Risk factors for the development of complicated appendicitis in adults

Mohammad Naderan, Amir Eslami Shahr Babaki, Saeed Shoar, Hossein Mahmoodzadeh, Shirzad Nasiri, Zhamak Khorgami

ABSTRACT

Objective: To investigate the patient's history and physical examination information to find out risk factors associated with complicated appendicitis.

Material and Methods: Two hundred patients who were admitted with complicated appendicitis (including abscess, phlegmon, and generalized peritonitis) were retrieved from our database. Two hundred patients with non-complicated acute appendicitis were randomly selected from the same period. These two groups were compared in terms of demographic characteristics, past medical history, and presenting symptoms. We made a multivariate analysis model using binary logistic regression and backward stepwise elimination.

Results: Based on multivariate analysis, risk factors for complicated appendicitis included presenting with epigastric pain (OR=3.44), diarrhea (OR=23.4) or malaise (OR=49.7), history of RLQ pain within the past 6 months (OR=4.93), older age (OR=1.04), being married (OR=2.52), lack of anorexia (OR=4.63) and longer interval between onset of symptoms and admission (OR=1.46). Conversely, higher (academic) education was associated with decreased odds for complicated appendicitis (OR=0.26).

Conclusion: Our findings suggest that a surgeon's clinical assessment is more reliable to make a judgment. "Bedside evaluation" is a useful, cheap, quick and readily available method for identifying those at risk for developing complicated acute appendicitis.

Keywords: Acute appendicitis, complicated appendicitis, risk factors, adults

INTRODUCTION

Acute appendicitis and the subsequent appendectomy are the most familiar surgical phenomena for the general population and is considered a common surgical procedure (1).

Appendicitis is the most common cause of non-traumatic acute abdominal pain (2), and the most common acute abdominal condition requiring surgery (3). Despite several studies on this issue (4-9), complicated appendicitis (including abscess, phlegmon and generalized peritonitis) still leads to considerable morbidity and mortality rates worldwide, due to high life-time prevalence of acute appendicitis (3).

Several studies have tried to evaluate complicated appendicitis, its contributing factors, patients at risk, and its impact on healthcare resource utilization (1, 2, 10-15). Some of the proposed associations with this condition are diabetes mellitus (1, 2), duration of symptoms prior to surgery (16-18), extremes of age (11-13, 15, 17, 19), various laboratory markers (20-22) or other novel parameters, such as "intra-abdominal pressure" (23), types of medical insurance (19), imaging findings (24) and the underlying pathology of inflamed appendix (25).

This study compared two groups of patients with complicated and non-complicated appendicitis in a sample of patients admitted to a referral academic hospital. It aimed to find any possible associated factor with complicated appendicitis. We sought to investigate the relevance of the common bits of information in the patient's history and physical examination to the outcome of acute appendicitis, which are gathered upon admission to the emergency department but are frequently neglected or downplayed against findings of sophisticated imaging methods.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study Design

We designed a case-control study in order to compare various perceived risk factors among patients with complicated or non-complicated acute appendicitis. We sought to determine odds ratios for risk factors of developing complicated acute appendicitis. The institutional review board approved the study design and protocol. In addition, the ethics committee of our hospital approved the conduction of the study.

Department of Surgery, Tehran
University of Medical Sciences,
Shariati Hospital, Tehran, Iran

Address for Correspondence
Saeed Shoar

e-mail: ssht84@yahoo.com

Received: 18.12.2014
Accepted: 06.02.2015

©Copyright 2016
by Turkish Surgical Association
Available online at
www.ulusalcerahidergisi.org

Participants and Data collection

Data of 200 patients with complicated appendicitis who had presented to our hospital from September 2006 to September 2013 were collected retrospectively. Two hundred patients with non-complicated acute appendicitis with the closest date to the admission of complicated patients were selected. All patients were of Caucasian ethnicity. Due to the retrospective nature of the study, obtaining informed consent was not applicable.

During this period the attending physicians remained the same, resident and staff activities did not undergo any major changes, medical protocols and surgical procedures remained comparatively consistent.

The demographic information, medical history (including sign and symptoms, duration of symptoms before hospital admission, and previous medical treatment at outside centers), past medical history, physical examination findings, laboratory data, position of the appendix (based on surgical or imaging findings), and total length of hospital stay were collected for each patient.

Definitions

Complicated acute appendicitis was defined as the presence of either generalized peritonitis due to perforated appendicitis (based on surgical findings), or appendicular abscess or phlegmon (based on abdominopelvic CT scan). Gangrenous appendicitis without perforation was classified as a non-complicated type.

Education levels were aggregated into a dichotomous variable (higher education) that was defined as holding an academic degree, i.e. education beyond high school. Monthly income strata were also aggregated into a dichotomous variable based on official poverty line at the time of admission.

Statistical Analysis

Chi-square and independent sample t tests were used to compare categorical and continuous variables as indicated. Through the paper, p values <0.05 were considered as significant. Binary logistic regression with backward step-wise elimination was used to determine risk factors and corresponding odds ratios. Factors with p values <0.05 in the univariate analysis were entered in the multivariate model. Due to the co-linearity among some variables, some of them were aggregated as combined variables.

RESULTS

The majority of our patients were males (73.2%), with an age distribution of 30.43 ± 13.07 years. Patients with complicated appendicitis were significantly older, with higher income, lower level of education in themselves or in their family members, and more likely to be married. However, there was no significant difference in gender, body mass index (BMI), and insurance status (Table 1).

There were significant differences in the prevalence of diarrhea, epigastric pain, dysuria, urinary frequency, malaise and anorexia between patients with complicated and non-complicated acute appendicitis in univariate analysis ($p < 0.05$). Proportionally, more patients in the complicated group had a history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus, coronary artery disease and history of right lower quadrant (RLQ) pain during the past 6 months ($p < 0.001$). Regarding physical examination findings, abdominal guarding and RLQ mass were more prevalent among those with complicated acute appendicitis ($p < 0.01$) (Table 2).

Of the 200 patients with complicated acute appendicitis, 103 had perforated appendicitis with peritonitis, 32 had developed abscesses and 65 had phlegmon. Our findings suggested that the proportions of different appendix positions did not vary

Table 1. Demographic characteristics in complicated and non-complicated appendicitis groups

		Complicated n=200	Non-complicated n=200	p
Gender	Female	61 (30.5%)	46 (23.0%)	0.114
	Male	139 (69.5%)	154 (77.0%)	
Age (year), mean $\pm$ SD		35.7 $\pm$ 15.5	27 $\pm$ 9.5	<0.001
BMI (kg/m ²), mean $\pm$ SD		25.2 $\pm$ 4.9	25.2 $\pm$ 4.2	0.906
Insurance	With insurance	146 (73.0%)	136 (68.0%)	0.273
	No Insurance	54 (27.0%)	64 (32.0%)	
Monthly income	Higher than poverty threshold	146 (73.0%)	118 (59.0%)	0.003
	Less than poverty threshold	54 (27.0%)	82 (41.0%)	
Study level	Academic education	54 (27.0%)	103 (51.5%)	<0.001
	High school or less	146 (73.0%)	97 (48.5%)	
Study level of family members*	Academic education in at least one family member	32 (16.0%)	64 (32.0%)	<0.001
	High school or less in family members	168 (84.0%)	136 (68.0%)	
Marital status	Married	122 (61.0%)	74 (37.0%)	<0.001
	Single/ Widowed/ Divorced	78 (39.0%)	126 (63.0%)	

*Patients' parents and spouses were included as family members. BMI: body mass index; SD: standard deviation

between the two group members. Peritonitis constituted the majority of complication assessments during surgery. There were significant differences in terms of interval between the onset of symptoms and hospital admission, presence of fecalith, and length of hospital stay ($p<0.001$) (Table 3).

Analysis of the regression model suggested that patients with appendicitis who were married or had epigastric pain, diarrhea, malaise, lack of anorexia or a history of RLQ pain within the past 6 months had an increased odds of having complicated acute appendicitis. For each year of increase in a patient's age, the odds of having complicated acute appendicitis increased by 4%; for one day increase in the interval between onset of symptoms and admission, the odds of complication was increased by 45%. Patients with higher education had reduced odds of belonging to the complicated group (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Acute appendicitis is a common entity with a relatively high rate of misdiagnosis (12-30%) (26, 27). Nevertheless, various studies on risk factors for complicated appendicitis suggested that health care providers all over the world desire to be able to predict cases with less favorable outcomes and to be prepared for such circumstances. Serum WBC counts have been used for the diagnosis of acute appendicitis (28), and several studies explored the application of laboratory values in differentiating complicated acute appendicitis (20-22, 29). Other studies used imaging modalities to identify complicated cases (24), nonetheless it has been rightly argued (30) that both financial aspects of a modality and its subsequent effect on one's clinical judgment should be taken into consideration in such studies. In this regard, we focused on information that could be gathered both easily and quickly by a health care professional. To the extent of our knowledge, no other published study has evaluated demographic properties and "bedside evaluation" in complicated acute appendicitis in adult patients in this manner.

The age dispersion of our patients was similar to other studies (1, 20, 22, 31). Females constituted a minority of our sample group; one explanation might be that women with acute abdomen are usually directed to other medical facilities by emergency medical services, given the obstetric and gynecological pathologies leading to abdominal pain in women. Nonetheless, women were evenly distributed among two groups.

The socioeconomic status of populations have received attention in health studies, both in the past and present (32-34), where education, income and occupation have been considered as components of this parameter (34). Our findings and comparisons among income and education levels, and intervals between onset of symptoms and hospital admission suggested that those with complicated acute appendicitis had lower education levels, and that they sought professional help after a longer interval probably because they favored self-treatment. Studies on adult populations have emphasized lower socio-economic status of patients (1, 2, 20, 22, 31) as compared to those focusing on pediatric populations (18, 19); still, we found that those with lower education were at increased odds of having complications,

Table 2. Clinical findings in complicated and non-complicated appendicitis groups

	Complicated n=200	Non-complicated n=200	p
Presenting symptoms			
Diarrhea	14 (7.0%)	1 (0.5%)	0.001
Nausea	124 (62.0%)	113 (56.5%)	0.309
Vomiting	123 (61.5%)	124 (62.0%)	1.00
Epigastric pain	74 (37.0%)	41 (20.5%)	<0.001
Peri-umbilical pain	67 (33.5%)	79 (39.5%)	0.253
Hypogastric pain	17 (8.5%)	14 (7.0%)	0.709
Generalized abdominal pain	22 (11.0%)	17 (8.5%)	0.501
Pain migration	86 (43.0%)	99 (49.5%)	0.229
Pain radiation	22 (66.7%)	11 (33.3%)	0.068
Localized RLQ pain	129 (46.5%)	138 (69.0%)	0.396
Dysuria	15 (7.5%)	4 (2.0%)	0.017
Frequency	11 (5.5%)	1 (0.5%)	0.006
Malaise	31 (15.5%)	2 (1.0%)	<0.001
Anorexia	89 (44.5%)	138 (69.0%)	<0.001
Past medical history			
Medical treatment at other center	24 (12.0%)	1 (0.5%)	<0.001
History of RLQ pain during past 6 months	61 (30.5%)	14 (7.0%)	<0.001
HTN	31 (15.5%)	7 (3.5%)	<0.001
CAD	18 (9.0%)	1 (0.5%)	<0.001
DM	22 (11.0%)	2 (1.0%)	<0.001
Physical examination findings			
RLQ tenderness	176 (88.0%)	173 (86.5%)	0.765
RLQ rebound tenderness	77 (38.5%)	90 (45.0%)	0.224
Generalized abdominal tenderness	37 (18.5%)	26 (13.0%)	0.170
Abdominal guarding	22 (11.0%)	9 (4.5%)	0.023
RLQ mass	19 (9.5%)	0	<0.001
Fever	57 (28.5%)	45 (22.5%)	0.207

CAD: coronary artery disease; DM: diabetes mellitus; HTN: hypertension; RLQ: right lower quadrant

which was in contrast to findings of another study regarding education of patients' parents (18). On the other hand, unlike another study (19), we did not find any differences between insurance status and outcome in our patients; this difference could be explained by different policies of insurance companies regarding surgical operations.

The logistic regression model suggested that by each unit increase in age or interval between onset of symptoms and admission to our center, odds of having complicated acute appendicitis slightly increased. Delayed admission to hospital is a generally accepted contributor to complication in acute appendicitis (2, 16-18, 35).

Table 3. Para-clinical and surgical findings of complicated and non-complicated appendicitis groups

		Complicated n=200	Non-complicated n=200	p
Interval between onset of symptoms and admission (day)		5.5±5	2.33±2.2	<0.001
Fecalith within the appendix		13 (6.5%)	0	<0.001
Position of the appendix	Pelvic	21 (10.5%)	13 (6.5%)	0.556
	Retroileal	20 (10.0%)	20 (10.0%)	
	Retrocecal	113 (56.5%)	119 (59.5%)	
	Free pericolic	46 (23.0%)	48 (24.0%)	
Total hospital stay (day)		5.9±2.7	2.9±1.6	<0.001
All p values are two-tailed; Fisher's exact test and independent samples t test have been used, as indicated. Values were expressed as means± standard deviations or percentages, as indicated.				

Table 4. Risk factors predicting complicated appendicitis by multivariate logistic regression analysis

	p	Odds ratio	95% CI	
			Lower limit	Upper limit
Age (year)	0.002	1.044	1.016	1.074
Higher education status	<0.001	0.257	0.136	0.485
Interval between onset of symptoms and admission (day)	<0.001	1.462	1.271	1.682
Being married	0.005	2.517	1.313	4.825
Diarrhea	0.029	23.388	1.384	395.156
Epigastric pain	<0.001	3.437	1.814	6.514
Dysuria	0.056	4.74	0.958	23.46
Malaise	<0.001	49.732	7.125	347.104
Lack of anorexia	<0.001	4.633	2.456	8.74
History of RLQ pain during the past six months	0.001	4.934	1.998	12.187
CAD	0.082	8.852	0.756	103.602
BMI: body mass index; CAD: coronary artery disease; CI: confidence interval; RLQ: right lower quadrant				

Compared to studies which emphasized more on physical examination findings and on history taking, the association between interval between onset of symptoms and admission were compatible with the findings of those studies (15-17). In contrast to other studies (1, 2, 17, 25), our findings failed to suggest any associations between types of pre-existing medical conditions and outcome of acute appendicitis; these inconsistencies could be partly explained by different prevalence of medical conditions in samples (1), different age distribution between studies (1), or different methodologies (2). In addition, the different age spectrum and focusing of some of these studies on pediatric population should be kept in mind while interpreting these results (17, 19).

Although all studies in this field relied partly upon clinical assessment of patients, we perceived that assessing a large number of physical examination findings and demographic information provided the present study a touch of novelty. The majority of variables in our model are those that could be obtained through organized and brief history taking and physical examination, and did not require special skills or expensive techniques or procedures. Application of some of these findings to practice needs further expansion of this

study; still, our findings suggest that a surgeon's clinical assessment is more reliable in making a judgment. "Bedside evaluation" is a useful, cheap, quick and readily available method for identifying those at risk for developing complicated acute appendicitis. The interval between onset of symptoms and admission was a modifiable risk factor based on our findings. Raising public awareness on this issue could be a proper next step. Also, we propose that in patients who present with RLQ pain and have a number of risk factors suggested by this study (e.g. higher age with atypical symptoms like malaise, diarrhea, dysuria, epigastric pain, and lack of anorexia), acute appendicitis should be considered in even less likely clinical settings, since the risk of misdiagnosis and subsequent complications can be higher.

Our sample size was relatively large and we used variables that seemed to be important but were not reported in other studies; still, including so many variables in the regression model necessitates even a larger sample size. Further studies to show the reproducibility of our findings are warranted. Presenting the findings of similar studies in this field in a systematic review could be another useful step.

CONCLUSION

Complicated appendicitis may occur more in patients with atypical symptoms (epigastric pain, diarrhea, malaise, lack of anorexia, and history of chronic RLQ pain), those who are older, married, without higher education or with a longer interval from the onset of symptoms to admission. Patients with these factors and suspicion for appendicitis should be evaluated, advised and followed-up in a vigorous way not to be overlooked. Furthermore, "bedside evaluation" is a useful, cheap, quick and readily available method for identifying those at risk for developing complicated acute appendicitis.

Ethics Committee Approval: The ethics committee of the Shariati Hospital, Tehran University of Medical Science approved the study.

Peer-review: Externally peer-reviewed.

Author Contributions: Concept - Z.K.; Design - Z.K., M.N.; Supervision - Z.K., M.N., S.N., H.M.; Resources - Z.K., M.N.; Materials - S.N., H.M.; Data Collection and/or Processing - M.N., S.S.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - Z.K., M.N., A.E.S.B., S.S.; Literature Search - Z.K., A.E.S.B., S.S.; Writing Manuscript - Z.K., M.N., A.E.S.B., S.S.; Critical Review - Z.K., M.N., S.N., H.M.; Other - S.N., H.M.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

REFERENCES

- Ming PC, Yan TY, Tat LH. Risk factors of postoperative infections in adults with complicated appendicitis. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2009; 19: 244-248. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tsai SH, Hsu CW, Chen SC, Lin YY, Chu SJ. Complicated acute appendicitis in diabetic patients. *Am J Surg* 2008; 196: 34-39. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Stein GY, Rath-Wolfson L, Zeidman A, Atar E, Marcus O, Joubran S, et al. Sex differences in the epidemiology, seasonal variation, and trends in the management of patients with acute appendicitis. *Langenbecks Arch Surg* 2012; 397: 1087-1092. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Yau KK, Siu WT, Tang CN, Yang GP, Li MK. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy for complicated appendicitis. *J Am Coll Surg* 2007; 205: 60-65. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tuggle KR, Ortega G, Bolorunduro OB, Oyetunji TA, Alexander R, Turner PL, et al. Laparoscopic versus open appendectomy in complicated appendicitis: a review of the NSQIP database. *J Surg Res* 2010; 163: 225-228. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Thambidorai CR, Aman Fuad Y. Laparoscopic appendectomy for complicated appendicitis in children. *Singapore Med J* 2008; 49: 994-997.
- Temple CL, Huchcroft SA, Temple WJ. The natural history of appendicitis in adults. A prospective study. *Ann Surg* 1995; 221: 278-281. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Rivkine E, Lo Dico R, Soyer P, Pautrat K, Valleur P, Pocard M. Thoughts on the incidence of deep organ space/surgical site abscesses following laparoscopy for non-complicated acute appendicitis: A new decision tree. *J Visc Surg* 2011; 148: e400-401.
- Hale DA, Jaques DP, Molloy M, Pearl RH, Schutt DC, d'Avis JC. Appendectomy. Improving care through quality improvement. *Arch Surg* 1997; 132: 153-157. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- von Titte SN, McCabe CJ, Ottinger LW. Delayed appendectomy for appendicitis: causes and consequences. *Am J Emerg Med* 1996; 14: 620-622. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Thorbjarnarson B, Loehr WJ. Acute appendicitis in patients over the age of sixty. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 1967; 125: 1277-1280.
- Storm-Dickerson TL, Horattas MC. What have we learned over the past 20 years about appendicitis in the elderly? *Am J Surg* 2003; 185: 198-201.
- Sherlock DJ. Acute appendicitis in the over-sixty age group. *Br J Surg* 1985; 72: 245-246. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Peltokallio P, Jauhiainen K. Acute appendicitis in the aged patient. Study of 300 cases after the age of 60. *Arch Surg* 1970; 100: 140-143. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Korner H, Sondenaa K, Soreide JA, Andersen E, Nysted A, Lende TH, et al. Incidence of acute nonperforated and perforated appendicitis: age-specific and sex-specific analysis. *World J Surg* 1997; 21: 313-317. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kokoska ER, Silen ML, Tracy TF, Jr., Dillon PA, Cradock TV, Weber TR. Perforated appendicitis in children: risk factors for the development of complications. *Surgery* 1998; 124: 619-626. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bech-Larsen SJ, Lalla M, Thorup JM. The influence of age, duration of symptoms and duration of operation on outcome after appendicitis in children. *Dan Med J* 2013; 60: A4678.
- Bekele A, Mekasha A. Clinical profile and risk factors for perforation of acute appendicitis in children. *East Afr Med J* 2006; 83: 434-439. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bratton SL, Haberkern CM, Waldhausen JH. Acute appendicitis risks of complications: age and Medicaid insurance. *Pediatrics* 2000; 106: 75-78. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Moon HM, Park BS, Moon DJ. Diagnostic value of C-reactive protein in complicated appendicitis. *J Korean Soc Coloproctol* 2011; 27: 122-126. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Noh H, Chang SJ, Han A. The diagnostic values of preoperative laboratory markers in children with complicated appendicitis. *J Korean Surg Soc* 2012; 83: 237-241. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sand M, Bechara FG, Holland-Letz T, Sand D, Mehnert G, Mann B. Diagnostic value of hyperbilirubinemia as a predictive factor for appendiceal perforation in acute appendicitis. *Am J Surg* 2009; 198: 193-198. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bingol-Kologlu M, Tanyel FC, Ocal T, Karaagaoglu E, Senocak ME, Buyukpamukcu N. Intraabdominal pressure: a parameter helpful for diagnosing and predicting a complicated course in children with appendicitis. *J Pediatr Surg* 2000; 35: 559-563. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Tsukada K, Miyazaki T, Katoh H, Masuda N, Ojima H, Fukuchi M, et al. CT is useful for identifying patients with complicated appendicitis. *Dig Liver Dis* 2004; 36: 195-198. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Reid RI, Dobbs BR, Frizelle FA. Risk factors for post-appendectomy intra-abdominal abscess. *Aust N Z J Surg* 1999; 69: 373-374. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Pearl RH, Hale DA, Molloy M, Schutt DC, Jaques DP. Pediatric appendectomy. *J Pediatr Surg* 1995; 30: 173-181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Brockman SF, Scott S, Guest GD, Stupart DA, Ryan S, Watters DA. Does an Acute Surgical Model increase the rate of negative appendectomy or perforated appendicitis? *ANZ J Surg* 2013; 83: 744-747.
- Alvarado A. A practical score for the early diagnosis of acute appendicitis. *Ann Emerg Med* 1986; 15: 557-564. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kaser SA, Fankhauser G, Willi N, Maurer CA. C-reactive protein is superior to bilirubin for anticipation of perforation in acute appendicitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2010; 45: 885-892. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Sarr MG. CT scan in complicated appendicitis diagnosis: a very costly option. *Dig Liver Dis* 2004; 36: 174. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Advani V, Ahad S, Gonczy C, Markwell S, Hassan I. Does resident involvement effect surgical times and complication rates during laparoscopic appendectomy for uncomplicated appendici-

- tis? An analysis of 16,849 cases from the ACS-NSQIP. *Am J Surg* 2012; 203: 347-352. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Marmot MG, Kogevinas M, Elston MA. Social/economic status and disease. *Annu Rev Public Health* 1987; 8: 111-135. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Liberatos P, Link BG, Kelsey JL. The measurement of social class in epidemiology. *Epidemiol Rev* 1988; 10: 87-121.
34. Siren R, Eriksson JG, Peltonen M, Vanhanen H. Impact of health counselling on cardiovascular disease risk in middle aged men: influence of socioeconomic status. *PLoS One* 2014; 9: e88959.
35. Pittman-Waller VA, Myers JG, Stewart RM, Dent DL, Page CP, Gray GA, et al. Appendicitis: why so complicated? Analysis of 5755 consecutive appendectomies. *Am Surg* 2000; 66: 548-554.