Published in final edited form as:

Sex Transm Infect. 2015 December ; 91(8): 569–575. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2014-051876.

Attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors toward HIV testing among African American and East African immigrant women in Washington, D.C.: Implications for targeted HIV testing promotion and communication strategies

Maria De Jesus^{1,2}, Claudia Carrete¹, Cathleen Maine³, and Patricia Nalls³

Maria De Jesus: dejesus@american.edu; Claudia Carrete: claudia.carrete@american.edu; Cathleen Maine: cathleen@womenscollective.org; Patricia Nalls: pat@womenscollective.org

¹School of International Service, American University, Washington DC, USA

²Center on Health, Risk, and Society, American University, Washington DC, USA

³The Women's Collective, Washington DC, USA

Abstract

Objectives—The objective of the study was to examine and compare the HIV testing attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors between African American and East African immigrant women in the Washington, D.C. Metropolitan area.

Methods—Adopting an inductive, qualitative methodological approach, we conducted a total of 40 in-depth, semi-structured interviews between October 2012 and March 2013. Qualitative thematic analysis was used to analyze the data.

Results—Overall, African American women held more favorable views toward HIV testing than East African immigrant women. Very few East African immigrant women sought HIV testing intentionally. The majority of East African participants were tested inadvertently, while others tested for immigration- or employment-related purposes. There were many barriers that impede women from seeking an HIV test including: negative assumptions (e.g., '*Getting an HIV test implies that I am HIV positive*'); negative emotions (e.g., '*Fear of being diagnosed with HIV and what this will mean for me*'); and potential negative reactions from partner or others (e.g., '*Getting*

[§]Corresponding Author: Maria De Jesus, Ph.D., School of International Service, Center on Health, Risk, and Society, American University, 4400 Massachusetts Ave., NW, Washington, DC, 20016, Phone number: 202-885-6392, dejesus@american.edu.

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare.

Authors' contributions

M.D.J. was the principal investigator of this study, conceptualized the study, led the data analyses, and wrote the manuscript. C.C. assisted with the data collection and analysis and final manuscript. C.M. contributed to the study protocol and final manuscript. P.N. was a co-leader on the Community Advisory Board, and contributed to the data analyses and final manuscript. All authors reviewed and provided comments on the study protocol, results, and final manuscript.

Licence for Publication

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive licence (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Group and co-owners or contracting owning societies (where published by the BMJ Group on their behalf), and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in Sexually Transmitted Infections and any other BMJ Group products and to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our licence <http://group.bmj.com/products/journals/instructions-for-authors/licence-forms>.

an HIV test can signal distrust, disrespect, or infidelity'). There were nuances in how each group articulated some of these barriers and East African women expressed unique concerns that originated from experiences in their home countries.

Conclusions—The study shed light into the complexity of factors that constrain women from presenting themselves voluntarily for an HIV test and highlighted the nuances between African American and East African perceptions. Implications of findings for effective targeted HIV screening promotion and communication strategies among these groups of women are discussed.

Keywords

HIV Testing; African Americans; East Africans; Immigrants; Washington; Attitudes

INTRODUCTION

Washington, D.C. has the highest rate of HIV in the United States (U.S.), with an HIV prevalence that is nearly 10 times that of the entire U.S. [1–3]. HIV infection is at a generalized epidemic level comparable to that of several countries in sub-Saharan Africa, [1,2] with 2.4% (15,506) of D.C. residents living with HIV [3]. Black women continue to be disproportionately affected by HIV, accounting for 92.2% of all infections among women, yet representing 55% of the female population citywide [3,4].

The foreign-born population accounted for 14.4% of the population in Washington, D.C. in 2013 [5], with a large number consisting of African-born immigrants. Specifically, the percentage of the foreign-born population from Africa in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area (13 %) was more than three times the national percentage (4 %) [6]. The region's 161,000 Africans as a group are second in absolute size only to Africans in New York (212,000) [6]. One of every five Black African immigrants is from Ethiopia, which represents 19% of the total African immigrant population in the area [7].

Foreign-born Blacks in D.C. comprise 46% of all foreign-born persons living with HIV and a third of these cases are born in an African country [8]. Furthermore, a recent study demonstrated that African-born women, in particular, account for a substantial percentage of HIV diagnoses (57.4%) compared to native-born Black women (35.8%) [9].

One of the biggest obstacles to controlling HIV is that a substantial number of people living with the virus are undiagnosed. Despite HIV testing being the single largest HIV prevention campaign funded by the U.S. government [10], a study conducted by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimated that approximately half (49%) of new HIV infections originate with the 20% of individuals living with the virus and are unaware of their infection [11]. Moreover, foreign-born blacks have a lower rate of recent HIV testing and are more likely to be diagnosed with AIDS within one year of their HIV diagnoses compared to U.S.-born blacks, which is attributed to late testing [9].

To date, there are few U.S. studies that distinguish between African American and African-born women. Moreover, little is known about East African immigrant women (who represent a large share of African population in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area) and

their culture-specific perceptions related to HIV testing. Foreign-born Black individuals are typically categorized as “Black” or “African American” in studies and country of origin is rarely reported. This can often lead to inconsistent data [8, 9]. Efforts to effectively engage these groups in HIV testing strategies are hindered if there is limited understanding of their attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors regarding HIV testing.

To fill this critical gap, a community-based qualitative study was conducted in collaboration with *The Women’s Collective* (a D.C.-based nonprofit agency that serves mainly low-income Black women living with or at-risk for HIV/AIDS) to examine and compare African American and East African women’s HIV testing attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors.

METHODS

Study Participants

The study sample comprised 20 African American and 20 East African immigrant women (from Ethiopia, Eritrea, Kenya, Tanzania, and Uganda) between the ages of 18 and 49 who reside in the Washington, D.C. metropolitan area. Eligibility criteria included: being female; between the ages of 18 and 49; self-identifying as African American or East African. Although having obtained an HIV test was not an eligibility criterion, all the study participants had gotten tested at least once.

Two trained female recruiters (one African American and one Ethiopian) used a non-probability maximum variation sampling technique [12] to purposefully select a sample of participants who met eligibility criteria and represented diverse experiences and backgrounds (i.e., socioeconomic level, education level, religion, etc.) related to the phenomenon of interest [12]. They began the recruitment process by posting fliers at various sites throughout the Washington, DC metropolitan area (including hair salons, grocery stores, community colleges, universities, churches, etc.) that serve a largely East African-born population. The recruiters also actively promoted the study in-person at various social service and community agencies.

Data Collection

We adopted an inductive, qualitative methodological approach for the study [13]. Between October 2012 and March 2013, three trained female interviewers (one African American and two African-born) conducted a total of 40 in-depth, semi-structured qualitative interviews. Each interview lasted approximately 1.5 hours and was conducted in English, except for one, which was conducted in Amharic. The interviews were held either at the participant’s home or in a private study room at a local library.

The interview guide included questions such as: What first comes to mind when you hear the word HIV testing? Can you share with me an example of how someone you know who got an HIV test was viewed in your community?

A brief demographic questionnaire followed the interview. All interviews were recorded and analytic memos [12, 13] were created following each interview. Data saturation was achieved with the sample as there was no new information that emerged with subsequent

interviews. Participants received a \$40 gift card incentive. American University's Institutional Review Board approved the study protocol.

Data Analysis

The data analysis team consisted of the authors and the three interviewers. We conducted qualitative thematic analysis using ATLAS.ti (version 7.0) [13–14]. We first developed verbatim transcripts of the audiotaped interviews and checked them for accuracy. Pseudonyms were used to protect participants' confidentiality. Data analysis followed the detailed steps as outlined by the first author in a previous qualitative HIV research study with Cape Verdean immigrant women [15]. Refer to Appendix for details. To ensure data validity, we conducted four rounds of interpretive validity member-checks [12] and refined our analyses based on the feedback we received.

RESULTS

Socio-demographic Characteristics of Study Sample

Table 1 summarizes the socio-demographic characteristics of the study sample and includes participant numbers that correspond with salient quotes presented in Table 2.

Intergroup Differences in HIV Testing Behavior and Attitudes

Analyses revealed differences in HIV testing behaviors between African American and East African participants. A majority of East African participants (90%) was inadvertently tested for HIV, with most being tested during a medical encounter for another health issue or at a hospital emergency department. Others obtained mandatory HIV testing for immigration or employment-related purposes.

Conversely, only half of African American participants were tested inadvertently during an annual physical or a medical encounter for another health issue. Slightly more than half of African American participants in contrast to only two East African participants were tested intentionally at their doctor's office or an HIV testing site.

African American women generally held more favorable attitudes toward HIV testing compared to East African women (Table 2). Conversely, East Africans' held more unfavorable attitudes as illustrated by an Ethiopian who stated: "Most people from my community do not go out and get tested. We do not feel like we need it. No one I know does it regularly" (Participant 13).

Theme Clusters and Meta-Themes

The two meta-themes identified and their associated theme clusters are reported below. The meta-themes reflect the motivators and barriers to HIV testing. An overview of these findings and sample quotes are shown in Table 2.

Meta-theme 1: Motivators to HIV Testing

Cluster 1: Positive aspects associated with HIV testing

HIV prevention should be a way of life: African Americans advocated a “pragmatic, no-nonsense approach” to HIV testing, referring to the need for making HIV prevention “a way of life.” These participants acknowledged, however, that routine HIV testing was still not a reality in the community.

Conversely, East Africans did not view HIV prevention as a “way of life.” Rather than testing, they viewed the important role of “religion as protection” in their lives: “Our religion supports being abstinent until you get married. So religion has some good things for preventing HIV” (Participant 19). These perceptions, in part, stemmed from their experiences in their home countries, where preventive services are not easily available, nor part of a cultural ideology. They spoke of long waits and unsanitary conditions at public clinics, and the lack of proper counseling with HIV testing.

Getting an HIV test can provide me with peace of mind: While several African Americans described how finding out one’s status can provide one with a sense of comfort, none of the East Africans did.

Seeking an HIV test is about loving myself: African Americans also stated that getting an HIV test demonstrates a healthy self-esteem. Very few East Africans brought up the role of self-esteem in deciding to get tested.

Meta-theme 2: Barriers to HIV Testing

Cluster 2: Negative assumptions associated with HIV testing

Getting an HIV test implies that I have engaged in ‘bad’ behavior: Participants across both groups mentioned that community members would assume that those who seek HIV testing have “done something wrong.” East Africans embedded these “bad” behaviors in the language of their religious beliefs, viewing these behaviors as having transgressed religious norms.

Getting an HIV test implies that I am HIV positive: Participants in both groups also stated that community members assume that if individuals get tested for HIV, it means they have HIV.

Cluster 3: Negative emotions associated with HIV testing

Fear of being diagnosed with HIV and what it may mean for me: Participants in both groups shared that HIV testing evoked fear about the possibility of being diagnosed with HIV and having to endure severe personal and social consequences. Because of these fears, many participants preferred not to know their HIV status. For East Africans, these fears originated from experiences in their home countries; the majority of participants acknowledged how a family member or someone in their community had lost their job or housing due to the fact that the individual was suspected of having HIV. East Africans also talked about the unique immigration-related fears that HIV testing brought up for them.

Worry about gossip and others' negative judgments about me: Participants in both groups also brought up being wary of going to a clinic or other site to get tested for fear that they might run into people whom they knew and be judged or that gossip would start regarding one's status, which was an influential force in discouraging women from getting tested.

Worry that information regarding HIV diagnosis is not confidential: East Africans worried that their HIV test results would not be kept confidential and subsequently this concern deterred them from seeking an HIV test. They conflated the notions of confidentiality and anonymity. In doing so, they contrasted experiences related to privacy in their home country with those in the U.S. In their home country anonymous testing meant that they did not need to give any identifying information. However, they were worried that it was not private because they ran the risk of seeing people they knew at the clinic or providers sharing their results with others. These participants stated that they were surprised, however, when they came to the U.S. that one was required to provide identifying information to obtain an HIV test and this seemed contradictory to "true" privacy.

Uncomfortable with invasive questions prior to being tested: Several participants in both groups spoke about the fact that they were uncomfortable with the questions they were asked prior to getting tested.

Annoyed with being asked whether wanted to be tested while in a medical emergency: East Africans only mentioned being bothered about having been approached about an HIV test during a medical emergency.

Cluster 4: Potential negative reaction from partner or others due to HIV testing

Getting an HIV test can signal distrust, disrespect, or infidelity: African Americans brought up the negative verbal, psychological, and/or physical reaction they sometimes faced if they brought up HIV testing with their partner. A few East Africans mentioned that seeking an HIV test might imply that one is suspicious of one's husband being unfaithful.

Getting an HIV test can lead to argument with family members: Several East Africans and only a very few African Americans shared how family members were upset with them for talking about HIV testing.

DISCUSSION

This is the first qualitative study to compare the attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors toward HIV testing of African American and East African immigrant women in Washington, D.C. Findings revealed that while a majority of East Africans did not present themselves voluntarily for an HIV test, slightly more than half of African Americans did. These findings are consistent with a recent study which found that foreign-born Blacks are more likely than native-born Blacks to be diagnosed with AIDS within one year of their HIV diagnoses, suggesting that they are likely not proactively seeking HIV testing and may be delaying testing until it is too late [9].

It is unlikely that many of the participants, especially East Africans who held unfavorable views toward HIV testing, would have gotten tested for HIV had it not been offered by a healthcare provider. Thus, healthcare providers play a critical role in prompting women to get tested. These findings support the importance of strengthening the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention approach of expanded, non-risk-based opt-out screening.

There are, however, unique barriers surrounding HIV testing (e.g., immigration-related fears and fears about losing employment or housing) that emerged among East Africans in the study that would need to be addressed to effectively promote HIV testing among this population. For example, information regarding the U.S. HIV travel ban having been revoked in 2010, which means that individuals with HIV/AIDS can travel to the U.S. and can seek permanent residence status, would be useful information to present during pretest counseling and other education dissemination forums. Furthermore, information regarding federal and state laws that prohibit employment and housing discrimination against a person who is HIV-positive would be helpful.

Another unique barrier that emerged among East Africans only was the worry they expressed over the lack of confidentiality regarding their HIV results. It would, thus, be beneficial to offer these women information in their native language regarding the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA), which ensures the privacy of individuals' HIV test results along with comprehensible information about the differences between confidential and anonymous testing [25]. Expanding opportunities for anonymous testing may also offer an invaluable way to circumvent worries regarding confidentiality.

There were also nuances in how each group articulated and experienced several HIV testing barriers. For example, although women in both groups mentioned worry about rumors spreading in the community if seen obtaining an HIV test, East Africans did not solely focus on the consequences to themselves as did African Americans. East Africans described how getting an HIV test would give a bad name to their family as a whole and not simply to the individual who obtained the HIV test.

Our findings underscore that a focus on individual HIV testing behavior alone without addressing the interpersonal, family, and community contexts may limit the success of HIV testing interventions, particularly among the East African community where there is less buy-in regarding HIV screening compared to the African American community overall [17, 27]. Given the tight-knit community networks among the women in this study, it seems that community perceptions and attitudes exert a greater influence than individual-level factors, especially among East Africans whereby the potential stigmatization within and toward the family related to HIV testing is of greater concern than possible individual-level advantages of HIV testing.

Although there is a plethora of studies examining the stigma attached to persons living with HIV and AIDS (PLWHA) [18–20], this study reveals that getting an HIV test itself is stigmatizing for these women. The promotion of HIV testing, therefore, should coincide with culturally sensitive pretest and posttest counseling, which may contribute to a reduction of stigma and higher uptake of voluntary testing [21]. Community-based participatory

interventions that aim at engaging community members to educate others and promote positive messages that reduce HIV-related stigma are also more likely to have greater impact at reducing stigma than individually targeted measures [24, 26, 27].

Moreover, fear of receiving a positive diagnosis represented a salient barrier to testing overall. These findings are consistent with other studies that examined high-risk, untested persons [22–24]. A potentially effective strategy to promote HIV testing among these women is to present opportunities to learn from PLWHA who can serve as models [24]. Witnessing PLWHA in overall good health condition and hearing their testimonies about how to cope with HIV could be an effective way to promote HIV testing.

This study has limitations. As with other qualitative studies, our study comprised a purposeful sample. However, we attempted to achieve a more representative sample by adopting a sampling method that maximizes variation. Despite the limited generalizability, the use of a rigorous, qualitative methodology allowed us to gather in-depth information to improve understanding of these women's HIV testing perceptions and attitudes, which is difficult to elicit through close-ended questions. Other strengths of the study include the use of a community-based, participatory research approach, the use of multiple coders to check reliability, and member-checking with participants, non-participants, and CAB members to ensure data validity.

Despite the limitations, these findings provide much-needed data on the nuances in HIV testing attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors among these subgroups, which are vital to understand how best to tailor messages for each subgroup so that they resonate with these different audiences [24, 26, 27].

In conclusion, the study findings suggest that addressing HIV testing promotion among Black women in Washington, DC will require distinct approaches rather than a one-size-fits-all approach, taking into account the differences in behaviors and attitudes as well as some unique concerns among East African-born women as described above. Culturally responsive targeted HIV testing promotion and communication strategies that resonate with these populations are therefore recommended rather than universal test-and-treat strategies. Efforts to effectively promote and expand HIV testing will be critical for achieving the goals of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention approach of expanded, non-risk-based opt-out screening.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

We thank the study participants and *The Women's Collective* staff including June Pollydore, and Darence Wilson for all their time and efforts. We also thank Tserha Gebreamlak, Marcia Ellis, Kate Tisdell, Sheila Kasasa, Rebekah Israel, and Laura Morrow for their invaluable contributions to the study.

Funding

This research was supported by the District of Columbia Developmental Center for AIDS Research (DC D-CFAR), an NIH-funded program (P30AI087714).

References

1. Hall HI, Espinoza L, Benbow N, Hu YW. for the Urban Areas HIV Surveillance Workgroup. Epidemiology of HIV infection in large urban areas in the United States. PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(9):e12756. [PubMed: 20856793]
2. UNAIDS. 2008 report on the global AIDS epidemic. 2008 [Internet]. Available from: http://www.unaids.org/en/knowledgeCentre/HIVData/GlobalReport/2008/2008_Global_Report.asp.
3. District of Columbia HIV/AIDS, Hepatitis, STD and TA. Washington, DC: 2012. The District of Columbia 2012 annual epidemiology and surveillance report. Available from: <http://doh.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/doh/publication/attachments/2012AESRFINAL.pdf> [cited 2014 Jun 5]
4. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. [cited 2014 July 10] HIV among African Americans. 2014. Available from: http://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/risk_HIV_AfricanAmericans.pdf. Published February 2014.
5. Migration Policy Institute. State Immigration Data Profiles: District of Columbia. 2013 Available from: <http://www.migrationpolicy.org/data/state-profiles/state/demographics/DC>.
6. Gambino, CP.; Trevelyan, EN.; Fitzwater, JT. [cited 2014 November 10] The Foreign-Born Population From Africa: 2008–2012: American Community Survey Briefs. 2014 Oct. Available from: <http://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2014/acs/acsbr12-16.pdf>
7. Wilson JH. African-born blacks in the Washington, D.C., metro area. Population Reference Bureau. 2012 <http://www.prb.org/Publications/Articles/2008/blackImmigrantsdc.aspx>.
8. Willis LA, Opoku J, Murray A, et al. Diagnoses of Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) infection among foreign-born persons living in the District of Columbia. J Immigrant Minority Health. 2013 Jul. (epub ahead of print).
9. Johnson AS, Hu X, Dean H. Epidemiologic differences between native-born and foreign-born black people diagnosed with HIV infection in 33 U.S. states, 2001–2007. Public Health Rep. 2010; 125(Suppl 4):61–69. [PubMed: 20626194]
10. Schwacz S, Richards TA, Frank H, et al. Identifying barriers to HIV testing: personal and contextual factors associated with late HIV testing. AIDS Care. 23(7):892–900. 20.
11. Hall HI, Holtgrave DR, Maulsby C. HIV transmission rates from persons living with HIV who are aware and unaware of their infection. AIDS. 2012; 26(7):893–896. [PubMed: 22313960]
12. Maykut, P.; Morehouse, R. Beginning Qualitative Research: A Philosophic and Practical Guide. London: Routledge Falmer; 2000.
13. Luborsky, MR. The identification and analysis of themes and patterns. In: Gubrium, JF.; Sankar, A., editors. Qualitative methods in aging research. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications; 1994. p. 189-210.
14. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. Qual Res Psychol. 2006; 3:77–101.
15. De Jesus M. HIV/AIDS and immigrant Cape Verdean women: Contextualized perspectives of Cape Verdean community advocates. Am J Commun Psychol. 2007; 39(1–2):121–131.
16. Bernard, HR. Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 2000.
17. De Jesus M, Carrete C, Maine C, Nalls P. “Getting tested is almost like going to the Salem witch trials”: discordant discourses between Western public health messages and sociocultural expectations surrounding HIV testing among East African immigrant women. AIDS Care. 2015 Feb. (e-pub ahead of print).
18. Zhang YJ, Fan YG, Dai SY, et al. HIV/AIDS stigma among older PLWHA in south rural China. Int J Nurs Pract. 2014 Mar. (epub ahead of print).
19. Ramirez-Valles J, Molina Y, Dirkes J. Stigma Towards PLWHA: The role of internalized homosexual stigma in Latino gay/bisexual male and transgender communities. AIDS Educ Prev. 2013; 25(3):179–189. [PubMed: 23631713]

20. Okoror TA, Falade CO, Olorunlana A, et al. Exploring the cultural context of HIV stigma on antiretroviral therapy adherence among people living with HIV/AIDS in southwest Nigeria. *AIDS Patient Care STDS*. 2013; 27(1):55–64. [PubMed: 23305262]
21. Kalichman SC, Simbayi LC. HIV testing Attitudes, AIDS stigma, and voluntary HIV counselling and testing in a Black township in Cape Town, South Africa. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2003; 79:442–447. [PubMed: 14663117]
22. Kellerman SE, Lehman JS, Lansky A, et al. HIV testing within at-risk populations in the United States and the reasons for seeking or avoiding HIV testing. *JAIDS*. 2002; 31(2):202–210. [PubMed: 12394799]
23. MacKellar DA, Valleroy LA, Secura GM, et al. Unrecognized HIV infection, risk behaviours, and perceptions of risk among young men who have sex with men: opportunities for advancing HIV prevention in the third decade of HIV/AIDS. *JAIDS*. 2005; 38(5):603–614. [PubMed: 15793373]
24. Manirankunda L, Loos J, Alou TA, et al. “It's better not to know”: perceived barriers to HIV voluntary counseling and testing among Sub-Saharan African migrants in Belgium. *AIDS Educ Prev*. 2009; 21(6):582–593. [PubMed: 20030501]
25. [cited 2014 Jul 9] [AIDS.gov](http://www.aids.gov/hiv-aids-basics/prevention/hiv-testing/confidential-anonymous-testing/) Confidential & anonymous testing. 2010. [updated 2010 Jun 8]. Available from <http://www.aids.gov/hiv-aids-basics/prevention/hiv-testing/confidential-anonymous-testing/>
26. Kesby M, Fenton K, Boyle P, et al. An agenda for future research on HIV and sexual behaviour among African migrant communities in the UK. *Soc Sci Med*. 2003; 57(9):1573–1592. [PubMed: 12948568]
27. McCree, DH.; Eke, A.; Williams, SP. Dyadic, small group, and community-level behavioural interventions for STD/HIV prevention. In: Aral, SO.; Douglas, JM., editors. *Behavioural interventions for prevention and control of sexually transmitted diseases*. New York, NY: Springer US; 2007. p. 105-124.

Key Messages

- Need to understand how African American and African immigrant women perceive HIV testing and barriers to improve prevention efforts for these groups
- African Americans hold more favorable views overall toward HIV testing than East African immigrant women
- Although similarities emerged across the two groups related to HIV testing barriers, differences were identified in how each group articulated and experienced these barriers
- Addressing HIV testing promotion among Black women in Washington, DC will require distinct and targeted strategies rather than one-size-fits-all approach given existing intergroup differences

Sociodemographic Information of East African Immigrant Women (n=20) and African American Women (n=20) in Washington, DC Metropolitan Area

Table 1

Participant Number	Age	Education Level	Employment Status	Annual Household Income	Marital Status	Health Insurance	Religion
1	40	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	Orthodox Christian
2	29	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Married/In relationship	Private**	Other Christian
3	24	Graduate degree	Employed	\$50K plus	Single	Private**	Orthodox Christian
4	28	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Married/In relationship	Government program*	Orthodox Christian
5	36	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	Orthodox Christian
6	49	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Married/In relationship	Private**	Orthodox Christian
7	25	Graduate degree	Employed	\$50K plus	Single	Private**	Other Christian
8	26	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Single	Private**	Orthodox Christian
9	38	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	Orthodox Christian
10	20	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Married/In relationship	Private**	Other Christian
11	20	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Other	Government program*	Non-Christian
12	22	Graduate degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Married/In relationship	Private**	Other Christian
13	46	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Married/In relationship	Government program*	Orthodox Christian
14	22	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$50K plus	Single	Private**	Other Christian

Participant Number	Age	Education Level	Employment Status	Annual Household Income	Marital Status	Health Insurance	Religion
15	21	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Other	Private**	Orthodox Christian
16	34	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Single	Private**	Orthodox Christian
17	30	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Single	Private**	Orthodox Christian
18	34	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Single	Private**	Orthodox Christian
19	47	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$50K plus	Other	Private**	Orthodox Christian
20	29	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	Other Christian
21	48	Graduate degree	Employed	\$50K plus	Married/In relationship	Private**	Baptist
22	22	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None
23	22	High school or less	Employed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Married/In relationship	Government program*	None
24	29	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	Baptist
25	23	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None
26	44	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Single	Private**	Baptist
27	47	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	Baptist
28	49	Associate's/ Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Married/In relationship	Private**	Other Christian
29	48	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None

Participant Number	Age	Education Level	Employment Status	Annual Household Income	Marital Status	Health Insurance	Religion
30	39	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None
31	19	High school or less	Employed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	None	None
32	22	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None
33	38	High school or less	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	None	Baptist
34	32	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Married/In relationship	Private**	Other Christian
35	31	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None
36	30	Some college, no degree	Employed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None
37	33	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Married/In relationship	Government program*	Non-Christian
38	34	Associate's/Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$25K–\$49,999K	Single	Private**	Other Christian
39	41	Associate's/Bachelor's degree	Employed	\$50K plus	Married/In relationship	Private**	Other Christian
40	31	Some college, no degree	Unemployed	\$5K–\$24,999K	Single	Government program*	None

Table 2

An overview of descriptive subthemes and theme clusters

Meta-theme 1: Motivators to HIV Testing

Cluster 1: Positive aspects associated with HIV testing

HIV prevention should be a way of life (only African American women)

- “People should see the HIV test as a routine test like getting a flu shot or getting your cholesterol checked.” (AA Participant 32)

Getting an HIV test can provide me with peace of mind (only African American women)

- “Getting tested gives you one less thing to worry about. It gives you peace of mind” (AA Participant 25)

Seeking an HIV test is about loving myself (mostly African American women)

- “You need to care and love yourself that much to wanna get up and go to the clinic to get tested” (AA Participant 28)

Meta-theme 2: Barriers to HIV Testing

Cluster 2: Negative assumptions associated with HIV testing

Getting an HIV test implies that I have engaged in ‘bad’ behavior (both African American and East African women)

- “People think you are going [to get tested] because you’ve been into something bad. ‘Cause if you’re not doing anything wrong, then why are you going in there?” (AA Participant 31)
- “Our behavior should follow what our religion dictates (e.g., abstinence and monogamy), otherwise our behavior is immoral” (EA Participant 15)

Getting an HIV test implies that I am HIV positive (both African American and East African women)

- “I know personally how it feels for people to stare at you when you’re going to get tested for HIV. They assume you are positive before they even know if you’re sick, before you even know if you’re sick or not” (AA Participant 29)
- “In our community the moment you go out and get tested, it automatically means you have HIV” (EA Participant 13).

Cluster 3: Negative emotions associated with HIV testing

Fear of being diagnosed with HIV and what it may mean for me (both African American and East African women)

- “Women are hesitant because they are afraid of what the results might be and if they are HIV positive, how people will treat or view them” (AA Participant 28).
- “Learning that you are HIV positive diagnosis means that your plans for living permanently or reuniting with family members in the U.S. are destroyed” (EA Participant 5)

Worry about gossip and others’ negative judgments about me (both African American and East African women)

- “Women are worried about who is going to see them. If they go by this building, people are going to think they might be going for HIV testing. Women then start thinking ‘You know I’m just not gonna go’ so that they don’t get judged” (AA Participant 24)
- “It all comes down to reputation. There is a lot of talk and word travels. In the end getting an HIV test just tarnishes you and embarrasses your family” (EA Participant 8)

Worry that information regarding HIV diagnosis is not confidential (only East African women)

- “I would just be too scared to go get tested. Some of us immigrants don’t have health insurance and so we have to go to certain clinics and I don’t think it’s possible without bearing the consequence of possibly having leaked information” (EA Participant 5)

Uncomfortable with invasive questions prior to being tested (both African American and East African women)

- “I really don’t see the point but they ask you a lot of personal questions, which made me uncomfortable” (AA Participant 30)
- “Too many private questions asked, number of partners, questions related to sex practices” (EA Participant 1)

Annoyed with being asked whether wanted to be tested while in a medical emergency (only East African women)

- “It was random. I went to the hospital emergency department when I was sick and they asked me if they could test me for HIV. I am sick. How am I going to think about HIV testing? It was so annoying for me” (EA Participant 9)

Cluster 4: Potential negative reaction from partner or others due to HIV testing

Getting an HIV test can signal distrust, disrespect, or infidelity (mostly African American women)

- “When I told him [male partner] I got an HIV test and I was negative, he was like, ‘Why you got tested?’; I am like, ‘What do you mean why?’ ‘Cause I need to,’ and he’s like, ‘Well I am the only one you deal with, right?’” (AA Participant 28)
- People assume you must not have enough faith in your partner if you’re going to get checked. The notion is that because you’re going to get checked you must have suspicions about the relationship” (EA Participant 10)

Getting an HIV test can lead to argument with family members (mostly East African women)

- The first thing they were thinking was, ‘Why would you have to go get tested unless you are doing something that’s no good?’ They were very upset” (EA Participant 12)

AA: African American; EA: East African