Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Crit Care Med. 2016 March ; 17(3): e121–e129. doi:10.1097/PCC.0000000000000609.

Nurses' Attitudes toward Clinical Research: Experience of the Therapeutic Hypothermia after Pediatric Cardiac Arrest Trials

Brittan Browning, MS¹, Kent E. Page, MStat¹, Renee L. Kuhn, BS¹, Mary Ann DiLiberto, BSN², Jendar Deschenes, MPH³, Eileen Taillie, MGS⁴, Elyse Tomanio, BSN⁵, Richard Holubkov, PhD¹, J. Michael Dean, MD¹, Frank W. Moler, MD, MS⁶, Kathleen Meert, MD⁷, and Victoria L. Pemberton, RNC, MS⁸

¹University of Utah

²The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia

³University of Arizona

⁴University of Rochester Medical Center/Golisano Children's Hospital

⁵Children's National Medical Center

⁶University of Michigan Ann Arbor

⁷Children's Hospital of Michigan

⁸National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute

Abstract

Objectives—To understand factors affecting nurses' attitudes towards the Therapeutic Hypothermia after Pediatric Cardiac Arrest (THAPCA) trials and association with approach/consent rates.

Design, setting and participants—Cross sectional survey of pediatric/cardiac intensive care nurses' perceptions of the trials, conducted at 16 of 38 self-selected study sites.

Measurements—The primary outcome was the proportion of nurses with positive perceptions, as defined by agree or strongly agree with the statement “I am happy to take care of a THAPCA patient”. Associations between perceptions and study approach/consent rates were also explored.

Results—Of 2241 nurses invited, 1387 (62%) completed the survey and 77% reported positive perceptions of the trials. Nurses, who felt positively about the scientific question, the study team, and training received, were more likely to have positive perceptions of the trials ($p < 0.001$). Nurses who had previously cared for a research patient had significantly more positive perceptions of THAPCA compared with those who had not (79% vs. 54%, $p < 0.001$). Of the 754 nurses who

Corresponding Author: Brittan Browning, MS, MBA, RD, CCRC, University of Utah, Office of the Senior Vice President for Health Sciences, 175 North Medical Drive, 5th Floor, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108, Phone: 801-587-7568, Fax: 801-585-3109, Brittan.browning@hsc.utah.edu.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported

Previous Presentations: Data from this study were/will be presented in part at Pediatric Academic Societies annual meeting (May 3-6, 2014: Vancouver, BC) and the Society of Critical Care Medicine annual meeting (January 17-21, 2015: Phoenix, AZ)

cared for a THAPCA patient, 82% had positive perceptions, despite 86% reporting it required more work. Sixty-nine percent believed that hypothermia reduces brain injury and mortality; sites had lower consent rates when their nurses believed that hypothermia was beneficial. Institution-specific approach rates were positively correlated with nurses' perceptions of institutional support for the trial ($r=0.54$, $p=0.04$), intensive care unit support ($r=0.61$, $p=0.02$), and the importance of conducting the trial in children ($r=0.61$, $p=0.01$).

Conclusions—The majority of nurses had positive perceptions of the THAPCA trials.

Institutional, colleague and study team support and training were contributing factors. Despite increased work, nurses remained enthusiastic demonstrating that studies with intensive bedside nursing procedures are feasible. Institutions whose nurses believed hypothermia was beneficial had lower consent rates suggesting that educating nurses on study rationale and equipoise may enhance study participation.

Introduction

Families, healthcare providers and researchers may be reluctant to enroll critically ill children into a research study. Parents agree that pediatric research advances treatment of pediatric diseases (1-4) but express concerns that research in an emergency setting might delay critical medical care or that adhering to a research protocol might be detrimental to their child. (4)

Pediatricians agree that research is essential to improving children's health, and primary care physician support is a key factor in parental willingness to participate in research. However, pediatricians are often reluctant to refer their patients for research studies (5, 6) due to potential risks from treatment side effects, patient inconvenience, lack of physician time/resources and risk to the doctor-patient relationship. (7-9)

Little is known about nurses' perceptions of clinical research, another potentially important factor in patient or parental willingness to join a trial. (10) Nurses, like pediatricians, view pediatric research as integral to enhancing health outcomes with concomitant reluctance to encourage patient participation. (9, 11)

The Therapeutic Hypothermia after Pediatric Cardiac Arrest (THAPCA) trials are multi-center investigations of active temperature control after pediatric cardiac arrest. Children are enrolled into one of two parallel trials, depending on whether arrest occurred out-of-hospital or in-hospital. Subjects are randomized within six hours of return of circulation to either therapeutic hypothermia (body cooling at 32-34 °C) or therapeutic normothermia (normal body temperature maintenance at 36-37.5 °C). The primary outcome is survival with good functional outcome. These critically ill children are managed within a pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) or cardiac intensive care unit (CICU). Detailed study designs have been published. (12)

Since nurses are patient advocates who provide emotional and informational support to parents, we proposed to assess the perceptions of nurses involved in the THAPCA trials. (13-17) Our primary perception outcome measure was self-reported happiness to care for a THAPCA patient. We evaluated association of the measure with nurse characteristics

(demographics, education, participation in past research activities), self-reported confidence in research skills, perceived study team support, training and work burden, and the importance of the THAPCA research hypothesis. We also investigated associations between nurses' attitudes and THAPCA trial performance with respect to rates of approach and consent at each center.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional survey (eSurvey Table 1 in supplement) exploring nurses' attitudes about the THAPCA trials was conducted. All 38 THAPCA sites were invited to participate, with 16 expressing interest and providing study staffing. All participating sites obtained Institutional Review Board approval before initiating the survey.

Study Survey

The survey was developed through a series of discussions with the THAPCA Data Coordinating Center (DCC) and THAPCA nurse coordinators. The group generated the survey questions based on experience with the THAPCA Trials and extensive literature review on the subject of nurses' perceptions of research and job satisfaction. The survey questions were tested for comprehension, readability and relevance to the study objective by statisticians and survey design experts at the DCC. While there are additional survey design methods used to develop surveys, we felt that our methodology was sufficient to gather and begin to understand nurses' perceptions of the THAPCA Trials.

Thirty questions, predominantly Likert items, explored nurses' perceptions of the THAPCA trials. The primary outcome was the proportion of nurses with positive perceptions of the THAPCA trials, as defined by nurse agreement (agree or strongly agree) with the statement "I am happy to take care of a THAPCA patient". Demographics, views on the scientific importance of the trials, relationships with the study team, and training regarding study procedures and patient care in the THAPCA trials were assessed. All PICU/CICU nurses employed at a site were eligible to participate even if they had never cared for a THAPCA subject. Additional questions were posed to nurses who had cared for a THAPCA patient. The survey was conducted between September 2012 and May 2013 during which time both trials were actively recruiting.

Participating sites forwarded PICU/CICU nurses' email addresses to the DCC. An initial email invited nurses to access the secure anonymous web-based survey, followed by weekly reminders for three months until each nurse completed the survey, opted out or did not respond. Survey data was collected electronically in Checkbox Survey Software (Watertown, MA) and stored at the DCC for analysis. Surveys with over 90% of questions answered were considered complete and included in the analysis.

Statistical analysis

Responses of "agree" and "strongly agree" were combined in the analysis, as were responses of "disagree" and "strongly disagree." "Neutral" responses were grouped with "disagree" and "strongly disagree" for primary aim analyses (positive perceptions of the THAPCA trials) but reported and analyzed separately otherwise. Analyses included descriptive

statistics of respondent demographics, perceptions of the scientific importance of the trials, relationship with the study team, perceptions of training on study procedures and patient care related to the THAPCA trials. Chi-square tests were used to compare categorical variables between various nurse subgroups. Site-specific approach (proportion of eligible patients approached) and consent (proportion of approached patients who consented) rates were calculated using enrollment data through May 2013 to correspond with survey timing. Pearson correlations were calculated between average institution-wide responses to each Likert item question and site approach and consent rates. Data analysis was performed with SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Demographics

Of the 2241 nurses at 16 THAPCA sites who received an email invitation, 1387 (62%) completed the survey. Demographics (Table 1) show that the majority of nurses were female, less than 35 years old with less than 6 years of experience as a PICU/CICU nurse. Although ninety-three percent had previously cared for a patient on a clinical research study, only 13% reported previous participation in research activities such as developing/evaluating protocols, conducting a research study or authoring a research article or abstract/poster. Slightly over half (55%) had cared for a THAPCA patient.

Nurses' Perceptions of the THAPCA Trials (Table 2)—Seventy-seven percent reported positive perceptions of the THAPCA trials, with comparable rates by gender, age and education level. Nurses with at least three years of PICU/CICU experience were more likely to have positive perceptions of the trials than those with less experience (79% vs. 73%, $p=0.005$). Perceptions were significantly more positive for nurses who had previously cared for a patient in any research study compared with those who had not (79% vs. 54%, $p<.001$), and for those who had cared for a THAPCA patient in particular versus those who had not (82% vs. 72%, $p<.001$). When nurses felt positively about the scientific importance of THAPCA, the study team, and training they received on study procedures, they were more likely to have positive perceptions of the THAPCA trials ($p<0.001$ for each). Nurses were significantly less positive about the THAPCA trials when they felt that taking care of THAPCA patients required more work than other PICU/CICU patients although positive perceptions remained high (80% vs. 93%, $p=0.001$).

Scientific importance and equipoise (Table 3)—Most nurses (88%) agreed that the THAPCA trials are scientifically important, and 90% thought that the studies should be conducted in children despite efficacy in adults after cardiac arrest.

A majority of nurses (69%) felt that hypothermia reduces brain injury and mortality, while 27% were neutral on this question. When asked whether normothermia reduces brain injury and mortality, 23% agreed while 59% were neutral. Nearly three-quarters (71%) agreed that fevers after pediatric cardiac arrest increase brain injury and mortality. Most nurses (88%) wanted to know the results of the THAPCA trials.

Relationships with the study and clinical care teams—Respondents agreed that research is a key component of care in the PICU/CICU (92%). The majority felt that their institutions valued research, making it easy to participate (87%) and that their PICU/CICU supported the trials (87%). Nurses who had cared for a THAPCA patient stated that they felt professionally satisfied (63%) and could count on support from their nursing colleagues (79%) when caring for a THAPCA patient. (Table 3)

Among all respondents, 70% felt that PICU/CICU nurses were regarded as members of the THAPCA research team and 81% of nurses who had cared for a THAPCA trials patient agreed. When asked if the THAPCA study team was responsive to bedside nurses' needs when caring for a THAPCA trials patient, 67% agreed, and 76% felt that the study team was knowledgeable about the study protocol and interventions. (Table 4)

Training (Table 4)—Sixty-one percent of nurses who cared for a THAPCA patient agreed that they had been adequately trained on study procedures; this proportion was only 25% among nurses who had not cared for a THAPCA patient. When asked if they wanted more training on study procedures, 57% of nurses who had cared for a THAPCA patient, and 67% of nurses who had not, agreed that additional training was desirable.

Perceptions and approach/consent rates (Table 5)—Higher site-specific subject approach rates were positively correlated with nurses' perceptions that their institution values research ($r=0.54$, $p=0.04$), that their PICU/CICU supports the THAPCA trials ($r=0.61$, $p=0.02$), and that the trials should be done in children despite data demonstrating hypothermia benefit in adults ($r=0.61$, $p=0.01$). Higher approach rates did not translate into higher consent rates among those approached. Sites had lower consent rates when their nurses believed that hypothermia was beneficial ($r=-0.55$, $p=0.03$). However, there was no association when nurses believed that normothermia worked ($r=0.12$, $p=0.68$). We also observed a negative correlation between consent rates and nurses' perceptions of THAPCA's scientific importance ($r=-0.56$, $p=0.03$) and colleague support ($r=-0.55$, $p=0.03$).

Discussion

Our study of over 1300 nurses engaged in a labor-intensive trial of critically ill children at 16 sites provides valuable insight into nurses' perceptions of research and their influence on approach and consent rates. Limited research has shown that nurses generally have positive attitudes towards pediatric research but are reticent to recommend participation to their patients, due to a lack of understanding about clinical research, lack of familiarity with a specific trial or disapproval of the research question. (9, 11, 18) Our findings may help other study teams understand the potential impact of bedside nurses' attitudes on the planning and execution of complex multi-institutional studies.

We found that nurses overwhelmingly believed that it was scientifically important to assess hypothermia in children, despite existing literature demonstrating efficacy in adults after cardiac arrest.(19, 20) This supports the importance of testing interventions in children and not adopting a “one-size fits all” approach by extrapolating results from adult studies to the pediatric population. Our findings suggest that as with pediatricians, scientific merit is an

important contributor to nurses' attitudes about a study.(6) The majority were interested to know the results of the THAPCA trials, indicating a level of engagement beyond simply completing study procedures as part of their patient care duties. Presenting study results to clinical caregivers may ensure that these important research partners feel informed and valued, fostering interest in future research participation.

Nurses' beliefs about the effectiveness of the study interventions raised questions about lack of equipoise. Over two-thirds of nurses believed that hypothermia reduced brain injury and mortality, while a majority were neutral or disagreed that normothermia provided benefit. Perhaps this difference should not have been surprising, since hypothermia is standard of care for birth asphyxiated newborns and implemented during pediatric cardiac surgery. (21, 22) Media reports of children surviving drowning in icy ponds may strengthen beliefs that hypothermia is beneficial. In addition, lack of equipoise by clinical care physicians may have reinforced nurses' perceptions of hypothermia's benefit. A majority of our sample was neutral on whether normothermia provided benefit, which we would like to attribute to equipoise, but suspect that it might result from unfamiliarity with this treatment. Few pivotal hypothermia studies have included a normothermia arm.(23, 24) While THAPCA training focused on ensuring that the study teams (investigators, consulting sub-specialties and research coordinators) had equipoise between the interventions, the large numbers of nurses rotating care for these children did not receive such training.

An intriguing finding was that sites whose nurses believed that hypothermia was beneficial had lower consent rates. Bedside nurses were immersed in the immediate intensive care of their patients, and typically did not have direct influence on the consent process. Since decision-making could be influenced given the high value families place on nurses' opinions, it may be prudent for study teams to involve bedside nurses in educational efforts about research protocols.

Nurses overwhelmingly agreed that caring for a THAPCA patient required more work than other PICU/CICU patients, yet the majority derived professional satisfaction from doing so. Critically ill children in the THAPCA trials require significant nursing support. PICU/CICU nurses must oversee many study procedures including managing the temperature control equipment, recording frequent vital signs, procuring recurrent laboratory tests, and reporting adverse events. Our results suggest that support from colleagues, confidence in study training and assistance from the study team contributed to nurses' positive views despite demanding study procedures. While clinical trial designs should be simplified as much as possible, our data indicate that researchers should not be dissuaded from considering studies requiring intensive bedside nursing procedures.

Of nurses surveyed, 93% had previously cared for a patient enrolled in a research study. Nurses with such previous experience viewed THAPCA more positively than those who had never cared for a research subject. By active participation in research, nurses may attain a level of confidence in their ability to carry out research procedures, fostering positive views of trials, the study team and future research.

Nurses, particularly those who had not cared for a THAPCA patient, wanted more training on study procedures. While THAPCA study personnel training was quite extensive and central, training for bedside nurses was site-specific. Research teams should note that nurses who felt sufficiently trained on the study intervention, and that the study team was responsive to their needs, were significantly more positive about the trials.

Finally, nurses at institutions with higher overall approach rates for the THAPCA trials were more likely to feel that their institution valued research, that their PICU/CICU supported the trials and made it easy for them to participate. They were also more likely to agree that the trials should be done in children despite benefit in adults. These perceptions may reflect an overall culture of research at the participating THAPCA sites, where support for clinical research activities is expected. Also, physicians and investigators may be more likely to approach parents about study involvement when nurses support their efforts. For investigators planning a trial, nurses' perceptions of research support within their institutions and units could serve as a marker for evaluating potential success of a candidate institution. While this positive association was encouraging, higher approach rates did not lead to higher consent rates.

The observed negative correlation between consent rates and nurses' perceptions of scientific importance and colleague support is challenging to explain. Targeted research should further explore the impact of nursing perceptions on study consent and enrollment.

Study Limitations

We note here several limitations. Only a subset of THAPCA sites agreed to participate. Since this study relied on coordinator volunteerism, sites with strong research support may have preferentially chosen to participate, biasing findings. However, the 16 participating sites are diverse with respect to geography and patient volume, increasing confidence in the generalizability of results to a broad range of institutions. While all nurses at each participating site were invited to participate, a larger proportion of nurses who felt positively about research or the THAPCA trials may have responded, limiting generalizability to all PICU/CICU nurses. We cannot determine whether caring for a study patient made nurses feel more positive or if nurses with more positive perceptions of research volunteered to care for a THAPCA patient. Finally, this study was considered to be exploratory, with reported significance levels unadjusted for multiple comparisons.

Conclusions

Most nurses we surveyed had positive perceptions of the THAPCA trials. Positive views of institutional, colleague and study team support as well as study training appear to be contributing factors. Nurses felt the scientific question posed by the THAPCA trials was important and wanted to know the results of the study, indicating a high level of engagement. Despite the increased work burden, nurses remained enthusiastic about the trials, demonstrating that studies with intensive bedside nursing procedures are feasible. As nurses with previous experience caring for a research patient (including a THAPCA patient) were more likely to view THAPCA positively, research teams should find creative ways to

expose nurses to research opportunities. While there was no association between consent rates and perceived normothermia benefit, institutions whose nurses believed hypothermia was beneficial had lower consent rates, suggesting that educating nurses on study rationale and equipoise may enhance study participation.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Funding/Support: The THAPCA trials are sponsored by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) U01HL094345 (Moler) and U01-HL094339 (Dean)

Role of the Sponsor: The funding source for the THAPCA trials had no role in the design and conduct of this study, collection, management, analysis and interpretation of the data; preparation or review of the manuscript; decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

This study had no external funding

References

1. Caldwell PH, Butow PN, Craig JC. Parents' attitudes to children's participation in randomized controlled trials. *J Pediatr*. 2003; 142(5):554–9. [PubMed: 12756389]
2. Singhal N, et al. Parents' perceptions of research with newborns. *J Perinatol*. 2002; 22(1):57–63. [PubMed: 11840244]
3. Maayan-Metzger A, Kedem-Friedrich P, Kuint J. Motivations of mothers to enroll their newborn infants in general clinical research on well-infant care and development. *Pediatrics*. 2008; 121(3):e590–6. [PubMed: 18310179]
4. Morris MC, et al. Parental opinions about clinical research. *J Pediatr*. 2007; 151(5):532–7. 537e1–5. [PubMed: 17961701]
5. Hoffman TM, et al. Parental factors impacting the enrollment of children in cardiac critical care clinical trials. *Pediatr Cardiol*. 2007; 28(3):167–71. [PubMed: 17505864]
6. Dalen J, Annett RD, Brody JL, Perryman ML. Influences upon pediatricians' willingness to refer patients to clinical research. *Open Access Journal of Clinical Trials*. 2010; 2:23–28.
7. Caldwell PH, Butow PN, Craig JC. Pediatricians' attitudes toward randomized controlled trials involving children. *J Pediatr*. 2002; 141(6):798–803. [PubMed: 12461496]
8. Mudd LM, et al. Prenatal care and delivery room staff attitudes toward research and the National Children's Study. *Matern Child Health J*. 2008; 12(6):684–91. [PubMed: 18668359]
9. Singhal N, et al. Attitudes of health-care providers towards research with newborn babies. *J Perinatol*. 2004; 24(12):775–82. [PubMed: 15295610]
10. Smirnoff M, et al. Nurses' attitudes toward nursing research at a metropolitan medical center. *Appl Nurs Res*. 2007; 20(1):24–31. [PubMed: 17259040]
11. Burnett CB, et al. Nurses' attitudes toward clinical trials at a comprehensive cancer center. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2001; 28(7):1187–92. [PubMed: 11517851]
12. Moler FW, et al. Rationale, timeline, study design, and protocol overview of the therapeutic hypothermia after pediatric cardiac arrest trials. *Pediatr Crit Care Med*. 2013; 14(7):e304–15. [PubMed: 23842585]
13. Franck LS, Axelin A. Differences in parents', nurses' and physicians' views of NICU parent support. *Acta Paediatr*. 2013; 102(6):590–6. [PubMed: 23463946]
14. Bruns DA, McCollum JA. Partnerships between mothers and professionals in the NICU: caregiving, information exchange, and relationships. *Neonatal Netw*. 2002; 21(7):15–23. [PubMed: 12514985]

15. Miles MS, et al. Perceptions of stress, worry, and support in Black and White mothers of hospitalized, medically fragile infants. *J Pediatr Nurs*. 2002; 17(2):82–8. [PubMed: 12029601]
16. Kowalski WJ, et al. Communicating with parents of premature infants: who is the informant? *J Perinatol*. 2006; 26(1):44–8. [PubMed: 16292336]
17. Garten L, et al. Pilot study of experiences and needs of 111 fathers of very low birth weight infants in a neonatal intensive care unit. *J Perinatol*. 2013; 33(1):65–9. [PubMed: 22460545]
18. Zhang J, et al. The attitudes of oncology physicians and nurses toward phase I, II, and III cancer clinical trials. *Contemp Clin Trials*. 2011; 32(5):649–53. [PubMed: 21570486]
19. Group TTTHS. Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med*. 2002; 346(8):549–56. [PubMed: 11856793]
20. Bernard SA, et al. Treatment of comatose survivors of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest with induced hypothermia. *N Engl J Med*. 2002; 346(8):557–63. [PubMed: 11856794]
21. Perlman J, D P, Wyllie J, Kattwinkel J. Therapeutic hypothermia following intrapartum hypoxia-ischemia. An advisory statement from the Neonatal Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. *Resuscitation*. 2010; 81(11):1459–1461.
22. Fuller S, et al. J. Maxwell Chamberlain Memorial Paper for congenital heart surgery. Deep hypothermic circulatory arrest does not impair neurodevelopmental outcome in school-age children after infant cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg*. 2010; 90(6):1985–94. discussion 1994–5. [PubMed: 21095350]
23. Shankaran S, et al. Whole-body hypothermia for neonates with hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 353(15):1574–84. [PubMed: 16221780]
24. Azzopardi DV, et al. Moderate hypothermia to treat perinatal asphyxial encephalopathy. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 361(14):1349–58. [PubMed: 19797281]

Participating Institutions

1. University of Utah
2. The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia
3. University of Arizona
4. University of Rochester Medical Center/Golisano Children's Hospital
5. Children's National Medical Center
6. University of Michigan Ann Arbor
7. Children's Hospital of Michigan
8. The Children's Hospital of Montefiore
9. Children's Hospital of Los Angeles
10. University of California Los Angeles
11. Children's Hospital of Atlanta
12. Children's Medical Center Dallas
13. Rainbow Babies and Children
14. University of Pittsburgh Medical Center
15. University of Texas health sciences Center of San Antonio
16. Washington University, St Louis

Author Contributions

Dr. Richard Holubkov and Kent Page had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and accuracy of the data analysis.

Study Design and Concept: Browning, Page, Kuhn, DiLiberto, Dean, Moler, Pemberton

Acquisition of the data, analysis or interpretation: All authors

Drafting of the manuscript: Browning, Page, Kuhn, Pemberton

Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: All authors

Statistical Analysis: Page, Holubkov, Dean, Moler

Obtained funding: This study had no external funding.

Administrative, technical or material support: Browning, Kuhn

Study Supervision: Browning, Kuhn, Pemberton

Additional Contributions

The following clinical research coordinators and research nurses assisted with seeking and obtaining regulatory approval, communication with the Data Coordinating Center and acted as institutional champions for the study within their organization: Susan Velasco Children's Hospital at Montefiore, Margaret M. Villa Children's Hospital of Los Angeles and the University of California Los Angeles, Cheryl Stone Children's Hospital of Atlanta, Ann Pawluszka Children's Hospital of Michigan, Mary Ann DiLiberto Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Evin Golson Children's Medical Center Dallas, Jean Reardon & Elyse Tomanio Children's National Medical Center, Monica Weber University of Michigan, Susan Bergant Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, Eileen Root Taillie University of Rochester Medical Center, Jendar Deschenes University of Arizona, Joan Diegel and Alan Abraham University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Holly Dibrell, University of Texas Health Centers at San Antonio, Lori Barganier, Washington University, St. Louis, Mary Deherrera Primary Children's Hospital.

These individuals received no compensation for their services.

Table 1
Demographics of respondents*

	Overall (N=1387) n (%)
Gender (Female)	1272 (92)
Age	
18-24	130 (9)
25-34	704 (51)
35-44	306 (22)
45-54	174 (13)
55-64	70 (5)
65+	2 (0)
Years of experience as nurse in PICU/CICU	
1-2 years	423 (31)
3-5 years	342 (25)
6-10 years	248 (18)
10-15 years	174 (13)
16 years or more	196 (14)
Highest level of nursing education	
Nursing Diploma	43 (3)
Associate's Degree	206 (15)
Bachelor's Degree	1029 (74)
Master's Degree	103 (7)
Doctoral Degree	4 (0)
Cared for a patient on a clinical research study	1283 (93)
Participated in research activities such as developing or evaluating protocols, conducting a research study or authoring a study article, abstract or poster	185 (13)
Cared for a patient who was enrolled in the THAPCA Trials	754 (55)

* Percentages are out of responses with available data.

Table 2
Positive perceptions of participating in the THAPCA Trials

	Positive perception of THAPCA trials*	p-value**
Overall	1073/1387 (77%)	-
Gender		0.27
Male	79/108 (73%)	
Female	989/1272 (78%)	
Age		0.69
18-34	642/834 (77%)	
35+	430/552 (78%)	
Highest level of nursing education		0.76
Nursing Diploma/Associates degree	195/249 (78%)	
Bachelor's degree	796/1029 (77%)	
Master's/Doctoral degree	80/107 (75%)	
Years of experience working as a nurse in PICU/CICU		0.005
1-2 years	307/423 (73%)	
3 years or more	762/960 (79%)	
Cared for a patient on any clinical research study		<0.001
Yes	1017/1283 (79%)	
No	56/104 (54%)	
Directly cared for a patient who was enrolled in the THAPCA Trials		<0.001
Yes	620/754 (82%)	
No	450/629 (72%)	
Believe the THAPCA trials are scientifically important		<0.001
Strongly Agree/Agree	1012/1216 (83%)	
Neutral/Disagree/Strongly Disagree	58/166 (35%)	
The THAPCA study team is responsive to the bedside nurse's needs when they are caring for a THAPCA patient		<0.001
Strongly Agree/Agree	806/928 (87%)	
Neutral/Disagree/Strongly Disagree	260/452 (58%)	
Feel that I have been adequately trained to care for a THAPCA patient.		<0.001
Strongly Agree/Agree	573/617 (93%)	
Neutral/Disagree/Strongly Disagree	498/768 (65%)	
Compared to a regular PICU/CICU patient, taking care of a THAPCA patient requires:		0.001
Much more/A little more work	520/648 (80%)	
Neither more nor less/A little less/A lot less work	99/106 (93%)	

* Positive perception of the THAPCA Trials was defined by nurse agreement (agree or strongly agree), with the statement "I am happy to take care of a THAPCA patient".

** P-values reflect the Chi-square test.

Table 3
Key Survey Responses

Survey Question	Agree/Strongly Agree n (%)	Neutral n (%)	Disagree/Strongly Disagree n (%)
I believe the THAPCA Trials are scientifically important	1216 (88)	115 (8)	51 (4)
Even though cooling has been shown to improve survival in adults after cardiac arrest, the THAPCA trials should be done to know how to treat children after cardiac arrest	1235 (90)	85 (6)	59 (4)
Hypothermia after pediatric cardiac arrest reduces brain injury and mortality	960 (69)	372 (27)	50 (4)
Normothermia after pediatric cardiac arrest reduces brain injury and mortality	322 (23)	808 (59)	251 (18)
Fevers after pediatric cardiac arrest increase brain injury and mortality	980 (71)	275 (20)	131 (9)
I would like to know the results of the THAPCA Trials	1212 (88)	111 (8)	57 (4)
Research is an important component of patient care in the PICU/CICU	1272 (92)	49 (4)	63 (5)
My institution values clinical research and makes it easy to participate in research	1197 (87)	109 (8)	77 (6)
My PICU/CICU supports the THAPCA Trials	1209 (87)	109 (8)	65 (5)
I derive professional satisfaction from taking care of a THAPCA patient	477 (63)	242 (32)	34 (5)
When I am caring for a THAPCA patient, I can count on my nursing colleagues on the unit to support me in completing the research and standard of care procedures for the patient	598 (79)	115 (15)	43 (6)

* Percentages are out of responses with available data.

Table 4
Relationship with study team, training*

	Overall (N=1387) n (%)	Nurses who have directly cared for a THAPCA patient (N=754) n (%)	Nurses who have not directly cared for a THAPCA patient (N=629) n (%)	P-value**
I feel like the PICU/CICU bedside nurses are involved members of the study team when they care for a THAPCA patient.				<.001
Agree/Strongly Agree	968 (70)	608 (81)	360 (58)	
Neutral	317 (23)	96 (13)	221 (35)	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	94 (7)	50 (7)	44 (7)	
The THAPCA study team is responsive to the bedside nurse's needs when they are caring for a THAPCA patient.				<.001
Agree/Strongly Agree	925 (67)	596 (79)	329 (53)	
Neutral	377 (27)	105 (14)	272 (44)	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	74 (5)	51 (7)	23 (4)	
The study team is knowledgeable about the THAPCA study protocol and study interventions.				<.001
Agree/Strongly Agree	1047 (76)	666 (89)	381 (61)	
Neutral	276 (20)	55 (7)	221 (35)	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	54 (4)	31 (4)	23 (4)	
I feel I have been adequately trained to care for a THAPCA patient.				<.001
Agree/Strongly Agree	615 (45)	458 (61)	157 (25)	
Neutral	410 (30)	180 (24)	230 (37)	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	356 (26)	114 (15)	242 (38)	
I would like to have more training on the THAPCA Trials.				<.001
Agree/Strongly Agree	849 (62)	430 (57)	419 (67)	
Neutral	358 (26)	211 (28)	147 (24)	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	166 (12)	109 (15)	57 (9)	
I would like to have more input about how the work is organized for the THAPCA patients.				0.009
Agree/Strongly Agree	578 (42)	324 (43)	254 (41)	
Neutral	647 (47)	331 (44)	316 (51)	
Disagree/Strongly Disagree	154 (11)	99 (13)	55 (9)	

* Percentages are out of responses with available data.

** P-values reflect the Chi-square test.

Table 5

Correlation between survey questions and site approach and consent rates.*

Survey Question	Approach Rate Correlation (p- value)	Consent Rate Correlation (p- value)
Research is an important component of patient care in the PICU	0.48 (0.07)	-0.38 (0.16)
My institution values clinical research and makes it easy to participate in research	0.54 (0.04)	-0.29 (0.30)
My PICU/CICU supports the THAPCA Trials	0.61 (0.02)	-0.28 (0.31)
I believe the THAPCA Trials are scientifically important.	0.45 (0.10)	-0.56 (0.03)
Even though cooling has been shown to improve survival in adults after cardiac arrest, the THAPCA trials should be done to know how to treat children after cardiac arrest.	0.61 (0.01)	-0.36 (0.19)
Hypothermia after pediatric cardiac arrest reduces brain injury and mortality.	0.30 (0.27)	-0.55 (0.03)
Normothermia after pediatric cardiac arrest reduces brain injury and mortality.	-0.32 (0.24)	0.12 (0.68)
Fevers after pediatric cardiac arrest increases brain injury and mortality.	0.42 (0.12)	-0.01 (0.96)
I feel like the PICU/CICU bedside nurses are involved members of the study team when they care for a THAPCA patient.	0.25 (0.37)	-0.19 (0.49)
The THAPCA study team is responsive to the bedside nurse's needs when they are caring for a THAPCA patient.	0.30 (0.27)	-0.09 (0.75)
The study team is knowledgeable about the THAPCA study protocol and study interventions.	0.36 (0.19)	-0.28 (0.32)
I would like to have more input about how the work is organized for the THAPCA patients.	-0.01 (0.96)	0.22 (0.44)
I feel I have been adequately trained to care for a THAPCA patient.	-0.18 (0.51)	-0.10 (0.73)
I would like to have more training on the THAPCA Trials.	0.19 (0.50)	0.37 (0.17)
I would like to know the results of the THAPCA Trials.	0.49 (0.06)	0.17 (0.54)
I perceive the THAPCA Trials to be a well-organized study.	0.20 (0.48)	-0.32 (0.24)
I am happy to take care of a THAPCA patient.	0.08 (0.78)	-0.37 (0.18)
Taking care of a THAPCA patient requires (more work)	-0.02 (0.95)	-0.07 (0.81)
When I am caring for a THAPCA patient, I can count on my nursing colleagues on the unit to support me in completing the research and standard of care procedures for the patient.	0.34 (0.21)	-0.55 (0.03)
I derive professional satisfaction from taking care of a THAPCA patient.	0.35 (0.20)	-0.26 (0.35)