Published in final edited form as:

Aust J Chem. 2015 ; 67: 1471–1480. doi:10.1071/CH14426.

On the Mechanism of Berberine–INF55 (5-Nitro-2-phenylindole) Hybrid Antibacterials*

Naveen K. Dolla^{A,F}, Chao Chen^{B,F}, Jonah Larkins-Ford^C, Rajmohan Rajamuthiah^D, Sakthimala Jagadeesan^{C,E}, Annie L. Conery^{C,E}, Frederick M. Ausubel^{C,E}, Eleftherios Mylonakis^D, John B. Bremner^A, Kim Lewis^B, and Michael J. Kelso^{A,G}

^ASchool of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW 2522, Australia

^BDepartment of Biology and Antimicrobial Discovery Center, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115, USA

^CDepartment of Molecular Biology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114, USA

^DDepartment of Medicine, Infectious Diseases Division, Rhode Island Hospital, Warren Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI 02903, USA

^EDepartment of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

Abstract

Berberine–INF55 hybrids are a promising class of antibacterials that combine berberine and the NorA multidrug resistance pump inhibitor INF55 (5-nitro-2-phenylindole) together in one molecule via a chemically stable linkage. Previous studies demonstrated the potential of these compounds for countering efflux-mediated antibacterial drug resistance but they didn't establish whether the compounds function as originally intended, i.e. with the berberine moiety providing antibacterial activity and the attached INF55 component independently blocking multidrug resistance pumps, thereby enhancing the activity of berberine by reducing its efflux. We hypothesised that if the proposed mechanism is correct, then hybrids carrying more potent INF55 pump inhibitor structures should show enhanced antibacterial effects relative to those bearing weaker inhibitors. Two INF55 analogues showing graded reductions in NorA inhibitory activity compared with INF55 were identified and their corresponding berberine–INF55 hybrids carrying equivalent INF55 inhibitor structures synthesised. Multiple assays comparing the antibacterial effects of the hybrids and their corresponding berberine–INF55 analogue combinations showed that the three hybrids all show very similar activities, leading us to conclude that the antibacterial mechanism(s) of berberine–INF55 hybrids is different from berberine–INF55 combinations.

^GCorresponding author. mkelso@uow.edu.au. *The corresponding author, Michael J. Kelso, is the winner of the 2013 RACI Biota Award for Medicinal Chemistry..

^FThese authors contributed equally to this work.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material consisting of ¹H and ¹³C attached-proton test (APT) NMR spectra for new compounds **8**, **9**, **19**, and **20** is available on the Journal's website.

Introduction

A promising strategy for countering efflux-mediated antibiotic resistance in bacteria is to co-administer a small-molecule multidrug resistance (MDR) efflux pump inhibitor (EPI) in combination with an antibacterial.^[1] In this strategy, the MDR inhibitor serves to limit efflux of the antibacterial and raise its intracellular concentrations above sublethal levels to enhance antibacterial potency. Potential clinical disadvantages of the approach, however, include the requirement for matching pharmacokinetic and physicochemical properties of two structurally unrelated molecules, along with other co-dosing challenges. One possible solution is to covalently link the MDR inhibitor and antibacterial components together into a single (non-cleavable) hybrid molecule.^[2–4] Such hybrids carry the potential advantage of delivering equimolar quantities of the two agents to infection sites while avoiding the complications of multi-agent co-dosing.^[5]

In 2006, Bremner et al. reported the first such hybrid, termed SS14-O (**1**) (Fig. 1),^[2] comprising the antibacterial alkaloid berberine substituted at its 13-position via a stable 2'-CH₂ linkage to 5-nitro-2-phenylindole **5** (INF55), a well-known inhibitor of the NorA MDR pump in *Staphylococcus aureus*.^[6] In designing SS14-O (**1**), it was reasoned that the berberine moiety (a known substrate for NorA)^[7] could show enhanced antibacterial effects (membrane activity and interactions with DNA)^[8] as part of a hybrid due to higher intracellular concentrations arising through inhibition of NorA-mediated efflux by the appended INF55 **5** component. SS14-O (**1**) was shown to accumulate in wild-type, *norA*-knockout, and NorA-overexpressing strains of *S. aureus* and showed higher antibacterial potency than berberine alone or berberine in combination with INF55 **5**.^[2] A follow-up study explored the effects of varying the relative orientations of the berberine and INF55 components in hybrids by comparing the activities of isomers SS14-O (**1**), SS14-M (**2**), and SS14-P (**3**) (Fig. 1).^[9] The three isomers showed remarkably similar minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) given their structural differences, which remained essentially unchanged across wild-type, *norA*-knockout, and NorA-overexpressing *S. aureus* cells. The three isomers accumulated in *S. aureus* cells and showed identical abilities to block *Enterococcus faecalis*-mediated killing of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* in a gastrointestinal infection model. A key conclusion from these studies was that berberine–INF55 hybrids were not substrates for NorA, although ethidium bromide efflux experiments suggested that these hybrids also blocked the NorA pump.^[9] Another study exploring an SS14-O (**1**) analogue with an extended methylene ether linkage (**4**, Fig. 1) showed that this compound displayed similar antibacterial activity to the other hybrids and that its activity remained consistent across *S. aureus* strains expressing varying levels of NorA.^[10]

Although the above studies had demonstrated the promising antibacterial properties of berberine–INF55 hybrids, the observation that the hybrids did not appear to be substrates for NorA cast doubt on whether the hybrids functioned as originally intended, i.e. with the INF55 moiety serving to block NorA MDR pumps (and thus efflux) while the attached berberine moiety provided (enhanced) antibacterial action. In the current study, we further explored whether the proposed mechanism of the hybrids was underpinning their activity. Central to the study was the hypothesis that if the proposed mechanisms were at play, then a direct correlation should exist between the ability of structurally distinct INF55-type MDR

pump inhibitors to potentiate the antibacterial activity of berberine when co-administered and the activity of the corresponding berberine–INF55 hybrids. In other words, INF55-type MDR pump inhibitors that more strongly potentiate the antibacterial activity of berberine when co-administered should confer higher antibacterial potency in their corresponding berberine–INF55 hybrids.

Exploring this hypothesis required analogues of INF55 **5** that: (1) showed a range of antibacterial potentiation effects when co-administered with berberine; and (2) could be attached at the berberine 13-position to create hybrids differing only in the structure of the appended INF55 moiety. Checkerboard assays (see below) identified *N*-methyl-INF55 (**6**) and *N*-methyl-2-phenylindole (**7**) as suitable INF55 (**5**) analogues (Fig. 1). This paper reports the synthesis and parallel evaluation of berberine–INF55 hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9**, which incorporate INF55 (**5**) and analogues **6** and **7** respectively (Fig. 1), alongside their corresponding berberine–**5,6,7** combinations in multiple assays aimed at testing the above hypothesis.

Chemistry

The synthesis of INF55 (**5**), analogues **6** and **7**, and hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** is outlined in Scheme 1. INF55 (**5**) was obtained in 91% yield via regioselective nitration of 2-phenylindole (**10**) using the literature method.^[11] *N*-Methyl-INF55 (**6**) was prepared in 80% yield by stirring INF55 (**5**) for 2 h at room temperature with K₂CO₃ and CH₃I in anhydrous DMF. *N*-Methyl-2-phenylindole (**7**) was prepared in 80% yield by stirring 2-phenylindole (**10**) for 2 h in anhydrous THF at room temperature with NaH and CH₃I.

Our previously reported synthesis of SS14-P (**3**)^[12] had involved reacting 8-allyldihydroberberine^[13] in the final step with the key benzylic bromide intermediate **16**, which had been prepared via functional-group manipulations with the precursor methyl ester **13**. In the prior work, **13** had been synthesised directly from indole and methyl-4-iodobenzoate in a single step using the rhodium(III)-catalysed indole C2-arylation method reported by Sames et al.^[14] Although this reaction invariably provided some **13**, the yields were typically low (max. 28 %) and the reaction outcomes unpredictable. A more robust Stille coupling-based approach was developed in the current work to install the functionalised 4-carboxymethyl aryl substituent at the indole C2-position. Stille coupling of *N*-Boc-2-tributylstannylindole **11** (prepared in two steps via the literature method)^[15] with methyl-4-iodobenzoate using PdCl₂ in refluxing 1,4-dioxan gave **12** in 84% yield. Boc-deprotection of **12** using CH₂Cl₂/TFA (1 : 1) subsequently afforded the NH-indole **13** in 79% yield. While the new route to **13** is longer, it is simple to carry out and reproducible on a multigram scale. The key intermediate **16** was then obtained from **13** using our reported three-step nitration, reduction, bromination sequence.^[12]

A new reaction for producing SS14-P (**3**) has also been developed wherein bromide **16** is coupled to 8-acetyldihydroberberine **17**^[16] instead of 8-allyldihydroberberine, as had been performed previously.^[12] Catalytic Finkelstein conversion of bromide **16** in situ to the iodide with 10 mol-% NaI in CH₃CN at 70°C in the presence of 8-acetyldihydroberberine **17** provided SS14-P (**3**) in 40% yield. Preparative reverse phase (RP)-HPLC purification in

the presence of 0.1% HCl initially gave mixed Cl^- , Br^- and I^- salts that were subsequently converted to pure Cl^- salts of **3** by anion exchange (Scheme 1). Although the new procedure doesn't provide higher yields of SS14-P (**3**), it is more reproducible than the 8-allyldihydroberberine method,^[12] which for unknown reasons sometimes failed to yield any **3**.

SS14-P (**3**) was converted to the *N*-methylated hybrid **8** in 75% yield by reaction with excess CH_3I and K_2CO_3 in DMF at room temperature. The mixture of Cl^- and I^- salts initially obtained after silica-gel column chromatography ($\text{CH}_3\text{CN}/\text{EtOAc}/\text{MeOH}$, 1 : 1 : 0.5) was converted to the pure Cl^- salt **8** by anion exchange. Hybrid **9** was prepared by reacting 8-acetonyldihydroberberine (**17**) with the benzylic chloride intermediate **21**, which was synthesised in three steps from (*N*-methylindol-2-yl)tributylstannane (**18**) (prepared by the literature method).^[15] In the first step, PdCl_2 -catalysed Stille coupling of **18** with methyl-4-iodobenzoate provided **19** in 75% yield.

Reduction of the methyl ester **19** with LiAlH_4 (added portionwise) in anhydrous THF with gentle heating at 40°C gave the benzylic alcohol **20** in 85% yield. Chloride **21** was prepared by stirring **20** in $\text{CCl}_4/\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ (1 : 1) for 10 min before adding PPh_3 (4 equiv.). TLC analysis ($\text{EtOAc}/\text{hexane}$, 8.5 : 1.5) was used to monitor the reaction and on completion, the mixture was quickly filtered through a plug of neutral alumina and washed with CH_2Cl_2 . The filtrates were concentrated under vacuum and the residue triturated with pentane. The crude **21** was used immediately owing to its instability and was unable to be fully characterised. Reaction of crude **21** with 8-acetonyldihydroberberine (**17**) in the presence of 10 mol-% NaI in CH_3CN at 70°C provided hybrid **9** in 40% yield after preparative RP-HPLC and anion exchange.

Checkerboard Assays in *S. aureus* Strains with Varying NorA Expression Levels

Preliminary antibacterial checkerboard assays^[2] performed using 8325-4 wild-type, K1758 *norA*-knockout, and K2378 NorA-overexpressing *S. aureus* cells with berberine/**5**–**7** combinations confirmed their suitability as INF55-based NorA EPIs for testing the above-stated hypothesis (Fig. 2). Complete growth inhibition was observed in all three *S. aureus* strains with INF55 (**5**) at $1.25\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and berberine present at concentrations below $20\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Analogues **6** and **7** at $1.25\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ did not inhibit growth of 8325-4 and K1758 cells in the presence of berberine at the highest concentrations tested (125 or $30\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Growth inhibition of K2378 cells was observed with **6** and **7** at $1.25\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ with berberine present at $125\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. *N*-Me-INF55 (**6**) did not inhibit 8325-4 growth at the highest concentration tested ($80\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ with $125\ \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ berberine). The results indicate a rank order of **5** > **7** > **6** for the berberine antibacterial potentiation effects of the compounds.

Antibacterial Activities Against *S. aureus* Strains

The preliminary checkerboard experiments indicated that potentiation of berberine's activity by the three INF55-based NorA EPIs **5**–**7** decreased in the order **5** > **7** > **6** against 8325-4 wild-type, K1758 *norA*-knockout, and K2378 NorA-overexpressing *S. aureus* cells.

Accordingly, if the above-stated hypothesis were correct, then their respective hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** should show antibacterial potencies in the order **3** > **9** > **8** against these cells, assuming no synergistic or antagonistic action between the two components when joined. MICs for complete inhibition of bacterial growth were measured for hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** against the *S. aureus* panel with vancomycin included as a control (Table 1). All three hybrids showed identical MICs ($0.78 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) against 8325-4 and K2378 and two-fold higher potencies ($0.39 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) against K1758. The MIC of vancomycin was $1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against the three strains. Consistent MICs (<two-fold difference) for **3**, **8**, and **9** confirmed, first, that all were poor substrates for NorA. Lack of variation in MICs was a feature that had also been observed with hybrids **1–4** and suggests that the molecular target(s) of berberine–INF55 hybrids is (are) tolerant of structural variations within the INF55 portion. Unvarying MICs for hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** were not, however, consistent with the stated hypothesis, because if correct, the MICs should have increased in the order **3** < **9** < **8**.

Uptake into *S. aureus* Cells

Uptake of hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9**, berberine and berberine in the presence of INF55 (**5**) and analogues **6** and **7** into 8325-4, K1758, and K2378 *S. aureus* cells was compared using our previously reported fluorescence-based method.^[9] In these experiments, the interaction of berberine or hybrids with DNA on entering cells causes an increase in fluorescence at 517 nm (excitation at 355 nm), whereas expulsion of such compounds from cells via efflux leads to lower fluorescence intensities, thus providing a qualitative measure of compound efflux susceptibility. Berberine at $3 \mu\text{M}$ alone did not accumulate significantly in any of the *S. aureus* strains, consistent with its high efflux susceptibility (Fig. 3). Lack of berberine uptake into *norA*-knockout strain K1758 suggested that pumps other than NorA must contribute to its efflux. Uptake of berberine did not increase in any of the strains in the presence of equimolar **7** and only small increases were observed with **5** and **6** present. These results suggested that NorA inhibition by INF55 **5** and analogues **6**, **7** had only a minor effect on berberine uptake, possibly owing to the countering effects of other pumps not affected by these inhibitors. Nevertheless, the ability of INF55 analogues (**5** in particular) to potentiate the antibacterial activity of berberine against the same *S. aureus* strains (Fig. 2) suggested that this seemingly slight effect on berberine uptake was sufficient to enhance antibacterial effects.

Significantly larger increases in fluorescence were observed with hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** at the same concentrations ($3 \mu\text{M}$), indicating that they were taken up to a greater extent in these cells than berberine or berberine in the presence of **5–7** (Fig. 3). For these experiments, it was necessary to demonstrate that the high fluorescence observed with hybrids was due to increased cellular uptake and not increased fluorescence intensity of hybrid–DNA complex(es) relative to berberine–DNA complex(es). Cell-free control experiments comparing fluorescence on binding to calf thymus DNA (CT-DNA)^[17] of hybrids **3**, **8**, **9**, berberine, and berberine in the presence of **5**, **6**, and **7** showed that complexes formed between the three hybrids and CT-DNA exhibited significantly less fluorescence than berberine–DNA complexes (data not shown), supporting the conclusion that higher intracellular uptake of **3**, **8**, and **9** had occurred.

Uptake of each hybrid was unchanged across the three strains, consistent with the compounds not being substrates for NorA (and in agreement with the MIC data; Table 1). Although the uptake data confirmed that **3**, **8**, and **9** accumulated in these cells, there was no evidence to support that incorporation of higher-potency INF55-based EPIs led to increased uptake. Hybrids **8** and **9** showed identical and higher uptake than **3** in all strains despite **3** containing the most potent NorA EPI **5**.

Antibacterial Activity and Checkerboard Assays with Methicillin-Resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) and *E. faecalis*

The above-stated hypothesis was further examined by comparing the curative effects of the hybrids in two *C. elegans* live infection models. In these models, *C. elegans* is infected with methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (strain MW2) or *E. faecalis* (MMH594) and worm survival is measured relative to controls. Before performing these experiments, the MIC of each compound was measured against *S. aureus* MW2 and *E. faecalis* MMH594 cells in liquid cultures (Table 2). Berberine showed an MIC of 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ against MRSA MW2 and lower potency (MIC > 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) against *E. faecalis* MMH594. EPIs **5–7** all showed no activity against either strain when administered alone at <100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9**, however, all showed robust but virtually identical activities (MICs 1.56–3.13 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). These data once again conflicted with the hypothesis because MICs for **3**, **8**, and **9** should have increased in the order **3** < **9** < **8** if it were supported.

Checkerboard assays were used to compare the berberine potentiation effects of **5–7** in MW2 and MMH594 cells (Fig. 4). As expected, INF55 (**5**) was the most potent EPI with 25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and concentrations of berberine below 10 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ strongly inhibiting MW2. EPIs **6** and **7** required >50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ to show any effect. The three EPIs were notably less effective against MMH594, where concentrations of **5** greater than 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and higher concentrations of berberine were required to produce an effect. Compound **7** showed only weak activity at 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ and required higher concentrations of berberine whereas compound **6** showed no potentiation at the highest concentration tested. The berberine potentiation activity of the EPIs thus decreased in the order **5** > **7** > **6** against MW2 and MMH594, in agreement with the order observed with *S. aureus* strains 8325-4, K1758, and K2378 (Fig. 2). These data supported **5–7** (and their respective hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9**) being suitable compounds for testing the above-stated berberine–INF55 hybrid mechanism hypothesis in the two *C. elegans* live infection models.

C. elegans–MRSA and *C. elegans*–*E. faecalis* Live Infection Models

In the *C. elegans*–MRSA live infection model, worm survival was measured after infection with MRSA MW2.^[18] In the absence of antimicrobials, worms died but they were rescued by treatment with vancomycin (Fig. 5a, Vancomycin 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$). Increasing concentrations (0–200 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) of berberine, EPIs **5–7**, and hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** were added to MRSA MW2-infected worms and their effects on survival measured. Berberine was found to increase survival relative to the 1% DMSO control at concentrations above 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, consistent with its MIC (50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) against this strain (Table 2). None of the EPIs showed any curative effects when tested alone. In contrast, hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** all showed

robust curative effects; however, there were no clear differences between their potencies. Checkerboard worm survival assays (i.e. where berberine was co-administered with EPIs **5–7**), were attempted but reproducible data could not be obtained, possibly owing to the toxicity of INF55-based EPIs to worms.^[2]

In the *C. elegans*–*E. faecalis* live infection model,^[9] worms were infected with *E. faecalis* MMH594 and their survival monitored over several days. In the absence of antimicrobials, the worms died but were rescued by treatment with tetracycline (Fig. 5b, tetracycline 20 µg mL^{−1}). Berberine and EPIs **5–7** alone showed no effect on survival in this model over the concentration range tested (0–200 µg mL^{−1}). The lack of activity of berberine against *E. faecalis* MMH594 was consistent with its low in vitro potency against this organism (MIC > 100 µg mL^{−1}, Table 2). The three hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** all showed strong rescuing effects at concentrations above 6.25 µg mL^{−1}, but again there were no clear differences between their potencies. As in the MRSA MW2 model, checkerboard experiments were attempted with berberine/**5–7** combinations but reproducible data could not be obtained.

Concluding Remarks

This study aimed to test the hypothesis that berberine–INF55 hybrids elicit their antibacterial effects through the combined activities of their two functionally distinct components, i.e. the berberine moiety acting to kill bacterial cells while the INF55 portion serves to block NorA-mediated efflux. Checkerboard assays with three *S. aureus* strains varying in NorA expression levels, along with MRSA MW2 and *E. faecalis* MMH594 strains, established that INF55 analogues **6** and **7** showed graded reductions in their berberine potentiation potencies relative to **5**, thus making them suitable compounds for testing the hypothesis. The three EPIs and their corresponding hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9** were synthesised using a mix of literature and newly developed chemistry.

The three hybrids showed strong antibacterial activity against all strains tested but the activity did not vary between the compounds – a result inconsistent with the hypothesis. Uptake of the three hybrids into *S. aureus* cells was confirmed using fluorescence-based cell assays but again the lack of variation in uptake did not support the hypothesis. The *C. elegans* MRSA and *E. faecalis* live infection experiments clearly demonstrated the robust curative effects of the hybrids, with worm survival also establishing that the compounds show low toxicity. However, failure to observe significant differences between their activities was further evidence that the hypothesis was not supported. We conclude from this work that the mechanism(s) of antibacterial action of berberine–INF55 hybrids must be different from the mechanisms at play when berberine is co-administered with INF55-based EPIs. Further studies aimed at unravelling the true mechanism of action of this interesting class of anti-bacterials are therefore warranted.

Experimental

Chemistry

THF and diethyl ether were dried over sodium, and DMF and CH₃CN were dried over 4-Å molecular sieves before use. 2-Phenylindole (**10**), CH₃I, Sn(Bu)₃Cl, *n*-butyllithium, PdCl₂,

CBr₄, PPh₃, LiAlH₄, LiBH₄, and IRA-904 quaternary ammonium Cl[−] anion exchange resin were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. 4-Iodomethylbenzoate was synthesised by MeOH/H₂SO₄(catalyst) esterification of 4-iodobenzoic acid (purchased from Matrix scientific). Analytical TLC was conducted using Merck 0.2-mm silica gel 60 F₂₅-coated aluminium plates. Compounds were visualised by UV absorption (λ 254 nm) and/or staining with cerium ammonium molybdate. Column chromatography was conducted using Merck silica gel 60 (230–400 mesh). Low-resolution electrospray ionisation mass spectra (ESI-MS) were obtained on a micromass Z-path (LCZ) spectrometer. Electron-impact high-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a Fisons/VG Autospec spectrometer using perfluorokerosene as internal standard. ESI HRMS were obtained on a Waters QT Ultima spectrometer using polyethylene glycol or polypropylene glycol as internal standard. ¹H, ¹³C NMR experiments were conducted using a Varian Mercury 300 MHz, Varian Inova 500 MHz or Varian Premium Shielded 500 MHz NMR spectrometer at 25°C. Chemical shifts are reported as δ (ppm) relative to internal TMS (or solvent where indicated). The abbreviations s = singlet, d = doublet, appt = apparent triplet, t = triplet, q = quadruplet, m = multiplet, and bs = broad singlet are used throughout. RP-HPLC gradient purifications of hybrids **3** and **9** were conducted with solvents A (100% H₂O, 0.1% HCl) and B (90% CH₃CN, 10% H₂O, 0.1% HCl) using a Sunfire™ Prep C18 OBD™ (5 μ M) steel-jacketed column with a flow rate of 30 mL min^{−1} and detection at λ = 254 nm. Analytical HPLC analyses were conducted using a Shimadzu Class-LC10 VP system with gradient elutions using solvents A and B on a Phenomenex Luna 5-mM C18 column at a flow rate of 1 mL min^{−1} and detection at λ = 254 nm.

9,10-Dimethoxy-13-(4-(5-nitro-1H-indol-2-yl)benzyl)-5,6-dihydro-[1,3]diolo[4,5]-isoquinolino[3,2-a]isoquinolin-7-ium chloride **3**

To a stirred solution of bromide **16** (100 mg, 0.30 mmol) in anhydrous CH₃CN (10 mL) was added sodium iodide (49 mg, 0.33 mmol) and the mixture stirred for 1 h at 70°C. 8-Acetonil dehydroberberine (**17**) (118.8 mg, 0.30 mmol) was then added and stirring continued at 70°C for a further 3–4 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (MeOH/CHCl₃, 1 : 9 or EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 4) and ESI-MS. On completion, the reaction was diluted with CH₃CN (5 mL) and the product adsorbed onto silica gel via evaporation of the solvent. Purification was performed using column chromatography, initially using EtOAc/CH₃CN/hexane (1 : 1 : 1) followed by EtOAc/CH₃CN/MeOH (1 : 1 : 0.3) to afford semi-pure **3**. Further purification was carried out using RP-HPLC (gradient from A 0% to B 100% over 30 min, *R*_t 18.8 min). Fractions containing the product were combined and concentrated by freeze-drying. The salt mixture obtained was stirred with IRA-904 quaternary ammonium Cl[−] anion exchange resin in MeOH (5 mL) at room temperature (rt) for 1 h. Filtration and concentration yielded pure **3** as a yellow amorphous solid. (75 mg, 40 %); mp 218–220°C (dec.). δ _H (500 MHz, [D₇]DMF) 3.26 (s, 2H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 4.11 (s, 3H), 4.84 (s, 2H), 5.15 (bs, 2H), 6.06 (s, 2H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, *J* 7.5, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* 9.0, 1H), 7.81–7.93 (m, 3H), 8.07 (m, 2H), 8.46 (s, 1H), 10.1 (s, 1H), 13.05 (s, 1H). δ _C (125 MHz, [D₇]DMF) 28.3, 36.3, 57.4, 58.1, 62.5, 101.3, 103.1, 109.1, 112.4, 117.4, 121.2, 122.4, 122.5, 126.8, 127.0, 128.9, 129.5, 130.8, 131.0, 133.9, 135.0, 138.4, 140.3, 141.6, 142.0, 142.1, 145.4, 146.2, 147.5, 150.4, 151.2. *m/z* (ESI-MS) 586.1997. Anal. calc. for C₃₅H₂₈N₃O₆⁺ 586.1973.

1-Methyl-5-nitro-2-phenyl-1H-indole 6

To a stirred solution of INF55 (**5**) (1 g, 4.2 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (10 mL) was added oven-dried K_2CO_3 (1.74 g, 12.6 mmol) and stirring continued for 15–20 min at rt. Methyl iodide (0.8 mL, 12.6 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was then added dropwise at rt and stirred for 1–2 h. Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 4). The reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL). The organic layer was washed with water and brine and dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 before concentrating under vacuum to afford **6** as a yellow solid (847 mg, 80 %); mp 175–178°C. δ_H (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 3.80 (s, 3H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 7.37 (δ , J 6.0, 1H), 7.48–7.51 (m, 5H), 8.14 (d, J 6.0, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H). δ_C (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 31.9, 103.7, 109.4, 117.2, 117.5, 127.0, 128.7, 129.3, 131.4, 140.9, 141.8, 144.7. m/z (ESI-MS) 253.0970. Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{13}N_2O_2$ [$M + H^+$] 253.0977.

1-Methyl-2-phenyl-1H-indole 7

To a stirred solution of 2-phenylindole **5** (1.0 g, 5.2 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) was added sodium hydride (372 mg, 15.5 mmol) and the reaction was stirred for 15 min at rt. Methyl iodide (2.20 g, 15.5 mmol) in anhydrous THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture and stirring continued for a further 2 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 9). The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated sodium sulfate and extracted with EtOAc (3×10 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $MgSO_4$, and concentrated under vacuum to afford **7** as a white solid (857 mg, 80 %); mp 110–112°C. δ_H (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 3.86 (s, 3H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 7.12 (t, J 8.0, 1H), 7.22 (t, J 7.0, 1H), 7.30–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.48 (m, 4H), 7.61 (δ , J 7.5, 1H). δ_C (125 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 31.1, 101.7, 109.6, 119.2, 120.5, 121.7, 127.8, 128.0, 128.5, 129.4, 132.8, 138.4, 141.6. m/z (ESI-MS) 208.1126. Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{14}N$ [$M + H^+$] 208.1126.

9,10-Dimethoxy-13-(4-(1-methyl-5-nitro-1H-indol-2-yl) benzyl)-5,6-dihydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-g]isoquinolino[3,2-a]isoquinolin-7-ium chloride 8

To a stirred solution of hybrid **3** (70 mg, 1.1 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (5 mL) under Ar was added oven-dried K_2CO_3 (464 mg, 3.4 mmol) and the mixture was stirred for 15 min. Methyl iodide (0.21 mL, 3.4 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction and stirring continued for a further 1–2 h. On complete consumption of the starting material (ESI-MS), the mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue purified by silica gel flash column chromatography (CH_3CN /EtOAc : MeOH, 1 : 1 : 0.5) to yield mixed Cl^- and I^- salts. The salt mixture was stirred with IRA-904 quaternary ammonium Cl^- anion exchange resin in MeOH (5 mL) at rt for 1 h. After filtration and concentration, compound **8** was obtained as a yellow amorphous solid (53.3 mg, 75 %); mp 208–212°C (dec.). δ_H (500 MHz, [D7] DMF) 3.34 (s, 2H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 4.15 (s, 3H), 4.21 (s, 3H), 5.00 (s, 2H), 5.18 (bs, 2H), 6.17 (s, 2H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, J 6.5, 2H), 7.71 (d, J 7.5, 2H), 7.75 (d, J 8.5, 1H), 8.01 (m, 1H), 8.11 (d, J 8.5, 1H), 8.18 (d, J 9.0, 1H), 8.59 (s, 1H), 10.40 (s, 1H). δ_C (125 MHz, [D7]DMF) 28.3, 32.2, 36.2, 57.4, 58.0, 62.6, 103.1, 104.4, 109.1, 109.2, 111.2, 117.3, 117.6, 121.1, 122.5, 122.5, 126.8, 127.6, 129.4, 130.6, 130.9, 133.9, 135.2, 138.5, 140.9, 141.9, 142.2, 145.1, 145.6, 146.8, 147.6, 150.4, 151.3. m/z (ESI-MS) 600.2122. Anal. calc. for $C_{36}H_{30}N_3O_6^+$ 600.2129.

9,10-Dimethoxy-13-(4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)benzyl)-5,6-dihydro-[1,3]dioxolo[4,5-g]isoquinolino[3,2-a] isoquinolin-7-ium chloride 9

To a solution of the crude chloride **21** (128 mg, 0.5 mmol) in anhydrous CH₃CN (10 mL) was added sodium iodide (12.7 mg, 0.53 mmol) and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at 70°C. 8-Acetyl dihydroberberine (**17**) (196.8 mg, 0.501 mmol) was then added and stirring continued for another 3–4 h at 70°C. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 4) to observe consumption of the chloride, and also by ESI-MS. On completion, the reaction mixture was purified by silica-gel column chromatography using EtOAc/CH₃CN/hexane (1 : 1 : 2) followed by EtOAc/CH₃CN/MeOH (1 : 1 : 0.1). The semi-pure material was further purified by preparative RP-HPLC using a gradient from 0% A to 100% B over 30 min (*R*_t 21.5 min). The fractions containing pure product were pooled and concentrated by freeze-drying to yield **9** as a yellow solid (118 mg, 40 %); mp 186–189°C. δ_{H} (500 MHz, [D7]DMF) 3.37 (s, 2H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 4.15 (s, 3H), 4.23 (s, 3H), 5.01 (s, 2H), 5.22 (bs, 2H), 6.20 (s, 2H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 7.10 (appt, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.20–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.48 (d, *J* 7.5, 2H), 7.53 (d, *J* 8.5, 1H), 7.62 (d, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* 8.0, 2H), 8.04 (d, *J* 7.5, 1H), 8.22 (d, *J* 7.5, 1H) 9.42 (s, 1H). δ_{C} (125 MHz, [D7]DMF) 28.3, 31.5, 36.2, 57.4, 58.2, 62.6, 102.1, 103.1, 109.1, 109.2, 110.6, 120.2, 120.8, 121.1, 122.2, 122.4, 122.5, 126.8, 128.6, 129.2, 130.4, 131.1, 131.9, 134.0, 135.1, 138.5, 139.3, 139.9, 141.4, 145.5, 146.5, 147.6, 150.4, 151.2. *m/z* (ESI-MS) 555.2289. Anal. calc. for C₃₆H₃₁N₂O₄⁺ 555.2278.

tert-Butyl-2-(4-(methoxycarbonyl)phenyl)-1H-indole-1-carboxylate 12

To a stirred solution of **11** (5 g, 9.9 mmol) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxan (50 mL) were added 4-iodomethylbenzoate (2.04 g, 7.78 mmol) and palladium(II) chloride (43 mg, 0.24 mmol) and the reaction mixture was purged with nitrogen for 15 min. The reaction mixture was then heated to 100°C and stirred for 1 h while monitoring by TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 9). The mixture was cooled, diluted with EtOAc (100 mL), and stirred with 15% aqueous potassium fluoride (300 mL) for 15 min. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed well with EtOAc (200 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under vacuum. The crude residue was purified by silica-gel column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1.5 : 8.5) to afford **12** as a white semi-solid (2.3 g, 84 %); mp 79–83°C. δ_{H} (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 1.32 (s, 9H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 6.6 (s, 3H), 7.2 (appt, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.35 (appt, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.50 (d, *J* 7.5, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J* 7.5, 1H), 8.07 (d, *J* 7.5, 2H), 8.21 (d, *J* 8.5, 1H). δ_{C} (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 27.6, 52.1, 83.8, 110.7, 115.2, 123.4, 125.1, 128.3, 128.8, 129.0, 129.2, 137.9, 139.4, 149.9, 166.86. *m/z* (ESI-MS) 374.1368. Anal. calc. for C₂₁H₂₁NNaO₄ [M + Na⁺] 374.1368.

Methyl 4-(1H-indol-2-yl)benzoate 13

To a stirred solution of **12** (2.3 g, 6.6 mmol) in anhydrous CH₂Cl₂ (7 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (7 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1–2 h. The mixture was concentrated under vacuum and the residue redissolved in EtOAc (100 mL). The EtOAc layer was washed with water and brine, and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ before concentrating under vacuum. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 2) to afford **13** as an off-white crystalline solid (1.3 g, 79 %); mp 204–205°C. δ_{H} (500 MHz, CD₃COCD₃) 3.90 (s, 3H), 7.029–7.059 (m, 2H), 7.14 (appt, *J* 7.0,

1H), 7.45 (d, *J* 8.5, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* 8.0, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* 8.5, 2H), 8.05 (d, *J* 8.5, 2H), 11.01 (bs, 1H). δ_C (125 MHz, CD₃COCD₃) 52.2, 101.8, 112.2, 120.6, 121.4, 123.3, 125.6, 129.3, 129.9, 130.8, 137.3, 137.8, 138.8, 166.9. *m/z* (ESI-MS) 252.1014. Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₁₄NO₂ [M + H⁺] requires 252.1025.

Methyl 4-(1-methyl-1H-indol-2-yl)benzoate 19

A stirring solution of (*N*-methylindol-2-yl)tributylstannane **18** (5.0 g, 11.9 mmol) in anhydrous THF was charged with methyl-4-iodobenzoate (2.18 g, 8.3 mmol) and PdCl₂ (97.6 mg, 0.832 mmol). The reaction mixture was purged with nitrogen for 30 min and then heated at reflux for 3–4 h with monitoring by TLC (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 5). The crude reaction mixture was adsorbed onto silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography with petroleum spirit/EtOAc (9.5 : 0.5 to 8 : 2) to yield **19** as an off-white solid (2.36 g, 75 %); mp 105°C. δ_H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 7.15 (appt, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.26 (appt, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* 8.0, 1H), 7.58 (d, *J* 8.5, 2H), 7.64 (d, *J* 7.5, 1H), 8.12 (d, *J* 9.8, 2H). δ_C (125 MHz, (CDCl₃) 31.3, 52.1, 102.8, 109.7, 120.1, 120.7, 122.2, 127.8, 128.9, 129.2, 129.7, 137.2, 138.6, 140.1, 166.7. *m/z* (ESI-MS) 266.1173. Anal. calc. for C₁₇H₁₆NO₂ [M + H⁺] 266.1181.

(4-(1-Methyl-1H-indol-2yl)phenyl)methanol 20

To a stirred solution of **19** (250 mg, 0.94 mmol) in anhydrous THF was added LiAlH₄ (34 mg, 0.94 mmol) and the temperature gently raised to 40°C. Another 3–4 equiv. of LiAlH₄ was added in portions over 20–30 min and the reaction mixture was stirred at 40°C for a further 3–4 h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc/petroleum spirit, 2 : 3) and on completion was slowly quenched by dropwise addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl. After cessation of bubbling, the mixture was diluted with water and extracted with EtOAc (3 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated under vacuum to yield **20** as an off-white solid (190 mg, 85 %); mp 97–99°C. δ_H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.71 (s, 3H), 4.72 (s, 2H), 6.54 (s, 1H), 7.13 (appt, *J* 7.5, 1H), 7.24 (appt, *J* 15.5, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* 8.5, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* 8.0, 2H), 7.49 (d, *J* 8.5, 2H), 7.62 (d, *J* 8.0, 1H). δ_C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) 31.1, 64.9, 101.6, 109.5, 119.8, 120.4, 121.6, 127.0, 127.9, 129.4, 132.1, 138.3, 140.4, 141.2. *m/z* (ESI-MS) 238.1224. Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₁₆NO [M + H⁺] 238.1232.

2-(4-Chloromethyl)phenyl)-1-methyl-1H-indole 21

A solution of alcohol **20** (300 mg, 1.26 mmol) in CCl₄/CH₂Cl₂ (1 : 2, 7 mL) was stirred for 10 min at rt. PPh₃ (991 mg, 3.78 mmol) was then added and stirring continued for 1 h. TLC analysis (EtOAc/hexane, 1 : 4) indicated complete consumption of the alcohol. The product was filtered over a neutral alumina bed and washed with dichloromethane (3 × 5 mL). The combined filtrates were concentrated under vacuum (water bath temperature kept below 40°C). The residue obtained was triturated with pentane (3 × 4 mL) to afford crude **21** (210 mg, 65 %), which was used immediately. Owing to its instability, **21** was unable to be characterised.

MIC, Checkerboard, Uptake, and *C. elegans* Experiments

MIC, checkerboard, and uptake measurements with 8325-4 wild-type, K1758 *norA*-knockout, and K2378 *NorA*-overexpressing *S. aureus* cells were obtained using the published methods.^[2,9,10] MIC measurements with *S. aureus* MW2 and *E. faecalis* MMH594 were obtained as follows. Cultures of *S. aureus* MW2 and *E. faecalis* MMH594 were grown overnight in tryptic soy broth (TSB) and brain–heart infusion broth (BHIB) broth, respectively, to stationary phase at 37°C with aeration. The cultures were diluted to an approximate density of 2×10^4 colony forming units (CFU) mL⁻¹ in the appropriate worm infection media. The bacterial culture dilutions (12.5 mL) were inoculated into 384-well plates containing two-fold serial dilutions (in infection media) of the compounds being tested. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 15 h and scored by eye for bacterial growth. Checkerboard MIC experiments with MW2 and MMH594 were carried out in a similar manner as the MIC experiments with individual compounds as described above, with the exception that two-fold serial dilutions of two compounds were arrayed in the 384-well plates in such a way that all combinations of dilutions between the two compounds were tested.

The *C. elegans*–MRSA MW2 live infection experiments were carried out according to our recently published methods.^[18] The *C. elegans*–*E. faecalis* MMH594 experiments were carried out using the published procedure^[19] with minor modifications. Briefly, a synchronous population of *glp-4(bn2);sek-1(km4)* worms were grown to the young adult stage on Schleifer–Kramer (SK) agar plates with *Escherichia coli* HB101 lawns. The worms were washed off the HB101 SK plates and transferred onto BHIB agar plates with *E. faecalis* MMH594 lawns and incubated for 15 h at 15°C. Following infection, worms were resuspended in M9 and dispensed using a Copas BioSort large particle sorter (Union Biometrica) into 384-well assay plates that contained compounds in 55 mL of infection assay media. Total volume per well was 70 mL with the final concentrations of components being 20% BHIB, 60% M9 buffer, 19% sheath solution (Union Biometrica), 80 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin, 62.5 U mL⁻¹ nystatin, and 1% DMSO. The plates were sealed with gas-permeable membranes and incubated at 26.3°C with 85% relative humidity (RH) for 5 days without agitation. After 5 days, the plates were washed five times using a Biotek ELx405 plate washer, and Sytox Orange (Invitrogen) was added to a final concentration of 0.7 µM. The plates were sealed with gas-permeable membranes and incubated at 20°C, 80% RH for 16 h. After staining, brightfield and red fluorescence images of the wells were captured using the *ImageXpress Micro* (Molecular Devices) and worm death was scored from the images using the image analysis software *CellProfiler* (www.cellprofiler.com; accessed 24 August 2014).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the US National Institutes of Health (grant numbers R01 AI076372 and P01 AI083214) for funding this work and we thank all of the universities involved for their support.

References

- [1]. Lomovskaya O, Bostian KA. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2006; 71:910. doi:10.1016/J.BCP.2005.12.008. [PubMed: 16427026]
- [2]. Ball AR, Casadei G, Samosorn S, Bremner JB, Ausubel FM, Moy TI, Lewis K. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2006; 1:594. doi:10.1021/CB600238X. [PubMed: 17168555]
- [3]. Charifson PS, Grillot A-L, Grossman TH, Parsons JD, Badia M, Bellon S, Deininger DD, Drumm JE, Gross CH, LeTiran A, Liao Y, Mani N, Nicolau DP, Perola E, Ronkin S, Shannon D, Swenson LL, Tang Q, Tessier PR, Tian S-K, Trudeau M, Wang T, Wei Y, Zhang H, Stamos D. J. *Med. Chem.* 2008; 51:5243. doi:10.1021/JM800318D. [PubMed: 18690678]
- [4]. German N, Wei P, Kaatz GW, Kerns RJ. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2008; 43:2453. doi:10.1016/J.EJMECH.2008.01.042. [PubMed: 18358571]
- [5]. Bremner JB, Ambrus JI, Samosorn S. *Curr. Med. Chem.* 2007; 14:1459. doi:10.2174/092986707780831168. [PubMed: 17584056]
- [6]. Markham PN, Westhaus E, Klyachko K, Johnson ME, Neyfakh AA. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 1999; 43:2404. [PubMed: 10508015]
- [7]. Hsieh PC, Siegel SA, Rogers B, Davis S, Lewis K. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 1998; 95:6602. doi:10.1073/PNAS.95.12.6602. [PubMed: 9618458]
- [8]. Amin AH, Subbaiah TV, Abbasi KM. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 1969; 15:1067. doi:10.1139/M69-190. [PubMed: 4906191]
- [9]. Tomkiewicz D, Casadei G, Larkins-Ford J, Moy TI, Garner J, Bremner JB, Ausubel FM, Lewis K, Kelso MJ. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 2010; 54:3219. doi:10.1128/AAC.01715-09. [PubMed: 20498327]
- [10]. Samosorn S, Tanwirat B, Muhamad N, Casadei G, Tomkiewicz D, Lewis K, Suksamrarn A, Prammananan T, Gornall KC, Beck JL, Bremner JB. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2009; 17:3866. doi:10.1016/J.BMC.2009.04.028. [PubMed: 19419877]
- [11]. Noland WE, Rush KR, Smith LR. *J. Org. Chem.* 1966; 31:65. doi:10.1021/JO01339A013.
- [12]. Bremner JB, Kelso MJ. *Synth. Commun.* 2010; 40:3561. doi:10.1080/00397910903457415. [PubMed: 21311737]
- [13]. Bremner JB, Samosorn S. *Aust. J. Chem.* 2003; 56:871. doi:10.1071/CH03054.
- [14]. Wang X, Lane BS, Sames D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:4996. doi:10.1021/JA050279P. [PubMed: 15810815]
- [15]. Labadie SS, Teng E. *J. Org. Chem.* 1994; 59:4250. doi:10.1021/JO00094A042.
- [16]. Park KD, Lee JH, Kim SH, Kang TH, Moon JS, Kim SU. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006; 16:3913. doi:10.1016/J.BMCL.2006.05.033. [PubMed: 16730982]
- [17]. Hirakawa K, Kawanishi S, Hirano T. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 2005; 18:1545. doi:10.1021/TX0501740. [PubMed: 16533018]
- [18]. Rajamuthiah R, Fuchs BB, Jayamani E, Kim Y, Larkins-Ford J, Conery A, Ausubel FM, Mylonakis E. *PLoS ONE.* 2014; 9:e89189. doi:10.1371/JOURNAL.PONE.0089189. [PubMed: 24586584]
- [19]. Moy TI, Conery AL, Larkins-Ford J, Wu G, Mazitschek R, Casadei G, Lewis K, Carpenter AE, Ausubel FM. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 2009; 4:527. doi:10.1021/CB900084V. [PubMed: 19572548]

Fig. 1.

(a) Berberine-INF55 hybrid antibacterials **1–4**.^[2,9,10] (b) INF55 (5-nitro-2-phenylindole) **5**, *N*-methyl-INF55 **6**, and *N*-methyl-2-phenylindole **7** and their corresponding berberine-INF55 hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9**.

Scheme 1.

Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, CH₃I, THF, 80 %; (b) NaNO₃, H₂SO₄, -10°C, 70%^[11]; (c) K₂CO₃, CH₃I, DMF, 80 %; (d) PdCl₂, 1,4-dioxan, methyl 4-iodobenzoate, 100°C, 84 %; (e) TFA/CH₂Cl₂ (1 : 1), 79 %; (f) NaNO₃, H₂SO₄, -10°C;^[12] (g) LiBH₄, THF, 40°C;^[12] (h) CBr₄, PPh₃, THF/Et₂O (1 : 1);^[12] (i) NaI (10 mol-%), CH₃CN, 70°C, anion exchange 40 %; (j) CH₃I, K₂CO₃, DMF, anion exchange, 75 %; (k) PdCl₂, methyl 4-iodobenzoate, 1,4-dioxan, 100°C, 75 %; (l) LiAlH₄, THF, 40°C, 85 %; (m) CCl₄/CH₂Cl₂ (1 : 1), PPh₃, ~65% (crude); (n) NaI (10 mol-%), CH₃CN, 70°C, anion exchange, 40 %.

Fig. 2.

Checkerboard assays comparing potentiation of berberine's antibacterial effects by INF55 **5** (◆), **6** (■), and **7** (▲) against (a) 8325-4 wild-type; (b) K1758 *norA*-knockout; and (c) K2378 NorA-overexpressing *S. aureus* cells. Compounds **5–7** showed no antibacterial effects against these strains when administered alone at concentrations $80 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) for berberine alone against 8325-4, K1758, and K2378 were 125, 30, and $250 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ respectively.^[2] Curves are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Fig. 3.

Uptake of hybrids **3** ($\diamond$), **8** ($\square$), **9** ($\triangle$), berberine ($\bullet$), and berberine in the presence of **5** ($\blacklozenge$), **6** ($\blacksquare$), and **7** ($\blacktriangle$) into (a) 8325-4 wild-type; (b) K1758 *norA*-knockout; and (c) K2378 NorA-overexpressing *S. aureus* cells. Uptake was measured by monitoring fluorescence at 517 nm (excitation at 355 nm) and is expressed in arbitrary fluorescence units (AU). All compounds were present at 3 μ M in 1% DMSO solutions.

Fig. 4. Checkerboard assays comparing potentiation of berberine's antibacterial effects by INF55 **5** (◆) and analogues **6** (■) and **7** (▲) against (a) methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) MW2; and (b) *E. faecalis* MMH594. Curves are representative of at least three independent experiments.

Fig. 5. Survival (%) of *C. elegans* worms infected with (a) methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) MW2; and (b) *E. faecalis* MMH594 following treatment with increasing concentrations of berberine, INF55 **5**, and analogues **6**, **7** and hybrids **3**, **8**, and **9**. Vancomycin (Vanc, 20µg mL⁻¹) and tetracycline (Tet, 20 µg mL⁻¹) were included as positive controls in the MRSA and *E. faecalis* experiments respectively, and 1% DMSO as a negative control. Compound concentrations are in µg mL⁻¹. All assay solutions contained 1 % DMSO.

Table 1

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of hybrids 3, 8, and 9 and vancomycin (control) against wild-type (8325-4), *norA*-knockout (K1758), and NorA-overexpressing (K2378) *S. aureus* strains

<i>S. aureus</i> strains MIC [$\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$]			
Compound	8325-4	K1758	K2378
3	0.78	0.39	0.78
8	0.78	0.39	0.78
9	0.78	0.39	0.78
Vancomycin	1.0	1.0	1.0

Table 2

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of INF55 5, analogues 6 and 7, hybrids 3, 8, and 9 and vancomycin (control) in liquid cultures of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) MW2 and *E. faecalis* MMH594

	MIC [$\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$]	
	MRSA	<i>E. faecalis</i>
Compound	MW2	MMH594
Berberine	50	>100
5	>100	>100
6	>100	>100
7	>100	>100
3	3.13	3.13
8	3.13	3.13
9	<1.56	3.13
Vancomycin	<1.56	<1.56