Published in final edited form as:

Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2016 February ; 36: 118–127. doi:10.1016/j.conb.2015.11.003.

Modeling Psychiatric Disorders with Patient-derived iPSCs

Zhexing Wen^{1,2}, Kimberly M. Christian^{1,2}, Hongjun Song^{1,2,3}, and Guo-li Ming^{1,2,3,4,#}

¹Institute for Cell Engineering, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

²Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

³The Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

⁴Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

Abstract

Psychiatric disorders are heterogeneous disorders characterized by complex genetics, variable symptomatology, and anatomically distributed pathology, all of which present challenges for effective treatment. Current treatments are often blunt tools used to ameliorate the most severe symptoms, often at the risk of disrupting functional neural systems, thus there is a pressing need to develop rational therapeutics. Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) reprogrammed from patient somatic cells offers an unprecedented opportunity to recapitulate both normal and pathologic human tissue and organ development, and provides new approaches for understanding disease mechanisms and for drug discovery with higher predictability of their effects in humans. Here we review recent progress and challenges in using human iPSCs for modeling neuropsychiatric disorders and developing novel therapeutic strategies.

Introduction

Severe psychiatric disorders, or mental illnesses, such as schizophrenia (SZ), autistic spectrum disorder (ASD), bipolar disorder (BP), and anxiety disorders, are chronic and complex neurological diseases affecting a large portion of the world's population [1,2]. Currently available drugs are primarily targeted at relieving symptoms, are often only partially effective, and have significant side effects on well-functioning neural systems [3,4]. Thus, development of rational therapeutics based on an understanding of the disease etiology and pathogenesis is imperative.

#Correspondence should be addressed to: Guo-li Ming, M.D. & Ph.D., Institute for Cell Engineering, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 733 N. Broadway, MRB779, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA, Tel: 443-287-7498; Fax: 410-614-9568, gming1@jhmi.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

One major challenge in the investigation of disease mechanisms and drug development for mental disorders is a lack of predictive preclinical models due to the heterogenetic and multifactorial nature of these illnesses. Translating basic findings from animal models into effective therapeutics frequently fails, largely due to developmental, biochemical, metabolic and physiological differences in humans [5]. Patient studies are limited in that postmortem brain tissue represents the disease endpoint and it is difficult to disentangle treatment effects from primary pathology, whereas brain imaging can reveal impairments at the neural system level, but limited information on cellular and molecular pathology. Recently, a paradigm-shift in modeling neurological disorders has emerged based on cellular reprogramming of adult somatic cells into human induced pluripotent stem cells (hiPSCs) [6]. HiPSCs can give rise to all human tissues and provide a renewable source of cells, which are genetically identical to the donor. By differentiating patient-derived hiPSCs into disease-relevant cell types, it is now possible to conduct controlled experiments on living neural tissue to study pathogenesis in human cells with disease-permissive genetic contexts [7] (Figure 1). Here, we review recent progress in hiPSC-based modeling of psychiatric disorders and discuss challenges in this rapidly evolving field.

Selection of patient cohorts for disease modeling

Generating hiPSCs for cellular phenotyping of disease-relevant neurons is still an expensive and time-consuming process and careful selection of patient cohorts is critical to yield the most information from relatively small sample sizes. One approach is to stratify patient groups based on genetic risk, which can take the form of many DNA variations, such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), copy number variations (CNVs), and small exonic missense and nonsense mutations [1,8]. While rare variants may confer large relative risks (e.g. CNVs), a combination of common variants (e.g. SNPs) with modest individual effect sizes occurs more frequently and can result in a significant cumulative risk load [9,10]. A key question is to what extent these different kinds of genetic risk factors may lead to convergent phenotypes and affect similar biological processes. With respect to patient cohort selection for hiPSC studies, there are advantages and disadvantages of studying different kinds of genetic risk as outlined below.

Modeling cohorts with rare, highly penetrant mutations

Highly penetrant, well-established mutations offer a clear point-of-entry to begin to investigate the effect of genetic risk on cellular development and function. Rare, multiply affected families in which a single genetic locus likely confers susceptibility for several related disorders have proven invaluable in establishing a link between genetic risk and neuronal dysregulation. For example, Disrupted-in-schizophrenia 1 (DISC1) was initially identified in a large Scottish family harboring an chromosomal translocation that segregates with SZ, BP and major depression [11]. A 4 base-pair (bp) deletion in DISC1 was later discovered to co-segregate with major psychiatric disorders in a smaller American family [12]. By generating iPSC lines from multiple family members of this pedigree, as well as isogenic lines via genome editing, and differentiating these iPSCs into forebrain cortical neurons, Wen and colleagues found that mutant DISC1 causes aberrant synaptic formation and synaptic vesicle release deficits, as well as transcriptional dysregulation of many genes

related to synapses and psychiatric disorders (Table 1) [13]. This is also a clear illustration of how a specific genetic mutation can be causal for a particular cellular phenotype, but not causal for the disorder in the affected family.

ASD is a complex group of disorders with a strong genetic component, a small subset of which are caused by single gene mutations [2]. HiPSC-derived neurons from patients with Rett syndrome (RTT), a monogenic ASD associated with mutations in methyl CpG binding protein-2 (MECP2), exhibited increased frequency of de novo long interspersed nuclear element-1 (L1) retrotransposition, decreased soma size, altered dendritic spine density and reduced excitatory synapses [14–17]; some of these phenotypes have been also observed in hiPSC-derived neurons of patients with Rett-like syndrome due to mutations in cyclin-dependent kinase-like 5 [18] and SZ patients with 22q11 deletion [19]. Recently, a de novo mutation in TRPC6, a cation channel, has been reported in a non-syndromic autistic individual [20]. In hiPSC-derived neurons from this patient, TRPC6 reduction or haploinsufficiency leads to altered neuronal development and function. Interestingly, MeCP2 levels affect TRPC6 expression, revealing potential common biological pathways among ASDs. Timothy syndrome (TS), one of the most penetrant forms of ASD, is caused by a point mutation in the L-type voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel encoded by the CACNA1C gene [21]. TS hiPSC-derived cortical neural progenitor cells (NPCs) and neurons show aberrant Ca^{2+} signaling, which could be ameliorated by treatment with roscovitine, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor and atypical L-type Ca^{2+} channel blocker [22]. TS-associated transcriptional changes were predicted to be co-regulated by Ca^{2+} -dependent transcriptional regulators, including NFAT, MEF2, CREB, and FOXO, thus providing a mechanism by which altered Ca^{2+} signaling in TS patients leads to transcriptional dysregulation [23].

Modeling cohorts with large CNVs

Several large, rare CNVs are strongly associated with developmental and psychiatric disorders [24], but are among the most difficult to model in animals since they represent large-scale modifications of DNA that can encompass many genes. Although systematic analysis is still required to pinpoint the gene(s) responsible for conferring risk for psychiatric disorders, hiPSC-based studies are a more tractable system in which to investigate the role of individual genes, which can then be further tested in animal models. One such example is the 15q11.2 BP1-BP2 microdeletion, which is associated with increased risk for SZ, ASD and epilepsy [25]. HiPSC-derived NPCs from patients carrying 15q11.2 microdeletions were found to exhibit deficits in adherens junctions and apical polarity [26]. Haploinsufficiency of cytoplasmic FMR1-interacting protein 1 (CYFIP1), one of the genes within 15q11.2, was determined to be responsible for these defects by altering cytoskeletal dynamics. Returning to animal models, in utero suppression of CYFIP1 expression in the developing mouse neocortex revealed similar defects in radial glia cell polarity and cortical lamination defects, demonstrating how hiPSCs and in vivo animal models can provide complementary information and used reciprocally to generate and test new hypotheses. In turn, the identification of signaling pathways in hiPSC and animal models led to identification of a epistatic interaction between CYFIP1 and WAVE signaling mediator ACTR2 and risk for schizophrenia. Recently, Testa and colleagues studied 7q11.23 microdeletions, associated with Williams-Beuren syndrome, and 7q-microduplication syndrome, which display a

striking combination of shared and symmetrically opposite phenotypes [27]. High-resolution, comprehensive molecular analysis revealed that 7q11.23 dosage imbalance disrupts transcriptional circuits in disease-relevant pathways beginning as early as the pluripotent state, which is further amplified upon differentiation into disease-relevant lineages. Another prominent CNV is the 22q11 deletion associated with ~1–2% of SZ patients [28]. hiPSC-derived neurons containing 22q11 deletions showed increased L1 retrotranspositions, similar to RTT patient neurons [19], suggesting that hyperactive retrotransposition of L1 in neurons may contribute to susceptibility for SZ and ASDs. In another example, Phelan-McDermid Syndrome (PMDS) is a neurodevelopmental disorder caused by deletions of 22q13.3. PMDS iPSC-derived neurons were found to have significant deficits in excitatory synaptic transmission that could be corrected by IGF-1 treatment [29]. Together, several of these hiPSC-based studies support the “disease of synapses” hypothesis for the biological basis of neuropsychiatric disorders and points of convergence for different genetic risk factors and potential therapeutic targets.

Modeling cohorts with SNP risks via genome editing

Highly penetrant genetic risk factors account for less than 10% of SZ and 15–30% of ASD cases, whereas multiple common variants with small individual effect sizes account for ~30% of the variance in risk for SZ [30]. Recent GWAS have identified many of disease-associated loci for SZ and ASD [9,31], but it is difficult to determine the contribution of these common variants due to modest individual effects and potential epistatic interactions based on genetic background. One way to avoid confounds arising from variable genetic backgrounds is to generate isogenic hiPSC-derived neurons that differ only at the target SNP locus. Genome-editing systems, including designer endonuclease technologies such as zinc finger nuclease (ZFN), transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN), and clustered regulatory interspaced short palindromic repeat (CRISPR)/Cas9 endonuclease, can be used to edit the human genome with relatively high efficiency [32]. Südhof and colleagues recently generated two different heterozygous conditional NRXN1 mutations in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) [33]. NRXN1 encodes neurexin-1, a presynaptic cell adhesion molecule and both heterozygous NRXN1 mutations impaired neurotransmitter release, but had no effect on synapse formation. This group also generated isogenic hESCs conditionally expressing heterozygous and homozygous mutations in a gene linked to infantile early epileptic encephalopathy, STXBP1 [34], which encodes another presynaptic protein, MUNC18-1. Heterozygous STXBP1 mutations decreased spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release, again supporting the role of synaptic development deficits in SZ pathology. Using TALENs and CRISPR/Cas9, Young-Pearse and colleagues disrupted DISC1 near the site of the chromosome translocation found in the Scottish pedigree and found increased WNT signaling in iPSC-derived NPCs [35]. Notably, while these studies provide important insight into loss-of-function phenotypes and basic biology of these risk genes in human NPCs and neurons, patient-specific mutations may have a different net effect on gene expression, as in the case of the 4bp deletion in DISC1 that was shown to be a gain-of-function mutation [36]. Isogenic lines are a powerful tool to demonstrate the effect of targeted mutations identified in patient populations, such as CACNA1C, or to selectively manipulate specific genes to determine disease-relevant loci within larger risk-associated CNVs [37].

Modeling idiopathic cohorts

For most patients, however, the contributing genetic factors are unknown. Taking an unbiased approach to cellular phenotyping in hiPSC-derived neurons from these patients may reveal common pathways, biological processes, disease mechanisms, and targets for drug development. In one study, hiPSC-derived neurons from four idiopathic SZ patients exhibited defects in connectivity and altered gene expression, which were partially reversed by the antipsychotic drug Loxapine [38]. In other studies, hiPSC-derived neurons from idiopathic SZ patients have shown enhanced oxidative stress [39], abnormal responses to environmental stressors [40] and deficits in synapse maturation [36,39,41]. HiPSCs have also been generated from BD patients and neurons derived from these iPSCs exhibited increased levels of CXCR4 and changes in expression of genes critical for neuroplasticity, including WNT pathway components and ion channel subunits [42]. Furthermore, transcripts involved in Ca^{2+} signaling and telencephalic neuronal fate are altered in BD patient iPSC-derived neurons, whereas lithium pretreatment of these neurons significantly ameliorated the phenotypes [43]. These studies highlight that the same disease can have different genetic origins [36,38] and that different psychiatric disorders [13,38,42] can share common molecular signatures, such as dysregulation of gene expression related to synaptic transmission and WNT pathways [13,38,42,44].

One interesting approach is to stratify patients based on their responsiveness to specific treatments, for example, lithium responsiveness in BP and clozapine responsiveness in SZ. In a recent study, it was shown that the hyperexcitability phenotype was selectively reversed by lithium treatment only in hippocampal neurons derived from BP patients who also responded to lithium treatment [45]. This represents a proof-of-principle for patient-specific iPSC model systems for drug testing and screening and the potential for personalized medicine.

Selection of cell types for phenotypic analysis

In addition to careful patient selection, it is critical to identify the appropriate cell type to study. Once identified, generating region-specific and disease-relevant cell types remains a significant challenge, but efficient protocols exist for direct differentiation toward glutamatergic, GABAergic, dopaminergic, and motor neurons, as well as astrocytes and oligodendrocytes (Figure 2).

Aberrant function of cortical glutamatergic neurons has been implicated in many psychiatric disorders. For SZ, the glutamate hypothesis was first proposed about 30 years ago based on the observation that psychotomimetic agents phencyclidine and ketamine induce "schizophrenia-like" symptoms in healthy individuals by blocking neurotransmission at NMDA-type glutamate receptors [46]. Since then, postmortem neurochemistry, in vivo human brain imaging, and clinical pharmacology have further implicated glutamatergic dysfunction in SZ [47]. Critical glutamatergic genes such as GRM3 and GRIN2A, and Ca^{2+} channel subunits (CACNA1C and CACNA1), were found to be associated with SZ in recent GWAS [9]. Differentiation of hiPSCs into cortical glutamatergic neurons has been achieved via first manipulating bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), Wnt/ β -catenin and TGF- β /activin/nodal pathways, followed by sequential specification into cortical layer identities,

including early-born deep-layer TBR1⁺/CTIP2⁺ neurons and later-born upper-layer BRN2⁺/CUX1⁺/SATB2⁺ neurons (Figure 2) [22,36,48–51].

Changes in cortical GABAergic transmission are also associated with major psychiatric disorders [52–56] and several groups have successfully generated GABAergic interneurons with mature physiological properties [57–60]. hiPSCs are first patterned to NKX2.1⁺ medial ganglionic eminence progenitors and then into interneurons expressing both pan-GABAergic (GAD1, SLC32A1, and SLC6A1) and specific subtype markers, including somatostatin, parvalbumin (PV), calretinin, calbindin and neuropeptide Y (Figure 2). Interestingly, GABAergic interneurons take longer to mature than glutamatergic neurons in culture, mimicking endogenous human neural development.

Aberrant dopaminergic (DA) transmission has also been linked to neuropsychiatric disorders [61,62]. Excess DA transmission in subcortical regions may lead to hyper-stimulation of D2 receptors and positive symptoms, while hypoactive DA transmission at D1 receptors in the prefrontal cortex may contribute to cognitive impairments and negative symptoms. This DA hypothesis is supported by pharmacological, postmortem, and imaging data [63–65], and by recent GWAS data showing that the DRD2 receptor, a current pharmacological target, is associated with SZ [9]. Multiple studies have developed and optimized efficient protocols to differentiate hiPSCs into midbrain DA neurons [66–69]. In particular, Kriks et al. used a floor-plate-based strategy for the derivation of human midbrain DA neurons by patterning hiPSCs into floor-plate precursors with activation of SHH and Wnt/β-catenin signaling, which were further differentiated into functional midbrain neurons expressing the DA neuronal markers tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) and pituitary homeobox 3 (PITX3) [67]. Importantly, the midbrain DA neuron identity was further confirmed by extensive gene expression analysis, electrophysiological characterization, biochemical assessment, and in vivo transplantation.

Other disease-relevant cellular subtypes include hippocampal neurons [41]. Dentate gyrus granule neurons derived from SZ hiPSCs exhibit lower levels of NEUROD1, PROX1, and TBR1, and reduced neuronal activity [41]. Generation of astrocytes [70–73] and oligodendrocytes [74,75] from patient-derived iPSCs have not been studied extensively but could have a direct impact on neural circuitry and/or exert a non-cell-autonomous effect of genetic risk factors on different neuronal subtypes.

Currently, there are no established protocols to generate entirely pure populations of a specific cell type, much less for cortical layer-specific neurons or GABAergic subtypes. Cortical layer-specificity is relevant because the superficial layers (II–IV) may be particularly affected by psychiatric conditions. Similarly, among GABAergic subtypes, PV⁺ interneurons have been frequently implicated in psychiatric pathology but there are no published protocols that can efficiently enrich for this subtype [58–60,76,77]. To eliminate heterogeneity during cellular phenotyping, specific cell types can be fluorescently labeled via reporter gene expression [41,59,60]. Genome editing via CRISPR/Cas9 can also be used to add a reporter (i.e. GFP) into endogenous cell subtype-specific gene loci. One new approach to analyze specific cell types is by transcriptomic analysis at the single cell level, which allows for certainty in cell identification and avoids techniques that might compromise

transcriptome information, such as viral-mediated labeling, introduction of promoter-specific reporter lines, or cell sorting [78].

In most hiPSC studies, 2D cell cultures have been used, which do not reflect the complex 3D environment of endogenous brain formation. Transplantation of hiPSC-derived NPCs or neurons to the mouse brain provides an *in vivo* setting for human neurons to develop and functionally integrate into neuronal circuitry [41,48,58,67,79]. Recently, hiPSCs have been induced to form 3D cerebral organoid structures that recapitulate features of developing organs and are amenable to experimentation and drug testing [80–82]. Unlike rodents, developing embryonic human brains contain specialized outer radial glia cells that account for much of the evolutionary increase in cortical size and complexity [83]. Notably, such features are present in cerebral organoids derived from human iPSCs, but not from mouse iPSCs [84–87]. Recently, hiPSC-derived cerebral organoids have been used to investigate mechanisms of severe idiopathic ASD and revealed accelerated cell cycles and overproduction of GABAergic inhibitory neurons [85].

Drug development with hiPSCs

Patient iPSC-derived neurons have been demonstrated to exhibit disease-relevant phenotypes and respond to existing drugs *in vitro*, such as gentamicin-mediated effects on RTT-patient neurons [15], loxapine-mediated effects on SZ-patient neurons [38], roscovitine-mediated effects on TS-patient neurons [22], and IGF1-mediated effects on 22q13-deletion syndrome neurons [29]. Importantly, a proof-of-principle study for familial dysautonomia demonstrated the feasibility of screening for novel therapeutic compounds with hiPSC-based cellular phenotypes [88]. Recently, large-scale high-throughput screening (HTS) assays have been established for NPCs based on Wnt/ β -catenin signaling [89]. In addition to phenotypic assays, a novel pathway-centric HTS screen using the latest deep-sequencing technology may offer advantages over conventional chemical screening strategies [90]. Instead of focusing on one gene, this approach screens for patterns of endogenous gene expression changes with multiple targets simultaneously, enabling large-scale and quantitative analysis of gene matrices associated with specific disease phenotypes [90].

HiPSC drug discovery platforms still face several challenges. First, cell-type heterogeneity reduces the chances of identifying a positive hit, illustrating the need for better differentiation protocols. Second, overall culture conditions need to be controlled and standardized. Third, phenotypic assays need to be robust and should be disease-relevant. Finally, animal models are likely still required for validation of the positive hits from hiPSC-based screening platforms. But even with these challenges, this technology holds great promise as a new translational platform for drug testing using human neurons.

Summary

Patients exhibit significant inter-individual variability in their responses to psychoactive drugs, and family members who carry the same genetic mutation can develop different diseases. With recently developed techniques, including deep sequencing, efficient hiPSC generation, neuronal subtype differentiation, genome-editing, and HTS assays and screens,

patient-specific hiPSCs have the potential to make personalized medicine feasible for heterogeneous and genetically complex psychiatric disorders. While there are still many challenges in directly translating cell-based findings into clinic, the ability to investigate specific neural subtypes at single-cell resolution, to study disease mechanisms in a 3D cellular structure recapitulating organized features of human brain, and to establish a scalable HTS platform for drug discovery, hiPSC research could lead to a better understanding of disease mechanisms and more targeted treatments in the near future.

Acknowledgments

We apologize for not being able to cite all many original studies because length limit. The research in the authors' laboratories was supported by NIH (NS095348 to G.-I. M., and MH087874), NARSAD to K.M.C., and Maryland Stem Cell Research Fund to Z.W., K.M.C., H.S. and G.-I.M.

Conflict of Interest statement

G.-I.M and H.S. were scientific co-founders of JuvioBio Pharmaceuticals Inc.

References

1. Sullivan PF, Daly MJ, O'Donovan M. Genetic architectures of psychiatric disorders: the emerging picture and its implications. *Nat Rev Genet.* 2012; 13:537–551. [PubMed: 22777127]
2. Geschwind DH. Advances in autism. *Annu Rev Med.* 2009; 60:367–380. [PubMed: 19630577]
3. Miyamoto S, Duncan GE, Marx CE, Lieberman JA. Treatments for schizophrenia: a critical review of pharmacology and mechanisms of action of antipsychotic drugs. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2005; 10:79–104. [PubMed: 15289815]
4. Al-Harbi KS. Treatment-resistant depression: therapeutic trends, challenges, and future directions. *Patient Prefer Adherence.* 2012; 6:369–388. [PubMed: 22654508]
5. Nestler EJ, Hyman SE. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci.* 2010; 13:1161–1169. [PubMed: 20877280]
6. Takahashi K, Tanabe K, Ohnuki M, Narita M, Ichisaka T, Tomoda K, Yamanaka S. Induction of pluripotent stem cells from adult human fibroblasts by defined factors. *Cell.* 2007; 131:861–872. [PubMed: 18035408]
7. Christian K, Song H, Ming G. Application of reprogrammed patient cells to investigate the etiology of neurological and psychiatric disorders. *Frontiers in Biology.* 2012; 7:179–188.
8. Gratten J, Wray NR, Keller MC, Visscher PM. Large-scale genomics unveils the genetic architecture of psychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci.* 2014; 17:782–790. [PubMed: 24866044]
9. Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics C. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature.* 2014; 511:421–427. [PubMed: 25056061]
10. Purcell SM, Wray NR, Stone JL, Visscher PM, O'Donovan MC, Sullivan PF, Sklar P. International Schizophrenia C. Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Nature.* 2009; 460:748–752. [PubMed: 19571811]
11. Porteous DJ, Millar JK, Brandon NJ, Sawa A. DISC1 at 10: connecting psychiatric genetics and neuroscience. *Trends Mol Med.* 2011; 17:699–706. [PubMed: 22015021]
12. Sachs NA, Sawa A, Holmes SE, Ross CA, DeLisi LE, Margolis RL. A frameshift mutation in *DISC1* disrupted in Schizophrenia 1 in an American family with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2005; 10:758–764. [PubMed: 15940305]
13. Wen Z, Nguyen HN, Guo Z, Lalli MA, Wang X, Su Y, Kim NS, Yoon KJ, Shin J, Zhang C, et al. Synaptic dysregulation in a human iPSC cell model of mental disorders. *Nature.* 2014; 515:414–418. [PubMed: 25132547] ** In this study, the authors derived iPSC lines from four members of an American family, including two patients with a 4bp frame-shift mutation in *DISC1* presenting with schizophrenia and major depression, respectively. Using isogenic iPSC lines, they

demonstrated that the 4bp mutation of DISC1 causes synapse deficits via large-scale transcriptional dysregulation in human cortical neurons.

14. Muotri AR, Marchetto MC, Coufal NG, Oefner R, Yeo G, Nakashima K, Gage FH. L1 retrotransposition in neurons is modulated by MeCP2. *Nature*. 2010; 468:443–446. [PubMed: 21085180]
15. Marchetto MC, Carromeu C, Acab A, Yu D, Yeo GW, Mu Y, Chen G, Gage FH, Muotri AR. A model for neural development and treatment of Rett syndrome using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Cell*. 2010; 143:527–539. [PubMed: 21074045]
16. Cheung AY, Horvath LM, Grafodatskaya D, Pasceri P, Weksberg R, Hotta A, Carrel L, Ellis J. Isolation of MECP2-null Rett Syndrome patient hiPS cells and isogenic controls through X-chromosome inactivation. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2011; 20:2103–2115. [PubMed: 21372149]
17. Ananiev G, Williams EC, Li H, Chang Q. Isogenic pairs of wild type and mutant induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) lines from Rett syndrome patients as in vitro disease model. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e25255. [PubMed: 21966470]
18. Ricciardi S, Ungaro F, Hambrock M, Rademacher N, Stefanelli G, Brambilla D, Sessa A, Magagnotti C, Bachi A, Giarda E, et al. CDKL5 ensures excitatory synapse stability by reinforcing NGL-1-PSD95 interaction in the postsynaptic compartment and is impaired in patient iPSC-derived neurons. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2012; 14:911–923. [PubMed: 22922712]
19. Bundo M, Toyoshima M, Okada Y, Akamatsu W, Ueda J, Nemoto-Miyauchi T, Sunaga F, Toritsuka M, Ikawa D, Kakita A, et al. Increased L1 retrotransposition in the neuronal genome in schizophrenia. *Neuron*. 2014; 81:306–313. [PubMed: 24389010]
20. Griesi-Oliveira K, Acab A, Gupta AR, Sunaga DY, Chailangkarn T, Nicol X, Nunez Y, Walker MF, Murdoch JD, Sanders SJ, et al. Modeling non-syndromic autism and the impact of TRPC6 disruption in human neurons. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2014
21. Splawski I, Timothy KW, Sharpe LM, Decher N, Kumar P, Bloise R, Napolitano C, Schwartz PJ, Joseph RM, Condouris K, et al. Ca(V)1.2 calcium channel dysfunction causes a multisystem disorder including arrhythmia and autism. *Cell*. 2004; 119:19–31. [PubMed: 15454078]
22. Pasca SP, Portmann T, Voineagu I, Yazawa M, Shcheglovitov A, Pasca AM, Cord B, Palmer TD, Chikahisa S, Nishino S, et al. Using iPSC-derived neurons to uncover cellular phenotypes associated with Timothy syndrome. *Nat Med*. 2011; 17:1657–1662. [PubMed: 22120178]
23. Tian Y, Voineagu I, Pasca SP, Won H, Chandran V, Horvath S, Dolmetsch RE, Geschwind DH. Alteration in basal and depolarization induced transcriptional network in iPSC derived neurons from Timothy syndrome. *Genome Med*. 2014; 6:75. [PubMed: 25360157]
24. Malhotra D, Sebat J. CNVs: harbingers of a rare variant revolution in psychiatric genetics. *Cell*. 2012; 148:1223–1241. [PubMed: 22424231]
25. Cox DM, Butler MG. The 15q11.2 BP1-BP2 microdeletion syndrome: a review. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2015; 16:4068–4082. [PubMed: 25689425]
26. Yoon KJ, Nguyen HN, Ursini G, Zhang F, Kim NS, Wen Z, Makri G, Nauen D, Shin JH, Park Y, et al. Modeling a genetic risk for schizophrenia in iPSCs and mice reveals neural stem cell deficits associated with adherens junctions and polarity. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2014; 15:79–91. [PubMed: 24996170] ** In this study, the authors generated iPSCs from patients carrying 15q11.2 microdeletion. They found that patient iPSC-derived neural progenitors exhibit deficits in adherens junctions and apical polarity, which results from haploinsufficiency of CYFIP1, a gene within 15q11.2 that encodes a subunit of the WAVE complex, providing insight into how CYFIP1 regulates neural stem cell function and may contribute to the susceptibility of neuropsychiatric disorders.
27. Adamo A, Atashpaz S, Germain PL, Zanella M, D'Agostino G, Albertin V, Chenoweth J, Micale L, Fusco C, Unger C, et al. 7q11.23 dosage-dependent dysregulation in human pluripotent stem cells affects transcriptional programs in disease-relevant lineages. *Nat Genet*. 2015; 47:132–141. [PubMed: 25501393] * This study demonstrated that dosage imbalance of 7q11.23 CNVs, either deletion or duplication, disrupts transcriptional circuits in disease-relevant pathways beginning in the pluripotent state which could be further selectively amplified upon differentiation into disease-relevant lineages such as NPCs.
28. Karayiorgou M, Simon TJ, Gogos JA. 22q11.2 microdeletions: linking DNA structural variation to brain dysfunction and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2010; 11:402–416. [PubMed: 20485365]

29. Shcheglovitov A, Shcheglovitova O, Yazawa M, Portmann T, Shu R, Sebastiano V, Krawisz A, Froehlich W, Bernstein JA, Hallmayer JF, et al. SHANK3 and IGF1 restore synaptic deficits in neurons from 22q13 deletion syndrome patients. *Nature*. 2013; 503:267–271. [PubMed: 24132240] ** This study modeled Phelan-McDermid syndrome by generating iPSCs from patients carrying 22q13.3 deletion. The authors showed that PMDS neurons have reduced SHANK3 expression, and major defects in excitatory synaptic transmission which could be rescued with IGF1 treatment.
30. Lee SH, DeCandia TR, Ripke S, Yang J, Schizophrenia Psychiatric Genome-Wide Association Study C, International Schizophrenia C, Molecular Genetics of Schizophrenia C, Sullivan PF, Goddard ME, Keller MC, et al. Estimating the proportion of variation in susceptibility to schizophrenia captured by common SNPs. *Nat Genet*. 2012; 44:247–250. [PubMed: 22344220]
31. Weiss LA, Arking DE, Gene Discovery Project of Johns H, the Autism C, Daly MJ, Chakravarti A. A genome-wide linkage and association scan reveals novel loci for autism. *Nature*. 2009; 461:802–808. [PubMed: 19812673]
32. Byrne SM, Mali P, Church GM. Genome editing in human stem cells. *Methods Enzymol*. 2014; 546:119–138. [PubMed: 25398338]
33. Pak C, Danko T, Zhang Y, Aoto J, Anderson G, Maxeiner S, Yi F, Wernig M, Sudhof TC. Human Neuropsychiatric Disease Modeling using Conditional Deletion Reveals Synaptic Transmission Defects Caused by Heterozygous Mutations in NRXN1. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2015; 17:316–328. [PubMed: 26279266]
34. Patzke C, Han Y, Covy J, Yi F, Maxeiner S, Wernig M, Sudhof TC. Analysis of conditional heterozygous STXBP1 mutations in human neurons. *J Clin Invest*. 2015; 125:3560–3571. [PubMed: 26280581]
35. Srikanth P, Han K, Callahan DG, Makovkina E, Muratore CR, Lalli MA, Zhou H, Boyd JD, Kosik KS, Selkoe DJ, et al. Genomic DISC1 Disruption in hiPSCs Alters Wnt Signaling and Neural Cell Fate. *Cell Rep*. 2015; 12:1414–1429. [PubMed: 26299970]
36. Wen Z, Nguyen HN, Guo Z, Lalli MA, Wang X, Su Y, Kim NS, Yoon KJ, Shin J, Zhang C, et al. Synaptic dysregulation in a human iPSC model of mental disorders. *Nature*. 2014; 515:414–418. [PubMed: 25132547]
37. Martinez RA, Stein JL, Krostag AR, Nelson AM, Marken JS, Menon V, May RC, Yao Z, Kaykas A, Geschwind DH, et al. Genome engineering of isogenic human ES cells to model autism disorders. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2015; 43:e65. [PubMed: 25765640]
38. Brennand KJ, Simone A, Jou J, Gelboin-Burkhart C, Tran N, Sangar S, Li Y, Mu Y, Chen G, Yu D, et al. Modelling schizophrenia using human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Nature*. 2011; 473:221–225. [PubMed: 21490598]
39. Robicsek O, Karry R, Petit I, Salman-Kesner N, Muller FJ, Klein E, Aberdam D, Ben-Shachar D. Abnormal neuronal differentiation and mitochondrial dysfunction in hair follicle-derived induced pluripotent stem cells of schizophrenia patients. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2013; 18:1067–1076. [PubMed: 23732879]
40. Hashimoto-Torii K, Torii M, Fujimoto M, Nakai A, El Fatimy R, Mezger V, Ju MJ, Ishii S, Chao SH, Brennand KJ, et al. Roles of heat shock factor 1 in neuronal response to fetal environmental risks and its relevance to brain disorders. *Neuron*. 2014; 82:560–572. [PubMed: 24726381]
41. Yu DX, Di Giorgio FP, Yao J, Marchetto MC, Brennand K, Wright R, Mei A, McHenry L, Lisuk D, Grasmick JM, et al. Modeling hippocampal neurogenesis using human pluripotent stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports*. 2014; 2:295–310. [PubMed: 24672753] ** This study demonstrated a differentiation paradigm for hiPSCs that enriches for hippocampal dentate gyrus granule neurons, which recapitulates the expression patterns of key genes during hippocampal neurogenesis, exhibits characteristics of neuronal network maturation, and produces PROX1⁺ neurons that functionally integrate into the mouse dentate gyrus.
42. Madison JM, Zhou F, Nigam A, Hussain A, Barker DD, Nehme R, van der Ven K, Hsu J, Wolf P, Fleishman M, et al. Characterization of bipolar disorder patient-specific induced pluripotent stem cells from a family reveals neurodevelopmental and mRNA expression abnormalities. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2015 * In this study, the authors derived iPSCs with a family-based paradigm to model bipolar disorder. They demonstrated that CXCR4⁺ NPCs exhibited multiple phenotypic differences at the level of neurogenesis and expression of genes critical for neuroplasticity,

including WNT pathway components and ion channel subunits. Pharmacological treatment with a GSK3 β inhibitor rescued the proliferation deficit in BD NPCs.

43. Chen HM, DeLong CJ, Bame M, Rajapakse I, Herron TJ, McInnis MG, O'Shea KS. Transcripts involved in calcium signaling and telencephalic neuronal fate are altered in induced pluripotent stem cells from bipolar disorder patients. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2014; 4:e375. [PubMed: 25116795] * This study demonstrated that the transcriptional profile of BP neurons were significantly altered, including genes involved in calcium signaling and telencephalic neuronal fate.
44. Doherty JL, Owen MJ. Genomic insights into the overlap between psychiatric disorders: implications for research and clinical practice. *Genome Med*. 2014; 6:29. [PubMed: 24944580]
45. Mertens J, Wang QW, Kim Y, Yu DX, Pham S, Yang B, Zheng Y, Diffenderfer KE, Zhang J, Soltani S, et al. Differential responses to lithium in hyperexcitable neurons from patients with bipolar disorder. *Nature*. 2015 ** This study generated iPSC from patients with bipolar disorder and show the hyper excitability phenotypes of young hippocampal neurons were selectively reversed by lithium treatment only in neurons derived from patients who also responded to lithium treatment.
46. Javitt DC. Negative schizophrenic symptomatology and the PCP (phencyclidine) model of schizophrenia. *Hillside J Clin Psychiatry*. 1987; 9:12–35. [PubMed: 2820854]
47. Javitt DC. Glutamatergic theories of schizophrenia. *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci*. 2010; 47:4–16. [PubMed: 20686195]
48. Espuny-Camacho I, Michelsen KA, Gall D, Linaro D, Hasche A, Bonnefont J, Bali C, Orduz D, Bilheu A, Herpoel A, et al. Pyramidal neurons derived from human pluripotent stem cells integrate efficiently into mouse brain circuits in vivo. *Neuron*. 2013; 77:440–456. [PubMed: 23395372] ** This study established a differentiation protocol from hESCs or hiPSCs to recapitulate corticogenesis leading to the sequential generation of functional pyramidal neurons of all six layer identities which could functionally integrate into mouse neonatal brain after transplantation.
49. Li XJ, Zhang X, Johnson MA, Wang ZB, Lavaute T, Zhang SC. Coordination of sonic hedgehog and Wnt signaling determines ventral and dorsal telencephalic neuron types from human embryonic stem cells. *Development*. 2009; 136:4055–4063. [PubMed: 19906872]
50. Shi Y, Kirwan P, Livesey FJ. Directed differentiation of human pluripotent stem cells to cerebral cortex neurons and neural networks. *Nat Protoc*. 2012; 7:1836–1846. [PubMed: 22976355]
51. Shi Y, Kirwan P, Smith J, Robinson HP, Livesey FJ. Human cerebral cortex development from pluripotent stem cells to functional excitatory synapses. *Nat Neurosci*. 2012; 15:477–486. S471. [PubMed: 22306606]
52. Coghlan S, Horder J, Inkster B, Mendez MA, Murphy DG, Nutt DJ. GABA system dysfunction in autism and related disorders: from synapse to symptoms. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2012; 36:2044–2055. [PubMed: 22841562]
53. Lewis DA, Hashimoto T, Volk DW. Cortical inhibitory neurons and schizophrenia. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2005; 6:312–324. [PubMed: 15803162]
54. Marin O. Interneuron dysfunction in psychiatric disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2012; 13:107–120. [PubMed: 22251963]
55. Pizzarelli R, Cherubini E. Alterations of GABAergic signaling in autism spectrum disorders. *Neural Plast*. 2011; 2011:297153. [PubMed: 21766041]
56. Chao HT, Chen H, Samaco RC, Xue M, Chahrour M, Yoo J, Neul JL, Gong S, Lu HC, Heintz N, et al. Dysfunction in GABA signalling mediates autism-like stereotypies and Rett syndrome phenotypes. *Nature*. 2010; 468:263–269. [PubMed: 21068835]
57. Liu Y, Liu H, Sauvey C, Yao L, Zarnowska ED, Zhang SC. Directed differentiation of forebrain GABA interneurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Protoc*. 2013; 8:1670–1679. [PubMed: 23928500] * This study described a protocol for generation of forebrain GABAergic interneurons from hESCs/hiPSCs.
58. Liu Y, Weick JP, Liu H, Krencik R, Zhang X, Ma L, Zhou GM, Ayala M, Zhang SC. Medial ganglionic eminence-like cells derived from human embryonic stem cells correct learning and memory deficits. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2013; 31:440–447. [PubMed: 23604284]

59. Maroof AM, Keros S, Tyson JA, Ying SW, Ganat YM, Merkle FT, Liu B, Goulburn A, Stanley EG, Elefanty AG, et al. Directed differentiation and functional maturation of cortical interneurons from human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2013; 12:559–572. [PubMed: 23642365]
60. Nicholas CR, Chen J, Tang Y, Southwell DG, Chalmers N, Vogt D, Arnold CM, Chen YJ, Stanley EG, Elefanty AG, et al. Functional maturation of hPSC-derived forebrain interneurons requires an extended timeline and mimics human neural development. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2013; 12:573–586. [PubMed: 23642366] ** This study reported the directed differentiation of hPSCs into medial ganglionic eminence (MGE)-like progenitors. MGE-like cells could develop into GABAergic interneuron subtypes with mature physiological properties along a prolonged intrinsic timeline of up to 7 months in vitro or posttransplantation into the rodent cortex.
61. Quaak I, Brouns MR, Van de Bor M. The dynamics of autism spectrum disorders: how neurotoxic compounds and neurotransmitters interact. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013; 10:3384–3408. [PubMed: 23924882]
62. Whitton AE, Treadway MT, Pizzagalli DA. Reward processing dysfunction in major depression, bipolar disorder and schizophrenia. *Curr Opin Psychiatry*. 2015; 28:7–12. [PubMed: 25415499]
63. Abi-Dargham A. Schizophrenia: overview and dopamine dysfunction. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 2014; 75:e31. [PubMed: 25470107]
64. Davis KL, Kahn RS, Ko G, Davidson M. Dopamine in schizophrenia: a review and reconceptualization. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1991; 148:1474–1486. [PubMed: 1681750]
65. Weinberger DR. Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 1987; 44:660–669. [PubMed: 3606332]
66. Chambers SM, Fasano CA, Papapetrou EP, Tomishima M, Sadelain M, Studer L. Highly efficient neural conversion of human ES and iPS cells by dual inhibition of SMAD signaling. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2009; 27:275–280. [PubMed: 19252484]
67. Kriks S, Shim JW, Piao J, Ganat YM, Wakeman DR, Xie Z, Carrillo-Reid L, Auyeung G, Antonacci C, Buch A, et al. Dopamine neurons derived from human ES cells efficiently engraft in animal models of Parkinson's disease. *Nature*. 2011; 480:547–551. [PubMed: 22056989]
68. Swistowski A, Peng J, Liu Q, Mali P, Rao MS, Cheng L, Zeng X. Efficient generation of functional dopaminergic neurons from human induced pluripotent stem cells under defined conditions. *Stem Cells*. 2010; 28:1893–1904. [PubMed: 20715183]
69. Ma L, Liu Y, Zhang SC. Directed differentiation of dopamine neurons from human pluripotent stem cells. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2011; 767:411–418. [PubMed: 21822892]
70. Juopperi TA, Kim WR, Chiang CH, Yu H, Margolis RL, Ross CA, Ming GL, Song H. Astrocytes generated from patient induced pluripotent stem cells recapitulate features of Huntington's disease patient cells. *Mol Brain*. 2012; 5:17. [PubMed: 22613578]
71. Marchetto MC, Muotri AR, Mu Y, Smith AM, Cezar GG, Gage FH. Non-cell-autonomous effect of human SOD1 G37R astrocytes on motor neurons derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell*. 2008; 3:649–657. [PubMed: 19041781]
72. Krencik R, Zhang SC. Directed differentiation of functional astroglial subtypes from human pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Protoc*. 2011; 6:1710–1717. [PubMed: 22011653]
73. Liu H, Zhang SC. Specification of neuronal and glial subtypes from human pluripotent stem cells. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2011; 68:3995–4008. [PubMed: 21786144]
74. Gorris R, Fischer J, Erwes KL, Kesavan J, Peterson DA, Alexander M, Nothen MM, Peitz M, Quandel T, Karus M, et al. Pluripotent stem cell-derived radial glia-like cells as stable intermediate for efficient generation of human oligodendrocytes. *Glia*. 2015
75. Hu BY, Du ZW, Zhang SC. Differentiation of human oligodendrocytes from pluripotent stem cells. *Nat Protoc*. 2009; 4:1614–1622. [PubMed: 19834476]
76. Sohal VS. Insights into cortical oscillations arising from optogenetic studies. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012; 71:1039–1045. [PubMed: 22381731]
77. Gonzalez-Burgos G, Cho RY, Lewis DA. Alterations in cortical network oscillations and parvalbumin neurons in schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2015; 77:1031–1040. [PubMed: 25863358]

78. Ramskold D, Luo S, Wang YC, Li R, Deng Q, Faridani OR, Daniels GA, Khrebtkova I, Loring JF, Laurent LC, et al. Full-length mRNA-Seq from single-cell levels of RNA and individual circulating tumor cells. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2012; 30:777–782. [PubMed: 22820318]
79. Juopperi TA, Song H, Ming GL. Modeling neurological diseases using patient-derived induced pluripotent stem cells. *Future Neurol.* 2011; 6:363–373. [PubMed: 21731471]
80. Lancaster MA, Knoblich JA. Organogenesis in a dish: modeling development and disease using organoid technologies. *Science.* 2014; 345:1247125. [PubMed: 25035496]
81. Sato T, Clevers H. Growing self-organizing mini-guts from a single intestinal stem cell: mechanism and applications. *Science.* 2013; 340:1190–1194. [PubMed: 23744940]
82. Sasai Y. Next-generation regenerative medicine: organogenesis from stem cells in 3D culture. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2013; 12:520–530. [PubMed: 23642363]
83. Lui JH, Hansen DV, Kriegstein AR. Development and evolution of the human neocortex. *Cell.* 2011; 146:18–36. [PubMed: 21729779]
84. Kadoshima T, Sakaguchi H, Nakano T, Soen M, Ando S, Eiraku M, Sasai Y. Self-organization of axial polarity, inside-out layer pattern, and species-specific progenitor dynamics in human ES cell-derived neocortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2013; 110:20284–20289. [PubMed: 24277810]
85. Mariani J, Coppola G, Zhang P, Abyzov A, Provini L, Tomasini L, Amenduni M, Szekely A, Palejev D, Wilson M, et al. FOXP1-Dependent Dysregulation of GABA/Glutamate Neuron Differentiation in Autism Spectrum Disorders. *Cell.* 2015; 162:375–390. [PubMed: 26186191] ** In this study, the authors used iPSC-derived organoids to investigate neurodevelopmental alterations in individuals with severe idiopathic ASD. ASD-derived organoids exhibit upregulation of genes involved in cell proliferation, neuronal differentiation and synaptic assembly, as well as an accelerated cell cycle and overproduction of GABAergic inhibitory neurons.
86. Pasca AM, Sloan SA, Clarke LE, Tian Y, Makinson CD, Huber N, Kim CH, Park JY, O'Rourke NA, Nguyen KD, et al. Functional cortical neurons and astrocytes from human pluripotent stem cells in 3D culture. *Nat Methods.* 2015; 12:671–678. [PubMed: 26005811] ** This study reported a 3D culture approach for generating a laminated cerebral cortex-like structure from hPSCs which contains electrophysiologically mature neurons from both deep and superficial cortical layers and maps transcriptionally to in vivo fetal development.
87. Lancaster MA, Renner M, Martin CA, Wenzel D, Bicknell LS, Hurles ME, Homfray T, Penninger JM, Jackson AP, Knoblich JA. Cerebral organoids model human brain development and microcephaly. *Nature.* 2013; 501:373–379. [PubMed: 23995685] ** In this elegant study, the authors reported a human pluripotent stem cell-derived three-dimensional cerebral organoid culture system, which recapitulates features of human cortical development and contains progenitor populations that organize and produce mature cortical neuron subtypes.
88. Lee G, Papapetrou EP, Kim H, Chambers SM, Tomishima MJ, Fasano CA, Ganat YM, Menon J, Shimizu F, Viale A, et al. Modelling pathogenesis and treatment of familial dysautonomia using patient-specific iPSCs. *Nature.* 2009; 461:402–406. [PubMed: 19693009]
89. Zhao WN, Cheng C, Theriault KM, Sheridan SD, Tsai LH, Haggarty SJ. A high-throughput screen for Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway modulators in human iPSC-derived neural progenitors. *J Biomol Screen.* 2012; 17:1252–1263. [PubMed: 22923789]
90. Li H, Zhou H, Wang D, Qiu J, Zhou Y, Li X, Rosenfeld MG, Ding S, Fu XD. Versatile pathway-centric approach based on high-throughput sequencing to anticancer drug discovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012; 109:4609–4614. [PubMed: 22396588]

Highlights

- Psychiatric disorders are heterogeneous disorders with complex genetic origins.
- Patient-specific hiPSCs can shed light on disease mechanisms.
- Region-specific neuronal subtypes have been generated for disease modeling.
- Patient-derived iPSCs provide a novel approach for drug discovery.

Figure 1. Diagram of disease modeling and drug development with human iPSCs

Patient specific iPSCs could be derived from skin biopsy of a patient with psychiatric disorders by ectopic co-expression of four Yamanaka factors. In cases in which the genetic mutation is known, isogenic iPSC lines, either correcting the mutation in the patient iPSCs or introducing the mutation into healthy control iPSCs, could be generated by genome editing techniques, such as CRISPR/Cas9 or TALEN. Human iPSCs could be further differentiated into the affected neuronal subtypes (for example, cortical glutamatergic neurons) for disease modeling in vitro. The identified phenotypes in patient iPSC-derived neurons could be used as readouts for high-throughput drug screening, which would facilitate the discovery of novel therapeutic compounds to treat psychiatric disorders.

Figure 2. Diagram of differentiation of neuronal subtypes and 3D organoids in vitro

Differentiation of disease-related neuronal subtypes or 3D organoids from hiPSCs have been established. By manipulating morphogen pathways such as BMP, TGFβ, WNT, SHH pathways as well as other growth factors such as FGFs, human iPSCs could be induced into brain region-specific neural progenitor cells (NPCs), including dorsal forebrain NPCs, ventral forebrain NPCs, midbrain floor plate NPCs, and hippocampal NPCs. These area-specific NPCs could be further differentiated into different neuronal subtypes for disease modeling and drug screening, including cortical glutamatergic neurons or cerebral organoids (TBR1⁺/CTIP2⁺/BRN2⁺/SATB2⁺), cortical GABAergic neurons (GABA⁺/GAD65/67⁺/VGAT⁺/SST⁺/PV⁺), midbrain DA neurons (TH⁺/NURR1⁺/PITX3⁺/DAT⁺), and hippocampal granule cells (PROX1⁺).

Table 1

Summary of recent studies on iPSC-based modeling of psychiatric disorders.

Disease	Genetic variants	Types of cells	Phenotypes	Rescued?	Reference
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	Sporadic	Neurons	Decreased neuronal connectivity; altered gene expressions	Loxapine	Brennand et al., 2011
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	Sporadic	Neurons	Altered oxygen metabolism	Valproic acid	Paulsen et al., 2012
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	Sporadic	DA/glutamatergic neurons	Abnormal neuronal differentiation and mitochondrial dysfunction	N/A	Robicsek et al., 2013
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	DISC1 4bp	Cortical glutamatergic neurons	Synaptic deficits in presynaptic vesicle releasing; altered gene expressions	N/A	Wen et al., 2014
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	Sporadic	Hippocampal DG granule neurons	Lowered levels of NEUROD1, PROX1, and TBR1; reduced neuronal activity; reduced levels of spontaneous neurotransmitter release	N/A	Yu et al., 2014
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	22q11 deletions	Neurons	High L1 copy number in SZ neurons; increased L1 copy number after immune activation by poly-I:C or EGF	N/A	Bundo et al., 2014
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	15q11.2 deletion	NPCs	Deficits in adherent junctions and apical polarity	N/A	Yoon et al., 2014
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	Sporadic	NPCs	Abnormal responses to environmental stresses	N/A	Hashimoto-Torii et al., 2014
<i>Schizophrenia</i>	Sporadic	NPCs	Abnormal gene expression and protein levels related to cytoskeletal remodeling and oxidative stress	N/A	Brennand et al., 2015
<i>Bipolar</i>	Sporadic	Neurons	Changes in gene expressions involved in calcium signaling and telencephalic neuronal fate	Lithium	Chen et al., 2014
<i>Bipolar</i>	Sporadic	NPCs	Increased expression of CXCR4; altered gene expression for	N/A	Madison et al., 2015

Disease	Genetic variants	Types of cells	Phenotypes	Rescued?	Reference
<i>Bipolar</i>	Sporadic	Neurons	Increased levels of miR-34a	N/A	Bavarian et al., 2015
			neural development and plasticity		
<i>Major Depression</i>	DISC1 4bp	Cortical glutamatergic neurons	Synaptic deficits in presynaptic vesicle releasing; altered gene expressions	N/A	Wen et al., 2014
<i>Rett syndrome</i>	MECP2 mutations	Neurons	Decreased soma size; altered dendritic spine density; and reduced excitatory synapses	N/A	Marchetto et al., 2010
<i>Rett syndrome</i>	MECP2 mutations	NPCs	Increased frequency of L1 retrotransposition	N/A	Muotri et al., 2010
<i>Rett syndrome</i>	MECP2 R294X	Neurons	Decreased soma size	N/A	Ananiev et al., 2011
<i>Rett syndrome</i>	MECP2 mutation	Neurons	Decreased soma size	N/A	Cheung et al., 2011
<i>Rett-like syndrome</i>	CDKL5 mutations	Neurons	Aberrant dendritic spines	N/A	Ricciardi et al., 2012
<i>ASD</i>	TRPC6 mutation	Neurons	Altered neuronal development, morphology and function	IGF-1 or hyperforin	Griesi-Oliveira et al., 2014
<i>Timothy syndrome</i>	CACNA1C mutation	Cortical NPCs and neurons	Defects in calcium signaling and activity-dependent gene expression; abnormalities in differentiation	Roscovotine	Pasca et al., 2011
<i>Timothy syndrome</i>	CACNA1C mutation	Cortical NPCs and neurons	TS-associated transcriptional changes were co-regulated by calcium-dependent transcriptional regulators	N/A	Tian et al., 2014
<i>Phelan-McDermid Syndrome</i>	22q13.3 deletions	Cortical neurons	Deficits in excitatory synaptic transmission	IGF-1	Sheheglovitov et al., 2013
<i>Williams-Beuren syndrome/Tq-microduplication syndrome</i>	7q11.23 deletions/duplications	NPCs	Disrupted transcriptional circuits in disease-relevant pathways	N/A	Adamo et al., 2015

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Disease	Genetic variants	Types of cells	Phenotypes	Rescued?	Reference
ASD/Schizophrenia	Isogenic NRXN1 mutations	Cortical glutamatergic neurons	Impaired neurotransmitter release	N/A	Pak et al., 2015