Published in final edited form as:

Nat Chem. 2014 February ; 7(2): 146–152. doi:10.1038/nchem.2141.

Catalytic, Stereospecific Syn-Dichlorination of Alkenes

Alexander J. Cresswell[†], Stanley T.-C. Eey[†], and Scott E. Denmark^{*}

Roger Adams Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, 61801, USA

Abstract

As some of the oldest organic chemical reactions known, the ionic additions of elemental halogens such as bromine and chlorine to alkenes are prototypical examples of stereospecific reactions, typically delivering vicinal dihalides resulting from anti-addition. Whilst the invention of enantioselective variants is an ongoing challenge, the ability to overturn the intrinsic anti-diastereospecificity of these transformations is also a largely unsolved problem. In this Article, we describe the first catalytic, syn-stereospecific dichlorination of alkenes, employing a group transfer catalyst based on a redox-active main group element (i.e., selenium). Thus, with diphenyl diselenide (PhSeSePh) (5 mol %) as the pre-catalyst, benzyltriethylammonium chloride (BnEt₃NCl) as the chloride source, and an N-fluoropyridinium salt as the oxidant, a wide variety of functionalized cyclic and acyclic 1,2-disubstituted alkenes, including simple allylic alcohols, deliver syn-dichlorides with exquisite stereocontrol. This methodology is expected to find applications in streamlining the synthesis of polychlorinated natural products such as the chlorosulfolipids.

Since the seminal report of the 1,2-addition of molecular chlorine to carbon-carbon double bonds in 1877 (i.e., the dearomatizing addition of two equivalents of Cl₂ to 1,5-dichloronaphthalene),¹ the vicinal dichlorination of alkenes has continued to challenge the ingenuity of synthetic organic chemists in providing creative solutions to fundamental problems of selectivity. Owing largely to the high reactivity of Cl₂ and the difficulties associated with controlling the stoichiometry of a gaseous reactant, the reactions of alkenes with elemental chlorine are frequently plagued by side reactions (ionic and/or radical),² and the extremely toxic and corrosive nature of Cl₂ gas renders it experimentally unappealing. Accordingly, somewhat milder and more practical electrophilic chlorinating agents for alkene dichlorination have been developed, including SO₂Cl₂,³ PhICl₂,⁴ Et₄NCl₃⁵ (Mioskowski's reagent) and 2:1 NCS-PPh₃⁶ (Yoshimitsu's reagent). Alternatively, Cl₂ (or its formal equivalent) may be generated *in-situ* from the oxidation of chloride sources with

Reprints and permission information is available online at <http://npg.nature.com/reprintsandpermissions/>.

^{*}Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to S.E.D. sdenmark@illinois.edu.

[†]These authors contributed equally.

Author Contributions

A. J. C. planned and carried out the experimental work and initial optimization. S. T.-C. E. completed the experimental work and final characterizations. S. E. D. directed and coordinated the project. A. J. C. wrote the manuscript with the assistance of the other authors.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Additional Information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at www.nature.com/naturechemistry.

strong oxidants, and reagent systems such as $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{-HCl}$,⁷ $\text{KMnO}_4\text{-Me}_3\text{SiCl-BnEt}_3\text{NCl}$ ⁸ (Markó-Maguire reagent) and Oxone[®]-NaCl⁹ have been tailored for this purpose.

However, whereas the advent of new reagents for alkene dichlorination has largely solved the practicality and reactivity issues surrounding the use of Cl_2 , solutions have been less forthcoming to the problems of control over the relative and absolute configurations of the dichloride products. In recent years, the state-of-the-art in stereoselective chlorination methods have been showcased in synthetic efforts toward the chlorosulfolipids (e.g., **1–5**)^{10,11,12,13,14} – a class of stereochemically-complex, polychlorinated natural products isolated from marine sources (Figure 1, left). The daunting synthetic challenge of constructing such densely functionalized arrays of chlorinated stereogenic centers has provided impetus for the study of (external) diastereocontrol in the dichlorination of chiral alkene substrates,¹² as well as more recent efforts to effect enantioselective dichlorinations of allylic alcohols.¹⁵

However, almost invariably, all of the aforementioned reagents or reagent combinations that react via ionic reaction pathways afford vicinal dichloride products resulting from stereospecific *anti*-addition to alkenes – an inescapable stereoelectronic consequence of the nucleophilic attack of chloride ion on chloriranium ion¹⁶ or alkene- Cl_2 π -complex¹⁷ intermediates. A complementary, direct *syn*-dichlorination is notably lacking from the synthetic chemist's repertoire (Figure 1, right). Although several examples of direct, *syn*-stereospecific dichlorinations involving the treatment of unfunctionalized alkenes (often in excess) with stoichiometric amounts of high-valent metal chlorides based on antimony¹⁸ and molybdenum^{19,20,21} are on record, the harsh Lewis acidity, high oxidation potential, and toxicity of these reagents detracts significantly from their utility. Consequently, the only current solution to the *syn*-dichlorination problem with functionalized alkene substrates is to orchestrate indirect, two step oxidation-deoxochlorination sequences via the intermediacy of epoxides^{22,23} or chlorohydrins.²⁴

In this work, we describe the first catalytic, *syn*-stereospecific dichlorination of alkenes, employing a group transfer catalyst based on a redox-active main group element (i.e., selenium). On the basis of the known ability of PhSeCl_3 to chloroselenylate alkenes in an *anti*-stereospecific fashion,^{25,26} and the invertive nucleophilic displacement of the high-valent selenium(IV) moiety²⁷ by chloride ions in such adducts to afford *syn*-dichlorides,^{28,29} we surmised that a direct alkene *syn*-dichlorination that is *catalytic* in selenium may be possible. However, a key challenge in formulating such a catalytic cycle is the identification of a suitable stoichiometric oxidant to regenerate Se(IV) from Se(II). Although electrophilic chlorine sources may seem obvious candidates, both Sharpless³⁰ and Tunge³¹ have reported that the selenium-catalyzed reaction of alkenes with *N*-chlorosuccinimide generates allylic chlorides as the major products. Alternatively, a non-chlorine-based oxidant could be employed in the presence of an exogenous chloride source. Specifically, the oxidant selected must fulfill the following criteria: (1) it must not react (or must react only very slowly) with the alkene substrate, (2) it must not oxidize chloride ions to molecular Cl_2 or any other active “ Cl^+ ” equivalent over the timescale of the reaction, (3) it must not contain or release nucleophiles that might outcompete chloride in the reaction, and (4) it must not lead to the formation of selenoxide intermediates that are capable of rapid *syn*-elimination.³² With these

restrictions in mind, cationic N–F reagents were considered as possible candidates – a choice which was inspired by the use of “F⁺” reagents as oxidants in transition metal-catalyzed processes³³ and, more significantly, in a PhSeSePh-catalyzed allylic amination of alkenes.³⁴

Results

Reaction Development

To probe the feasibility of a *syn*-dichlorination process that is catalytic in selenium, orienting experiments were carried out employing cyclohexene **6** (1.0 equiv) as the substrate, 5 mol % of PhSeSePh as the pre-catalyst, *n*-Bu₄NCl as the chloride source (3.0 equiv), and *N*-fluoropyridinium tetrafluoroborate **11** (CAS# 107264-09-5) as the oxidant, with MeCN-*d*₃ as the solvent (Table 1). 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane (1.0 equiv) was added as an internal standard, and ¹H NMR peaks for species **6–10** were compared against authentic samples in MeCN-*d*₃. Under these conditions at ambient temperature for ca. 20 h, an encouraging 19% yield of the desired *syn*-dichloride **7** was observed, although 50% of starting material **6** remained (Table 1, entry 1). It was surmised that fluoride ions generated as a by-product from oxidant **11** may be interfering with catalytic turnover, and that the addition of a fluoride scavenger may be advantageous. Chlorotrimethylsilane (Me₃SiCl) was selected for this purpose on the basis that silicon has a high affinity for fluoride³⁵ and that the by-products of such scavenging would simply be additional chloride ion and unreactive fluorotrimethylsilane (Me₃SiF). Gratifyingly, the addition of 1.0 equiv of Me₃SiCl gave a substantial improvement in catalytic turnover (61% yield of **7** by ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis) (entry 2), and complete consumption of alkene **6** occurred when 2.0 equiv of Me₃SiCl was added, affording **7** in 81% yield (entry 3). However, increasing the amount of Me₃SiCl to 3.0 equiv led to no further enhancement (entry 4). The reaction also proved sensitive to the quantity of *n*-Bu₄NCl – an attempt to lower the amount to 2.5 equiv led to an erosion in the yield of **7** (entry 5) and none of **7** was produced when the *n*-Bu₄NCl was omitted altogether (entry 6). The effect of solvent was also briefly investigated, with both CD₂Cl₂ and THF-*d*₈ giving inferior results (entries 7 and 8). Pleasingly, the reaction could also be carried out with Selectfluor[®] **12** as an alternative stoichiometric oxidant, albeit with a slightly diminished yield of **7** (71%) (entry 9). Finally, *n*-Bu₄NCl was replaced with BnEt₃NCl, which is far less expensive, and the results were essentially identical (entry 10). Notably, in no case was any of the diastereomeric *anti*-dichloride product detected; an observation which is particularly surprising given that Selectfluor[®] **12** is known to oxidize chloride ions to a “Cl⁺” equivalent (possibly Cl₂) in MeCN.³⁶ In fact, a control experiment with cyclohexene **6** in which PhSeSePh was omitted from the reaction gave approximately 35% yield of the *anti*-dichloride after 20 h, implying that a background *anti*-dichlorination process is possibly operative but is significantly slower than the catalyzed reaction.

Prior to further optimization efforts aimed at minimizing the formation of allylic chloride **8**, it was first necessary to establish the mechanistic provenance of this particular species. Although **8** is a known by-product in the reaction of **6** with Cl₂ under both ionic and radical reaction manifolds,² the absence of any *anti*-dichloride under our conditions argues against the involvement of molecular Cl₂. Another possibility is synperiplanar elimination of a

selenoxide intermediate³² derived from the hydrolysis of **10** with adventitious water, although both rigorously dry conditions and the addition of trace water had no effect on the **7/8** ratio. Lastly, antiperiplanar E2 elimination of the Se(IV) moiety in intermediate **10** could also furnish **8**. To unambiguously determine which elimination pathway is in operation, an acyclic alkene substrate capable of generating (*E*)- or (*Z*)-configured *vinyl*ic chloride by-products was considered (N.B. for cyclohexene **6**, antiperiplanar elimination to give a *vinyl*ic chloride is geometrically impossible from **10**). Dichlorination of (*E*)-*tert*-butyl(hex-4-en-1-yloxy)diphenylsilane **13** under the optimized conditions gave a 68:23:9 mixture of *syn*-dichloride **14**, *vinyl*ic chlorides **15** + **16**, and two (tentatively assigned) allylic chlorides, respectively, with **14** being isolated in 63% yield (>99:1 dr) and **15** + **16** in 14% combined yield (Figure 2). Crucially, the configurations within **15** and **16**, as deduced via 1D NOESY experiments (reciprocal enhancements shown in blue), were found to be consistent with their formation via an antiperiplanar E2 elimination process (see lower section of Figure 2). Similar conclusions were drawn from an analogous experiment with the (*Z*)-isomer of alkene **13**, which also gave *vinyl*ic chloride by-products consistent with antiperiplanar E2 elimination (see Supplementary Information).

With this information in hand, additional optimization experiments to minimize the competitive elimination process were conducted on (*E*)-1-benzyloxy-4-hexene **17** as the substrate (Table 2). Interestingly, a three-fold rate acceleration was noted when sulfolane was used as a co-solvent (1:3 v/v) with MeCN-*d*₃, although the extent of E2 elimination was unperturbed (compare entries 1 and 2). Based on this observation, we elected to screen a variety of Lewis basic additives (1.0 equiv) in order to search for similar acceleration effects (entries 3–8). Although the amount of elimination consistently proved insensitive to the presence of these additives, the rate enhancement effect appeared to be general, and 2,6-lutidine *N*-oxide **23** was identified as the optimal additive in this respect (entry 8).

The effect of tuning the electronic nature of the aryl group on the selenium pre-catalyst was also examined (entries 9–12). Interestingly, electron-deficient pre-catalysts **24** and **25** gave longer reaction times, favored E2 elimination processes, and significantly eroded the *syn:anti* dichlorination ratio (entries 9 and 10). On the other hand, the electron-rich 4-methoxyphenyl pre-catalyst **26** behaved in precisely the opposite sense, giving reduced reaction times, less E2 elimination, and near complete *syn*-diastereoselectivity (entry 11). In contrast, the 2-methoxyphenyl pre-catalyst **27** behaved similarly to PhSeSePh, albeit with a slightly extended reaction time (entry 12).

Reaction Generality

The generality of the catalytic *syn*-dichlorination with a range of alkene substrates was next surveyed (Table 3). Despite the fact that our optimization studies had identified **26** as the optimal pre-catalyst, its ability to reduce elimination by-products (relative to PhSeSePh) did not prove to be general with other substrates (see Supplementary Information). Consequently, PhSeSePh was employed in all preparative scale reactions. To take advantage of the rate enhancement imparted by **23**, this additive was included in all preparative reactions, with the exception of allylic alcohols **28u–y**, for which reduced conversions and the formation of unidentified by-products were observed in the presence of **23**. However, the

inclusion of **23** is not mandatory for the success of the reactions and it can generally be omitted without detriment to yields or diastereoselectivities, albeit at the expense of reaction rates (for comparison, the yields and diastereoselectivities for reactions conducted for 18 h *without 23* are given in square brackets for several substrates).

As representative cyclic alkenes, both cyclohexene **6** and cycloheptene **28a** underwent efficient *syn*-dichlorination with >99:1 and 99:1 dr, respectively, with the disparity between the isolated yields and those calculated by ^1H NMR spectroscopy being attributed to difficulties in product isolation. Cyclopentene derivatives **28b** and **28c** also participated, and the sense of diastereofacial selectivity in both cases was consistent with preferential attack of the selenium electrophile on the sterically more accessible alkene faces, culminating in net, contrasteric *syn*-dichlorinations. The relative configurations within both **29b** and **29c** were secured by chemical correlation to an epoxy alcohol of known configuration.

For acyclic alkenes, the reaction proved generally applicable to both terminal and 1,2-disubstituted olefins, although 1,1-disubstituted, trisubstituted, and aryl-conjugated alkenes afforded complex mixtures of products. Similarly, alkenes bearing pendant nucleophiles able to engage in cyclizations also formed complex mixtures (see Supplementary Information for a table of problematic substrates). Crucially, the stereospecific nature of the *syn*-dichlorination reaction was confirmed by reaction of various pairs of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-configured alkenes, in which both isomers could be dichlorinated with exceptional *syn*-diastereoselectivity (i.e., **17/28h**, **13/28i**, **28q/r**, **28t/u**). The relative configurations in each case were typically assigned by comparison to known (or analogous) dichlorides, or to authentic samples of the diastereomeric dichlorides prepared by an *anti*-selective dichlorination method. For acyclic vicinal dichlorides, the $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ coupling constant was diagnostic, with *syn*-configured dichlorides **14**, **18**, **29j–n** and *anti*-configured dichlorides **29h,i,o,p** giving $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ values of 3.0–3.3 and 6.5–6.6 Hz, respectively. A similar trend was noted for dichlorides such as **29q** and **29r** derived from allylic alcohol derivatives, for which the *syn*-configured isomer (**29q**) gave a $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ value of 2.2 Hz, whereas the *anti*-configured isomer (**29r**) gave a value of 6.3 Hz.

In terms of functional group compatibility, the reaction is tolerant of free hydroxyl groups (**28t–x**), benzyl ethers (**17**, **28h,w,x**), *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl (TBDPS) ethers (**13**, **28c,d,i,q,r**), esters (**28b,k,l,n**), acetals (**28e,m**), simple or electron-rich arenes (e.g., **28f,j,s,u,v**), cyclopropanes (**28l**), electron-poor alkenes (**28n**), *tert*-butoxy carbamates (**28o**), and imides (**28p**). However, silicon-based protecting groups other than TBDPS, including triisopropylsilyl (TIPS) (**28j**) and *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl (TBS) (**28s**), are partially cleaved under the reaction conditions. Whilst this methodology can accommodate silyl ether functionality at the allylic position (e.g., **28q–s**), free allylic (primary) alcohols are also perfectly competent substrates (**28t–x**), providing a convenient functional handle for further elaboration. However, allylic secondary alcohols, as well as their silyl ether or *O*-acyl derivatives, afforded complex mixtures of products for reasons that are currently unclear (see Supplementary Information). Curiously, subsection of the (*E*)-configured 1,4-dioxygenated alkene **28w** to the dichlorination conditions returned a 56:44 diastereomeric

mixture of *syn*- and *anti*-dichlorides **29w**, respectively, whereas the analogous (*Z*)-configured alkene **28x** underwent *syn*-dichlorination with 93:7 diastereoselectivity.

Certain alkene substates unexpectedly returned dichloride products resulting from *anti*-addition upon exposure to the standard reaction conditions. Similarly, the presence of branching at the allylic position of acyclic (*E*)-alkenes led to incomplete conversion and 80:20 selectivity in favour of *anti*-addition (see Supplementary Information). The preference for *anti*-dichlorination in these cases is difficult to rationalize at present, and may be substrate dependent. A better understanding of this phenomenon will form part of a broader mechanistic investigation of the catalytic *syn*-dichlorination, to be reported in due course.

Discussion

A proposed catalytic cycle for the selenium-catalyzed *syn*-dichlorination process is outlined in Figure 3, albeit with omission of the 2,6-lutidine *N*-oxide additive **23**. Initial oxidation of the PhSeSePh pre-catalyst with oxidant **11** in the presence of chloride ion could generate PhSeCl₃ as the active catalyst, with Me₃SiCl presumably serving to trap the 0.3 equivalents (w.r.t. alkene) of fluoride ion by-product as Me₃SiF. Addition of PhSeCl₃ to the alkene may then ensue, possibly via loss of chloride to generate PhSeCl₂⁺ **30** as the active electrophile, which can then intercept the alkene to form seleniranium ion intermediate **31**. Nucleophilic ring-opening of **31** with chloride ion would then furnish the (β-chloroalkyl)phenylselenium dichloride species **32**,^{25,26} and subsequent invertive displacement of the Se(IV) nucleofuge with chloride ion^{28,29} would deliver the *syn*-dichloride product, releasing the selenium as PhSeCl. The dissociation of a chloride ligand from the selenium atom within **32** prior to nucleophilic displacement is a possibility, as this would enhance the nucleofugality of the selenium by rendering it cationic. The stereochemical outcome in the chlorodeselenylation step hinges on the fact that neighboring chloro substituents are comparatively reluctant to engage in anchimeric assistance.³⁷

To complete the cycle, oxidation of PhSeCl by **11** in the presence of chloride ions could regenerate the PhSeCl₃ catalyst, although it is possible that addition of PhSeCl to the alkene could precede the oxidation of Se(II) to Se(IV). In any case, the mechanism of the reoxidation process requires further scrutiny, and the possibility that trace amounts of Cl₂ (generated from the oxidation of Cl[−] by **11**) may serve as the active oxidant cannot be ruled out at this stage.

The crucial role of Me₃SiCl in the reaction also requires further investigation, but an initial hypothesis is that it may serve to trap fluoride ions (from the reduction of oxidant **11**) that would otherwise interfere with catalytic turnover (N.B. Me₃SiF was observed in reaction mixtures by ¹H and ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy). Specifically, it may be that halide dissociation (Cl[−] or F[−]) from PhSeX₃ to generate the active PhSeX₂⁺ electrophile (where X = Cl or F) is slower when the selenium center carries one or more fluoride ligands. In support of this assertion, it is known that the stoichiometric reaction of cyclohexene with PhSeF₃ is slow, requiring 2–3 h to reach completion,³⁸ whereas the analogous addition of PhSeCl₃ requires only a few minutes.²⁶

The observation of allylic or vinylic chloride by-products can be accounted for by a competitive E2 elimination between **32** and chloride ion, which is a weak but competent base in acetonitrile (pK_a of HCl = 10.3 in MeCN³⁹). The possibility that pyridine (generated from the reduction of oxidant **11**) may serve as the base in this process cannot be excluded (pK_a of pyridinium ion = 12.3 in MeCN⁴⁰) although its concentration (particularly early in the reaction) will be much lower than chloride ion.

The role of the 2,6-lutidine *N*-oxide additive **23** is not clear, but a control experiment with alkene **17** in which the *N*-fluoropyridinium salt **11** was omitted (leaving **23** as the only potential oxidant for selenium) gave no reaction, indicating that **23** is not functioning as an oxidant. Similarly, omission of the PhSeSePh pre-catalyst gave no *syn*-dichloride, and only a slow background *anti*-dichlorination was observed (40% conversion after 20 h), verifying that the combination of BnEt₃NCl, **11**, and **23** alone is not capable of effecting *syn*-dichlorination via some other mechanistic pathway. As the turnover-limiting step of the catalytic cycle is yet to be elucidated, it would be premature to speculate on the origin of the rate enhancement imparted by **23**.

In summary, we have developed the first catalytic, *syn*-stereospecific dichlorination of alkenes, employing a group transfer catalyst based on a redox-active main group element (i.e., selenium). The method is applicable to a wide variety of functionalized cyclic and acyclic 1,2-disubstituted alkenes, including simple (primary) allylic alcohols, and is operationally simple to perform. As well as providing a convenient solution to the problem of direct alkene *syn*-dichlorination, this process could also form the basis of a conceptually new strategy for catalytic, enantioselective alkene dichlorination. Importantly, the absence of chloriranium ion intermediates can circumvent the site selectivity issue inherent in the nucleophilic trapping of such species with chloride ion: a recognised, and as yet unsolved, problem in controlling the enantioselectivity of dichlorinations of electronically-unbiased, (*E*)- or (*Z*)-configured alkenes.¹⁵

Methods

Full experimental details and characterization of compounds can be found in the Supplementary Information.

General Procedure for Catalytic *syn*-Dichlorination of Alkenes—The general procedure for the catalytic, *syn*-dichlorination of alkenes with 2,6-lutidine *N*-oxide as additive is as follows. In a typical experiment, an oven-dried, 10-mL Schlenk flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer bar was taken into the glovebox and charged sequentially with diphenyl diselenide (15.8 mg, 0.05 mmol, 5 mol %), benzyltriethylammonium chloride (690 mg, 3.03 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and *N*-fluoropyridinium tetrafluoroborate (240 mg, 1.30 mmol, 1.3 equiv), and was then sealed with a rubber septum, removed from the box, and placed under argon. MeCN (5.0 mL), 2,6-lutidine *N*-oxide (126 mg, 115 μ L, 1.02 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and chlorotrimethylsilane (218 mg, 255 μ L, 2.01 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were then added sequentially and stirring was commenced. After ca. 10 min, an off-white suspension was observed. At this point, the requisite alkene (1.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was transferred *via* syringe to the reaction mixture [for alkenes of unknown density, only 3.0 mL of MeCN was

added initially and the remaining 2.0 mL (in two 1.0 mL portions) was used to transfer the alkene across from an oven-dried, 4-mL dram vial under argon, *via* syringe]. The resultant suspension was stirred at rt, and was monitored by TLC until no alkene substrate was detected. Once the reaction had reached completion, sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (1.0 mL) was added to quench any unreacted chlorotrimethylsilane and stirred for ca. 10 min. The mixture was then transferred to a separatory funnel and diluted with H₂O (15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (3 × 15 mL), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine (15 mL), then dried (MgSO₄), filtered, and concentrated *in vacuo* (20–23 °C, ca. 20 mmHg for non-volatile products or 5–8 °C, ca. 20 mmHg for volatile products). The resultant residue was re-dissolved in Et₂O (5.0 mL) and eluted through a short plug of silica gel (ca. 0.55 g SiO₂ packed into a Pasteur pipet to a height of ca. 40 mm) in order to partially remove 2,6-lutidine *N*-oxide and any ammonium salts, and the plug was then rinsed through with further portions of Et₂O (3 × 5 mL). The solvent was removed *in vacuo* (20–23 °C, ca. 20 mmHg for non-volatile products or 5–8 °C, ca. 20 mmHg for volatile products), and an aliquot of the crude mixture was dissolved in CDCl₃ to measure the *syn/anti* diastereoisomeric ratio (dr) by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The *syn*-dichloride product was then isolated by flash column chromatography on silica gel and/or Kugelrohr distillation at reduced pressure. The procedure for the catalytic, *syn*-dichlorination of allylic alcohols and the preparations of the alkene substrates are presented in the Supplementary Section.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health (GM R01-085235) for generous financial support. S. T.-C. E. thanks the Agency for Science, Technology, and Research of Singapore (A*STAR) for a postdoctoral fellowship.

References

1. Atterberg A, Widman O. Neue Chlornaphtaline. Ber Dtsch Chem Ges. 1877; 10:1841–1844.
2. Poutsma ML. Chlorination of unsaturated compounds in nonpolar media. Science. 1967; 157:997–1005. [PubMed: 17770417]
3. Kharasch MS, Brown HC. Chlorinations with sulfuryl chloride. II The peroxide-catalyzed reaction of sulfuryl chloride with ethylenic compounds. J Am Chem Soc. 1939; 61:3432–3434.
4. Tanner DT, Gidley GC. Mechanism of the addition of chlorine to olefins with iodobenzene dichloride. J Org Chem. 1968; 33:38–43.
5. Schlama T, Gabriel K, Gouverneur V, Mioskowski C. Tetraethylammonium trichloride: a versatile reagent for chlorinations and oxidations. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 1997; 36:2342–2344.
6. Kamada Y, Kitamura Y, Tanaka T, Yoshimitsu T. Dichlorination of olefins with NCS/Ph₃P. Org Biomol Chem. 2013; 11:1598–1601. [PubMed: 23364517]
7. Ho TL, Gupta BGB, Olah GA. Synthetic methods and reactions; 39. Phase transfer catalyst promoted halogenation of alkenes with hydrohalic acid/hydrogen peroxide. Synthesis. 1977:676–677.
8. Markó IE, Richardson PR, Bailey M, Maguire AR, Coughlan N. Selective manganese-mediated transformations using the combination: KMnO₄/Me₃SiCl. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997; 38:2339–2342.
9. Ren J, Tong R. Convenient in situ generation of various dichlorinating agents from oxone and chloride: diastereoselective dichlorination of allylic and homoallylic alcohol derivatives. Org Biomol Chem. 2013; 11:4312–4315. [PubMed: 23715511]

10. Nilewski C, Geisser RW, Carriera EM. Total synthesis of a chlorosulpholipid cytotoxin associated with seafood poisoning. *Nature*. 2009; 547:573–576. [PubMed: 19177127]
11. Nilewski C, Carriera EM. Recent advances in the total synthesis of chlorosulfolipids. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2012:1685–1698.
12. Chung WJ, Vanderwal CD. Approaches to the chemical synthesis of the chlorosulfolipids. *Acc Chem Res*. 2014; 47:718–728. [PubMed: 24400674]
13. Chung WJ, Carlson JS, Vanderwal CD. General approach to the synthesis of the chlorosulfolipids danicalipin A, mytilipin A, and malhamensilipin A in enantioenriched form. *J Org Chem*. 2014; 79:2226–2241. [PubMed: 24494597]
14. Umezawa T, Matsuda F. Recent progress toward synthesis of chlorosulfolipids: total synthesis and methodology. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2014; 55:3003–3012.
15. Nicolaou KC, Simmons NL, Ying Y, Heretsch PM, Chen JS. Enantioselective dichlorination of allylic alcohols. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:8134–8137. [PubMed: 21542622]
16. Roberts I, Kimball GE. The halogenation of ethylenes. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1937; 59:947–948.
17. Poutsma ML. Chlorination studies of unsaturated materials in nonpolar media. IV. The ionic pathway for alkylated ethylenes. Products and relative reactivities. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1965; 87:4285–4292.
18. Uemura S, Onoe A, Okano M. The chlorination of olefins with antimony(V) chloride. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*. 1974; 47:692–697.
19. Uemura S, Onoe A, Okano M. Molybdenum(V) chloride as a reagent for *cis* chlorination of olefins. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*. 1974; 47:3121–3124.
20. San Filippo JS Jr, Sowinski AF, Romano LJ. Chlorination of alkenes and alkynes with molybdenum(V) chloride. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1975; 97:1599–1600.
21. Nugent WA. *In situ*-generated molybdenum(VI) reagent for *cis*-chlorination of alkenes. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1978; 19:3427–3430.
22. Yoshimitsu T, Fukumoto N, Tanaka T. Enantiocontrolled synthesis of polychlorinated hydrocarbon motifs: a nucleophilic multiple chlorination process revisited. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:696–702. [PubMed: 19053592]
23. Denton R, Tang X, Przeslak A. Catalysis of phosphorus(V)-mediated transformations: dichlorination reactions of epoxides under Appel conditions. *Org Lett*. 2010; 12:4678–4681. [PubMed: 20845932]
24. Nilewski C, Geisser RW, Ebert MO, Carriera EM. Conformational and configurational analysis in the study and synthesis of chlorinated natural products. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:15866–15876. [PubMed: 19817365]
25. Garratt DG, Schmid GH. The addition of arylselenium trichlorides vs. areneselenenyl chlorides to *cis*- and *trans*-1-phenylpropene. *Can J Chem*. 1974; 52:3599–3606.
26. Engman L. Phenylselenium trichloride in organic synthesis. Reaction with unsaturated compounds. Preparation of vinylic chlorides via selenoxide elimination. *J Org Chem*. 1987; 52:4086–4094.
27. Paulmier C. Inter and intramolecular nucleophilic substitution of activated phenylselenyl groups. *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat Elem*. 2001; 172:25–54.
28. Morella AM, Ward DA. The *cis* chlorination of alkenes using selenium reagents. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1984; 25:1197–1200.
29. Morella AM, Ward DA. *Cis* 1,2-functionalization of cyclohexane using selenium intermediates. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1985; 26:2899–2900.
30. Hori T, Sharpless KB. Selenium-catalyzed nonradical chlorination of olefins with *N*-chlorosuccinimide. *J Org Chem*. 1979; 44:4204–4208.
31. Tunge JA, Mellegaard SR. Selective selenocatalytic allylic chlorination. *Org Lett*. 2004; 6:1205–1207. [PubMed: 15070298]
32. Sharpless KB, Young MW, Lauer RF. Reactions of selenoxides: thermal *syn*-elimination and H₂¹⁸O exchange. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1973; 14:1979–1982.
33. Engle KM, Mei TS, Wang X, Yu JQ. Bystanding F⁺ oxidants enable selective reductive elimination from high-valent metal centers in catalysis. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2011; 50:1478–1491.

34. Trenner J, Depken C, Weber T, Breder A. Direct oxidative allylic and vinylic amination of alkenes through selenium catalysis. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2013; 52:8952–8956.
35. Luo, YR. Comprehensive handbook of chemical bond energies. CRC Press; Boca Raton, FL: 2007.
36. Syvret RG, Butt KM, Nguyen TP, Bulleck VL, Rieth RD. Novel process for generating useful electrophiles from common anions using selectfluor[®] fluorination agent. *J Org Chem*. 2002; 67:4487–4493. [PubMed: 12076145]
37. Grunwald E. Acetolysis rates of the *cis*- and *trans*-2-chloro- and 2-bromocyclohexyl *p*-bromobenzenesulfonates. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1951; 73:5458–5459.
38. Lermontov SA, Xavorin SI, Bakhtin IV, Pushin AN, Zefirov NS, Stang PS. Fluorination of olefins with PhSeF₃, PhSeF₅ and PhTeF₅. *J Fluorine Chem*. 1998; 87:75–83.
39. Raamat E, et al. Acidities of strong neutral brønsted acids in different media. *J Phys Org Chem*. 2013; 26:162–170.
40. Kaljurand I, Rodima T, Leito I, Koppel IA, Schwesinger R. Self-consistent spectrophotometric basicity scale in acetonitrile covering the range between pyridine and DBU. *J Org Chem*. 2000; 65:6202–6208. [PubMed: 10987960]

Figure 1. The vicinal dichloride motif in natural products

a, Selected chlorosulfolipids (with vicinal dichloride motifs highlighted). **b**, Most dichlorinations of alkenes (and all of those that are catalytic) exhibit *anti*-stereospecificity, and no generally applicable, direct *syn*-stereospecific alkene dichlorination has been reported. Such a method would complement current chlorination strategies employed in the synthesis of polychlorinated natural products such as the chlorosulfolipids.

Figure 2. Identification of E2 elimination by-products

a, Formation of vinylic chloride by-products **15** and **16** from the dichlorination of alkene **13** and determination of their configurations via 1D NOESY experiments (reciprocal enhancements highlighted in blue). **b**, Mechanistic rationale for the formation of vinyl chlorides **15** and **16** from constitutionally isomeric *anti*-chloroselenenylated intermediates via antiperiplanar elimination. TBDPS = *tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl.

Figure 3. Proposed catalytic cycle for the selenium-catalyzed *syn*-dichlorination of alkenes
 Following oxidation of the PhSeSePh pre-catalyst, the catalytic cycle commences with *anti*-chloroselenylation of the alkene to give **32**. Invertive displacement of the selenium moiety with chloride ion furnishes the *syn*-dichloride product. Finally, reoxidation of Se(II) to Se(IV) closes the cycle. Py = pyridine.

Table 1

Reaction Development with Cyclohexene

entry	Cl ⁻ source (equiv)	oxidant	Me ₃ SiCl equiv	solvent	NMR yield (%) ^a					
					6	7	8	9	10	
1	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	11	0.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	50	19	3	9	0	
2	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	11	1.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	12	61	10	10	0	
3	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	11	2.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	0	81	10	0	0	
4	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	11	3.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	0	81	8	0	0	
5	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (2.5)	11	2.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	0	74	10	0	0	
6 ^b	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (0.0)	11	2.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	54	0	2	0	8	
7	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	11	2.0	CD ₂ Cl ₂	0	73	12	4	0	
8	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	11	2.0	THF- <i>d</i> ₈	55	17	2	0	0	
9	<i>n</i> -Bu ₄ NCl (3.0)	12	2.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	0	71	10	0	0	
10	BnEt ₃ NCl (3.0)	11	2.0	MeCN- <i>d</i> ₃	0	83	10	0	0	

^a Measured by ¹H NMR spectroscopy with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (1.0 equiv) as an internal standard.^b 11% of an unidentified species was also observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

Table 2

Reaction Development with (*E*)-1-Benzyloxy-4-hexene

entry	(ArylSe) ₂	additive	time (h)	18: (19+20+21+22) ^a	18 dr ^d
1	PhSeSePh	—	6	80:20	99:1
2	PhSeSePh	sulfolane ^b	2	80:20	99:1
3	PhSeSePh	HMPA	3	82:18	98:2
4	PhSeSePh	DMPU	3.5	80:20	98:2
5	PhSeSePh	DMI	2.5	80:20	99:1
6	PhSeSePh	Ph ₃ P=O	3.5	80:20	98:2
7	PhSeSePh	pyridine <i>N</i> -oxide	2.5	80:20	98:2
8	PhSeSePh	2,6-lutidine <i>N</i> -oxide 23	2	80:20	99:1
9	 24	—	10	58:42	88:18
10	 25	—	18	59:41	55:45
11	 26	—	3.5	90:10	99:1

Table 3

Reaction Generality.

<p>7, 51% (80%^a), >99:1 dr, (10 h) [83%^a, >99:1 dr]</p>	<p>29a, 77% (96%^a), 99:1 dr, (4 h)</p>	<p>29b, 73%, >99:1 dr, 89:11 dr at C(1)^b (24 h), [76%, >99:1 dr, 90:10 dr at C(1)^b]</p>	<p>29c, 71%, >99:1 dr, 91:9 dr at C(1) (16 h)</p>	<p>29d, 91% (6 h) [91%]</p>
<p>29e, 85% (4.5 h)</p>	<p>29f, 77% (3.5 h)</p>	<p>29g, 40% (66%^a), 99:1 dr, (4 h)</p>	<p>18, 71%, 99:1 dr (3.5 h) [64%, >99:1 dr]</p>	<p>29h, 67%, >99:1 dr (4 h) [67%, >99:1 dr]</p>
<p>14, 64%, >99:1 dr (4 h) [63%, >99:1 dr]</p>	<p>29i, 67%, >99:1 dr (6 h) [63%, >99:1 dr]</p>	<p>29j, 37%^c, 97:3 dr (5 h)</p>	<p>29k, 70%, >99:1 dr (3.5 h)</p>	
<p>29l, 69%, >99:1 dr (3.5 h)</p>	<p>29m, 73%, >99:1 dr (3.5 h)</p>	<p>29n, 70%, 99:1 dr (4.5 h)</p>	<p>29o, 72%, 99:1 dr (5 h)</p>	
<p>29p, 72%, 97:3 dr (3.5 h)</p>	<p>29q, 71%, >99:1 dr (7 h)</p>	<p>29r, 67%, 99:1 dr (9 h)</p>	<p>29s, 47%^d, >98:2 dr^e (6 h)</p>	
<p>29t, 66%^f, 99:1 dr (14 h)</p>	<p>29u, 76%^f, 98:2 dr (9 h)</p>	<p>29v, 71%^f, 98:2 dr (9 h)</p>	<p>29w, 67%^f, 56:44 dr (47 h)</p>	<p>29x, 73%^f, 93:7 dr^g (15 h)</p>

For comparison, yields and diastereoselectivities for selected reactions conducted for 18 h without additive **23** are given in square brackets. Each diastereomeric ratio (dr) was determined on the crude mixture after passing through a short plug of silica gel.

^aYield was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy with 1,1,2,2-tetrachloroethane (1.0 equiv) as an internal standard.

^b 91:9 dr at C(1) after isolation.

^c 24% of the free alcohol *syn*-dichloride was also isolated, and tentatively assigned cyclized products were also observed in the crude product mixture.

^d 21% of the free alcohol *syn*-dichloride was also isolated.

^e The dr corresponds to the sum of the dichlorides.

^f Additive **23** was omitted.

^g 97:3 dr after isolation.