Published in final edited form as:

J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2016 February ; 22(2): 191–204. doi:10.1017/S1355617715001216.

Fornix microstructure and memory performance is associated with altered neural connectivity during episodic recognition

Martina Ly^{1,2,3,4,5}, Nagesh Adluru⁵, Daniel J. Destiche⁵, Sharon Y. Lu⁵, Jennifer M. Oh^{1,2}, Siobhan M. Hoscheidt^{1,2,3}, Andrew L. Alexander^{5,6,7}, Ozioma C. Okonkwo^{1,2,3}, Howard A. Rowley², Mark A. Sager^{1,2,3}, Sterling C. Johnson^{1,2,3}, and Barbara B. Bendlin^{1,2,3}

¹Geriatric Research Education and Clinical Center, William S. Middleton Memorial Veteran's Hospital, Madison, Wisconsin

²Alzheimer's Disease Research Center, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

³Wisconsin Alzheimer's Institute, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁴Neuroscience Training Program, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁵Waisman Laboratory for Brain Imaging and Behavior, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

⁷Department of Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Abstract

Objective—The purpose of this study was to assess whether age-related differences in white matter microstructure are associated with altered task-related connectivity during episodic recognition.

Method—Using functional magnetic resonance imaging and diffusion tensor imaging from 282 cognitively healthy middle-to-late aged adults enrolled in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention, we investigated whether fractional anisotropy (FA) within white matter regions known to decline with age was associated with task-related connectivity within the recognition network.

Results—There was a positive relationship between fornix FA and memory performance, both of which negatively correlated with age. Psychophysiological interaction analyses revealed that higher fornix FA was associated with increased task-related connectivity amongst the hippocampus, caudate, precuneus, middle occipital gyrus, and middle frontal gyrus. In addition,

better task performance was associated with increased task-related connectivity between the posterior cingulate gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, cuneus, and hippocampus.

Conclusions—The findings indicate that age has a negative effect on white matter microstructure, which in turn has a negative impact on memory performance. However, fornix microstructure did not significantly mediate the effect of age on performance. Interestingly, dynamic functional connectivity was associated with better memory performance. The results of the psychophysiological interaction analysis further revealed that alterations in fornix microstructure explain—at least in part—connectivity among cortical regions in the recognition memory network. Our results may further elucidate the relationship between structural connectivity, neural function, and cognition.

Keywords

Aging; Task-related functional connectivity; fMRI; gPPI; Fractional anisotropy; Episodic recognition

Introduction

Advancing age is associated with decline in multiple cognitive domains, including episodic memory (Tulving, 1984), particularly the encoding of recent events (Grady, McIntosh, & Craik, 2003; Morcom, Good, Frackowiak, & Rugg, 2003). Recognition memory, the ability to recognize previously encountered events, objects, or people, has also been shown to display an age-related decline (Duverne, Motamedinia, & Rugg, 2009; Grady et al., 2005). Recent studies have identified a network of cortical regions – each interconnected with the medial temporal lobe – that are consistently engaged during successful episodic retrieval (Rugg & Vilberg, 2013). Older age has been associated with alterations to this network, including greater frontal recruitment in conjunction with decreased occipital and parietal activation during episodic memory performance, (Anderson et al., 2000; Cabeza, Anderson, Houle, Mangels, & Nyberg, 2000; Cabeza, 2004; Davis, Dennis, Daselaar, Fleck, & Cabeza, 2008). Other studies have found age-related decreases in prefrontal cortex activity, resulting in a more bilateral prefrontal activation pattern in older adults (Anderson et al., 2000; Cabeza et al., 1997, 2000; Schacter, Savage, Alpert, Rauch, & Albert, 1996; Schiavetto, Köhler, Grady, Winocur, & Moscovitch, 2002). Likewise, functional connectivity—the temporal correlation of neural activity between spatially remote regions—also shows age-related changes during episodic memory, including decreased functional coupling between the hippocampus and the retrosplenial and parietotemporal cortices (Daselaar, Fleck, Dobbins, Madden, & Cabeza, 2006).

A postulated mechanism underlying age-associated changes in episodic memory is structural alterations in cerebral white matter, with subsequent changes in functional brain activity, particularly coordinated activity among distal regions. Older age has long been associated with structural deterioration of white matter (Tang et al., 1997), a process which in turn is likely associated with disruption of neural networks underlying normal cognitive function, including episodic memory function (Grady, 2008; Greenwood, 2007; O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Pfefferbaum, Adalsteinsson, & Sullivan, 2005). Age-related reductions in white matter fractional anisotropy (FA) have been found to correlate with memory decline

(Charlton, Schiavone, Barrick, Morris, & Markus, 2010; Gunning-Dixon & Raz, 2000; O'Sullivan et al., 2001; Persson et al., 2006) and recent diffusion MRI tractography studies (Lockhart et al., 2012; Metzler-Baddeley, Jones, Belaroussi, Aggleton, & O'Sullivan, 2011) have found age-related decline in recall to be associated with microstructural degradation in several white matter tracts including the fornix, which connects the hippocampal formation to the prefrontal cortex (Poletti and Creswell, 1977), the uncinate fasciculus, connecting the anterior temporal lobe with the orbital and medial prefrontal cortex (Crosby, 1962; Schmahmann & Pandya, 2007), and the inferior longitudinal fasciculus, a major fiber bundle linking the anterior temporal lobe to the occipital lobe (Catani, Howard, Pajevic, & Jones, 2002; Schmahmann & Pandya, 2007). Changes to myelin and axonal fibers in aging may cause less stable flow of electrical currents in dendrites and axons, resulting in disruptions in conduction of action potentials and degradation of coordinated activity across the brain.

The importance of white matter microstructure for cognitive function is rooted in the spatial distribution of cognitive processes in the brain, involving a complex interplay between multiple areas (Gläscher et al., 2010). Thus, declines in white matter microstructure are associated with disrupted network functional connectivity between brain regions interconnected with compromised structural fibers (Antonenko et al., 2012, Davis et al., 2012, Steffener et al., 2012). In contrast, greater integrity of white matter fibers is associated with stronger functional connectivity (Davis et al., 2012). However, no studies have yet investigated whether the relationship between white matter microstructure, behavior, and task-related functional connectivity explains episodic recognition performance and whether these relationships are modulated by a common influence of age.

In this study, we examine whether intact tract connectivity between cortical regions within the recognition network would be associated with better memory performance and greater task related functional connectivity among cortical regions in the episodic recognition network. Combining task-related connectivity analyses with measures of white matter microstructure may provide greater insight into the mechanisms underlying age-related differences in memory function.

Methods

Participants

Two hundred and ninety two healthy, right-handed adults aged 44 - 75 years (mean $\pm$ SD = 61.15 ± 6.71 years) participated in one MRI session (fMRI and DTI) as part of brain imaging studies on memory and aging. All participants were from the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP) (Sager, Hermann, & La Rue, 2005), which is a registry of healthy middle-aged adults who either have no parental family history of Alzheimer's disease (AD) or at least one parent with late onset AD. Positive parental family history of AD classification was defined as having one or both parents with AD as determined by a validated interview (Kawas, Segal, Stewart, Corrada, & Thal, 1994) or autopsy-confirmed or probable AD as outlined by research criteria (McKhann et al., 1984, 2011), and reviewed by a multidisciplinary diagnostic consensus panel. Absence of family history of AD required that the participant's father survive to at least age 70 years and the mother to age 75 years without diagnosis of dementia or cognitive deterioration. Family history was classified as a

binary variable. *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ genetic testing was performed by the Wisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center. Participants were categorized using a binary variable as an *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carrier or non-carrier.

Inclusion criteria for all subjects consisted of the following: normal cognitive function determined by neuropsychological evaluation, no current diagnosis of major psychiatric disease or other major medical conditions (e.g., diabetes, myocardial infarction, or recent history of cancer), no history of head trauma, and no contraindications for a MRI scan. Three participants were removed from the analysis based on Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE) below 28 and seven participants were removed due to abnormal findings on radiological read of the MRI. A final sample size of two hundred and eighty two participants (94 males and 188 females) was included in the analyses. Study procedures were approved by the University of Wisconsin Health Sciences Institutional Review Board and were in accordance with U.S. federal regulations. All participants provided written informed consent.

In addition to MRI, participants received a neuropsychological assessment (Table 1). The MMSE (Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975) was used as a global measure of cognition. Episodic memory was assessed with the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) (Schmidt, 1996) and Brief Visuospatial Memory Test (BVMT) (Benedict, 1997). Verbal generation and fluency were measured with the Wide Range Achievement Test-III reading subtest (WRAT-III) (Jastak & Wilkinson, 1984) and the Boston Naming Test (BNT) (Kaplan, Goodglass, & Weintraub, 1983). Different aspects of executive functions included: response speed and focused attention, measured with the Digits Symbol Substitution test from the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale – third Edition (WAIS-III) (Baddeley, 1996), attention switching, examined with the Trail Making Test A and Trail Making Test B, which requires alternation between letters and digits (TMT-A, TMT-B) (Reitan & Wolfson, 1993), and suppression of response-incongruent information, measured with the Stroop test (Trenerry, Crosson, Deboe, & Leber, 1989).

MRI Acquisition

Participants were imaged on a General Electric 3.0 Tesla Discovery MR750 (Waukesha, WI) MRI system with an 8-channel head coil. During the fMRI task, for each participant and for each run, 167 whole-brain volumes were acquired to measure the T2* -weighted blood oxygenation level dependent (BOLD) effect with the following parameters: gradient-recall echo-planar imaging (EPI), TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, 64 × 64 matrix, FOV = 240 mm, thirty 4 mm thick sagittal slices with a 1 mm gap acquired within each TR, resulting in voxel dimensions of 3.75 × 3.75 × 5 mm³. Three additional volumes were acquired at the beginning of the first run to allow for steady-state magnetization (discarded from analysis). Head movement during scanning was minimized with padding. Parallel imaging was employed using an array spatial sensitivity encoding technique (ASSET).

DTI was acquired using a diffusion-weighted, spin-echo, single-shot, echo planar imaging (EPI) pulse sequence in 40 encoding directions at $b = 1300 \text{ s/mm}^2$, with eight non-diffusion weighted ($b = 0$) reference images. The cerebrum was covered using contiguous 2.5 mm thick axial slices, FOV = 240 mm, TR = 8000 ms, TE = 67.8 ms, 96 × 96 mm matrix,

resulting in 2.5 mm isotropic voxels. High order shimming was performed prior to the DTI acquisition to optimize the homogeneity of the magnetic field across the brain and to minimize EPI distortions.

Behavioral Task

A schematic diagram of the fMRI task is provided in Figure 1. This paradigm and a variant has been previously published by our group in adults with memory decline (Nicholas et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2009). Thirty minutes prior to fMRI, participants completed one run (48 trials) of an implicit encoding task where images of neutral faces were visually presented for 3000 ms with a 500 ms interstimulus interval. Using a labeled keyboard, participants rated each image on a Likert scale of 1 (“not at all”) to 4 (“very much”) based on the following encoding contexts: age, energy, distinctiveness, attractiveness, and likeability. The order of the encoding contexts and the presentation of the 48 faces were randomized for each participant. During fMRI, using an event-related design, participants completed two runs (96 trials, 11 minutes and 8 seconds) of a recognition task where images from the encoding phase, randomly mixed with novel images, were each presented for 2200 ms. Images presented in one run were not presented in the other run. Participants discriminated via button press between images previously viewed during the encoding phase or novel images resulting in their respective selection being highlighted on-screen. Images presented in one run were not presented in the other run. The average stimulus onset asynchrony was 6.8 s (range 4 – 11 s) with most stimulus onset asynchrony being 5 s, 6 s or 7 s. The order of the runs was counterbalanced across participants.

Reaction time for correctly identified images previously viewed and novel images was calculated. Sensitivity was defined as the statistic d' , which is a measure of the distance between the signal and the signal plus noise. d' is interpreted as a measure of discrimination sensitivity and was calculated according to signal detection theory (Harvey, 1992): $d' = Z_{\text{Hit Rate}} - Z_{\text{False Alarm Rate}}$, where the raw hit rate and raw false alarm rate are Z-score transformed from probabilities. Participants who performed at a hit rate < 50% were excluded. Moreover, trials that received either no response or multiple responses were not included in any of the analyses.

fMRI Data Preprocessing and Analysis

fMRI echo-planar imaging data were slice-time corrected using Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI). The first three fMRI volumes acquired while the participant viewed the task instructions were discarded from the analysis. Motion correction to the first functional scan was performed using a six-parameter rigid-body transformation using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM8) (<http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8>). For each individual, the mean of the functional images was spatially normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template by applying a 12-parameter affine transformation followed by nonlinear warping (Ashburner & Friston, 1999). The computed transformation parameters were applied to all of the functional images, interpolated to a final voxel size of $3 \times 3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^3$. Images were subsequently spatially smoothed with an 8 mm Gaussian kernel.

A mixed-effects, event-related statistical analysis was performed in a two-level procedure. At the first-level, a separate general linear model was specified for each participant. BOLD responses time-locked to the onset of previously viewed images, novel images, and error trials were modeled separately, by convolving the onset vectors with a synthetic hemodynamic response function as implemented by SPM8. Trials were modeled for two TRs duration or 4000 ms duration. At the model estimation, the data were high-pass filtered (128 s cut-off) to remove low-frequency drifts and serial correlations were accounted for by an autoregressive model of the first order. Other regressors entered into the first-level models included six parameters that represented the motion-related variance in the data (3 for rigid-body translation and 3 for rotation). Contrast images comparing activity associated with identifying previously viewed images to activity associated with identifying novel images were created. The individual contrast images were then entered into a second-level random-effects analysis, using a one-sample t test, to assess the group effect. The resulting summary statistical map was thresholded at $p < 0.05$ (topological FDR-corrected for multiple comparisons across the whole brain, voxel extent = 20) (Figure 2) and was used as an inclusive mask for the functional connectivity analysis.

Task-related functional connectivity analysis

We conducted generalized form of context-dependent psychophysiological interaction (gPPI) analyses with the Generalized PPI toolbox (McLaren, Ries, Xu, & Johnson, 2012) (<http://www.nitrc.org/projects/gppi/>), which was implemented in MatLab R2011b and utilizing functions in SPM8. Six regions of interest (ROIs) were defined as seed regions. We selected ROIs based on brain regions known to be part of the recognition network (Rugg & Vilberg, 2013) and showing group peak activation during recognition (previous viewed images > novel images). Seed ROIs were created as 6 mm spheres centered on peak activations in the left precuneus (MNI coordinates: -8 -62 28), left posterior cingulate (MNI coordinates: -8 -54 32), bilateral hippocampus (MNI coordinates: 34, -34, 10; -30 -18 -22), right cuneus (MNI coordinates: 12 -90 22), left angular gyrus (MNI coordinates: -40 -74 42), and left middle frontal gyrus (MNI coordinates: -44 32 4).

The gPPI model involved general linear modeling of the seed timecourse (physiological regressors), the task conditions (psychological regressors), and an interaction term for each task condition $\times$ the seed timecourse. Although errors were modeled at the first level univariate analysis, due to the low percentage of errors (accuracy on the task was 89.4%) they were not included in the gPPI model. For each subject, the physiological activity was computed as the deconvolved mean time series of all voxels within each ROI. The psychological regressors were created by separately convolving 2 task vectors: (1) correct previously viewed images and (2) a regressor for correct novel images, with the canonical hemodynamic response function. The interaction terms (PPIs) were then computed by multiplying the time series from the psychological regressors with the physiological activity. A whole-brain analysis (single-subject level) was performed using the general linear model in SPM8 with 5 regressors modeling the BOLD signal per run: 2 PPI regressors, 2 psychological/task regressors and the mean time course in the seed region. Additional nuisance covariates included the same motion and session parameters that were also entered

into the mean signal analyses, and the data were again subjected to a high-pass filter with a cutoff of 128 s.

For each of the six seed regions and for each subject, a PPI contrast was created and identified recognition-related changes in connectivity. Subsequently, these first-level PPI contrast images were entered into second-level analyses.

DTI Data Preprocessing and Analysis

The processing stream is reported in Adluru et al., 2014 and is briefly repeated here. A robust processing pipeline was employed, based on methods in Zhang, Avants, et al., 2007. First, head motion and image distortions (stretches and shears) due to eddy currents were corrected with affine transformation in the FSL (FMRIB Software) package (<http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/>). Geometric distortion from the inhomogeneous magnetic field applied was corrected with the $b = 0$ field map and PRELUDE (phase region expanding labeler for unwrapping discrete estimates) and FUGUE (FMRIB's utility for geometrically unwarping EPIs) from FSL. Brain tissue was extracted using FSL's BET (Brain Extraction Tool). Tensor fitting was performed using a nonlinear least squares method in Camino (<http://cmic.cs.ucl.ac.uk/camino/>).

Individual maps were registered to a population specific template constructed using Diffusion Tensor Imaging Toolkit (DTI-TK), <http://www.nitric.org/projects/dtitk/>, which is an optimized DTI spatial normalization and atlas construction tool (Wang et al., 2011; Zhang, Yushkevich, Alexander, & Gee, 2006; Zhang, Yushkevich, Rueckert, & Gee, 2007). A subset of 80 diffusion tensor maps was used to create a common space template. All diffusion tensor maps were normalized to the template with a rigid, affine, and diffeomorphic alignments and interpolated to $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$ voxels. With DTI-TK, FA maps were calculated in the normalized space and were visually inspected to rule out inclusion of maps with missing data in regions of interest or other artifacts. White matter alignment was performed using a diffeomorphic (topology preserving) registration method (Zhang, Avants, et al., 2007) that incrementally estimates the displacement field using a tensor-based registration formulation (Zhang et al., 2006).

The Johns Hopkins International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM) FA template was warped to the study's template space using Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTS). Using ANTS, the Johns Hopkins ROIs (Wakana, Jiang, Nagae-Poetscher, van Zijl, & Mori, 2004) were individually warped to the study's template space. Seven bilateral white matter ROIs were chosen for analysis: the genu and splenium of the corpus callosum, the fornix (body and column), the cingulum bundles adjacent to the cingulate cortex (cingulum-CC, left and right averaged), the cingulum bundle projections to the hippocampus (cingulum-HC, left and right averaged), the superior longitudinal fasciculi (SLF, left and right averaged), and the uncinate fasciculi (left and right averaged). The FA map for each subject in normalized template space was masked by the chosen ROIs. The resulting FA ROIs were thresholded at 0.2 to reduce inclusion of gray matter voxels in the white matter masks. The thresholded FA ROIs were binarized and used as ROI masks to isolate the white matter ROIs of each subject's standard space FA maps. Finally, the average values of FA within each subject's ROI-masked maps were calculated.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.1.3 statistical package (<http://www.r-project.org/>). Age correlations with reaction time, recognition performance, and white matter measures were assessed. In addition, regression analyses were done to investigate relationships between recognition performance and white matter measures. Analyses included sex and education as covariates.

One-sample t-tests at the group level identified voxels where connectivity with a given seed was higher during correct responses to previously viewed images versus novel images. In addition, using FA and d' , regression analyses were performed to investigate the influence of white matter microstructure and behavior on task-related functional connectivity within the recognition network. Sex and education were covaried. Statistical images were thresholded at $p < 0.001$ uncorrected, and were masked inclusively by the group-level univariate recognition contrast. In order to exclude small clusters and increase the anatomical plausibility of the results, a cluster size threshold of 25 contiguous voxels was used and analyses were restricted to gray matter using a binary mask.

Results

Behavioral results

Demographics and neuropsychological test performance are in Table 1. The participants' performance, as measured by d' , ranged from 0.79 to 4.07 (mean $\pm$ standard deviation [SD] = 2.76 ± 0.68) and was negatively correlated with age ($t_{281} = -5.65$, $r^2 = 0.12$, $p < 0.05$). Hit rates (z-transformed) ranged from 0.13 to 2.03 (mean $\pm$ SD = 1.49 ± 0.4) and false alarm rates (z-transformed) ranged from -2.03 to 0.37 (mean $\pm$ SD = -1.27 ± 0.48). On average, participants responded significantly more quickly when responding to a previously viewed face (mean $\pm$ SD = $1145.71 \text{ ms} \pm 284.32$) compared to a novel face (mean $\pm$ SD = $1313.11 \text{ ms} \pm 308.63$) (paired t test, $t_{281} = -16.31$, $p < 0.05$). In addition, participants who responded faster had significantly better performance ($t_{281} = -8.55$, $r^2 = 0.22$, $p < 0.05$), and higher age was correlated with slower reaction time ($t_{281} = 5.76$, $r^2 = 0.11$, $p < 0.05$).

Correlations between age, performance, and white matter measures

Task behavior (d' , hit rates, and false alarm rates) by decade of age is presented in Table 2. Table 3 lists white matter ROI mean FA values and their zero order correlations (r) with age and performance. There were negative correlations between age and FA in several ROIs: the cingulum subjacent to the posterior cingulate ($t_{281} = -2.93$, $r = -0.172$, $p = 0.003$), fornix ($t_{281} = -5.41$, $r = -0.307$, $p < 0.001$), genu ($t_{281} = -6.99$, $r = -0.385$, $p < 0.01$), splenium ($t_{281} = -1.99$, $r = -0.118$, $p = 0.046$), and SLF ($t_{281} = -2.64$, $r = -0.155$, $p = 0.008$). In addition, fornix FA was positively correlated with performance ($t_{281} = 2.15$, $r = 0.127$, $p = 0.03$). Given that there were significant effects of age on fornix FA and performance, we assessed whether the relationship between fornix FA and performance remained significant after controlling for age. When we control age on the relationship between fornix FA and performance, we find the following partial correlation $r = -0.09$, $p = 0.099$. In addition, we conducted a mediation analysis to assess whether fornix FA mediated the relationship between age and d' using bootstrap procedures. Unstandardized indirect effects were

computed for each of the 10,000 bootstrapped samples, and the 95% confidence interval was computed by determining the indirect effects at the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles. The bootstrapped unstandardized indirect effect was -0.00133, and the confidence interval ranged from -0.00689 to 0.004. Thus, fornix FA did not significantly mediate the effect of age on recognition performance.

gPPI Results

Using each of the a-priori ROIs as seed regions, we then performed gPPI analyses. Since the fornix was the only white matter ROI to significantly correlate with performance, we chose to investigate whether task-related function connectivity during recognition was influenced by fornix FA, together with d' .

The imaging regression analysis revealed that fornix FA was positively correlated with dynamic connectivity of the right hippocampus seed and the right caudate during the recognition task (difference between viewing responses to previously viewed images versus novel images) (peak: 8 16 6, $t_{281} = 3.94$, $k = 41$); of the left precuneus seed and the left precuneus (peak: -14 -52 42, $t_{281} = 4.37$, $k = 80$), bilateral middle occipital gyrus (left peak: -22 -76 4, $t_{281} = 4.02$, $k = 25$; right peak: 22 -72 2, $t_{281} = 3.98$, $k = 80$), and the left middle frontal gyrus (peak: -40 30 28, $t_{281} = 3.87$, $k = 32$) (Table 4, Figure 3). Data are not shown for one outlier with a parameter estimate greater than 3 standard deviations from the mean in the left middle frontal gyrus seed PPI connectivity difference with the left precuneus seed (Figure 3A).

d' was positively correlated with dynamic connectivity of the left posterior cingulate seed and left middle frontal gyrus during recognition memory (peak: -30 20 54, $t_{281} = 3.52$, $k = 44$); and of the right cuneus seed with the left hippocampus (peak: -24 -36 -6; $t_{281} = 3.86$, $k = 62$) (Table 4, Figure 4). Data are not shown for one outlier with a parameter estimate greater than 3 standard deviations from the mean in the left middle frontal gyrus PPI connectivity difference with the left posterior cingulate seed (Figure 4B).

One-sample t-tests at the group level ($p < 0.001$, uncorrected; $k > 25$ voxels) revealed no other region in which there was dynamic connectivity with each of the six seed regions during the recognition task.

Discussion

Age-related variation in episodic recognition performance in middle-to-late aged individuals was found to correlate specifically with the microstructure of the fornix, a crucial connection between the medial temporal lobe and both prefrontal and subcortical regions involved in memory. In addition, the magnitude of dynamic connectivity between recognition-elicited regions covaried across subjects with performance and fornix microstructure. Consistent with previous work in our group (Adluru et al., 2014), there were aging effects on fractional anisotropy of the fornix, genu and splenium of the corpus callosum, the cingulum subjacent to the posterior cingulate, and the SLF. Our results complement previous literature pointing to the relationship between higher connectivity in the context of successful episodic memory retrieval (King, de Chastelaine, Elward, Wang, & Rugg, 2015; Schedlbauer, Copara,

Watrous, & Ekstrom, 2014; Staresina, Cooper, & Henson, 2013; Watrous, Tandon, Conner, Pieters, & Ekstrom, 2013); however, to the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to determine that recognition-related connectivity is influenced by white matter microstructure.

Mean fornix fractional anisotropy values positively correlated with recognition performance. This finding is consistent with the view that the fornix, together with the hippocampus, is critically and specifically involved in specific facets of episodic memory (John P. Aggleton & Brown, 2006; Lockhart et al., 2012; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2011; Rudebeck et al., 2009). Aggleton & Brown (2006) propose a model that places emphasis on hippocampal interactions with the mammillary bodies and thalamus, also via the fornix, which provides an indirect, alternative route to influence the prefrontal cortex. Across studies of young and older adults, higher fornix FA was found to be associated with better working memory (Zahr, Rohlfsing, Pfefferbaum, & Sullivan, 2009), episodic memory (Rudebeck et al., 2009), and with both verbal and visual recall tasks (Rudebeck et al., 2009; Zahr et al., 2009). Moreover, recent diffusion MRI tractography studies (Lockhart et al., 2012; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2011) have found age-related decline in recall to be associated with microstructural degradation in the fornix.

We observed that dynamic connectivity between posterior regions (posterior cingulate cortex and cuneus) and frontal (middle frontal gyrus) and the MTL (hippocampus) during the recognition task was associated with better memory performance. This finding supports a recent study (King et al., 2015) that found across three experiments that the magnitude of recollection-related increases in connectivity predicted recollection accuracy. Task-related functional connectivity studies have shown that during episodic encoding higher performing older adults have greater functional connectivity between hippocampal activity and activity in the dorsolateral prefrontal and parietal cortex (Grady et al., 2003). Daselaar and colleagues (Daselaar et al., 2006) found that age-related decline in recollection-elicited activity in the hippocampus was associated with decreased functional coupling between the hippocampus and the retrosplenial and parietotemporal cortices. Moreover, greater dynamic connectivity with the middle frontal gyrus supports compensation models that posit older adults may be exhibiting greater top-down modulation from the frontal cortex in order to account for task demands and diminishing neural function (Cabeza & Dennis, 2012). Our present findings suggest that recognition-related modulation of connectivity can also serve as a predictor of individual differences in recognition accuracy.

Although prior studies have reported relationships between fornix fractional anisotropy and low-frequency fluctuations in the BOLD signal during sustained periods of task engagement or rest (Kehoe et al., 2015; Salami, Pudas, & Nyberg, 2014), we present novel findings indicating that inter-individual variation in fornix microstructure is related to dynamic connectivity between regions within the recognition network. We observed that more preserved fornix white matter microstructure predicted dynamic connectivity between hippocampus and the caudate during a recognition task. The fornix is a major efferent and afferent white matter conduit from the hippocampi with precommissural projections to subcortical structures such as the striatum, which consists of the caudate and putamen. Although most studies examining the role of the striatum have focused on its involvement in motor response learning in anticipation of action-outcome contingencies (Faure, Haberland,

Condé, & El Massioui, 2005; Williams & Eskandar, 2006; Yin, Ostlund, Knowlton, & Balleine, 2005), it has been argued that the striatum functions are not exclusively motoric, and that they are important for episodic memory behavior. Specifically, it has been suggested that the dorsal striatum supports processes in which certain stimuli need to be filtered out, whereas others need to be actively maintained in working memory (McNab & Klingberg, 2008). Interestingly, a neuroimaging study found that successful memory was associated with greater activity in both the hippocampus and the striatum during encoding and that this activity correlated for items that were later remembered, but not for items that were forgotten (Sadeh, Shohamy, Levy, Reggev, & Maril, 2011). Moreover, episodic memory impairments are found in patients with focal lesions to the striatum, mainly the caudate (Vakil, Blachstein, & Soroker, 2004).

In addition, we observed that higher fornix fractional anisotropy predicted dynamic connectivity of the precuneus with the bilateral middle occipital gyrus and left middle frontal gyrus during the recognition task. Although the fornix does not directly project to the precuneus, Zhuang et al., 2012 found that lower FA values within the fornix coupled with altered white matter microstructure in other brain regions critical to memory including the precuneus, predicted conversion to amnesic mild cognitive impairment. Additionally, the fornix carries direct projections to the medial prefrontal cortex (Poletti & Creswell, 1977); although we should caution that our results cannot inform the directionality of dynamic connectivity between the precuneus and the middle frontal gyrus in our study. Altered connectivity as predicted by fornix FA in our study may also reflect common underlying changes due to age, given that during normal aging, the earliest morphological changes occur within medial temporal lobe gray matter, specifically the hippocampus and entorhinal structures with secondary effect to the efferent outflow tract through the fornix and mammillary bodies (J P Aggleton & Brown, 1999; Braak & Braak, 1995; Cassel, Duconseille, Jeltsch, & Will, 1997). Needless to say, the underlying age-related processes affect an interconnected network of regions rather than single regions.

This functional connectivity study and others shed light on how networks interact to subserve numerous cognitive processes. Anatomical and resting-state connectivity research suggest that brain regions are not part of single networks but are part of multiple networks that have preferred connections or ‘coordinated states’ (Hellyer et al., 2014; McLaren, Sperling, & Atri, 2014). Importantly, the brain can rapidly switch between these coordinated states to perform different cognitive functions. The transition from one state to another enables the brain to integrate information from a multitude of brain regions. FA is sensitive to multiple microstructural properties of white matter, including axon density, myelination, and possibly diameter (Beaulieu, 2002; Takahashi et al., 2002). Dynamic connectivity-FA correlations might be caused by variation in axon density or diameter. Electromyography (EMG) amplitude and area both increase with fiber density in physiological studies of peripheral nerves; likewise, EMG amplitude and area increase with fiber density and axon diameter in computer simulations (Finsterer & Fuglsang-Frederiksen, 2000; Nandedkar & Stalberg, 1983). It is possible that increased myelination or axon diameter across individuals led to more-synchronized axonal conduction velocities, affecting the degree of interregional modulation observed.

These findings join a body of literature suggesting that microstructural white matter differences influence both cognitive performance and functional connectivity in aging. Microstructural white matter alterations have been associated with slower processing speed, declines in executive function, and lower memory performance (Antonenko, Meinzer, Lindenberg, Witte, & Flöel, 2012; Davis, Kragel, Madden, & Cabeza, 2012; Steffener, Habeck, & Stern, 2012). With regard to functional connectivity, older adults with higher corpus callosum fractional anisotropy show stronger functional connectivity during lateralized word matching, a task requiring cross-hemispheric communication (Davis et al., 2012). Life experiences, such as bilingualism, have been shown to be associated with higher white matter microstructure as well as with more distributed patterns of functional connectivity in older adults (Luk, Bialystok, Craik, & Grady, 2011). Moreover, compared to monolinguals, the bilingual older adults show greater cognitive control and display increased functional connectivity in a frontal posterior network. While age itself has a robust effect on white matter microstructure, white matter development over the lifespan is influenced by several factors, both environmental and genetic.

There are a few limitations to the current study. Although the sample was enriched for Alzheimer's disease risk factors, we did not find an effect of parental family history or *APOE ε4* genotype on demographic characteristics, behavior, or any of the imaging measures. Given that the participants in the study were screened for memory impairment, and the close association between our findings and other findings in the field, it is more likely that the results represent age-related changes rather than pathological changes. The participants in this study are followed longitudinally, eventually permitting the separation of participants experiencing pathological aging from the group. In our study the strength of the relationship between white matter measures with age and performance is smaller than what has been reported in the literature. However, our results are consistent with other studies reporting links between fornix white matter, age, and memory performance (Lockhart et al., 2012; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2011) and are reported from a much larger sample size, which may provide a more precise estimate. We should also note that in addition to age, functional connectivity, and white matter microstructure, other factors not measured in the current study may influence face recognition performance, including the perceived age of presented faces in relation to the participants' age (Lamont, Stewart-Williams, & Podd, 2005). Moreover, given that age-related gray matter atrophy has also been associated with memory performance (Du et al., 2003; Head, Kennedy, Rodrigue, & Raz, 2009; Persson et al., 2006; Rajah, Languay, & Grady, 2011; Raz et al., 2003; Rodrigue & Raz, 2004; Yonelinas et al., 2007), future work will aim at investigating the contribution of gray matter decline to changes in functional connectivity of memory networks. Lastly, although the gPPI results are uncorrected, which could result in Type I error, these findings are still informative and useful for the aging literature, especially in light of the dearth of studies with large sample sizes investigating dynamic connectivity and their relationship to structural connectivity and behavior.

In conclusion, the findings suggest that variation in white matter microstructure is associated with memory performance, and functional connectivity among cortical regions in the recognition memory network. While age had a significant impact on microstructure across

several white matter regions, the differences in fornix microstructure had the greatest impact on both functional connectivity and memory performance. The results provide further evidence that age-related cognitive differences can be better understood by incorporating measures reflecting white matter tract connectivity.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (B.B.B., R01 AG037639), (S.C.J., R01 AG027161), (ADRC P50 AG033514), (S.C.J., R01 AG021155), (T32 GM007507), (T32 AG000213); Veterans Administration Merit Review Grant (S.C.J., I01CX000165); and the Waisman Center Core Grant (P30 HD003352-45) from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. The project was also facilitated by the facilities and resources at the Geriatric Research, Education, and Clinical Center (GRECC) of the William S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital, Madison, WI. The authors gratefully acknowledge Nancy Davenport, Amy Hawley, Sandra Harding, Caitlin Cleary, Jay Fruehling, Chuck Illingworth, and the support of researchers and staff at the Waisman Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, where imaging data were collected. Above all, we wish to thank our dedicated volunteers for their participation in this research.

Ly, Adluru, Destiche, Lu, Oh, Hoscheidt, Okonkwo, Sager, Johnson, and Bendlin report no conflicts of interest.

Rowley has provided consultation to and/or received honoraria from GE Healthcare, Bracco, Lundbeck, HL Gore, and Eli Lilly. Alexander is part owner and Chief Operating Officer of inSERT, Inc.

References

- Adluru N, Destiche DJ, Lu SYF, Doran ST, Birdsill AC, Melah KE, Bendlin BB. White matter microstructure in late middle-age: Effects of apolipoprotein E4 and parental family history of Alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage Clinical*. 2014; 4:730–42. [PubMed: 24936424]
- Aggleton JP, Brown MW. Episodic memory, amnesia, and the hippocampal-anterior thalamic axis. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 1999; 22(3):425–444. discussion 444–489. [PubMed: 11301518]
- Aggleton JP, Brown MW. Interleaving brain systems for episodic and recognition memory. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*. 2006
- Anderson ND, Iidaka T, Cabeza R, Kapur S, McIntosh AR, Craik FI. The effects of divided attention on encoding- and retrieval-related brain activity: A PET study of younger and older adults. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2000; 12(5):775–792. [PubMed: 11054920]
- Antonenko D, Meinzer M, Lindenberg R, Witte AV, Flöel A. Grammar learning in older adults is linked to white matter microstructure and functional connectivity. *NeuroImage*. 2012; 62(3):1667–1674. [PubMed: 22659480]
- Ashburner J, Friston KJ. Nonlinear spatial normalization using basis functions. *Human Brain Mapping*. 1999; 7(4):254–266. [PubMed: 10408769]
- Baddeley A. Exploring the Central Executive. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*. 1996; 49A(1):5–28.
- Beaulieu C. The basis of anisotropic water diffusion in the nervous system - A technical review. *NMR in Biomedicine*. 2002
- Benedict, R. Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc; 1997.
- Braak H, Braak E. Staging of Alzheimer's disease-related neurofibrillary changes. *Neurobiology of Aging*. 1995; 16:271–278. [PubMed: 7566337]
- Cabeza R. Task-independent and Task-specific Age Effects on Brain Activity during Working Memory, Visual Attention and Episodic Retrieval. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2004; 14(4):364–375. [PubMed: 15028641]
- Cabeza R, Anderson ND, Houle S, Mangels JA, Nyberg L. Age-related differences in neural activity during item and temporal-order memory retrieval: a positron emission tomography study. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2000; 12(1):197–206. [PubMed: 10769316]

- Cabeza R, Dennis N. Frontal lobes and aging: Deterioration and compensation. In: Principles of Frontal Lobe Function. 2012:628–652.
- Cabeza R, Grady CL, Nyberg L, McIntosh AR, Tulving E, Kapur S, Craik FI. Age-related differences in neural activity during memory encoding and retrieval: a positron emission tomography study. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 1997; 17(1): 391–400. [PubMed: 8987764]
- Cassel JC, Duconseille E, Jeltsch H, Will B. The fimbria-fornix/cingular bundle pathways: A review of neurochemical and behavioural approaches using lesions and transplantation techniques. *Progress in Neurobiology*. 1997; 51(6):663–716. [PubMed: 9175161]
- Catani M, Howard RJ, Pajevic S, Jones DK. Virtual in vivo interactive dissection of white matter fasciculi in the human brain. *NeuroImage*. 2002; 17
- Charlton RA, Schiavone F, Barrick TR, Morris RG, Markus HS. Diffusion tensor imaging detects age related white matter change over a 2 year follow-up which is associated with working memory decline. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery, and Psychiatry*. 2010; 81(1):13–19.
- Crosby, EC. Correlative anatomy of the nervous system. Macmillan; 1962. Retrieved from http://books.google.com/books/about/Correlative_anatomy_of_the_nervous_syste.html?id=zrRqAAAAMAAJ&pgis=1
- Daselaar SM, Fleck MS, Dobbins IG, Madden DJ, Cabeza R. Effects of healthy aging on hippocampal and rhinal memory functions: An event-related fMRI study. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2006; 16(12):1771–1782. [PubMed: 16421332]
- Davis SW, Dennis NA, Daselaar SM, Fleck MS, Cabeza R. Qué PASA? the posterior-anterior shift in aging. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2008; 18(5):1201–1209. [PubMed: 17925295]
- Davis SW, Kragel JE, Madden DJ, Cabeza R. The architecture of cross-hemispheric communication in the aging brain: Linking behavior to functional and structural connectivity. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2012; 22(1):232–242. [PubMed: 21653286]
- Du AT, Schuff N, Zhu XP, Jagust WJ, Miller BL, Reed BR, Weiner MW. Atrophy rates of entorhinal cortex in AD and normal aging. *Neurology*. 2003; 60
- Duvernois S, Motamedinia S, Rugg MD. The relationship between aging, performance, and the neural correlates of successful memory encoding. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2009; 19(3):733–744. [PubMed: 18653664]
- Faure A, Haberland U, Condé F, El Massioui N. Lesion to the nigrostriatal dopamine system disrupts stimulus-response habit formation. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2005; 25(11):2771–2780. [PubMed: 15772337]
- Finsterer J, Fuglsang-Frederiksen A. Concentric needle EMG versus macro EMG I. Relation in healthy subjects. *Clinical Neurophysiology*. 2000; 111(7):1211–1215. [PubMed: 10880796]
- Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. “Mini-mental state”. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 1975
- Gläscher J, Rudrauf D, Colom R, Paul LK, Tranel D, Damasio H, Adolphs R. Distributed neural system for general intelligence revealed by lesion mapping. *PNAS*. 2010; 107(3):1073–1077. [PubMed: 19103971]
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010
- Grady CL. Cognitive neuroscience of aging. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2008
- Grady CL, Grady CL, McIntosh AR, McIntosh AR, Craik FIM, Craik FIM. Task-related activity in prefrontal cortex and its relation to recognition memory performance in young and old adults. *Neuropsychologia*. 2005; 43(10):1466–81. [PubMed: 15989937]
- Grady CL, McIntosh AR, Craik FIM. Age-related differences in the functional connectivity of the hippocampus during memory encoding. *Hippocampus*. 2003
- Greenwood PM. Functional plasticity in cognitive aging: review and hypothesis. *Neuropsychology*. 2007; 21(6):657–673. [PubMed: 17983277]
- Gunning-Dixon FM, Raz N. The cognitive correlates of white matter abnormalities in normal aging: a quantitative review. *Neuropsychology*. 2000; 14(2):224–232. [PubMed: 10791862]
- Harvey LO. The critical operating characteristic and the evaluation of expert judgment. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*. 1992
- Head D, Kennedy KM, Rodrigue KM, Raz N. Age differences in perseveration: Cognitive and neuroanatomical mediators of performance on the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test. *Neuropsychologia*. 2009; 47(4):1200–1203. [PubMed: 19166863]

- Hellyer PJ, Shanahan M, Scott G, Wise RJS, Sharp DJ, Leech R. The control of global brain dynamics: opposing actions of frontoparietal control and default mode networks on attention. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2014; 34(2):451–61. [PubMed: 24403145]
- Jastak, S.; Wilkinson, GS. *The Wide Range Achievement test - Revised (Vol III)*. Wilmington, DE: Jastak Associates; 1984.
- Kaplan, E.; Goodglass, H.; Weintraub, S. *Boston Naming Test*. Philadelphia, PA: Lea & Febiger; 1983.
- Kawas C, Segal J, Stewart WF, Corrada M, Thal LJ. A validation study of the Dementia Questionnaire. *Archives of Neurology*. 1994; 51(9):901–906. [PubMed: 8080390]
- Kehoe EG, Farrell D, Metzler-Baddeley C, Lawlor BA, Kenny RA, Lyons D, Bokde AL. Fornix White Matter is Correlated with Resting-State Functional Connectivity of the Thalamus and Hippocampus in Healthy Aging but Not in Mild Cognitive Impairment – A Preliminary Study. *Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience*. 2015; 7
- King DR, de Chastelaine M, Elward RL, Wang TH, Rugg MD. Recollection-Related Increases in Functional Connectivity Predict Individual Differences in Memory Accuracy. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2015; 35(4):1763–1772. [PubMed: 25632149]
- Lamont AC, Stewart-Williams S, Podd J. Face recognition and aging: effects of target age and memory load. *Memory & Cognition*. 2005; 33(6):1017–1024. [PubMed: 16496722]
- Lockhart SN, Mayda ABV, Roach AE, Fletcher E, Carmichael O, Maillard P, DeCarli C. Episodic memory function is associated with multiple measures of white matter integrity in cognitive aging. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*. 2012
- Luk G, Bialystok E, Craik FIM, Grady CL. Lifelong Bilingualism Maintains White Matter Integrity in Older Adults. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2011
- McKhann GM, Drachman D, Folstein MF, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology*. 1984; 34(7):939–44. Retrieved from <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/6610841>. [PubMed: 6610841]
- McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, Hyman BT, Jack CR, Kawas CH, Phelps CH. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer's & Dementia. The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*. 2011; 7(3):263–9.
- McLaren DG, Ries ML, Xu G, Johnson SC. A generalized form of context-dependent psychophysiological interactions (gPPI): A comparison to standard approaches. *NeuroImage*. 2012; 61(4):1277–1286. [PubMed: 22484411]
- McLaren DG, Sperling RA, Atri A. Flexible modulation of network connectivity related to cognition in alzheimer's disease. *NeuroImage*. 2014; 100:544–557. [PubMed: 24852459]
- McNab F, Klingberg T. Prefrontal cortex and basal ganglia control access to working memory. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2008; 11(1):103–107. [PubMed: 18066057]
- Metzler-Baddeley C, Jones DK, Belaroussi B, Aggleton JP, O'Sullivan MJ. Frontotemporal connections in episodic memory and aging: a diffusion MRI tractography study. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2011; 31(37):13236–13245. [PubMed: 21917806]
- Morcom AM, Good CD, Frackowiak RSJ, Rugg MD. Age effects on the neural correlates of successful memory encoding. *Brain*. 2003; 126(1):213–229. [PubMed: 12477708]
- Nandedkar S, Stalberg E. Simulation of macro EMG motor unit potentials. *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*. 1983; 56(1):52–62. [PubMed: 6190633]
- Nicholas CR, Okonkwo OC, Bendlin BB, Oh JM, Asthana S, Rowley HA, Johnson SC. Posteromedial hyperactivation during episodic recognition among people with memory decline: findings from the WRAP study. *Brain Imaging and Behavior*. 2014
- O'Sullivan M, Jones DK, Summers PE, Morris RG, Williams SC, Markus HS. Evidence for cortical “disconnection” as a mechanism of age-related cognitive decline. *Neurology*. 2001; 57(4):632–638. [PubMed: 11524471]

- Persson J, Nyberg L, Lind J, Larsson A, Nilsson LG, Ingvar M, Buckner RL. Structure-function correlates of cognitive decline in aging. *Cerebral Cortex*. 2006; 16(7):907–915. [PubMed: 16162855]
- Pfefferbaum A, Adalsteinsson E, Sullivan EV. Frontal circuitry degradation marks healthy adult aging: Evidence from diffusion tensor imaging. *NeuroImage*. 2005; 26(3):891–899. [PubMed: 15955499]
- Poletti CE, Creswell G. Fornix system efferent projections in the squirrel monkey: an experimental degeneration study. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 1977; 175(1):101–28. [PubMed: 407267]
- Rajah MN, Languay R, Grady CL. Age-Related Changes in Right Middle Frontal Gyrus Volume Correlate with Altered Episodic Retrieval Activity. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 2011
- Raz N, Rodrigue KM, Kennedy KM, Dahle C, Head D, Acker JD. Differential age-related changes in the regional metencephalic volumes in humans: A 5-year follow-up. *Neuroscience Letters*. 2003; 349(3):163–166. [PubMed: 12951194]
- Reitan, R.; Wolfson, D. *The Halstead-Reitan Neuropsychological Test Battery: Theory and clinical interpretation*. Tucson, AZ: Neuropsychology Press; 1993.
- Rodrigue KM, Raz N. Shrinkage of the entorhinal cortex over five years predicts memory performance in healthy adults. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2004; 24(4):956–963. [PubMed: 14749440]
- Rudebeck SR, Scholz J, Millington R, Rohenkohl G, Johansen-Berg H, Lee ACH. Fornix microstructure correlates with recollection but not familiarity memory. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2009; 29(47):14987–14992. [PubMed: 19940194]
- Rugg MD, Vilberg KL. Brain networks underlying episodic memory retrieval. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2013; 23(2):255–60. [PubMed: 23206590]
- Sadeh T, Shohamy D, Levy DR, Reggev N, Maril A. Cooperation between the hippocampus and the striatum during episodic encoding. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*. 2011; 23(7):1597–1608. [PubMed: 20666593]
- Sager MA, Hermann B, La Rue A. Middle-aged children of persons with Alzheimer's disease: APOE genotypes and cognitive function in the Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*. 2005; 18(4):245–249. [PubMed: 16306248]
- Salami A, Pudas S, Nyberg L. Elevated hippocampal resting-state connectivity underlies deficient neurocognitive function in aging. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2014; 111(49):201410233.
- Schacter DL, Savage CR, Alpert NM, Rauch SL, Albert MS. The role of hippocampus and frontal cortex in age-related memory changes: a PET study. *Neuroreport*. 1996; 7(6):1165–1169. [PubMed: 8817525]
- Schedlbauer AM, Copara MS, Watrous AJ, Ekstrom AD. Multiple interacting brain areas underlie successful spatiotemporal memory retrieval in humans. *Scientific Reports*. 2014; 4:6431. [PubMed: 25234342]
- Schiavetto A, Köhler S, Grady CL, Winocur G, Moscovitch M. Neural correlates of memory for object identity and object location: effects of aging. *Neuropsychologia*. 2002; 40(8):1428–1442. [PubMed: 11931947]
- Schmahmann JD, Pandya DN. The complex history of the fronto-occipital fasciculus. *Journal of the History of the Neurosciences*. 2007; 16(4):362–77. [PubMed: 17966054]
- Schmidt, M. *Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test: RAVLT: a Handbook*. Western Psychological Services; 1996. Retrieved from http://books.google.com/books/about/Rey_Auditory_Verbal_Learning_Test.html?id=UOcPRAAACA AJ&pgis=1
- Staresina BP, Cooper E, Henson RN. Reversible information flow across the medial temporal lobe: the hippocampus links cortical modules during memory retrieval. *The Journal of Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 2013; 33(35):14184–92. [PubMed: 23986252]
- Steffener J, Habeck CG, Stern Y. Age-Related Changes in Task Related Functional Network Connectivity. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7(9)

- Takahashi S, Yonezawa H, Takahashi J, Kudo M, Inoue T, Tohgi H. Selective reduction of diffusion anisotropy in white matter of Alzheimer disease brains measured by 3.0 Tesla magnetic resonance imaging. *Neurosci Lett*. 2002; 332(1):45–48. [PubMed: 12377381]
- Trenerry, M.; Crosson, B.; Deboe, J.; Leber, L. Stroop Neuropsychological Screening Test. Odessa, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc; 1989.
- Tulving E. Precis of Elements of episodic. *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*. 1984; 7(2):223–268.
- Vakil E, Blachstein H, Soroker N. Differential effect of right and left basal ganglionic infarctions on procedural learning. *Cognitive and Behavioral Neurology: Official Journal of the Society for Behavioral and Cognitive Neurology*. 2004; 17(2):62–73. [PubMed: 15453514]
- Wakana S, Jiang H, Nagae-Poetscher LM, van Zijl PCM, Mori S. Fiber tract-based atlas of human white matter anatomy. *Radiology*. 2004; 230(1):77–87. [PubMed: 14645885]
- Wang Y, Gupta A, Liu Z, Zhang H, Escolar ML, Gilmore JH, Styner M. DTI registration in atlas based fiber analysis of infantile Krabbe disease. *NeuroImage*. 2011; 55(4):1577–1586. [PubMed: 21256236]
- Watrous AJ, Tandon N, Conner CR, Pieters T, Ekstrom AD. Frequency-specific network connectivity increases underlie accurate spatiotemporal memory retrieval. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2013; 16(3): 349–56. [PubMed: 23354333]
- Williams ZM, Eskandar EN. Selective enhancement of associative learning by microstimulation of the anterior caudate. *Nature Neuroscience*. 2006; 9(4):562–568. [PubMed: 16501567]
- Xu G, McLaren DG, Ries ML, Fitzgerald ME, Bendlin BB, Rowley HA, Johnson SC. The influence of parental history of Alzheimer's disease and apolipoprotein E epsilon4 on the BOLD signal during recognition memory. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*. 2009; 132(Pt 2):383–391. [PubMed: 18829694]
- Yin HH, Ostlund SB, Knowlton BJ, Balleine BW. The role of the dorsomedial striatum in instrumental conditioning. *European Journal of Neuroscience*. 2005; 22(2):513–523. [PubMed: 16045504]
- Yonelinas AP, Widaman K, Mungas D, Reed B, Weiner MW, Chui HC. Memory in the aging brain: Doubly dissociating the contribution of the hippocampus and entorhinal cortex. *Hippocampus*. 2007; 17(11):1134–1140. [PubMed: 17636547]
- Zahr NM, Rohlfing T, Pfefferbaum A, Sullivan EV. Problem solving, working memory, and motor correlates of association and commissural fiber bundles in normal aging: A quantitative fiber tracking study. *NeuroImage*. 2009; 44(3):1050–1062. [PubMed: 18977450]
- Zhang H, Avants BB, Yushkevich PA, Woo JH, Wang S, McCluskey LF, Gee JC. High-dimensional spatial normalization of diffusion tensor images improves the detection of white matter differences: An example study using amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. 2007; 26(11):1585–1597. [PubMed: 18041273]
- Zhang H, Yushkevich PA, Alexander DC, Gee JC. Deformable registration of diffusion tensor MR images with explicit orientation optimization. *Medical Image Analysis*. 2006; 10(5):764–785. [PubMed: 16899392]
- Zhang H, Yushkevich PA, Rueckert D, Gee JC. Unbiased white matter atlas construction using diffusion tensor images. *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention: MICCAI ... International Conference on Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention*. 2007; 10(Pt 2):211–218.
- Zhuang L, Sachdev PS, Trollor JN, Kochan NA, Reppermund S, Brodaty H, Wen W. Microstructural white matter changes in cognitively normal individuals at risk of amnesic MCI. *Neurology*. 2012; 79(8):748–754. [PubMed: 22843270]

A Encoding Task

B Recognition Task

Figure 1. Task schematic

Outside the scanner, participants completed an implicit encoding task (**A**) where neutral faces were presented. Images were rated on a Likert scale of 1-4 based on the following characteristics: age, energy, distinctiveness, attractiveness, and likeability. During scanning, participants completed the recognition task (**B**) that consisted of discriminating via button press between images from the encoding task and randomly mixed novel images.

Figure 2. Main effect of recognition (previously viewed images > novel images)

Regions recruited during recognition (previously viewed images > novel images). Areas included bilateral posterior cingulate, precuneus, cuneus, angular gyrus, middle frontal gyrus, and bilateral hippocampus. $p < 0.05$, corrected for multiple comparisons. The statistical map is overlaid on a structural template. The color bar represents t values, ranging from 0 to 13.

Figure 3. Regions of dynamic functional connectivity during the recognition task related to fornix fractional anisotropy

Regions of increased dynamic connectivity during the recognition task associated with greater fornix FA ($p < 0.001$, uncorrected; $k > 25$). Linear regressions of parameter estimates of cluster (in magenta) gPPI connectivity with seed regions (in red) and fornix FA are plotted ($p < 0.05$). The statistical map is overlaid on a structural template. FA = fractional anisotropy; L = left; R = right; MFG = middle frontal gyrus; MOG = middle occipital gyrus; gPPI = generalized psychophysiological interaction.

Figure 4. Regions of dynamic functional connectivity during the recognition task related to task performance

Regions of increased dynamic connectivity during the recognition task associated with greater performance (d') ($p < 0.001$, uncorrected; $k > 25$). Linear regressions of parameter estimates of cluster (in magenta) gPPI connectivity with seed regions (in red) and performance (d') are plotted ($p < 0.05$). The statistical map is overlaid on a structural template. L = left; R = right; MFG = middle frontal gyrus; PCC = posterior cingulate cortex; gPPI = generalized psychophysiological interaction.

Table 1
Demographic features and neuropsychological measures

Total N	282
Female: N (%)	188 (66%)
Parental family history AD: N (%)	199 (70%)
<i>APOE</i> $\epsilon 4$ positive: N (%)	104 (36%)
Age: years $\pm$ SD (range)	61.1 $\pm$ 6.71 (44-75)
Education: years $\pm$ SD (range)	16.3 $\pm$ 2.3 (12-20)
MMSE: Mean $\pm$ SD	28.2 $\pm$ 1.7
<i>Episodic Memory</i>	
RAVLT Total: Mean $\pm$ SD	51.3 $\pm$ 8.5
RAVLT Short Delay: Mean $\pm$ SD	10.7 $\pm$ 2.7
RAVLT Recognition: Mean $\pm$ SD	10.6 $\pm$ 2.9
RAVLT Delayed Recall: Mean $\pm$ SD	13.8 $\pm$ 1.5
BVMT-R Total: Mean $\pm$ SD	25.1 $\pm$ 5.6
BVMT-R Delayed Recall: Mean $\pm$ SD	9.8 $\pm$ 1.9
BVMT-R Recognition: Mean $\pm$ SD	5.7 $\pm$ 0.5
<i>Language</i>	
WRAT-III Reading: Mean $\pm$ SD	51.9 $\pm$ 4.0
BNT Total: Mean $\pm$ SD	57.8 $\pm$ 2.4
<i>Executive Function</i>	
WAIS-III Digit Symbol: Mean $\pm$ SD	57.61 $\pm$ 10.2
Trail Making Test A: Mean $\pm$ SD	25.2 $\pm$ 7.8
Trail Making Test B: Mean $\pm$ SD	59.9 $\pm$ 21.3
Stroop Color-Word, Words Named: Mean $\pm$ SD	114.9 $\pm$ 56.6

Neuropsychological scores reported above are raw mean scores and standard deviations (SD). AD = Alzheimer's disease; *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ = Apolipoprotein E, $\epsilon 4$; BNT = Boston Naming Test (Kaplan et al., 1983); BVMT-R = Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised (Benedict, 1997); Digit Span (from WAIS-III) (Baddeley, 1996); MMSE = Mini Mental State Examination (Folstein et al., 1975); RAVLT = Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (Schmidt, 1996); TMTA/B = Trail Making Test A and B (Reitan & Wolfson, 1993); WRAT-III = Wide Range Achievement Test-III reading subtest (Jastak & Wilkinson, 1984).

Table 2
Mean task performance by age group

Age (years)	Hit Rate (z score)	False Alarm Rate (z score)	d'
40-49 (N=10)	1.65	-1.61	3.26
50-59 (N=115)	1.58	-1.33	2.92
60-69 (N=129)	1.46	-1.23	2.67
70-79 (N=28)	1.31	-1.06	2.37

Table 3
Zero order correlations between FA and age or performance (d')

Region of Interest	Correlation with FA	
	Age	Performance (d')
Cingulum subjacent to posterior cingulate FA	-0.172 **	0.048
Parahippocampal cingulum FA	-0.105	0.076
Fornix FA	-0.307 ***	0.127 *
Genu FA	-0.385 ***	0.102
Splenium FA	-0.118 *	0.059
SLF FA	-0.155 **	-0.021
Uncinate FA	-0.081	0.024

FA = fractional anisotropy; SLF = superior longitudinal fasciculus.

*
 $p < 0.05$,

**
 $p < 0.01$,

 $p < 0.001$

Table 4

Regions of dynamic connectivity ($p < 0.001$, uncorrected, $k > 25$)

Seed Region	Location	Cluster size	MNI coordinates of peak voxel			Peak-level T-statistic
			x	y	z	
Fornix FA						
R Hippocampus	R Caudate	41	8	16	6	3.94
L Precuneus	L Precuneus	80	-14	-52	42	4.37
L Precuneus	L Middle Occipital Gyrus	25	-22	-76	4	4.02
L Precuneus	R Middle Occipital Gyrus	80	22	-72	2	3.98
L Precuneus	L Middle Frontal Gyrus	32	-40	30	28	3.87
d'						
L Posterior Cingulate	L Middle Frontal Gyrus	44	-30	20	54	3.52
R Cuneus	L Hippocampus	62	-24	-36	-6	3.86

L = Left, R = Right. Cluster size is expressed in number of voxels.