite #350 Nashville, TN 37203, 615-936-1499, 615-322-1754

Britt Kuertz, RDN,

Program Coordinator, Vanderbilt University, Department of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, Center for Quality Aging, Nashville, TN. 2525 West End, Suite #350 Nashville, TN 37203, 615-936-1499, 615-322-1754

Sandra F. Simmons, PhD,

Associate Professor of Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Department of Medicine, Division of Geriatrics, Center for Quality Aging, Nashville, TN. Geriatric Research, Education and Clinical Center (GRECC), VA Tennessee Valley Healthcare System, Nashville, TN. 2525 West End, Suite #350 Nashville, TN 37203, 615-343-6729, 615-322-1754

Matthew S. Shotwell, PhD, and

IDENTIFICATION OF THE CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Heidi J. Silver, Vanderbilt University, School of Medicine, Nashville, TN, 37232-2713. Heidi.j.silver@vanderbilt.edu.

REPRINT CONTACT: Same as corresponding author

STATEMENT OF POTENTIAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Assistant Professor, Department of Biostatistics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. 2525 West End Avenue Nashville, TN 37203, 615-875-3397, 615-936-2602

Heidi J. Silver, PhD, RD

Research Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Department of Medicine, School of Medicine, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN. Vanderbilt Center for Human Nutrition 1211 21st Ave., 514 MAB Nashville, TN 37232, 615-936-1299, 615-343-1587

Melissa Ventura Marra: melissa.marra@mail.vwu.edu; Abbie L. Hudson: abbie.hudson@vanderbilt.edu; Emily K. Hollingsworth: emily.k.hollingsworth@Vanderbilt.Edu; Emily Long: emily.a.long@Vanderbilt.Edu; Britt Kuertz: Brittany.t.Kuertz@vanderbilt.edu; Sandra F. Simmons: sandra.simmons@Vanderbilt.Edu; Matthew S. Shotwell: matt.shotwell@Vanderbilt.Edu; Heidi J. Silver: Heidi.j.silver@vanderbilt.edu

Abstract

Background—Dehydration is typically associated with underweight and malnutrition in long term care (LTC) settings. Evidence is lacking regarding the influence of the rising prevalence of overweight and obesity on risk factors, prevalence and presentation of dehydration.

Objective—The aim of this study was to objectively assess hydration status and the adequacy of total water intake, and determine relationships between hydration status, total water intake, and body mass index (BMI) in LTC residents.

Design—A cross-sectional analysis of baseline data was performed.

Participants and setting—Baseline data from 247 subjects recruited from 8 community-based LTC facilities participating in two randomized trials comparing nutrient and cost efficacy of between-meal snacks *versus* oral nutrition supplements.

Main outcomes—Hydration status was assessed by serum osmolality concentration and total water intakes were quantified by weighed food, beverage, water and oral nutrition supplement (ONS) intake.

Statistical analyses—Simple and multiple linear regression methods were applied.

Results—Forty-nine (38.3%) subjects were dehydrated (>300 mOsm/kg) and another 39 (30.5%) had impending dehydration (295–300 mOsm/kg). The variance in serum osmolality was significantly accounted for by blood urea nitrogen level, mental status score, and having diabetes ($R^2 = 0.46$, $P < 0.001$). Total water intake averaged 1147.2 ± 433.1 mL/d. Thus, 96–100% subjects did not meet estimated requirements, with a deficit range of 700–1800 mL/d. The variance in total water intake was significantly accounted for by type of liquid beverages (thin *vs* thick), type of ONS, total energy intake, total activities of daily living dependence, sex and BMI ($R^2 = 0.56$, $P < 0.001$).

Conclusions—Dehydration and inadequate total water intake is prevalent in LTC residents across all BMI categories. Type of liquid beverages, type of ONS, and type of between-meal snacks are factors that could be targeted for nutrition interventions designed to prevent or reverse dehydration.

Keywords

Fluid; hydration; long term care; older adult; elderly; water

INTRODUCTION

It has long been recognized that dehydration is a common and costly disorder among older adults regardless of whether they are living in home, community or long term care settings.¹ Dehydration occurs when total body water (and electrolytes) is inadequate to maintain fluid balance and normal physiological functions.² Common factors promoting inadequate repletion of total body water include bleeding, vomiting, diarrhea, fever, excessive sweating, having draining wounds or burns, polyuria, and most often is inadequate fluid intake. The many consequences of dehydration include constipation, hypotension, pneumonia, seizures, urinary tract infections, bladder cancer, kidney failure, heart disease, confusion, delirium, and development of pressure ulcers.^{3–5} Notably, over 100,000 adults aged 65 years were admitted to U.S. hospitals with a primary diagnosis of dehydration in 2011.⁶ With an average length of stay of 3.6 days, hospital costs from dehydration amount to ~\$6 billion per year.⁶ Consequently, dehydration has continued to be a key quality of care indicator for the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's prevention of hospitalization goals since 2001.⁷ Of greatest concern is that dehydration increases mortality risk when left untreated.^{8,9}

Historically, dehydration has been most often recognized and evaluated in both clinical practice and research in the context of LTC residents being underweight or malnourished.^{8,10–12} However, the influence of the rising prevalence of overweight and obesity among LTC residents, now estimated at 25% of the LTC population,¹³ has not been fully considered or well investigated. As overweight/obese residents are likely to have more comorbidities,¹⁴ it is important to better understand the signs, symptoms, and effects of impaired hydration status in LTC residents in all body mass categories. Regardless of body weight, several biological, physiological and psychological factors contribute to increased risk for dehydration among older adults. One factor is the relative decrease in the proportion of lean soft tissue to fat mass that occurs with aging (i.e., aging-related sarcopenia), which reduces total body water content.¹⁵ Secondly, aging-related decline in kidney function makes it more difficult to concentrate urine and conserve body water.¹⁶ Further complicating hydration status, reduced thirst sensation from impaired sensitivity to baroreceptor stimulation decreases fluid consumption.^{17–19} Moreover, residents with cognitive impairment may be unaware of their needs, and thus, forget to drink or request beverages. Additionally, residents who are incontinent may intentionally restrict their fluid intake due to fear of accidents.²⁰ Others with physical disabilities may not have the manual dexterity or strength to hold or lift a cup. Moreover, low staff to resident ratios in LTC facilities limit the assistance provided with food and beverage consumption.⁴ Finally, use of anorexigenic medications may contribute to inadequate food and beverage intake and medications with high osmolarity may increase body water losses.

Determining how much total water older adults require to prevent becoming dehydrated is difficult as no standardized criterion of hydration status or tool to assess hydration exists for this population. Although not validated against objective methods such as water balance or turnover studies,^{22,23} various formulas are used in clinical practice to estimate total water needs. Two commonly used formulas are derived from body weight. One, the Linear

formula, is based on the amount of water needed per kilogram of body weight to compensate for normal daily water losses plus losses from vomiting, diarrhea, fever and/or hemorrhage.²⁴ The other, the Adjusted formula, was established to determine water needs for adults receiving enteral nutrition support (tube feeding) and provides at least 1500 mL/day for those weighing over 20 kg.^{25,26} It has not been determined if these formulas are appropriate for individuals who have higher body mass (i.e., those who are overweight or obese). More recently, the Institute of Medicine (IOM) Food and Nutrition Board determined that total water intake of 2700 mL/day for females and 3700 mL/day for males is adequate to meet the needs of the general healthy adult population.²²

Studies comparing total water intakes from foods and beverages to determine adequacy of intakes based on estimates from the Linear and Adjusted formulas indicate that 46–90% of older LTC residents do not meet their daily total water requirements.^{27–29} However, in most prior investigations the primary indicator of hydration status, directly measured plasma or serum osmolality, has not been included. In addition, total water intakes have been determined subjectively by visual estimation rather than using objective methods such as direct weighing of foods and beverages consumed. The primary aim of this study was to objectively assess hydration status and the adequacy of total water intakes among LTC residents who encompass the range of body mass index (BMI) categories. A secondary aim was to identify relationships between hydration status, total water intakes, and BMI. To better inform these aims, fluid consumption patterns in these LTC residents were also assessed.

METHODS

Subject Population and Recruitment

For the present study, baseline data were analyzed from 247 subjects who were recruited from eight community-based LTC facilities in the greater metropolitan Nashville area. Subjects were enrolled in two randomized controlled trials, one to compare the cost-effectiveness of between-meal snacks *versus* oral nutrition supplements and the other to compare the efficacy on caloric intake and weight status over a 6-month intervention period. Both trials were approved by the Vanderbilt Institutional Review Board and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT02567513 and NCT02567526). The eight LTC facilities housed a total of 1152 residents (88% occupancy). In these facilities, staff-to-resident ratios ranged 6.3–10.8 residents per nurse aide during daytime (7–3pm) and 7.8–14.6 at night (3–11pm), with a total staffing (nurse aides + licensed nurses) ratio of 2.9–5.0 hours/day per resident. Of the 1152 residents (Figure 1), 428 met the main study inclusion criteria of being long-stay (not admitted for short-term rehabilitation), not being provided with enteral or parenteral nutrition, not receiving hospice care, and having a written order for daily caloric supplementation (between-meal snacks or oral nutrition supplements). Signed informed consent was obtained for 276 residents. If the facility nursing staff had documented in the medical record a resident's inability to make decisions or a resident was unable to respond readily and clearly to a series of structured questions witnessed by an independent observer, then the resident's responsible party provided consent. This was the case for 64.5% of

consents obtained, with no difference in the proportion of consents from a resident's responsible party by LTC site, $P = 0.69$.

Physical and Psychological Assessments

Upon consent, demographic (age, sex and race) and clinical data (medical diagnoses, medications and diet orders) were obtained from subjects' medical records. Functional dependence scores were acquired from the subject's most recent Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessment, which had been performed by nursing staff using the MDS-derived activities of daily living scale (MDS-ADLs) wherein scores range from 0 (independent in each of 7 activities) to 28 (fully dependent in all activities).³⁰ Cognitive status was assessed using the Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) with scores ranging from 0 (severely impaired) to 30 (cognitively intact).³¹ Trained research personnel measured body weight and knee height using standardized protocols³² and calculated body mass index (BMI) as weight (kg)/height (m²).³³ To provide insight whether the quality of the food service might be a factor in total energy or water intake, subjects were interviewed regarding their satisfaction with the foods served using a validated 5-item food satisfaction questionnaire.³⁴

Hydration Status and Total Water Intakes

Blood samples, collected by research nurses, were obtained upon consent (~10 days prior to baseline food and beverage data collection), stored on ice, and transported later on the day of collection to the Vanderbilt University Clinical Pathology Lab for analysis of blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, and serum osmolality concentration determined by freezing point depression with results read directly from the instruments (Advanced 1M Micro-Osmometer Model 3MO, Advanced Instruments, Inc). The analytical coefficient of variation for osmolality measurements was 0.78%.

Weighed intakes of foods, beverages, cups of water and oral nutrition supplements (ONS) were acquired by trained research personnel at all meal and snack times within two nonconsecutive 24-hour weekday periods during the 10-day baseline data collection period. Prior to being served, items were weighed (± 0.1 g) using a calibrated, digital, portable scale (Ohaus FD Series Food Portioning Scale). Research personnel then observed every meal and snack time for a period of 90 minutes each (averaging a total of 540 minutes per 24-hour period) to assure that all foods, beverages, cups of water and ONS consumed were accounted for. After each consumption episode, research personnel collected food service trays and all other individual items directly from the residents' rooms and brought them to a private room for re-weighing of all items. Weighed data were entered into Nutrition Data System for Research software (NDSR version 2012, Nutrition Coordinating Center, University of Minnesota, MN) at the Vanderbilt Nutrition and Diet Assessment Core Lab and analyzed for energy, nutrient and total water intake (foods, beverages, water and ONS).

Data Analysis

BMI was categorized based on World Health Organization (WHO) classification³³ for some analyses where it simplified and informed the interpretation of the results. To determine if serum osmolality concentration indicated dehydration, a cut-point of >300 mOsm/kg was used to reflect being currently dehydrated and the range of 295–300 mOsm/kg as indicative

of impending dehydration.² To determine the adequacy of total water intake, the two-day average for each subject was compared to his/her estimated requirement using the Linear formula (30 mL/kg of actual body weight with a minimum of 1500 mL/day),²⁴ the Adjusted formula (sum of 100 mL/kg for 1st ten kg body weight + 50 mL/kg for 2nd ten kg body weight + 15 mL/kg for remaining kg body weight),²⁶ and the IOM formula (2700 mL/d for females and 3700 mL/d for males).²² Contents of foods, beverages, snacks and ONS consumed throughout the data collection periods were used to identify patterns of fluid intake.

Statistical analyses were performed using R software, version 3.1.2, and associated extension packages (R Core Team; 2014; Vienna, Austria). The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare variables by sex and the Kruskal-Wallis test by BMI category. Univariate relationships were first assessed using Spearman rho correlation coefficients (data presented as Supplemental Table 1) and then confirmed using linear regression (R function lm). Associations between continuous variables were modeled using a smooth non-linear function (R function “bs” from the splines package). ANOVA was used to assess the significance of marginal associations and the coefficient of determination (adjusted R²) was used to summarize the strength of an association. Multiple linear regressions were then performed using variables that showed significance in the univariate analyses to determine which factors retained significance in accounting for the variance in the outcomes of serum osmolality concentration and total water intake when the other influential variables were included in the models. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation unless otherwise noted. *P* values of < 0.05 were considered significant in all statistical tests performed, except 0.10 was used for initial multivariate modeling (data presented in Supplemental Table 2a and 2b).

RESULTS

Subject Characteristics

Of the 276 consented subjects, 247 (89.5%) completed baseline data collection. These 247 subjects had been LTC residents for an average of 39.4 ± 42.9 months. No significant differences were observed among the 8 LTC sites for resident length of stay ($P = 0.87$), age ($P = 0.39$), BMI ($P = 0.86$) or functionality (MDS-ADL score, $P = 0.12$). There was also no difference by LTC site in the proportion of residents who were female ($P = 0.17$).

In the group of 247 residents, 79% were female, 68% were non-Hispanic white and 32% non-Hispanic black (Table 1). On average, female subjects were older than males (84.9 ± 10.1 vs 75.6 ± 12.6 years, $P < 0.001$). The average BMI was 24.5 ± 4.7 kg/m², with only 7% of subjects classified as underweight (BMI < 18.5 kg/m²), 51.3% normal weight (BMI 18.5 – 24.9 kg/m²), 30.3% overweight (BMI 25 – 29.9 kg/m²) and 11.4% obese (BMI ≥ 30 kg/m²).

With an average MMSE score of 12.0 ± 8.1 , a majority (76.1%) of subjects had dementia and two-thirds (67.2%) had depression. Most (81%) subjects were rated by LTC staff as dependent in at least one activity of daily living, with an average MDS-ADL score of 18.7 ± 5.7 indicating a moderate degree of functional dependence. However, 134 (54.3%) were

rated as being in need of assistance with eating and drinking. The positive association between eat/drink dependence and BMI trended toward being significant, $r = 0.23$, $P = 0.06$.

Hydration Status

Blood levels for serum osmolality, serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen (BUN) were available for subjects from 5 of the 8 sites due to the research agreements with the sites. In these 132 subjects (53.4% of total enrolled subjects), serum osmolality concentration averaged 298.9 ± 8.8 mOsm/kg. Using the cut-point of >300 mOsm/kg, 49 (38.3%) subjects were dehydrated and an additional 39 (30.5%) had impending dehydration with levels between 295 to 300 mOsm/kg. Although subjects with lower BMI had higher serum osmolality ($R^2 = 0.05$, $P = 0.01$), 1/3 of those who were obese had levels <295 mOsm/kg (Table 2). The average BUN was 21.3 ± 11.0 mg/dL and average BUN/creatinine ratio was 21.4 ± 7.6 . Almost one-third (31.6%) of subjects had BUN/creatinine ratios <25 mg/dL. Both BUN and BUN/creatinine ratio were positively associated with serum osmolality ($R^2 = 0.39$, $P = 0.001$ and $R^2 = 0.09$, $P = 0.006$, respectively). Multiple linear regression showed that the variables most significantly accounting for the variance in serum osmolality were BUN, mental status score, and having diabetes (Supplementary Table 2b: $R^2 = 0.46$, $P < 0.001$).

Dietary Intake

Results from the food satisfaction survey, completed by 98% of subjects, showed that 64% liked the food items served, 62% reported food item variety was adequate, 61% reported food items looked appealing, 62% reported food items were served at an appropriate temperature, and 42% had reported no food complaints during the baseline period. Seventy percent of subjects were consuming a modified diet and 180 (72.8%) had a written order for liquid ONS (Table 1), including 53 subjects in the overweight and obese BMI categories. Of the subjects receiving ONS, 158 (87.8%) were prescribed high calorie formulas (>1.5 kcal/cc).

Overall, average energy intake was 1555.4 ± 514.2 kcal/d; with 36.4% of kcals from fat, 49.0% from carbohydrate and 14.6% from protein. As expected, females had significantly lower average energy intake than males (1501.2 ± 503.4 vs 1753.9 ± 509.1 kcal/d, $P = 0.001$). No significant difference was detected in energy intake by age ($F = 5.15$, $P = 0.34$), mental status ($F = 2.09$, $P = 0.51$) or BMI category ($F = 1.14$, $P = 0.65$).

Total Water Intake

No difference in total water intake was observed in residents categorized by LTC site ($P = 0.25$) or average length of stay ($P = 0.87$). Overall, average total water intake was 1147.2 ± 433.1 mL/day (1106.3 ± 401.3 mL/d for females vs 1296.8 ± 510.6 mL/d for males, $P = 0.01$). Total water intake was positively associated with caloric intake ($R^2 = 0.37$, $P < 0.001$). Total water intake was also associated with beverage consistency ($R^2 = 0.11$, $P < 0.001$), with greater total water intake in those consuming thin (vs thickened) liquids (90.3% subjects). Interestingly, no difference in total water intake was detected based on the number (1, 2 or 3) of between-meal snacks served daily ($R^2 = 0.01$, $P = 0.40$). In contrast, subjects

who were prescribed ONS had lower total water intakes than those without ONS orders (1081.9 ± 410.6 mL/d vs 1322.5 ± 446.2 mL/d, $P = 0.001$).

Total water intake increased with BMI, with significantly greater total water intake in subjects in the overweight and obese categories compared to those in the underweight category ($P = 0.01$, Table 3). Nevertheless, higher requirement was associated with a greater deficit, regardless of estimation formula used (e.g., Linear formula: $R^2 = 0.28$, $P < 0.01$). Hence, average total water deficit was greatest in subjects with the highest BMI. Thus, almost all (96%) subjects had total water intake significantly less than their estimated requirement based on the Linear equation and all (100%) subjects had total water intake less than required based on the Adjusted and IOM formulas (all $P < 0.01$, Figure 2). Across the three formulas, the deficit in meeting estimated total water requirement ranged from 700 to 1800 mL/d. In subjects prescribed ONS, the total water deficit tended to be greater with high calorie vs standard ONS, $P = 0.09$. Multiple linear regression showed that the variables most significantly accounting for the variance in total water intake were type of liquid beverages (thin vs thick), type of ONS, total energy intake, total ADL dependence, sex and BMI (Supplementary Table 2a: $R^2 = 0.56$, $P < 0.001$).

Patterns of Total Water Intake

The percentage of total water intake from breakfast (380 g/d), lunch (351 g/d) and dinner (341 g/d) meals was distributed evenly (32%, 30%, and 29%, respectively) and 9% of water intake came from snacks (104 g/d). Most (79%) water intake came from consuming beverages and drinking water, not solid foods. In assessing the sources of water intake by beverage type, milk-based beverages provided 22% of water intake; coffee, tea, soda and cups of water provided 21%; fruit and fruit-flavored juice ~17%; and soups ~12% of water intake. Additional water intake from solid foods came mostly from consuming fruits and vegetables (7%) as well as dairy-based food items and eggs (7%).

DISCUSSION

In the present study, objective methods were used to measure body mass, serum biomarkers, and total water intake to enable assessment of hydration status and adequacy of total water intakes among LTC residents across the range of BMI categories. Serum osmolality concentration, the main physiological signal regulating water balance³⁵ and reference standard for diagnosing dehydration in older adults,² indicated that the majority (68.8%) of subjects were either currently dehydrated or had impending dehydration. Hence, despite being a quality of care indicator, the prevalence of dehydration remains consistent with that previously reported from NHANES data in community-residing older adults.³⁶ Although it may be expected that residents of higher body weight would have less total water deficits as an effect of greater food and/or beverage intake, an important finding was that the proportion of subjects showing current or impending dehydration did not differ by BMI. While the proportion of subjects who were obese at baseline (BMI ≥ 30) with current or impending dehydration was less than other BMI categories, when combined with those who were overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9), only 39.6% of overweight/obese subjects had a serum osmolality that would be considered in the range of normal (<295 mOsm/kg). Thus, the

problem of dehydration appears to be affecting overweight and obese LTC residents at least as much as those who are underweight.

Although small changes in blood osmolality should stimulate homeostatic mechanisms that trigger maintaining water balance by increasing intake, impaired responsiveness to these triggers (i.e., release of antidiuretic hormone and increased thirst) is associated with aging. Therefore, another key finding was that almost all subjects had inadequate total water intakes. This finding was present regardless of which estimation formula was used to determine the adequacy of total water intake, even when based on body weight. Overall, total water deficit ranged from 700 to 1800 mL per day. This finding contrasts with prior reports where the prevalence of inadequate intake in LTC was substantially lower, occurring in ~50% of residents.²⁷⁻²⁹ It is likely that the visual observation method used in these other studies overestimated total water intakes, especially in subjects with low consumption.³⁷ It is notable that although subjects who were overweight or obese had higher total water intakes, they also had greater total water deficits - meaning they were less likely to be meeting their estimated minimum requirement for normal physiological losses.

As water is an essential nutrient, with only a small amount produced by metabolic oxidation of macronutrients, intake is highly dependent on the amount and type of food and beverage intake. Thus, a contributing factor to having inadequate total water intake could be having dislike or dissatisfaction with the food being served. Yet, in the present study 2/3 of subjects reported no problems with the appeal, variety and temperature of food items served. Another plausible factor would be having an unmet need for assistance with eating and drinking. While the present data do not inform this question, prior work from this group shows LTC staff spend under 10 minutes per resident providing assistance during mealtimes.³⁸ Notably, most (81%) subjects in the present study were rated as being dependent in at least one activity of daily living, with MDS-ADL scores suggesting at least a moderate level of physical function decline. Moreover, scores for eating/drinking dependence suggest that over half of subjects were in need of direct assistance. This decline in functional ability to consume adequately may be especially problematic for the oldest LTC residents. In the present data, the age of 70 years was a noticeable cut-point where total water intakes decreased. Consistent with this finding, NHANES data show a higher serum osmolality from age 70 onward.²²

It is interesting that there was no difference in total water intake between subjects receiving between-meal snacks *versus* no snacks. While multiple snacks may be provided in an effort to increase energy and nutrient intake when there is weight loss or inadequate food intake, it is possible that snacks are not being consumed due to lack of assistance or that they are being served unaccompanied by beverages or cups of water. Most surprising is that subjects who had a prescription for oral nutrition supplements had lower total water intakes than those without ONS orders. Previous studies show that while ONS are a common treatment for LTC residents with inadequate caloric intake, an adverse consequence may be energy compensation by reducing food intake at meal-times within the 24-hour period.³⁹

In addition to greater dehydration risk being associated with demographic factors (sex and age), BMI, type of caloric supplementation, chronic disease and impaired mental status were

significant contributing factors to low serum osmolality and inadequate total water intake. While impaired mental status (cognition) can be a contributing factor for becoming dehydrated, it can also be an adverse outcome of dehydration. Being dehydrated can contribute to cognitive decline by negatively affecting short- and long-term memory, perceptions and reaction time. Dehydration has also been associated with anxiety and agitation. In more severe cases, dehydration can precipitate hallucinations, delusions and delirium.⁴⁰

Strengths and limitations

The primary strength of this study is that objective measures of hydration status (directly measured serum osmolality) and total water intake (trained research personnel weighing of all food and beverages consumed within 24-hour periods) were acquired in subjects residing in several typical community-based LTC facilities. Directly measured serum osmolality assessed by freezing point depression is the optimal biomarker of hydration status because it is tightly controlled by homeostatic systems, and thus not as influenced by organ function or nutrient intake like other biomarkers such as BUN or BUN/creatinine ratio. Additionally, recognizing the increasing prevalence of overweight and obesity in LTC settings, the study design incorporated the range of BMI categories with height and weight directly measured by trained research personnel rather than relying on medical record documentation. Nevertheless, some limitations merit consideration. First, serum osmolality levels were not available for 46.6% of subjects. Yet, these subjects were not found to be statistically different with regard to key factors such as their age, sex, BMI or functionality. Second, physical assessment of hydration status (e.g., skin turgor, sunken eyes or tongue dryness) was not performed, which might assist in defining dehydration or determining relationships between hydration status and total water intake. However, interpretation of hydration status from physical assessment can be misleading; being influenced by the aging process itself these signs have low sensitivity and specificity, and thus, are not considered to be reliable indicators.^{41–42} In contrast, blood osmolality concentration has very low intra- and inter-individual variation (1.3 and 1.5%, respectively).⁴³ Third, although the formulas used to determine adequacy of total water intake are frequently used in clinical practice, it is understood that there is limited evidence of their validity and reliability in the LTC population. Finally, the findings presented here may not be generalizable to all LTC residents because having a prescription for some form of caloric supplementation (between-meal snacks or ONS) was a requirement for study inclusion.

CONCLUSION

Dehydration continues to be a serious condition in LTC residents, regardless of their weight or BMI. Indeed, obese subjects are at high risk since they are less likely to be meeting their total water intake required for replacement of physiological losses. This information has important implications with regard to future planning of nutrition care in LTC settings, as well as prevention of adverse outcomes and costly hospital admissions – especially as the prevalence of overweight and obesity increases in the LTC setting. Further investigations using robust experimental methods are needed to determine the efficacy of strategies to maintain adequate hydration in various subgroups of LTC residents and to determine which

strategies are most optimal for increasing total water intake and preventing dehydration. While preventing or reversing dehydration likely requires intervention by multiple stakeholders, Registered Dietitian Nutritionists (RDNs) could take a leadership role in designing and determining the efficacy of strategies. Such strategies could include routine evaluation of hydration status as part of the comprehensive nutrition assessment, identification of residents who are dehydrated or at high dehydration risk, and the provision of education by RDNs to other health care practitioners and LTC administrators regarding reliable indicators of dehydration in older adults. Moreover, investigation could focus on whether nutrition care plans that have a specific fluid intake goal, or at least a minimum daily total water intake such as 2000 mL/d, prevent dehydration. Since the present study showed a relationship between total water intake with beverage consistency and type of ONS, RDNs could also determine the efficacy of strategies to increase water intakes in residents consuming thickened beverages and higher caloric density ONS, and how to promote greater intake of the beverage types that have been shown to contribute most to total water intake.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

FUNDING/SUPPORT

National Institutes on Aging [1R01AG033828-01A2]; Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality R01 [1R01HS018580-01]; The National Center for Research Resources [UL 1 RR024975-01]; and The National Center for Advancing Translational Science [2 UL 1 T].

References

1. Warren JL, Bacon WE, Harris T, McBean AM, Foley DJ, Phillips C. The burden and outcomes associated with dehydration among US elderly, 1991. *Am J Public Health.* 1994; 84(8):1265–1269. [PubMed: 8059883]
2. Thomas DR, Cote TR, Lawhorne L, et al. Understanding Clinical Dehydration and Its Treatment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2008; 9(5):292–301.10.1016/j.jamda.2008.03.006 [PubMed: 18519109]
3. Pash E, Parikh N, Hashemi L. Economic burden associated with hospital postadmission dehydration. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2014; 38(2 Suppl):58S–64S.10.1177/0148607114550316 [PubMed: 25233943]
4. Kayser-Jones J, Schell ES, Porter C, Barbaccia JC, Shaw H. Factors contributing to dehydration in nursing homes: inadequate staffing and lack of professional supervision. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1999; 47(10):1187–1194. [PubMed: 10522951]
5. Michaud DS, Spiegelman D, Clinton SK, et al. Fluid Intake and the Risk of Bladder Cancer in Men. *N Engl J Med.* 1999; 340(18):1390–1397. [PubMed: 10228189]
6. HCUPnet: A tool for identifying, tracking, and analyzing national hospital statistics. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Website; <http://hcupnet.ahrq.gov/HCUPnet.jsp> [Accessed November 23, 2015]
7. National Quality Measures Clearinghouse. Dehydration: hospital admission rate. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services: Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Website; <http://www.qualitymeasures.ahrq.gov/popups/printView.aspx?id=15421> [Accessed November 23, 2015]
8. Shipman D, Hooten J. Public policy. Are nursing homes adequately staffed? The silent epidemic of malnutrition and dehydration in nursing home residents: until mandatory staffing standards are

- created and enforced, residents are at risk. *J Gerontol Nurs.* 2007; 33(7):15–18. [PubMed: 17672164]
9. O'Neill PA, Faragher EB, Davies I, Wears R, McLean KA, Fairweather DS. Reduced survival with increasing plasma osmolality in elderly continuing-care patients. *Age Ageing.* 1990; 19(1):68–71. [PubMed: 2180260]
 10. Dimant J. Delivery of Nutrition and Hydration Care in Nursing Homes: Assessment and Interventions to Prevent and Treat Dehydration, Malnutrition, and Weight Loss. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* 2001; 2(4):175–182.10.1016/S1525-8610(04)70196-6 [PubMed: 12812577]
 11. Hamilton S. Detecting dehydration & malnutrition in the elderly. *Nursing (Lond).* 2001; 31(12): 56–57.
 12. Burger SG, Kayser-Jones J, Bell JP. Food for thought. Preventing/treating malnutrition and dehydration. *Contemp Longterm Care.* 2001; 24(4):24–28. [PubMed: 11302055]
 13. Grabowski DC, Campbell CM, Ellis JE. Obesity and mortality in elderly nursing home residents. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2005; 60(9):1184–1189. [PubMed: 16183961]
 14. Lapane KL, Resnik L. Obesity in nursing homes: an escalating problem. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005; 53(8):1386–1391.10.1111/j.1532-5415.2005.53420.x [PubMed: 16078966]
 15. Frontera WR, Hughes VA, Lutz KJ, Evans WJ. A cross-sectional study of muscle strength and mass in 45- to 78-yr-old men and women. *J Appl Physiol (1985).* 1991; 71(2):644–650. [PubMed: 1938738]
 16. Lindeman RD, Tobin J, Shock NW. Longitudinal studies on the rate of decline in renal function with age. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1985; 33(4):278–285. [PubMed: 3989190]
 17. Kenney W, Chiu P. Influence of age on thirst and fluid intake. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2001; 33(9): 1524–1532. [PubMed: 11528342]
 18. Phillips PA, Rolls BJ, Ledingham JG, et al. Reduced thirst after water deprivation in healthy elderly men. *N Engl J Med.* 1984; 311(12):753–759. [PubMed: 6472364]
 19. Rolls BJ, Phillips PA. Aging and disturbances of thirst and fluid balance. *Nutr Rev.* 1990; 48(3): 137–144. [PubMed: 2406645]
 20. Montes JC, Culp K. Reducing hydration-linked events in nursing home residents. *Clin Nurs Res.* 2003; 12(3):210–225. discussion 226–228. [PubMed: 12918647]
 21. Simmons SF, Alessi C, Schnelle JF. An intervention to increase fluid intake in nursing home residents: prompting and preference compliance. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2001; 49(7):926–933. [PubMed: 11527484]
 22. Institute of Medicine (U.S.). DRI, Dietary Reference Intakes for Water, Potassium, Sodium, Chloride, and Sulfate. Washington, D.C: National Academies Press; 2005.
 23. Raman A, Schoeller DA, Subar AF, et al. Water turnover in 458 American adults 40–79 yr of age. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol.* 2004; 286(2):F394–F401.10.1152/ajprenal.00295.2003 [PubMed: 14600032]
 24. Chernoff R. Meeting the Nutritional Needs of the Elderly in the Institutional Setting. *Nutr Rev.* 1994; 52(4):132–136.10.1111/j.1753-4887.1994.tb01405.x [PubMed: 8028818]
 25. [Accessed January 19, 2015] Estimating Fluid Needs. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics, Evidence Analysis Library website. <http://www.andeal.org/topic.cfm?menu=2820&cat=3217>
 26. Skipper, A. Dietitians Handbook of Enteral and Parenteral Nutrition. Rockville, MD: Aspen; 1993.
 27. Chidester JC, Spangler AA. Fluid intake in the Institutionalized Elderly. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1997; 97(1):23–28. [PubMed: 8990413]
 28. Holben DH, Hassell JT, Williams JL, Helle B. Fluid Intake Compared with Established Standards and Symptoms of Dehydration among Elderly Residents of a Long-Term-Care Facility. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1999; 99(11):1447–1450. [PubMed: 10570686]
 29. Gaspar PM. Comparison of four standards for determining adequate water intake of nursing home residents. *Res Theory Nurs Pract.* 2011; 25(1):11–22. [PubMed: 21469538]
 30. Morris JN, Fries BE, Morris SA. Scaling ADLs within the MDS. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 1999; 54(11):M546–M553. [PubMed: 10619316]

31. Hartmaier SL, Sloane PD, Guess HA, Koch GG, Mitchell CM, Phillips CD. Validation of the Minimum Data Set Cognitive Performance Scale: agreement with the Mini-Mental State Examination. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci*. 1995; 50(2):128–133.
32. Simmons SF, Peterson EN, You C. The accuracy of monthly weight assessments in nursing homes: implications for the identification of weight loss. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2009; 13(3):284–288. [PubMed: 19262969]
33. [Accessed January 26, 2015] Global Database on Body Mass Index. World Health Organization website. <http://apps.who.int/bmi/index.jsp?>
34. Simmons SF, Cleeton P, Porchak T. Resident complaints about the nursing home food service: relationship to cognitive status. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2009; 64(3):324–327.10.1093/geronb/gbp007 [PubMed: 19251880]
35. Andreoli TE. Water: normal balance, hyponatremia, and hypernatremia. *Ren Fail*. 2000; 22(6): 711–735. [PubMed: 11104160]
36. Stookey JD. High prevalence of plasma hypertonicity among community-dwelling older adults: results from NHANES III. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2005; 105(8):1231–1239.10.1016/j.jada.2005.05.003 [PubMed: 16182639]
37. Simmons SF, Reuben D. Nutritional intake monitoring for nursing home residents: a comparison of staff documentation, direct observation, and photography methods. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2000; 48(2): 209–213. [PubMed: 10682952]
38. Simmons SF. Quality improvement for feeding assistance care in nursing homes. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2007; 8(3):S12–S17. [PubMed: 17336870]
39. Simmons SF, Zhuo X, Keeler E. Cost-effectiveness of nutrition interventions in nursing home residents: A pilot intervention. *J Nutr Health Aging*. 2010; 14(5):367–372. [PubMed: 20424804]
40. Wilson M-MG, Morley JE. Impaired cognitive function and mental performance in mild dehydration. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2003; 57(Suppl 2):S24–S29.10.1038/sj.ejcn.1601898 [PubMed: 14681710]
41. Hooper L, Abdelhamid A, Attreed NJ, et al. Clinical symptoms, signs and tests for identification of impending and current water-loss dehydration in older people. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015 Apr 30.(4)10.1002/14651858.CD009647.pub2
42. Bunn D, Jimoh F, Wilsher SH, Hooper L. Increasing fluid intake and reducing dehydration risk in older people living in long-term care: A systematic review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc*. 2015; 16(2): 101–113. [PubMed: 25499399]
43. Chevront SN, Ely BR, Kenefick RW, Sawka MN. Biological variation and diagnostic accuracy of dehydration assessment markers. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2010; 92(3):565–573.10.3945/ajcn.2010.29490 [PubMed: 20631205]

Figure 1.

Flow diagram depicting study recruitment and retention of older adults from eight long-term care facilities.

Figure 2.

Estimated total water requirement and total water intake^a in 247 long term care residents from eight facilities.

^aAverage total water intake from all foods, beverages, cups of water, and oral nutrition supplements consumed within 24-hour study periods.

^bLinear formula: 30 ml/kg body weight (minimum of 1500 mL/day).

^cAdjusted formula: Sum of 100 ml water/kg for the first 10 kg of actual body weight, 50 ml water/kg for the next 10 kg, and 15 ml water/kg for the remaining kg of weight.

^dIOM (Institute of Medicine) formula: Adequate Intake (2700 mL/d for females, 3700 mL/d for males).

Table 1

Baseline demographic, dietary, functionality and comorbidity characteristics of 247 subjects recruited from 8 long term care facilities.

	<i>mean ± standard deviation</i>
Age (y)	82.9 ± 11.3
Length of Stay (mos)	39.4 ± 42.9
Height (cm)	158.6 ± 8.9
Weight (kg)	61.5 ± 13.4
Body Mass Index (kg/m ²)	24.5 ± 4.7
MMSE ^a (score)	12.0 ± 8.1
MDS-ADL ^b (score)	18.7 ± 5.7
	<i>n (%)</i>
Female	194 (78.5)
Male	53 (21.5)
Non-Hispanic White	169 (68.4)
Non-Hispanic Black	78 (31.6)
Medical Comorbidities	
Dementia	188 (76.1)
Depression	166 (67.2)
Dysphagia	112 (45.3)
Type 2 Diabetes	71 (28.7)
Chronic Renal Failure	54 (21.9)
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	45 (18.2)
Cancer	18 (7.3)
Diet Prescription	
Oral Nutrition Supplements	180 (72.8)
Modified Diet ^c	173 (70.0)
Mechanical Soft	95 (38.5)
Sodium Restricted	46 (18.6)
No Concentrated Sweets	44 (17.8)
Pureed	44 (17.8)
Thickened Liquids	24 (9.7)
Double Portions (meat/vegetables)	17 (6.9)

^aMMSE=Mini Mental State Examination; scored 0 to 30 with lower score indicating greater cognitive impairment.

^bMDS-ADL=Minimum Data Set Activities of Daily Living scored 0 to 28 with higher score indicating greater dependence.

^cSubjects could have more than one type of dietary modification.

Baseline serum concentrations of biomarkers of hydration status by body mass index (BMI) category in long-term care residents recruited from 8 facilities.^a

Table 2

BMI Category	N	Serum Osmolality (mOsm/kg)		BUN ^b (mg/dL)		BUN/Cr ^c (mg/dL)	
		Mean ± SD	N(%)	295 ^d	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD
Underweight (BMI < 18.5)	10	301.4±7.1	9(90.0)		18.7±6.9		21.7±9.6
Normal Weight (BMI 18.5–24.9)	65	300.0±8.0	47(72.3)		22.1±8.7		22.4±7.4
Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9)	41	298.0±10.1	28(68.3)		21.7±14.8		20.5±7.8
Obese (BMI ≥ 30)	12	293.8±7.8	4(33.3)		16.8±8.1		20.7±8.0

^a Serum biomarkers and BMI available for 128 of 247 enrolled subjects due to limitations of no blood draw (N=115) or amputation (N = 4).

^b BUN=Blood Urea Nitrogen

^c BUN/Cr=Blood Urea Nitrogen/Creatinine

^d Cut-point of 295 indicates current (< 300 mOsm/kg) or impending (295–300 mOsm/kg) dehydration.

Table 3

Baseline energy intake, total water intake and estimated total water requirements by body mass index (BMI) in 247 subjects recruited from 8 long-term care facilities.

BMI Category	N	Energy Intake (kcal/d)	Total Water Intake (mL/d)	Estimated Total Water Requirement		
				Linear ^a	Adjusted ^b	IOM ^c
Underweight (BMI <18.5)	18	1514.6±711.3	942.5±383.7	1533.3±94.9	1856.8±111.1	2950.0±447.2
Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9)	120	1590.1±468.1	1113.5±416.6	1680.5±203.4	2028.3±114.5	2913.7±411.7
Overweight (BMI 25–29.9)	70	1528.5±502.8	1196.6±411.3	2030.0±257.8	2215.0±128.9	2917.4±415.5
Obese (BMI 30–39.9)	30	1552.4±563.8	1290.4±537.9	2511.1±363.5	2455.5±181.7	2892.3±401.9

^aLinear formula: 30 ml/kg body weight (minimum of 1500 mL/day).

^bAdjusted formula: sum of 100 ml water/kg for the first 10 kg of actual body weight, 50 ml water/kg for the next 10 kg, and 15 ml water/kg for the remaining kg of weight.

^cIOM=Institute of Medicine formula: adequate intake = 2700 mL/d for females and 3700 mL/d for males.