Published in final edited form as:

Prim Care. 2016 March ; 43(1): 159–175. doi:10.1016/j.pop.2015.10.004.

Behavioral Modification for the Management of Obesity

C.P. Kelley*, G. Sbrocco**, and T. Sbrocco*

*Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences

**Loyola University, Chicago

Synopsis

This article provides behavioral strategies for working with obese patients and families within a primary care context. A multi-factorial model for the etiology of obesity from which to adopt treatment strategies is provided. Optimal views to set up effective patient encounters and specific recommendations to motivate and support patients are discussed. Multi-component programs include a combination of nutritional, physical activity, and cognitive behavioral approaches to target overweight/obesity. The focus is on behavioral approaches and practical applications such as motivational interviewing techniques are included.

Keywords

Obesity; Behavioral Modification; Cognitive Behavioral Interventions for Weight Loss; Weight Management

Introduction: Nature of the Problem

Placing the Behavioral Management of Obesity in the Larger Context

In 2003, the US Preventive Services Task Force recommended that primary care practitioners (PCPs) screen all adults for obesity and offer behavioral interventions and intensive counseling for those identified as being obese.¹ This recommendation came at a time when fewer than half of primary care physicians were routinely discussing weight management with their patients.² Additionally, there were no established evidence-based guidelines for behavioral weight loss counseling in primary care settings.³ Obesity is a

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Claire Kelley, Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, 4301 Jones Bridge Road, B1022-B, Bethesda, Maryland 20814. Contact: claire.kelley.ctr@usuhs.edu.

Claire Kelley, Psy.D., Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, 4301 Jones Bridge Rd, Bethesda, MD 20814-4799, claire.kelley.ctr@usuhs.edu

Geena Sbrocco, M.S., R.D., Lincoln Park Care Center, 499 Pine Brook Rd. Lincoln Park, NJ 07035, gsbrocco1@luc.edu

Tracy Sbrocco, Ph.D., Department of Medical and Clinical Psychology, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, 4301 Jones Bridge Rd, Bethesda, MD 20814-4799, tracy.sbrocco@usuhs.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and are not necessarily representative of those of the Uniformed Services University or the Department of Defense.

complex combination of genetic, biological, psychological, and sociocultural factors. Health behaviors such as eating patterns and volitional physical activity are under the complex influence of many psychological and social factors.⁴ Viewing obesity in the context of a complex interaction between genetics and environment guides the understanding of the condition and helps form multidimensional treatment plans. Addressing the social and psychological cues associated with overeating and low physical activity through behavioral modification helps patients see success in the context of individual weight management goals.^{5–7}

This chapter provides primary care-based behavioral strategies for working with obese patients and their families. A multi-factorial model for obesity is presented. Strategies for creating effective patient encounters and specific recommendations to motivate and support patients are provided. Multi-component programs include nutritional, physical activity, and cognitive behavioral approaches to target overweight/obesity. This chapter focuses on behavioral strategies for weight management.

Weight Stigma

The discussion of the behavioral management of obesity must include an understanding of weight stigma. Overweight is often stigmatized in American culture. This, unfortunately, includes health care providers.⁸ Individual provider biases must be recognized and overcome to develop treatment environments that welcome overweight and obese patients (Boxes 1 and 2). Providers often view obese patients as lazy, weak-willed, and noncompliant.⁹ Patients perceive these biases and delay or avoid seeking care because they anticipate being disrespected.¹⁰ As a result, obese patients are *less* likely to obtain recommended preventive health services. Obese patients are also more likely to cancel appointments or delay care.^{11–13} This creates an unhealthy paradox whereby patients requiring medical care actively avoid it. Health care providers should use language appropriately when referring to patients with overweight/obesity, actively avoiding stigmatizing words or phrases (Box 3).¹⁴

An Ecological Model for Understanding Obesity: Understanding Microsystem and Macrosystem Factors to Produce Change

Environmental factors that determine an individual's weight-related health behaviors occur at the *microsystem level* (family/social determinants) and *macrosystem level* (e.g., cultural and social values).¹⁵ Some of these are modifiable and others are not. This microsystem/macrosystem concept aligns well with the modern patient centered medical home (PCMH) model of health care. The PCMH emphasizes caring for the patient in the context of his or her “unique needs, culture, values, and preferences”.¹⁶

Macrosystem factors promoting obesity include the marketing of calorie-dense processed foods to certain segments of the population at increased risk for obesity. For example, African Americans view 50% more fast food television advertisements and dine more frequently at fast food restaurants compared to Caucasians.¹⁷ Obesity-related health disparities among African American individuals are discussed in more detail throughout this chapter.

Microsystem factors include how culture, family environment and social settings impact eating and activity patterns (Box 4). For example, fewer “food rules” about where and when food may be eaten in the home are associated with increased weight.¹⁸ Small changes to the household environment supports behavioral weight loss interventions (e.g., no food allowed in front of television, avoid excessive snacking between meals). Families should be encouraged to work together to engage in healthy behaviors, rather than just focusing on one person within the family who is struggling with weight.^{19,20}

Microsystem factors also involve issues relating to stimulus control. Environmental cues associated with overeating can be altered to assist weight loss and long-term weight maintenance. Patients and physicians should collaborate to identify individual stimuli that patients can avoid. Examples include eliminating television within the eating environment, removing snack foods from the home, and using smaller plates for meal consumption.²¹

Food intake is higher when individuals are distracted during meals.²² Food consumption is associated with the length of the meal and the number of individuals at the table.^{22,23} Individuals are less attentive to caloric intake when eating with company or while watching television. These distractions reduce the ability to self-monitor food consumption and can promote unintended weight gain.

Emotional eating also plays an important role in the management of overweight and obesity. Stress is a primary predictor of overeating and poor weight maintenance.²¹ Acute and chronic stress alter the desire for food and subsequent food intake. Stress influences central reward pathways resulting in consumption of higher calorie foods. Stress causes overeating and stress is often suppressed by eating.²⁴ Patients must learn alternative methods for coping with stress (e.g., diaphragmatic breathing, progressive muscle relaxation, meditation) to prevent stressed-induced overeating.

Cultural Competency in the Behavioral Management of Obesity

Not all cultures view overweight/obesity as a problem. This perspective relates to beauty ideals about ideal body size or health beliefs suggesting that bigger is healthier. Food scarce environments are also obesogenic. For example, African American women (who are at particularly high risk for obesity) may be less likely to engage in traditional weight management programs and may experience decreased success when they do participate (Box 5).^{25–27} Rather than “blame the victim,” providers should work to engage these women and their families. African-American women often have leadership roles in their families and are very influential in their children’s eating patterns.²⁸ Engaging patients to provide culturally appropriate education and community-specific recommendations for change can have a broad impact.

Key Points—

1. Obesity occurs in a large ecological context and is multifactorial in nature.
2. Many ecological and environmental factors contribute to and maintain overweight and obesity. Understanding these factors helps destigmatize obesity and promotes culturally appropriate treatment.

Behavioral Modification for Obesity Management

Treatment Basics

The behavioral treatment of obesity is non-invasive and relatively low cost. Behavioral interventions to promote lifestyle changes should include face-to-face contact and provide at least 14 sessions within the first six months to yield the best results.²⁹ These interventions are often conducted in group settings and may be available at local hospitals, through commercial programs, or in the office setting. Behavioral interventions require time and commitment on the part of the patient and the provider. Patients can be referred to commercial or medical center-based behavioral group programs for weight loss and weight maintenance. If providing an outside referral, it is important that primary care physicians continue to provide ongoing support. Several simple behavioral principles^{30,31} can easily be integrated into an office practice:

- **Collaborative Goal Setting.** Setting reasonable goals that are achievable promotes long-term success. Patients often believe they need to lose a great deal of weight to be successful. Helping patients choose a long-term weight loss goal that is reasonable and achievable (e.g., 5% weight loss) increases the likelihood of successful adherence. Establish a specific goal for physical activity. Set a specific goal for dietary intake. Set a specific goal for weight management. When possible, tie other health outcomes (better blood pressure control, improved lipid profile) to the weight management goal to increase motivation and adherence.
- **Accountability.** Incorporate a measure of accountability to each of the goals. How often will patients come into the office to assess weight-related goals? Have them participate in a program that meets weekly for several months with required sign-in. Which patients would succeed with an online program? Link established goals to office visits to assess progress and provide ongoing support and encouragement.
- **Nutrition Consultation and Meal Planning.** Having a registered dietitian nutritionist (RDN) visit with each patient and assess their knowledge and preferences also augments success. RDN expertise allows each patient to develop an individual nutrition plan that is culturally appropriate, practical, affordable and achievable. When meeting with an RDN, a patient might expect to aim for:
 - A daily reduction in caloric intake by 500 kilocalories (1200–1500 calories/day for women, 1500–1800 calories/day for men);
 - An increase in physical activity to achieve 150 minutes per week of aerobic activity;
 - Review of the Mifflin-St Jeor equation (recommended by the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (AND) to calculate metabolic rate (in kcal/day) in overweight or obese adults (using actual body weight): multiply patient's resting energy expenditure (REE) by an activity factor to estimate needs. Energy needs should then be reduced by 500–750 kilocalories (3,500–5,250 kcal per week) for weight loss of 1–1.5 lb per week.^{29,32}

- **Self-monitoring Food Intake, Weight, and Activity.** Self-monitoring is the cornerstone of successful behavior therapy (Boxes 6 and 7). Monitoring food and activity levels directly increases self-awareness of personal behaviors. Self-monitoring slows down the decision-making processes, allowing individuals time to make healthier choices. Self-monitoring also alerts individuals about overconsumption and the nutritional content of foods.
 - Smart phone applications allow patients to search for healthy food choices and record activities at little or no cost. Such applications allow for short and long term monitoring and augment weight loss treatment within primary care.³³

Examples include:

 - Goal setting for certain number of steps (10,000/day)
 - Prompts to get up and move after sedentary periods
- **Stimulus Control.** Stimulus control strategies alter an individual's environment to maximize healthy choices. An example is moving less healthy foods (or foods an individual tends to overeat) out of the house to limit consumption. A reminder note on the refrigerator or a prompt to exercise on the bathroom mirror are other examples of how to alter the home environment to promote healthy eating and exercise patterns.
- **Problem Solving.** Specific problem solving tactics help patients explore their weight-related health behaviors. Using a behavioral chain to consider outcomes associated with different choices, patients are encouraged to consider different solutions before selecting the healthiest solution. Patients develop and implement a specific plan for the desired behavior, and then evaluate the success of their solution. Patients are encouraged to think about problems differently and engage in creative problem solving. Group visits offer an ideal way to engage in problem solving that focuses on a specific health topic. Individuals learn from how others have handled similar problems and often create innovative solutions specific to their own circumstances.
- **Problem Solving: Troubleshooting Specific Eating Situations**
 - **Social Eating and Eating Outside of the Home.** Restaurants are a potential bonanza for excessive caloric intake. Patients must learn skills for healthy eating outside of the home (See Box 8). Americans eat more meals outside of the home than ever before. Dining out is no longer a rare event and, as such, cannot be used as an excuse for overeating. Controlling social eating and caloric consumption outside of the home must include an understanding of the impact this type of eating has on an individual's weight. The challenges of dining out include portion size, type of food, food preparation techniques and the desire or habit of eating everything on the plate.

- **Emotional Eating.** Many people eat in response to a variety of emotions including anger, boredom, stress, anxiety, and frustration. Such eating behaviors have a significant influence on weight or health (See Box 9).
- **Relapse Prevention.** Slip-ups are normal when initiating any lifestyle changes (Box 10). Learning how to get back on track is important. Necessary components of relapse prevention include:
 - Understand social cues that relate to both healthy and unhealthy weight-related behaviors. Patients should generate strategies to help navigate social events, travel and vacations which commonly challenge the ability to make healthy lifestyle choices.
 - Stress management is essential. Patients should be encouraged to use a variety of techniques including problem solving, regular physical activity, relaxation exercises, engaging social supports or other cognitive strategies (e.g., positive self-talk).
 - Maintaining motivation over the long term can be a challenge. Acknowledge personal successes, engage in regular review of progress, re-assess goals and set new goals as necessary. Primary care physicians are well-positioned to help patients with weight management over the long-term.

Research Review - *Prototypical Programs for the Behavioral Modification in the Management of Obesity*

Archetypal weight loss research studies such as the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) and the Look AHEAD trial (based upon the DPP but administered in a group setting) provide valuable information on eating patterns and physical activity habits that are associated with weight loss. They also highlight behavioral strategies as the hallmarks of a successful cognitive behavioral weight loss intervention to help in weight loss efforts. The DPP program has proven efficacy in a primary care setting, utilizing either coaches or a home-based DVD approach.³⁵ These trials have resulted in long term weight loss after 10 years and longer delay in onset of diabetes in the lifestyle intervention group (as compared to the placebo and the metformin medication group).⁶ Weight loss among those in the lifestyle intervention was approximately 5% of body weight, while those in the usual care condition of diabetes support and education lost only 2% of body weight.⁷ Overall, half of the lifestyle intervention participants lost more than 5% of their body weight (Look AHEAD Research Group, 2014), and decreased risk factors for cardiovascular disease.³⁶ Over a quarter of these participants lost 10% of body weight.⁷

Changing Lifestyles for Long Term Weight Management: Background on “Non-dieting” Behavioral Modification Approaches

Though studies such as the Look AHEAD and DPP trials boast low attrition rates [94% retention in the Look AHEAD randomized cohort⁷ and 98% retention in the randomized cohort of the DPP⁵], these interventions require substantial calorie deficit (e.g., cutting at least 500 calories per day from intake). Behavioral weight loss interventions have yielded

significant results in adherent participants, but many studies report high attrition rates (up to 50% in those emphasizing food intake restriction). These studies also show patients have significant difficulty maintaining weight loss, exhibit marked post-intervention weight regain³⁷, and also show potentially negative psychological impacts (e.g., attributing self-worth with weight loss success) associated with dieting.^{38,39} The self-discipline required to be successful in these weight loss programs often requires adhering to a strict diet and may engender a pattern of restraint that paradoxically leads to compensatory overeating.⁴⁰ “Diet approach” interventions are sometimes followed by a pattern of slow weight re-gain that results in participants returning to baseline weight.⁴¹

A Feasible Alternative: Features of “Non-diet” approaches to weight

management—Recent obesity research focuses on a “non-diet” approach due to difficulty adhering to low calorie diets over the long-term. The non-dieting approach shifts the focus from weight loss to improvements in overall health.⁴² Small, manageable changes and a healthy lifestyle are emphasized rather than a sole focus on weight loss/control.⁴⁰ Moderation leads to a balanced approach to eating and exercise.⁴¹ Goals include steady weight loss and maintenance of lost weight, as well as overall physical and psychological well-being. Non-diet strategies also appear to offer some protection against disordered eating behaviors (e.g., food restriction and binge eating).⁴³ Non-diet interventions have lower attrition rates and longer term adherence since participants are more able to follow realistic eating plans.³⁸ Intuitive eating and Behavioral Choice Treatment are examples of non-dieting approaches. Not all results from studies using non-dieting methods are positive as some studies showed negligible or clinically insignificant weight loss.^{38,44}

Intuitive Eating—Intuitive eating includes an unrestricted permission to eat. Intuitive eating allows individuals to eat for physical reasons as opposed to emotional reasons. This technique teaches patients to focus on internal hunger and satiety cues to guide food consumption and also emphasizes body-food choice congruence.⁴² Intuitive eating is associated with improvements in BMI and healthy weight maintenance, improved long term weight maintenance, and decreased unhealthy diet behaviors (caloric restriction and binge eating).^{42,45}

Non-Dieting Approach: Behavioral Choice Treatment (BCT)—Behavioral Choice Treatment is an example of a weight management program that de-emphasizes significant caloric restriction and aims for moderation in healthy eating and exercise patterns. It is typically a group-based intervention. Participants are taught to view eating and exercise as choices. When healthy weight-related behavior choices are made, permanent weight and health changes are likely to follow. Significant emphasis is placed on how participant choices are linked to weight outcomes, regulation of hunger, and a general feeling of well-being. BCT includes individual exploration of previous negative outcomes following poor food or exercise choices (e.g., regret after indulging in “bad foods”). Cognitive restructuring is introduced as a tool to prevent these negative outcomes. Steady weight loss is set as a realistic expectation of the program. BCT emphasizes that there are no “forbidden” foods. Participants learn to recognize high calorie, high carbohydrate or high fat food choices and moderate consumption accordingly. Participants maintain a weekly food diary and engage in

a moderate program of physical activity, starting with 15 minutes of walking per day three times per week with the ultimate target of walking 180 minutes each week. The key skills of BCT include moderating caloric restriction through small changes in eating and exercise. Patients learn self-monitoring, are encouraged to engage social support networks and augment self-efficacy to sustain behaviors over time.⁴⁶

Research Review: BCT

BCT has been delivered in clinical research and community settings with African American women who experience disparately higher rates of obesity.⁴⁷ BCT yields a steady, measured reduction in weight over time (12 and 24 months) whereas traditional behavior therapy (TBT) participants (adhering to the typical restraint model for dieting to include reduced caloric intake, self-monitoring, stimulus control, and behavioral substitution) have regained lost weight.⁴⁸ BCT and its offshoot, Behavioral Choice Treatment with a Family Component that adds a family module, has been utilized successfully over the last decade and a half in research and community settings.^{20,48–53}

Primary Care Plan of Action: Framework for Building a Clinical Program in the Behavioral Modification in the Management of Obesity

Programs implemented in clinical settings contrast with research intervention trials. Behavioral health specialists with expertise in weight management, therefore, are ideal collaborators to help their obese patients succeed in weight loss. Improved adherence, increased motivation for attending more sessions, and an emphasis on more intensive and longer term treatment are important elements of success.⁵⁴ Low intensity (less than two visits per month) physician counseling is not likely to yield the same results as intense counseling (at least two visits per month for the first three months).⁵⁵

Spotlight on: MOVE! A Veteran's Administration Medical Center Weight Management Program

One example of a widely disseminated behavioral modification program for the management of obesity with an interdisciplinary collaborative focus is *MOVE!* (Motivating Overweight/Obese Veterans Everywhere). *MOVE!* is an evidence-based intervention that has been utilized nation-wide since 2006.⁵⁶ *MOVE!* Incorporates a person-centered individualized and group-support approach to obesity that includes many of the cognitive and behavioral treatment components discussed above (e.g., self-monitoring, goal setting, stimulus control).^{56,57} *MOVE!* can be easily implemented as a part of each primary care visit.⁵⁶ (<http://www.move.va.gov/>). Moderate weight loss has been noted in *MOVE!* participants over short term follow up (through 12 months post-intervention)⁵⁸. Veterans participating in *MOVE!* experience short term weight loss. Most participants have shown success with two or fewer visits per year.⁵⁴

A Collaborative Approach: Primary Care Physicians and Behavioral Health Specialists

Primary care physicians collaborating with behavioral health specialists have the distinct opportunity to facilitate patients' motivation for weight loss. Increased patient motivation

leads to increased adherence and improves the likelihood of success. Two practical primary care techniques to facilitate patient motivation include 1) Motivational Interviewing and 2) Behavior Choice Treatment, Family - a family based approach to the behavioral modification in the management of obesity.

Motivational Interviewing

Primary care physicians are often the first health care provider with whom patients discuss weight loss goals. This is an excellent opportunity to have a meaningful and motivating conversation about patient-specific goals. Motivational Interviewing (MI) is a therapeutic modality that has its origins in counseling for alcohol abuse.⁵⁹ MI has been used for behavior change in obesity⁶⁰ and many other health conditions. MI is a person-centered, goal-directed approach that augments an individual patient's intrinsic motivation for committing to behavior change. Working with and through a patient's ambivalence to behavior change is a hallmark of MI.⁶¹ MI aligns well with weight management objectives by eliciting behavior change within the context of a positive provider-patient relationship.

MI is a patient centered approach with the following **Core principles**:

- Express empathy
- Support the patient's self-efficacy
- Roll with resistance
- Develop discrepancy⁶¹

Empathic and reflective listening are central to MI. The acronym "OARS" describes core elements of MI:

- O - ask open questions
- A - affirm the patient's perspective
- R - reflect what was heard to ensure understanding
- S - summarize shared understanding to set specific goals⁶¹

Tips for Motivational Interviewing

1. Determine the patient's desire for change. "On a scale of 1 to 10, how important is achieving a healthy weight status as a goal for you"?
2. Determine patient confidence in their ability to make the change. "On a scale of 1 to 10 how confident are you that you can make the necessary changes to achieve a healthy weight status?"
3. Obtain a commitment (verbally or, preferably, in writing) to making the necessary changes.⁶¹
4. Do not oppose a patient if they are resistant to change ("roll with resistance").
5. Summarize the patient's "change talk" as part of a patient-centered dialogue
6. Create a patient-directed plan for making necessary changes⁶¹

MI principles are best used as part of a comprehensive behavioral weight management program⁶¹ and integrate well within the PCMH model of care.⁶²

Research Review: MI

In a review of randomized controlled trials using MI for weight loss among overweight/obese individuals, medium effect sizes were found for the reduction of body mass compared to a control intervention.⁶⁰ MI is effective in helping patients make dietary and physical activity changes to manage diabetes,⁶³ which has implications in the management of obesity. MI techniques are easily learned within a reasonable time commitment and yield positive results relevant to obesity-related conditions.⁶⁴ Over one third of MI interventions for obesity in primary care settings showed significant weight loss compared to a control condition.⁶⁵

Behavioral Choice Treatment with a Family Component (BCTF)

Due to the alarming rates of obesity in the United States, particularly among non-Hispanic Black and Hispanic youth and adults, there is a clear need to understand the manner in which the family environment influences obesity. Little research focuses on family interventions for weight loss and studies with diverse samples are scarce.⁶⁶ Thus, a family-based approach to behavioral modification for obesity management likely offers a solution that fits the problem. A family-based approach focusing on an entire family's weight-related health behaviors is a novel primary care intervention.

Previous success in weight loss in both Caucasian and African American participants has been demonstrated with behavioral choice treatment (BCT).^{48,52} A planned collaboration between primary care physicians and behavioral health specialists utilizing the Behavioral Choice Treatment with a Family Component (BCTF) approach addresses obesity across many ethnic groups. Treatment approaches that consider the ecological context and environment of the patient conceptualize obesity from a more comprehensive perspective. The BCTF program harnesses the impact of positive changes in the household environment and engages families to promote healthy weight loss efforts.^{19,66,67}

Target patients (primary participants) engage in a behavioral weight management program with family members (secondary participants) and have their progress assessed throughout the intervention.⁶⁸ A family module is included with each topic. Primary participants are provided with family meal plans and handouts on healthy snacks and drinks for children and family, and tips for healthy and quick-packed lunches. Verbal encouragement is used to motivate participants during group sessions. Primary participants are encouraged to set family goals in addition to their individual weight loss/health goals (e.g., whole-family physical activity sessions, increased fruit and vegetable consumption, and limiting fast food dinners).

The BCTF treatment model serves as one example of a behavioral modification approach to the management of obesity that is appropriately administered in a primary care setting. Understanding the basic principles of cognitive behavioral therapy and motivational

interviewing allows primary care physicians to create a holistic partnership with patients and families to work together toward weight management goals.

Spotlight on Obesity Health Disparities: BCT and BCTF Research in African American Women

BCT interventions within community settings have demonstrated success in improved weight loss outcomes among AA individuals.^{49–52} A recent trial of BCTF run in a community setting with African American women indicate weight loss among both primary participants and their family members. Though there was some tendency toward re-gain of some of the lost weight at post-intervention follow-up time points, participants lost weight overall when assessed at the 12 month follow-up session.²⁰

Key Points

1. Motivational interviewing techniques translate well in the PCMH setting.
2. A sample behavioral modification weight loss program with a family focus serves as a potential interdisciplinary model for weight management.

Summary

An interdisciplinary, PCMH-approach to weight management in primary care settings includes multiple factors. An understanding of and appreciation for the multifactorial and ecological nature of the etiology of obesity is important. There are significant obesity-related health disparities, particularly in African American women. Providing a non-stigmatizing approach to overweight and obese patients is important. Motivational interviewing techniques are effective within the patient-centered medical home. Behaviorally-based programs for obesity management inform patients of reasonable goals and expectations.⁶⁹ Collaborating with behavioral health care specialists and registered dietitians also facilitate success as part of an integrated patient-centered approach to weight management.

Acknowledgments

Funding acknowledgement: Research was supported, in part, by NIH (grant P20MD000505).

References

1. Preventive Services Task Force. Screening for obesity in adults: recommendations and rationale. *Ann Intern Med.* 2003; 139:930–932. [PubMed: 14644896]
2. Simkin-Silverman LR, Simkin-Silverman LR, Conroy MB, et al. Treatment of Overweight and Obesity in Primary Care Practice: Current Evidence and Future Directions. *American journal of lifestyle medicine.* 2008; 2(4):296–304.
3. Wadden TA, Volger S, Tsai AG, et al. Managing obesity in primary care practice: an overview with perspective from the POWER-UP study. *Int J Obes.* 2005; 37(S1):S3–S11.
4. World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight Fact Sheet. 2015. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/>.
5. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. First versus repeat treatment with a lifestyle intervention program: attendance and weight loss outcomes. *International Journal of Obesity.* 2008; 32:1537–1544. [PubMed: 18711387]

6. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. 10-year follow-up of diabetes incidence and weight loss in the Diabetes Prevention Program Outcomes Study. *Lancet*. 2009; 374(9702):1677–1686. [PubMed: 19878986]
7. Look AHEAD Research Group. Eight-Year Weight Losses with an Intensive Lifestyle Intervention: The Look AHEAD Study. *Obesity*. 2014; 22(1):5–13. [PubMed: 24307184]
8. Puhl RM, Heuer CA. The Stigma of Obesity: A Review and Update. *Obesity*. 2009; 17:941–964. [PubMed: 19165161]
9. Puhl RM, Brownell KD. Confronting and coping with weight stigma: An Investigation of overweight and obese adults. *Obesity*. 2006; 14(10):1802–1815. [PubMed: 17062811]
10. Bertakis KD, Azari R. The impact of obesity on primary care visits. *Obesity Research*. 2005; 13(9):1615–1623. [PubMed: 16222065]
11. Edmunds L. Parents' perceptions of health professionals' responses when seeking help for their overweight children. *Family Practice*. 2005; 22:287–292. [PubMed: 15772121] Drury CA, Louis M. Exploring the association between body weight, stigma of obesity, and health care avoidance. *Journal of the American Academy of Nurse Practitioners*. 2002; 14(12):554–561. [PubMed: 12567923]
12. Olson CL, Schumaker HD, Yawn BP. Overweight women delay medical care. *Archives of family medicine*. 1994; 3(10):888–892. [PubMed: 8000560]
13. Jones K. Weight Stigma Among Providers Decreases the Quality of Care Received by Obese Patients. *School of Physician Assistant Studies*. 2010 Paper 207.
14. Volger S, Vetter ML, Dougherty M, et al. Patients' Preferred Terms for Describing Their Excess Weight: Discussing Obesity in Clinical Practice. *Obesity*. 2012; 20(1):147–150. [PubMed: 21760637]
15. Brofenbrenner U. Ecological models of human development. *International Encyclopedia of Education*. 1994; 3(2)
16. United States Department of Health and Human Services. Defining the Patient Centered Medical Home. 2015. <http://www.pcmh.ahrq.gov/page/defining-pcmh>.
17. Williams JD, Crockett D, Harrison RL, et al. The role of food culture and marketing activity in health disparities. *Preventive Medicine*. 2012; 55(5):382–386. [PubMed: 22227280]
18. Thomas JL, Stewart DW, Lynam IM, et al. Support Needs of Overweight African American Women for Weight Loss. *American journal of health behavior*. 2009; 33(4):339–352. [PubMed: 19182980]
19. Gorin AA, Raynor HA, Fava J, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of a Comprehensive Home Environment-Focused Weight-Loss Program for Adults. *Health Psychology*. 2013; 32(2):128–137. [PubMed: 22309885]
20. Sbrocco T, Kelley C, Tang D, et al. Obesity Treatment with a Family Focus for African American Women. (In preparation).
21. Kumanyika SK, Obarzanek E, Stettler N, et al. Population-based prevention of obesity: the need for comprehensive promotion of healthful eating, physical activity, and energy balance: a scientific statement from American Heart Association Council on Epidemiology and Prevention, Interdisciplinary Committee for Prevention (formerly the expert panel on population and prevention science). *Circulation*. 2008; 118(4):428–464. [PubMed: 18591433]
22. Hetherington MM, Anderson AS, Norton GN, et al. Situational effects on meal intake: A comparison of eating alone and eating with others. *Physiology & behavior*. 2006; 88(4):498–505. [PubMed: 16757007]
23. Bell R, Pliner PL. Time to eat: the relationship between the number of people eating and meal duration in three lunch settings. *Appetite*. 2003; 41(2):215–218. [PubMed: 14550324]
24. Sominsky L, Spencer SJ. Eating behavior and stress: a pathway to obesity. *Frontiers in Psychology*. 2014; 5:434. [PubMed: 24860541]
25. McTigue KM, Harris R, Hemphill B, et al. Screening interventions for obesity in adults: Summary of the evidence for the U.S. preventive services task force. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2003; 139(11):933–949. [PubMed: 14644897]
26. West DS, Prewitt ET, Bursac Z, et al. Weight loss of black, white, and Hispanic men and women in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Obesity*. 2008; 16(6):1413–1420. [PubMed: 18421273]

27. Wing RR, Anglin K. Effectiveness of a behavioral weight control program for blacks and whites with NIDDM. *Diabetes Care*. 1996; 19(5):403–413.
28. Kumanyika SK, Whitt-Glover MC, Gary TL, et al. Expanding the obesity research paradigm to reach African American communities. *Preventing chronic disease*. 2007; 4(4):A112. [PubMed: 17875256]
29. American College of Cardiology, American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, The Obesity Society. Executive Summary: Guidelines (2013) for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults. *Obesity*. 2013; 22(Suppl 22):S5–S39.
30. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP): Description of lifestyle intervention. *Diabetes Care*. 2002; 25(12):2165–2171. [PubMed: 12453955]
31. Look AHEAD Research Group. Look AHEAD (Action for Health in Diabetes): design and methods for a clinical trial of weight loss for the prevention of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetes. *Controlled Clinical Trials*. 2003; 24:610–628. [PubMed: 14500058]
32. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. Adult weight management(AWM) Guideline. 2014. <http://www.andeal.org/topic.cfm?menu=5276&cat=4688>.
33. Integrating technology into standard weight loss treatment: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA internal medicine*. 2013; 173(2):105. [PubMed: 23229890]
34. Grave RD, Centis E, Marzocchi R, et al. Major factors for facilitating change in behavioral strategies to reduce obesity. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management*. 2013; 6:101–110. [PubMed: 24124398]
35. Ma J, Yank V, Xiao L, et al. Translating the Diabetes Prevention Program lifestyle intervention for weight loss into primary care: A Randomized Trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association Internal Medicine*. 2013; 173(2):113–121. [PubMed: 23229846]
36. Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, et al. Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2011; 34(7): 1481–1486. [PubMed: 21593294]
37. Dansinger ML, Tatsioni A, Wong JB, et al. Meta-analysis: the effect of dietary counseling for weight loss. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2007; 147(1):41–50. [PubMed: 17606960]
38. Bacon L, Keim NL, VanLoan MD, et al. Evaluating a ‘non-diet’ wellness intervention for improvement of metabolic fitness, psychological well-being and eating and activity behaviors. *International Journal of Obesity Related Metabolic Disorders*. 2002; 26(6):854–865. [PubMed: 12037657]
39. Hession M, Rolland C, Kulkarni U, et al. Systematic review of randomized controlled trials of low-carbohydrate vs low-fat/low-calorie diets in the management of obesity and its comorbidities. *Obesity reviews*. 2009; 10:36–50. [PubMed: 18700873]
40. Polivy J, Herman CP. Undieting: a program to help people stop dieting. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*. 1992; 11:261–268.
41. Seagle HM, Strain GW, Makris A, et al. Position of the American Dietetic Association: Weight Management. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*. 2009; 109(2):330–346. 2//. [PubMed: 19244669]
42. Schaefer JT, Magnuson AB. A review of interventions that promote eating by internal cues. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*. 2014; 114(5):734–760. [PubMed: 24631111]
43. Laliberte M, Newton M, McCabe R, et al. Controlling Your Weight Versus Controlling YourLifestyle: How Beliefs about Weight Control AffectRisk for Disordered Eating, Body Dissatisfactionand Self-esteem. *Cognitive Therapy Research*. 2007; 31:852–869.
44. Wadden TA, Foster GD, Sarwer DB, et al. Dieting and the development of eating disorders in obese women: results of a randomized controlled trial. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2004; 80(3):560. [PubMed: 15321793]
45. Van Dyke N, Drinkwater EJ. Relationships between intuitive eating and health indicators: literature review. *Public health nutrition*. 2014; 17(8):1757–1766. [PubMed: 23962472]
46. Sbrocco, T. Behavior Choice Treatment Manual. Bethesda, MD: Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences; 1999.

47. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Kit BK, et al. Prevalence of childhood and adult obesity in the United States, 2011–2012. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2014; 311(8):806–814. [PubMed: 24570244]
48. Sbrocco T, Nedegaard RC, Stone JM, et al. Behavioral choice treatment promotes continuing weight loss: Preliminary results of a cognitive behavioral decision-based treatment for obesity. *Journal of Consulting Clinical Psychology*. 1999; 67:260–266. [PubMed: 10224737]
49. Kennedy BM, Paeratakul S, Champagne CM, et al. A pilot church-based weight loss program for African-American adults using church members as health educators: A comparison of individual and group intervention. *Ethnicity & Disease*. 2005; 15:373–378. [PubMed: 16108295]
50. Kumanyika SK, Charleston JB. Lose weight and win: A church-based weight loss program for blood pressure control among black women. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 1992; 19:19–32. [PubMed: 1298945]
51. McNabb W, Quinn M, Kerver J, et al. The PATH-WAYS church-based weight loss program for urban African-American women at risk for diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 1997; 20(1518–1523)
52. Sbrocco T, Carter MM, Lewis EL, et al. Church-based obesity treatment for African-American women improves adherence. *Ethnicity & Disease*. 2005; 15:246–255. [PubMed: 15825971]
53. Sbrocco T, Osborn R, Clark RD, et al. Assessing the stages of change among African American women in a weight management program. *Journal of Black Psychology*. 2011; 1(38):81–103. [PubMed: 24683280]
54. Kahwati LC, Lance TX, Jones KR, et al. RE-AIM evaluation of the Veterans Health Administration's MOVE! Weight Management Program. *Translational Behavioral Medicine*. 2011; 1(4):551–560. [PubMed: 24073079]
55. Tsai AG, Wadden TA. Treatment of Obesity in Primary Care Practice in the United States: A Systematic Review. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*. 2009; 24(9):1073–1079. [PubMed: 19562419]
56. Kinsinger LS, Jones KR, Kahwati L, et al. Design and dissemination of the MOVE! weight-management program for veterans. *Preventing Chronic Disease*. 2009; 6(3):A98. [PubMed: 19527600]
57. United States Department of Veterans Affairs. [Accessed August 17, 2015] MOVE! Weight Management Program. (n.d.). <http://www.move.va.gov/>.
58. Dahn JR, Fitzpatrick SL, Llabre MM, et al. Weight Management for Veterans: Examining Change in Weight Before and After MOVE! Obesity. 2011; 19(5):977–981. [PubMed: 21127474]
59. Miller WR. Motivational interviewing with problem drinkers. *Behavioral Psychotherapy*. 1983; 11(2):147–172.
60. Armstrong MJ, Mottershead TA, Ronksley PE, et al. Motivational interviewing to improve weight loss in overweight and/or obese patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Obesity Reviews*. 2011; 12:709–723. [PubMed: 21692966]
61. Miller, Rollnick. *Motivational Interviewing: Preparing people for change*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2002.
62. American Academy of Family Physicians, American College of Physicians, American Academy of Pediatrics, American Osteopathic Association. *Joint Principles of the Patient Centered Medical Home*. 2007. http://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/practice_management/pcmh/initiatives/PCMHJoint.pdf.
63. Martins RK, McNeil DW. Review of Motivational Interviewing in promoting health behaviors. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2009; 29:283–293. [PubMed: 19328605]
64. Söderlund LL, Madson MB, Rubak S, et al. A systematic review of motivational interviewing training for general health care practitioners. *Patient Education and Counseling*. 2011; 84(1):16–26. [PubMed: 20667432]
65. Barnes RD, Ivezaj V. A systematic review of motivational interviewing for weight loss among adults in primary care. *Obesity Reviews*. 2015; 16:304–318. [PubMed: 25752449]
66. Samuel-Hodge CD, Gizlice Z, Cai J, et al. Family functioning and weight loss in a sample of African Americans and Whites. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. 2010; 40:294–301. [PubMed: 20721650]

67. Yanek LR, Becker DM, Moy TF, et al. Project Joy: Faith Based Cardiovascular Health Promotion for African American Women. Public Health Reports. 2001; 116(Suppl 1):68–81. <http://www-ncbi-nlm-nih-gov.proxy.lib.odu.edu/pmc/articles/PMC1913665/pdf/pubhealthrep00206-0070.pdf>. [PubMed: 11889276]
68. Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences Center for Health Disparities. Behavior Choice Treatment Manual - Family Version. Bethesda, MD: Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences; 2004.
69. American College of Physicians. [Accessed June 10, 2015] Sample Care Coordination Agreement Referrals, Consults, Co- Management - General: for all patients. (n.d.); <https://hvc.acponline.org/sample-care-coordination-agreement.pdf>.

Box 1. Patient Perspectives: Weight Stigma

“I think the worst was my family doctor who made a habit of shrugging off my health concerns... The last time I went to him with a problem, he said, “You just need to learn to push yourself away from the table.”

Box 2. Weight Stigma: What you can do?

1. Realize obesity is a health problem, not an issue of personal appearance
2. Talk to patients about their current health status and goals
 - a. Weight and BMI,
 - b. Laboratory values,
 - c. how they feel, in general
3. Make office accommodations for larger patients (e.g., waiting room chairs, scales, exam tables)
4. Educate office/clinic staff about the importance of making overweight individuals feel welcome and not embarrassed

Box 3. Patient-preferred terms

- Weight problem
- BMI
- Excess weight

Avoid the following:

- Fatness/Excess fat/Fat
- Obesity
- Large size
- Heavy.

Box 4. Healthier Environment: What can you do?

1. Encourage household rules to limit consumption of excess calories
2. Remove less healthy foods from the home
3. Limit mindless snacking
4. Restrict TV and video game time
5. Address family views on weight
6. Encourage stress management:
 - a. Breathing exercises
 - b. Progressive muscle relaxation
 - c. Meditation

For information on stress management: <https://nccih.nih.gov/health/stress/relaxation.htm>.

Box 5. Patient Perspective: African American Women

“We really don’t know a lot about healthiness [in eating]. Traditionally, most of our habits have been handed down to us. You eat all of the food on your plate and you have all this fat and that fat and more fat on top of fat... we really have a lack of knowledge when it comes to that and we learn it the hard way. You get sick... You’ve been taught this stuff all your life, but you never knew it was wrong.”

Box 6. Patient Perspective: I was a sloth!

“It seems the older I got, the heavier I got. The weight just kept creeping up. It wasn’t until my physician suggested I wear an activity monitor that I realized what a sloth I had become! My typical day involved only 2500 steps! I couldn’t believe it at first. I went from my car, to the elevator, to my office, and back home. I now have a daily goal of 10,000 steps, which I usually make. I get up every hour and walk a quarter mile loop twice. It really adds up, I’ve lost weight, and I feel better!”

Box 7. Patient Perspective: I ate what?

“My nutritionist had me record the food I was eating before I tried to lose any weight. She wanted me to understand the kinds of foods I was eating and where I might “get into trouble” as I try a new way of eating. It was such an eye opener! I just could NOT believe there were almost 200 calories in ½ cup of macaroni and cheese. And, let me tell you, a ½ cup was not my serving size – I was eating 2 ½ cups! Yes, that’s almost 900 calories. I still eat it, but now I know to be sure to watch my portion sizes and not eat it as often if I want to maintain my weight loss.”

Box 8. TIPS FOR EATING OUT

1. Do not skip meals before eating out. Being hungry makes it more difficult to think and plan wisely.
2. Plan ahead. Choose a restaurant that has a wide variety of choices.
3. There new rules for buffets! Do not overfill your plate. Choose a little bit. Cut back on portions. Make one pass and call it quits.
4. **Study the menu** carefully. Order items that are broiled, baked, poached, or steamed. Limit fried, buttered or creamed foods, or items with sauce/gravy.
5. **Ask questions** about the food before you place an order. Inquire about preparation methods.
6. Ask for dressing, sauce and gravy **“on the side.”**
7. Fresh rolls or breads are delicious without added butter.
8. **Portion Sizes.** Consider the following strategies to limit portion sizes:
 - Split a meal or item with someone.
 - Order “à la carte” (side dishes or appetizers).
9. Decide what you’re going to eat before you dig in. Put the remainder to the side. Take it home.
10. Use alcohol sparingly.
11. Salad bars. People think of salads as “free food.” They are not. Dressing, bacon, high-fat cheeses, and premixed salads are high in calories.
12. Beware of “eating-on-the-run!” Fast food restaurant choices tend to be abundant in fat and calories. Take lower fat food choices with you.

Box 9. Where Does Emotional Eating Come From?

Our culture often takes care of its people with food. Children who are upset might be offered a lollipop or an ice cream cone. Parents often soothe children with food. Food comes to be associated with comfort.

It is important to help patients and families find other ways to deal with negative feelings.

Box 10. Sample Dialogue for Helping Patients Understand and Plan for Slips and Prevent Relapses**Slips**

A slip is an error. You did something you really didn't want to do. A slip stops there. Say for example, you intended to enjoy one cookie and wound up eating 10. If you can see this as temporary, spend a bit of time trying to figure out how you did this, making sure to treat it as a Learning Experience rather than a failure, you'll be okay.

In the big picture, 10 cookies aren't going to make you overweight or keep you overweight. However, if you let one slip turn into a series of slips you're on the road to Relapse. Again, it is your belief about what you've done that causes you trouble, not what actually happened. Know the difference between a Learning Experience and a Failure. A failure attitude does not lead to success. Learning, on the other hand, is a lifelong endeavor.

Physical Activity Example: Planning for an Exercise Slip

The time changes, seasons change, you get bored or injured. All of these are common reasons that call for a change in an exercise routine. Rather than denying that this could ever happen to you, look ahead and anticipate what can cause you problems. Anticipate and plan. For each potential problem what will you do?

Box 11. Effective Behavioral Strategies for Weight Loss

- Self-monitoring of nutritional intake and physical activity
- Goal setting
- Problem solving
- Social support
- Stress management
- Stimulus control
- Alternative behaviors (identifying internal cues for eating such as craving and finding alternate behaviors rather than giving in to the craving)
- Cognitive restructuring
- Contingency management-making specific plans for ‘slip-ups’
- Continuous care—the value of a patient-centered medical home
- Establish a weight management range
- Construct a weight maintenance plan
- Meet with an RDN to discuss structured meal plans, meal replacements, and understanding of portion sizes and portion control
- Develop specific relapse prevention techniques^{32,34}

Key Points

- An understanding of and appreciation for the multifactorial and ecological nature of the etiology of obesity is important.
- There are significant obesity-related health disparities, particularly in African American women.
- Providing a non-stigmatizing approach to overweight and obese patients is important.
- Motivational interviewing techniques are effective within the patient-centered medical home; behaviorally-based programs for obesity management inform patients of reasonable goals and expectations.
- Collaborating with behavioral health care specialists and registered dietitians also facilitate success as part of an integrated patient-centered approach to weight management.