The Role of Early HIV Status Disclosure in Retention in HIV Care

Latesha Elopre, MD,¹ Edward W. Hook, MD,¹ Andrew O. Westfall, MS,¹ Anne Zinski, PhD,¹ Michael J. Mugavero, MD,¹ Janet Turan, PhD,² and Nicholas Van Wagoner, MD, PhD¹

Abstract

The objective of this study was to evaluate whether nondisclosure or selective disclosure of HIV status to others is associated with retention in HIV care. This retrospective analysis evaluated the relationship of self-reported disclosure of HIV status as an indicator for poor retention in care (a gap in care >180 days) during the 12 months following initial entry into HIV care. Nondisclosure (disclosure to no one) and selective disclosure were compared to broad disclosure (referent). Univariate and multivariable (MV) logistic regression models were fit, including factors known to be associated with disclosure and retention in care. From 2007 to 2013, 508 HIV-infected patients presented to initiate care, of whom 63% were black, 54% had a CD4+ T lymphocyte count <350, and 82% were men (60% of whom were men who have sex with other men). Of these, 65 (13%) reported nondisclosure, 258 (49%) reported selective disclosure, and 185 (38%) reported broad disclosure. In MV analyses, nondisclosure was associated with poor retention in care (AOR 2.2; 95% CI 1.2, 4.2). Evaluating disclosure patterns among patients establishing HIV care may help predict and prevent inconsistent care. Further work is needed to understand the relationship between disclosure and retention in care in order to guide future interventions to improve HIV-outcomes.

Introduction

IN A DISEASE THAT WAS ONCE universally fatal, the advent of anti-retroviral therapy (ART) has made HIV a manageable chronic illness for those who initiate and continue effective therapy.¹ However, data indicate that of persons diagnosed with HIV in the United States, only 51% are retained in HIV care (multiple medical visits in 6-month intervals).² For those individuals who are not retained in care, the potential for increased longevity associated with viral load suppression is compromised.^{3,4} The importance of retention in HIV care is echoed by the current US National HIV/AIDS Strategy, which emphasizes the need for more research in this area.⁵

Several studies have shown that increased levels of social support predict improved engagement in care and medication adherence for people living with HIV.^{6,7} In one study of Latino and black American men who have sex with men (MSM) in Los Angeles, increased disclosure of HIV status to social network members was associated with better retention in care (defined as two or more primary care visits in the last 6 months).⁸ The authors of this study suggested that disclosure and removing the need to conceal HIV status improved retention in care.

Although this and other works have evaluated the role of disclosure in persons already established in HIV care, less is understood about early disclosure of HIV status to others (i.e., prior to or soon after entry into HIV care) and its relationship to future retention in care.^{9–11} Representing a crucial juncture in the HIV care continuum, identifying factors that predict retention for patients newly initiating HIV care may help providers address concerns and reduce loss to follow up.

We performed an analysis of disclosure patterns among persons first establishing HIV care at a university-affiliated clinic in Birmingham, AL, and assessed their retention in care for the first 12 months of care, in order to evaluate the relationship between early disclosure and retention in care. We hypothesized that patients who reported nondisclosure at the time of care entry would be more likely to have poor retention in care in the subsequent 12 months.

Methods

Study design and participants

A retrospective analysis of data collected from each patient over a 12-month period was performed for all patients entering HIV care at our university-based HIV clinic for the first

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, and ²Department of Health Care Organization and Policy, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama.

time from January 2007 to April 2012. Briefly, the study population included persons entering care at the 1917 clinic through Project CONNECT (Client-Oriented New patient Navigation to Encourage Connection to Treatment), which is performed at an orientation visit prior to their first medical appointment.¹² The orientation visit included a semi-structured needs assessment interview with a trained staff member, a blood draw for baseline lab values, and a self-administered questionnaire. Domains in the survey included socio-demographic characteristics, potential physical and/or emotional barriers to sustained engagement in care and disclosure status (i.e., whether a patient has disclosed and prompted questions about who they disclosed to).

Data for participants aged greater than 19 years with no previous outpatient HIV medical care were included in the final analysis. Independent and dependent variable values were compiled from the Project CONNECT database and the patient's electronic medical record to assess retention in care 12 months after each participant's orientation visit. This study was approved by the University of Alabama at Birmingham's (UAB) Institutional Review Board.

For our primary analyses, our *a priori* independent variable was disclosure status. A three-level disclosure variable was used. The mutually exclusive categorization was based on participants' self-reported disclosure to: no one, selectively to only one person/group (i.e., to family only, friends only, or significant other/partner/spouse only), or to more than one group.¹³ Nondisclosure was defined as disclosure to no one. Broad disclosure (referent) was defined as disclosure to two or more groups. Covariates used in modeling included age, level of education, sexual behavior, living arrangement, race, church attendance, initial CD4 count, insurance status and disclosure status.

To address potential confounders, independent variables were selected based on a four-level social ecology model and on previous research in this cohort showing significant associations between the aforementioned variables and disclosure in univariate analyses.^{13,14} Also, church attendance was previously shown to be associated with later presentation for HIV care in the same cohort for men who have sex with men, demonstrating its potential influence on outcomes.¹⁵ Sexual behavior was defined by sex and self-reported same- or opposite- sex sexual behaviors [i.e., men who have sex with men (MSM), men who have sex with women (MSW) and women who have sex with men (WSM)]. There were no women who had sex with women in this sample. These categories were mutually exclusive and men reporting any same sex behaviors were categorized as MSM.

The outcome of interest was retention 12 months after initiating HIV care. This was defined as not having missed appointments (i.e., appointments not cancelled or rescheduled) with an HIV primary care provider after a patient's initial orientation visit for at least 6 months (≥ 180 days) at any point during the 12 months following a patient's orientation visit.¹⁶

Statistical analysis

Independent variables were summarized using frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and medians, with lower and upper quartiles, for continuous variables. Logistic regression models were fit using broad disclosure as the referent. Univariate models were first fit. Then multi-variable

models were fit, selecting variables not only statistically significant in univariate analyses but also that were previously associated with disclosure status in this cohort. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios along with their corresponding 95% confidence intervals are reported. All analyses were performed using SAS v9.3 (Carey, NC).

Results

Of 1645 total patients establishing care between January 2007 and April 2012, 508 eligible patients initiated HIV care for the first time. Participants' characteristics are presented in Table 1. The median age was 33 years, and most participants were black (64%) and male (82%). Among males, 74% were MSM based on sexual behavior. More than half (54%) of participants initially presented with a CD4 count <350 cells/mm³. Also, 57% of the sample reported church attendance,

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDY POPULATION

Characteristics	Total = 508 N (%)
Median age, years (Q ₁ -Q ₃)	33 (26.0, 43.0)
Race ^a	
Caucasian	186 (37.3)
African American	313 (63.7)
Sex/sexual behavior	
Male, sex with men (MSM)	307 (60.4)
Male, sex with women (MSW)	109 (21.5)
Female, sex with men (WSM)	92 (18.1)
Log 10 viral load	4.4 (3.8, 5.2)
CD4 ⁺ T lymphocyte count (cells/mm ³) ^b	
>350	232 (46.3)
200–350	106 (21.2)
<200	163 (32.5)
Church attendance	
No	221 (43.5)
Yes	287 (56.5)
Education ^c	
Diploma/GED or less	203 (41.1)
Some college or more	291 (58.9)
Employed ^d	
No	198 (52.8)
Yes	177 (47.2)
Insurance status	
Private	196 (38.6)
Public	72 (14.2)
None	240 (47.2)
Living arrangement ^e	
Alone	131 (26.4)
Family	172 (34.7)
Partner/spouse/SO ^f	129 (26.0)
Friends/other	64 (12.9)
Disclosure ^g	
None	65 (13.3)
Partner/spouse/SO only	44 (9.0)
Family only	85 (17.3)
Friends only	111 (22.7)
More than one group	185 (37.8)

^aFrequency missing, 9; ^bfrequency missing, 5; ^cfrequency missing, 14; ^dfrequency missing, 133; ^efrequency missing, 12; ^fSO, significant other; ^gfrequency missing, 18.

and 59% reported at least some college education. Only 47% were employed, and 61% had public or no insurance. Living arrangements varied, with 35% of participants reporting living with family.

One in eight patients ($N=65$, 13%) had not disclosed their HIV status at the time of entering care. Of the 425 participants who reported any disclosure, over half ($N=240$, 56%) had disclosed selectively: 85 disclosed only to family, 111 disclosed only to friends and 44 disclosed only to a partner/spouse/significant other. Broad disclosure was reported by 185 (38%) participants at the time of their orientation visit.

About one-third ($N=171$, 34%) met criteria for poor retention during the first 12 months in care. In multivariable analyses, participants who reported nondisclosure were twice as likely (AOR 2.1; 95% CI 1.1, 4.1) to have poor retention in

care as compared to persons who reported broad disclosure. Other factors associated with poor retention in care included public insurance compared to private insurance (AOR 2.0; 95% CI 1.0, 3.9) and being MSW as compared to MSM (AOR 2.4; 95% CI 1.4, 4.2).

Persons reporting living with a significant other were less likely to have poor retention in care (AOR 0.5; 95% CI 0.3, 0.9) (Table 2). When specifically looking at different types of selective disclosure, no association was found between disclosing to different types of support groups and retention in care, but the relationship between nondisclosure and poor retention in care remained (Table 3).

Discussion

Our findings suggest that at the time of first seeking HIV care, total nondisclosure of HIV infection status to others may predict poor retention in care. We also observed that MSW compared to MSM and persons reporting public

TABLE 2. CHARACTERISTICS ASSOCIATED WITH POOR RETENTION IN CARE FOR NEW PATIENTS ESTABLISHING CARE (3-LEVEL DISCLOSURE)

Characteristics	Poor retention in care unadjusted OR (95% CI)	Poor retention in care AOR (95% CI)
Age, per 10 years	0.9 (0.8, 1.1)	1.35 (0.9, 2.0)
Race		
Caucasian		Ref
African American	1.0 (0.7, 1.5)	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)
Sex/sexual behavior		
Male, sex with men (MSM)		Ref
Male, sex with women (MSW)	1.7 (1.1, 2.7)	2.4 (1.4, 4.2)^a
Female, sex with men (WSM)	1.4 (0.9, 2.3)	1.4 (0.8, 2.7)
CD4 ⁺ T lymphocyte count (cells/mm ³)		
>350		Ref
200–350	1.0 (0.6, 1.7)	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)
<200	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)
Church attendance		
No		Ref
Yes	0.9 (0.6, 1.3)	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)
Education		
Diploma/GED or less		Ref
Some college or more	0.7 (0.5, 1.0)	0.7 (0.5, 1.2)
Insurance status		
Private		Ref
Public	2.3 (1.3, 4.0)	2.0 (1.0, 3.9)^b
None	1.6 (1.1, 2.4)	1.5 (0.9, 2.4)
Living arrangement		
Alone		Ref
Family	0.8 (0.5, 1.3)	0.7 (0.4, 1.2)
Partner/spouse/SO	0.6 (0.4, 1.0)	0.5 (0.3, 0.9)^b
Friends/other	0.8 (0.4, 1.5)	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)
Disclosure		
More than one group		Ref
Selective	0.9 (0.6, 1.4)	0.9 (0.6, 1.5)
No one	2.0 (1.1, 3.6)	2.1 (1.1, 4.1)^b

Multi-variable multinomial logistic regression model modeling the 3-level disclosure outcome with broad disclosure as the referent. Model includes all variables shown in the table.

Ref, referent group; SO, significant other; ^a $p<0.01$; ^b $p<0.05$.

TABLE 3. CHARACTERISTICS ASSOCIATED WITH POOR RETENTION IN CARE AND VIREMIA FOR NEW PATIENTS ESTABLISHING CARE (5-LEVEL DISCLOSURE)

Characteristics	Poor retention in care AOR (95% CI)
Age, per 10 years	0.8 (0.7, 1.0)
Race	
Caucasian	Ref
African American	0.7 (0.4, 1.1)
Other/unknown	1.7 (0.3, 8.9)
Gender/sexual preferences	
Male, sex with men (MSM)	Ref
Male, sex with women (MSW)	2.3 (1.3, 4.0)^a
Female, sex with men (WSM)	1.4 (0.8, 2.6)
CD4 ⁺ T lymphocyte count (cells/mm ³)	
>350	Ref
200–350	1.2 (0.7, 2.0)
<200	0.6 (0.4, 1.1)
Church attendance	
No	Ref
Yes	1.1 (0.7, 1.8)
Education	
Diploma/GED or less	Ref
Some college or more	0.7 (0.5, 1.1)
Insurance status	
Private	Ref
Public	2.0 (1.0, 4.0)^b
None	1.5 (0.9, 2.5)
Living arrangement	
Alone	Ref
Family	0.6 (0.3, 1.1)
Partner/spouse/SO	0.6 (0.3, 1.2)
Friends/other	0.6 (0.3, 1.3)
Disclosure	
More than one group	Ref
None	2.2 (1.2, 4.3)^a
Spouse/partner/SO	0.6 (0.3, 1.6)
Family only	1.2 (0.6, 2.2)
Friends only	0.9 (0.5, 1.6)

Ref, referent group; SO, significant other; ^a $p<0.01$; ^b $p<0.05$.

insurance compared to private were more likely to have poor retention in care. Persons living alone were more likely to have poor retention in care compared to persons living with a significant other.

Currently, the National HIV/AIDS Strategy highlights the need for HIV prevention strategies focusing on viral load suppression in those infected. Retention in care is a crucial facilitator for HIV viral load suppression and identifying potential barriers is necessary.¹⁷ This is supported by poor retention in care being linked to increased morbidity and independently predicting survival.^{18,19}

In this study, we chose to focus on HIV disclosure in persons new to HIV care for two reasons. First, this is a critical time when patients are learning about HIV and its implications for their health, initiating ART, and building trust with their care team. Second, understanding patient-level variables that predict poor retention at the outset of HIV care may help the healthcare team identify at-risk persons at their first clinic visit whom may benefit from enhanced or specially targeted intervention strategies. To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the relationship between disclosure of HIV status at the time of entry into HIV care and a patient's early retention in care.

Disclosure of HIV infection status and decisions as to whom one should disclose to are complex, especially for persons living in communities with high levels of HIV-related stigma.^{20,21} Although disclosure may be necessary for gaining emotional and physical support that aids in retention in HIV care, disclosure also has perceived and real risks including potential loss of relationships, loss of confidentiality, and shame.²²

Furthermore, based on the type of support groups, the perceived costs of disclosure may vary.²³ For some persons living with HIV, the perceived risks of disclosure may overshadow its benefits and lead to social isolation for individuals infected and initiating HIV care.²⁴ Previous studies have already linked nondisclosure with higher rates of unprotected anal sex and worse medication adherence.^{25–27} As shown for other chronic diseases, support, both physical and emotional, associates with improved outcomes.²⁸ While disclosure of infection status may be associated with less internal stigmatization of HIV, most patients report increased support after disclosure.²⁹

Previous research in this cohort showing that patients were more likely to present with progressed disease (CD4 counts <200) if they reported only selective disclosure of their HIV status to only family or friends, prompted further evaluation in this study for potential associations between different types of selective disclosure and retention in care. However, we did not observe a relationship between retention in care and selective disclosure to only family, friends, or a significant other. These findings may suggest that the ability to have interpersonal relationships with strong emotional bonds, regardless of the type, may lead to better retention in care. When counseling patients about disclosure, with the goal of strengthening retention in care, helping patients identify a single person or group to share their HIV status may offer equivalent benefits to wider disclosure. This concept is supported by research done in young black gay and bisexual men who gained resilience through enlisting social support from others.³⁰

We also found that MSW and patients with public insurance were more likely to have poor retention in care. These findings were expected and have previously been described in the literature.^{31,32} It was unexpected that people who reported

no insurance were not more likely than patients with private insurance to have poor retention in care. This may be due to the fact that, at the study clinic, more services are available for those who lack insurance through Ryan White compared to patients who have public insurance. This may lead to more financial obligations for those reporting public insurance, resulting in worse retention in care.

Our study had several limitations. Causality cannot be established from our results. It is known that substance abuse disorders and poor mental health are also associated with poor retention in care.¹⁹ Unfortunately, these data were missing for more than one-third of our sample and, as a result, we could not include these variables in our analyses. However, we were able to statistically control for other factors that have previously been shown to be associated with poor retention in care such as race, younger age, heterosexual orientation (defined by self-identification), less education, lack of health insurance, and higher CD4 counts.^{32–35}

Also, we were unable to assess the role of HIV-related stigma on retention in care. Previous research has shown that negative self-image among young gay and bisexual MSM was associated with reduced adherence to medical appointments.³⁶ As such, a potential mediating relationship may exist between stigma and disclosure. In addition, this study was performed at a comprehensive university-based HIV clinic in the Southeastern United States. This may limit the generalizability of these observations to other clinics. However, the HIV epidemic is currently affecting this part of the United States the most and this study may provide some insight into this particularly vulnerable population.

In conclusion, our study provides some insight into the complexity of HIV disclosure and its relationship with early retention in care. We have shown that patients who have not disclosed their HIV status to anyone at the time of care initiation may be at increased risk for poor retention during the first year of HIV care. More research is needed to better understand the basis for this association and to evaluate if disclosure could potentially be a surrogate of another underlying measure such as stigma, depression, or represents social connectedness. Needless to say, more than 30 years into the epidemic nondisclosure of infection status remains a major barrier to care.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Greer Burkholder for her assistance in data extraction and management. The authors are grateful for the general practitioners, social workers, and patients at the study clinic. Latesha Eloppe is currently funded through the 2T32AI05069-11A1. Nicholas Van Wagoner receives funding through IK232341097267. Both are NIH grants from NIAID.

Author Disclosure Statement

There are no conflicts of financial interests for any of the authors of this publication.

References

1. Samji H, Cescon A, Hogg RS, et al. Closing the gap: Increases in life expectancy among treated HIV-positive individuals in the United States and Canada. *PLoS One* 2013;8:e81355.
2. Control CfD, Prevention. Vital signs: HIV prevention through care and treatment—United States. *MMWR Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* 2011;60:1618.

3. May MT, Gompels M, Delpech V, et al. Impact on life expectancy of HIV-1 positive individuals of CD4+ cell count and viral load response to antiretroviral therapy. *AIDS* 2014;28:1193.
4. Giordano TP, Gifford AL, White AC, et al. Retention in care: A challenge to survival with HIV infection. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;44:1493–1499.
5. Millett GA, Crowley JS, Koh H, et al. A way forward: The National HIV/AIDS Strategy and reducing HIV incidence in the United States. *JAIDS* 2010;55:S144–S147.
6. George S, Garth B, Wohl AR, et al. Sources and types of social support that influence engagement in HIV care among Latinos and African Americans. *J Health Care Poor Underserved* 2009;20:1012.
7. Lam PK, Naar-King S, Wright K. Social support and disclosure as predictors of mental health in HIV-positive youth. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2007;21:20–29.
8. Wohl AR, Galvan FH, Myers HF, et al. Do social support, stress, disclosure and stigma influence retention in HIV care for Latino and African American men who have sex with men and women? *AIDS Behav* 2011;15:1098–1110.
9. Bateganya MH, Amanyiwe U, Roxo U, et al. Impact of support groups for people living with HIV on clinical outcomes: A systematic review of the literature. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2015;68:S368–S374.
10. Govindasamy D, Ford N, Kranzer K. Risk factors, barriers and facilitators for linkage to antiretroviral therapy care: A systematic review. *AIDS* 2012;26:2059–2067.
11. Halperin J, Pathmanathan I, Richey LE. Disclosure of HIV status to social networks is strongly associated with increased retention among an urban cohort in New Orleans. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2013;27:375–377.
12. Mugavero MJ, Norton WE, Saag MS. Health care system and policy factors influencing engagement in HIV medical care: Piecing together the fragments of a fractured health care delivery system. *Clin Infect Dis* 2011;52:S238–S246.
13. Elopore L, Westfall AO, Mugavero MJ, et al. Predictors of HIV disclosure in infected persons presenting to establish care. *AIDS Behav* 2015 Apr 9. [Epub ahead of print].
14. Baral S, Logie CH, Grosso A, et al. Modified social ecological model: A tool to guide the assessment of the risks and risk contexts of HIV epidemics. *BMC Pub Health* 2013;13:482.
15. Van Wagoner N, Mugavero M, Westfall A, et al. Church attendance in men who have sex with men diagnosed with HIV is associated with later presentation for HIV care. *Clin Infect Dis* 2014;58:295–299.
16. Mugavero MJ, Westfall AO, Zinski A, et al. Measuring retention in HIV care: The elusive gold standard. *J Acq Immune Def Syndr* (1999). 2012;61:574.
17. Mugavero MJ, Amico KR, Westfall AO, et al. Early retention in HIV care and viral load suppression: Implications for a test and treat approach to HIV prevention. *J Acq Immune Def Syndr* (1999). 2012;59:86.
18. Tripathi A, Youmans E, Gibson JJ, et al. The impact of retention in early HIV medical care on viro-immunological parameters and survival: A statewide study. *AIDS Res Human Retrovirus* 2011;27:751–758.
19. Mugavero MJ, Lin H-Y, Willig JH, et al. Missed visits and mortality among patients establishing initial outpatient HIV treatment. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;48:248–256.
20. Clark HJ, Lindner G, Armistead L, et al. Stigma, disclosure, and psychological functioning among HIV-infected and non-infected African-American women. *Women Health* 2004;38:57–71.
21. Emlet CA. A comparison of HIV stigma and disclosure patterns between older and younger adults living with HIV/AIDS. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2006;20:350–358.
22. Smith R, Rossetto K, Peterson BL. A meta-analysis of disclosure of one's HIV-positive status, stigma and social support. *AIDS Care* 2008;20:1266–1275.
23. Kalichman SC, DiMarco M, Austin J, et al. Stress, social support, and HIV-status disclosure to family and friends among HIV-positive men and women. *J Behav Med* 2003;26:315–332.
24. Emlet CA. An examination of the social networks and social isolation in older and younger adults living with HIV/AIDS. *Health Social Work* 2006;31:299–308.
25. Ekstrand ML, Stall RD, Paul JP, et al. Gay men report high rates of unprotected anal sex with partners of unknown or discordant HIV status. *AIDS* 1999;13:1525–1533.
26. Simon Rosser B, Horvath K, Hatfield L, et al. Predictors of HIV disclosure to secondary partners and sexual risk behavior among a high-risk sample of HIV-positive MSM: Results from six epicenters in the US. *AIDS Care* 2008;20:925–930.
27. Stirratt MJ, Remien RH, Smith A, et al. The role of HIV serostatus disclosure in antiretroviral medication adherence. *AIDS Behav* 2006;10:483–493.
28. DiMatteo MR. Social support and patient adherence to medical treatment: A meta-analysis. *Health Psychol* 2004;23:207.
29. Wolitski RJ, Pals SL, Kidder DP, et al. The effects of HIV stigma on health, disclosure of HIV status, and risk behavior of homeless and unstably housed persons living with HIV. *AIDS Behav* 2009;13:1222–1232.
30. Harper GW, Bruce D, Hosek SG, et al. Resilience processes demonstrated by young gay and bisexual men living with HIV: Implications for intervention. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2014;28:666–676.
31. Fleishman JA, Yehia BR, Moore RD, et al. Establishment, retention, and loss to follow-up in outpatient HIV care. *J Acq Immune Def Syndr* (1999). 2012;60:249.
32. Palacio H, Shiboski CH, Yelin EH, et al. Access to and utilization of primary care services among HIV-infected women. *JAIDS* 1999;21:293–300.
33. Israelski D, Gore-Felton C, Power R, et al. Sociodemographic characteristics associated with medical appointment adherence among HIV-seropositive patients seeking treatment in a county outpatient facility. *Prevent Med* 2001;33:470–475.
34. Arici C, Ripamonti D, Maggiolo F, et al. Factors associated with the failure of HIV-positive persons to return for scheduled medical visits. *HIV Clin Trials* 2002;3:52–57.
35. Poole W, Peritt R, Shah K, et al. A characterisation of patient drop outs in a cohort of HIV positive homosexual/bisexual men and intravenous drug users. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2001;55:66–67.
36. Hussen SA, Harper GW, Bauermeister JA, et al. Psychosocial influences on engagement in care among HIV-positive young black gay/bisexual and other men who have sex with men. *AIDS Patient Care STDs* 2015;29:77–85.

Address correspondence to:

Dr. Latesha Elopore
 Department of Medicine ZRB 206
 University of Alabama at Birmingham
 1720 2nd Ave South
 Birmingham, AL 35294

E-mail: lelopore@uabmc.edu