Published in final edited form as:

Synlett. 2016 April ; 27(6): 893–899. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1561299.

Facile and High-Yielding Synthesis of TAM Biradicals and Monofunctional TAM Radicals

Dmitry V. Trukhin^{a,b}, Olga Yu. Rogozhnikova^{a,b}, Tatiana I. Troitskaya^a, Vladimir G. Vasiliev^a, Michael K. Bowman^c, and Victor M. Tormyshev^{a,b}

Victor M. Tormyshev: torm@nioch.nsc.ru

^aNovosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, 9 Academician Lavrentiev Ave., Novosibirsk 630090, Russia, Fax: +73833309752

^bDepartment of Natural Sciences, Novosibirsk State University, 2 Pirogova St., Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

^cDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Alabama, Box 870336, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0336, USA

Abstract

Facile and high-yielding procedures for synthesis of monocarboxylic acid derivatives of triarylmethyl radicals (TAMs) were developed. Reaction of methyl thioglycolate with tris(2,3,5,6-tetrathiaaryl)methyl cation smoothly afforded the monosubstituted TAM derivative, which was hydrolyzed to a monocarboxylic acid, with the TAM moiety attached to thioglycolic acid via the sulfur atom. Alternatively, the diamagnetic tricarboxylic acid precursor of Finland trityl was transformed to a trimethyl ester and partially hydrolyzed under controlled conditions. The diester product was isolated and the remaining fractions were converted back to the trimethyl ester for production of more diester. The first representatives of TAM biradicals with different TAM cores and interspin distances were obtained by reaction of these new TAM monocarboxylic acids with N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine.

Graphical abstract

Keywords

free radicals; persistent triarylmethyls; TAM derivatives; spin labels; biradicals

Tris(2,3,5,6-tetrathiaaryl)methyl radicals (TAMs, trityls)¹ have recently emerged as highly promising alternatives to nitroxide radicals. These new carbon-centered radicals offer notable advantages over nitroxides with: much longer T_1 and T_2 relaxation times for

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at <http://dx.doi.org/>

magnetic resonance; higher stability toward redox agents present in living tissues and blood plasma; and much sharper EPR signals. These properties make TAMs particularly attractive for numerous applications in biology;² for 3D EPR high-resolution imaging,³ production of dynamic nuclear polarization (DNP),⁴ and measurement of nanometer distances by site-directed spin labeling and pulsed ESR dipolar spectroscopy;⁵ and in analytical chemistry⁶ and material science.⁷

The growing interest in TAMs has inspired intense research on their synthesis, which resulted in efficient and facile approaches for the widely-used, simple, C₃-symmetric TAMs, such as tris(8-carboxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-*d*:4,5-*d'*]bis[1,3] dithiol-4-yl)methyl (Finland trityl, see Figure 1).⁸

Despite this progress, surprisingly little has been reported on the synthesis of monofunctional trityl derivatives,⁹ especially those which possess a specific reactive functional group to enable expanded use of TAMs. These monofunctional TAM derivatives enable the X substituent, Figure 1, to control properties of the TAM core, e.g. charge, solubility, hyperfine structure; while the functional group, FG, provides a single reactive site necessary for constructing spin-labelling reagents, chemical sensors, biradicals, etc. We require precisely these reagents for our ongoing studies of TAM biradicals as new multi-spin systems designed for use as DNP agents.

Herein we report the practical, simple and high-yield syntheses of two monofunctional TAMs having different X (H or CO₂CH₃) groups and different carboxylic acid groups (SCH₂CO₂H or CO₂H) as FG. Both of the monofunctional TAM acids are used to construct biradicals. The different FG allowed simple variation of interspin distances in the product biradicals.

Quite recently, we generated monosubstituted TAMs by reaction of tris(2,3,5,6-tetrathiaaryl)methyl cations with C-, N- P- and S-nucleophiles.⁹ In particular, the reaction of methyl thioglycolate with the cation **1** readily produced the functionalized TAM **2** (41%) along with two less-abundant byproducts – the unsubstituted TAM **3** and the quinonemethide **4**. This set of reactions is the basis for synthesis of one biradical.

The mechanistic interpretation of these reactions involves two parallel reaction paths (Scheme 1).⁹ Both paths start with addition of thiol to cation **1** to produce the intermediate **5**. The single-electron oxidation of **5** by cation **1** yields the unsubstituted symmetric TAM **3**¹⁰ and the desired monofunctional TAM **2** in the first path. The oxidation of intermediate **5** by atmospheric oxygen during work-up of the final reaction mixture is the second path to **2**.⁹

These new reactions of formal aromatic nucleophilic substitution and oxidation can be used directly in synthesis of the target monocarboxylic acid **6**, but the first path results in substantial loss of starting material as **3**. To improve the yield, it seemed reasonable to search for conditions which favour the formation of intermediate **5** while minimizing the *in situ* oxidation of **5** by the cation **1**, e.g. $k_1[\text{Th}] \gg k_2[\text{1}]$, where [Th] stands for concentration of thiol.

A systematic testing of solvents (dichloromethane, diethyl ether, tetrahydrofuran, dimethylformamide, toluene) and variation of reaction time and temperature gave rise to a notably revised and improved procedure, in which a concentrated solution of cation **1**¹¹ in dichloromethane (DCM) was added slowly at -20°C to a toluene solution with a large excess (20 eq) of methyl thioglycolate. The overnight reaction afforded the monofunctional TAM **2** in 63% yield, the unsubstituted TAM **3** was isolated as a minor byproduct (18%).¹² Finally, the ester **2** was hydrolyzed with LiOH as a mild base¹³ to give the target monocarboxylic acid TAM **6** in quantitative yield.¹⁴

The SCH_2 group in **6** interrupts the π -conjugation and delocalization of the unpaired electron spin from the TAM moiety onto the carboxyl group, as well as lessening steric effects from the bulky TAM. But we are also interested in biradicals with short linkages between TAMs. The ready availability of diamagnetic tricarboxylic acid **7**¹⁵ suggested a method for synthesis of a different TAM monocarboxylic acid without an intervening SCH_2 group (Scheme 2).

The trimethyl ester **8**, prepared from the triacid **7** by known literature method,¹⁶ was hydrolyzed with 1.55 molar equivalent of LiOH.¹⁷ The monocarboxylic acid **9** was isolated from the reaction mixture by column chromatography. The remaining di- and tricarboxylic acid byproducts **10** and **11** were also collected and converted back to precursor **8**. The regenerated triester **8** was again used to produce additional product **9** with an overall 65% yield after three iterations.^{18,19} As the final step, **9** was converted to cation by addition of excess trifluoromethane sulfonic acid and then reduced with SnCl_2 to the target monocarboxylic acid TAM **12** in 95% yield.¹⁸

The carboxyl function in **6** and **12** provides a convenient functional group for building these TAMs into multi-spin systems. We turned to the synthesis of symmetrical diamides, in which TAMs can be connected by linkers of varying length. Both monocarboxylic acid TAMs readily afforded the required biradicals **13**²⁰ and **14**²¹ (Scheme 3).

In summary, we have developed practical, high-yielding and simple synthetic approaches for preparation of two monocarboxylic acids containing a TAM subunit with different substituent X groups. The first representatives of TAM biradicals have been synthesized from these monocarboxylic acids.

We believe that such monofunctional TAM reagents provide an important new route for constructing DNP reagents and chemical sensors; and for the site-directed-spin-labeling of biopolymers. Such studies are ongoing in our laboratories.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Synthesis of TAM derivatives was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 14-03-93180) and the National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering (grant No. 5P41EB002034).

VMT thanks the Russian Science Foundation (no. 14-14-00922) for financial support in measuring the molecular spectra. MKB thanks the National Science Foundation, Chemistry Division for support by Award No. 1416238.

References

1. (a) Anderson, S.; Golman, K.; Rise, F.; Wikström, H.; Wistrand, L-G. US Patent 5530140. p. 1996(b) Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Leunbach I. PCT Int Appl wo/9709633. :1997.(c) Andersson, S.; Radner, F.; Rydbeck, A.; Servin, R.; Wistrand, L-G. US Patent. 5728370. 1998. (d) Thaning M. PCT Int Appl wo/9839277. :1998.
2. (a) Liu Y, Villamena FA, Song Y, Sun J, Rockenbauer A, Zweier JL. J Org Chem. 2010; 75:7796. [PubMed: 21028905] (b) Liu Y, Villamena FA, Sun J, Wang TY, Zweier JL. Free Radical Biol Med. 2009; 46:876. [PubMed: 19135524] (c) Liu Y, Villamena FA, Sun J, Xu Y, Dhimitruka I, Zweier JL. J Org Chem. 2008; 73:4190. [PubMed: 18476744]
3. (a) Elas M, Hleihel D, Barth ED, Haney CR, Ahn K-H, Pelizzari CA, Epel B, Kocherginsky M, Weichselbaum RR, Halpern HJ. Mol Imaging Biol. 2011; 13:1107. [PubMed: 20960236] (b) Halevy R, Tormyshev V, Blank A. Biophysical Journal. 2010; 99:971. [PubMed: 20682276] (c) Elas M, Ahn K-H, Parasca A, Barth ED, Lee D, Haney C, Halpern HJ. Clin Cancer Res. 2006; 12:4209. [PubMed: 16857793]
4. (a) Marin-Montesinos I, Paniagua JC, Vilaseca M, Urtizberea A, Luis F, Feliz M, Lin F, Van Doorslaer S, Pons M. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2015; 17:5785. [PubMed: 25626422] (b) Leggett J, Hunter R, Granwehr J, Panek R, Perez-Linde AJ, Horsewill AJ, McMaster J, Smith G, Köckenberger W. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2010; 12:5883. [PubMed: 20458428] (c) Ardenkjaer-Larsen JH, Fridlund B, Gram A, Hansson G, Hansson L, Lerche MH, Servin R, Thaning M, Golman K. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100:10158. [PubMed: 12930897] (d) Trukhan SN, Yudanov VF, Tormyshev VM, Rogozhnikova OY, Trukhin DV, Bowman MK, Krzyaniak MD, Chen H, Martyanov ON. J Magn Reson. 2013; 233:29. [PubMed: 23722184]
5. (a) Shevelev, GYu; Krumkacheva, OA.; Kuzhelev, AA.; Lomzov, AA.; Trukhin, DV.; Rogozhnikova, OYu; Tormyshev, VM.; Fedin, MV.; Pyshnyi, DV.; Bagryanskaya, EG. J Phys Chem B. 2015; 119:13641. [PubMed: 26011022] (b) Shevelev, GYu; Krumkacheva, OA.; Kuzhelev, AA.; Lomzov, AA.; Rogozhnikova, OYu; Trukhin, DV.; Troitskaya, TI.; Tormyshev, VM.; Fedin, MV.; Pyshnyi, DV.; Bagryanskaya, EG. J Amer Chem Soc. 2014; 136:9874. [PubMed: 24963806] (c) Yang Z, Liu Y, Borbat P, Zweier JL, Freed JH, Hubbell WL. J Am Chem Soc. 2012; 134:9950. [PubMed: 22676043]
6. (a) Dhimitruka I, Bobko AA, Eubank TD, Komarov DA, Khramtsov VV. J Am Chem Soc. 2013; 135:5904. [PubMed: 23517077] (b) Bobko AA, Dhimitruka I, Komarov DA, Khramtsov VV. Anal Chem. 2012; 84:6054. [PubMed: 22703565] (c) Driesschaert B, Marchand V, Levêque P, Gallez B, Marchand-Brynaert. J Chem Commun. 2012; 48:4049.
7. Talmon T, Shtirberg L, Harneit W, Rogozhnikova OYu, Tormyshev V, Blank A. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2010; 12:5998. [PubMed: 20372729]
8. (a) Rogozhnikova, OYu; Vasiliev, VG.; Troitskaya, TI.; Trukhin, DV.; Mikhulina, TV.; Halpern, HJ.; Tormyshev, VM. Eur J Org Chem. 2013; 2013:3447.(b) Dhimitruka I, Velayutham M, Bobko AA, Khramtsov VV, Villamena FA, Hadadd CM, Zweier JL. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2007; 17:6801. [PubMed: 17964156] (c) Reddy TJ, Iwama T, Halpern HJ, Rawal VH. J Org Chem. 2002; 67:4635. [PubMed: 12098269]
9. Tormyshev VM, Rogozhnikova OYu, Bowman MK, Trukhin DV, Troitskaya TI, Vasiliev VG, Shundrin LA, Halpern HJ. Eur J Org Chem. 2014; 2014:371.
10. In addition, the symmetrical TAM **3** may also result from direct single-electron reduction (Ref. 9) of cation **1** by methyl thioglycolate
11. Triaryl-methanol – the precursor of cation **1** (Scheme 1) – is readily available in large scale (up to 10–20 g) and in high yield using the recently published protocol.^{8a}
- 12.

Substitution of toluene with more polar solvents leads to a notable decrease in yield of TAM **2** 41% (DCM), 16–22% (diethyl ether, THF), 12% (DMF)

13.

LiOH as an alkaline reagent is strongly recommended: the use of NaOH or KOH resulted in poor yield and bad contamination of TAM **6**

14.

Synthesis of TAM 6 Bis[2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d;4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl](8-methoxycarbonylmethylthio-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d;4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methyl (2). A solution of cation **1** was prepared by stirring of solution of triarylmethanol precursor^{8a} (0.276 g, 0.316 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (2.5 mL) and CF₃SO₃H (0.060 g, 0.400 mmol, 1.26 equiv.) at room temperature for 2.5 h under argon. Slowly, over 20 min, the resulting deep-green solution of cation **1** was added by syringe to a magnetically-stirred and cooled (–20 °C) solution of methyl thioglycolate (0.335 g, 3.16 mmol, 20 equiv.) in anhydrous toluene (15 mL). The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under argon. Water (10 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 5 h at room temperature under air. The organic phase was separated, and the water phase was extracted with DCM (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extract was filtered through a short cotton plug and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene (20 mL), which was evaporated again to remove residual thioglycolate. Column chromatography on silica gel (hexane, then DCM/hexane 1:1 v/v, then DCM) afforded **3** (0.050 g, 18%), and the title **2** (0.194 g, 63%). Spectroscopic data for **2** are the same as in Ref. 9

Bis[2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d;4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl](8-carboxymethylthio-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d;4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methyl (6). A mixture of TAM **2** (0.150 g, 0.154 mmol), LiOH monohydrate (0.019 g, 0.463 mmol, 3 equiv.), and water (1 mL) in THF (7.5 mL) was stirred at room temperature under argon overnight. The resulting deep-green solution was neutralized by addition of 2 M aqueous HCl (0.300 mL) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Subsequent column chromatography on silica gel (DCM, then DCM/methanol 20:1, then DCM/methanol 10:1) gave TAM **6** (0.143 g, 97%). Data for **6** fine black powder m.p. >280 °C (decomposition). HPLC purity 97 %. MS (ESI): calcd. for C₃₉H₄₁O₂S₁₃ [M⁺] 956.9476, found 956.93; calcd. for C₃₉H₄₀O₂S₁₃ [M-H[–]] 955.9403, found 955.92. IR (KBr): ν = 2955 (s), 2920 (s), 2851 (m), 1707 (s), 1605(m), 1450 (s), 1431 (m), 1383 (s), 1364 (vs), 1302 (s), 1244 (vs), 1167 (s), 1148 (vs), 1123 (m), 1103 (s), 1030(m), 683 (m), 660 (m). EPR spectrum for 0.50 mM solution in deoxygenated methanol: 1:2:1 triplet, α_H=2.263 G, peak-to-peak linewidth 66.5 μT, g=2.0055. The resolved hyperfine splitting is from the ¹H at the *para* position on two of the aryl rings. The ¹H hyperfine splitting from the methyl groups of side-rings is unresolved with hyperfine splitting constants expected to be similar to those of Finland trityl (less than 0.15 G^{4d})

15.

The tricarboxylic acid **7** is available in large scale (up to 5–10 g) and in high yield with the use of recently published protocol.^{8a}

16. Tormyshev VM, Genaev AM, Sal'nikov GE, Rogozhnikova OYu, Troitskaya TI, Trukhin DV, Mamatyuk VI, Fadeev DS, Halpern HJ. Eur J Org Chem. 2012; 2013:623.

17.

Using MathCad 14.0 and 4-th order Runge-Kutta method with adaptive step size we studied a kinetic model of consecutive hydrolysis of triester. Under the assumption of independent hydrolysis of each of the three initial ester groups, the model predicts the maximum fraction of monocarboxylic acid (44.4%) for reaction of 1 equivalent of triester with 1.42 equivalents of hydroxide base.

Variation of the amount of base in a range between 1.30 and 1.70 equivalents results in small changes in the fraction of monocarboxylic acid (43.9–41.5%). For these reasons we chose to use 1.55 equivalents of LiOH

18.

Synthesis of TAM 12 Tris[8-(methoxycarbonyl)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo [1,2-d:4,5-d

]bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methanol (8) was prepared according to the method in Ref. 16. HPLC purity >95 %. M.p. >230 °C (gradually decomposed). $C_{43}H_{46}O_7S_{12}$ (1059.60): calcd. C 48.74, H 4.38, S 36.31; found C 48.90, H 4.49, S 36.04. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for $C_{43}H_{46}O_7S_{12}$ $[M^+]$ 1057.9892, found 1057.9898. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3313 (m), 2952 (m), 2912 (w), 1712 (s), 1506 (w), 1450 (m), 1433 (m), 1363 (m), 1315 (m), 1251 (s), 1220 (s), 1166 (m), 1105 (m), 1016 (m), 956 (w), 864 (w), 794 (w), 734 (w), 684 (w) cm^{-1} . 1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 1.65 (s, 18 H, CH_3), 1.74 (s, 9 H, CH_3), 1.76 (s, 9 H, CH_3), 3.95 (s, 9 H, CH_3O), 6.78 (s, 1 H, OH) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ = 28.74 (CH_3C), 29.30 (CH_3C), 31.98 (CH_3C), 33.92 (CH_3C), 52.50 (CH_3O), 61.01 (SCS), 61.12 (SCS), 84.38 (COH), 121.12 (C), 134.14 (C), 139.40 (C), 140.46 (C), 141.58 (C), 142.04 (C), 166.70 (CO_2) ppm

Bis[8-(methoxycarbonyl)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d:4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl](8-

carboxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d:4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methanol (9). A mixture of triester 8 (0.865 g, 0.816 mmol), LiOH monohydrate (0.053 g, 1.26 mmol) and water (1 mL) in THF (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 48 h under argon. The resulting mixture was acidified by aqueous 2 M HCl to pH 4 and extracted with DCM (3 $\times$ 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with brine (2 mL) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography on silica gel (DCM/MeOH, 1:20, 1:10, 1:5 v/v) afforded the initial substrate (used further in repeated runs), a mixture of acids **10** and **11** (used for conversion to initial substrate by method of Ref. 14) and the title monocarboxylic acid **9**. Three iteration afforded 0.553 g of **9** (65%) with partial recovery of precursor **8** (0.115 g, 14%).

Data for **9** yellow powder, HPLC purity >95 %. M.p. > 200 °C (gradually decomposed). $C_{42}H_{44}O_7S_{12}$ (1045.57): calcd. C 48.25, H 4.24, S 36.80; found C 48.57, H 4.40, S 36.45. HRMS (ESI): calcd. for $C_{42}H_{43}O_7S_{12}$ $[M-H^-]$ 1042.9663, found 1042.9630. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 3429 (m), 2954 (m), 2922 (m), 2854 (m), 1712 (s), 1585 (m), 1506 (m), 1452 (m), 1435 (m), 1382 (m), 1365 (s), 1336 (m), 1315 (m), 1249 (s), 1224 (s), 1166 (m), 1149 (m), 1103 (m), 1016 (m), 912 (w), 864 (w), 792 (w), 732 (w), 704 (w) cm^{-1} . 1H NMR (400 MHz, $DMSO-d_6$): δ = 1.55–1.72 (singlet signals of methyl groups, 36 H, CH_3), 3.87 (s, 6 H, CH_3O), 6.79 (s, 1 H, OH) ppm. ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $DMSO-d_6$): δ = 27.16 (CH_3C), 27.70 (CH_3C), 27.73 (CH_3C), 27.83 (CH_3C), 28.50 (CH_3C), 29.46 (CH_3C), 30.60 (CH_3C), 31.22 (CH_3C), 32.23 (CH_3C), 33.54 (CH_3C), 33.86 (CH_3C), 52.23 (CH_3O), 59.32 (SCS), 59.90 (SCS), 60.40 (SCS), 60.64 (SCS), 60.83 (SCS), 60.89 (SCS), 83.47 (COH), 120.46 (C), 120.60 (C), 130.59 (C), 133.90 (C), 134.15 (C), 136.19 (C), 138.44 (C), 138.70 (C), 139.06 (C), 139.68 (C), 139.71 (C), 139.76 (C), 139.97 (C), 140.30 (C), 140.38 (C), 140.45 (C), 140.59 (C), 165.53 (CO_2CH_3), 168.63 (CO_2H) ppm.

Bis[8-(methoxycarbonyl)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d:4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl](8-

carboxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylbenzo[1,2-d:4,5-d']bis[1,3]dithiol-4-yl)methyl (12). To solution of **9** (0.115 g, 0.110 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (1 mL) was added a solution of trifluoromethane sulfonic acid (0.082 g, 0.550 mmol) in anhydrous acetonitrile (0.5 mL). The resulting deep-green solution was stirred under argon for 5 min at room temperature, after which a solution of $SnCl_2$

(0.022 g, 0.116 mmol) in anhydrous THF (1 mL) was added. After stirring under argon for 8 min at room temperature the mixture was quenched by addition of water (20 mL) followed by addition of DCM (10 mL). The organic phase was separated and the water phase was extracted with DCM (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extract was filtered through a short cotton plug and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography on silica gel (DCM, then DCM/methanol 20:1 v/v) afforded TAM **12** (0.107 g, 95%). Data for **12** fine black powder m.p. > 280 °C (decomposition). HPLC purity 98.6 %. MS (ESI): calcd. for C₄₂H₄₃O₆S₁₂ [M⁺] 1026.9708, found 1026.973; calcd. for C₄₂H₄₂O₆S₁₂ [M-H⁻] 1025.9635, found 1025.959. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 2953 (m), 2922 (m), 1706 (s), 1452 (m), 1433 (m), 1366 (m), 1273 (m), 1234 (vs), 1167 (m), 1148 (m), 1136 (m), 1111 (m), 864 (w), 721 (w). EPR spectrum for 0.50 mM solution in anaerobic methanol: septet a_H =0.0992 G (6H), peak-to-peak linewidth 7.7 μ T (Lorentzian) and 2.1 μ T (Gaussian), g =2.0056. The observed hyperfine splitting is caused by six proton nuclei of COOCH₃ present at the *para* position on two of the aryl rings

19.

The analogous series of iterations performed with trimethyl ester of the paramagnetic triacid (Finland trityl) was accompanied by formation by numerous high-molecular contaminants and resulted in lower isolated yield (51%) of TAM **12** after three repetitive runs

20.

Synthesis of biradical 13 A solution of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (0.014 g, 0.067 mmol) in DCM (0.5 mL) was added slowly with cooling on an ice-bath to a stirred solution of N-hydroxysuccinimide (0.081 g, 0.070 mmol) and TAM **6** (0.056 g, 0.058 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (1 mL). The mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 4 h and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting cake was dissolved in toluene (5 mL), the solution was filtered through a short cotton plug. Concentration of the filtrate *in vacuo* afforded the OSU derivative of TAM **6** It was dissolved in 0.023 M solution of N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine in DCM (1 mL, 0.023 mmol of diamine). The mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 36 h and quenched by addition of 0.01 M of aqueous HCl. The organic phase was separated, and the water phase was extracted with DCM (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extract was filtered through a short cotton plug and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography on silica gel (DCM, then DCM/ethyl acetate 20:1 v/v, then DCM/ethyl acetate 10:1) afforded the biradical **13** (0.042 g, 94% of initial diamine). Data for **13** fine dark brown powder m.p. > 280 °C. HPLC purity 94.6 %. MS (ESI): calcd. for C₈₂H₉₀N₂O₂S₂₆ [M⁺] 1965.9741, found 1965.975. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 2955 (s), 2922 (s), 2853(m), 1734 (m), 1651 (vs), 1452 (s), 1433 (m), 1396 (m), 1383 (m), 1364 (s), 1302 (m), 1244 (vs), 1167 (s), 1150 (vs), 1103 (m), 847 (w), 685 (w). The EPR spectrum for a 0.50 mM solution of biradical **13** in degassed DCM has five equally-spaced lines caused by the hyperfine coupling to the four H nuclei that are the X groups. The peak-to-peak line intensities appear to be in the ratio of 1:1:2:1:1 rather than the 1:4:6:4:1 expected for four equivalent H nuclei. However, the outer and center lines are noticeably sharper than the other two lines, a classic example of the alternating linewidth effect resulting from the limited tumbling rate (compared to the hyperfine anisotropy) of such a large molecule (>2 nm long) in solution. The hyperfine coupling (a_H ~1 G) is about half that of **6**, showing that the electron spin-spin interaction between the TAMs is much larger than the a_H ~2 G of **6** (see Supporting Information)

21.

Synthesis of biradical 14 To a stirred solution of TAM **12** (0.034 g, 0.033 mmol) and triethylamine (0.012 g, 0.116 mmol) in anhydrous chloroform (1 mL) slowly was added a solution of thionyl

chloride (0.027 g, 0.231 mmol) in chloroform (0.2 mL). The mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 20 h and then concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting cake was dissolved in toluene (5 mL), the solution was filtered through a short cotton plug. Concentration of the filtrate *in vacuo* afforded the chloroanhydride of **12**. It was dissolved in 0.023 M solution of N,N'-dimethylethylenediamine in DCM (0.57 mL, 0.0132 mmol of diamine). Triethylamine (0.010 g, 0.10 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (0.004 g) were added. The mixture was stirred under argon at room temperature for 36 h and quenched by addition of 0.01 M of aqueous HCl. The organic phase was separated, and the water phase was extracted with DCM (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extract was filtered through a short cotton plug and concentrated *in vacuo*. Column chromatography on silica gel (DCM, then DCM/ethyl acetate 30:1 v/v) gave the biradical **14** (0.020 g, 72% of initial diamine). Data for **14** fine black powder m.p. > 280 °C. HPLC purity 96.6 %. MS (ESI): calcd. for C₈₉H₉₄N₂O₁₀S₂₄ [M⁺] 2106.0205, found 2106.021. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu}$ = 2953 (m), 2920 (m), 2860(w), 1707 (s), 1641 (s), 1485 (m), 1452 (m), 1433 (m), 1366 (m), 1265 (s), 1236 (vs), 1167 (m), 1136 (m), 1109 (m), 1043 (m), 864 (w), 789 (w), 729 (w). The EPR spectrum for a 0.50 mM solution of biradical **14** in degassed DCM consists of a single sharp line flanked by a pair of weak lines from natural abundance ¹³C hyperfine (see Supporting Information). The hyperfine couplings from the methyl ester H are expected to produce at least thirteen lines split by <0.1 G (based on the α_H of **12**). Each of those lines is further split into five lines by weak hyperfine interactions with the two N. The result is expected to be the single, unresolved line that is observed.

Figure 1. Finland trityl radical (symmetric tricarboxylic acid) and monofunctional TAM derivatives bearing a specific reactive functional group⁹

Scheme 1.

Synthesis of TAM monobasic acid **6**. Proposed rationale for concurrent formation of TAM **3** and monofunctional TAM **2**.⁹

Scheme 2.

Synthesis of TAM monobasic acid **12** with the zero-length spacer between TAM core and carboxyl group

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of TAM biradicals **13** and **14**