Published in final edited form as:

AIDS. 2015 November ; 29(17): 2335–2345. doi:10.1097/QAD.0000000000000834.

Incidence of sexually-transmitted hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-positive men who have sex with men: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Holly HAGAN, Ashly E. JORDAN, Joshua NEURER, and Charles M. CLELAND

Center for Drug Use and HIV Research, College of Nursing, New York University, New York NY

Abstract

Objectives—The epidemiology of the incidence of sexually-transmitted hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in HIV-positive men who have sex with men (HIV+MSM) is only partially understood. In the presence of HIV, HCV infection is more likely to become chronic and liver fibrosis progression is accelerated.

Design—A systematic review and meta-analysis was used to synthesize data characterizing sexually transmitted HCV in HIV+MSM.

Methods—Electronic and other searches of medical literature (including unpublished reports) were conducted. Eligible studies reported on HCV seroconversion or on reinfection post-successful HCV treatment in HIV+MSM who were not injecting drugs. Pooled incidence rates were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis, and meta-regression was used to assess study-level moderators. Attributable risk measures were calculated from statistically significant associations between exposures and HCV seroconversion.

Results—More than 13,000 HIV+MSM in 17 studies were followed >91,000 person-years (PY) between 1984–2012; the pooled seroconversion rate was 0.53/100PY. Calendar time was a significant moderator of HCV seroconversion, increasing from an estimated rate of 0.42/100PY in 1991 to 1.09/100PY in 2010, and 1.34/100PY in 2012. Reinfection post-successful HCV treatment (n=2 studies) was 20 times higher than initial seroconversion rates. Among the seroconverters, a large proportion of infections were attributable to high risk behaviors including mucosally-traumatic sex and sex while high on methamphetamine.

Conclusions—The high reinfection rates and the attributable risk analysis suggest the existence of a subset of HIV+MSM with recurring sexual exposure to HCV. Approaches to HCV control in this population will need to consider the changing epidemiology of HCV infection in MSM.

Keywords

HIV; hepatitis C; incidence; homosexuality; male; sexually-transmitted diseases; meta-analysis; epidemiology

INTRODUCTION

Since 2000, there have been multiple reports of outbreaks of sexually-transmitted acute hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection in HIV-positive men who have sex with men (HIV+MSM) in urban areas of North America, Europe, Australia and Asia [1, 2]. Acute HCV infection is more likely to become persistent in the presence of HIV, and liver fibrosis progression in chronic HCV infection is more rapid even in patients with undetectable HIV viral loads [3]. The evidence points to blood as the medium of sexual HCV exposure in these cases [4–9]. Several factors facilitate excess HCV transmission in HIV+MSM compared to other populations where sexual transmission is very rare [10]. HCV viral loads are higher in semen and blood in the presence of HIV, and this may increase the likelihood that infectious carriers will transmit HCV infection [11, 12]. Mucosally-traumatic sexual practices, erosive genital lesions associated with STIs, and increased sexual disinhibition and prolonged periods of sexual activity related to the use of stimulant drugs may cause blood exposure during sex [8, 10, 13].

The purpose of this systematic review and meta-analysis was to synthesize data characterizing the incidence of sexual HCV transmission in HIV+MSM, and to examine study-level influences on HCV seroconversion rates including calendar time and risk of selection bias. In addition, data on individual risk behaviors were assessed in terms of the strength of the association with HCV seroconversion and the factor's contribution to new HCV infections in the HIV+MSM population. Rates of HCV reinfection following successful treatment were also synthesized. Extensive knowledge exists regarding HCV transmission via illicit drug use-related exposures, so the examination of drug use in the etiology of HCV infection in HIV+MSM was limited to its role as a facilitator of transmission via sex-related exposures.

METHODS

A detailed description of the methods for this systematic review and meta-analysis has been published [14] so a summary is provided here; in general, the methods followed published guidelines [15, 16]. The study protocol was also registered with the International Register of Prospective Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO; registration number CRD42013006462).

Search strategy

The search strategy was developed in consultation with a medical librarian. PubMed, EMBASE and BIOSIS databases were searched using terms that covered the themes HCV, HIV/AIDS, men who have sex with men, epidemiology, re-infection and transmission. Unpublished reports were located by searching conference proceedings (e.g., American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, European Association for the Study of the Liver, International Conference on HIV/AIDS, and Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections), investigators' personal files, and reference lists of reviews and related articles. Those conducting the search, screening and data coding had graduate training in research methodology and additional training in HCV epidemiology and systematic review and meta-analysis methods. The search encompassed English-language reports published or made available from January 1990 (after the HCV agent was

discovered) through February 28, 2015. Of note, no potentially-eligible, non-English language reports were encountered. In eight cases where reports were missing key data and authors were contacted, four (50%) responded and provided data.

Eligibility criteria & screening—Published and unpublished quantitative studies reporting incidence of HCV seroconversion and re-infection post-SVR (in the form of either incidence density or cumulative incidence rates) in male individuals who were HIV-positive and reported having sex with other men (HIV+MSM) were included. Studies reporting on factors associated with seroconversion in this population without incidence estimates, i.e., case-control studies, were also included. Reports that did not explicitly state that they excluded participants who practiced injection drug use during the period when infection likely occurred or did not provide separate estimates on non-injecting MSM were ineligible, because transmission via parenteral exposure is far more efficient and inclusion of these participants would have led to error in estimates of sexual transmission, toward higher rates [17, 18].

All reports must have used laboratory tests to ascertain HCV infection. Studies must have also met the European AIDS Treatment Network (European NEAT) Acute Hepatitis C Infection Consensus Panel preferred criteria for determining acute HCV or seroconversion [19], i.e., seroconversion or positive HCV RNA following a documented negative HCV RNA or negative HCV antibody test in the previous 12 months. Reinfection following treatment of HCV and SVR was defined as a change in genotype or to a different HCV clade as determined by phylogenetic analysis.

Data coding & quality ratings

The number of reports retrieved via the search, the number eligible and reasons for ineligibility were recorded (Fig. 1). Study years and location, features of the study design, and characteristics of the sample were abstracted from each report. In addition to cumulative incidence and incidence density estimates of HCV infection and re-infection, crude and adjusted measures of associations between infection and relevant exposures were also collected.

Screening and data collection protocols were pilot-tested until there was perfect agreement in determination of eligibility and data abstraction among the principal investigator, project director and research assistant. In addition, all screening and coding was reviewed for accuracy and completeness by the project director.

Studies were assigned quality ratings based on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, which assesses the likelihood of bias due to selection of study subjects, misclassification, and the likelihood of confounding in measures of association [20]. For this analysis, emphasis was placed on assessment of selection bias, to understand the extent to which the data may over- or underestimate incidence rates by virtue of subject-recruitment procedures. In assessing comparability, i.e., the validity of associations between exposures and HCV infection, higher quality ratings were given to reports that adjusted for confounding, in particular those that used data-based criteria to select covariates for adjustment [21, 22]. Misclassification of

exposure and outcome was addressed primarily by the exclusion of injection drug users and by the use of the European NEAT criteria.

Data analysis

Pooled HCV seroconversion rates and study-level moderators—Cumulative incidence measures were included in tables but not in the pooled estimates. In some cases, raw data in the reports were used to calculate the number of person years (PY) and the 95% confidence intervals around the rates (using Poisson estimation). Cochrane's Q statistic was used to determine whether observed heterogeneity in rates was compatible with chance, and inconsistency was quantified using the I^2 statistic [23]. Pooled incidence rates and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using random-effects meta-analysis calculated via linear mixed-effects model in the *metafor* package of R [24, 25]. The same method was used to calculate pooled rates of re-infection post-HCV treatment and SVR. A forest plot was created using the *ggplot2* package [26] of the R statistical computing environment [27].

Study-level covariates were defined by available information from each study; covariate selection was ultimately limited by lack of homogeneous subsets across studies and low reporting of covariates in the studies. However, some data were available for examination. Calendar time was examined as a potential moderator of seroconversion rates. Six reports included HCV incidence rates for individual years. Other reports gave incidence rates for intervals spanning fewer than four years; we assigned the midpoint study year to these rates to permit the inclusion of additional data points in the analysis of incidence trends over time. One report [28] included a plot of incidence over time for individual years as well as numerical incidence rates for the first and last study years (1998 and 2011); observing essentially no change from 1998 to 2008 and then a linear increase to the 2011 incidence rate, we held the incidence rate constant from 1998 to 2008 and then interpolated (i.e., constructed intermediate data points) between 2008 and 2011 incidence rates to estimate annual rates. Degree of precision for interpolated incidence estimates was held constant across study years based on the confidence bands in the plot and the Swiss HIV Cohort Study methodology. Selection bias was also examined as a study-level moderator of incidence. Selection method was categorized as convenience vs. consecutive sampling, under the assumption that convenience sampling may have led to over-representation of those at elevated risk of HCV.

In separate analysis, selection bias and year of data collection were included as incidence rate moderators in meta-regression analysis. Convenience sampling was dummy coded with consecutive sampling as the reference category, making the intercept an estimate of incidence among studies with potentially less-biased sampling, and the coefficient for sampling bias an estimate of the increase in incidence rate due to convenience sampling. To allow for curvature in the trend of incidence rates over time, linear and quadratic polynomial terms were included in the meta-regression analysis of year of data collection. Year of data collection was centered at 2010, making the intercept an estimate of incidence in 2010 and the linear term an estimate of the slope in 2010. Fitted values (predictions) of HCV incidence were calculated from the fixed-effects coefficients of meta-regression results, with

standard errors and 95% confidence intervals of predictions taking into account sampling error within and across studies [24].

Individual risk behaviors—Several studies, including the case-control studies, reported the association between HCV seroconversion and behavioral factors. However, there was little consistency across studies in the way factors were analyzed, which precluded pooling effect size estimates. Therefore, behavioral risk data were summarized in a table showing adjusted estimates of their association with HCV seroconversion. Using standard formulas, attributable risk measures were calculated for factors with a statistically significant association with HCV seroconversion after adjustment, because it was reasonable to conclude some fraction of disease could be attributed to them [29]. The attributable risk percentage in the underlying HIV+MSM population (population attributable risk percentage, PAR%) was also calculated by standard methodology, using the prevalence of exposure to the factor in question among the control (non-seroconverter) participants to estimate population prevalence [29].

RESULTS

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses [30]) flow diagram describes each phase of this study's systematic review of HCV incidence among HIV-positive MSM (Fig. 1). A total of 1117 potentially-eligible reports were identified through the search of electronic databases; another 14 were located through searches of conference abstracts and other sources. After excluding 352 duplicates, the remaining 779 abstracts were screened and a subset (173) were reviewed as full-text articles. After this screening step, 21 reports of HCV seroconversion [4, 7, 28, 31–48] and four re-infection reports [49–52] were eligible. Reasons for ineligibility are also shown in Figure 1.

Seventeen of the 21 eligible studies of HCV seroconversion (81%) provided incidence density data (n seroconversions, n person-years, PY) that could be used to calculate pooled rates; 3/17 were conference abstracts. Two were case-control studies that reported on the association between HCV seroconversion and exposures. Two reports [41, 53] were from the Swiss HIV Cohort Study (<http://www.shcs.ch/>) and two were from the Amsterdam Cohort Study (<https://www.amsterdamcohortstudies.org/acsc/index.asp>) and so only one estimate of incidence from each cohort [47, 53] was included in the calculation of the pooled rate, leaving 15 unique estimates of incidence density. As shown in Table 1, cohort study periods spanned 1984 – 2012; this included the testing of stored sera after HCV screening tests became available [54]. Half of the studies were from Europe, four from the US, three from Asia and two from Australia. All took place in high-income countries and in urban settings. In the majority of studies, convenience sampling was used to select study participants; consecutive sampling was used in six cases. In 55% of reports, participants were recruited from HIV clinics. Other recruitment locations included hospitals, STI clinics, “social venues for MSM”, and community-based organizations. Each study's inclusion criteria are described in Table 1.

Pooled HCV seroconversion rates and study-level moderators

More than 13,000 subjects were followed in 15 unique studies to observe 497 cases of HCV seroconversion over 93,100 person-years (PY) using incidence density estimation (Fig. 2). HCV incidence rates ranged from 0.00/100PY to 1.40/100PY. The pooled incidence rate was 0.53/100PY (95% CI: 0.49 – 0.58). In meta-analysis, selection bias was not a significant moderator of seroconversion rates. Reports using convenience sampling had a pooled rate of 0.65/100PY vs. 0.47/100PY in reports using consecutive sampling ($QM(df = 1) = 0.68, p = .41$). Significant residual heterogeneity in incidence remained after taking selection bias into account ($QE(df = 11) = 153.5597, p\text{-value} < .0001; I^2 = 92.60, 95\% \text{ CI: } 83.82 - 97.51$).

Calendar time, however, was a significant moderator of HCV seroconversion rates ($QM(df = 2) = 7.50, p\text{-value} = 0.02$). Figure 3 includes predictions of HCV incidence based on the meta-regression with calendar time as a moderator, including up to the present year. Estimated annual incidence rates ranged from a low of 0.42/100PY in 1991 (95% CI: 0.23 – 0.77) to 1.34/100PY in 2012 (95% CI: 0.76 – 2.36). Incidence in 2010 (centered) was 1.09/100PY (95% CI: 0.73 – 1.61), and the instantaneous rate of increase in log incidence in 2010 was 0.10 per year (95% CI: 0.003 – 0.197). The quadratic term showed no significant degree of curvature in the log incidence trend ($p = 0.27$). Significant residual heterogeneity in incidence remained after taking calendar time into account ($QE(df = 76) = 257.85, p < .0001; I^2 = 68.9, 95\% \text{ CI: } 50.53 - 74.16$).

Re-infection following SVR

Four studies reported on HCV re-infection in HIV+MSM following HCV treatment and SVR. Two studies provided incidence density estimates of re-infection – 15.2/100PY in Amsterdam [50], and 9.6/100PY in London [49]. The pooled rate for these two studies was 11.41/100PY (95% CI: 7.36 – 17.68). Both of these studies also reported high 2-year cumulative rates of re-infection (25–33%). Two other studies estimated that 16–28% were re-infected post SVR, but the amount of observation time was not given [51, 52].

Risk factors for HCV seroconversion

Only ten of the twenty-one studies of HCV seroconversion reported on associations with potentially causal exposures (sexual risk behavior, sex while using non-injection drugs, recent sexually transmitted infection or an HIV-related factor such as whether on anti-retroviral therapy, CD4 count or HIV viral load). However, six of the ten either did not provide adjusted estimates or included injection drug users or non-MSM in the risk factor analysis. This left four studies reporting adjusted associations between the potentially causal exposures and HCV seroconversion in HIV+MSM [4, 28, 41, 48]. As shown in Table 2, in the New York City case-control study [48] the adjusted odds ratio (AOR) for receptive anal intercourse without a condom, with ejaculation was 23.0 (95% CI 2.2–243.8) and the proportion of HCV infections in the cases that were attributable to this behavior was 95.7% (the AR%). The proportion of infections in the underlying HIV+MSM population attributable to receptive anal intercourse without a condom, with ejaculation (the PAR%) was 22%. In the German study [4], the AOR for rectal trauma during sex with bleeding was 6.2 (95% CI 1.2–32.8), the AR% was 71%, and (owing to a low prevalence of this exposure in the underlying population) the PAR% was 3.8%. Frequent receptive fisting without

gloves (or gloves shared) was associated with a six-fold excess risk of HCV seroconversion (95% CI 1.5–21.7), the AR% was 83% and the PAR% was also relatively low at 5%.

Two studies examined non-injection drug use. These include Fierer et al., where sex while high on methamphetamine was associated with a 28.6-fold elevated risk of HCV infection, but the PAR% was only 4% because of low prevalence of this exposure in controls [48]. The use of inhaled drugs was associated with HCV infection in study in Germany, and because half of the controls reported this exposure, the PAR% was 36%. None of the included studies reported statistically significant adjusted estimates of the association between HIV-related factors (CD4, HIV viral load or anti-retroviral therapy) and seroconversion.

DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION

In this systematic review and meta-analysis that included 13,000 HIV+MSM enrolled in 15 studies in urban areas of Europe, the US, Australia and Asia, the pooled rate of HCV seroconversion was 0.5/100PY. The data show an upward trend beginning in about 1995. If the trend identified in the meta-regression has continued to the present, current incidence may be as high as 1.92/100PY, but the predictions also show increasing uncertainty over the past several years (95% CI: 0.77 – 4.80). This suggests that additional primary data collection and updated meta-analyses should be a high priority to estimate the current burden of HCV infection.

These HCV incidence rates are still relatively low compared to people who inject drugs (PWID). Indeed, the highest rates of HCV infection in HIV+MSM do not reach the lower bound of the range in PWID (5 – 60/100PY) [55]. However, our analysis also showed that the pooled rate of re-infection following successful HCV treatment was twenty times higher than the rate of initial infection in HIV+MSM – 11/100PY – and two-year cumulative incidence post-SVR in two studies was 25–33%. Indeed, in one study, five HIV+MSM were re-infected more than once following SVR; and multiple re-infections were also observed in men who experienced spontaneous clearance [49]. Another study reported on two cases of HCV super-infection among HIV/HCV co-infected MSM engaging in high risk sexual behavior [56]. These data indicate that there exists a subgroup of HIV+MSM with recurring sexual exposure to HCV in whom the rates may begin to approach the risk of HCV infection among PWID.

The attributable risk analysis also supports the notion of a high-risk subset of HIV+MSM. A large proportion of infections in the HCV seroconverters were attributable to mucosally-traumatic sex and sex while high on methamphetamine. These exposures were infrequent in the non-seroconverters.

Ideally, an HCV prevention program for HIV+MSM engaging in high-risk sexual practices would integrate successful behavioral and biomedical approaches similar to currently recommended HIV prevention strategies that combine behavioral risk reduction interventions with pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). Unfortunately, chemoprophylaxis for HCV has not been developed and is unlikely to be available in the near future, and HIV-PrEP does not protect against sexually-transmitted HCV. In fact, there have been recent

reports of acute HCV in HIV-negative men engaging in high-risk practices with many on daily PrEP [57].

One of the main factors contributing to high HCV incidence rates among PWID is the high prevalence of infectious carriers (50–80%) in the underlying PWID population [58]. Increasing HCV transmission in HIV+MSM (and HIV-negative MSM) will similarly raise the prevalence of HCV-RNA in MSM communities and facilitate further spread. The observed increase in HCV incidence parallels a number of other trends in MSM communities, including increased use of social media geosocial sexual networking applications and its association with STIs, the increase in “seroadaptive” sexual behavior that includes unprotected sex between men with the same HIV status, and rising rates of STIs and use of “chemsex” drugs, including by injection [13, 59–61].

Recommendations for management of acute HCV in HIV+MSM center on detection through screening for elevated liver enzymes every three to six months and providing either early or delayed treatment, i.e., before or after a 12-week period to determine whether the patient has spontaneously cleared infection [63]. Research on the new direct-acting antiviral (DAA) treatments for acute HCV infection suggest they may be equally effective in curing HCV in mono- and HIV co-infected patients [64]. However, the high rates of reinfection and the cost of treatment with the new DAAs may impact on the feasibility of this approach to HCV control among HIV+MSM [65]. The changing epidemiology of HCV in MSM communities, toward increasing incidence and prevalence, will only add to the cost and scope of the problem.

There were several limitations to this study that must be acknowledged. As with all systematic reviews and meta-analyses, we were limited by the amount and type of data given in the original studies. There was relatively little reporting of exposure risk across the studies and in many cases sample sizes were too small to detect significant associations with HCV after adjustment for confounding. Greater reporting of non-significant associations would permit the pooling of subjects across studies, increasing power to detect significant covariates. Selection bias was the chief concern in synthesizing the HCV seroconversion rates, and there was limited description of study methods to clearly identify samples that may have over- or underestimated HCV risk. Therefore, our meta-regression may have failed to properly adjust for this source of bias. Our analysis of calendar time as a modifier of incidence relied upon interpolation for 12 of 79 incidence estimates, which may have over-estimated the precision of observed trends in incidence over time. However, the significant increase in incidence was also observed in the large primary study which required interpolation [28]. Additionally, although the seroconversion rates observed in the individual studies were at least an order of magnitude lower than in studies of PWID, it is conceivable that some PWID may have been inadvertently included in the HIV+MSM studies. This would have biased the rates upward.

The multifactorial nature of sexually transmitted HCV in HIV+MSM will require a combination approach addressing individual sexual and drug use behavior in the context of a changing epidemiology. A fuller understanding of the causal pathways is needed to identify effective strategies, and lessons learned about HIV prevention in MSM engaging in sexual

risk behavior are a useful starting point. The results of this study suggest that cumulative HCV incidence in HIV+MSM – the result of initial and reinfection – is perhaps a useful way to represent disease burden and to identify subgroups for targeted intervention.

Acknowledgments

This research was funded by a grant from the National Institutes of Health, NIH RO1 DA034637, and supported by the Center for Drug Use and HIV Research (NIH P30 DA011041).

References

1. van de Laar TJ, Matthews GV, Prins M, Danta M. Acute hepatitis C in HIV-infected men who have sex with men: an emerging sexually transmitted infection. *AIDS*. 2010; 24:1799–1812. [PubMed: 20601854]
2. Ghosn J, Pierre-Francois S, Thibault V, Duvivier C, Tubiana R, Simon A, et al. Acute hepatitis C in HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *HIV Med*. 2004; 5:303–306. [PubMed: 15236621]
3. Mastroianni CM, Lichtner M, Mascia C, Zuccala P, Vullo V. Molecular mechanisms of liver fibrosis in HIV/HCV coinfection. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2014; 15:9184–9208. [PubMed: 24865485]
4. Schmidt AJ, Rockstroh JK, Vogel M, An der Heiden M, Baillot A, Krznaric I, et al. Trouble with bleeding: risk factors for acute hepatitis C among HIV-positive gay men from Germany—a case-control study. *PLoS One*. 2011; 6:e17781. [PubMed: 21408083]
5. Pasquier C, Bujan L, Daudin M, Righi L, Berges L, Thauvin L, et al. Intermittent detection of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in semen from men with human immunodeficiency virus type 1 (HIV-1) and HCV. *J Med Virol*. 2003; 69:344–349. [PubMed: 12526044]
6. van de Laar T, Pybus O, Bruisten S, Brown D, Nelson M, Bhagani S, et al. Evidence of a large, international network of HCV transmission in HIV-positive men who have sex with men. *Gastroenterology*. 2009; 136:1609–1617. [PubMed: 19422083]
7. van de Laar TJ, van der Bij AK, Prins M, Bruisten SM, Brinkman K, Ruys TA, et al. Increase in HCV incidence among men who have sex with men in Amsterdam most likely caused by sexual transmission. *J Infect Dis*. 2007; 196:230–238. [PubMed: 17570110]
8. Danta M, Brown D, Bhagani S, Pybus OG, Sabin CA, Nelson M, et al. Recent epidemic of acute hepatitis C virus in HIV-positive men who have sex with men linked to high-risk sexual behaviours. *AIDS*. 2007; 21:983–991. [PubMed: 17457092]
9. Urbanus AT, van de Laar TJ, Stolte IG, Schinkel J, Heijman T, Coutinho RA, et al. Hepatitis C virus infections among HIV-infected men who have sex with men: an expanding epidemic. *AIDS*. 2009; 23:F1–7. [PubMed: 19542864]
10. Tohme RA, Holmberg SD. Is sexual contact a major mode of hepatitis C virus transmission? *Hepatology*. 2010; 52:1497–1505. [PubMed: 20635398]
11. Briat A, Dulioust E, Galimand J, Fontaine H, Chaix ML, Letur-Konirsch H, et al. Hepatitis C virus in the semen of men coinfecting with HIV-1: prevalence and origin. *AIDS*. 2005; 19:1827–1835. [PubMed: 16227790]
12. Hsieh MH, Tsai JJ, Hsieh MY, Huang CF, Yeh ML, Yang JF, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection among injection drug users with and without human immunodeficiency virus co-infection. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9:e94791. [PubMed: 24722534]
13. Kelley CF, Vaughan AS, Luisi N, Sanchez TH, Salazar LF, Frew PM, et al. The Effect of High Rates of Bacterial Sexually Transmitted Infections on HIV Incidence in a Cohort of Black and White Men Who Have Sex with Men in Atlanta, Georgia. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2015; 31:587–592. [PubMed: 25719950]
14. Hagan H, Neurer J, Jordan AE, Des Jarlais DC, Wu J, Dombrowski K, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection among HIV-positive men who have sex with men: protocol for a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Syst Rev*. 2014; 3:31. [PubMed: 24669911]

15. Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. *JAMA*. 2000; 283:2008–2012. [PubMed: 10789670]
16. Shamseer L, Moher D, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015: elaboration and explanation. *BMJ*. 2015; 349:g7647. [PubMed: 25555855]
17. Brettler DB, Mannucci PM, Gringeri A, Rasko JE, Forsberg AD, Rumi MG, et al. The low risk of hepatitis C virus transmission among sexual partners of hepatitis C-infected hemophilic males: an international, multicenter study. *Blood*. 1992; 80:540–543. [PubMed: 1627805]
18. Gerberding JL. Incidence and prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus, hepatitis B virus, hepatitis C virus, and cytomegalovirus among health care personnel at risk for blood exposure: final report from a longitudinal study. *J Infect Dis*. 1994; 170:1410–1417. [PubMed: 7995979]
19. European ATNAHCICP. Acute hepatitis C in HIV-infected individuals: recommendations from the European AIDS Treatment Network (NEAT) consensus conference. *AIDS*. 2011; 25:399–409. [PubMed: 21139491]
20. Wells GA, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch J, Losos M, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. In.
21. Dales LG, Ury HK. An improper use of statistical significance testing in studying covariables. *Int J Epidemiol*. 1978; 7:373–375. [PubMed: 744677]
22. Hosmer, DL.; Lemeshow, S. *Applied Logistic Regression*. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 1989.
23. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *British Medical Journal*. 2003; 327:557–560. [PubMed: 12958120]
24. Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2010; 36:1–48.
25. Raudenbush, S. Analyzing effect sizes: Random effects models. In: Cooper, H.; Hedges, LV.; Valentine, JC., editors. *The handbook of research synthesis and meta-analysis*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; 2009. p. 295–315.
26. Wickam, H. *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. New York: Springer; 2009.
27. Team, RC. *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2015.
28. Wandeler G, Gsponer T, Bregenzer A, Gunthard HF, Clerc O, Calmy A, et al. Hepatitis C Virus Infections in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study: A Rapidly Evolving Epidemic. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012; 55:1408–1416. [PubMed: 22893583]
29. Cole P, MacMahon B. Attributable risk percent in case-control studies. *Br J Prev Soc Med*. 1971; 25:242–244. [PubMed: 5160433]
30. Moher D, Shamseer L, Clarke M, Ghersi D, Liberati A, Petticrew M, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst Rev*. 2015; 4:1. [PubMed: 25554246]
31. Barfod TS, Omland LH, Katzenstein TL. Incidence and characteristics of sexually transmitted acute hepatitis C virus infection among HIV-positive men who have sex with men in Copenhagen, Denmark during four years (2006–2009): a retrospective cohort study. *Scand J Infect Dis*. 2011; 43:145–148. [PubMed: 20923257]
32. Brooks, JT.; Richardson, JT.; Spradling, PR.; Moorman, AC.; Gallagher, K.; Investigators, THOSH. International AIDS Conference. Vienna, Austria: 2010. Incidence of hepatitis C virus infection in the HIV Outpatient Study (HOPS), 2000–2008.
33. Coffin, P.; Golden, M.; Scott, J.; Harrington, R.; Swenson, PD. 19th International AIDS Conference. Seattle, Washington US: 2014. Characteristics of prevalent and incident hepatitis C infection among HIV-positive men who have sex with men in Seattle.
34. Fox J, Nastouli E, Thomson E, Muir D, McClure M, Weber J, et al. Increasing incidence of acute hepatitis C in individuals diagnosed with primary HIV in the United Kingdom. *AIDS*. 2008; 22:666–668. [PubMed: 18317014]
35. Gamage DG, Read TR, Bradshaw CS, Hocking JS, Howley K, Chen MY, et al. Incidence of hepatitis-C among HIV infected men who have sex with men (MSM) attending a sexual health service: a cohort study. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2011; 11:39. [PubMed: 21291565]

36. Jin F, Prestage GP, Matthews G, Zablotska I, Rawstorne P, Kippax SC, et al. Prevalence, incidence and risk factors for hepatitis C in homosexual men: data from two cohorts of HIV-negative and HIV-positive men in Sydney, Australia. *Sex Transm Infect.* 2010; 86:25–28. [PubMed: 19841001]
37. Lin, AWC.; Wong, KH.; Chan, K. HIV Drug Therapy Congress. Glasgow, Scotland: 2014. More safer sex intervention needed for HIV-positive MSM with higher education level for prevention of sexually transmitted hepatitis C.
38. Nishijima T, Shimbo T, Komatsu H, Hamada Y, Gatanaga H, Oka S. Incidence and risk factors for incident Hepatitis C infection among men who have sex with men with HIV-1 infection in a large Urban HIV clinic in Tokyo. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2014; 65:213–217. [PubMed: 24185533]
39. Palacios R, Mata R, Aguilar I, Munoz L, Rios MJ, Vergara S, et al. High seroprevalence but low incidence of HCV infection in a cohort of patients with sexually transmitted HIV in Andalusia, Spain. *J Int Assoc Physicians AIDS Care (Chic).* 2009; 8:100–105. [PubMed: 19211932]
40. Puoti, M.; Lorenzini, P.; Girardi, E.; Cozzi-Lepri, A.; Gori, A.; Mastroianni, CM., et al. Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections. Atlanta: 2013. Incidence and progression to cirrhosis of HCV superinfection in persons living with HIV: accelerated progression risk ‘not as dramatic’.
41. Rauch A, Rickenbach M, Weber R, Hirschel B, Tarr PE, Bucher HC, et al. Unsafe sex and increased incidence of hepatitis C virus infection among HIV-infected men who have sex with men: the Swiss HIV Cohort Study. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2005; 41:395–402. [PubMed: 16007539]
42. Richardson D, Fisher M, Sabin CA. Sexual transmission of hepatitis C in MSM may not be confined to those with HIV infection. *J Infect Dis.* 2008; 197:1213–1214. author reply 1214–1215. [PubMed: 18462168]
43. Sobrino-Vegas P, Monge Corella S, Serrano-Villar S, Gutierrez F, Blanco JR, Santos I, et al. Incidence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) in a multicenter cohort of HIV-positive patients in Spain 2004–2011: increasing rates of HCV diagnosis but not of HCV seroconversions. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9:e116226. [PubMed: 25549224]
44. Sun HY, Chang SY, Yang ZY, Lu CL, Wu H, Yeh CC, et al. Recent hepatitis C virus infections in HIV-infected patients in Taiwan: incidence and risk factors. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2012; 50:781–787. [PubMed: 22189113]
45. van der Helm JJ, Prins M, del Amo J, Bucher HC, Chene G, Dorrucchi M, et al. The hepatitis C epidemic among HIV-positive MSM: incidence estimates from 1990 to 2007. *AIDS.* 2011; 25:1083–1091. [PubMed: 21537114]
46. Witt MD, Seaberg EC, Darilay A, Young S, Badri S, Rinaldo CR, et al. Incident hepatitis C virus infection in men who have sex with men: a prospective cohort analysis, 1984–2011. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2013; 57:77–84. [PubMed: 23532480]
47. Vanhommerig JW, Stolte IG, Lambers FA, Geskus RB, van de Laar TJ, Bruisten SM, et al. Stabilizing incidence of hepatitis C virus infection among men who have sex with men in Amsterdam. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr.* 2014; 66:e111–115. [PubMed: 24853305]
48. Fierer DS, Factor SH, Uriel AJ, Carriero DC, Dietrich DT, Mullen MP, et al. Sexual transmission of hepatitis C virus among HIV-infected men who have sex with men—New York City, 2005–2010. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2011; 60:945–950. [PubMed: 21775948]
49. Martin TC, Martin NK, Hickman M, Vickerman P, Page EE, Everett R, et al. Hepatitis C virus reinfection incidence and treatment outcome among HIV-positive MSM. *AIDS.* 2013; 27:2551–2557. [PubMed: 23736152]
50. Lambers FA, Prins M, Thomas X, Molenkamp R, Kwa D, Brinkman K, et al. Alarming incidence of hepatitis C virus re-infection after treatment of sexually acquired acute hepatitis C virus infection in HIV-infected MSM. *AIDS.* 2011; 25:F21–27. [PubMed: 21857492]
51. Ingiliz P, Krznaric I, Stellbrink HJ, Knecht G, Lutz T, Noah C, et al. Multiple hepatitis C virus (HCV) reinfections in HIV-positive men who have sex with men: no influence of HCV genotype switch or interleukin-28B genotype on spontaneous clearance. *HIV Med.* 2014; 15:355–361. [PubMed: 24580777]
52. Vanhommerig JW, Thomas XV, van der Meer JT, Geskus RB, Bruisten SM, Molenkamp R, et al. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibody dynamics following acute HCV infection and reinfection among

- HIV-infected men who have sex with men. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2014; 59:1678–1685. [PubMed: 25186590]
53. Wandeler G, Gsponer T, Bregenzer A, Gunthard HF, Clerc O, Calmy A, et al. Hepatitis C virus infections in the Swiss HIV Cohort Study: a rapidly evolving epidemic. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012; 55:1408–1416. [PubMed: 22893583]
 54. Kuo G, Choo QL, Alter HJ, Gitnick GL, Redeker AG, Purcell RH, et al. An assay for circulating antibodies to a major etiologic virus of human non-A, non-B hepatitis. *Science*. 1989; 244:362–364. [PubMed: 2496467]
 55. Wiessing L, Ferri M, Grady B, Kantzanou M, Sperle I, Cullen KJ, et al. Hepatitis C virus infection epidemiology among people who inject drugs in Europe: a systematic review of data for scaling up treatment and prevention. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9:e103345. [PubMed: 25068274]
 56. Ghosn J, Thibault V, Delaugerre C, Fontaine H, Lortholary O, Rouzioux C, et al. Sexually transmitted hepatitis C virus superinfection in HIV/hepatitis C virus co-infected men who have sex with men. *AIDS*. 2008; 22:658–661. [PubMed: 18317011]
 57. McFaul K, Maghlaoui A, Nzuruba M, Farnworth S, Foxton M, Anderson M, et al. Acute hepatitis C infection in HIV-negative men who have sex with men. *J Viral Hepat*. 2014
 58. Hagan H. Agent, host and environment: Hepatitis C in people who inject drugs. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 2011 in press.
 59. Beymer MR, Weiss RE, Bolan RK, Rudy ET, Bourque LB, Rodriguez JP, et al. Sex on demand: geosocial networking phone apps and risk of sexually transmitted infections among a cross-sectional sample of men who have sex with men in Los Angeles County. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2014; 90:567–572. [PubMed: 24926041]
 60. Snowden JM, Wei C, McFarland W, Raymond HF. Prevalence, correlates and trends in seroadaptive behaviours among men who have sex with men from serial cross-sectional surveillance in San Francisco, 2004–2011. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2014; 90:498–504. [PubMed: 24687128]
 61. Gilbert VL, Simms I, Jenkins C, Furegato M, Gobin M, Oliver I, et al. Sex, drugs and smart phone applications: findings from semistructured interviews with men who have sex with men diagnosed with *Shigella flexneri* 3a in England and Wales. *Sex Transm Infect*. 2015
 62. Crepaz N, Hart TA, Marks G. Highly active antiretroviral therapy and sexual risk behavior: a meta-analytic review. *JAMA*. 2004; 292:224–236. [PubMed: 15249572]
 63. Sulkowski MS. Management of acute and chronic HCV infection in persons with HIV coinfection. *J Hepatol*. 2014; 61:S108–119. [PubMed: 25443339]
 64. Boesecke C, Rockstroh JK. How will we manage acute HCV in men having sex with men in the era of all oral therapy? *J Viral Hepat*. 2015; 22:2–7. [PubMed: 25333810]
 65. Gellad ZF, Reed SD, Muir AJ. Economic evaluation of direct-acting antiviral therapy in chronic hepatitis C. *Antivir Ther*. 2012; 17:1189–1199. [PubMed: 23186646]

Figure 1.
PRISMA flow diagram of included studies

Figure 2.
Forest plot of HCV seroconversion in HIV+ MSM in 15 studies

Figure 3.
HCV incidence in HIV+ MSM in relation to calendar time

Table 1

Studies reporting HCV incidence among HIV positive men who have sex with men and do not inject drugs

Ist author, Publication year Study name [ref]	Location	Selection method/Study design	Recruitment setting	Inclusion/ exclusion criteria All: HIV +MSM, non- injector	N subjects	Study dates	Total person-years	HCV incidence/100 PY (95% CI)
Barfod, 2011 Danish HIV Cohort Study [31]	Copenhagen, Denmark	Consecutive sampling/Prospective cohort	HIV clinics	Aged 16 years or older Excluded all who used drugs except marijuana	871	2006–2009	3513.51	0.37 (0.22–0.63)
Brooks, 2010 HIV Outpatient Study [32]	Multi-site, United States	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	HIV clinics		Not specified	2000–2008	3902	1.23 (0.53–1.93)
Coffin, 2014 [33]	Seattle, United States	Consecutive sampling/Cross-sectional	HIV clinic		477	prior to 2012	2921	0.89 (0.61–1.30)
Fox, 2008 [34]	London, United Kingdom	Not specified	Hospital	Evidence of HIV acquisition within previous 6 months	155	1999–2006	unspecified	7% cumulative incidence/8 years
Gamage, 2011 [35]	Melbourne, Australia	Consecutive sampling/Retrospective cohort	HIV clinic	Anti-HCV negative > 6 months after HIV diagnosis	581	2002–2010	4018	0.60 (0.40–0.80)
Jin, 2010 Health in Men Study [36]	Sydney, Australia	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	Gay community events and venues, HIV clinics, referrals, etc.	Sex with at least 1 man in previous 5 years	129	2005–2007	202.1	0.00 (0.00–0.02)
Lin, 2014 [37]	Hong Kong, China	Consecutive sampling/Prospective cohort	HIV clinic		1311	1999–13 1999–02 2002–07 2008–13	6295	0.22 (0.12–0.37) 0.13 0.19 0.47
Nishijima, 2014 [38]	Tokyo, Japan	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	HIV clinic	Aged 18 years or older Excluded patients who visited the	716	2005–2012 2005–2006 2007–2008 2009–2010 2011–2012	2146 258 650 747 495	0.792 (0.49–1.26) 0 0.15 (0.03–0.86) 0.80 (0.37–1.74) 2.02 (1.10–3.68)

1st author, Publication year Study name [ref]	Location	Selection method/Study design	Recruitment setting	Inclusion/ exclusion criteria All: HIV +MSM, non- injector	N subjects	Study dates	Total person-years	HCV incidence/100 PY (95% CI)
				clinic for a second opinion clinic for a second opinion clinic for a second opinion				N et al.
Palacios, 2009 [39]	Andalusia, Spain	Consecutive sampling/Cross-sectional	Infectious disease or internal medicine clinics in 7 hospitals	Excluded those with history of blood transfusion since 1992	727	2006	5263.16	0.19 (0.13–0.47)
Puoti, 2013 Isona Cohort Study [40]	Multi-site, Italy	Convenience sampling/Not specified	71 infectious disease wards	Not specified	6020	1997–2012		1.40 (1.1–1.7)
					45	1997		6.67 (2.29–17.86)
					265.6	1998		1.13 (0.39–3.27)
					315.5	1999		1.27 (0.50–3.21)
					339.7	2000		2.06 (1.00–4.19)
					400	2001		0.50 (0.14–1.80)
					429.9	2002		1.86 (0.95–3.63)
					454.1	2003		1.54 (0.75–3.15)
					475.6	2004		0.84 (0.33–2.14)
					460.8	2005		2.17 (1.18–3.95)
					414.8	2006		0.96 (0.37–2.45)
					415.9	2007		0.72 (0.24–2.10)
					441.6	2008		0.68 (0.23–1.98)
Rauch, 2005 [41]	Multi-site, Switzerland	Not specified	Hospitals and clinics		462.1	2009		0.87 (0.34–2.21)
					474	2010		3.38 (2.09–5.42)
					438.5	2011		1.60 (0.77–3.25)
					187	2012		0
					4142	2000–2004		0.338 (0.20–0.57)
					1361	2000–2006		1.18 (0.73–1.91)
					not specified	2000–2003		0.59
Richardson, 2008 [42]	Brighton, United Kingdom	Consecutive sampling/Cross-sectional	Sexual health clinics	Not specified	not specified	2004		1.11
					not specified	2005		1.14
					not specified	2006		1.75
					3620.7	2004–11		0.58 (0.36–0.89)
Sobrino-Vegas, 2014 CoRIS Study [43]	Multi-site, Spain	Not specified /Prospective cohort	Health care centers	Excluded patients with < 2 years follow-up	263.2	2004–05		1.14 (0.24–3.33)
					882.4	2006–07		0.68 (0.25–1.48)
					1363.6	2008–09		0.66 (0.30–1.25)
					1071.4	2010–11		0.28 (0.06–0.82)
Sun, 2012 [44]	Taipei, Taiwan	Not specified	Hospital (inpatient and outpatient clinics)		3025.9	1994–2010		0.925 (0.64–1.34)
					137.73	1994–00		0
					858.8	2001–05		0.349 (0.07–1.02)
					2029.4	2006–10		1.23 (0.80–1.82)

1st author, Publication year Study name [ref]	Location	Selection method/Study design	Recruitment setting	Inclusion/ exclusion criteria All: HIV +MSM, non- injector	N subjects	Study dates	Total person-years	HCV incidence/ PY (95% CI)
Van de Laar, 2007 Amsterdam Cohort Study [7]	Amsterdam, Netherlands	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	STD clinic, 'social venues for MSM', chain referral, hospital case reports	At least one male sex partner in 6 months preceding enrollment	491	1984–2003 1984–1999 2000–2003	4408 3836 572	0.18 (0.08–0.36) 0.08 (0.02–0.23) 0.87 (0.28–2.03)
Van der Helm, 2011 CASCADE Cohorts [45]	Europe, Australia, Canada	Not specified/Prospective cohort	HIV seroconverter cohort studies	Acquired HCV after HIV diagnosis	1354	1990 1995 2000 2005 2007	not specified not specified not specified not specified not specified	0.09 (0.005–1.52) 0.55 (0.27–1.30) 0.80 (0.60–1.88) 1.68 (1.03–2.74) 2.34 (0.82–6.69)
Vanhommerig, 2014 [47] Amsterdam Cohort Study	Amsterdam, Netherlands	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	STD clinic, 'social venues for MSM', chain referral, hospital case reports	At least one male sex partner in 6 months preceding enrollment	761	1984–2011*	6205.38	0.47 (0.33–0.67)
Wandeler, 2012 Swiss Cohort Study [28]	Multi-site, Switzerland	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	Hospitals and clinics		3333	1998–2011 1998 2011	23707 not specified not specified	0.43 (0.35–0.52) 0.23 (0.08–0.54) 4.09 (2.57–6.18)
Witt, 2013 MACS Study [46]	Multi-site, United States	Convenience sampling/Prospective cohort	Multi-center, various		Not specified	1984–2011	20900	0.34 (0.27–0.43)

* The authors provided annual incidence density rates but these are not shown because the data are unpublished and provided via personal communication.

Table 2
Factors associated with HCV seroconversion (after adjustment) in studies of HIV+MSM, with attributable risk measures.

1st Author, Publication year [ref]	Behavior	Adjusted measure of association	95% confidence interval	AR%	pr(exposure) in controls	PAR%
Fierer, 2011 [48]	Receptive anal intercourse without a condom, with ejaculation	23	(2.2 – 243.8)	95.7%	23.0%	22.0%
Schmidt, 2011 [4]	Rectal trauma with bleeding	6.2	(1.2 – 32.8)	83.9%	4.5%	3.8%
	Frequent receptive fisting without gloves (or gloves shared)	5.7	(1.5 – 21.7)	82.5%	6.0%	4.9%
Wandeler, 2012 [28]	Inconsistent condom use	2.1	(1.3 – 3.3)	52.4%	51.0%	26.7%
Fierer, 2011 [48]	Sex while high on methamphetamine	28.6	(1.8 – 443.0)	96.5%	4.0%	3.9%
Schmidt, 2011 [4]	Use of nasally administered drugs (cocaine, amphetamine, ketamine, etc)	3.25	(1.1 – 9.9)	69.2%	52.2%	36.1%