Published in final edited form as:

J Phys Chem Lett. 2016 April 7; 7(7): 1138–1142. doi:10.1021/acs.jpclett.6b00373.

Amide Rotation Hindrance Predicts Proteolytic Resistance of Cystine-Knot Peptides

Yanzi Zhou^{1,*}, Daiqian Xie¹, and Yingkai Zhang^{2,3,*}

¹Laboratory of Mesoscopic Chemistry, Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Life Sciences, Institute of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China

²Department of Chemistry, New York University, New York, NY 10003 USA

³NYU-ECNU Center for Computational Chemistry at NYU Shanghai, Shanghai 200062, China

Abstract

Cystine-knot peptides have remarkable stability against protease degradation, and are attractive scaffolds for peptide-based therapeutic and diagnostic agents. In this work, by studying the hydrolysis reaction of a cystine-knot inhibitor MCTI-A and its variants with ab initio QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations, we have elucidated an amide rotation hindrance mechanism for proteolysis resistance: the proteolysis of MCTI-A is retarded due to the higher free energy cost during the rotation of NH group around scissile peptide bond at the tetrahedral intermediate of acylation, and covalent constraint provided by disulfide bonds is the key factor to hinder this rotation. A nearly linear correlation has been revealed between free energy barriers of the peptide hydrolysis reaction and the amide rotation free energy changes at the protease-peptide Michaelis complex state. This suggests that amide rotation hindrance could be one useful feature to estimate peptide proteolysis stability.

Keywords

acylation; ab initio QM/MM molecular dynamics simulation; free energy barrier; amide rotation; linear correlation

Cystine-knot peptides, also known as knottins and characterized by at least three disulfide bonds, have emerged as promising candidates for the development of peptide-based therapeutic and diagnostic agents.^{1–5} Different from some other classes of peptide molecules, one most attractive feature of cystine-knot peptides is their remarkable proteolytic resistance. In fact, some cystine-knot peptides, such as BPTI and MCTI-A,^{6–8} are known as very potent canonical serine proteinases inhibitors, which bind to the enzyme active site in a substrate manner but can resist proteolysis ranging from hours to even

Corresponding Author: 1) zhouyz@nju.edu.cn, 2) yingkai.zhang@nyu.edu.

Supporting Information

Computational details, Figures S1 to S5, Tables S1 and S3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

years.^{9–12} This poses a classic puzzle that confronts fundamental principle of enzyme specificity.

Two main mechanistic hypotheses have been put forward to explain canonical serine proteinases inhibitors' surprising lack of reactivity: one is the Laskowski mechanism,^{11–13} and the other is clogged mechanism.^{14–16} Although both mechanisms have tried to account for the effect of conformational constraints on the proteolytic resistance, no direct evidence has been provided and there is no quantitative relationship revealed between geometry factors and the slow-down of kinetic hydrolytic rate.^{6–7} In this work, by employing Born-Oppenheimer ab initio QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations^{17–18} and umbrella sampling,^{19–20} a state-of-the-art approach to simulate biological reactions, we have discovered a novel amide rotation hindrance mechanism regarding how disulfide constraint leads to proteolysis resistance. Furthermore, we have uncovered a nearly linear correlation between free energy barriers of the peptide hydrolysis reaction and the amide rotation free energy changes at the Michaelis complex (EI state).

Our study initially focuses on a cystine-knot peptide MCTI-A, a potent trypsin inhibitor of the squash family with 28 amino acid residues. The scheme of its cystine-knot motif with disulfide bonding pattern is presented in Figure 1, in which the first three cysteine residues (C3, C10, C16) form disulfide bonds with the last three cysteine (C20, C22, C27) in a consecutive manner respectively, and the red ribbon indicates the peptide ring of MCTI-A connected by Cys3-Cys20 and Cys10-Cys22 disulfide bridges. In the high-resolution crystal structure of the binding complex formed by porcine β -trypsin with the MCTI-A inhibitor (PDB ID: 1MCT),⁸ as shown in Figure S2, it interacts with trypsin active site like the corresponding hexapeptide substrate Cys3-Pro4-Arg5-Ile6-Trp7-Met8. Previously, we have studied the acylation reaction of the scissile bond between Arg5-Ile6 for the same trypsin-hexapeptide complex²¹ by employing B3LYP/6-31+G* QM/MM MD simulations^{17–18} with the pseudobond approach^{22–24}. The calculated overall free energy barrier of 16.9 kcal/mol indicates that this hexapeptide can be easily acylated by porcine β -trypsin, consistent with other theoretical studies that this is a substrate.^{25–26} This sets the stage for us to probe how the cystine-knot peptide can resist proteolysis.

The first task is to employ the same simulation protocol to computationally characterize the corresponding acylation reaction of full-length cystine-knot peptide MCTI-A catalyzed by porcine β -trypsin. The detailed computational protocol is presented in the supporting information. Our computational results (Figure 2 and Table S1) indicate that the acylation reaction of MCTI-A hydrolyzed by trypsin shares a similar catalytic mechanism as the hexapeptide substrate (SUB),²¹ but has a significantly high reaction barrier of 25.3 kcal/mol in comparison with 16.9 kcal/mol for the hexapeptide. The acylation reaction of MCTI-A would be about one million times slower than SUB, which is consistent with experimental results that MCTI-A is a potent inhibitor of porcine β -trypsin and its strong resistance to hydrolysis mainly comes from the acylation stage. Interestingly, as shown in Figure 2, MCTI-A and SUB have the almost same free energy barrier (16.2 vs. 16.3 kcal/mol) for the initial nucleophilic attack step, in which His57 acts as a general base accepting a proton from Ser195, and the OG atom of Ser195 attacks the carbonyl carbon atom of substrate Arg5 to form a meta-stable tetrahedral intermediate INT. Thus, our simulation results do not

support one explanation of Laskowski mechanism that the proteolysis resistance comes from the failure to form the reactive nucleophilic attack complex at the reactant state of the acylation stage.^{27–28} Meanwhile, although the leaving of C-terminal product fragment is hindered by the 3–20 disulfide and the NH₂ group of cleaved MCTI-A is in excellent position to re-attack the acyl C=O (see Figure 2, EA1 state), the free energy barrier for the reverse reaction is 5.2 kcal/mol higher than the forward one. Thus our study does not support the clogged mechanism either, in which the reverse reaction of the acylation is suggested to more rapidly occur to reform the peptide bond.^{14–16}

Figure 2 clearly indicates that the main difference between MCTI-A and SUB comes from the free energy change between INT and TS2*: it is 9.8 kcal/mol for the MCTI-A, while only 2.0 kcal/mol for the substrate. From INT to TS2*, the main atomic motions involve both a subtle reorientation of the His57 ring and the rotation of the leaving group around the scissile C-N bond, which is defined as ω angle in Figure 3. It should be noted that reorientation of the His57 ring only involves the enzyme, which is the same between MCTI-A and the SUB. Meanwhile, from Table 1, we can see that torsion angle ω of the scissile C-N bond adopts very similar value of about 140° at TS2*, while is quite different at INT (about 170° for MCTI-A versus about 160° for SUB). Even at the reactant state, we can see that the torsion angle ω of the scissile C-N bond, which would favor the planar due to its sp² character, is easier to be deviated from 180° degree in the SUB than in the MCTI-A. These results suggest a novel amide rotation hindrance mechanism regarding how disulfide constraint leads to proteolysis resistance: the proteolysis of the cystine-knot peptide MCTI-A is retarded due to the higher free energy cost for the inevitable rotation of scissile peptide bond in the acylation reaction, and covalent constraint provided by disulfide bonds is the key factor to hinder the rotation of the amide bond.

In order to further examine this novel hypothesis as well as elucidate the role of disulfide bond, we carried out corresponding simulations to two mutants of MCTI-A: C3S/C20S and C16S/C27S. The two mutants have similar conformation and hydrogen bond networks as the wild type, except for the newly formed H-bond with the introduced serine as illustrated in Figure S3 and Table S2. Their binding affinity is only a little weaker than the wild type by 0.6 ± 0.3 and 1.2 ± 0.2 kcal/mol respectively by thermodynamic integration (calculation details see supporting information). In comparison with the wild type, both mutants demonstrated enhanced flexibility as shown in Figure S4. Since Cys3-Cys20 is the closest disulfide bond to the scissile bond, which would be expected to provide the strongest conformation restraint, the C3S/C20S mutant should lead to the lower barrier than the C16S/C27S mutant. As expected, the results in Figure 4a and Table S3 indicate that C3S/C20S mutant and C16S/C27S mutants have the almost same free energy barrier (about 16 kcal/mol) for the initial nucleophilic attack step as the wild-type MCTI-A and SUB, but they have different barriers for TS2*. The free energy barrier at TS2* are 25.3, 23.1, 21.5, 16.9 kcal/mol for MCTI-A (3 disulfide bonds), C16S/C27S mutant (2 disulfide bonds, the removed disulfide bond is the farthest to the scissile bond), C3S/C20S mutant (2 disulfide bonds, the removed disulfide bond is the closest to the scissile bond), hexapeptide substrate (0 disulfide bond) respectively. From EI to TS2* state, the carbonyl carbon transforms from trigonal to tetrahedral, and correspondingly the ω angle changes from near planar to around

140° for all the inhibitors and substrate as reported in Table 1, which further support the amide rotation hindrance mechanism.

Our next task is to directly elucidate the relationship between the rotation of the amide bond (the ω angle) and the acylation reaction barrier. Here we have calculated free energy change of the scissile amide bond rotation (the ω angle) at the protease-peptide Michaelis complex state for all four peptides with both ab initio QM/MM molecular dynamics simulations and classical MD simulations with umbrella sampling. As shown in Figure 4b and 4c, for both simulations with difference theoretical treatment of the scissile amide bond rotation, there is a nearly linear correlation between the free energies for amide bond rotation free energy change from the planar to 140° and free energy barriers for reaction. This directly indicates that conformational constraints coming from disulfide formation leads to the hindrance of the rotation of the amide bond, which is directly correlated to the higher acylation reaction barrier. Meanwhile, in Figure 4c, rotation free energy change is calculated with Amber11 molecular dynamic package²⁹ and amber99SB^{30–31} force fields, which is quite straightforward to be carried out, and can be used as a parameter to predict the hydrolysis rate for MCTI-A-like inhibitors easily.

In order to examine whether this finding can be applied to other cystine-knot inhibitors, we calculated the rotation free energy changes of ω angle of BPTI using classical MD simulations, and compared the results with the corresponding experimental free energy barrier⁶ derived from transition state theory³² as shown in Figure 4d. Our calculations show that there is also a strong correlation between the rotation energy change and experimental free energy barrier for the hydrolysis reaction as shown in Figure 4d. This suggests that amide rotation hindrance could be one useful feature to estimate peptide proteolysis stability of cystine-knot peptides.

In summary our work has suggested an amide rotation hindrance mechanism regarding how disulfide constraint leads to proteolysis resistance in cystine-knot peptides: the rotation of the scissile amide bond is hindered due to conformation constraint from the disulfide bonds, which leads to the higher free energy cost during the rotation of scissile peptide bond that takes place at the tetrahedral intermediate of the acylation reaction. By revealing a nearly linear correlation between free energy barriers of the peptide hydrolysis reaction and the amide rotation free energy changes calculated at the protease-peptide Michaelis complex state, we suggest that amide rotation hindrance could be one useful feature to estimate peptide proteolysis stability. It should be noted that the peptide proteolytic resistance is more general and not only limited to the cysteine-knot peptides. For those proteolytic resistant peptides and proteins that do not contain any Cys-Cys disulfide bonds, such as cyclic peptides³³ and EGLIN C,³⁴ their respective proteolytic resistance mechanisms remain to be elucidated.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21203090 to Zhou) and by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (R01-GM079223 to Zhang). We thank the High Performance Computing Center of Nanjing University and NYU-ITS for providing computational resources.

REFERENCES

1. Gongora-Benitez M, Tulla-Puche J, Albericio F. Multifaceted Roles of Disulfide Bonds. Peptides as Therapeutics. *Chem. Rev.* 2014; 114:901–926. [PubMed: 24446748]
2. Daly NL, Craik DJ. Bioactive Cystine Knot Proteins. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* 2011; 15:362–368. [PubMed: 21362584]
3. Ackerman SE, Currier NV, Bergen JM, Cochran JR. Cystine-Knot Peptides: Emerging Tools for Cancer Imaging and Therapy. *Expert Rev. Proteomics.* 2014; 11:561–572. [PubMed: 25163524]
4. Getz JA, Rice JJ, Daugherty PS. Protease-Resistant Peptide Ligands from a Knottin Scaffold Library. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2011; 6:837–844. [PubMed: 21615106]
5. Kim JW, Cochran FV, Cochran JR. A Chemically Cross-Linked Knottin Dimer Binds Integrins with Picomolar Affinity and Inhibits Tumor Cell Migration and Proliferation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015; 137:6–9. [PubMed: 25486381]
6. Zakharova E, Horvath MP, Goldenberg DP. Functional and Structural Roles of the Cys14-Cys38 Disulfide of Bovine Pancreatic Trypsin Inhibitor. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2008; 382:998–1013. [PubMed: 18692070]
7. Hanson WM, Domek GJ, Horvath MP, Goldenberg DP. Rigidification of a Flexible Protease Inhibitor Variant Upon Binding to Trypsin. *J. Mol. Biol.* 2007; 366:230–243. [PubMed: 17157870]
8. Huang QC, Liu SP, Tang YQ. Refined 1.6-Angstrom Resolution Crystal-Structure of the Complex Formed between Porcine Beta-Trypsin and Mcti-a, a Trypsin-Inhibitor of the Squash Family - Detailed Comparison with Bovine Beta-Trypsin and Its Complex. *J. Mol. Biol.* 1993; 229:1022–1036. [PubMed: 8445634]
9. Ersmark K, Del Valle JR, Hanessian S. Chemistry and Biology of the Aeruginosin Family of Serine Protease Inhibitors. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2008; 47:1202–1223.
10. Page MJ, Di Cera E. Serine Peptidases: Classification, Structure and Function. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 2008; 65:1220–1236. [PubMed: 18259688]
11. Laskowski M, Qasim MA. What Can the Structures of Enzyme-Inhibitor Complexes Tell Us About the Structures of Enzyme Substrate Complexes? *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 2000; 1477:324–337. [PubMed: 10708867]
12. Laskowski M, Kato I. Protein Inhibitors of Proteinases. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 1980; 49:593–626. [PubMed: 6996568]
13. Otlewski J, Jelen F, Zakrzewska M, Oleksy A. The Many Faces of Protease-Protein Inhibitor Interaction. *Embo. J.* 2005; 24:1303–1310. [PubMed: 15775973]
14. Radisky ES, King DS, Kwan G, Koshland DE. The Role of the Protein Core in the Inhibitory Power of the Classic Serine Protease Inhibitor, Chymotrypsin Inhibitor 2. *Biochemistry.* 2003; 42:6484–6492. [PubMed: 12767231]
15. Radisky ES, Koshland DE. A Clogged Gutter Mechanism for Protease Inhibitors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2002; 99:10316–10321. [PubMed: 12142461]
16. Radisky ES, Lu CJK, Kwan G, Koshland DE. Role of the Intramolecular Hydrogen Bond Network in the Inhibitory Power of Chymotrypsin Inhibitor 2. *Biochemistry.* 2005; 44:6823–6830. [PubMed: 15865427]
17. Meier K, Choutko A, Dolenc J, Eichenberger AP, Riniker S, van Gunsteren WF. Multi-Resolution Simulation of Biomolecular Systems: A Review of Methodological Issues. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2013; 52:2820–2834.
18. Senn HM, Thiel W. Qm/Mm Methods for Biomolecular Systems. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:1198–1229.
19. Roux B. The Calculation of the Potential of Mean Force Using Computer-Simulations. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 1995; 91:275–282.

20. Boczek EM, Brooks CL. Constant-Temperature Free-Energy Surfaces for Physical and Chemical Processes. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1993; 97:4509–4513.
21. Zhou Y, Zhang Y. Serine Protease Acylation Proceeds with a Subtle Re-Orientation of the Histidine Ring at the Tetrahedral Intermediate. *Chem. Commun.* 2011; 47:1577–1579.
22. Zhang YK. Pseudobond Ab Initio Qm/Mm Approach and Its Applications to Enzyme Reactions. *Theor. Chem. Acc.* 2006; 116:43–50.
23. Zhang YK, Lee TS, Yang WT. A Pseudobond Approach to Combining Quantum Mechanical and Molecular Mechanical Methods. *J. Chem. Phys.* 1999; 110:46–54.
24. Zhang YK. Improved Pseudobonds for Combined Ab Initio Quantum Mechanical/Molecular Mechanical Methods. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2005; 122:024114. [PubMed: 15638579]
25. Ishida T, Kato S. Role of Asp102 in the Catalytic Relay System of Serine Proteases: A Theoretical Study. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:7111–7118. [PubMed: 15174882]
26. Ishida T, Kato S. Theoretical Perspectives on the Reaction Mechanism of Serine Proteases: The Reaction Free Energy Profiles of the Acylation Process. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:12035–12048. [PubMed: 14505425]
27. Coombs GS, Rao MS, Olson AJ, Dawson PE, Madison EL. Revisiting Catalysis by Chymotrypsin Family Serine Proteases Using Peptide Substrates and Inhibitors with Unnatural Main Chains. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1999; 274:24074–24079. [PubMed: 10446178]
28. Martin PD, Malkowski MG, DiMaio J, Konishi Y, Ni F, Edwards BFP. Bovine Thrombin Complexed with an Uncleavable Analog of Residues 7–19 of Fibrinogen a Alpha: Geometry of the Catalytic Triad and Interactions of the P1', P2', and P3' Substrate Residues. *Biochemistry.* 1996; 35:13030–13039. [PubMed: 8855938]
29. Case, DA.; Darden, TA.; Cheatham, TE., III; Simmerling, CL.; Wang, J.; Duke, RE.; Luo, R.; Walker, RC.; Zhang, W.; Merz, KM., et al. *Amber 11*. San Francisco: University of California; 2010.
30. Cornell WD, Cieplak P, Bayly CI, Gould IR, Merz KM, Ferguson DM, Spellmeyer DC, Fox T, Caldwell JW, Kollman PA. A 2nd Generation Force-Field for the Simulation of Proteins, Nucleic-Acids, and Organic-Molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995; 117:5179–5197.
31. Hornak V, Abel R, Okur A, Strockbine B, Roitberg A, Simmerling C. Comparison of Multiple Amber Force Fields and Development of Improved Protein Backbone Parameters. *Proteins.* 2006; 65:712–725. [PubMed: 16981200]
32. Truhlar DG, Garrett BC, Klippenstein SJ. Current Status of Transition-State Theory. *J. Phys. Chem.* 1996; 100:12771–12800.
33. Tyndall JDA, Fairlie DP. Macrocycles Mimic the Extended Peptide Conformation Recognized by Aspartic, Serine, Cysteine and Metallo Proteases. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2000; 8:893–907. [PubMed: 11375757]
34. Clark EA, Walker N, Ford DC, Cooper IA, Oyston PCF, Acharya KR. Molecular Recognition of Chymotrypsin by the Serine Protease Inhibitor Ecotin from *Yersinia Pestis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011; 286:24015–24022. [PubMed: 21531711]

Figure 1.

The illustration of the cystine-knot structure for MCTI-A complexed with trypsin. MCTI-A is shown in cartoon mode, while trypsin is in surface mode. The red ribbon indicates that two pairs of disulfide bridges (3–20 and 10–22) form an amino acid residue ring.

Figure 2.

The free energy profile for acylation reaction of the trypsin-catalyzed MCTI-A and SUB hydrolysis. The structures for MCTI-A–trypsin at the reactant, intermediate and product states are also illustrated.

Figure 3.

The deviation of active site at TS2* state from INT state along the reaction path. INT state is colored by element, and TS2* state is colored red. The whole structure is shown in stick mode, except that the transferring H atom is shown in sphere. Torsion angle ω : CA-C-N'-CA' is defined to monitor the rotation of the scissile peptide bond

Figure 4.

(a) The free energy profiles of acylation reaction for trypsin–SUB and trypsin–inhibitors. (b–c) The correlation between the free energy for the torsion angle ω rotating to 140° at the reaction complexes and the free energy barrier in acylation step for trypsin–SUB and trypsin–inhibitors. (b) The rotation free energy changes are calculated from QM/MM-MD simulations. (c) The rotation free energy changes are from classical MD simulations. (d) The correlation between the free energy for the torsion angle ω rotating to 140° at the reaction complexes from classic MD simulations and the experimental reactive free energy barrier for trypsin–BPTI and its variants.

Table 1

Change of torsion angle ω /degree during acylation reaction for inhibitors and substrate based on B3LYP/6-31+G* QM/MM MD simulations.

ω /degree	EI/ES	TS1	INT	TS2*
Wild type	178.8 $\pm$ 7.9	174.1 $\pm$ 7.6	170.7 $\pm$ 7.6	140.4 $\pm$ 5.4
C3S/C20S	177.1 $\pm$ 6.9	174.1 $\pm$ 7.3	170.0 $\pm$ 6.3	141.0 $\pm$ 6.4
C16S/C27S	178.2 $\pm$ 7.2	174.4 $\pm$ 6.3	169.2 $\pm$ 6.5	139.8 $\pm$ 5.3
SUB	173.5 $\pm$ 8.3	162.9 $\pm$ 7.4	160.5 $\pm$ 8.3	140.0 $\pm$ 5.5