Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jor

Original Article

Preoperative assessments completed for anterior cruciate ligament reconstructions with remnant preservation

Mitsuaki Kubota^{a,*}, Hiroshi Ikeda^a, Yuji Takazawa^a, Muneaki Ishijima^a, Yoshitomo Saita^a, Haruka Kaneko^a, Sung-Gong Kim^b, Hisashi Kurosawa^c, Kazuo Kaneko^a

^a Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Juntendo University School of Medicine, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8421, Japan

^b Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Juntendo University Nerima Hospital, Japan

^c Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Juntendo University Geriatric Medical Center, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 20 December 2013

Accepted 15 April 2014

Available online 14 June 2014

Keywords:

ACL

Remnant preservation

Preoperative period

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the preoperative characteristics that are necessary to be able to perform reconstructions of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) with remnant preservation. The preoperative period was significantly shorter for patients in patients who received a reconstruction with remnant preservation than for those without remnant (3.9 months vs 16.0 months, $p < 0.01$). The cut-off time to be able to reconstruct an ACL with remnant preservation were 2.5 months. The preoperative period is important to perform an ACL reconstruction with remnant preservation.

Copyright © 2014, Professor P K Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Publishing Services by Reed Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

According to Crain et al, arthroscopic examinations completed for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstructions have revealed that several types of ACL remnants exist in the intercondylar notch.¹ Changes in anterior laxity were associated with a specific pattern of scar formation within the notch. ACL reconstruction with remnant preservation contributes to the prevention of anterior laxity,^{2–6} preserves the ACL remnant's neural elements and mechanoreceptors,^{2,7–12} and provides a favorable effect on vascularity and reinnervation of the graft.^{13–15}

There have so far been very few reports on the preoperative assessment of survival for ACL remnant. The purpose of this study is to investigate the preoperative characteristics that are necessary to be able to reconstruct ACL with remnant preservation.

2. Patients and methods

Between September 2006 and July 2010, a total of 640 ACL reconstructions were performed. ACL remnant was tried to preserve the remnant as much as possible. After insertion

* Corresponding author. Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Juntendo University School of Medicine, 2-1-1 Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8421, Japan. Tel.: +81 3 3813 3111; fax: +81 3 3813 3428.

E-mail address: mkubota@juntendo.ac.jp (M. Kubota).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jor.2014.04.014>

0972-978X/Copyright © 2014, Professor P K Surendran Memorial Education Foundation. Publishing Services by Reed Elsevier India Pvt. Ltd. All rights reserved.

of the graft, preservation of the remnant was considered possible if following condition were met: (1) the graft was covered with synovial tissues and had abundant blood vessels, (2) coverage of more than 75% of the graft from the tibial attachment, and (3) presence of a bridge between the femur and tibia.¹⁶ One hundred patients received a reconstruction with remnant preservation during the period (group R), while the other 540 patients received a conventional reconstruction without remnant preservation. One hundred patients were extracted randomly among the patients who received a conventional reconstruction without remnant preservation (group C). Every patient reported knee trauma with rapid swelling and disability. The MRI was positive for ACL disruption in every patient. All of the patients suffered from an incapacity to resume their previous levels of activity due to the instability of their symptoms, and all were scheduled to receive complete ACL reconstructions. Five surgeons (M.K, Y.T, M.I, Y.S, H.K) performed the operations in this study. Prior to performing the ACL reconstructions, a thorough arthroscopic evaluation of each patient was performed. The arthroscopic evaluations identified four different patterns of ACL morphology, classified according to the criteria of Crain et al; group 1: ACL scarring to the PCL, group 2: ACL healing to roof of the notch, group 3: Attenuated ACL remnant healed to the lateral wall more anterior and distal than its anatomic origin, and group 4: Resorption of the torn ACL.¹ The ACL reconstructions were performed with semitendinosus tendon in all cases. We attempted to perform ACL reconstructions with remnant preservation whenever possible.

In every case, the amount of time between the patient's first injury of the ACL and the reconstruction operation (called the preoperative period) was noted. Differences in the preoperative period were assessed for each group (group R and group C). In addition, the cut-off time to be able to reconstruct an ACL with remnant preservation was determined.

Gender, age, injury type (contact or non-contact), amount of antero-posterior instability (measured using KT-2000, 30lbs), pivot shift test grade, rate of meniscus injury (medial meniscus, lateral meniscus, or bilateral meniscus injury), and the rates of four ACL remnant groups were assessed for each group.

3. Statistical analysis

A Mann–Whitney *U* test (two tailed) was applied to evaluate the differences in the parameters of each operation. A Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve was applied to evaluate the sensitivity and specificity needed to be able to reconstruct an ACL with remnant preservation. All data analysis was performed with SPSS software, version 16.0.1 (SPSS, Chicago, IL).

4. Results (Table 1)

There were no significant differences for gender, age, injury type (contact or non-contact), or rate of meniscus injury between group R and group C.

Table 1 – Result for preoperative patient's status of each group.

	Group R	Group C	p-value
Preoperative period (month)	3.9 ± 4.5	16.0 ± 16.0	<0.01 ^a
Gender (male, %)	70 ± 46.1	59 ± 49.4	N.S.
Age (year)	24.7 ± 8.3	25.4 ± 8.6	N.S.
Injury type (contact injury rate, %)	24 ± 42.9	19 ± 39.4	N.S.
KT-2000 (mm)	4.4 ± 2.0	5.3 ± 2.0	<0.01 ^a
Pivot shift test grade 1 (%)	14 ± 35.0	9 ± 28.8	N.S.
Pivot shift test grade 2 (%)	94 ± 108.1	77 ± 42.3	N.S.
Pivot shift test grade 3 (%)	3 ± 17.1	14 ± 34.9	<0.01 ^a
Medial meniscus injury (%)	12 ± 32.7	21 ± 40.9	0.087
Lateral meniscus injury (%)	16 ± 36.8	14 ± 35.0	N.S.
Bilateral meniscus injury (%)	3 ± 17.1	4 ± 19.8	N.S.
ACL remnant group 1 (%)	33 ± 47.3	27 ± 44.6	N.S.
ACL remnant group 2 (%)	52 ± 50.2	12 ± 32.7	<0.01 ^a
ACL remnant group 3 (%)	15 ± 35.9	10 ± 30.2	N.S.
ACL remnant group 4 (%)	0 ± 0.0	51 ± 200.1	<0.01 ^a

Values are presented as means ± standard deviation.

Group R: patient reconstructed with remnant preservation; group C: patient reconstructed without remnant.

^a Statistically significant difference at 0.05 level.

The preoperative period was significantly shorter for patients in group R than for those in group C (3.9 months in group R, 16.0 months in group C; $p < 0.01$). The cut-off time to be able to reconstruct an ACL with remnant preservation was 2.5 months (sensitivity 0.790, specificity 0.500).

The amount of antero-posterior instability, measured using KT-2000, was significantly lower for patients in group R than for those in group C. The incidence of pivot shift test grade 3 was lower for patients in group R than for those in group C (3% in group R, 14% in group C; $p < 0.01$).

The rates of four ACL remnant groups in a total 200 cases was 30%, 32%, 12.5% and 25.5% in group 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively. In group R, the rates of four ACL remnant groups was 33%, 52%, 15%, and 0% in group 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. Otherwise, in group C, the rates of four ACL remnant groups 27%, 12%, 10% and 51% in group 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. The rate of group 2 ACL remnants (ACL healing to roof of the notch) was significantly higher in group R than in group C, and the rate of group 4 ACL remnants (Resorption of the torn ACL) was significantly lower in group R than in group C.

5. Discussion

According to Crain, arthroscopic examinations completed for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstructions have revealed that several types of ACL remnants exist in the intercondylar notch.¹ In that study, 38% of patients had ligament scarring on the posterior cruciate ligament, 8% of patients had scar tissue that appeared to extend from the ACL fibers to the roof of the notch, 12% of patients appeared to have healed scarring on the lateral wall of the notch or the medial aspect of the lateral femoral condyle in a position anterior and distal to the ACL anatomic footprint, and 42% of patients had no identifiable ligament tissue remaining. In many cases, the femoral attachment of the ACL remnant is

the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL); however, in some cases, the ACL remnant bridges the femur and the tibia, although the remnant's diameter is attenuated and its femoral attachment positions are slightly different from the normal anatomic origin.¹⁵ To some extent, this type of ACL remnant helps to prevent anterior knee laxity. In our study, a total of 200 ACL reconstruction was performed in 30% of the patients in group 1, 32% of the patients in group 2, 12.5% of the patients in group 3, and 25.5% of the patients in group 4. The rate of ACL remnant healing to roof of the notch was higher than that of the other reports. However, that is not accuracy because the incidence of ACL reconstruction with remnant preservation and that of without remnant preservation was not equal.

The ACL has an important proprioceptive role in the knee because it provides both antero-posterior (AP) and rotational knee stability.^{2–5,8,12,17–21} ACL remnants make a biomechanical contribution to AP and rotational knee stability in patients with a complete ACL injury.⁶ ACL remnants contributed to AP knee stability evaluated at 30° of knee flexion for up to one year after injury.²² Adachi et al reported that significantly better results of position sense and joint stability were obtained from ACL augmentation (with remnant preservation) than from conventional ACL reconstruction (without remnant preservation).² Gohil et al used MRI scans to assess differences in revascularization after the use of standard vs minimal debridement techniques.²³ They indicated that minimal debridement leads to earlier revascularization within the mid-substance of the ACL graft at two months. There was a significant reduction of the mid-substance signal six months after use of the minimal debridement technique. Therefore, ACL reconstruction with remnant preservation has many benefits for proprioception, vascularity, reinnervation of the graft, and prevention of anterior laxity.

There have so far been very few reports on the preoperative assessment of survival for an ACL remnant. Clinically, a partial rupture of the ACL should be suspected when the differential instrumented laxity is equal to or less than 6 mm with a typical delayed firm anterior endpoint noted during the Lachman test.²⁴ Seki et al reported the frequency of major ruptures and meniscus injuries to affect the rate of remnant preservation.²⁵ They separated patients into three groups based on the percentage of remnant preservation (0%–29%: disappear group, 30%–59%: moderate group, 60%–100%: preserving group). The patients in the preserving group had the lowest rate of major giving way and meniscus injury among the three groups.

The preoperative condition of patients with ACL injury was different depending upon the remnant volume.²⁶ ACL remnant volume showed a weak correlation with age at surgery, preoperative period and preoperative knee laxity and that remnant volume also indicated a weak correlation with postoperative anterior laxity and subjective instability.

In our study, there was a statistically significant difference in the amount of antero-posterior laxity in patients with and in those without remnants. There were no statistically significant differences in meniscus injury; however, the patients who received a reconstruction without remnant preservation had more meniscus injury than those who received a reconstruction with remnant preservation. The preoperative period was significantly shorter in patients with

remnant preservation than in those without remnant. The result was same as the report of Muneta.²⁶ The incidence of giving way was expected to be higher when the preoperative period was longer. Therefore, we believe that ACL reconstructions should be performed earlier in order to preserve the remnants. The cut-off time to be able to reconstruct an ACL with sufficient remnant preservation was determined to be 2.5 months in this study.

There are some limitations and weaknesses associated with this study. First, a small number of cases were included. Second, five surgeons were involved. Finally, the technique of performing an ACL reconstruction with remnant preservation is difficult, and a learning curve was necessary in order to master the operation. All surgeons tried to perform ACL reconstructions with remnant preservation whenever possible. This procedure might be performed more often as the technique improves. More cases of ACL reconstructions with remnant preservation and clinical studies are required.

Conflicts of interest

All authors have none to declare.

REFERENCES

- Crain EH, Fithian DC, Paxton EW, Luetzow WF. Variation in anterior cruciate ligament scar pattern: does the scar pattern affect anterior laxity in anterior cruciate ligament-deficient knees? *Arthroscopy*. 2005;21:19–24.
- Adachi N, Ochi M, Uchio Y, Sumen Y. Anterior cruciate ligament augmentation under arthroscopy. A minimum 2-year follow-up in 40 patients. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg*. 2000;120:128–133.
- Ohsawa T, Kimura M, Kobayashi Y, Hagiwara K, Yorifuji H, Takagishi K. Arthroscopic evaluation of preserved ligament remnant after selective anteromedial or posterolateral bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Arthroscopy*. 2012;28:807–817.
- Serrano-Fernandez JM, Espejo-Baena A, Martin-Castilla B, De La Torre-Solis F, Mariscal-Lara J, Merino-Ruiz ML. Augmentation technique for partial ACL ruptures using semitendinosus tendon in the over-the-top position. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2010;18:1214–1218.
- Sonnery-Cottet B, Lavoie F, Ogassawara R, Scussiato RG, Kidder JF, Chambat P. Selective anteromedial bundle reconstruction in partial ACL tears: a series of 36 patients with mean 24 months follow-up. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2010;18:47–51.
- Yasuda K, Kondo E, Kitamura N, Kawaguchi Y, Kai S, Tanabe Y. A pilot study of anatomic double-bundle anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with ligament remnant tissue preservation. *Arthroscopy*. 2012;28:343–353.
- Adachi N, Ochi M, Uchio Y, Iwasa J, Ryoke K, Kuriwaka M. Mechanoreceptors in the anterior cruciate ligament contribute to the joint position sense. *Acta Orthop Scand*. 2002;73:330–334.
- Bali K, Dhillon MS, Vasistha RK, Kakkar N, Chana R, Prabhakar S. Efficacy of immunohistological methods in detecting functionally viable mechanoreceptors in the remnant stumps of injured anterior cruciate ligaments and its clinical importance. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc*. 2011;20:75–80.

9. Georgoulis AD, Pappa L, Moebius U, et al. The presence of proprioceptive mechanoreceptors in the remnants of the ruptured ACL as a possible source of re-innervation of the ACL autograft. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2001;9:364–368.
10. Lee BI, Kwon SW, Kim JB, Choi HS, Min KD. Comparison of clinical results according to amount of preserved remnant in arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using quadrupled hamstring graft. *Arthroscopy.* 2008;24:560–568.
11. Lee BI, Min KD, Choi HS, Kim JB, Kim ST. Arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with the tibial-remnant preserving technique using a hamstring graft. *Arthroscopy.* 2006;22:340–347.
12. Lee BI, Min KD, Choi HS, et al. Immunohistochemical study of mechanoreceptors in the tibial remnant of the ruptured anterior cruciate ligament in human knees. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2009;17:1095–1101.
13. Chen CH. Graft healing in anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rehabil Ther Technol.* 2009;1:21.
14. Kuo CK, Marturano JE, Tuan RS. Novel strategies in tendon and ligament tissue engineering: advanced biomaterials and regeneration motifs. *Sports Med Arthrosc Rehabil Ther Technol.* 2010;2:20.
15. Ochi M, Adachi N, Deie M, Kanaya A. Anterior cruciate ligament augmentation procedure with a 1-incision technique: anteromedial bundle or posterolateral bundle reconstruction. *Arthroscopy.* 2006;22:463–465.
16. Takazawa Y, Ikeda H, Kawasaki T, et al. ACL reconstruction preserving the ACL remnant achieves good clinical outcomes and can reduce subsequent graft rupture. *Orthop J Sport Med.* 2013;1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/2325967113505076>.
17. Bach Jr BR, Warren RF, Wickiewicz TL. The pivot shift phenomenon: results and description of a modified clinical test for anterior cruciate ligament insufficiency. *Am J Sports Med.* 1988;16:571–576.
18. Butler DL, Noyes FR, Grood ES. Ligamentous restraints to anterior-posterior drawer in the human knee. A biomechanical study. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1980;62:259–270.
19. Hughston JC, Andrews JR, Cross MJ, Moschi A. Classification of knee ligament instabilities. Part I. The medial compartment and cruciate ligaments. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1976;58:159–172.
20. Kanamori A, Zeminski J, Rudy TW, Li G, Fu FH, Woo SL. The effect of axial tibial torque on the function of the anterior cruciate ligament: a biomechanical study of a simulated pivot shift test. *Arthroscopy.* 2002;18:394–398.
21. Slocum DB, James SL, Larson RL, Singer KM. Clinical test for anterolateral rotary instability of the knee. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 1976;118:63–69.
22. Nakamae A, Ochi M, Deie M, et al. Biomechanical function of anterior cruciate ligament remnants: how long do they contribute to knee stability after injury in patients with complete tears? *Arthroscopy.* 2010;26:1577–1585.
23. Gohil S, Annear PO, Breidahl W. Anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using autologous double hamstrings: a comparison of standard versus minimal debridement techniques using MRI to assess revascularisation. *J Bone Joint Surg.* 2007;89:1165–1171.
24. Sonnery-Cottet B, Barth J, Gravelleau N, Fournier Y, Hager JP, Chambat P. Arthroscopic identification of isolated tear of the posterolateral bundle of the anterior cruciate ligament. *Arthroscopy.* 2009;25:728–732.
25. Seki YMH, Sekiya I, Hara K, Shinomiya K. The purpose of ACL reconstruction with preserving remnant and the character of preserving remnant. *Jpn J Orthop Sports Med.* 2007;27:34 [in Japanese].
26. Muneta T, Koga H, Ju YJ, Horie M, Nakamura T, Sekiya I. Remnant volume of anterior cruciate ligament correlates preoperative patients' status and postoperative outcome. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012;28. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-012-2023-5>.