Published in final edited form as:

Neuropsychol Rev. 2015 December ; 25(4): 398–410. doi:10.1007/s11065-015-9306-9.

Neuropsychology Review Submission

P. Justin Rossi, B.A. and Michael S. Okun, M.D.

Center for Movement Disorders and Neurorestoration, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

Abstract

It has been well documented that deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the subthalamic nucleus (STN) to address some of the disabling motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD) can evoke unintended effects, especially on non-motor behavior. This observation has catalyzed more than a decade of research concentrated on establishing trends and identifying potential mechanisms for these non-motor effects. While many issues remain unresolved, the collective result of many research studies and clinical observations has been a general recognition of the role of the STN in mediating limbic function. In particular, the STN has been implicated in impulse control and the related construct of valence processing. A better understanding of STN involvement in these phenomena could have important implications for treating impulse control disorders (ICDs). ICDs affect up to 40% of PD patients on dopamine agonist therapy and approximately 15% of PD patients overall. ICDs have been reported to be associated with STN DBS. In this paper we will focus on impulse control and review pre-clinical, clinical, behavioral, imaging, and electrophysiological studies pertaining to the limbic function of the STN.

Keywords

Subthalamic nucleus; deep brain stimulation; impulse control disorder

1. Introduction

The subthalamic nucleus (STN) is a small, biconvex structure located deep within the brain (Tan *et al.*, 2006). Over the past decade, the STN has been the subject of significant study because of its dual role in movement and in non-motor behaviors. This small structure is a widely used target for high frequency electrical stimulation therapy, also called deep brain stimulation (DBS). STN DBS has been applied to movement disorders and is best known as a treatment for Parkinson's disease (PD). While much of the STN is preserved in PD, modulation of its function has been shown to significantly reduce the motor symptoms associated with the disease (Shapiro *et al.*, 2007; Vesper *et al.*, 2002; Williams *et al.*, 2014).

2. STN Involvement in Limbic Function

2.1 DBS of the STN Affects Non-motor Behavior

STN DBS has also been associated with adverse effects in non-motor domains and a wide range of neuropsychiatric changes, including depression (Funkiewiez *et al.*, 2004), anxiety (Kim *et al.*, 2015), suicidal ideation (Funkiewiez *et al.*, 2004), apathy (Drapier *et al.*, 2006), explosive-aggressive behavior (Funkiewiez *et al.*, 2004; Sensi *et al.*, 2004), mania, hypomania (Krack *et al.*, 2001), and impulse control disorders (ICDs) (Volkman *et al.*, 2010; Moum *et al.*, 2012). Studies that have sought to identify clinical predictors or neurobiological correlates of these changes in human DBS patients suggest that their etiology is multi-factorial, including reduction in dopaminergic medication, certain preoperative risk factors, and the possibility of stimulation infiltration into limbic regions in the vicinity of STN (i.e. serotonergic ascending pathways of the zona incerta (ZI), the medial forebrain bundle (Coenen *et al.*, 2009), or the lateral hypothalamus (Mallet *et al.*, 2002)).

It is worth noting, however, that reports of the neuropsychiatric effects of STN DBS have been inconsistent and, in large part, observations have been collected in an unstandardized fashion (Couto *et al.*, 2014). Contrary findings, particularly those demonstrating improvement rather than exacerbation of behavioral disorders, can be found in the literature. For a comprehensive recent review see the work of Kim and colleagues (Kim *et al.*, 2015).

2.2 STN involvement in Limbic Function: Theories and Clinical Evidence

There is growing evidence to support the hypothesis that the STN itself is involved in processing limbic information and that these adverse changes derive from modulation of limbic-processing neurons within the STN rather than simply nearby structures (Rodriguez-Oroz *et al.*, 2012; Mallet *et al.*, 2007; Krack *et al.*, 2010; Fukaya and Yamamoto, 2015). In this view, the STN serves as a way station capable of processing associative and limbic information before being sent to cortical and subcortical regions, thus serving to influence changes in behavior (Demetriades *et al.*, 2011; Temel *et al.*, 2005, 2006). Detailed hypotheses of STN limbic involvement have been advanced (Baláz *et al.*, 2011; Haegelen *et al.*, 2009). For excellent reviews of evidence regarding STN limbic involvement, see the work by Baunez and Lardeux (Baunez and Lardeux, 2011) and Marceglia and colleagues (Marceglia *et al.*, 2011).

The question of how DBS actually alters STN limbic function has received considerable attention, although solutions remain speculative. In addition to the possibility of unintentional electrical current diffusion, the relatively compact size of the STN suggests that a putative limbic region of the STN could be subject to microtraumatic injury during DBS electrode placement (Borden *et al.*, 2014). Alternatively, the small size of the target may contribute to variable electrode placement within the STN, potentially exposing limbic regions to variable amounts of current (York *et al.*, 2009; Accolla *et al.*, 2014). In both cases, these potential outcomes could conceivably vary on a case-by-case basis and explain the relatively unpredictable nature of adverse cognitive outcomes of STN DBS that has been observed (Demetriades *et al.*, 2011; Tsai *et al.*, 2007). Further clinical evidence that modulation of STN activity, rather than that of nearby structures, is occurring in these

patients includes the findings that a STN region infarct can result in clinically relevant behavioral changes (Park *et al.*, 2011) and that subthalamotomies have been associated with cognitive and neuropsychiatric changes, including improvement in apathy and depression and increases in disinhibition (Bickel *et al.*, 2010). Finally, it should be noted that the effects of stimulation of structures in the vicinity of the STN have not been comprehensively studied. However, one small study comparing stimulation via contacts in or near the ZI resulted in fewer cognitive-related side effects than contacts within the STN (Burrows *et al.*, 2012). Case reports of hypomania following STN DBS have shown that the hypomanic state can be induced by stimulation via an electrode contact located in the anteromedial STN while contacts at the boundaries of the STN had no effects on such behavior (Alkemade *et al.*, 2015; Mallet *et al.*, 2007).

2.3 Electrophysiological and Animal Evidence

Tracer Studies Show Connectivity between STN and Limbic Regions—Haynes and Haber's well known tracer studies in macaque monkeys demonstrated the presence of cortical projections from limbic-related areas in prefrontal cortex to the STN (Haynes and Haber, 2013). A study utilizing both retrograde and anterograde tract tracing in non-human primates recently demonstrated connections between the thalamic parafascicular nucleus (PF) and the STN, although these connections were weak in comparison to the striatum (Tandé *et al.*, 2006). The findings of this study could be interpreted to support the idea of a limbic circuitry connecting known limbic portions of the globus pallidus externus (GPe), globus pallidus internus (GPi), and the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) to the STN and striatum via a PF nucleus way station. Finally, another tracer study identified direct projections from the limbic territory of the globus pallidus externus (GPe) to the anterior and medioventral portion of the STN (Karachi *et al.*, 2005). While neither conclusive nor highly descriptive, the available hodological evidence suggests some role for the STN in the brain's limbic-related circuits.

STN Lesions, Stimulation in Rodents Elicit Behavioral Changes—Lesions of the STN have been shown to increase depression-like behavior in rats (Klein *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, bilateral high frequency stimulation of the STN was shown to exert a positive cognitive effect on rats, eliciting decreases in premature responses in a reaction time task (Desbonnet *et al.*, 2004). One provocative electrophysiological study in rats demonstrated that a very high percentage of neurons in the ventral pallidum (VP), a major limbic output region, changed firing patterns in response to stimulation of the STN (Turner *et al.*, 2001). Another study in rats suggested that STN lesions associated with changes in cognitive-related behaviors induced neuronal dendritic rearrangement in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampal neurons (Camacho-Abrego *et al.*, 2014). STN DBS in rats was shown to modulate neurotransmission in limbic brain regions, and specifically spur an increase in dopamine (DA) in the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and a decrease in γ -aminobutyric acid (GABA) in the ventral tegmental area (VTA) (Winter *et al.*, 2008).

Electrophysiological Studies Show STN Modulation During Non-Motor Behaviors—Electrophysiological recordings of event related electrical activities, in both human subjects and in animals, have facilitated an opportunity to study the function of

subcortical brain regions including the STN (Rektor *et al.*, 2014). Electrophysiological studies in both PD patients (Kühn *et al.*, 2005) and in animals (Lardeux *et al.*, 2009) have shown modulation of STN neuronal activity during emotional and motivational processes (Krack *et al.*, 2010). For example, differences in STN neuronal firing have been observed when patients with obsessive compulsive disorder (OCD) were compared to PD counterparts without OCD (Welter *et al.*, 2011). In particular, OCD was associated with lower discharge frequency in subthalamic neurons, and OCD severity was positively correlated with intraburst frequency and more oscillations in the low-frequency bands. In another electrophysiology study in OCD patients, the authors identified a population of STN neurons that modulated during decision making and when the subject was “checking.” In this study, subjects had to determine if two images presented sequentially “matched,” but they were given the option to review the images before submitting their answer for feedback. Checking was defined as a reviewing the images before submitting their answer for feedback, a behavior that is known to be exacerbated in OCD. Interestingly, this study also found that 60% of neurons responded to combinations of movement and feedback, suggesting that the STN plays a role in integrating different types of information (Burbaud *et al.*, 2013).

Mounting evidence also suggests that the STN is involved in reward processing and impulse control on some level, and we will discuss these findings later in this review.

2.4 Neuroimaging Shows Connectivity between STN and Limbic Regions

Neuroimaging studies have provided compelling evidence supporting the limbic pathway involvement of STN. Studies have shown connectivity between limbic areas of cortex and STN (Brunenberg *et al.*, 2012). Intraoperative fMRI imaging of PD patients during STN stimulation demonstrated simultaneous activation of limbic regions (cingulate and insular cortices) (Knight *et al.*, 2015). Similar studies using PET showed increased activation during STN stimulation in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex bilaterally (Haegelen *et al.*, 2005) and the anterior cingulate (Sestini *et al.*, 2002).

Neuroimaging Shows Metabolic Changes in STN During Non-Motor Behaviors

—A diffusion weighted imaging study demonstrated that the integrity of white matter tracts projecting from cortex to STN predicts the ability to “brake” during a response initiation (Coxon *et al.*, 2012). This phenomenon has been hypothesized to occur to create time needed for action reprogramming (e.g. stopping the interference effects) (Coxon *et al.*, 2012). Another imaging study reported an association between premature responding in healthy humans during a stop signal task and decreased intrinsic connectivity of bilateral STN with bilateral subgenual cingulate and right ventral striatum (Morris *et al.*, 2015). Another intriguing study analyzed changes in autonomic response (via heart rate variability) in “expected” and “unexpected” stimulations of the STN in dorsal, middle, and ventral subthalamic regions. It was shown that the autonomic response threshold was higher in the “unexpected” than “expected” condition for both heart rate and sympathetic responses but only in the ventral part of STN (Lanotte *et al.*, 2005). This finding corroborated results of a previous study which showed that ventral STN DBS evoked variable autonomic responses while the dorsal STN did not tie closely to such responses (Benedetti *et al.*, 2004). Additionally, STN DBS has been shown to induce metabolic modifications in brain regions

known to be involved in limbic circuitry (Le Jeune *et al.*, 2010; Hilker *et al.*, 2004). Finally, PET imaging studies have demonstrated that STN DBS can increase apathy and reduce recognition of emotional prosody (Péron *et al.*, 2010) and facial emotion (Le Jeune *et al.*, 2008).

The Tripartite Hypothesis—In 1997, Joel and Weiner comprehensively reviewed primate data pertaining to the topographical organization of STN afferents from the globus pallidus externus (GPe) and STN efferents to the pallidum, striatum and SNr (Joel and Weiner, 1997). Based on assumptions drawn from this data, they postulated that the STN was divided into motor, associative, and limbic territories, with each projecting to corresponding areas in the pallidum and striatum (Joel and Weiner, 1997).

Since then, imaging studies have been used to support the hypothesis of functional sub-regions within the STN (Sauleau *et al.*, 2005), with limbic, associative and motor regions situated within the anterior, mid and posterior portions of the nucleus respectively (Lambert *et al.*, 2012). Findings of morphological and chemical heterogeneity in the nucleus support this functional organization. For example, GABA-ergic interneurons were found to be significantly more numerous in the ventral STN, a region associated with limbic function (Lévesque and Parent, 2005). Furthermore, microinjection of the GABA antagonist bicuculline into the anteromedial STN induced behavioral changes, whereas bicuculline injection into the posterolateral STN induced motor but not behavioral changes (Karachi *et al.*, 2009). Electrophysiological findings bolstered supporters of this hypothesis as a recent study of STN local field potentials (LFPs) found that oscillatory behavior differed by region within the STN (Trottenberg *et al.*, 2007). LFPs are essentially measures of the aggregate electrical current produced by neuronal populations in the vicinity of the electrode contact, and thus they provide a window into neural activity at the population level (Łęski *et al.*, 2013). LFP signals are typically analyzed in terms of their power spectra, by which the intensity (or power) of certain frequencies or frequency bands in the signal can be observed. It was shown that a significantly larger beta band (13-30 Hz) power was found in the dorsolateral part of STN compared to the ventral (associative/limbic) part of STN (Trottenberg *et al.*, 2007). Finally, many clinical studies have provided evidence for a functional STN topography. For example, a prospective study comparing STN DBS patients who had experienced neuropsychological sequelae to those who had not reported these effects showed that the occurrence of a post-surgical neuropsychological event (i.e. onset of mania, hypomania, impulse control disorder, or depression) was positively correlated to the presence of an anteriorly located active contact within the ventral portion of the STN (Tsai *et al.*, 2007).

The Tripartite Hypothesis Reconsidered—The advent of ultra high resolution MRI studies has enabled visualization of small structures such as the STN (150mm³) (Forstmann *et al.*, 2012; Keuken *et al.*, 2013), and the tripartite subdivision hypothesis has thus become the subject of renewed debate (Alkemade and Forstmann, 2014). A recent study employing three-dimensional analysis of motor and non-motor DBS outcomes demonstrated complex relationships between neuroanatomical region within the STN and different aspects of mood and cognition. Ultimately, the authors' findings did not endorse the idea of a complete

functional segregation within the STN, but rather suggested that the STN was likely a convergence site for functionally distinct afferent and efferent information projecting to motor, cognitive, and limbic regions (Eisenstein *et al.*, 2014). In sum, the evidence that the STN mediates limbic processing appears strong, although precisely when, where, and how the STN mediates non-motor behavior is not well understood.

2. Impulse Control, Parkinson's disease, and the STN: Major Concepts

STN DBS can alter impulse control and may induce or exacerbate impulse control disorders (ICDs) in PD patients. Because the STN's involvement in impulse control is a focus of the present review, this construct and its dysfunction—impulsivity—will now be discussed. And because a considerable majority of the research performed on impulse control and the STN involves PD patients with ICDs, a focus will be on this phenomenon and this disease population.

A concise and consensual definition of impulsivity remains elusive, in part due to the fact that behaviors considered “impulsive” can be heterogeneous and because definitions linked to specific cognitive mechanisms and measured with performance tasks do not always correlate to actual observable impulsive behaviors (Kocka and Gagnon, 2014). As Kocka and Gagnon noted in their excellent review of impulsivity definitions, there does seem to be a consensus that impulsivity is a multidimensional construct and that it generally “encompasses a multitude of behaviors or responses that are poorly conceived, premature, inappropriate, and that frequently result in unwanted or deleterious outcomes” (Kocka and Gagnon, 2014). They further noted that in many cases, the term “disinhibition” is synonymous with impulsivity. In contrast to impulsivity, compulsivity, well articulated by Mosley and Marsh, reflects “a maladaptive *perseveration* of behavior that is inappropriate to the situation and results in undesirable consequences” (Mosley and Marsh, 2015). For our purposes, we will make all attempts to specify a given study's dimension of interest within the multi-dimensional construct of impulsivity.

ICDs can manifest in a spectrum of behaviors, including hypersexuality, pathological gambling, compulsive buying, binge eating, hobbyism, and punding (Balarajah and Cavanna, 2013). ICDs represent a particular manifestation of dysfunction in impulse/action control; phenomenologically they involve both impulsive (with respect to response initiation) and compulsive (with respect to response termination) dimensions (Mosley and Marsh, 2015). ICDs are a fairly common comorbidity of PD, with a prevalence of 6-14% in the PD population (Kim *et al.*, 2015; Zhang *et al.*, 2014). The development of ICDs has been largely associated with the chronic use of dopaminergic agonist (DA) medications; approximately 40% of PD patients on DA therapy may experience an ICD (Garcia-Ruiz *et al.*, 2014). Decreases in these medications often ameliorate ICDs. It would be expected then that reductions in dopaminergic drugs following STN DBS would be associated with a decrease in ICDs. However, change in ICD status post-STN DBS has been at best inconsistent across reported studies (Santangelo *et al.*, 2013). In two large studies, ICDs have been shown to worsen after DBS (Gee *et al.*, 2015). In others, STN DBS has been associated with improvement in, (Gee *et al.*, 2015) and resolution of, pre-existing ICDs,

even in studies that control for dopaminergic medications (Demetriades *et al.*, 2011) (Ardouin *et al.*, 2006).

Many of the changes in impulsivity observed clinically have been reproduced in animal models. For example, Baunez and colleagues showed that lesioning the STN (a procedure with comparable motor outcomes to DBS) improved akinesia but induced impulsive behavior in the 6-hydroxy-dopamine rat model of PD (Baunez *et al.*, 1995; Krack *et al.*, 2010) and, later, that bilateral stimulation of the STN induced perseverative behavior in dopamine-depleted rats and in controls (Baunez *et al.*, 2007).

Here, we review the clinical and basic science literature pertaining to the STN's role in impulse control and related constructs, such as valence processing. Improved understanding of the dynamic role of the STN in impulse control may lead to improved therapies for ICDs in PD and improved methods for delivery of DBS and medication optimization that may possibly decrease ICD-related risk.

2.1 Sub-facets of Impulsivity

As Bari and Robbins have noted, impulsivity requires the co-occurrence of dysfunctional inhibitory processes and strong desires or urges to act (Bari and Robbins, 2013). Despite the term's widespread use, "impulsivity" is a heterogeneous construct. Indeed, it has been argued that impulsivity may actually consist of multiple sub-facets that can be studied independently (Dick *et al.*, 2010). Given this heterogeneity, any discussion of the role of the STN in impulse control first requires a framework for what constitutes impulsivity. Recent models have linked five dispositions or traits to so-called rash action: positive urgency (the tendency to act rashly when experiencing a positive mood), negative urgency (the tendency to act rashly when experiencing a negative mood), lack of planning (tendency to act without forethought), lack of perseverance (a failure to remain focused despite distraction), and sensation seeking (a tendency to seek out novel or thrilling stimulation) (Dick *et al.*, 2010).

Given the inherent subjectivity of assessing the aforementioned traits, laboratory tasks have been designed to objectively measure variability in different cognitive processes believed to contribute to impulsive behavior (Dick *et al.*, 2010). In particular, tasks measuring five aspects of impulsive behavior have been advanced: 1) prepotent response inhibition, which refers to "the ability suppress dominant, automatic or prepotent responses", (examples include the Go-No Go task, the Stop Signal Task, the Continuous Performance Task); 2) resistance to distractor interference, which refers to avoiding interference from task-irrelevant information; 3) resistance to proactive interference, which refers to "resisting memory intrusions of information previously, but no longer relevant to the task"; 4) ability to delay response to obtain a larger reward; and 5) distorted judgments of elapsed time (Dick *et al.*, 2010). Performance on response inhibition and delay discounting tasks are the most widely utilized in human studies, while animal studies utilize these task categories almost exclusively (Dick *et al.*, 2010). Indeed, the latter the two task categories that delineate the most commonly accepted subdivision of impulsivity are response inhibition and delay discounting (Bari and Robbins, 2013).

Impulsivity and the Role of Valence—The selection of actions is intimately tied to valence, that is, the degree to which the predicted outcome of a particular action is perceived to be rewarding (positive) or aversive (negative) (Guitart-Masip *et al.*, 2014). It follows that aberrations in the processing of valence may sub-serve aberrations in action control, such as impulsivity. Indeed, ICDs are characterized by repetitive, reward-based behaviors (Okai *et al.*, 2011). A relationship between motor impulsivity scores and neural oscillations during reward and punishment feedback in healthy controls has been recently observed (Leicht *et al.*, 2013). The question then arises: do individuals behave impulsively as a result of a hypersensitivity to prospective reward, a hyposensitivity to prospective loss, some combination of the two, or something else entirely?

Hypersensitivity to reward (Oberg *et al.*, 2011) rather than hyposensitivity to loss (Hewig *et al.*, 2010) has been associated with problem gamblers especially in imaging studies. PD patients with pathological gambling have likewise shown reward hypersensitivity compared to disease-matched controls, with antiglutamatergic therapy (amantadine) associated with decreases in reward hypersensitivity at least in a few patients (Cera *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, network modeling studies of PD patients with ICDs on dopaminergic medication suggest that these patients are hypersensitive to reward compared to loss, while PD patients with ICDs not on dopaminergic medication were hypersensitive to loss but not to reward (Balasubramani *et al.*, 2015). Meanwhile, PD patients without ICDs showed no difference between reward and punishment learning (Balasubramani *et al.*, 2015). In another study, PD patients with ICDs were shown to exhibit hyposensitivity to loss (Piray *et al.*, 2014). Multiple studies have demonstrated that dopaminergic medications in PD patients—highly associated with ICDs—prevents learning from negative decision outcomes (Frank, 2006) (Frank, 2005) (Cools *et al.*, 2006) (Frank and O'Reilly, 2006). PD was shown to be associated with enhanced devaluation of future rewards, which can be viewed as an indicator of an increased impulsive choice (Evens *et al.*, 2015). Furthermore, rodent studies have shown that impaired response inhibition (a surrogate for impulse behavior) is associated with reward hypersensitivity (Diergaarde *et al.*, 2009).

Finally, the recent work by Guitart-Masip and colleagues deserves mention due its instrumental role in demonstrating not only the interaction between action control and valence, but also the role of the STN in mediating this interaction. In one study, the potential role of STN DBS in modulating a PD patient's ability to act in different valence contexts was explored. PD patients (both ON and OFF stimulation) and healthy controls (HC) performed the go/no-go task where valence and action were decoupled. Task performance was better for HC when acting for a reward versus acting to avoid punishment. PD patients showed comparable behavior only when STN stimulation was ON, and, in fact performance ultimately exceeded that of HC (Wagenbreth *et al.*, 2015).

In another study, this same task was administered in healthy younger and healthy older adults during structural MRI. Assuming rational behavior, all four action-valence conditions in this task should have been learned equally well. However, it was shown that the study participants, regardless of age, were better at learning to act for reward and not acting to avoid punishment (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2013). These behavioral biases are believed to be hard-wired tendencies that “corrupt” attempts at instrumental learning, that is, attempts to

efficiently and appropriately tailor responses to obtain desired outcomes. Interestingly, older participants that were able to overcome these biases exhibited greater structure integrity of the STN (Chowdhury *et al.*, 2013).

3. A Subthalamic Locus for Valence Processing

It has been hypothesized that stopping an ongoing response involves cortical areas sending a “stop command” to basal ganglia structures, which intercept the go response and in turn decrease excitability of M1 (Bari and Robbins, 2013; Greenhouse *et al.*, 2011). The STN serves as a main recipient of cortical stop commands via direct connections to the pre-supplementary motor area (pre-SMA) and inferior frontal gyrus (IFG) (Aron *et al.*, 2007; Bari and Robbins, 2013). This so-called *hyperdirect* pathway permits rapid inhibition of ongoing actions by increasing inhibitory signals from the globus pallidus, thus inhibiting output from the basal ganglia (Bari and Robbins, 2013). The engagement of the STN during response inhibition in this manner has been well documented, mainly through electrophysiological studies of PD patients as well as through studies in rats and monkeys (Bari and Robbins, 2013). Interestingly, this widely accepted model for STN involvement in response inhibition conceptualizes the STN as a way station for a cortical stop commands but makes no claim about the role of the STN in the valence processing that is integral to action control. Yet a growing body of evidence suggests STN involvement in value processing. Studies in rats (Kantak *et al.*, 2013; Lardeux and Baunez, 2007; Teagarden and Rebec, 2007) (Breyse *et al.*, 2015), monkeys (Espinosa-Parrilla *et al.*, 2013) (Espinosa-Parrilla *et al.*, 2015), and humans (Brücke *et al.*, 2007) implicate the STN in mediating valence processing (Mulder *et al.*, 2014).

3.1 Valence Processing in the STN: Rodent Studies

STN Neurons Modulate in Response to Reward Expectation, Delivery, and Magnitude—Teagarden and colleagues demonstrated in a rat model that STN neurons increased firing rate prior to reward-based reinforcement and decreased after reward delivery. They also observed increased STN firing rates when reward reinforcement was unexpectedly withheld. Importantly, STN and striatal (STR) neurons were examined concurrently in this study. It was found that both the STN and STR neurons responded to valence-related cues but that response profiles differed, suggesting that STN and STR encode different information (Teagarden and Rebec, 2007). A later study by Lardeux and colleagues corroborated these findings, and, in addition, provided evidence that the STN encodes the magnitude of the reward received (Lardeux *et al.*, 2009). In their experiment, rats performed a lever cue test with variable sucrose rewards while single unit activity of STN neurons was recorded. Behavioral data showed significantly faster movement times towards the lever for a 32% sucrose solution compared to a 4% sucrose solution. However, when the 32% sucrose was replaced by pure water, the time to reach the 4% did not change, suggesting that higher reward was preferred to a known and available lesser reward. STN neurons modulated firing rate differentially in response to the receipt of different outcomes, suggesting that STN neurons encode information based on preferred rewards for events, not merely reward in general.

STN Neurons Encode Reward Preference and Reward Prediction Error—A later study by Lardeux and colleagues showed that STN neurons modulated firing rates differentially in response to cocaine and sucrose rewards. Interestingly, this same study showed that when reward values changed (i.e. an expected reward was delayed, changed, not delivered), STN activity also modulated in a way consistent with a role in processing errors in reward prediction (Lardeux *et al.*, 2013).

STN Stimulation and STN Lesions Modulate Perceptions of Reward—A recent study showed that STN stimulation in rats diminished c-Fos gene activation in the striatum that is typically observed during acute cocaine administration, suggesting that STN DBS might decrease the reinforcing properties of the drug (Hachem-Delaunay *et al.*, 2015). In another study, it was shown that STN-lesioned rats showed less impulsive behavior compared to non-lesioned controls in a delay-discounting task where impulsivity was defined as selection of a small immediate reward over a large delayed reward. This finding, however, does not preclude the possibility that STN-lesioned rats are merely more sensitive to reward and may therefore modulate their behavior simply to attain greater reinforcement (Winstanley *et al.*, 2005).

STN lesions (Baunez *et al.*, 2005) and STN DBS (Rouaud *et al.*, 2010) have also been shown to alter rats' preference for rewards in a context dependent way: both lesioning and stimulation have shown to reduce a rats' motivation to work for cocaine and to increase motivation to work for food (sucrose), suggesting that STN DBS may exert an effect on the positive affective properties of these rewards (Rouaud *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, another study by Lardeux and Baunez demonstrated that STN lesions could affect reward motivation in an even more complex way; they showed that STN lesions enhanced the motivation for alcohol in rats with a preexisting high alcohol preference but decreased it in rats that showed a preexisting low preference for alcohol (Lardeux and Baunez, 2007). Bilateral STN lesions have also been shown to enhance motivation for food in rats (Baunez *et al.*, 2002).

3.2 Valence Processing in the STN: Primate Studies

In a study of single neuron activity in two behaving monkeys, Espinosa-Parrilla and colleagues demonstrated that STN neurons modulated in response to reward during task performance as well as to the expectation of reward delivery when the reward was delayed in time (Espinosa-Parrilla *et al.*, 2013). Interestingly, it was shown that movement-related modulations were combined with reward delivery modulations, suggesting a “convergence of signals related to the animal's movement and its outcome in the same neurons” (Espinosa-Parrilla *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, the receipt of a reward was sufficient to induce this modulation; reward-related modulations were not contingent on instrumental response or reward-predictive cues (Espinosa-Parrilla *et al.*, 2013). DBS of the anterior STN in monkeys dramatically reduced stereotypies following bicuculline-induced dysfunction of the GPe (Karachi *et al.*, 2009).

STN Neurons Modulate in Response to Reward Expectation and Delivery in Monkeys—In 2005, Darbaky and colleagues investigated STN neuronal activity in a monkey performing arm-reaching movements to obtain a liquid reward. They showed that

neurons were modulated during movement, just before reward delivery, and during reward delivery (Darbaky *et al.*, 2005).

3.3 Valence Processing in the STN: Human Studies

It is important to note that currently electrophysiological recordings of the STN can only be performed in patients with a medical need for DBS (Alkemade *et al.*, 2015).

Neuroimaging: STN Activity Increases During Reward Processing—Functional neuroimaging studies of opioid dependent men showed significant increases in the STN in response to heroin-related stimuli, suggesting involvement of the STN in reward processing (Zijlstra *et al.*, 2009). Probabilistic tractography has demonstrated a structural connection between ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC) and STN and that the strength of this connection predicts the magnitude of value-driven choice bias (Mulder *et al.*, 2014).

Computational Modeling of STN Function Predicts Valence-Dependent Behavior—An important study by Frank and colleagues found that PD patients in the ON STN DBS state (off dopaminergic medications) responded more rapidly to high conflict trials (when valences were more similar) in a probabilistic learning task, indicating a tendency toward impulsive action. Non-DBS patients on dopaminergic medication, however, did not exhibit this trend. However, the patients in this study had an impaired ability to learn from negative outcomes, a finding consistent with other studies mentioned above. Most interestingly, an a priori computational model of the basal ganglia in decision-making predicted the observed dynamics, while suggesting that the STN receives value-based information from cortical regions and processes this information in such a way as to provide a dynamic modulatory signal during facilitation and suppression responses (Frank, 2006).

Treatment of this topic would be incomplete without mentioning that the work by Frank *et al.* motivated a subsequent study by Ballanger and colleagues to investigate whether the observed “braking” function of the STN occurred not only in high-conflict scenarios but in low-conflict scenarios as well (Ballanger *et al.*, 2009). The authors postulated that the STN plays a central role in the inhibition of any response. To test this hypothesis, the authors had PD patients post-STN DBS perform both a Go-No Go task and a control Go task, under stimulation ON and OFF conditions. They found that STN DBS induced a global decrease in reaction time but impaired response inhibition (Ballanger *et al.*, 2009).

Electrophysiology: Theta Band Coherence Associated with Impulsivity—Rodriguez-Oroz and colleagues recorded LFPs from electrodes implanted in the STN of 28 PD patients (Rodriguez-Oroz *et al.*, 2011). They compared oscillatory activity in a subgroup of the population diagnosed with an ICD (n=10) to a non-ICD subgroup. LFPs were recorded in both ON and OFF stimulation state. In the ON state, the presence of an ICD was associated with oscillations in the 4-10 Hz frequency band (theta-alpha), originating from the ventral subthalamic area. In addition, they observed cortico-subthalamic coherence, which in the ICD patients was more frequent in the 4-7.5 Hz range (Rodriguez-Oroz *et al.*,

2011) compared to non-ICD controls. Coherence is a measure of the correlation in neuronal activity between brain regions, with activity being measured in frequency components.

Electrophysiology: Dopamine Modulates STN Response to Positive Valence—

In another study, LFPs were recorded from the STN while PD patients undergoing DBS electrode placement performed an emotional categorization task (Buot *et al.*, 2013). In this task, responses varied according to the perceived valence of stimuli (pleasant, unpleasant, or neutral). Pleasant, unpleasant, and neutral stimuli evoked an event related potential (ERP). The authors found that ERP amplitudes were significantly larger for the unpleasant pictures compared to the neutral ones, with the magnitude of this effect greatest in the ventral part of the STN.

Electrophysiology: Valence Encoding by the STN is Asymmetric—In a study examining spontaneous spiking activity of STN neurons in 17 PD patients undergoing DBS electrode placement, emotive auditory stimuli evoked changes in STN neuronal activity in the right ventral STN, but these changes were not observed in the left ventral STN or the right and left dorsal STN (Eitan *et al.*, 2013).

4. Impulsivity and the STN

We have already discussed at length both the cross-sectional and anecdotal evidence demonstrating that STN DBS can induce changes in impulse control. In this section, we provide an overview of the laboratory-based studies that have been explicitly designed to elucidate mechanisms underlying the putative involvement of the STN in these changes. We focus here on recent and seminal work in this field, while also seeking to highlight unanswered questions. For comprehensive reviews, see Zavala *et al.* (Zavala *et al.*, 2015) and Jahanshahi (Jahanshahi, 2013).

Behavioral Data Shows Contrasting Effects of STN DBS on Impulse Control

In a test of risky decision-making, PD patients post-STN DBS took more risks than healthy controls; however, they behaved more conservatively than their PD counterparts who had not received DBS. Moreover, this effect was more pronounced in the DBS ON condition versus OFF (Brandt *et al.*, 2015). A study by Boller and colleagues corroborated these findings, demonstrating higher risk behavior in the DBS off condition versus DBS on. Interestingly, they were also able to show that DBS made no difference in the patient's ability to make use of negative feedback (Boller *et al.*, 2014). However, a study by Plessow and colleagues had somewhat contrasting results (Plessow *et al.*, 2014). Performance of PD patients post STN-DBS on a behavioral task were compared in the DBS on and DBS off states. The behavioral task tested the relationship between automatic response impulses and goal-directed action selection. Results showed enhanced automatic response activation during stimulation (i.e. increased susceptibility to impulsive responses). These findings in humans align with a rodent study which reported that STN DBS increased premature responding in a rat gambling task (Aleksandrova *et al.*, 2013).

Finally, one recent study in humans demonstrated both positive and negative effects of STN DBS on impulsivity, highlighting the dynamic and complex nature of impulse control in the

context of goal-directed behavior. Wylie and colleagues compared PD patients post STN DBS (both ON and OFF stim) and HC in regard to performance on a reaction time task. They showed that stimulation increased fast response errors, suggesting that stimulation increased impulsive, premature responding in high conflict situations. Interestingly, stimulation actually improved the capacity to suppress interference effects of the task as time passed (Wylie *et al.*, 2010).

The contrasting results of these studies suggest that STN DBS does exert an effect on impulse control but that this effect may not be uniform. This interpretation conforms to the findings in clinical studies where both improvement and worsening of ICD symptoms have been observed. As we've discussed, impulsivity is a complex construct. It seems plausible the pre-existing factors may predispose patients toward a given outcome of stimulation, but certainly further research is needed to elucidate these factors.

5. Conclusions and Future Directions

There is significant evidence from a variety of sources that the STN plays an important role in limbic function. However, the precise mechanisms of STN involvement in cognition, emotion, and behavior remain elusive. Meanwhile, the functional topography of the STN—once widely accepted to be clearly divided into motor, associative, and limbic regions—has been called into question. Consequently, the STN's role in non-motor behavior may be regarded as, in the least, dynamic and complex. Among the limbic functions involving the STN, impulse control has arguably been the most intensely studied in recent years. As reviewed above, STN dysfunction does not lead to impulsivity in all behavioral situations (Frank, 2006). These findings suggest not only that STN function is complex but also that the constructs of action control and impulsivity, which the STN sub-serves, are as well. We have discussed the importance of valence to these constructs, and we have demonstrated that there is empirical support indicating that the STN processes valence and that it does so in the context of action selection. These data further suggest that changes in valence processing in an STN-dependent circuit may influence action selection and potentially contribute to impulsive behavior. What remains unclear from the behavioral studies mentioned above is whether (and how) STN neuronal activity modulates during action selection in valence-dependent ways. Future studies are required to elucidate the neural correlates of the observed behavioral differences between PD patients with ICD versus those without ICD, between PD patients versus healthy controls, and between other combinations of populations mentioned in the studies described above. Most importantly, such future studies should seek to investigate neural correlates emerging from STN activity, as the evidence suggests that this structure plays an integral role in determining these behavioral differences. Newly available electrophysiological techniques enabling researchers to chronically record subcortical LFP data from awake, behaving humans—as well as simultaneously record these LFPs from multiple brain regions (Gunduz *et al.*, 2015)—may result in provocative insights when applied to these open questions about limbic STN.

References

- Accolla EA, Dukart J, Helms G, Weiskopf N, Kherif F, Lutti A, et al. Brain tissue properties differentiate between motor and limbic basal ganglia circuits. *Hum. Brain Mapp.* 2014; 35:5083–5092. [PubMed: 24777915]
- Aleksandrova LR, Creed MC, Fletcher PJ, Lobo DSS, Hamani C, Nobrega JN. Deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus increases premature responding in a rat gambling task. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2013; 245:76–82. [PubMed: 23434606]
- Alkemade A, Forstmann BU. Do we need to revise the tripartite subdivision hypothesis of the human subthalamic nucleus (STN)? *NeuroImage.* 2014; 95:326–329. [PubMed: 24642281]
- Alkemade A, Schnitzler A, Forstmann BU. Topographic organization of the human and non-human primate subthalamic nucleus. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 2015
- Ardouin C, Voon V, Worbe Y, Abouazar N, Czernecki V, Hosseini H, et al. Pathological gambling in Parkinson's disease improves on chronic subthalamic nucleus stimulation. *Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc.* 2006; 21:1941–1946.
- Aron AR, Behrens TE, Smith S, Frank MJ, Poldrack RA. Triangulating a cognitive control network using diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and functional MRI. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 2007; 27:3743–3752.
- Balarajah S, Cavanna AE. The pathophysiology of impulse control disorders in Parkinson disease. *Behav. Neurol.* 2013; 26:237–244. [PubMed: 22713408]
- Balasubramani, PP.; Chakravarthy, VS.; Ali, M.; Ravindran, B.; Moustafa, AA. Identifying the Basal Ganglia Network Model Markers for Medication-Induced Impulsivity in Parkinson's Disease Patients [Internet]; PLoS ONE. 2015. p. 10[cited 2015 Aug 4] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4456385/>
- Baláz M, Bo ková M, Rektorová I, Rektor I. Involvement of the subthalamic nucleus in cognitive functions -- a concept. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 2011; 310:96–99. [PubMed: 21917269]
- Ballanger B, van Eimeren T, Moro E, Lozano AM, Hamani C, Boulinguez P, et al. Stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus and impulsivity: release your horses. *Ann. Neurol.* 2009; 66:817–824. [PubMed: 20035509]
- Bari A, Robbins TW. Inhibition and impulsivity: behavioral and neural basis of response control. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 2013; 108:44–79. [PubMed: 23856628]
- Baunez C, Amalric M, Robbins TW. Enhanced food-related motivation after bilateral lesions of the subthalamic nucleus. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 2002; 22:562–568.
- Baunez C, Christakou A, Chudasama Y, Forni C, Robbins TW. Bilateral high-frequency stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus on attentional performance: transient deleterious effects and enhanced motivation in both intact and parkinsonian rats. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2007; 25:1187–1194. [PubMed: 17331214]
- Baunez C, Dias C, Cador M, Amalric M. The subthalamic nucleus exerts opposite control on cocaine and 'natural' rewards. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2005; 8:484–489. [PubMed: 15793577]
- Baunez C, Lardeux S. Frontal cortex-like functions of the subthalamic nucleus. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 2011; 5:83. [PubMed: 22013416]
- Baunez C, Nieoullon A, Amalric M. In a rat model of parkinsonism, lesions of the subthalamic nucleus reverse increases of reaction time but induce a dramatic premature responding deficit. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 1995; 15:6531–6541.
- Benedetti F, Colloca L, Lanotte M, Bergamasco B, Torre E, Lopiano L. Autonomic and emotional responses to open and hidden stimulations of the human subthalamic region. *Brain Res. Bull.* 2004; 63:203–211. [PubMed: 15145139]
- Bickel S, Alvarez L, Macias R, Pavon N, Leon M, Fernandez C, et al. Cognitive and neuropsychiatric effects of subthalamotomy for Parkinson's disease. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 2010; 16:535–539. [PubMed: 20650671]
- Boller JK, Barbe MT, Pauls KAM, Reck C, Brand M, Maier F, et al. Decision-making under risk is improved by both dopaminergic medication and subthalamic stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 2014; 254:70–77. [PubMed: 24444545]

- Borden A, Wallon D, Lefaucheur R, Derrey S, Fetter D, Verin M, et al. Does early verbal fluency decline after STN implantation predict long-term cognitive outcome after STN-DBS in Parkinson's disease? *J. Neurol. Sci.* 2014; 346:299–302. [PubMed: 25125047]
- Brandt J, Rogerson M, Al-Joudi H, Reckess G, Shpritz B, Umeh CC, et al. Betting on DBS: Effects of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation on risk taking and decision making in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychology.* 2015; 29:622–631. [PubMed: 25486385]
- Breyse, E.; Pelloux, Y.; Baunez, C. The Good and Bad Differentially Encoded within the Subthalamic Nucleus in Rats., [Internet]; *eNeuro.* 2015. p. 2[cited 2015 Oct 26] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4607759/>
- Brücke C, Kupsch A, Schneider G-H, Hariz MI, Nuttin B, Kopp U, et al. The subthalamic region is activated during valence-related emotional processing in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2007; 26:767–774. [PubMed: 17686048]
- Brunenberg EJJ, Moeskops P, Backes WH, Pollo C, Cammoun L, Vilanova A, et al. Structural and resting state functional connectivity of the subthalamic nucleus: identification of motor STN parts and the hyperdirect pathway. *PloS One.* 2012; 7:e39061. [PubMed: 22768059]
- Buot A, Welter M-L, Karachi C, Pochon J-B, Bardinet E, Yelnik J, et al. Processing of emotional information in the human subthalamic nucleus. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry.* 2013; 84:1331–1338. [PubMed: 23100448]
- Burbaud P, Clair A-H, Langbour N, Fernandez-Vidal S, Goillandeau M, Michelet T, et al. Neuronal activity correlated with checking behaviour in the subthalamic nucleus of patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Brain J. Neurol.* 2013; 136:304–317.
- Burrows AM, Ravin PD, Novak P, Peters MLB, Dessureau B, Swearer J, et al. Limbic and motor function comparison of deep brain stimulation of the zona incerta and subthalamic nucleus. *Neurosurgery.* 2012; 70:125–130. discussion 130–131. [PubMed: 21869721]
- Camacho-Abrego I, Tellez-Merlo G, Melo AI, Rodríguez-Moreno A, Garcés L, De La Cruz F, et al. Rearrangement of the dendritic morphology of the neurons from prefrontal cortex and hippocampus after subthalamic lesion in Sprague-Dawley rats. *Synap. N. Y. N.* 2014; 68:114–126.
- Cera N, Bifulchetti S, Martinotti G, Gambi F, Sepede G, Onofri M, et al. Amantadine and cognitive flexibility: decision making in Parkinson's patients with severe pathological gambling and other impulse control disorders. *Neuropsychiatr. Dis. Treat.* 2014; 10:1093–1101. [PubMed: 24971012]
- Chowdhury R, Guitart-Masip M, Lambert C, Dolan RJ, Düzel E. Structural integrity of the substantia nigra and subthalamic nucleus predicts flexibility of instrumental learning in older-age individuals. *Neurobiol. Aging.* 2013; 34:2261–2270. [PubMed: 23623600]
- Coenen VA, Honey CR, Hurwitz T, Rahman AA, McMaster J, Bürgel U, et al. Medial forebrain bundle stimulation as a pathophysiological mechanism for hypomania in subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. *Neurosurgery.* 2009; 64:1106–1114. discussion 1114–1115. [PubMed: 19487890]
- Cools R, Altamirano L, D'Esposito M. Reversal learning in Parkinson's disease depends on medication status and outcome valence. *Neuropsychologia.* 2006; 44:1663–1673. [PubMed: 16730032]
- Couto MI, Monteiro A, Oliveira A, Lunet N, Massano J. Depression and anxiety following deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Médica Port.* 2014; 27:372–382.
- Coxon JP, Impe AV, Wenderoth N, Swinnen SP. Aging and Inhibitory Control of Action: Cortico-Subthalamic Connection Strength Predicts Stopping Performance. *J. Neurosci.* 2012; 32:8401–8412. [PubMed: 22699920]
- Darbaky Y, Baunez C, Arecchi P, Legallet E, Apicella P. Reward-related neuronal activity in the subthalamic nucleus of the monkey. *Neuroreport.* 2005; 16:1241–1244. [PubMed: 16012357]
- Demetriades, P.; Rickards, H.; Cavanna, AE. Impulse Control Disorders Following Deep Brain Stimulation of the Subthalamic Nucleus in Parkinson's Disease: Clinical Aspects [Internet]; *Park. Dis.* 2011. p. 2011[cited 2015 Aug 4] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3043299/>

- Desbonnet L, Temel Y, Visser-Vandewalle V, Blokland A, Hornikx V, Steinbusch HWM. Premature responding following bilateral stimulation of the rat subthalamic nucleus is amplitude and frequency dependent. *Brain Res.* 2004; 1008:198–204. [PubMed: 15145757]
- Dick DM, Smith G, Olausson P, Mitchell SH, Leeman RF, O'Malley SS, et al. Understanding the construct of impulsivity and its relationship to alcohol use disorders. *Addict. Biol.* 2010; 15:217–226. [PubMed: 20148781]
- Diergaarde L, Pattij T, Nawijn L, Schoffeleers ANM, De Vries TJ. Trait impulsivity predicts escalation of sucrose seeking and hypersensitivity to sucrose-associated stimuli. *Behav. Neurosci.* 2009; 123:794–803. [PubMed: 19634937]
- Drapier D, Drapier S, Sauleau P, Haegelen C, Raoul S, Biseul I, et al. Does subthalamic nucleus stimulation induce apathy in Parkinson's disease? *J. Neurol.* 2006; 253:1083–1091. [PubMed: 16607469]
- Eisenstein SA, Koller JM, Black KD, Campbell MC, Lugar HM, Ushe M, et al. Functional anatomy of subthalamic nucleus stimulation in Parkinson disease. *Ann. Neurol.* 2014; 76:279–295. [PubMed: 24953991]
- Eitan R, Shamir RR, Linetsky E, Rosenbluh O, Moshel S, Ben-Hur T, et al. Asymmetric right/left encoding of emotions in the human subthalamic nucleus. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 2013; 7:69. [PubMed: 24194703]
- Espinosa-Parrilla J-F, Baunez C, Apicella P. Linking reward processing to behavioral output: motor and motivational integration in the primate subthalamic nucleus. *Front. Comput. Neurosci.* 2013; 7:175. [PubMed: 24381555]
- Espinosa-Parrilla J-F, Baunez C, Apicella P. Modulation of neuronal activity by reward identity in the monkey subthalamic nucleus. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2015; 42:1705–1717. [PubMed: 25943702]
- Evens R, Stankevich Y, Dshemuchadse M, Storch A, Wolz M, Reichmann H, et al. The impact of Parkinson's disease and subthalamic deep brain stimulation on reward processing. *Neuropsychologia.* 2015; 75:11–19. [PubMed: 25976111]
- Forstmann BU, Keuken MC, Jahfari S, Bazin P-L, Neumann J, Schäfer A, et al. Cortico-subthalamic white matter tract strength predicts interindividual efficacy in stopping a motor response. *NeuroImage.* 2012; 60:370–375. [PubMed: 2227131]
- Frank MJ. Dynamic dopamine modulation in the basal ganglia: a neurocomputational account of cognitive deficits in medicated and nonmedicated Parkinsonism. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2005; 17:51–72. [PubMed: 15701239]
- Frank MJ. Hold your horses: a dynamic computational role for the subthalamic nucleus in decision making. *Neural Netw. Off. J. Int. Neural Netw. Soc.* 2006; 19:1120–1136.
- Frank MJ, O'Reilly RC. A mechanistic account of striatal dopamine function in human cognition: psychopharmacological studies with cabergoline and haloperidol. *Behav. Neurosci.* 2006; 120:497–517. [PubMed: 16768602]
- Fukaya C, Yamamoto T. Deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease: recent trends and future direction. *Neurol. Med. Chir. (Tokyo).* 2015; 55:422–431. [PubMed: 25925761]
- Funkiewiez A, Ardouin C, Caputo E, Krack P, Fraix V, Klinger H, et al. Long term effects of bilateral subthalamic nucleus stimulation on cognitive function, mood, and behaviour in Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry.* 2004; 75:834–839. [PubMed: 15145995]
- Garcia-Ruiz PJ, Martinez Castrillo JC, Alonso-Canovas A, Herranz Barcenas A, Vela L, Sanchez Alonso P, et al. Impulse control disorder in patients with Parkinson's disease under dopamine agonist therapy: a multicentre study. *J. Neurol. Neurosurg. Psychiatry.* 2014; 85:840–844. [PubMed: 24434037]
- Gee L, Smith H, De La Cruz P, Campbell J, Fama C, Haller J, et al. The Influence of Bilateral Subthalamic Nucleus Deep Brain Stimulation on Impulsivity and Prepulse Inhibition in Parkinson's Disease Patients. *Stereotact. Funct. Neurosurg.* 2015; 93:265–270. [PubMed: 26066569]
- Greenhouse, I.; Swann, NC.; Aron, AR. Fronto-basal-ganglia circuits for stopping action [Internet]; *Neural Basis Motiv. Cogn. Control.* 2011. p. 189[cited 2015 Aug 5] Available from: <http://books.google.com/books?>

hl=en&lr=&id=A_eoYgtLmFMC&oi=fnd&pg=PA189&dq=info:tSbAIu32TzcJ:scholar.google.com&ots=YdmRHP-rLW&sig=3BZtsfOcSmRKyoFxZ5PrkzpxD1c

- Guitart-Masip M, Duzel E, Dolan R, Dayan P. Action versus valence in decision making. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 2014; 18:194–202. [PubMed: 24581556]
- Gunduz A, Morita H, Rossi PJ, Allen WL, Alterman RL, Bronte-Stewart H, et al. Proceedings of the Second Annual Deep Brain Stimulation Think Tank: What's in the Pipeline. *Int. J. Neurosci.* 2015:1–11.
- Hachem-Delaunay S, Fournier M-L, Cohen C, Bonneau N, Cador M, Baunez C, et al. Subthalamic nucleus high-frequency stimulation modulates neuronal reactivity to cocaine within the reward circuit. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 2015; 80:54–62. [PubMed: 25982833]
- Haegelen C, Rouaud T, Darnault P, Morandi X. The subthalamic nucleus is a key-structure of limbic basal ganglia functions. *Med. Hypotheses.* 2009; 72:421–426. [PubMed: 19157719]
- Haegelen C, Verin M, Broche BA, Prigent F, Jannin P, Gibaud B, et al. Does subthalamic nucleus stimulation affect the frontal limbic areas? A single-photon emission computed tomography study using a manual anatomical segmentation method. *Surg. Radiol. Anat. SRA.* 2005; 27:389–394. [PubMed: 16160830]
- Haynes WIA, Haber SN. The Organization of Prefrontal-Subthalamic Inputs in Primates Provides an Anatomical Substrate for Both Functional Specificity and Integration: Implications for Basal Ganglia Models and Deep Brain Stimulation. *J. Neurosci.* 2013; 33:4804–4814. [PubMed: 23486951]
- Hewig J, Kretschmer N, Trippe RH, Hecht H, Coles MGH, Holroyd CB, et al. Hypersensitivity to Reward in Problem Gamblers. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2010; 67:781–783. [PubMed: 20044073]
- Hilker R, Voges J, Weisenbach S, Kalbe E, Burghaus L, Ghaemi M, et al. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation restores glucose metabolism in associative and limbic cortices and in cerebellum: evidence from a FDG-PET study in advanced Parkinson's disease. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. Off. J. Int. Soc. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 2004; 24:7–16.
- Jahanshahi M. Effects of deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus on inhibitory and executive control over prepotent responses in Parkinson's disease. *Front. Syst. Neurosci.* 2013; 7:118. [PubMed: 24399941]
- Joel D, Weiner I. The connections of the primate subthalamic nucleus: indirect pathways and the open-interconnected scheme of basal ganglia-thalamocortical circuitry. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 1997; 23:62–78. [PubMed: 9063587]
- Kantak KM, Yager LM, Brisotti MF. Impact of medial orbital cortex and medial subthalamic nucleus inactivation, individually and together, on the maintenance of cocaine self-administration behavior in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2013; 238:1–9. [PubMed: 23098798]
- Karachi C, Grabli D, Baup N, Mounayar S, Tandé D, François C, et al. Dysfunction of the subthalamic nucleus induces behavioral and movement disorders in monkeys. *Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc.* 2009; 24:1183–1192.
- Karachi C, Yelnik J, Tandé D, Tremblay L, Hirsch EC, François C. The pallidum-subthalamic projection: an anatomical substrate for nonmotor functions of the subthalamic nucleus in primates. *Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc.* 2005; 20:172–180.
- Keuken MC, Bazin P-L, Schäfer A, Neumann J, Turner R, Forstmann BU. Ultra-high 7T MRI of structural age-related changes of the subthalamic nucleus. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 2013; 33:4896–4900.
- Kim H-J, Jeon BS, Paek SH. Nonmotor Symptoms and Subthalamic Deep Brain Stimulation in Parkinson's Disease. *J. Mov. Disord.* 2015; 8:83–91. [PubMed: 26090080]
- Klein J, Winter C, Coquery N, Heinz A, Morgenstern R, Kupsch A, et al. Lesion of the medial prefrontal cortex and the subthalamic nucleus selectively affect depression-like behavior in rats. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2010; 213:73–81. [PubMed: 20434489]
- Knight EJ, Testini P, Min H-K, Gibson WS, Gorny KR, Favazza CP, et al. Motor and Nonmotor Circuitry Activation Induced by Subthalamic Nucleus Deep Brain Stimulation in Patients With Parkinson Disease: Intraoperative Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Deep Brain Stimulation. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* 2015; 90:773–785. [PubMed: 26046412]

- Kocka A, Gagnon J. Definition of Impulsivity and Related Terms Following Traumatic Brain Injury: A Review of the Different Concepts and Measures Used to Assess Impulsivity, Disinhibition and other Related Concepts. *Behav. Sci. Basel Switz.* 2014; 4:352–370.
- Krack P, Hariz MI, Baunez C, Guridi J, Obeso JA. Deep brain stimulation: from neurology to psychiatry? *Trends Neurosci.* 2010; 33:474–484. [PubMed: 20832128]
- Krack P, Kumar R, Ardouin C, Dowsey PL, McVicker JM, Benabid AL, et al. Mirthful laughter induced by subthalamic nucleus stimulation. *Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc.* 2001; 16:867–875.
- Kühn AA, Hariz MI, Silberstein P, Tisch S, Kupsch A, Schneider G-H, et al. Activation of the subthalamic region during emotional processing in Parkinson disease. *Neurology.* 2005; 65:707–713. [PubMed: 16157903]
- Lambert C, Zrinzo L, Nagy Z, Lutti A, Hariz M, Foltynie T, et al. Confirmation of functional zones within the human subthalamic nucleus: Patterns of connectivity and sub-parcellation using diffusion weighted imaging. *Neuroimage.* 2012; 60:83–94. [PubMed: 22173294]
- Lanotte M, Lopiano L, Torre E, Bergamasco B, Colloca L, Benedetti F. Expectation enhances autonomic responses to stimulation of the human subthalamic limbic region. *Brain. Behav. Immun.* 2005; 19:500–509. [PubMed: 16055306]
- Lardeux S, Baunez C. Alcohol Preference Influences the Subthalamic Nucleus Control on Motivation for Alcohol in Rats. *Neuropsychopharmacology.* 2007; 33:634–642. [PubMed: 17460610]
- Lardeux S, Paleressompoulle D, Pernaud R, Cador M, Baunez C. Different populations of subthalamic neurons encode cocaine vs. sucrose reward and predict future error. *J. Neurophysiol.* 2013; 110:1497–1510. [PubMed: 23864369]
- Lardeux S, Pernaud R, Paleressompoulle D, Baunez C. Beyond the reward pathway: coding reward magnitude and error in the rat subthalamic nucleus. *J. Neurophysiol.* 2009; 102:2526–2537. [PubMed: 19710371]
- Leicht G, Troschütz S, Andreou C, Karamatskos E, Ertl M, Naber D, et al. Relationship between oscillatory neuronal activity during reward processing and trait impulsivity and sensation seeking. *PloS One.* 2013; 8:e83414. [PubMed: 24376698]
- Le Jeune F, Péron J, Biseul I, Fournier S, Sauleau P, Drapier S, et al. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation affects orbitofrontal cortex in facial emotion recognition: a PET study. *Brain J. Neurol.* 2008; 131:1599–1608.
- Le Jeune F, Péron J, Grandjean D, Drapier S, Haegelen C, Garin E, et al. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation affects limbic and associative circuits: a PET study. *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging.* 2010; 37:1512–1520. [PubMed: 20349231]
- Łęski, S.; Lindén, H.; Tetzlaff, T.; Pettersen, KH.; Einevoll, GT. Frequency Dependence of Signal Power and Spatial Reach of the Local Field Potential [Internet]; *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 2013. p. 9[cited 2015 Nov 5] Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3715549/>
- Lévesque J-C, Parent A. GABAergic interneurons in human subthalamic nucleus. *Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc.* 2005; 20:574–584.
- Mallet L, Mesnage V, Houeto J-L, Pelissolo A, Yelnik J, Behar C, et al. Compulsions, Parkinson's disease, and stimulation. *Lancet Lond. Engl.* 2002; 360:1302–1304.
- Mallet L, Schüpbach M, N'Diaye K, Remy P, Bardin E, Czernecki V, et al. Stimulation of subterritories of the subthalamic nucleus reveals its role in the integration of the emotional and motor aspects of behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2007; 104:10661–10666. [PubMed: 17556546]
- Marceglia S, Fumagalli M, Priori A. What neurophysiological recordings tell us about cognitive and behavioral functions of the human subthalamic nucleus. *Expert Rev. Neurother.* 2011; 11:139–149. [PubMed: 21158561]
- Morris LS, Kundu P, Baek K, Irvine MA, Mechelmans DJ, Wood J, et al. Jumping the Gun: Mapping Neural Correlates of Waiting Impulsivity and Relevance Across Alcohol Misuse. *Biol. Psychiatry.* 2015
- Mosley PE, Marsh R. The psychiatric and neuropsychiatric symptoms after subthalamic stimulation for Parkinson's disease. *J. Neuropsychiatry Clin. Neurosci.* 2015; 27:19–26. [PubMed: 25716484]

- Moum SJ, Price CC, Limotai N, Oyama G, Ward H, Jacobson C, et al. Effects of STN and GPi deep brain stimulation on impulse control disorders and dopamine dysregulation syndrome. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7:e29768. [PubMed: 22295068]
- Mulder MJ, Boekel W, Ratcliff R, Forstmann BU. Cortico-subthalamic connection predicts individual differences in value-driven choice bias. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 2014; 219:1239–1249. [PubMed: 23625153]
- Oberg SAK, Christie GJ, Tata MS. Problem gamblers exhibit reward hypersensitivity in medial frontal cortex during gambling. *Neuropsychologia*. 2011; 49:3768–3775. [PubMed: 21982697]
- Okai D, Samuel M, Askey-Jones S, David AS, Brown RG. Impulse control disorders and dopamine dysregulation in Parkinson's disease: a broader conceptual framework. *Eur. J. Neurol. Off. J. Eur. Fed. Neurol. Soc.* 2011; 18:1379–1383.
- Park HK, Kim H-J, Kim SJ, Kim JS, Shin H-W, Kim JS. From Jekyll to Hyde after limbic subthalamic nucleus infarction. *Neurology*. 2011; 77:82–84. [PubMed: 21700584]
- Péron J, Grandjean D, Le Jeune F, Sauleau P, Haegelen C, Drapier D, et al. Recognition of emotional prosody is altered after subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease. *Neuropsychologia*. 2010; 48:1053–1062. [PubMed: 20005239]
- Piray P, Zeighami Y, Bahrami F, Eissa AM, Hewedi DH, Moustafa AA. Impulse control disorders in Parkinson's disease are associated with dysfunction in stimulus valuation but not action valuation. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 2014; 34:7814–7824.
- Plessow F, Fischer R, Volkmann J, Schubert T. Subthalamic deep brain stimulation restores automatic response activation and increases susceptibility to impulsive behavior in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Brain Cogn.* 2014; 87:16–21. [PubMed: 24632184]
- Rektor I, Bošková M, Chrástina J, Rektorová I, Baláž M. The modulatory role of subthalamic nucleus in cognitive functions - A viewpoint. *Clin. Neurophysiol. Off. J. Int. Fed. Clin. Neurophysiol.* 2014
- Rodríguez-Oroz MC, López-Azcárate J, García-García D, Alegre M, Toledo J, Valencia M, et al. Involvement of the subthalamic nucleus in impulse control disorders associated with Parkinson's disease. *Brain J. Neurol.* 2011; 134:36–49.
- Rodríguez-Oroz MC, Moro E, Krack P. Long-term outcomes of surgical therapies for Parkinson's disease. *Mov. Disord.* 2012; 27:1718–1728. [PubMed: 23208668]
- Rouaud T, Lardeux S, Panayotis N, Palleressompoulle D, Cador M, Baunez C. Reducing the desire for cocaine with subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2010; 107:1196–1200. [PubMed: 20080543]
- Santangelo G, Barone P, Trojano L, Vitale C. Pathological gambling in Parkinson's disease. A comprehensive review. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 2013; 19:645–653. [PubMed: 23490464]
- Sauleau P, Raoul S, Lallemand F, Rivier I, Drapier S, Lajat Y, et al. Motor and non motor effects during intraoperative subthalamic stimulation for Parkinson's disease. *J. Neurol.* 2005; 252:457–464. [PubMed: 15726257]
- Sensi M, Eleopra R, Cavallo MA, Sette E, Milani P, Quatrale R, et al. Explosive-aggressive behavior related to bilateral subthalamic stimulation. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 2004; 10:247–251. [PubMed: 15120100]
- Sestini S, Scotto di Luzio A, Ammannati F, De Cristofaro MTR, Passeri A, Martini S, et al. Changes in regional cerebral blood flow caused by deep-brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus in Parkinson's disease. *J. Nucl. Med. Off. Publ. Soc. Nucl. Med.* 2002; 43:725–732.
- Shapiro MB, Vaillancourt DE, Sturman MM, Metman LV, Bakay RAE, Corcos DM. Effects of STN DBS on rigidity in Parkinson's disease. *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng. Publ. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc.* 2007; 15:173–181.
- Tandé D, Féger J, Hirsch EC, François C. Parafascicular nucleus projection to the extrastriatal basal ganglia in monkeys. *Neuroreport*. 2006; 17:277–280. [PubMed: 16462597]
- Tan SKH, Temel Y, Blokland A, Steinbusch HWM, Visser-Vandewalle V. The subthalamic nucleus: From response selection to execution. *J. Chem. Neuroanat.* 2006; 31:155–161. [PubMed: 16473494]

- Teagarden MA, Rebec GV. Subthalamic and striatal neurons concurrently process motor, limbic, and associative information in rats performing an operant task. *J. Neurophysiol.* 2007; 97:2042–2058. [PubMed: 17182916]
- Temel Y, Blokland A, Steinbusch HWM, Visser-Vandewalle V. The functional role of the subthalamic nucleus in cognitive and limbic circuits. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 2005; 76:393–413. [PubMed: 16249050]
- Temel Y, Kessels A, Tan S, Topdag A, Boon P, Visser-Vandewalle V. Behavioural changes after bilateral subthalamic stimulation in advanced Parkinson disease: A systematic review. *Parkinsonism Relat. Disord.* 2006; 12:265–272. [PubMed: 16621661]
- Trottenberg T, Kupsch A, Schneider G-H, Brown P, Kühn AA. Frequency-dependent distribution of local field potential activity within the subthalamic nucleus in Parkinson's disease. *Exp. Neurol.* 2007; 205:287–291. [PubMed: 17336961]
- Tsai S-T, Lin S-H, Lin S-Z, Chen J-Y, Lee C-W, Chen S-Y. Neuropsychological effects after chronic subthalamic stimulation and the topography of the nucleus in Parkinson's disease. *Neurosurgery.* 2007; 61:E1024–1029. discussion E1029–1030. [PubMed: 18091257]
- Turner MS, Lavin A, Grace AA, Napier TC. Regulation of limbic information outflow by the subthalamic nucleus: excitatory amino acid projections to the ventral pallidum. *J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc. Neurosci.* 2001; 21:2820–2832.
- Vesper J, Klostermann F, Stockhammer F, Funk T, Brock M. Results of chronic subthalamic nucleus stimulation for Parkinson's disease: a 1-year follow-up study. *Surg. Neurol.* 2002; 57:306–311. discussion 311–313. [PubMed: 12128300]
- Volkman J, Daniels C, Witt K. Neuropsychiatric effects of subthalamic neurostimulation in Parkinson disease. *Nat. Rev. Neurol.* 2010; 6:487–498. [PubMed: 20680036]
- Wagenbreth C, Zaehle T, Galazky I, Voges J, Guitart-Masip M, Heinze H-J, et al. Deep brain stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus modulates reward processing and action selection in Parkinson patients. *J. Neurol.* 2015; 262:1541–1547. [PubMed: 25929662]
- Welter M-L, Burbaud P, Fernandez-Vidal S, Bardinet E, Coste J, Piallat B, et al. Basal ganglia dysfunction in OCD: subthalamic neuronal activity correlates with symptoms severity and predicts high-frequency stimulation efficacy. *Transl. Psychiatry.* 2011; 1:e5. [PubMed: 22832400]
- Williams NR, Foote KD, Okun MS. STN vs. GPi Deep Brain Stimulation: Translating the Rematch into Clinical Practice. *Mov. Disord. Clin. Pract.* 2014; 1:24–35. [PubMed: 24779023]
- Winstanley CA, Baunez C, Theobald DEH, Robbins TW. Lesions to the subthalamic nucleus decrease impulsive choice but impair autoshaping in rats: the importance of the basal ganglia in Pavlovian conditioning and impulse control. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2005; 21:3107–3116. [PubMed: 15978020]
- Winter C, Lemke C, Sohr R, Meissner W, Harnack D, Juckel G, et al. High frequency stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus modulates neurotransmission in limbic brain regions of the rat. *Exp. Brain Res.* 2008; 185:497–507. [PubMed: 17962928]
- Wylie SA, Ridderinkhof KR, Elias WJ, Frysinger RC, Bashore TR, Downs KE, et al. Subthalamic nucleus stimulation influences expression and suppression of impulsive behaviour in Parkinson's disease. *Brain.* 2010; 133:3611–3624. [PubMed: 20861152]
- York MK, Wilde EA, Simpson R, Jankovic J. Relationship between neuropsychological outcome and DBS surgical trajectory and electrode location. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 2009; 287:159–171. [PubMed: 19767016]
- Zavala B, Zaghloul K, Brown P. The subthalamic nucleus, oscillations, and conflict. *Mov. Disord. Off. J. Mov. Disord. Soc.* 2015; 30:328–338.
- Zhang G, Zhang Z, Liu L, Yang J, Huang J, Xiong N, et al. Impulsive and compulsive behaviors in Parkinson's disease. *Front. Aging Neurosci.* 2014; 6:318. [PubMed: 25452726]
- Zijlstra F, Veltman DJ, Booij J, van den Brink W, Franken IHA. Neurobiological substrates of cue-elicited craving and anhedonia in recently abstinent opioid-dependent males. *Drug Alcohol Depend.* 2009; 99:183–192. [PubMed: 18823721]