Published in final edited form as:

Immunol Lett. 2016 January ; 169: 73–81. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2015.12.001.

Exogenous ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) and phospho-ceramide analogue-1 (PCERA-1) regulate key macrophage activities via distinct receptors

Sebastián Katz^{a,1}, Orna Ernst^{a,1}, Dorit Avni^a, Muhammad Athamna^a, Amir Philosoph^a, Lide Arana^b, Alberto Ouro^b, L. Alexis Hoferlin^{c,d,e}, Michael M. Meijler^f, Charles E. Chalfant^{c,d,e}, Antonio Gómez-Muñoz^b, and Tsaffir Zor^{a,*}

Sebastián Katz: seba120777@gmail.com; Orna Ernst: ornaerns@post.tau.ac.il; Dorit Avni: davni@vcu.edu; Muhammad Athamna: athamnam@gmail.com; Amir Philosoph: amir.philo@gmail.com; Lide Arana: lide.arana@ehu.eus; Alberto Ouro: alber.ouro@gmail.com; L. Alexis Hoferlin: alhoferlin@vcu.edu; Michael M. Meijler: meijler@bgu.ac.il; Charles E. Chalfant: cechalfant@vcu.edu; Antonio Gómez-Muñoz: antonio.gomez@ehu.eus; Tsaffir Zor: tsaffyz@tauex.tau.ac.il

^aDepartment of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Life Sciences Institute, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel

^bDepartment of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, University of the Basque Country, P.O. Box 644, Bilbao 48080, Spain

^cDepartment of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, VA 23298-0614, United States

^dHunter Holmes McGuire Veterans Administration Medical Center, Richmond, VA 23249, United States

^eThe Massey Cancer Center, Richmond, VA 23298, United States

^fDepartment of Chemistry, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er-Sheva 84105, Israel

Abstract

Inflammation is an ensemble of tightly regulated steps, in which macrophages play an essential role. Previous reports showed that the natural sphingolipid ceramide 1-phosphate (C1P) stimulates macrophages migration, while the synthetic C1P mimic, phospho-ceramide analogue-1 (PCERA-1), suppresses production of the key pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF α and amplifies production of the key anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in LPS-stimulated macrophages, via one or more unidentified G-protein coupled receptors. We show that C1P stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages migration via the NF κ B pathway and MCP-1 induction, while PCERA-1 neither mimicked nor antagonized these activities. Conversely, PCERA-1 synergistically elevated LPS-dependent IL-10 expression in RAW264.7 macrophages via the cAMP-PKA-CREB signaling pathway, while C1P neither mimicked nor antagonized these activities. Interestingly, both compounds have the capacity to additively inhibit TNF α secretion; PCERA-1, but not C1P, suppressed LPS-induced TNF α expression in macrophages in a CREB-dependent manner, while

*Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +972 3 640 7192.

¹These authors contributed equally to this work.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

C1P, but not PCERA-1, directly inhibited recombinant TNF α converting enzyme (TACE). Finally, PCERA-1 failed to interfere with binding of C1P to either the cell surface receptor or to TACE. These results thus indicate that the natural sphingolipid C1P and its synthetic analog PCERA-1 bind and activate distinct receptors expressed in RAW264.7 macrophages. Identification of these receptors will be instrumental for elucidation of novel activities of extra-cellular sphingolipids, and may pave the way for the design of new sphingolipid mimics for the treatment of inflammatory diseases, and pathologies which depend on cell migration, as in metastatic tumors.

Keywords

Macrophages; Inflammation; C1P; Migration; TNF α ; IL-10

1. Introduction

Sphingolipids play structural roles as ubiquitous components of membranes in eukaryotes. In addition, it is also well established that sphingolipids regulate diverse cellular events, including inflammatory responses [1]. Ceramide is the precursor of all sphingolipids and can be generated *de novo*, or alternatively by the action of sphingomyelinases (SMases) [2,3]. The bioactive sphingolipid ceramide 1-phosphate (C1P) is produced by phosphorylation of ceramide, a reaction catalyzed by ceramide kinase (CERK) [4,5]. While the parent molecule ceramide stimulates apoptosis, intra-cellular C1P stimulates proliferation, and inhibits apoptosis [6–9]. Interestingly, C1P was found in serum, suggesting it may also act as an extra-cellular mediator [10]. Indeed, Gómez-Muñoz and coworkers reported the existence of an as-of-yet unidentified C1P receptor, residing in the plasma membrane. This C1P receptor stimulates macrophages migration via the concerted activation of the ERK1/2, PI3K/Akt and NF κ B pathways, and subsequent expression and release of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1) [11,12]. In addition, extracellular C1P acting via a cell-surface receptor also stimulates glucose uptake and metabolism in macrophages in a PI3K/Akt-dependent manner [13]. Importantly, these cellular effects were blocked by pertussis toxin, suggesting the involvement of a C1P receptor that belongs to the G α_i protein-coupled receptor sub-family [11–13]. In contrast to these receptor-mediated effects of exogenous C1P, Chalfant and co-workers demonstrated that endogenous C1P acts as a second messenger in stimulating the production of inflammatory lipid mediators, by directly binding and activating cytosolic phospholipase A₂ (cPLA₂) [14]. More recently, Chalfant and co-workers reported that C1P directly binds TNF α converting enzyme (TACE) and inhibits its *in-vitro* proteolytic activity [15]. Furthermore, BMDM derived from CERK knockout mice demonstrated reduced intra-cellular C1P levels and a concomitant increase in TNF α secretion [15]. Yet, whether extra-cellular C1P can also inhibit TNF α secretion was not addressed in that study. In a heterologous system, HEK293T cells that stably express the TLR4/MD-2/CD14 complex, exogenous C1P inhibited activation of the NF κ B pathway, upstream of TNF α expression [16]. Yet, this effect of exogenous C1P was observed only when NF κ B was stimulated by the TLR4 ligand, and not by a different endogenous receptor, suggesting that in this system C1P specifically interfered with TLR4 signaling and not with TACE [16]. The question of whether exogenous C1P can also inhibit TNF α secretion in macrophages was therefore addressed in the present study.

The synthetic C1P analog, 1-methyl-2-(3-methoxyphenyl)-2-(octanoylamino) ethyl-disodium-phosphate, suppresses LPS-induced TNF α secretion *in vivo* [17,18]. Consequently, this synthetic C1P analog and closely-related compounds potently and efficiently block mice mortality in a LPS-induced sepsis model and in a galactosamine/LPS-induced hepatitis model [18,19], and prevent lethal liver failure following extensive hepatectomy [20]. The potent anti-inflammatory activity of this molecule, named by Zor and co-workers phospho-ceramide analogue-1 (PCERA-1, Fig. 1), has been demonstrated *in vivo* as well as *in vitro* in primary and cultured macrophages [17,21,22]. Extracellular PCERA-1 suppresses secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF α and synergistically induces secretion of the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin-10 (IL-10) in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 and primary macrophages [17,21,22]. PCERA-1 elevates cAMP production in a GTP-dependent manner, resulting in PKA-mediated phosphorylation of CREB [23]. These evidences point to the existence of a GPCR responding to PCERA-1, upstream to adenylyl cyclase. Yet, a causative relationship between the cAMP pathway and cytokine modulation by PCERA-1 has so far only been suggested based on the use of a pharmacological PKA inhibitor, H89, which has limited specificity [23–25]. We addressed this open question in the present study, using a molecular biology approach of CREB silencing.

The different receptor-mediated cellular activities for C1P and PCERA-1 in macrophages, suggest the existence of different receptor entities for these two molecules, a G $_i$ -coupled receptor for C1P and a G $_s$ -coupled receptor for PCERA-1 [26]. However, taking into account the high structural similarity between these two compounds (Fig. 1), the possibility of a common receptor should not be excluded. It is possible that PCERA-1 acts as an inverse agonist of the G $_i$ -coupled C1P receptor, thus inhibiting the negative effect of endogenous C1P on basal or constitutive cAMP production. In a manner previously reported for other GPCRs [27–29], the same receptor can also stimulate macrophages migration in response to C1P via G β γ -mediated ERK1/2 activation.

The objectives of the current study were to determine whether: (i) a single common receptor or distinct receptors mediate the effects of exogenous C1P and PCERA-1 in macrophages; (ii) the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway mediates PCERA-1 effects; (iii) exogenous C1P can suppress TNF α secretion; (iv) TNF α suppression by C1P and PCERA-1 is mediated by overlapping or alternative mechanisms. We show that the distinct biological responses induced by exogenous C1P and PCERA-1 are mediated by the activation of different plasma membrane receptor entities. We also demonstrate that PCERA-1 up-regulates IL-10 expression and suppresses TNF α expression in LPS-stimulated macrophages via transcriptional activation of CREB, while exogenous C1P additively inhibits TNF α secretion in a CREB-independent manner by direct inhibition of TACE.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Reagents

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS; *Escherichia coli* serotype 055:B5), sphingomyelin (SM), lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), natural bovine brain-derived C1P, phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride (PMSF), and the antibodies against ERK and phospho-ERK were purchased from

Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). The PCERA-1 was synthesized according to published procedures [22], dissolved in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and freshly diluted in culture media. C16:0 C1P was from Matreya (Pleasant Gap, PA) and C8:0 C1P and sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) were from Avanti Polar Lipids (Alabaster, AL). C1P vesicles, used in all experiments except for TACE, were prepared as a 2.62 mM stock in ultrapure water by sonication on ice using a probe sonicator until a clear dispersion was observed. Sonicated ultrapure water, served as the appropriate vehicle. [γ - 32 P]-ATP was purchased from Perkin-Elmer (Waltham, MA) and used for the preparation of [32 P]-C1P as previously described [12]. L-Glutamine, penicillin-streptomycin-nystatin was purchased from Biological Industries (Beit Haemek, Israel). Dulbecco's modified Eagle's minimum essential medium (DMEM), Opti-MEM and fetal bovine serum (FBS) were purchased from Gibco (Carlsbad, CA). Bovine serum albumin was purchased from Amresco (Solon, OH). Human recombinant TACE enzyme, Fluorogenic Peptide Substrate III and the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) reagent sets for IL-10 and TNF α were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN) and that of MCP-1 was from Peprotech (Rocky Hill, NJ). A CRE-containing EVX-1 promoter luciferase reporter gene construct (hereafter CRE reporter) was a kind gift from Dr. Marc Montminy (Salk Institute, La-Jolla, CA). A plasmid carrying four copies of a consensus NF κ B enhancer site upstream to a luciferase reporter gene (hereafter NF κ B reporter) was purchased from Clontech (Mountain View, CA). The mouse IL-10 reporter plasmid was generously given by Dr. Smale (UCLA, CA). DH5 α bacteria were from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, CA). Endofree Plasmid Maxi Kit was from Qiagen (Hilden, Germany). TransIT2020 transfection reagent was from Mirus Bio LLC (Madison, WI). Dual-luciferase reporter assay kit was from Promega (Madison, WI). PD98059 was from A.G. Scientific (San Diego, CA). Infrared dye-labelled secondary antibodies and blocking buffer were obtained from Li-Cor Biosciences (Lincoln, NE). Immobilon-FL polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membranes were from Millipore (Billerica, MA). Complete protease inhibitors mixture and PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail were purchased from Roche (Mannheim, Germany).

2.2. Cell culture

Mouse RAW264.7 macrophage cells, obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Rockville, MD), were grown to 80–90% confluence in DMEM supplemented with 8 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml penicillin, 100 μ g/ml streptomycin and 1250 U/ml nystatin (hereafter culture medium) with 10% FBS. The cells were grown and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO $_2$. A RAW264.7 cell line stably expressing shRNA against CREB1a was a kind gift from Dr. Iain D.C. Fraser (NIH/NIAID, MD) [30].

2.3. Cytokine expression measurement

RAW264.7 macrophages were seeded in 96-well plates at 1.5×10^5 cells per well and maintained for 48 h in DMEM medium supplemented with 5% FBS. The culture medium was replaced 2 h before treatment to avoid the artifact of medium replacement on signaling [31]. The cells were stimulated with LPS, PCERA-1 or C1P, separately or in combination, as indicated, at 37 °C for 2 h (for IL-10 analysis), 5 h (for TNF α analysis) or 24 h (for MCP-1 analysis). Cytokine secretion into the medium was measured with commercially available

ELISA reagents sets, according to the manufacturer's instructions, using a microplate reader (Bio-Tek, Winooski, Vermont).

2.4. ERK1/2 phosphorylation assay

RAW264.7 macrophages seeded at 4×10^5 cells per well in 12-well plates were starved in culture medium supplemented with 0.1% FBS for 24 h before the experiment. The cells were stimulated with PCERA-1, C1P or LPS, separately or in combination, as indicated, at 37 °C for 20 min. The cells were then washed twice with cold PBS and lysed for 1 h at 4 °C with buffer containing Triton X-100 (1%), Tris-HCl buffer pH 8.0 (50 mM), NaCl (100 mM), β -glycerophosphate (50 mM), sodium orthovanadate (1 mM), EDTA (1 mM), EGTA (1 mM), glycerol (30%), PMSF (1 mM), PhosSTOP and a cOmplete protease inhibitor mixture. Cell extracts were centrifuged ($14,000 \times g$, 15 min at 4 °C), the supernatants (30 μ g protein) were subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE, and proteins were transferred to Immobilon-FL PVDF membrane, that was then incubated with antibodies raised against doubly phosphorylated ERK1/2 and general ERK. Two-color imaging and quantitative analysis of western blots were performed using the Odyssey infrared imaging system (Li-Cor Biosciences), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.5. Transfection and reporter gene assay

The CRE, NF κ B and IL-10 luciferase reporter plasmids were amplified using DH5 α bacteria, and purified using an Endofree Plasmid Maxi Kit. The resulting plasmid preparations showed no ability to induce TNF α production in RAW264.7 macrophages, indicating that it was indeed free of LPS contamination (<0.1 ng/ml). RAW264.7 macrophages were grown for 24 h in 12-well plates, at 3×10^5 cells per well, in culture medium supplemented with 10% FBS. The cells were then co-transfected with 0.4 μ g reporter plasmid and 0.2 μ g pRL-TK vector which contains the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) promoter to provide low to moderate levels of *renilla* luciferase expression (for normalization). The two plasmids were pre-incubated with the transfection reagent in Opti-MEM medium for 15 min at room temperature, before addition to the cells. Following a 24 h transfection, the cells were stimulated with C1P and/or PCERA-1 at 37 °C for 3 h. Luciferase activity in cell extracts was determined following the manufacturer's (Promega) instructions. Data were expressed as a ratio of firefly luciferase activity divided by the *renilla* luciferase activity, relative to unstimulated control cells. Transfection with the empty reporter vector, performed as a control, yielded no detectable activity.

2.6. Migration assay

Migration of RAW264.7 macrophages was measured by a trans-well assay, using 24-well chemotaxis chambers (Transwell, Corning Costar). A volume of 100 μ l serum-free culture medium containing 1×10^5 cells was placed in the upper well. C1P and/or PCERA-1 were placed in the lower compartment in 300 μ l of culture medium supplemented with 0.1% fatty acid-free BSA and 0.2% FBS pre-treated with activated carbon. After 4 h at 37 °C, non-migrated cells were removed with a cotton swab and the filters were fixed with 5% formaldehyde and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Migrating cells were counted under the microscope at 40 $\times$ magnification in 6 randomly selected fields per well.

2.7. C1P binding assay

Fresh RAW264.7 macrophages membranes were prepared and the assay was performed as previously described [12]. In brief, C1P and other sphingolipids were sonicated in a binding buffer containing Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5 (50 mM), NaCl (150 mM), leupeptin (10 µg/ml), aprotinin (10 µg/ml), PMSF (0.2 mM), and fatty acid-free BSA (0.8%). The membranes were gently mixed for 30 min with 8 µM of [³²P]-C1P and with the competing sphingolipids at a concentration that was 50-fold higher than the radioactive ligand, in a 150 µl volume in borosilicate tubes at 37 °C. The membranes were then poured on GF/C filters in a 1225 sampling manifold (Millipore). The filters were quickly washed 3 times with 350 µl of a washing buffer containing Tris-HCl buffer pH 7.5 (10 mM) and NaCl (15 mM) at 4 °C. The radioactivity was measured by liquid scintillation counting.

2.8. In vitro TACE activity measurement

The assay was performed as previously described [15]. In brief, Triton X-100 at 20X CMC in TACE assay buffer was added to the phospholipid (C1P and/or PCERA-1, dried under nitrogen) to produce mixed micelles where the phospholipid is at 0.35 mol% (compared to Triton X-100). The phospholipid-containing micelles (or control Triton X-100 micelles) were pre-mixed for 5 min at 37 °C with an equal volume of recombinant human TACE (0.01 µg). The assay was initiated by the addition of 10 µM fluorogenic substrate III (peptide sequence derived from pro-TNFα), and the enzymatic activity was measured for 60 min by the fluorescence emitted from the cleavage product (excitation and emission wavelength of 320 and 405 nm, respectively), according to the manufacturer's instructions (R&D systems).

2.9. Protein determination

Protein was determined by a modification of the Bradford procedure, which yields linear results, increased sensitivity and reduced detergent interference, as Zor and co-workers previously described [32,33]. Bovine serum albumin served as standard.

2.10. Statistical analysis

Error bars were calculated for at least three replicates within a single experiment. All experiments were repeated at least twice. Data were analyzed using Student's *t*-test wherever applicable. In all cases, differences of $p < 0.05$ were considered to be significant. At Fig. 7B, the reported *p* value compares shCREB and control cells for the suppressive effect of PCERA-1 on LPS-stimulated TNFα expression (LPS + PCERA-1 value divided by LPS alone value). This *p* value represents the sum of two *p* values calculated for: (1) individual values of the numerator (i.e., LPS + PCERA-1 values) divided by average value of denominator (i.e., LPS alone values). (2) average value of the numerator divided by individual values of the denominator. This *p* value calculation therefore takes into account all data upon which the effect of PCERA-1 on LPS activity was calculated.

3. Results

The possibility of antagonism between C1P and its synthetic analog, PCERA-1, was investigated in order to evaluate whether these two molecules exert their cellular effects through a common receptor. In each of the assays described below, we enabled efficient

competition by incubating the RAW264.7 macrophages with the known agonist at a sub-optimal concentration and with the putative antagonist at a saturating concentration, based on our previous determinations of $EC_{50} = 0.1 \mu\text{M}$ for cytokine modulation by PCERA-1 [22], and $K_d = 8 \mu\text{M}$ for binding of C1P delivered in a sonicated vesicular form to achieve maximal receptor-mediated activities [12].

3.1. PCERA-1, but not C1P, induces IL-10 expression in LPS-stimulated macrophages via the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway

3.1.1. IL-10 induction by PCERA-1—Fig. 2A shows that C1P alone did not significantly affect IL-10 release, and also could not antagonize the positive effect of PCERA-1 on LPS-stimulated IL-10 release, consistent with a previous study performed with the less efficient formulation of fatty acid-free BSA-bound C1P [26]. Similarly, C1P slightly elevated LPS-stimulated IL-10 promoter reporter activity but was unable to antagonize the synergistic IL-10 transcription activation induced by PCERA-1 and LPS (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that PCERA-1-stimulated IL-10 expression is mediated by a different receptor from that of C1P.

3.1.2. CREB-mediated transcription activation

Next, RAW264.7 macrophages were transfected with a consensus CRE reporter, to measure stimulation of the cAMP pathway [23,24]. Fig. 3A shows that C1P neither stimulated the CRE reporter, nor antagonized the transcriptional activation by PCERA-1, further implying the existence of distinct C1P and PCERA-1 receptors.

Stimulation of LPS-induced IL-10 expression by PCERA-1 was shown to be blocked by a pharmacological PKA inhibitor [23]. Due to the limited selectivity of pharmacological inhibitors in general, and of this inhibitor in particular [24,34], we set a goal to affirm the involvement of the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway in IL-10 expression stimulation by PCERA-1, using a RAW264.7 macrophage cell line that stably expresses shRNA against CREB1a (hereafter shCREB) resulting in 80% silencing efficiency [30]. Fig. 3B shows that CREB silencing indeed inhibited 75% of IL-10 induction by PCERA-1 in LPS-stimulated cells. As above, C1P had only a minor effect on LPS-stimulated IL-10 expression. These results thus confirm that PCERA-1 up-regulates LPS-stimulated IL-10 expression in macrophages via the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway.

3.2. C1P, but not PCERA-1, stimulates macrophages migration via ERK1/2, NF κ B and MCP-1

3.2.1. Stimulation of macrophages migration by C1P—Fig. 4A shows that C1P stimulated macrophages migration over 4-fold, whereas PCERA-1 had an insignificant effect by itself and was unable to affect C1P-stimulated macrophages migration in a statistically-significant manner. Furthermore, C1P induced MCP-1 release in a dose-dependent manner while PCERA-1 neither induced, nor was able to significantly antagonize C1P-stimulated MCP-1 release (Fig. 4B). The very low and statistically marginal inhibition of MCP-1 release by PCERA-1 was unspecific, as it was observed also for basal as well as LPS-stimulated MCP-1 release (Fig. 4B). These results support the exclusive function of the

C1P receptor in stimulating expression of MCP-1, which then mediates macrophages migration in an autocrine/paracrine manner [11].

3.2.2. ERK1/2 phosphorylation and NF κ B transcriptional activation by C1P—

Fig. 5A shows that C1P stimulated ERK1/2 phosphorylation, which was as expected blocked by PD98059, an inhibitor of the ERK1/2 kinase, MEK. PCERA-1 alone was unable to significantly activate ERK1/2, as previously reported [22]. Interestingly, C1P-induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation was inhibited by PCERA-1; however, ERK1/2 inhibition by PCERA-1 was also observed when the cells were stimulated with lysophosphatidic acid (LPA), as a control. These results suggest that PCERA-1 does not specifically antagonize ERK1/2 activation by C1P, and are therefore consistent with the hypothesis of separate receptors for these molecules. Furthermore, PCERA-1 neither stimulated NF κ B reporter activity, nor affected the transcriptional activation of the reporter by C1P (Fig. 5B), providing further evidence for the existence of a distinct C1P receptor.

3.3. PCERA-1 does not bind the C1P receptor

A binding assay using radiolabeled C1P was performed with RAW264.7 macrophage membranes in order to directly examine whether C1P and PCERA-1 can bind a joint receptor. Fig. 6 shows that only unlabeled C1P, but not PCERA-1, sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) or sphingomyelin (SM), was able to displace [32 P]-C1P-specific binding. This result reinforced the data shown above, and confirmed that the C1P receptor does not bind PCERA-1, and thus establish that distinct GPCRs expressed in RAW264.7 macrophages recognize these similar molecules.

3.4. C1P and PCERA-1 inhibit TNF α secretion by distinct mechanisms

3.4.1. TNF α secretion inhibition by C1P and PCERA-1—While exogenous PCERA-1 down-regulates TNF α expression in LPS-stimulated macrophages [22], TNF α secretion (but not expression) is elevated in macrophages from CERK knockout mice, suggesting that endogenous C1P inhibits TACE activity [15]. In the present study we asked whether exogenous C1P can also inhibit TNF α secretion in LPS-stimulated macrophages or whether it can antagonize PCERA-1. Fig. 7A shows that treatment of LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages with exogenous C1P inhibited TNF α secretion by 22%; PCERA-1 treatment inhibited 52% of TNF α secretion, while the co-treatment with C1P and PCERA-1 resulted in an additive effect—67% inhibition. These results suggest that C1P and PCERA-1 suppress TNF α secretion via distinct mechanisms, and further indicate that C1P does not bind the PCERA-1 receptor.

3.4.2. Mechanism of TNF α expression inhibition by PCERA-1—The shCREB stable cell line was used again to determine whether the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway mediates TNF α suppression by PCERA-1. Fig. 7B shows that CREB silencing indeed partially blocked the suppressive effect of PCERA-1 on LPS-stimulated TNF α expression. Importantly, exogenous C1P modestly suppressed TNF α expression in a CREB-independent manner. These results thus confirm that PCERA-1 inhibits LPS-stimulated TNF α expression in macrophages via the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway and that C1P inhibits TNF α secretion via a different mechanism.

3.4.3. TACE inhibition by C1P—As C1P directly interacts with TACE and inhibits its enzymatic activity in a receptor-independent manner [15], we examined in the present study whether PCERA-1 can also directly interact with TACE in an in-vitro assay. To that end, the enzymatic activity of recombinant TACE was determined in the presence of C1P and/or PCERA-1 in Triton X-100 micelles. Fig. 7C shows that C1P inhibited TACE activity by 60%, as expected, while PCERA-1 was unable to affect TACE activity on its own or to antagonize C1P. Thus, we conclude that vesicular C1P modestly inhibits TNF α secretion from macrophages in a CREB-independent manner, while C1P dispersed in mixed Triton X-100 micelles severely inhibits TACE in an in-vitro assay. In contrast, PCERA-1 suppresses TNF α secretion at the transcription level, but does not appreciably inhibit TACE in vitro.

4. Discussion

In this report we present evidence that C1P and its synthetic analog PCERA-1 display distinct cellular effects, mediated through the activation of different receptors expressed in macrophages. The structural similitude between these molecules (Fig. 1) motivated us to test the hypothesis that a single receptor binds the two molecules, and that the distinct cellular effects observed are mediated by different downstream signaling cascades. This hypothetical signaling split could have emerged for example from the simultaneous activity of G α versus G $\beta\gamma$. In this scenario, the two molecules should exert similar cellular effects which were apparently over-looked. However, our data clearly show that C1P and PCERA-1 do not share any agonist GPCR-mediated effects. Alternatively, we hypothesized that a signaling split from a common receptor may have emerged from inverse agonism or from distinct receptor conformations acquired upon binding of the different molecules, a phenomenon named “biased agonism” or “agonist-directed trafficking of receptor signaling” [35–37]. However, the observed lack of antagonism in the various assays described here argues against that common receptor hypothesis and in favor of distinct receptors for the endogenous sphingolipid, C1P, and for its synthetic analog, PCERA-1. Furthermore, PCERA-1 failed to compete with radiolabeled C1P on binding to macrophages membranes, directly indicating that the C1P receptor does not interact with PCERA-1, and thus also concluding that a distinct PCERA-1 receptor is also expressed in macrophages.

At present, the identity of the endogenous ligand for the PCERA-1 receptor is unclear. Theoretically, there are over 50 different species of C1P, differing in chain length, oxidation state and additional chemical modifications. In our studies we used a specific C1P sub-species, i.e., C16:0, as well as natural bovine brain-derived C1P, which is a mixture of endogenous C1P molecules. The finding that this mixture did not antagonize CRE-dependent transcriptional activation by PCERA-1 suggests that the endogenous activator of the PCERA-1 receptor is either a sphingolipid that is similar, yet distinct from C1P, or a structurally unrelated molecule. Yet, we can't exclude the possibility that the PCERA-1 receptor is activated by a particular C1P sub-species which is endogenously produced in a spatio-temporal specific manner, and is not present at high proportion in the natural bovine brain-derived C1P mixture used here.

The phospholipid like-molecule, PCERA-1, has potent anti-inflammatory actions both in vivo and in vitro [17,21–23,26]. Zor and co-workers have previously reported that PCERA-1 down-regulates the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF α and up-regulates the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 in LPS-stimulated macrophages [22,23]. These previous reports suggested that the cAMP pathway may mediate cytokine modulation by PCERA-1, based on circumstantial evidence [22], and on the use of a PKA inhibitor with a rather poor selectivity [23,24,34]. That suggestion was also based on the notion that activation of the cAMP pathway by extra-cellular mediators binding to G_s-coupled receptors is regarded as a central common anti-inflammatory mechanism [38,39]. In the present report we used a macrophage cell line with stably-silenced CREB, to show that indeed the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway is essential for regulation of expression of both cytokines by PCERA-1, confirming causative relationship.

Our previous reports also demonstrated that TNF α and IL-10 modulation by PCERA-1 does not involve modulation of ERK1/2 or NF κ B activity [22,23]. Importantly, ERK1/2 and NF κ B activities are essential for C1P-stimulated cell migration [12]. As demonstrated above, PCERA-1 failed to antagonize C1P in NF κ B activation and inhibited ERK1/2 activation in an unspecific manner. These C1P receptor-dependent pathways are regarded as pro-inflammatory as NF κ B regulates expression of multiple pro-inflammatory cytokines (i.e., TNF α) and chemokines, such as MCP-1 which is essential for migration of macrophages to the site of inflammation [11]. Thus, the known receptor-mediated activities of C1P and PCERA-1 may be regarded as pro- and anti-inflammatory, respectively.

As opposed to the distinct cell surface receptors revealed here, a common intra-cellular target for C1P and its synthetic analog PCERA-1 is the group IVA calcium-dependent cytosolic phospholipase A2 α (cPLA2 α) [40]. Intra-cellular C1P has a well-established role as a second messenger directly binding and activating cPLA2 α , leading to the production of inflammatory lipid mediators, such as PGE₂ [14,41]. C1P interacts with the C2/CaLB domain of cPLA2 α and activates the enzyme by increasing its affinity to calcium and promoting translocation from the cytosol to the membranes, where the substrate resides [14,42–45]. The Zor and Chalfant labs have previously shown that both C1P and PCERA-1 stimulate in vitro cPLA2 α activity, whereas inhibition was demonstrated for the dephosphorylated derivatives, ceramide and ceramide analog-1 (CERA-1), respectively. Moreover, CERA-1 blocked cPLA2 α activity and PGE₂ production in macrophages [40]. While the stimulation of PGE₂ production is generally (but not exclusively) considered as pro-inflammatory, it should be noted that the C1P-stimulated cPLA₂ product, arachidonic acid, is a precursor for a variety of lipid mediators, some of them exhibit anti-inflammatory roles [46].

In addition to cPLA2 α , C1P can also directly bind several other enzymes and regulate their activity. Chalfant and co-workers have reported that endogenous C1P is a negative regulator of LPS-induced TNF α secretion, via direct binding and inhibition of TACE, an enzyme that processes precursor membrane-bound TNF α into the bioactive pro-inflammatory cytokine [15]. Although similar in structure, PCERA-1 failed to affect TACE proteolytic activity or its inhibition by C1P, indicating that TACE exclusively binds C1P. While mixed micelles containing dispersed C1P severely inhibited recombinant TACE in the in-vitro assay,

vesicular C1P only modestly inhibited TNF α secretion in LPS-stimulated macrophages, unlike PCERA-1 (Fig. 7). This quantitative difference may be settled by considering the source or formulation of C1P in each assay. TACE inhibition in macrophages was associated with endogenous C1P produced by CERK [15] and in-vitro TACE inhibition was achieved by C1P dispersed in mixed micelles at 0.35 mol% relative to the major constituent, Triton X-100 (Fig. 7C). As the micelles are composed of ~120 molecules of Triton X-100 [15], it is calculated that there is on average a single C1P molecule per 2 micelles, yielding 60% TACE inhibition (Fig. 7C). This is consistent with the earlier report demonstrating over 90% TACE inhibition by C1P present at 0.85 mol% in Triton X-100 micelles, equating to ~1C1P molecule per micelle [15]. In contrast, TNF α secretion was modestly inhibited by exogenous C1P that was brought into solution by sonication producing vesicles made entirely of C1P (Fig. 7A and B). While this formulation is optimal for stimulating the G $_i$ -coupled receptor regulating migration [12], it is apparently not optimal for binding and inhibition of TACE acting on pro-TNF α in macrophages membranes.

Other anti-inflammatory targets of C1P are the sphingomyelinases (SMases), enzymes that convert sphingomyelin into ceramide. The lab of Gómez-Muñoz has previously demonstrated that C1P prevents apoptosis in macrophages by inhibition of acid SMase activity, resulting in reduced levels of the pro-apoptotic ceramide [9]. A recent report showed that C1P can reduce cigarette smoke-induced lung inflammation, apparently by inhibiting cigarette smoke-induced activation of neutral SMase and NF κ B and subsequent pro-inflammatory cytokine release in neutrophils [47]. In relation to these findings, a synthetic C1P analog, named SMA-7, suppressed LPS-stimulated NF κ B activation and pro-inflammatory cytokines release from intestinal epithelial cells and from human THP-1 macrophages, by inhibiting LPS-stimulated ceramide production through neutral and acid SMase, respectively [48,49]. Taken together, C1P and synthetic C1P analogs regulate inflammation in a complex manner, via cell-surface GPCRs initiating intra-cellular signaling cascades, as well as by directly binding specific enzymes that hold important roles in inflammation.

The results shown here demonstrate that C1P, but not PCERA-1, stimulates MCP-1 release from RAW264.7 macrophages. The Gómez-Muñoz lab has previously reported that C1P promotes MCP-1 release in additional cell types and that this chemokine is a major mediator of C1P-stimulated cell migration [11]. Therefore, regulation of MCP-1 secretion by C1P could have implications for treatment of pathologic inflammatory processes as well as various types of cancer at the stage of metastasis [50]. As an example of the role of C1P-induced MCP-1 in inflammatory auto-immune diseases, it was found that CERK deficiency in mice fed with a high fat diet attenuates MCP-1 signaling in macrophages infiltrating adipose tissue, resulting in the suppression of local inflammation and improvement in the related glucose intolerance and obesity-associated diabetes [51]. With regards to MCP-1 role in tumor metastasis, MCP-1 blockade slowed down prostatic cancer growth in bone by inhibiting infiltration of macrophages and osteoclasts in a tumor dissemination model [52–54].

The evidence presented here that C1P and PCERA-1 affect key macrophages functions through activation of distinct plasma membrane receptors, adds to previous knowledge

regarding direct targets of C1P in macrophages, whose location is either intra-cellular (cPLA2 α), in membranes (SMases), or extra-cellular (TACE). While TACE was demonstrated here to exclusively bind C1P, it has been previously shown by us that cPLA2 α has a binding site that can accommodate also PCERA-1, and can be inhibited by the respective ceramide analog [40]. Identification of the C1P receptor, and analysis of its tissue-specific expression is expected to reveal additional biological roles for this sphingolipid, that until recently has only been considered to be an intra-cellular second messenger. From the translational aspect, identification of the C1P receptor could be an important strategy in drug development for treatment of inflammatory and cell migration-associated pathologies as in the case of metastatic tumors, while the PCERA-1 receptor could serve as a drug target for the treatment of chronic or acute inflammatory diseases. A structural insight into binding sites of these receptors is required in order to design novel molecules that would selectively target one receptor over the other and also distinguish between the receptor and C1P-binding enzymes.

Acknowledgments

The research was supported by a joint grant from the USA-Israel Binational Science Foundation (#2011360) to TZ and CEC; and by grants from the Israel health ministry (#3-00000-6262) to TZ, from "Departamento de Educacion, Universidades e Investigación del Gobierno Vasco (GV/EJ, Spain)" (IT-305-13) to AGM, and from the Veteran's Administration (VA Merit Review I BX001792 and a RCS award 13F-RCS-002) and from the National Institutes of Health (HL125353, HD087198-01) to CEC. The contents of this manuscript do not represent the views of the Department of Veterans Affairs or the US Government.

We are grateful to Mrs. Nava Silberstein for superb technical assistance, to Dr. Marc Montminy (Salk Institute, La-Jolla, CA) for the gift of a CRE-containing EVX-1 promoter reporter, to Dr. Stephen T. Smale (UCLA, CA) for the gift of a mouse IL-10 reporter plasmid and to Dr. Iain D.C. Fraser (NIH/NIAD, MD) for the gift of a RAW264.7 cell line stably expressing shRNA against CREB1a.

Abbreviations

C1P	ceramide 1-phosphate
CERA-1	ceramide analog-1
CERK	ceramide kinase
CRE	cAMP response element
LPA	lysophosphatidic acid
MCP-1	monocyte chemoattractant protein-1
PCERA-1	phospho-ceramide analog-1
SM	sphingomyelin
SMase	sphingomyelinase
TACE	TNF α converting enzyme

References

1. El Alwani M, Wu BX, Obeid LM, Hannun YA. Bioactive sphingolipids in the modulation of the inflammatory response. *Pharmacol Ther.* 2006; 112:171–183. [PubMed: 16759708]

2. Goni FM, Alonso A. Sphingomyelinases: enzymology and membrane activity. *FEBS Lett.* 2002; 531:38–46. [PubMed: 12401200]
3. Hannun YA, Obeid LM. The Ceramide-centric universe of lipid-mediated cell regulation: stress encounters of the lipid kind. *J Biol Chem.* 2002; 277:25847–25850. [PubMed: 12011103]
4. Gomez-Munoz A. Ceramide-1-phosphate: a novel regulator of cell activation. *FEBS Lett.* 2004; 562:5–10. [PubMed: 15069950]
5. Sugiura M, Kono K, Liu H, Shimizugawa T, Minekura H, Spiegel S, Kohama T. Ceramide kinase, a novel lipid kinase. Molecular cloning and functional characterization. *J Biol Chem.* 2002; 277:23294–23300. [PubMed: 11956206]
6. Gomez-Munoz A, Duffy PA, Martin A, O'Brien L, Byun HS, Bittman R, Brindley DN. Short-chain ceramide-1-phosphates are novel stimulators of DNA synthesis and cell division: antagonism by cell-permeable ceramides. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1995; 47:833–839. [PubMed: 7746276]
7. Gomez-Munoz A, Frago LM, Alvarez L, Varela-Nieto I. Stimulation of DNA synthesis by natural ceramide-1-phosphate. *Biochem J.* 1997; 325(Pt 2):435–440. [PubMed: 9230125]
8. Gomez-Munoz A, Kong JY, Parhar K, Wang SW, Gangoiti P, Gonzalez M, Eivemark S, Salh B, Duronio V, Steinbrecher UP. Ceramide-1-phosphate promotes cell survival through activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/protein kinase B pathway. *FEBS Lett.* 2005; 579:3744–3750. [PubMed: 15978590]
9. Gomez-Munoz A, Kong JY, Salh B, Steinbrecher UP. Ceramide-1-phosphate blocks apoptosis through inhibition of acid sphingomyelinase in macrophages. *J Lipid Res.* 2004; 45:99–105. [PubMed: 14523050]
10. Hammad SM, Pierce JS, Soodavar F, Smith KJ, Al Gadban MM, Rembiesa B, Klein RL, Hannun YA, Bielawski J, Bielawska A. Blood sphingolipidomics in healthy humans: impact of sample collection methodology. *J Lipid Res.* 2010; 51:3074–3087. [PubMed: 20660127]
11. Arana L, Ordonez M, Ouro A, Rivera IG, Gangoiti P, Trueba M, Gomez-Munoz A. Ceramide-1-phosphate induces macrophage chemoattractant protein-1 release: involvement in ceramide-1-phosphate-stimulated cell migration. *Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab.* 2013; 304:E1213–E1226. [PubMed: 23548612]
12. Granado MH, Gangoiti P, Ouro A, Arana L, Gonzalez M, Trueba M, Gomez-Munoz A. Ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) promotes cell migration involvement of a specific C1P receptor. *Cell Signal.* 2009; 21:405–412. [PubMed: 19041940]
13. Ouro A, Arana L, Gangoiti P, Rivera IG, Ordonez M, Trueba M, Lankalapalli RS, Bittman R, Gomez-Munoz A. Ceramide-1-phosphate stimulates glucose uptake in macrophages. *Cell Signal.* 2013; 25:786–795. [PubMed: 23333242]
14. Pettus BJ, Bielawska A, Subramanian P, Wijesinghe DS, Maceyka M, Leslie CC, Evans JH, Freiberg J, Roddy P, Hannun YA, Chalfant CE. Ceramide-1-phosphate is a direct activator of cytosolic phospholipase A2. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:11320–11326. [PubMed: 14676210]
15. Lamour NF, Wijesinghe DS, Mietla JA, Ward KE, Stahelin RV, Chalfant CE. Ceramide kinase regulates the production of tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFalpha) via inhibition of TNFalpha-converting enzyme. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:42808–42817. [PubMed: 22009748]
16. Hankins JL, Fox TE, Barth BM, Unrath KA, Kester M. Exogenous ceramide-1-phosphate reduces lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-mediated cytokine expression. *J Biol Chem.* 2011; 286:44357–44366. [PubMed: 22065582]
17. Avni D, Goldsmith M, Ernst O, Mashiach R, Tuntland T, Meijler MM, Gray NS, Rosen H, Zor T. Modulation of TNFalpha, IL-10 and IL-12p40 levels by a ceramide-1-phosphate analog, PCERA-1, in vivo and ex vivo in primary macrophages. *Immunol Lett.* 2009; 123:1–8. [PubMed: 19185589]
18. Matsui T, Kondo T, Nishita Y, Itadani S, Tsuruta H, Fujita S, Omawari N, Sakai M, Nakazawa S, Ogata A, Mori H, Kamoshima W, Terai K, Ohno H, Obata T, Nakai H, Toda M. Highly potent inhibitors of TNF-alpha production. Part II: metabolic stabilization of a newly found chemical lead and conformational analysis of an active diastereoisomer. *Bioorg Med Chem.* 2002; 10:3787–3805. [PubMed: 12413833]
19. Matsui T, Kondo T, Nishita Y, Itadani S, Tsuruta H, Fujita S, Omawari N, Sakai M, Nakazawa S, Ogata A, Mori H, Ohno H, Obata T, Nakai H, Toda M. Highly potent inhibitors of TNF-alpha

- production. Part 2: identification of drug candidates. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2002; 12:907–910. [PubMed: 11958991]
20. Ogata T, Yamashita K, Horiuchi H, Okuda K, Todo S. A novel tumor necrosis factor- α suppressant, ONO-SM362, prevents liver failure and promotes liver regeneration after extensive hepatectomy. *Surgery*. 2008; 143:545–555. [PubMed: 18374053]
 21. Goldsmith M, Avni D, Ernst O, Glucksam Y, Levy-Rimler G, Meijler MM, Zor T. Synergistic IL-10 induction by LPS and the ceramide-1-phosphate analog PCERA-1 is mediated by the cAMP and p38 MAP kinase pathways. *Mol Immunol*. 2009; 46:1979–1987. [PubMed: 19362373]
 22. Goldsmith M, Avni D, Levy-Rimler G, Mashiah R, Ernst O, Levi M, Webb B, Meijler MM, Gray NS, Rosen H, Zor T. A ceramide-1-phosphate analogue, PCERA-1, simultaneously suppresses tumour necrosis factor- α and induces interleukin-10 production in activated macrophages. *Immunology*. 2009; 127:103–115. [PubMed: 18793216]
 23. Avni D, Philosoph A, Meijler MM, Zor T. The ceramide-1-phosphate analogue PCERA-1 modulates tumour necrosis factor- α and interleukin-10 production in macrophages via the cAMP-PKA-CREB pathway in a GTP-dependent manner. *Immunology*. 2010; 129:375–385. [PubMed: 19922425]
 24. Avni D, Ernst O, Philosoph A, Zor T. Role of CREB in modulation of TNF α and IL-10 expression in LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophages. *Mol Immunol*. 2010; 47:1396–1403. [PubMed: 20303596]
 25. Caivano M, Cohen P. Role of mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in mediating lipopolysaccharide-stimulated induction of cyclooxygenase-2 and IL-1 β in RAW264 macrophages. *J Immunol*. 2000; 164:3018–3025. [PubMed: 10706690]
 26. Levi M, Meijler MM, Gomez-Munoz A, Zor T. Distinct receptor-mediated activities in macrophages for natural ceramide-1-phosphate (C1P) and for phospho-ceramide analogue-1 (PCERA-1). *Mol Cell Endocrinol*. 2010; 314:248–255. [PubMed: 19467294]
 27. Koch WJ, Hawes BE, Allen LF, Lefkowitz RJ. Direct evidence that Gi-coupled receptor stimulation of mitogen-activated protein kinase is mediated by G beta gamma activation of p21ras. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1994; 91:12706–12710. [PubMed: 7809106]
 28. Crespo P, Cachero TG, Xu N, Gutkind JS. Dual effect of beta-adrenergic receptors on mitogen-activated protein kinase. Evidence for a beta gamma-dependent activation and a G alpha s-cAMP-mediated inhibition. *J Biol Chem*. 1995; 270:25259–25265. [PubMed: 7559665]
 29. Naor Z, Benard O, Seger R. Activation of MAPK cascades by G-protein-coupled receptors: the case of gonadotropin-releasing hormone receptor. *Trends Endocrinol Metab*. 2000; 11:91–99. [PubMed: 10707049]
 30. Wall EA, Zavzavadjian JR, Chang MS, Randhawa B, Zhu X, Hsueh RC, Liu J, Driver A, Bao XR, Sternweis PC, Simon MI, Fraser ID. Suppression of LPS-induced TNF- α production in macrophages by cAMP is mediated by PKA-AKAP95-p105. *Sci Signal*. 2009; 2:ra28. [PubMed: 19531803]
 31. Smith ER, Jones PL, Boss JM, Merrill AH Jr. Changing J774A.1 cells to new medium perturbs multiple signaling pathways, including the modulation of protein kinase C by endogenous sphingoid bases. *J Biol Chem*. 1997; 272:5640–5646. [PubMed: 9038174]
 32. Ernst, O.; Zor, T. Linearization of the Bradford protein assay; *J Vis Exp*. 2010. p. e1918 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3791/1918>
 33. Zor T, Selinger Z. Linearization of the Bradford protein assay increases its sensitivity: theoretical and experimental studies. *Anal Biochem*. 1996; 236:302–308. [PubMed: 8660509]
 34. Davies SP, Reddy H, Caivano M, Cohen P. Specificity and mechanism of action of some commonly used protein kinase inhibitors. *Biochem J*. 2000; 351:95–105. [PubMed: 10998351]
 35. Brink CB, Harvey BH, Bodenstein J, Venter DP, Oliver DW. Recent advances in drug action and therapeutics: relevance of novel concepts in G-protein-coupled receptor and signal transduction pharmacology. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*. 2004; 57:373–387. [PubMed: 15025734]
 36. Kenakin T, Christopoulos A. Signalling bias in new drug discovery: detection, quantification and therapeutic impact. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2013; 12:205–216. [PubMed: 23411724]
 37. Shukla AK, Xiao K, Lefkowitz RJ. Emerging paradigms of beta-arrestin-dependent seven transmembrane receptor signaling. *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2011; 36:457–469. [PubMed: 21764321]

38. Kast RE. Tumor necrosis factor has positive and negative self regulatory feed back cycles centered around cAMP. *Int J Immunopharmacol.* 2000; 22:1001–1006. [PubMed: 11090708]
39. Wen AY, Sakamoto KM, Miller LS. The role of the transcription factor CREB in immune function. *J Immunol.* 2010; 185:6413–6419. [PubMed: 21084670]
40. Goldsmith M, Daka A, Lamour NF, Mashlach R, Glucksam Y, Meijler MM, Chalfant CE, Zor T. A ceramide analog inhibits cPLA(2) activity and consequent PGE(2) formation in LPS-stimulated macrophages. *Immunol Lett.* 2011; 135:136–143. [PubMed: 21040745]
41. Pettus BJ, Bielawska A, Spiegel S, Roddy P, Hannun YA, Chalfant CE. Ceramide kinase mediates cytokine- and calcium ionophore-induced arachidonic acid release. *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278:38206–38213. [PubMed: 12855693]
42. Subramanian P, Stahelin RV, Szulc Z, Bielawska A, Cho W, Chalfant CE. Ceramide-1-phosphate acts as a positive allosteric activator of group IVA cytosolic phospholipase A2 alpha and enhances the interaction of the enzyme with phosphatidylcholine. *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280:17601–17607. [PubMed: 15743759]
43. Stahelin RV, Subramanian P, Vora M, Cho W, Chalfant CE. Ceramide-1-phosphate binds group IVA cytosolic phospholipase a2 via a novel site in the C2 domain. *J Biol Chem.* 2007; 282:20467–20474. [PubMed: 17472963]
44. Lamour NF, Subramanian P, Wijesinghe DS, Stahelin RV, Bonventre JV, Chalfant CE. Ceramide-1-phosphate is required for the translocation of group IVA cytosolic phospholipase A2 and prostaglandin synthesis. *J Biol Chem.* 2009; 284:26897–26907. [PubMed: 19632995]
45. Wijesinghe DS, Subramanian P, Lamour NF, Gentile LB, Granado MH, Bielawska A, Szulc Z, Gomez-Munoz A, Chalfant CE. Chain length specificity for activation of cPLA2alpha by C1P: use of the dodecane delivery system to determine lipid-specific effects. *J Lipid Res.* 2009; 50:1986–1995. [PubMed: 19075030]
46. Sykes L, MacIntyre DA, Teoh TG, Bennett PR. Anti-inflammatory prostaglandins for the prevention of preterm labour. *Reproduction.* 2014; 148:R29–R40. [PubMed: 24890751]
47. Baudiss K, Ayata CK, Lazar Z, Cicko S, Beckert J, Meyer A, Zech A, Vieira RP, Bittman R, Gomez-Munoz A, Merfort I, Idzko M. Ceramide-1-phosphate inhibits cigarette smoke-induced airway inflammation. *Eur Respir J.* 2015; 45:1669–1680. [PubMed: 25614161]
48. Sakata A, Yasuda K, Ochiai T, Shimeno H, Hikishima S, Yokomatsu T, Shibuya S, Soeda S. Inhibition of lipopolysaccharide-induced release of interleukin-8 from intestinal epithelial cells by SMA, a novel inhibitor of sphingomyelinase and its therapeutic effect on dextran sulphate sodium-induced colitis in mice. *Cell Immunol.* 2007; 245:24–31. [PubMed: 17466962]
49. Sakata A, Ochiai T, Shimeno H, Hikishima S, Yokomatsu T, Shibuya S, Toda A, Eyanagi R, Soeda S. Acid sphingomyelinase inhibition suppresses lipopolysaccharide-mediated release of inflammatory cytokines from macrophages and protects against disease pathology in dextran sulphate sodium-induced colitis in mice. *Immunology.* 2007; 122:54–64. [PubMed: 17451462]
50. Schneider G, Bryndza E, Abdel-Latif A, Ratajczak J, Maj M, Tarnowski M, Klyachkin YM, Houghton P, Morris AJ, Vater A, Klussmann S, Kucia M, Ratajczak MZ. Bioactive lipids S1P and C1P are prometastatic factors in human rhabdomyosarcoma, and their tissue levels increase in response to radio/chemotherapy. *Mol Cancer Res.* 2013; 11:793–807. [PubMed: 23615526]
51. Mitsutake S, Date T, Yokota H, Sugiura M, Kohama T, Igarashi Y. Ceramide kinase deficiency improves diet-induced obesity and insulin resistance. *FEBS Lett.* 2012; 586:1300–1305. [PubMed: 22465662]
52. Kirk PS, Koreckij T, Nguyen HM, Brown LG, Snyder LA, Vessella RL, Corey E. Inhibition of CCL2 signaling in combination with docetaxel treatment has profound inhibitory effects on prostate cancer growth in bone. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2013; 14:10483–10496. [PubMed: 23698775]
53. Mizutani K, Sud S, McGregor NA, Martinovski G, Rice BT, Craig MJ, Varsos ZS, Roca H, Pienta KJ. The chemokine CCL2 increases prostate tumor growth and bone metastasis through macrophage and osteoclast recruitment. *Neoplasia.* 2009; 11:1235–1242. [PubMed: 19881959]
54. Lu Y, Chen Q, Corey E, Xie W, Fan J, Mizokami A, Zhang J. Activation of MCP-1/CCR2 axis promotes prostate cancer growth in bone. *Clin Exp Metastasis.* 2009; 26:161–169. [PubMed: 19002595]

Fig. 1. Structures of C1P and PCERA-1

The common structure of these molecules is highlighted by bold lines and letters.

Fig. 2. PCERA-1-induced IL-10 transcription and release are unaffected by C1P

Mouse macrophage RAW264.7 cells, either naive (A) or pre-transfected with a mouse IL-10 promoter reporter (B), were treated for 2 h (A) or 3 h (B) at 37 °C with LPS (100 ng/ml), alone or in combination with PCERA-1 at the indicated concentration (A) or at 1 μM (B), in the presence or absence of C16:0 C1P (50 μM). (A) IL-10 release into the medium was measured by ELISA. Data expressed as mean ± SD ($n = 6$). (B) Luciferase reporter data expressed as mean ± SD ($n = 3$) of values normalized against renilla luciferase activity, relative to unstimulated cells. $p < 0.0001$ (A) or $p < 0.002$ (B) for cells co-stimulated with LPS + PCERA-1 compared to cells stimulated with LPS alone. The results are representative of 3 independent experiments.

Fig. 3. PCERA-1 stimulates LPS-induced IL-10 expression via the PKA-CREB pathway, which is unaffected by C1P

(A) RAW264.7 macrophages, pre-transfected with a CRE reporter construct and with renilla luciferase for normalization, were incubated for 3 h at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of PCERA-1, in the presence or absence of natural bovine brain-derived C1P (50 μM). Luciferase reporter data expressed as mean ± SD ($n = 3$) of values normalized against renilla luciferase activity, relative to unstimulated cells. $p < 0.05$ for treatment of PCERA-1 relative to resting cells. The results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (B) Stably CREB-silenced (shCREB) and control RAW264.7 macrophages were incubated for 5 h at 37 °C with LPS (100 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of PCERA-1 (1 μM) or C16:0 C1P (50 μM). IL-10 secretion to the medium was measured by ELISA. Data expressed as mean ± SD ($n = 6$). $p < 0.00001$ for shCREB cells compared to control cells regarding PCERA-1. The results are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Fig. 4. C1P-stimulated MCP-1 release and macrophages migration are unaffected by PCERA-1
 (A) RAW264.7 cells were placed at the upper compartment of chemotaxis chambers, while C16:0 C1P (30 μM) and/or PCERA-1 (2.5 μM) were added to the lower compartment. After 4 h at 37 °C, cell migration was determined by counting the number of cells present at 6 randomly selected microscopy fields on the filter. Data expressed as mean ± SEM of independent measurements at different wells (n = 5), relative to control resting cells. $p < 0.05$ for treatment of C1P relative to resting cells. The results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (B) RAW264.7 macrophages were treated for 24 h at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of C16:0 C1P at the indicated concentration, or with LPS (100 ng/ml), in the presence or absence of PCERA-1 (1 μM). MCP-1 release into the medium was measured by ELISA. Data expressed as mean ± SD (n = 6). $p < 0.006$ for cells stimulated with C1P or LPS, relative to resting cells. The results are representative of 3 independent experiments.

Fig. 5. C1P, but not PCERA-1, stimulates the ERK1/2 and NF κ B pathways

(A) RAW264.7 macrophages were treated for 20 min at 37 °C with natural bovine brain-derived C1P (50 μ M), PCERA-1 (10 μ M) and LPA (10 μ M), separately, or in combinations. Where indicated, the cells were pre-incubated with the MEK inhibitor PD98059 (10 μ M) for 30 min. Cell lysates were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to a PDVF membrane, which was simultaneously probed with antibodies against the doubly phosphorylated form of ERK1/2 and general ERK1/2. Quantitative western blot analysis is shown as the ratio of intensities of pERK and total ERK, relative to unstimulated control cells. The results are representative of 4 independent experiments. (B) RAW264.7 cells were transiently

transfected with a NF κ B luciferase reporter construct and with renilla luciferase for normalization. The cells were incubated for 3 h at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of natural bovine brain-derived C1P at the indicated concentration, in the presence or absence of PCERA-1 (10 μ M). Luciferase reporter data expressed as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$) of values normalized against renilla luciferase activity, relative to unstimulated cells. $p < 0.01$ for cells stimulated with C1P relative to resting cells. The results are representative of 3 independent experiments.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Fig. 6. PCERA-1 does not bind the C1P receptor

RAW264.7 macrophage membranes were incubated for 30 min with radiolabeled [³²P]-C16:0 C1P (8 μ M) in the presence or absence of either unlabeled C1P, PCERA-1, sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) or Sphingomyelin (SM), at 400 μ M. Specific binding was determined by filtration and liquid scintillation counting. Data expressed as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$), relative to binding in the absence of a competitor. $p < 0.05$ for competition with C1P relative to control. The results are representative of 4 independent experiments.

Fig. 7. PCERA-1 suppresses TNF α expression via the PKA-CREB pathway, while C1P directly inhibits TACE

(A and B) Control RAW264.7 macrophages (A and B) and a stably CREB-silenced (shCREB) derivative cell line (B) were incubated for 5 h at 37 C with LPS (100 ng/ml) and PCERA-1 at 0.1 μ M (A) or 1 μ M (B), C16:0 C1P (50 μ M) or vehicle, as indicated. TNF α secretion to the medium was measured by ELISA. Data expressed as mean $\pm$ SD ($n = 6$). (A) * $p < 0.003$, ** $P < 0.0002$. (B) $p < 0.008$ for the suppressive effect of PCERA-1 in shCREB cells compared to control cells (see materials and methods for calculation method). The results are representative of 3 independent experiments. (C) In vitro TACE activity was measured as described [11] using recombinant human TACE (0.01 μ g/well), fluorogenic peptide substrate III (10 μ M) and Triton X-100 mixed micelles containing C8:0 C1P and/or PCERA-1 at 0.35 mol% in regard to the Triton X-100. Data expressed as mean of TACE

specific activity values (nmoles of cleaved fluoregenic product/min/ μ g of recombinant TACE) $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript