Catheter-Directed Thrombolysis Is a Safe and Alternative Therapeutic Approach in the Management of Postpartum Lower Limb Deep Venous Thrombosis

B. C. Srinivas, DM¹ Soumya Patra, MD¹ C. M. Nagesh, DM¹ Babu Reddy, DNB¹ C. N. Manjunath, DM¹

¹Department of Cardiology, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences and Research, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

Address for correspondence Soumya Patra, MD, Department of Cardiology, Sri Jayadeva Institute of Cardiovascular Sciences and Research, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore, Karnataka 560069, India (e-mail: dr_soumyapatra@rediffmail.com).

Int J Angiol 2015;24:292–295.

Abstract

Keywords

- angioplasty
- catheter-directed thrombolysis
- deep vein thrombosis
- mechanical thromboaspiration
- postpartum

Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) is a major health problem in pregnancy and postpartum period. Catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDT) is safe and effective in management of symptomatic DVT. Value of CDT in postpartum DVT is not fully evaluated. We describe five patients presenting with acute iliofemoral DVT in their early postpartum period who were treated with mechanical thromboaspiration and CDT. The CDT was done using streptokinase infusion and unfractionated heparin. Percutaneous angioplasty was done in patients with symptomatic residual lesion following thrombolysis. Patients were discharged with oral anticoagulant and compression stockings. This approach was successful in all four cases. Percutaneous endovascular therapy using CDT, mechanical thromboaspiration, and balloon angioplasty is safe and effective in iliofemoral DVT in postpartum period.

Deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and venous thromboembolism (VTE) are major health problems with high mortality and morbidity in pregnancy and postpartum period worldwide.¹ The high incidence of DVT and risk of VTE during pregnancy and postpartum period occurs due to hypercoagulable state.² Catheter-directed thrombolysis (CDT) is a safe and effective in management of symptomatic DVT by lysing the thrombus and restoring the patency of the veins in proximal DVT.³ It reduces the incidence of postthrombotic syndrome (PTS) and improves the quality of life.³ Pregnancy and the postpartum period are considered as relative contraindications for thrombolysis.⁴ However, CDT of DVT may reduce long-term sequelae in these young patients by restoring early patency of veins.⁴ We share our experience of safety and efficacy of CDT in the treatment of proximal DVT in postpartum period.

Case Report

A total of eight patients were admitted to our hospital with proximal DVT in postpartum period in the past year. Among

them, five patients were treated with CDT and remaining three patients were treated with low-molecular-weight heparin and oral anticoagulant. The mean age of these patients was 22 (± 3.5) years. The mean onset of symptoms before presentation was 9 (± 4) days. Three patients had right lower limb DVT, one patient had left lower limb DVT, and another patient had bilateral lower limb DVT. Four patients had normal vaginal delivery, whereas one patient had second trimester abortion following trauma. All these patients were primigravida and there was no family history of thrombotic disorder. Two patients had history of using oral contraceptive pills in the past. Body mass index was low or in normal range in these patients. All patients had iliofemoral DVT, three patients had extension into inferior vena cava (IVC) and one had additional renal vein thrombosis (RVT). The mean duration of CDT was 128 (± 16) hours. Three patients had complete resolution of clot and another case had partial resolution. One case has received infusion of urokinase after giving 2 days of streptokinase infusion as there was no significant improvement after giving streptokinase. In the patient with RVT with mild renal

Table 1 Demographic, clinical features, and treatment outcome of treated patients

Variable	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3	Case 4	Case 5
Age (y)	24	22	29	20	23
Risk factor	Postpartum, left leg polio	Postpartum	Abortion, left ankle fracture	Postpartum	Postpartum
Onset after delivery (d)	8	7	10	7	10
Presentation	Left leg swelling, renal angle tenderness	Right leg swelling	Bilateral leg swelling	Right leg swelling	Right leg swelling
Duplex venography	Left iliofemoral, IVC, and RVT	Right iliofemoral + IVC thrombosis	B/L iliofemoral + IVC thrombosis	Right iliofemoral thrombosis	Right iliofemoral thrombosis
Treatment	CDT	CDT	CDT	CDT	CDT
Duration of CDT (h)	120	140	144	110	120
Result of CDT	Partial lysis	Complete lysis	Complete lysis	Complete lysis	Complete lysis
Angioplasty	PTA done	PTA done + IVC filter	PTA done + IVC filter	PTA done	PTA done
Adverse events of therapy	Nil	Minor bleeding, anemia, IVC filter not retrieved	Minor bleeding, thrombocytopenia, anemia	Minor bleeding, thrombocytopenia, anemia	Minor bleeding, anemia
Symptoms at discharge	Pain and edema decreased	Pain and edema decreased	Pain and edema decreased	Pain and edema decreased	Pain and edema decreased
Follow-up at 6 mo	Mild PTS	No PTS	No PTS	No PTS	No PTS

Abbreviations: B/L, bilateral; CDT, catheter-directed thrombolysis; IVC, inferior vena cava; PTA, percutaneous transluminal angioplasty; PTS, postthrombotic syndrome; RVT, renal vein thrombosis.

dysfunction, renal function was improved after CDT. Mechanical thromboaspiration was done by using a 7F multipurpose (MP) catheter (Cordis, NJ) and 50 mL syringe for aspiration. IVC filter was used in two patients before CDT and mechanical thromboaspiration. Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) was done by using peripheral angioplasty balloon in patients for residual lesion in iliac veins. Three patients had minor bleeding from the local site; two patients required blood transfusion; and two patients had thrombocytopenia which recovered gradually. In one patient, IVC filter could not be retrieved (►Table 1). All patients improved symptomatically. At 6 months of follow-up, one patient had mild PTS. ►Table 1 describes the demographic, clinical features, and treatment outcome of these patients.

Intervention

CDT was done using popliteal vein (PV) cannulation. PV sheath insertion (8F sheath) performed under ultrasound guidance. One patient had bilateral PV sheath insertion. Peripheral venogram was done through PV sheath to assess the extent of thrombus. The thrombotic lesion was crossed with a 0.035" angled Terumo (Terumo Europe, Leuven, Belgium) guide wire with 6F multipurpose (MP) guiding catheter (Cordis) and IVC venogram was performed to assess the proximal level of thrombus (►Fig. 1). Multiple holes were made in the distal 5 to 10 cm of the MP catheter and it was placed in the proximal part of the DVT. Injection streptokinase 100,000 units/hour infusion was given of which two-thirds dose (70,000 units/hour) through catheter and one-third dose (30,000 units/hour) through sheath. Injection of unfractionated heparin 800 to 1,000 units/hour was given through the sheath and activated plasma thromboplastin time (APTT) was monitored to maintain APTT between 60 and 90 seconds. Mechanical thromboaspiration was done using 8F MP catheter attached with a

Fig. 2 Peripheral venous angioplasty of right common iliac vein.

50 mL syringe in those patients who did not show response to thrombolysis. Venogram was repeated after 24 hours and infusion was continued till there was a satisfactory result or any sign of adverse events present. PTA was performed if there was significant residual stenosis in the affected vein (►Fig. 2). The treatment was considered successful if there was resolution of thrombus, good antegrade flow, and less than 30% residual luminal area narrowing (►Fig. 3) along with complete or partial resolution of symptoms of DVT such as lower extremity pain and edema. Following thrombolytic therapy, patients were fitted with graduated compression stockings and anticoagulated with oral nicoumalone for 6 months (or lifelong in case of prothrombotic disorder).⁴

Fig. 1 IVC venogram showed the proximal level of deep vein thrombosis with involvement of right common iliac vein and extending into IVC. IVC, inferior vena cava.

Fig. 3 Venogram demonstrated > 90% resolution of venous clot and inferior vena cava filter was also implanted in this patient.

Discussion

Pregnancy and postpartum period is associated with an overall 5- to 10-fold increased risk of VTE.⁵ The absolute risk is highest during and shortly after delivery. Furthermore, during the puerperium, the risk of developing DVT is significantly higher than antepartum.^{6,7} In majority of the cases, DVT arises in left lower extremity due to a relative stenosis of the left common iliac vein where it lies between the lumbar vertebral body and the right common iliac artery.⁵ But in our cases, involvement of right leg was more than left leg without any obvious reason. The treatment of VTE has significantly advanced in recent years, but major challenges remain. Despite the use of standard therapies, VTE continues to a significant risk factor for death or major disability.⁸ Significant portion of patients develop PTS despite adequate anticoagulation therapy.⁹ In venous thromboembolic disease, CDT with or without assisted mechanical thrombolysis is becoming the standard of medical care in the treatment of acute DVT.¹⁰ Anticoagulation therapy is ineffective at removing thrombus of the deep venous system, and when extensive, DVT carries a high risk of developing pulmonary thromboembolism and PTS.¹¹ To avoid PTS, aggressive therapy to remove venous thrombus/venous obstruction must be undertaken before irreversible valvular damage occurs.¹² Nevertheless, during the last decade, advances in minimally invasive technologies have spurred a renaissance in the aggressive treatment of DVT using percutaneous techniques.¹¹ Endovascular management using percutaneous mechanical thrombectomy alone or in combination with pharmacological thrombolytic agents has recently received much attention in the literature as a safe and effective means for the treatment of acute DVT.¹² Fibrinolysis is relatively contraindicated during pregnancy and postpartum period due to high incidence of bleeding risk.⁴ But there are few studies which showed that CDT can be safely used in postpartum period.^{4,7} Successful CDT in our patients also confirmed the same. But there are some differences between previous studies and treatment pattern in our patients. We have used streptokinase through PV approach of same side, whereas previous study used alteplase as fibrinolytic agent. In previous study, CDT with alteplase was used for a maximum period of 4 days,⁴ whereas another study¹² had used streptokinase for CDT through PV like what we have done in our cases. In our patients, we used streptokinase infusion for CDT up to 6 days. The complications of CDT seen in our cases were anemia (due to mechanical thromboaspiration), thrombocytopenia (heparin induced), minor bleeding, and non-retrieval of IVC filter. These were more than the previous study⁷ which may be due to longer duration of CDT. But these adverse events were mild, nonlife threatening with the benefit of complete lysis.

Percutaneous endovascular therapy consisting of CDT with PTA and/or stenting in selected cases is a safe and effective therapeutic procedure in patients with peripartum acute proximal DVT as it helps in rapid and complete resolution of symptoms of DVT.

Authors' Contribution

B.C. Srinivas was the primary operator in all these cases. Soumya Patra, C.M. Nagesh and Babu Reddy were also involved in the management of the patients. Soumya Patra reviewed the literatures and drafted the article. B.C. Srinivas and C.N. Manjunath corrected the article. All authors approved the final version of the article.

Disclosure

None.

Funding and Conflict of Interest

None.

References

- 1 Ray JG, Chan WS. Deep vein thrombosis during pregnancy and the puerperium: a meta-analysis of the period of risk and the leg of presentation. *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 1999;54(4):265–271
- 2 O'Connor DJ, Scher LA, Gargiulo NJ III, Jang J, Suggs WD, Lipsitz EC. Incidence and characteristics of venous thromboembolic disease during pregnancy and the postnatal period: a contemporary series. *Ann Vasc Surg* 2011;25(1):9–14
- 3 Jacobsen AF, Drolsum A, Klow NE, Dahl GF, Qvigstad E, Sandset PM. Deep vein thrombosis after elective cesarean section. *Thromb Res* 2004;113(5):283–288
- 4 Acharya G, Singh K, Hansen JB, Kumar S, Maltau JM. Catheter-directed thrombolysis for the management of postpartum deep venous thrombosis. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2005;84(2):155–158
- 5 Gader AA, Haggaz AE, Adam I. Epidemiology of deep venous thrombosis during pregnancy and puerperium in Sudanese women. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2009;5(1):85–87
- 6 McLintock C, Brighton T, Chunilal S, et al; Councils of the Society of Obstetric Medicine of Australia and New Zealand; Australasian Society of Thrombosis and Haemostasis. Recommendations for the diagnosis and treatment of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in pregnancy and the postpartum period. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2012;52(1):14–22
- 7 Virkus RA, Jørgensen M, Broholm R, Bergholt T. Successful treatment of massive deep vein thrombosis using catheter-directed thrombolysis and inferior vena cava filter in a puerperal woman. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 2012;91(2):269–270
- 8 Comerota AJ. The ATTRACT trial: rationale for early intervention for iliofemoral DVT. *Perspect Vasc Surg Endovasc Ther* 2009;21(4):221–224, quiz 224–225
- 9 Comerota AJ, Paolini D. Treatment of acute iliofemoral deep venous thrombosis: a strategy of thrombus removal. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2007;33(3):351–360, discussion 361–362
- 10 Patterson BO, Hinchliffe R, Loftus IM, Thompson MM, Holt PJ. Indications for catheter-directed thrombolysis in the management of acute proximal deep venous thrombosis. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2010;30(4):669–674
- 11 Enden T, Haig Y, Kløw NE, et al; CaVenT Study Group. Long-term outcome after additional catheter-directed thrombolysis versus standard treatment for acute iliofemoral deep vein thrombosis (the CaVenT study): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2012;379(9810):31–38
- 12 Elsharawy M, Elzayat E. Early results of thrombolysis vs anticoagulation in iliofemoral venous thrombosis. A randomised clinical trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2002;24(3):209–214