Published in final edited form as:

J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2015 February ; 21(2): 105–115. doi:10.1017/S135561771400112X.

Increased Cerebral Blood Flow Associated with Better Response Inhibition in Bipolar Disorder

Sheena I. Dev^{1,2,3}, Benjamin S. McKenna^{3,4}, Ashley N. Sutherland^{1,5}, David D. Shin⁶, Thomas T. Liu⁶, Christina E. Wierenga^{1,2,3}, and Lisa T. Eyler^{2,4}

¹Research Service, Veterans Affairs, San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, California

²San Diego State University/University of California, San Diego Joint Doctoral Program in Clinical Psychology, San Diego, California

³Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of California, San Diego, California

⁴Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center, Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, San Diego, California

⁵Veterans Medical Research Foundation, San Diego, California

⁶Center for Functional MRI and Department of Radiology, University of California, San Diego, California

Abstract

Impairment on inhibitory tasks has been well documented in bipolar disorder (BD). Differences in cerebral blood flow (CBF) between BD patients and healthy comparison (HC) participants have also been reported. Few studies have examined the relationship between cognitive performance and regional CBF in this patient population. We hypothesized that group differences on an inhibitory task (the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function Scale's Color-Word Inhibition task) would be associated with differential CBF in bilateral anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), inferior parietal lobule (IPL) and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) regions. Whole brain resting CBF was measured using Multiphase Pseudocontinuous Arterial Spin Labeling MR imaging for 28 euthymic BD and 36 HC participants. Total gray matter (GM) CBF was measured, and regional CBF values were extracted for each region of interest (ROI) using Freesurfer-based individual parcellations. Group, CBF, and group-by-CBF interaction were examined as predictors of inhibition performance. Groups did not differ in age, gender or education. BD patients performed significantly worse on Color-Word inhibition. There were no significant group differences in CBF in either total GM or in any ROI. There was a group by CBF interaction in the bilateral ACC, right IPL and right DLPFC such that better inhibitory performance was generally associated with higher resting state CBF in BD subjects, but not HC participants. Although CBF was not abnormal in this euthymic BD sample, results confirm previous reports of inter-episode inhibitory deficits and indicate that the perfusion-cognition relationship is different in BD compared to HC individuals.

Correspondence and reprint requests to: Lisa T Eyler, 3350 La Jolla Village Drive, San Diego, CA 92161 Mail Code: 151B. lteyler@ucsd.edu.

The authors have no financial disclosures.

Keywords

ASL; Cognition; Euthymic; Vascular; Anterior cingulate; Prefrontal

INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder (BD), characterized by periods of alternating depressive, manic and remitted mood states, affects roughly 2.6% of the U.S. population (Kessler et al., 2005) and is the sixth most disabling illness in the world (Murray & Lopez, 1994). Even during periods of euthymia, residual functional disability is common (Harrow, Goldberg, Grossman, & Meltzer, 1990), and most patients do not regain prior levels of daily functioning after illness onset (Tohen et al., 2003). Thus, it appears that even when mood is relatively stable, patients continue to suffer from functional disability.

BD patients display a heterogeneous cognitive profile, as some patients present with mild to moderate cognitive impairment in executive functioning (EF), attention, verbal learning, and visual-spatial abilities (Bearden, Hoffman, & Cannon, 2001), while others remain relatively cognitively intact (Burdick et al., 2014). Among patients with cognitive deficits, recent meta-analyses have demonstrated that impairment in executive function, response inhibition, episodic learning and memory, and psychomotor speed persists even during periods of euthymia (Bourne et al., 2013; Robinson & Ferrier, 2006). Cognitive impairment has been linked to decreased functional outcome in psychiatric populations (Green, Kern, & Heaton, 2004; Sanchez-Moreno et al., 2009; Velligan et al., 1997), and some researchers have demonstrated that lower scores in EF predict future deficits on measures of functional outcome in euthymic bipolar patients (Bonnin et al., 2010; Martino et al., 2009). For example, Martino et al. (2009) reported that poorer baseline response inhibition predicted lower scores on the Functioning Assessment Short Test (FAST; Rosa et al., 2007) one year later in a sample of 35 euthymic bipolar patients. Thus, tests of EF, particularly response inhibition, appear to explain some of the functional impairment experienced by those with BD.

Bipolar disorder is also associated with increased medical health burden. Bipolar patients are more likely to develop cardiovascular disease (CVD) and are typically diagnosed with CVD at an earlier age than normally aging older adults (Goldstein, Kemp, Soczynska, & McIntyre, 2009; Kilbourne et al., 2004; Murray, Weiner, Prabhakar, & Fiederowicz, 2009). Several indices of CVD and atherosclerosis are compromised earlier in BD patients, including altered heart rate variability, pulse wave velocity, and augmentation index (Cohen et al., 2003; Henry, Minassian, Paulus, Geyer, & Perry, 2009; Lee, Kim, Hong, & Joo, 2012; Migliorini, Mendez, & Bianchi, 2012; Sodhi et al., 2012). These studies demonstrate an age-related decline in cardiovascular health in bipolar patients, particularly in those markers implicating vascular integrity. However, less is known about associations between vascular health and cognitive impairment in euthymic BD patients.

Given the increased risk for cardiovascular problems in BD, it is also of interest to understand how cerebrovascular function may differ in those with the disorder. Cerebral blood flow (CBF) refers to the amount of blood (in milliliters) delivered to 100 grams of

brain tissue per minute. It can be used as an index of the amount of glucose and oxygen delivered to regions of interest, and is a measure of cerebrovascular integrity thought to mediate neural activity. Thus, investigating CBF and its relationship to cognitive performance may elucidate the relative contribution of neurovascular health to cognition in BD.

The few studies investigating the relationship between resting state CBF (rsCBF) and cognitive performance outside of the scanner in large healthy cohorts independently of clinical samples have yielded mixed findings. One study by Tekeuchi et al. (2011) reported a positive association between scores of general intelligence and rsCBF using pulsed arterial spin labeling (ASL) in 63 healthy individuals. Another group examined the association between tests of EF and rsCBF in 490 healthy participants and reported that significant associations were largely absent (Richard Jennings et al., 2014), despite negative correlations between performance on the Stroop interference task and rsCBF in the putamen and right insula. Thus, additional studies are needed to better understand these effects in psychiatrically healthy populations.

Studies investigating rsCBF in bipolar participants using positron emission tomography (PET) and single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) have reported reduced perfusion in BD patients in frontal, parietal and temporal cortical and subcortical regions (Bhardwaj, Chakrabarti, Mittal, & Sharan, 2010; Culha et al., 2008). Specifically, Bhardwaj et al. (2010) compared CBF in manic BD, depressed BD and healthy participants using SPECT imaging and reported reduced perfusion in the left frontal, parietal and anterior cingulate (AC) regions during mania and reduced perfusion in bilateral anterior temporal cortices in depression. Another SPECT study demonstrated that hypoperfusion in the cingulate gyrus was of greatest significance in a sample of euthymic bipolar patients compared to controls (Culha et al, 2008), indicating this region may be particularly susceptible to changes in vascular health. Few studies have examined the association between regional rsCBF and cognition in BD patients. Deckersbach et al (2005) administered a verbal learning task on eight euthymic and eight control subjects during a PET scan and reported that patients demonstrated worse task performance and less perfusion in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) and left hippocampal regions. Thus, there is some evidence to suggest altered CBF in BD populations as well as a relationship between executive function and measures of CBF, although there is some inconsistency in sampling characteristics and CBF quantification techniques across studies. In particular, frontal, parietal and AC regions appear to be most vulnerable to these alterations in CBF.

To the best of our knowledge, only one study has investigated how rsCBF is related to neuropsychological performance outside of the scanner. Benabarre et al. (2005) collected SPECT and neuropsychological data from 26 manic, hypomanic, depressed, and euthymic BD participants and reported that frontal dysfunction, including executive impairment, was associated with greater resting perfusion in bilateral anterior regions of the frontal lobe, bilateral parietal lobes and bilateral AC. Results of this study suggest that one possible contribution to the pathophysiology of cognitive impairment in BD may be explained by neurovascular alterations observed when the brain is not actively engaged in a cognitive task. However, given the heterogeneity of mood states in their BD sample, it is difficult to

determine the degree to which this relationship may be independent of effects of mood on cognition, CBF, or both. More studies are needed to understand the unique contribution of neurovascular compromise to cognitive impairment in BD, while controlling for the effects of mood state.

Despite the lack of existing literature using cerebral perfusion techniques to examine the association between cognition and brain function in BD, there are several task-based fMRI studies investigating the brain response-cognition relationship in other psychiatric groups at-risk for cognitive impairment. Several of these studies have reported regional upregulation of neural activity, including the AC, inferior parietal lobule (IPL) and the DLPFC, despite relatively normal cognitive functioning (Kim et al., 2010; Wagner et al., 2006). Our own group has previously demonstrated that while there was no brain-behavior relationships observed in the healthy controls (HCs), better performance on a verbal memory task was related to greater activation in temporal and frontal regions among individuals diagnosed with schizophrenia (Eyler, Jeste, & Brown, 2008). Thus, there is some literature to suggest a differential, perhaps compensatory, relationship between cognition and neural activity in psychiatric samples when compared to HC groups. However, additional studies are needed to disentangle the differential effects of cerebrovascular health on cognitive performance in BD specifically.

ASL magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is a noninvasive neuroimaging method for measuring CBF by magnetically labeling arterial water as an endogenous diffusible tracer to quantify CBF (Liu & Brown, 2007). ASL offers several advantages over PET/SPECT imaging methods as it (1) does not require intravenous contrast agents or radioactive isoforms; (2) is easily repeatable due to short administration times; and (3) can quantify CBF both during brain activation and at rest (Kim, 1995). Importantly, this technique has recently been shown to be sensitive to subtle changes in blood flow that may exist between psychiatric groups (Almeida et al., 2013). Multiphase pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling (MP-PCASL) is a modification of the pseudo-continuous ASL (PCASL) that acquires multiple RF phase offsets rather than two offsets (tag and control states) used in the conventional PCASL. Furthermore, this method provides more robust CBF measures while retaining the high sensitivity of the conventional PCASL (Jung, Wong, & Liu, 2010). To our knowledge no studies have used MP-PCASL in a BD sample.

Given the current evidence of differential perfusion and executive dysfunction in bipolar patients and in closely related disorders, we sought to examine the relationship between rsCBF and executive function using the MP-PCASL imaging method and the Delis-Kaplan Executive Functioning System (D-KEFS) Color-Word Interference test of response inhibition (Delis, Kaplan, & Kramer, 2001). We hypothesized that (a) BD patients would perform worse on the D-KEFS Color-Word interference test; (b) BD patients would display lower WB and regional perfusion in bilateral anterior cingulate, inferior parietal and dorsolateral prefrontal cortices; (c) performance on the response inhibition task would be associated with the level of CBF; and that (d) this relationship would differ between the BD and healthy control (HC) groups. In addition, we were interested to explore associations between demographic and clinical characteristics of bipolar disorder, CBF and response

inhibition to determine if these explanatory variables play a role in any brain-behavior relationships observed in our analysis.

METHODS

Participants

All procedures were approved by the University of California, San Diego (UCSD) and San Diego Veterans Affairs Healthcare System Institutional Review Boards. Twenty-eight euthymic BD and 36 age- and education-comparable healthy participants were enrolled in the study. Participants were eligible if they were between the ages of 30 and 79, right handed, free from substance abuse for 6 months and substance dependence for 12 months, never diagnosed with a serious neurological or medical condition, suitable for MRI (i.e., no implanted medical devices), and a native English speaker. BD patients met DSM-IV criteria for bipolar I disorder, were on stable doses of medication for at least 6 weeks, and reported their first mood episode as occurring between the ages of 13 and 30. BD patients were also excluded from the study if they were experiencing a mood episode or had a history of any other Axis I DSM-IV diagnosis. Written informed consent was obtained by all participants in the study. Demographic and clinical rating information are presented in Table 1.

Clinical Interview and Neuropsychological Testing

The expanded version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (SCID-IV; Spitzer, Williams, Gibbon, & First, 1995) was administered to all BD patients. Subjects were considered euthymic if they met cutoff scores on the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (HAM-D 7; Trajkovic et al., 2011), the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS 6; Young, Biggs, Zeigler, & Meyer, 1978), and the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS positive 21 and PANSS negative 21; Kay, Fiszbein, & Opler, 1987). Comparison participants were administered the Mini International Psychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998). The Framingham Stroke Risk profile (D'Agostino, Wolf, Belanger, & Kannel, 1994) was also administered as a self-report measure of vascular risk markers. Low (1) or high (2) medication load values were assigned for each BD participant based on current psychiatric medication dosage and duration of use. These values were then summed across medication type to represent a unique medication load variable for each BD participant (Hassel et al., 2008). All participants performed the D-KEFS Color Word Interference subtest, based on the original Stroop task, to assess for inhibitory response (Delis, Kaplan, & Kramer, 2001).

Imaging Data Acquisition and Processing

Participants were scanned at the UCSD Center for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging using a 3 Tesla GE Discovery MR750 whole-body imaging system with an eight-channel head coil. A high-resolution T1-weighted anatomical scan was acquired using a fast spoiled gradient echo pulse sequence [echo time (TE) = 4 ms, flip angle = 90°, 1 mm³ resolution]. Images from the scan were used to create ROIs for different anatomical regions (see the next section) as well as to create a gray matter mask, down-sampled to the resolution of the whole-brain baseline ASL data.

A three-dimensional spoiled gradient echo sequence was used to collect the time-of-flight angiogram scan [TE = 2.9 ms, repetition time (TR) = 20 ms, flip angle = 20°, 0.86 × 1.7 × 2.5 mm³ resolution, field of view (FOV) = 22 cm, axial slice thickness = 10 cm] to define the location for PCASL labeling. Specifically, the imaging volume was prescribed to visualize the arteries above the vertebral crossing into the brain but below the confluence to the basilar artery. Within these two spatial boundaries, we reviewed the axial images individually and selected the slice that was the most perpendicular to the bilateral vertebral and carotid arteries. This location was then set as the labeling plane for the WB PCASL scan (see next paragraph) to achieve optimal tagging efficiency.

Whole brain ASL data were acquired using a multiphase pseudo-continuous arterial spin labeling sequence with a single shot spiral readout. The scan parameters were: FOV = 22 cm, matrix = 64 × 64, labeling duration = 2000 ms, post-labeling delay = 1600 ms, TR = 4200 ms, TE = 3.3 ms, single shot spiral readout (24.7 ms duration), 20 axial slices, slice thickness = 5.0 mm, spacing = 1 mm, # of repetitions = 64, total scan time = 4:30 min. The slices were acquired in a sequential manner (inferior to superior; interslice interval = 28 ms), such that effective post-labeling delay monotonically increases with the distance of the slice from the tagging plane. To achieve CBF quantification in physiological units of (mL/100 g-min), a 32-s cerebrospinal fluid reference scan was obtained to measure the equilibrium magnetization of cerebral spinal fluid (TR = 4000 ms, TE = 3.4 ms, NEX = 9, with 90° excitation pulse turned off for the first 8 repetitions; Chalela et al., 2000) and a 32-s minimum contrast scan was acquired to adjust for coil inhomogeneities (TR = 2000 ms, TE = 11 ms, NEX = 2; Wang, Qui, & Constable, 2005). To correct for blurring in spiral images caused by off-resonance fields, a field map was acquired using a spoiled gradient echo sequence (TR = 500 ms, TE1 = 6.5 ms, TE2 = 8.5 ms, Flip Angle = 45°, scan time = 1:16 min).

Structural imaging data processing—Cortical surfaces on all T1 anatomical images were reconstructed and parcellated into regions of interest using Free-Surfer software (Dale, Fischl, & Sereno, 1999). Manual editing was performed to ensure proper gray and white matter differentiation and ROI segmentation. The newly created FreeSurfer masks were aligned to ASL anatomical space for further processing in Analysis of Functional NeuroImages (AFNI) package (Cox, 1996). We examined total gray matter perfusion [average perfusion across all 66 cortical parcellations in the Desikan-Killiany atlas (Desikan et al., 2006)], six regional perfusion values—bilateral anterior cingulate, bilateral inferior parietal, and bilateral dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and WB corrected perfusion subtracting these six regions. The anterior cingulate cortex (ACC; BA 24, 32, and 33) was chosen because of its association with cognitive processes such as task selection and cognitive control (Kerns et al., 2004; MacDonald, Cohen, Stenger, & Carter, 2000). The ACC ROI was constructed by calculating the weighted average CBF of the caudal and rostral divisions of the ACC derived from the Desikan-Killiany atlas parcellation. The dorsolateral prefrontal gyrus (DLPFC; BA 9) was also included due to research consistently implicating this region in executive dysfunction (MacDonald et al., 2000) as well as processing speed and working memory impairment in BD subjects (Chen, Suckling, Lennox, Ooi, & Bullmore, 2011; McKenna, Sutherland, Legenkaya, & Eyler, 2014). This ROI consisted of the weighted

average of caudal and rostral divisions of the middle frontal gyrus. Finally, the inferior parietal lobule (IPL; BA 40) was chosen for its involvement in visuospatial attention to task-relevant stimuli (Coderre & van Heuven, 2013; Corbetta, Miezin, Shulman, & Petersen, 1993; Culham & Kanwisher, 2001; Milham, Banich, & Barad, 2003). See Figure 1 for an example of these ROIs for one participant. WB corrected values were computed by calculating the weighted mean of all cortical and subcortical gray matter structures excluding the bilateral ACC, IPL, and DLPFC regions used for *ad-hoc a-priori* analyses.

MPPCASL imaging data processing—ASL data were processed using the Cerebral Blood Flow Biomedical Informatics Research Network (CBFBIRN) central database established at the UCSD Center for Functional MRI (Shin, Ozyurt, & Liu, 2013). The raw ASL, field map and anatomical data were uploaded to the CBFBIRN database. The post processing included field map and motion correction of the raw ASL data, skull stripping and tissue segmentation of the anatomical data, and conversion of the perfusion signal into absolute physiological units. Quantified CBF maps for each participant were downloaded to a local server where they were blurred to 4 mm full-width at half maximum and co-registered to the corresponding FreeSurfer segmented image using programs available in the AFNI package. CBF voxels with negative values were replaced with zero and a conservative threshold (10–150) removed voxels with CBF values that were outside the expected physiological range. Whole brain gray matter (GM) CBF and regional CBF values were then extracted and entered into Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) 14.0 (2005) for subsequent analysis.

Statistical Analyses

Variables were checked for normality and extreme data points were assigned values at the 1st or 99th percentile of the distribution. Independent samples *t* tests were used to investigate group differences in quantitative variables. Chi-square analysis examined group differences in categorical variables.

Linear regressions were performed to assess the relationship between group, CBF and cognitive performance in the whole brain GM, ACC, IPL, and DLPFC regions. The interaction of group and CBF was also tested in the model. To account for individual differences in brain size while minimizing age or sex confounds, ROI analyses controlled for the number of voxels in the left lateral occipital gyrus. Although still significant, this ROI was not related to age and was slightly less related to gender ($r = -0.3$; $p = .02$) compared to WB size. Due to this relationship, gender was also tested in the above models. Given the wide age range present in both groups in this sample, we also examined age effects by adding age into our model and examining group-by-age interactions.

Finally, exploratory correlational analyses were conducted to determine whether clinical and demographic characteristics were related to either CBF or cognition such that controlling for them might influence the observed brain-behavior relationships. Variables of interest included systolic blood pressure, smoking, caffeine intake, age and clinical variables (i.e., number of depressed, manic episodes, and medication load) in the BD group only. Measures that were independently and significantly correlated with both CBF and response inhibition

were further examined using Sobel's test to determine whether observed brain behavior relationships were explained by the inter-relationship of these factors. We used a significance value of $p < .1$ to identify candidate variables with potential for explaining brain-behavior relationships.

RESULTS

Groups were comparable on age, education, estimated verbal IQ, and gender (Table 1). BD participants performed significantly worse on the D-KEFS Color-Word Interference Inhibition subtest (Cohen's $d = 0.48$; $t = -1.97$; $p = .05$) compared to healthy controls, although group means were within normal limits according to normative data. There were no group differences in CBF in the WB, WB corrected, bilateral ACC, bilateral IPL, or bilateral DLPFC.

In addition to a main effect of group on response inhibition, the regression models revealed a main effect of CBF on response inhibition for bilateral ACC, right IPL and bilateral DLPFC, indicating that higher CBF in these regions was associated with better performance. These main effects were qualified by a significant group-by-CBF interaction in bilateral ACC (Figure 2), right IPL, and right DLPFC. Group-wise correlations investigating this relationship revealed that better inhibitory performance was associated with higher rsCBF in bilateral ACC regions in BD subjects, although there was no relationship in the HC participants. Although the correlation patterns were similar, no significant group-wise correlations between inhibitory performance and CBF in the right IPL and right DLPFC were present. A similar interaction was marginally significant for WB corrected perfusion (Table 2). All results were deemed significant if $p < .05$. Including age and gender as a covariate did not change the significance of these effects, and there were no age-by-group interactions present (data not shown).

Finally, our exploratory analysis revealed that a greater number of lifetime manic episodes in the BD group was associated with increased rsCBF in the whole brain, bilateral ACC, left IPL, and left DLPFC (r 's range from 0.37 to 0.50; p 's range from .01 to .05), but no association with inhibitory performance was present (all r 's less than 0.20). On average, the BD group smoked significantly more cigarettes per day than HC participants ($t = 2.19$; $p = .04$). The number of cigarettes smoked per day was negatively associated with both response inhibition ($r = -0.52$; $p = .008$) and CBF in the WB, bilateral ACC, and right IPL (r 's range from -0.31 to -0.39 ; p 's range from .06 to .1) in the BD group only at our threshold of $p < .1$ (described above). However, Sobel's test revealed that including this variable in the model did not significantly decrease the relationship between CBF and response inhibition in any of these regions (Sobel's test statistics range from -0.17 to 0.63 ; all p 's $> .05$). Medication load in the bipolar group was not related to CBF in any region or inhibitory performance. Bipolar subjects reported higher diastolic blood pressure ($t = 2.29$; $p = .02$) and marginally greater Framingham stroke risk ($t = 1.89$; $p = .06$) than those in the HC group, but neither were associated with CBF or response inhibition. Systolic blood pressure, smoking status (smoker vs. non-smoker) and caffeine intake were not associated with CBF in any region or task performance.

DISCUSSION

This study aimed to examine the association between CBF and response inhibition in samples of euthymic bipolar and healthy control participants to better elucidate the relative contribution of neurovascular integrity to poorer cognitive performance in BD patients. Although BD subjects did perform relatively worse on the D-KEFS Color-Word interference task of response inhibition, no group differences in resting state CBF were found in the whole brain, anterior cingulate cortex, inferior parietal lobule, or dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. Of interest, better response inhibition in the bipolar group was associated with higher CBF values in bilateral ACC regions, while no significant relationship between inhibitory performance and CBF was found in the comparison group. The results of this study demonstrate that levels of rsCBF are differentially related to cognitive performance in the BD group compared to the group without BD.

These findings are consistent with existing fMRI studies that find activation of the ACC and DLPFC during the original Stroop task (Matsumoto & Tanaka, 2000) in healthy samples. Furthermore, dysfunction in these regions during inhibitory task performance has also been noted in samples of BD patients (Blumberg et al., 2003; Kronhaus et al., 2006; Roth et al., 2006; Strakowski et al., 2005). In contrast to previous PET/SPECT studies investigating rsCBF in BD, we did not observe regional or WB group differences in perfusion. Only one other study has related cognitive performance to CBF during rest in BD and reported that increased CBF was associated with lower cognitive performance across several domains (Benabarre et al., 2005). These inconsistencies with our own findings may be explained by previous studies' inclusion of BD participants in different mood states (e.g., manic, depressed, and euthymic), the large age range in our sample, or the use of PET/SPECT technology in previous investigations.

This is the first study to examine the relationship between cognition and rsCBF in a sample of euthymic BD patients using the MP-PCASL neuroimaging technique described above. Our main finding that better response inhibition was associated with higher levels of rsCBF in our euthymic bipolar sample may reflect a vascular compensatory mechanism that is present during periods of rest. Of note, despite the fact that BD patients performed worse than comparison participants on the response inhibition task, their level of performance did not fall in the impaired range relative to the test's normative group. Perhaps enhanced CBF in the BD group is evidence of a compensatory upregulation of cerebrovascular function that is helping to maintain unimpaired, though relatively poorer inhibitory performance. One mechanism proposed to explain this vascular compensatory response suggests that variability in the heterogeneity of blood transit time results in altered CBF to maintain the maximum amount of oxygen extraction into the tissue (Jespersen & Ostergaard, 2012). Although vascular compensation has not been previously demonstrated in either euthymic or acute BD samples, this relationship has been shown in other psychiatric and non-psychiatric populations with high vascular risk. A recent ASL study reported that younger adults at greater vascular risk for Alzheimer's disease (possession of APOE e 4) also showed a relationship between better executive functioning and higher levels of rsCBF in the ACC (Wierenga et al., 2013). Additionally, older PET/SPECT studies have demonstrated neural compensation in other, closely related, psychiatric samples (Ragland et al., 1998;

Weinberger, Berman, & Zec, 1986). Using PET technology in patients diagnosed with schizophrenia, Ragland et al. (1998) demonstrated increased CBF in the parahippocampal gyrus with better performance on the Wisconsin Card Sort Task (WCST) in the absence of brain-behavior relationships in frontal regions typically subserving inhibitory function. The authors conclude that their results may demonstrate a compensatory upregulation of alternative brain regions in the presence of frontal dysfunction. In their SPECT study, Paulmen et al. (1990) reported a positive relationship between performance on the WCST and rsCBF in parietal regions in their sample of patients diagnosed with schizophrenia. Importantly, the authors report that, although task performance was worse in the patient group, mean scores fell only within the mildly impaired range. Taken together, our results and previous studies suggest that there may be a period preceding cognitive decline in at-risk psychiatric populations in which there is recruitment of extra neural and vascular resources, both during task performance and at rest. Furthermore, our results indicate that the anterior cingulate cortex may be particularly sensitive to these effects in BD. Although age did not mediate the relationship between cognition and perfusion in our sample, some studies also show greater cognitive deficits in older adults with BD compared to younger ones (Burt, Prudic, Peyser, Clark, & Sackeim, 2000; Friedman, Culver, & Ferrell, 1977; Savard, Rey, & Post, 1980). Thus, future longitudinal studies in BD are needed to track the trajectory of cerebrovascular function as cognitive impairment becomes more pronounced.

The lack of association between response inhibition and rsCBF in the ACC, IPL and DLPFC in our comparison group is consistent with some previously reported studies (Richard Jennings, 2014; Weinberger, Berman, & Zec, 1986), but not others (Ragland et al, 1998; Takeuchi et al., 2011). Weinberger et al. (1986) reported no relationship between performance on the WCST and rsCBF in the DLPFC in their sample of healthy controls using Xe 133 inhalation methodology. Similarly, Richard Jennings et al. (2014) used a more recent pulsed ASL method and concluded there was no relationship between the Stroop task and rsCBF in their healthy sample. The results of this study highlight that although the nature of the relationship in comparison participants may be inconclusive, inhibitory performance is differentially modulated by CBF in bipolar individuals compared to age and education matched controls. However, given discrepancies in the existing literature, more studies are needed to understand and identify mechanisms driving these differences.

To further explore these relationships, we conducted an exploratory analysis to better characterize clinical indicators that may explain differences in brain-behavior relationships we observed between our groups. Our analysis revealed that BD participants smoked significantly more cigarettes per day compared to the HC group. Previous studies using PET/SPECT imaging have demonstrated increased rsCBF in chronic smokers (Blose et al., 2014) or following acute nicotine consumption (Domino et al., 2000). However, somewhat surprisingly, our sample demonstrated the opposite effect: individuals who reported greater nicotine consumption had lower CBF and response inhibition scores. It is possible that higher nicotine use in BD patients may serve as an indicator of greater clinical severity, although our euthymic sample makes it difficult to directly test this hypothesis. Furthermore, this was the only clinical variable that was associated with both response inhibition and regional resting state perfusion (albeit at a more liberal $p < .1$ threshold used to capture

potential mediators) in our BD sample. However, adding the number of cigarettes per day to our *a-priori* models did not significantly diminish the relationship between response inhibition and CBF in any regions of interest, indicating that the brain-behavior relationship observed in this group was not driven by any clinical or demographic variables collected in this study.

The results of this study should be interpreted within the context of several limitations. Although the use of psychotropic medication in BD has been linked to cognitive impairment and changes in brain function (Phillips, Travis, Fagiolini, & Kupfer, 2008), greater medication load was not associated with rsCBF or response inhibition in our BD sample. However, this study was not designed to disentangle the individual effects of different types of medication (e.g., lithium vs. anti-psychotics). The cross-sectional nature of our research design does not allow us to draw causal conclusions about the relationship between CBF and cognition in BD. Future longitudinal studies are needed to better understand the pathophysiology that determines cognition in this population. Our self-report assessment of clinical and health variables may be susceptible to response bias. The small sample size and multiple statistical tests involved in our exploratory analysis may have limited our ability to detect relationships. Finally, our strict inclusion/exclusion criteria may limit the generalizability of these findings, as these results are limited to individuals in the euthymic state. Our efforts to recruit euthymic participants may have restricted our sample to patients exhibiting less illness severity.

Despite these limitations, the present study offers a novel perspective on the contributions of cerebrovascular integrity to cognitive performance in bipolar disorder. Our results replicate previous findings demonstrating lower response inhibition in BD and implicating the dorsal prefrontal cortex, inferior parietal lobule, and anterior cingulate as important structures that subserve this cognitive task. The study extends on this literature to suggest that individual variability in task performance in BD and HC groups may be differentially modulated by the degree of CBF within these regions. In particular, the anterior cingulate may be particularly sensitive to subtle, potentially compensatory, neurovascular changes associated with BD. Future longitudinal studies are needed to determine the trajectory of this relationship and whether it changes with age.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded, in part, by the National Institute of Mental Health grant R01-MH083968-03 and T32 Fellowship MH019934. We thank Allison Kaup, Vicki Wang, Heather Larabee, Alexandria Harmell, Stephanie Taube, Rebecca Daly, Yadira Maldonado, and Amanda Shelton for their assistance, and the Desert Pacific Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center for infrastructure support. We also thank the San Diego Depression and Bipolar Support Alliance, International Bipolar Foundation, San Diego Chapter of the National Alliance for Mental Illness, and the dedicated volunteer participants.

References

- Almeida JR, Mourau-Miranda J, Alzenstein HJ, Versace A, Kozel FA, Lu H, Phillips ML. Pattern recognition analysis of anterior cingulate cortex blood flow to classify depression polarity. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 2013; 203:310–311. [PubMed: 23969484]
- Bearden CE, Hoffman KM, Cannon TD. The neuropsychology and neuroanatomy of bipolar affective disorder: A critical review. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2001; 3(3):106–150. [PubMed: 11465675]

- Benabarre A, Vieta E, Martinez-Aran A, Garcia-Garcia M, Martin F, Lomena F, Valdes M. Neuropsychological disturbances and cerebral blood flow in bipolar disorder. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 2005; 39(4):227–234. [PubMed: 15777358]
- Bhardwaj R, Chakrabarti S, Mittal BR, Sharan P. A single photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) study of regional cerebral blood flow in bipolar disorder. *World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*. 2010; 11(Pt 2):334–343. [PubMed: 20218796]
- Blose M, Coad S, Amen D, Willeumier K, Taylor D, Golden C. C-07SPECT Imaging in adults with nicotine-related disorders. *Archives of Clinical Neuropsychology*. 2014; 29(6):575.
- Blumberg HP, Leung HC, Skudlarski P, Lacadie CM, Fredericks CA, Harris BC, Peterson BS. A functional magnetic resonance imaging study of bipolar disorder: A state- and trait-related dysfunction in Ventral prefrontal cortices. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2003; 60(6):601–609. [PubMed: 12796223]
- Bonnin CM, Martinez-Aran A, Torrent C, Pacchiarotti I, Rosa AR, Franco C, Vieta E. Clinical neurocognitive predictors of functional outcome in bipolar euthymic patients: A long-term, follow up study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2010; 121(1–2):156–160. [PubMed: 19505727]
- Bourne C, Aydemir O, Balanza-Martinez V, Bora E, Brissos S, Cavanagh JT, Goodwin GM. Neuropsychological testing of cognitive impairment in euthymic bipolar disorder: An individual patient data meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*. 2013; 128(3):149–162. [PubMed: 23617548]
- Burdick KE, Russo M, Frangou S, Mahon K, Braga RJ, Shanahan M, Malhotra AK. Empirical evidence for discrete neurocognitive subgroups in bipolar disorder: clinical implications. *Psychological Medicine*. 2014; 44(14):3083–3096. [PubMed: 25065409]
- Burt T, Prudic J, Peyser S, Clark J, Sackeim HA. Learning and memory in bipolar and unipolar major depression: Effects of aging. *Neuropsychiatry, Neuropsychology, and Behavioral Neurology*. 2000; 13(4):246–253.
- Chalela JA, Alsop DC, Gonzalez-Atavales JB, Maldjian JA, Kasner SE, Detre JA. Magnetic resonance perfusion imaging in acute ischemic stroke using continuous arterial spin labeling. *Stroke*. 2000; 31:680–687. [PubMed: 10700504]
- Chen CH, Suckling J, Lennox B, Ooi C, Bullmore EA. A quantitative meta- Analysis of fMRI studies in bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2011; 13:1–15. [PubMed: 21320248]
- Coderre EL, van Heuven WJ. Modulations of the executive control network by stimulus onset asynchrony in a stroop task. *BMC Neuroscience*. 2013; 14:79. [PubMed: 23902451]
- Cohen H, Kaplan Z, Kotler M, Mittelman I, Osher Y, Bersudsky Y. Impaired heart rate variability in euthymic bipolar patients. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2003; 5:138–143. [PubMed: 12680904]
- Corbetta M, Meizin FM, Shulman GL, Petersen SE. A PET study of visuospatial Attention. *Journal of Neuroscience*. 1993; 13(3):1202–1226. [PubMed: 8441008]
- Cox RW. AFNI: Software for analysis and visualization of functional magnetic resonance neuroimages. *Computational Biomedical Research*. 1996; 29:162–173.
- Culha AF, Osman O, Dogangun Y, Filiz K, Suna K, Kalkan ON, Beyza A. Changes in regional cerebral blood flow demonstrated by 99mTc-HMPAO SPECT in euthymic bipolar patients. *European Archives of Psychiatry and Clinical Neuroscience*. 2008; 358(3):144–151. [PubMed: 17990047]
- Culham JC, Kanwisher NG. Neuroimaging of cognitive functions in human parietal cortex. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*. 2001; 11(2):157–163. [PubMed: 11301234]
- Dale AM, Fischl B, Sereno MI. Cortical surface-based analysis. I. Segmentation and surface reconstruction. *Neuroimage*. 1999; 9:179–194. [PubMed: 9931268]
- D'Agostino RB, Wolf PA, Belanger AJ, Kannel WB. Stroke risk profile: Adjustment for antihypertensive medication. The Framingham Study. *Stroke*. 1994; 25(1):40–43. [PubMed: 8266381]
- Deckersbach T, Dougherty DD, Savage C, McMurrich S, Fischmann AJ, Nierenberg A, Rauch SL. Impaired recruitment of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and hippocampus during encoding in bipolar disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006; 59(2):138–146. [PubMed: 16169530]
- Delis, DC.; Kaplan, E.; Kramer, JH. D-KEFS examiner's manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 2001.

- Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, Quinn BT, Dickerson BC, Blacker D, Killiany RJ. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage*. 2006; 31:968–980. [PubMed: 16530430]
- Domino EF, Minoshima S, Guthrie S, Ohl L, Ni L, Koeppe RA, Zubieta JK. Nicotine effects on regional cerebral blood flow in awake, resting tobacco smokers. *Synapse*. 2000; 38(3):313–321. [PubMed: 11020234]
- Eyler LT, Jeste DV, Brown GG. Brain response abnormalities during verbal learning among patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatry Research*. 2008; 162(1):11–25. [PubMed: 18055184]
- Friedman MJ, Culver CM, Ferrell RB. On the safety of long-term treatment with lithium. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1977; 134(10):1123–1126. [PubMed: 409300]
- Goldstein BI, Kemp DE, Soczynska JK, McIntyre RS. Inflammation and the Phenomenology, pathophysiology, comorbidity, and treatment of bipolar disorder: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 2009; 70(8):1078–1090. [PubMed: 19497250]
- Green MF, Kern RS, Heaton RK. Longitudinal studies of cognition and functional outcome in schizophrenia: Implications for MATRICS. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2004; 72(1):41–51. [PubMed: 15531406]
- Harrow M, Goldberg JF, Grossman LS, Meltzer HY. Outcome in manic disorders. A naturalistic follow-up study. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1990; 47(7):665–671. [PubMed: 2113802]
- Hassel S, Almeida JRC, Kerr N, Nau S, Ladouceur CD, Fissell K, Phillips ML. Elevated striatal and decreased dorsolateral prefrontal cortical activity in response to emotional stimuli in euthymic bipolar disorder: No associations with psychotropic medication load. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2008; 10:916–927. [PubMed: 19594507]
- Henry BL, Minassian A, Paulus MP, Geyer MA, Perry W. Heart rate variability in bipolar mania and schizophrenia. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2009; 44(3):168–176. [PubMed: 19700172]
- Jespersen SN, Ostergaard L. The roles of cerebral blood flow, capillary transit time Heterogeneity, and oxygen tension in brain oxygenation and metabolism. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow and Metabolism*. 2012; 32:264–277. [PubMed: 22044867]
- Jung Y, Wong EC, Liu TT. Multiphase pseudocontinuous arterial spin labeling (MP-PCASL) for robust quantification of cerebral blood flow. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 2010; 64:799–810. [PubMed: 20578056]
- Kay SR, Fiszbein A, Opler LA. The positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*. 1987; 13:261–276. [PubMed: 3616518]
- Kerns JG, Cohen JD, MacDonald AW, Cho RY, Stenger A, Carter CS. Monitoring and adjustments in control. *Science*. 2004; 303:1023–1026. [PubMed: 14963333]
- Kessler RC, Berglund P, Demler O, Jin R, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Lifetime prevalence and age-of-onset distributions of DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey replication. *The Archives General Psychiatry*. 2005; 62(6):593–602.
- Kilbourne AM, Cornelius JR, Han X, Pincus HA, Shad M, Salloum I, Haas GL. Burden of general medical conditions among individuals with bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2004; 6(5):368–373. [PubMed: 15383128]
- Kim MA, Tura E, Potkin SG, Fallon JH, Manoach DS, Calhoun VD, Turner JA. Working memory circuitry in schizophrenia shows widespread cortical inefficiency and compensation. *Schizophrenia Research*. 2010; 117(1):42–51. [PubMed: 20096539]
- Kim SB. Quantification of relative cerebral blood flow change by flow-sensitive alternating inversion recover (FAIR) technique: Application to functional mapping. *Magnetic Resonance Medicine*. 1995; 34(3):293–301.
- Kronhaus DM, Lawrence NS, Williams AM, Frangou S, Brammer MJ, Williams SC, Phillips ML. Stroop performance in bipolar disorder: Further evidence for abnormalities in the ventral prefrontal cortex. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2006; 8(1):28–39. [PubMed: 16411978]
- Lee JS, Kim B, Hong Y, Joo YH. Heart rate variability in the subsyndromal depressive phase of bipolar disorder. *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*. 2012; 66(4):361–366. [PubMed: 22624742]
- Liu TT, Brown GG. Measurement of cerebral perfusion with arterial spin labeling: Part 1. Methods. *Journal of the International Neuropsychological Society*. 2007; 13:517–525. [PubMed: 17445301]

- MacDonald AW, Cohen JD, Stenger VA, Carter CS. Dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex in cognitive control. *Science*. 2000; 288:1835–1838. [PubMed: 10846167]
- Martino DJ, Marengo E, Igoa A, Scapola M, Ais ED, Perinot L, Strejilevich SA. Neurocognitive and symptomatic predictors of functional outcome in bipolar disorders: A prospective 1 year follow-up study. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2009; 116(1–2):37–42. [PubMed: 19033081]
- Matsumoto K, Tanaka K. Conflict and control. *Science*. 2004; 303:969–970. [PubMed: 14963319]
- McKenna BS, Sutherland AN, Legenkaya AP, Eyler LT. Abnormalities of Brain response during encoding into verbal working memory among euthymic patients With bipolar disorder. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2014; 16:289–299. [PubMed: 24119150]
- Migliorini M, Mendez MO, Bianchi AM. Study of heart rate variability in bipolar disorder: Linear and non-linear parameters during sleep. *Frontiers in Neuroengineering*. 2012; 22(4):1–7.
- Milham MP, Banich MT, Barad V. Competition for priority in processing prefrontal cortex's involvement in top-down control: An event-related fMRI study of the Stroop task. *Brain Research*. 2003; 17(2):212–222. [PubMed: 12880892]
- Morriss R, Mohammed FA. Metabolism, lifestyle and bipolar affective disorder. *Journal Psychopharmacology*. 2005; 19(6):94–101.
- Murray CJL, Lopez AD. Quantifying disability: Data, methods, and results. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*. 1994; 71(3):481–494. [PubMed: 8062403]
- Murray DP, Weiner M, Prabhakar M, Fiedorowicz JG. Mania and mortality: Why the excess cardiovascular risk in bipolar disorder? *Current Psychiatry Reports*. 2009; 11(6):475–480. [PubMed: 19909670]
- Paulsen RG, Devous MD Sr, Gregory RR, Herman JH, Jennings L, Bonte FJ, Raese JD. Hypofrontality and cognitive impairment in Schizophrenia: Dynamic single-photon tomography and neuropsychological assessment of schizophrenic brain function. *Biological Psychiatry*. 1990; 27(4):377–399. [PubMed: 2106922]
- Phillips M, Travis M, Fagiolini A, Kupfer D. Medication effects in neuroimaging Studies of bipolar disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2008; 165:313–320. [PubMed: 18245175]
- Ragland JD, Gur RC, Glahn DC, Censits DM, Smith RJ, Lazarev MG, Gur RE. Frontotemporal cerebral blood flow changes during executive and Declarative memory tasks in schizophrenia: A positron emission tomography study. *Neuropsychology*. 1998; 12(3):399–413. [PubMed: 9673996]
- Richard Jennings J, Allen B, Gianaros PJ, Thayer JF, Manuck SB. Focusing neurovisceral integration: Cognition, heart rate variability, and cerebral blood flow. *Psychophysiology*. 2014 E-pub ahead of print.
- Robinson LJ, Ferrier IN. Evolution of cognitive impairment in bipolar disorder: A systematic review of cross-sectional evidence. *Bipolar Disorders*. 2006; 8(2):103–116. [PubMed: 16542180]
- Rosa AR, Sanchez-Moreno J, Martinez-Aran A, Salamero M, Torrent C, Reinares M, Vieta E. Validity and reliability of the Functioning Assessment Short Test (FAST) in bipolar disorder. *Clinical Practice and Epidemiology in Mental Health*. 2007; 7:3–5.
- Roth RM, Koven NS, Randolph JJ, Flashman LA, Pixley HS, Ricketts SM, Saykin AJ. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of executive control in bipolar disorder. *Neuroreport*. 2006; 17(11):1085–1089. [PubMed: 16837832]
- Sanchez-Moreno J, Martinez-Aran A, Tabares-Seisdedos R, Torrent C, Vieta E, Ayuso-Mateos JL. Functioning and disability in bipolar disorder: An extensive review. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*. 2009; 78(5):285–297. [PubMed: 19602917]
- Savard RJ, Rey AC, Post RM. Halstead-Reitan Category Test in bipolar and unipolar affective disorders. Relationship to age and phase of illness. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*. 1980; 168(5):297–304. [PubMed: 7365494]
- Sodhi SK, Linder J, Chenard CA, Miller DD, Haynes WG, Fiedorowicz JG. Evidence for accelerated vascular aging in bipolar disorder. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*. 2012; 73:175–179. [PubMed: 22850256]
- Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, Dunbar GC. The mini-international neuropsychiatric interview (M.I.N.I.): The development and validation of a structured

- diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*. 1998; 59(Suppl 20):S22–S23.
- Shin DD, Ozyurt IB, Liu TT. The cerebral blood flow biomedical informatics research network (CBFBIRN) database and analysis pipeline for arterial spin labeling MRI data. *Frontiers in Neuroinformatics*. 2013; 7(2):1–17. [PubMed: 23386828]
- Spitzer, RL.; Williams, JBW.; Gibbon, M.; First, MB. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV patient version (SCIDI/P, Version 2.0). SPSS Inc; Chicago: SPSS Inc; 1995. Biometrics Research. Released 2005. SPSS for Windows, Version 14.0
- Strakowski SM, Adler CM, Holland SK, Mills NP, DelBello MP, Eliassen JC. Abnormal FMRI brain activation in euthymic bipolar Disorder during a counting STROOP interference task. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2005; 162(9):1697–1705. [PubMed: 16135630]
- Takeuchi H, Taki Y, Hashizume H, Sassa Y, Nagase T, Nouchi R, Kawashima R. Cerebral blood flow during rest associates with general intelligence and creativity. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6(9):e25532. [PubMed: 21980485]
- Tohen M, Zarate CA Jr, Hennen J, Khalsa HM, Strakowski SM, Gebre-Medhin P, Baldessarini RJ. The McLean-Harvard First-Episode Mania Study: prediction of recovery and first recurrence. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2003; 160(12):2099–2107. [PubMed: 14638578]
- Trajkovic G, Starcevic V, Latas M, Lestarevic M, Ille T, Bukumeiric Z, Marinkovic J. Reliability of the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression: A meta-analysis over a Period of 49 years. *Psychiatry Research*. 2011; 189:1–9. [PubMed: 21276619]
- Velligan DI, Mahurin RK, Diamond PL, Hazleton BC, Eckert SL, Miller AL. The functional significance of symptomology and cognitive function in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*. 1997; 25(1):21–31. [PubMed: 9176924]
- Wagner G, Sinsel E, Sobanski T, Kohler S, Marinou V, Mentzel HJ, Schlosser RG. Cortical inefficiency in patients with unipolar depression: An event related FMRI study with the Stroop task. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2006; 59(10):958–965. [PubMed: 16458263]
- Wang JH, Qiu ML, Constable RT. In vivo method for correcting transmit/receive Nonuniformities with phased array coils. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 2005; 18:649–655.
- Weinberger DR, Berman KF, Zec RF. Physiologic dysfunction of dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in schizophrenia. I. Regional cerebral blood flow evidence. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1986; 43(2):114–124. [PubMed: 3947207]
- Wierenga CE, Clark LR, Dev SI, Shin DD, Jurick SM, Rissman RA, Bondi MW. Interaction of age and APOE genotype on cerebral blood flow at rest. *Journal of Alzheimer's Disease*. 2013; 34(4): 921–935.
- Young RC, Biggs JT, Zeigler VE, Meyer DA. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 1978; 133:429–435. [PubMed: 728692]

Right Dorsolateral Prefrontal Cortex

Right Anterior Cingulate Cortex

Right Inferior Parietal Lobule

Fig. 1. Sagittal view of the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (blue), anterior cingulate cortex (red), and inferior parietal lobule (green) regions of interest for one study participant.

Fig. 2.

Scatter plot demonstrating the interaction of group [Bipolar (BD) vs. Healthy Control (HC)] and cerebral blood flow in the right anterior cingulate cortex on performance on the Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System (D-KEFS) Color Word Interference Inhibition subtest. Interaction was significant at $p = .002$.

Table 1

Demographics and clinical characteristics of participants.

	BD Mean (SD) (n = 28)	HC Mean (SD) (n = 36)	t or χ^2	p
Age	46.97 (11.43)	49.93 (12.39)	-0.97	.33
Sex (% female)	75%	67%	1.58	.21
Education	15.89 (1.97)	15.34 (2.11)	1.06	.30
Systolic blood pressure	120.42 (14.45)	117.15 (16.25)	0.81	.42
Cigarettes per day	4.48 (6.5)	1.29 (3.9)	2.19	.04*
HAM-D	2.75 (2.04)			
YMRS	1.07 (1.43)			
PANSS Positive	9.64 (1.71)			
PANSS Negative	9.79 (2.56)			
Medication Load	4.00 (2.05)			
D-KEFS CWI inhibition scaled score	10.04 (3.12)	11.40 (2.4)	-1.97	.05*
ANART estimated IQ	115.79 (8.18)	116.54 (7.01)	-0.40	.70
Whole brain CBF	59.17 (13.89)	57.99 (11.17)	0.38	.71
Whole brain corrected CBF	58.82 (13.19)	58.04 (10.86)	0.26	.80
Left ACC CBF	75.56 (20.48)	71.23 (19.13)	0.87	.40
Right ACC CBF	68.07 (18.76)	69.15 (18.35)	-0.23	.81
Left IPL CBF	60.09 (15.45)	58.52 (11.87)	0.46	.70
Right IPL CBF	62.11 (18.01)	61.19 (12.48)	0.24	.81
Left DLPFC CBF	58.72 (16.44)	58.75 (13.01)	-0.01	.99
Right DLPFC CBF	58.87 (17.14)	58.81 (12.74)	0.02	.99
Left lateral occipital gyrus CBF	60.67 (14.91)	56.90 (9.72)	1.16	.25

* $p < .05$.

HAM-D = Hamilton Depression Rating Scale; YMRS = Young Mania Rating Scale; PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome scale; DKEFS CWI = Delis-Kaplan Executive Function System Color Word Interference; ANART = American National Adult Reading Test; BP = blood pressure; ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; IPL = inferior parietal lobule; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; CBF = cerebral blood flow.

Table 2

Main effect, interaction, and Pearson correlation terms relating whole brain and regional CBF to inhibitory performance in BD and HC subjects

	Main effect of group			Main effect of CBF			Group by CBF interaction			Group-wise CBF correlation with D-KEFS CWI Inhibition BD HC		
	β	t	p	β	t	p	β	t	p	r	(95% CI)	p
WB CBF	6.10	2.30	.03*	0.07	1.79	.08	-0.11	-1.93	.06	0.30	(-0.09-0.60)	0.12
WB Corrected CBF	7.94	2.3	.03*	0.07	1.68	.1	-0.11	-1.94	.06	0.28	(-0.03-0.16)	0.15
Left ACC CBF	8.06	3.04	.004*	0.09	2.60	.01*	-0.9	-2.60	.01*	0.39	(0.02-0.664)	0.05*
Right ACC CBF	9.22	3.65	.001**	0.11	3.37	.001**	-0.12	-3.28	.002*	0.48	(0.13-0.73)	0.009**
Left IPL CBF	5.71	1.77	.08	0.08	1.38	.17	-0.075	-1.39	.17	0.21	(-0.04-0.122)	0.28
Right IPL CBF	7.34	2.53	.01*	0.12	2.43	.02*	-0.10	-2.18	.03*	0.34	(-0.01-0.12)	0.08
Left DLPFC CBF	6.59	2.26	.03*	0.04	1.26	.21	-0.09	-1.84	.07	0.22	(-0.17-0.55)	0.27
Right DLPFC CBF	7.70	2.70	.009*	0.05	1.58	.12	-0.107	-2.28	.03*	0.27	(-0.11-0.59)	0.16

* p .05.

** p .001.

WB = whole brain; ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; IPL = inferior parietal lobule; DLPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; CBF = cerebral blood flow; BD = bipolar disorder; HC = healthy controls; D-KEFS CWI Inhibition = Delis- Kaplan Executive Function System Color Word Interference; β = unstandardized beta coefficient; t = t-score; r = Pearson correlation coefficient; CI = confidence interval.