Published in final edited form as:

J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr. 2016 May ; 62(5): 723–727. doi:10.1097/MPG.0000000000001123.

Infliximab Dosing Strategies and Predicted Trough Exposure in Children with Crohn's Disease

Adam Frymoyer, MD^a, Travis L Piester, MD^b, and KT Park, MD, MS^b

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Neonatology, Stanford University School of Medicine

^bDepartment of Pediatrics, Division of Gastroenterology, Stanford University School of Medicine

Abstract

Objectives—Standard infliximab maintenance dosing of 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks may be inadequate to consistently achieve sufficient drug exposure to minimize loss of response or treatment failure in pediatric Crohn's disease (CD). We aimed to determine the predicted infliximab trough concentrations in children with CD during maintenance therapy and the percentage of patients achieving target trough concentration >3 µg/ml.

Methods—A Monte Carlo simulation analysis was constructed using a published population pharmacokinetic model based on data from 112 children in the REACH trial. We assessed maintenance dosing strategies of 5, 7.5, and 10 mg/kg at dosing intervals of every 4, 6, and 8 weeks for children that differed by age, weight, albumin level, and concomitant immunomodulator therapy.

Results—Based on the index case of a 10 year old with CD receiving standard infliximab dosing with concomitant immunomodulator therapy, the median (IQR) simulated infliximab trough concentration at week 14 was 1.3 (0.5–2.7) µg/ml, and 2.4 (1.0–4.8) µg/ml for albumin levels of 3

Correspondence: Adam Frymoyer, MD, Department of Pediatrics, Stanford University, 750 Welch Rd, Suite #315, Palo Alto, CA 94304, Phone: +1 650 723-5711, Fax: +1 650 725-8351, frymoyer@stanford.edu.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no conflict of interest, real or perceived, to report.

Authors' Roles

AF

Made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work; and the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data for the work; AND

Drafted the work and revised it critically for important intellectual content; AND

Approves the final version to be published; AND

Agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

TP

Made substantial contributions to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; AND

Revised the work critically for important intellectual content; AND

Approves the final version to be published; AND

Agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

KP

Made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the work and to the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data; AND

Drafted the work and revised it critically for important intellectual content; AND

Approves the final version to be published; AND

Agrees to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

and 4 g/dl, respectively. Among 1000 simulated children in the model, trough concentration >3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ at week 14 was achieved 21% and 41% of the time for albumin levels of 3 and 4 g/dl, respectively.

Conclusions—Standard infliximab maintenance dosing in children with CD is predicted to frequently result in inadequate exposure, especially when albumin levels are low. Optimized dosing strategies for individual patients are needed to achieve sufficient drug exposure during infliximab maintenance therapy.

Keywords

Infliximab; Pharmacokinetics; Children; Crohn's Disease; inflammatory bowel disease; Modeling; Monte Carlo Simulation

INTRODUCTION

Infliximab, a chimeric monoclonal antibody against tumor necrosis factor alpha, is the most commonly used biologic in the treatment of children with Crohn's Disease (CD). Standard infliximab dosing in children is 5 mg/kg at 0, 2, and 6 weeks during induction followed by maintenance doses of 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks.(1–4) This dosing regimen is based on the original randomized controlled studies demonstrating efficacy of infliximab.

The REACH trial published in 2007 by Hyams et al was a landmark study showing efficacy of infliximab in children for induction and maintenance of remission in moderate-to-severe CD.(5) In this study of 112 children with CD, approximately 60% of the patients had clinical response or remission at 1 year. However, clinical experience to date shows frequent waning of effectiveness over time, and loss of response and/or need for dose modification has been reported in up to 50% of patients with CD by 54 weeks.(5–7)

Increasing evidence in adults and children has shown treatment failure may be due in part to low infliximab exposure. Several observational studies suggest that infliximab trough concentrations <3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ are associated with worse clinical outcomes based on higher disease activity indices and/or increased systemic inflammation as measured by C-reactive protein (CRP).(7–12) Dose optimization including dose escalation based on trough concentration monitoring has proved beneficial.(10,13,14) In children with CD, trough concentrations achieved after standard infliximab maintenance dosing are not well characterized. Important predictors of infliximab drug clearance in children with CD have been identified with weight and serum albumin having the largest influence.(15) For example, infliximab drug clearance is 25% higher in a child with a serum albumin of 3 mg/dl compared to 4 mg/dl. However, even after accounting for known predictors in the pharmacokinetics of infliximab, large variation between children still exists.(15) Taken together, a one-size fits all approach for infliximab dosing in children with CD may be inappropriate.

We hypothesize that standard infliximab maintenance dosing in children at 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks results in large variation in drug exposure and does not consistently achieve infliximab trough concentrations >3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$. As the initial step in evaluating this hypothesis,

the objective of the current study was to evaluate predicted infliximab trough concentrations in children with CD during maintenance therapy including the percentage of patients achieving target trough concentration >3 µg/ml.

METHODS

Infliximab Pharmacokinetic Model

A published infliximab population pharmacokinetic model developed from 112 children in the REACH trial and 580 adults in the ACCENT I trial was implemented in the non-linear mixed effects modeling software NONMEM 7.2 (ICON Development Solutions, Ellicott City, MD).⁽¹⁵⁾ In ACCENT I, serum infliximab concentrations were determined using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) with a lower limit of detection of 0.1 µg/ml. The method for serum infliximab concentration determination in REACH was not reported. A two-compartment model with first-order elimination was used to describe infliximab pharmacokinetics. Clearance (CL) was predicted by weight (WT, kg), serum albumin (ALB; g/dl), presence of antibodies to infliximab (ATI; yes/no), and concomitant immunomodulation therapy (IMM; yes/no). Sex had no impact on clearance. The equation for CL was:

$$CL(ml/kg/day) = 5.42 \times \left(\frac{WT}{65 \text{ kg}} \right)^{-0.313} \times \left(\frac{ALB}{4.1 \text{ g/dL}} \right)^{-0.855} \times (0.863)^{IMM} \times (1.292)^{ATI},$$

where IMM = 1 in patients receiving concomitant immunomodulation therapy and 0 in patients not receiving concomitant immunomodulation therapy; ATI = 1 in presence of antibodies to infliximab and 0 in patients without presence of antibodies to infliximab. Central and peripheral volumes of distribution (Vc and Vp) were predicted by weight as given by the equations:

$$Vc(ml/kg) = 52.4 \times \left(\frac{WT}{65 \text{ kg}} \right)^{-0.233}$$

$$Vp(ml/kg) = 19.6 \times \left(\frac{WT}{65 \text{ kg}} \right)^{-0.588}$$

Inter-compartmental clearance (Q) was constant (2.26 ml/kg/day). After accounting for known predictors, the remaining variation between children was described by an exponential error model for CL (% coefficient of variation [% CV] 25.4%), Vc (16.3%) and Vp (% CV 34.9%). Residual variability (a measure of the difference between the model predicted concentration for a patient and the observed concentration in that patient) was captured using a combined proportional (% CV 27.5%) and additive error model (standard deviation [SD] ± 0.244 µg/ml).

Monte Carlo Simulations

Using the published infliximab population pharmacokinetic model for children with CD, Monte Carlo simulation methods were applied in NONMEM 7.2 to understand infliximab dose-exposure relationships in children with CD.(16–18) Monte Carlo simulation is a technique that allows the incorporation of the underlying biological variation in a model. Via repeated sampling of simulated patients, the data reveal a range of possible outcomes (i.e. infliximab trough concentrations) in a patient population, which can be used to determine the probability of a specific outcome (i.e. probability of achieving trough concentration >3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$).(19) Furthermore, by utilizing simulated data, a wide spectrum of clinical scenarios can be evaluated, which would not be feasible in an actual clinical trial in children.

In the current analysis, we evaluated infliximab maintenance dosing strategies of 5, 7.5, and 10 mg/kg at dosing intervals of every 4, 6, and 8 weeks in children with CD. Clinically relevant predictors of infliximab pharmacokinetics (i.e. weight, albumin, and concomitant immunomodulator status) were examined (Table 1). A weight of 21, 32, and 51kg were chosen based on ~CDC 50% weight-for-age for a 6, 10, or 14 year old, respectively. Albumin of 3 and 4 g/dl are common levels reported in children with CD.(15,20) For a given Monte Carlo simulation ($n = 1000$ simulated children with CD), the maintenance dosing regimen and patient type (i.e. weight, albumin, and concomitant immunomodulator therapy status) were fixed. Monte Carlo simulations were then repeatedly conducted where only one predictor was varied between simulations. All possible combinations of dosing regimen, weight, albumin, and concomitant immunomodulator therapy status were evaluated resulting in 108 unique Monte Carlo simulations. This systematic and in-depth sensitivity analysis allowed an examination of the effect of each predictor on trough concentration achievement for a given dosing regimen.

For each Monte Carlo simulation, infliximab trough concentrations were then analyzed before the second or third maintenance dose depending on the dosing interval, specifically trough concentrations before the maintenance dose at week 12 (if dosing interval every 6 weeks) or week 14 (if dosing interval every 4 or 8 weeks). In addition, the percentage of children that achieved a trough concentration >3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ were calculated at the above time points for each infliximab dosing regimen and patient type. Target trough concentrations of > 3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ were chosen based on previous reports noting an association with treatment response.(7–12) Statistical analyses of the data and figure productions were performed using STATA 13 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Standard Infliximab Dosing

Infliximab trough concentrations were highly dependent on albumin level (Figure 1). For example, at standard maintenance dosing of 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks in simulated children with a weight of 32 kg receiving concomitant immunomodulator therapy, the median (IQR) predicted infliximab trough concentration at week 14 was 1.3 (0.5–2.7) $\mu\text{g/ml}$ and 2.4 (1.0–4.8) $\mu\text{g/ml}$ for albumin levels of 3 and 4 g/dl, respectively. The impact of weight on trough concentration was small and likely not clinically relevant at standard maintenance dosing

(Figure 1). For example, the median (IQR) simulated infliximab trough concentration at week 14 in children with albumin 4 g/dl receiving concomitant immunomodulator therapy was 2.1 (1.0–4.2) µg/ml, 2.4 (1.0–4.8) µg/ml and 2.6 (1.1–5.5) µg/ml for weights of 21, 32, and 51 kg, respectively. At albumin 3 g/dl (when infliximab clearance is predicted to be high), the impact of weight on trough concentration became negligible with a median (IQR) trough concentration of 1.3 (0.5–2.4) µg/ml, 1.3 (0.5–2.7) µg/ml, and 1.4 (0.5–2.9) µg/ml for weights of 21, 32, and 51 kg, respectively.

Target trough concentrations >3 µg/ml were not consistently achieved at standard maintenance dosing of 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks across simulated children of different weight and albumin (Table 2). For example, among 1000 children with a weight of 32 kg in the simulated model receiving standard maintenance dosing, a trough concentration >3 µg/ml was achieved at week 14 in only 21% and 41% of the time for albumin levels of 3 and 4 g/dl, respectively.

Optimized Infliximab Dosing Strategies

Higher infliximab dose amounts (i.e. 7.5 or 10 mg/kg) and/or shorter dosing intervals (i.e. every 4 or every 6 weeks) increased the predicted trough concentration exposure. Figure 2 shows the impact of maintenance dosing strategy on predicted infliximab trough concentrations by albumin level in children with a weight of 32 kg. Overall, shortening the dosing interval had a larger impact on trough concentration than increasing the dose amount. At an albumin level of 3 g/dl and weight of 32 kg, a dose increase from the standard infliximab maintenance dosing of 5 mg/kg every 8 week to 7.5 mg/kg every 8 weeks resulted in a median trough concentration at week 14 of 1.9 (0.8–4.0) µg/ml (compared to 1.3 (0.5–2.7) µg/ml with standard maintenance dosing). If the dose was increased to 10 mg/kg every 8 weeks, the median trough concentration at week 14 was 2.6 (1.0–5.2) µg/ml. Maintaining the dose at 5 mg/kg but shortening the interval to every 6 weeks resulted in a median trough concentration of 2.5 (1.2–4.7) µg/ml. Similar trends in trough concentration and dosing strategy were seen for children with weights of 21 and 52 kg (Supplemental Table S1).

The percentage of children predicted to achieve a target trough concentration >3 µg/ml for the different dosing strategies is shown by weight and albumin in Table 2. Overall, more aggressive dosing is predicted to be needed to consistently achieve a trough concentration >3 µg/ml in children with CD. The ‘optimized’ dosing strategies predicted to achieve a trough concentration of >3 µg/ml in at least 80% of children with a weight of 32 kg were: 1) 7.5 or 10 mg/kg every 4 weeks for albumin levels of 3 g/dl; and 2) 5 mg/kg every 4 weeks or 10 mg/kg every 6 weeks for albumin levels of 4 g/dl (Figure 3). Similar ‘optimized’ dosing strategies were predicted for children with weights of 21 and 52 kg.

For patients not on concomitant immunomodulators, infliximab exposures were slightly lower overall due to a predicted ~14% faster drug clearance. However, the same trends and relationships were seen, and the optimized dosing strategy predicted to achieve a trough concentration of >3 µg/ml in at least 80% of children were similar across albumin levels and weight (Supplemental Table S2 and S3).

DISCUSSION

Using a published population pharmacokinetic model that was based on data from 112 CD patients in the REACH trial, we constructed a Monte Carlo simulation analysis of hypothetical children with CD. We found that standard infliximab maintenance dosing of 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks is predicted to frequently result in trough concentrations $<3 \mu\text{g/ml}$ in children with CD with an albumin $<4 \text{ g/dl}$.

Substantial increase in either dose amount or frequency is required to achieve adequate drug exposure at week 14, as evidenced by only 21% or 41% of children achieving trough concentrations $>3 \mu\text{g/ml}$ if albumin levels were 3 g/dl or 4 g/dl, respectively. Optimized dosing strategies based on patients' albumin level appear to predictably achieve more adequate drug exposure during maintenance infliximab therapy. More personalized infliximab dosing based on albumin level has also been suggested in adults.(21)

The clinical relevance of our findings is that inadequate drug exposure is highly associated with formation of antibodies to infliximab, resulting in loss of response or treatment failure. (22,23) Clinical studies in adults demonstrate improved infliximab efficacy when infliximab trough concentrations are consistently $>3 \mu\text{g/ml}$.(8–12) While the optimal trough concentration target in children is not well-defined, a recent prospective observational cohort study in children with inflammatory bowel disease suggested even higher trough concentrations (i.e. $>4 \mu\text{g/ml}$) might be necessary.(7) In clinical practice, children with CD often present with moderate-to-severe disease phenotype and high systemic inflammatory burden. Low albumin levels are frequently observed as part of the constellation of clinical signs in pediatric CD.(15,24,25) As such, higher starting infliximab maintenance dosing strategies are likely warranted in children with CD and albumin $<4 \text{ g/dl}$ to consistently achieve infliximab trough concentrations $>3 \mu\text{g/ml}$.

Our analysis highlights an important consideration in pediatric CD management in light of current movement towards personalized medicine. Available data suggest that there is large variation of infliximab pharmacokinetics in children with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).(15) Therefore, individualizing therapeutic strategies for biologics such as infliximab are necessary, especially considering the overall impact on the value of IBD care that biologics use represents.(26) Additionally, based on our findings, we highlight the need for future studies evaluating the value of therapeutic drug monitoring in pediatric IBD management since many different strategies are available and currently used in clinical practice without standardization. Individualization of infliximab dosing in pediatric IBD requires formal assessment and detailed considerations for different disease phenotypes, patient-specific characteristics, and cost-effectiveness.

We acknowledge that the limitation of our analysis is the use of simulated data. However, the population pharmacokinetic model implemented was established from 112 children with CD receiving infliximab as part of the REACH trial and 580 adults with CD receiving infliximab as part of the ACCENT I trial. No difference in infliximab pharmacokinetics was identified between children and adults during the population pharmacokinetic model development(15), and therefore the final model combining children and adult data was

implemented. Population pharmacokinetic models are powerful tools that can help understand variation in exposure in a patient population(16–18); consequently our analysis was able to capture the variability in infliximab pharmacokinetics seen between children with CD. Of course, caution is warranted in generalizing our results to patients falling outside the inclusion criteria for REACH or ACCENT I (e.g, children < 6 years old). While we examined several different weights and serum albumins in our simulations, we were not able to portray the true variation and distribution of these characteristics seen in children with CD. In addition, the impact of severe malnourishment and obesity on infliximab pharmacokinetics and dose needs could not be examined due to limitations in the underlying pharmacokinetic model and remains unknown. Nonetheless, our results are in agreement with a recent clinical report by Hoekman et al. of infliximab trough concentrations in children with CD.(20) In those receiving infliximab 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks, 44% (n=10/23) had a trough concentration <3 µg/ml (Daniel Hoekman, personal communication, October 9, 2015). Furthermore, by utilizing already available pediatric CD pharmacokinetic data, we were able to gain insight into potential infliximab dose optimization strategies based on patient-specific clinical data and achieved trough concentrations. Prospective clinical pharmacokinetic data on infliximab dosing strategies and trough concentration achievement in children with CD will be necessary to confirm our findings.

In conclusion, our study supports the clinical rationale to optimize infliximab dosing in pediatric CD. To consistently achieve a trough concentration >3 µg/ml during maintenance therapy, higher infliximab doses and/or shorter dosing intervals are needed, especially when albumin levels are low. Future studies are needed to validate optimized biologic dosing strategies in children with IBD.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Source of Funding: AF is supported by the National Institutes of Health (K23 HD079557) for this work. KP is supported by the National Institutes of Health (K08 DK094868) for this work.

References

1. Hanauer SB, Feagan BG, Lichtenstein GR, Mayer LF, Schreiber S, Colombel JF, et al. Maintenance infliximab for Crohn's disease: the ACCENT I randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2002 May 4; 359(9317): 1541–9. [PubMed: 12047962]
2. Rutgeerts P, Sandborn WJ, Feagan BG, Reinisch W, Olson A, Johanns J, et al. Infliximab for induction and maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2005 Dec 8; 353(23):2462–76. [PubMed: 16339095]
3. Sands BE, Anderson FH, Bernstein CN, Chey WY, Feagan BG, Fedorak RN, et al. Infliximab maintenance therapy for fistulizing Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2004 Feb 26; 350(9):876–85. [PubMed: 14985485]
4. Colombel JF, Sandborn WJ, Reinisch W, Mantzaris GJ, Kornbluth A, Rachmilewitz D, et al. Infliximab, azathioprine, or combination therapy for Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2010 Apr 15; 362(15):1383–95. [PubMed: 20393175]

5. Hyams J, Crandall W, Kugathasan S, Griffiths A, Olson A, Johans J, et al. Induction and maintenance infliximab therapy for the treatment of moderate-to-severe Crohn's disease in children. *Gastroenterology*. 2007 Mar; 132(3):863–73. quiz 1165–6. [PubMed: 17324398]
6. Hyams JS, Lerer T, Griffiths A, Pfefferkorn M, Kugathasan S, Evans J, et al. Long-term outcome of maintenance infliximab therapy in children with Crohn's disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2009 Jun; 15(6):816–22. [PubMed: 19107783]
7. Singh N, Rosenthal CJ, Melmed GY, Mirocha J, Farrior S, Callejas S, et al. Early infliximab trough levels are associated with persistent remission in pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2014 Oct; 20(10):1708–13. [PubMed: 25153505]
8. Bortlik M, Duricova D, Malickova K, Machkova N, Bouzkova E, Hrdlicka L, et al. Infliximab trough levels may predict sustained response to infliximab in patients with Crohn's disease. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2013 Oct; 7(9):736–43. [PubMed: 23200919]
9. Vande Casteele N, Khanna R, Levesque BG, Stitt L, Zou GY, Singh S, et al. The relationship between infliximab concentrations, antibodies to infliximab and disease activity in Crohn's disease. *Gut*. 2015 Oct; 64(10):1539–45. [PubMed: 25336114]
10. Suzuki Y, Matsui T, Ito H, Ashida T, Nakamura S, Motoya S, et al. Circulating Interleukin 6 and Albumin, and Infliximab Levels Are Good Predictors of Recovering Efficacy After Dose Escalation Infliximab Therapy in Patients with Loss of Response to Treatment for Crohn's Disease: A Prospective Clinical Trial. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2015 Sep; 21(9):2114–22. [PubMed: 26218144]
11. Cornillie F, Hanauer SB, Diamond RH, Wang J, Tang KL, Xu Z, et al. Postinduction serum infliximab trough level and decrease of C-reactive protein level are associated with durable sustained response to infliximab: a retrospective analysis of the ACCENT I trial. *Gut*. 2014 Nov; 63(11):1721–7. [PubMed: 24474383]
12. Levesque BG, Greenberg GR, Zou G, Sandborn WJ, Singh S, Hauenstein S, et al. A prospective cohort study to determine the relationship between serum infliximab concentration and efficacy in patients with luminal Crohn's disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2014 May; 39(10):1126–35. [PubMed: 24689499]
13. Hendler SA, Cohen BL, Colombel J-F, Sands BE, Mayer L, Agarwal S. High-dose infliximab therapy in Crohn's disease: clinical experience, safety, and efficacy. *J Crohns Colitis*. 2015 Mar; 9(3):266–75. [PubMed: 25540149]
14. Vande Casteele N, Gils A. Preemptive Dose Optimization Using Therapeutic Drug Monitoring for Biologic Therapy of Crohn's Disease: Avoiding Failure While Lowering Costs? *Dig Dis Sci*. 2015 Apr 28.
15. Fasanmade AA, Adedokun OJ, Blank M, Zhou H, Davis HM. Pharmacokinetic properties of infliximab in children and adults with Crohn's disease: a retrospective analysis of data from 2 phase III clinical trials. *Clin Ther*. 2011 Jul; 33(7):946–64. [PubMed: 21741088]
16. Vinks AA, Emoto C, Fukuda T. Modeling and simulation in pediatric drug therapy: Application of pharmacometrics to define the right dose for children. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2015 Sep; 98(3):298–308. [PubMed: 26073179]
17. Zhang L, Sinha V, Fargue ST, Callies S, Ni L, Peck R, et al. Model-based drug development: the road to quantitative pharmacology. *J Pharmacokinet Pharmacodyn*. 2006 Jun; 33(3):369–93. [PubMed: 16770528]
18. Cella M, Gorter de Vries F, Burger D, Danhof M, Della Pasqua O. A model-based approach to dose selection in early pediatric development. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2010 Mar; 87(3):294–302. [PubMed: 20107435]
19. Bradley JS, Dudley MN, Drusano GL. Predicting efficacy of anti-infectives with pharmacodynamics and Monte Carlo simulation. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2003 Nov; 22(11):982–92. quiz 993–5. [PubMed: 14614372]
20. Hoekman DR, Brandse JF, de Meij TG, Hummel TZ, Löwenberg M, Benninga MA, et al. The association of infliximab trough levels with disease activity in pediatric inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol*. 2015 Sep; 50(9):1110–7. [PubMed: 25865965]

21. Dotan I, Ron Y, Yanai H, Becker S, Fishman S, Yahav L, et al. Patient factors that increase infliximab clearance and shorten half-life in inflammatory bowel disease: a population pharmacokinetic study. *Inflamm Bowel Dis*. 2014 Dec; 20(12):2247–59. [PubMed: 25358062]
22. Hanauer SB, Wagner CL, Bala M, Mayer L, Travers S, Diamond RH, et al. Incidence and importance of antibody responses to infliximab after maintenance or episodic treatment in Crohn's disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2004 Jul; 2(7):542–53. [PubMed: 15224278]
23. Vande Casteele N, Gils A, Singh S, Ohrmund L, Hauenstein S, Rutgeerts P, et al. Antibody response to infliximab and its impact on pharmacokinetics can be transient. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2013 Jun; 108(6):962–71. [PubMed: 23419382]
24. Mekhjian HS, Switz DM, Melnyk CS, Rankin GB, Brooks RK. Clinical features and natural history of Crohn's disease. *Gastroenterology*. 1979 Oct; 77(4 Pt 2):898–906. [PubMed: 381094]
25. Hyams JS, Mandel F, Ferry GD, Gryboski JD, Kibort PM, Kirschner BS, et al. Relationship of Common Laboratory Parameters to the Activity of Crohn's Disease in Children. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology*. 1992 Feb; 14(2):216–22.
26. Park KT, Colletti RB, Rubin DT, Sharma BK, Thompson A, Krueger A. Health Insurance Paid Costs and Drivers of Costs for Patients With Crohn's Disease in the United States. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2015 Jul 21.

WHAT IS KNOWN

- Infliximab is a monoclonal antibody to tumor necrosis factor alpha and is mainstay therapy for children with Crohn's disease.
- Although effective, infliximab has a high loss of response rate at 1 year after beginning therapy, and there is increasing evidence that infliximab treatment failure is associated with trough concentrations $<3 \mu\text{g/ml}$ during maintenance therapy .
- Children with lower albumin levels have higher infliximab clearance and lower drug exposures.

WHAT'S NEW HERE

- We report the results of a Monte Carlo simulation analysis that implemented a published infliximab population pharmacokinetic model to examine infliximab dose-exposure relationships in children with Crohn's disease.
- With standard infliximab dosing of 5mg/kg every 8 weeks, only 21% of patients with albumin of 3 g/dl and 41% of patients with albumin of 4 mg/dl are predicted to achieve infliximab trough concentrations $>3 \mu\text{g/ml}$.
- Higher starting infliximab maintenance dosing strategies are needed in children with Crohn's disease to consistently achieve a trough concentration $>3 \mu\text{g/ml}$.

Figure 1.

Predicted infliximab trough concentrations (µg/ml) by weight and albumin level at standard maintenance dosing of 5 mg/kg every 8 weeks. Each boxplot represents trough concentrations at week 14 from 1000 simulated children with Crohn's disease on concomitant immunomodulator therapy. Dashed line references target trough concentrations of 3 µg/ml.

Figure 2.

A–C. Predicted infliximab trough concentrations ($\mu\text{g/ml}$) by albumin level during maintenance therapy at doses of A) 5 mg/kg, B) 7.5 mg/kg, and C) 10 mg/kg given every 4, 6, or 8 weeks (q4w, q6w, q8w). Each boxplot represents trough concentrations at week 12 or 14 from 1000 simulated children with Crohn's disease on concomitant immunomodulator therapy and weighing 32 kg. Dashed line references target trough concentrations of 3 $\mu\text{g/ml}$.

Figure 3.

Percentage of children predicted to achieve a trough concentration >3 µg/ml after standard and optimized infliximab maintenance dosing strategies. Optimized dosing strategies were selected based on achievement of a trough concentration >3 µg/ml in more than 80% of 1000 simulated children with Crohn's disease on concomitant immunomodulator therapy and weighing 32 kg. Dosing intervals were every 4, 6 or 8 weeks (i.e. q4w, q6w, q8w).

Table 1

Model inputs for Monte Carlo simulations.

Infliximab Maintenance Regimen
Dose: 5, 7.5 or 10 mg/kg
Interval: Every 4, 6, or 8 weeks
Weight *
21, 32 and 51 kg
Albumin
3 or 4 g/dL
Concomitant Immunomodulator
Yes or No
Infliximab antibodies
Assumed not present

* Weights represent ~CDC 50% weight-for-age for a 6, 10, or 14 year old, respectively

Percentage of children with Crohn's Disease on concomitant immunomodulator therapy predicted to achieve target infliximab trough concentrations >3 ug/ml at week 12/14 of maintenance therapy by weight, albumin and dosing regimen.

Table 2

Weight (kg)	Albumin (mg/dl)	Maintenance Dosing Regimen											
		5 mg/kg			7.5 mg/kg			10 mg/kg			q 4 w	q 6 w	q 8 w
		q 8 w	q 6 w	q 4 w	q 8 w	q 6 w	q 4 w	q 8 w	q 6 w	q 4 w			
21	3	17	37	62	31	54	77	43	65	85			
	4	37	59	84	53	73	92	65	82	95			
32	3	21	43	69	35	59	82	46	69	89			
	4	41	64	88	57	77	94	65	84	97			
51	3	23	48	76	38	62	87	48	71	92			
	4	44	69	92	58	80	96	67	86	98			

Grey shading notes dosing regimens that achieved target trough concentration >3 ug/ml in 80% of children.

Dosing interval expressed in weeks (w).

Weight represent CDC 50% weight-for-age for a 6, 10, or 14 year old, respectively.