Published in final edited form as:

J Psychiatr Res. 2015 December ; 71: 103–111. doi:10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.10.005.

Subclinical depression severity is associated with distinct patterns of functional connectivity for subregions of anterior cingulate cortex

Carissa L. Philipp^{1,2,*}, Julian C. Motzkin¹, Maia S. Pujara¹, and Michael Koenigs^{1,*}

¹Department of Psychiatry, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 6001 Research Park Boulevard, Madison, WI 53719, USA

²Department of Psychological Sciences, University of Missouri-St. Louis, One University Boulevard, St. Louis, MO 63121, USA

Abstract

Depression is a prevalent psychiatric condition characterized by sad mood and anhedonia. Neuroscientific research has consistently identified abnormalities in a network of brain regions in major depression, including subregions of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). However, few studies have investigated whether the same neural correlates of depression symptom severity are apparent in subclinical or healthy subjects. In the current study, we used resting-state fMRI to examine functional connectivity for subregions of the ACC in N=28 participants with subclinical levels of depression. In regression analyses, we examined relationships between depression severity and functional connectivity for pregenual ACC (pgACC), anterior subgenual ACC (sgACC), and posterior sgACC seed regions. Additionally, we examined relationships between ACC subregion connectivity and trait levels of positive and negative affect. We found distinct associations between depression severity and functional connectivity of ACC subregions. Higher depression severity was associated with reduced pgACC-striatum connectivity and reduced anterior sgACC-anterior insula connectivity. Consistent with resting-state findings in major depression, higher depression severity was also related to greater anterior sgACC-posterior cingulate connectivity and greater posterior sgACC-dorsolateral prefrontal connectivity. Lastly, there were distinct correlations between connectivity for anterior versus posterior ACC subregions and positive and negative affective traits. These findings provide novel support linking subclinical depression to the same neural substrates associated with major depression. More broadly, these results contribute to an emerging literature on dimensional approaches to psychiatric illness.

* Authors for correspondence: philippic@umsl.edu; mrkoenigs@wisc.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

C.L.P. analyzed the data and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. M.K., J.C.M. and M.S.P. revised and edited subsequent versions of the manuscript. J.C.M. and M.S.P. collected the neuroimaging data, and C.L.P. collected the behavioral data. All authors have contributed to and approved the final version of this manuscript.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no potential conflicts of interest.

Keywords

depression; affect; mental illness; default mode network; resting-state; functional connectivity

INTRODUCTION

Depression is a prevalent psychiatric condition characterized by sad mood, anhedonia, and negative self-related thought (e.g., feelings of worthlessness). The societal costs of depression are substantial - with over 350 million individuals struggling with depression globally, it is the medical condition associated with the greatest number of years lost to disability (Smith, 2014). Understanding the psychological and neurobiological mechanisms underlying depression could have significant implications for early identification and treatment. While neuroscientific research has largely been devoted to identifying dysfunctional neural circuits in major depression, fewer studies have examined the neural correlates of subclinical depression. There is increasing evidence that psychiatric conditions, including depression, may be dimensional rather than categorical (Widiger & Edmundson, 2014). Moreover, the National Institute of Mental Health's (NIMH) Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative has called for neuroscientific research into dimensions of psychopathology (Insel et al., 2010). Investigating the neural correlates of subclinical levels of depression severity constitutes a key step toward this dimensional approach. Establishing reliable neural markers for subclinical levels of depression could help guide preventative treatments aimed at curbing the development of more severe and debilitating symptoms.

Neuroimaging and lesion studies have associated depression with abnormalities in several limbic, cortical, and subcortical regions (Berman et al., 2011; Drevets, Price, & Furey, 2008a; Koenigs et al., 2008; Mayberg, 2003; Mayberg et al., 1999). Among those brain areas, subregions within the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) (i.e., posterior subgenual ACC [sgACC], anterior sgACC, and pregenual ACC [pgACC]) have been consistently implicated in the pathophysiology of depression (Berman et al., 2011; Davey, Harrison, Yücel, & Allen, 2012; Drevets, Savitz, & Trimble, 2008b; Greicius et al., 2007; Koenigs et al., 2008; Mayberg et al., 1999; Mayberg et al., 2005). Heightened resting metabolism and resting-state functional connectivity with the posterior sgACC has been reliably identified in individuals with major depressive disorder (MDD) (Berman et al., 2011; Greicius et al., 2007; Mayberg et al., 1999; Zhu et al., 2012). In contrast to the hyperactivity observed in posterior sgACC, MDD has also been associated with decreased activity in the pgACC and anterior sgACC (Drevets et al., 1997; Drevets et al., 1992). Additionally, ruminative thought and depression severity in MDD are positively correlated with elevated resting-state functional connectivity between anterior sgACC and posterior cingulate as well as between posterior sgACC and other regions within the DMN (Berman et al., 2011; Greicius et al., 2007). Importantly, various treatments for MDD normalize connectivity within the DMN and resting activity of the sgACC (anterior and posterior) and pgACC (Kennedy et al., 2007; Li et al., 2012; Liston et al., 2014; Mayberg et al., 2005; Yoshimura et al., 2014). For example, deep brain stimulation of the posterior sgACC for treatment-resistant depression has been associated with reductions in posterior sgACC resting-metabolism as well as depressive symptoms (Mayberg et al., 2005). In another study, increased resting-metabolism

in anterior sgACC was found for patients with MDD responsive to treatment with cognitive behavioral therapy (Kennedy et al., 2007). Taken together, there is considerable neuroimaging evidence for dysfunction in the activity and functional connectivity of subregions of the ACC in MDD. Previous task-based neuroimaging studies using affective interference paradigms (i.e., emotional stroop) have implicated dysfunction in similar ACC subregions in subclinical depression (e.g., Kaiser et al., 2015a; Spielberg et al., 2014). However, to our knowledge no study has yet investigated the relationship between resting-state functional connectivity for subregions within the ACC and subclinical depression severity.

Another important question is whether there are functional dissociations in positive and negative affect for different ACC subregions that are relevant to depression. Indeed, as mentioned above, MDD has been associated with increased posterior sgACC (BA25) activity (Mayberg et al., 1999; Mayberg et al., 2005), but decreased pgACC/anterior sgACC activity (Drevets et al., 1997; Drevets et al., 1992). Interestingly, neuroimaging studies suggest these same regions tend to be associated with distinct types of affective information. Whereas posterior sgACC activity tends to be related to negative affect, anterior sgACC/pgACC activity tends to be associated with positive affect (i.e., reward) (Myers-Schulz & Koenigs, 2012 for review). However, it is unknown whether there would be similar distinctions between connectivity of ACC subregions and trait levels of positive and negative affect in subclinical depression.

In the current study, the primary aim was to test the hypothesis that subclinical depression severity would be associated with alterations in resting-state functional connectivity for subregions of the ACC previously implicated in major depression. We also examined the degree to which distinct patterns of functional connectivity for these ACC subregions would be differentially associated with trait levels of positive and negative affect, in order to draw more precise associations between specific facets of depression and the connectivity of ACC subregions.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Participants

Twenty-eight healthy adult participants (15 male, 13 female; mean age = 56 ± 8.7) with no history of brain injury, neurological or psychiatric illness, or current use of psychoactive medications were recruited from the local community. Participants had never been diagnosed with or treated for depression. To address potential differences in functional connectivity due to age and gender in the participant sample, all regression analyses included covariates for age and sex. All participants gave informed consent in accordance with the institutional review board at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

Depression and Affective Measures

Depression Inventory—To assess depression symptom severity, we administered the Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) (Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996). Consistent with our

exclusion criteria, no participants had BDI-II scores indicative of high severity (>20 ; range 0–17) and the average BDI-II score was within the non-depressed range (Table 1).

Positive and Negative Affect Schedule—To measure positive and negative affect, we used the Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS) (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988b), which includes 10-positive and 10-negative mood or feeling words (e.g., “inspired” or “scared”). The PANAS is a well-validated measure of self-reported positive and negative mood (Crawford & Henry, 2004; Watson et al., 1988b), used in healthy and psychiatric patient populations (Heller et al., 2013; Larsen & Ketelaar, 1991; Watson, Clark, & Carey, 1988a). Participants rated the amount they generally experienced each item on a scale ranging from 1 to 5 (very slightly-extremely). The affect scores were calculated separately as the sum of all responses for positive and words, respectively. Both PANAS scores were used for correlations with the functional connectivity results.

Data Acquisition

All structural and functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) data were acquired using a 3.0 T MRI scanner (Discovery MR750, General Electric Medical Systems) equipped with an 8-channel radio-frequency (RF) head coil array. High-resolution T1-weighted structural images were acquired axially using an inversion recovery spoiled GRASS sequence using the following parameters: voxel size= $1\times1\times1\text{mm}^3$; TR=8.2ms; TE=3.2ms; flip angle (FA)= 12° ; field of view (FOV)= $255\times256\text{mm}^2$; matrix= 256×256 ; slice thickness=1mm; number of slices=1024.

Resting-state functional images were collected while subjects lay still and awake, passively viewing a fixation cross. T2*-weighted gradient-echo echoplanar images (EPIs) were acquired with the following parameters: voxel size= $3.5\times3.5\times3.5\text{mm}^3$; TR=2000ms; TE=22ms; FA= 79° ; FOV= $224\times224\text{mm}^2$; matrix= 64×64 , slice thickness=3 mm, gap=0.5 mm, number of slices=38 interleaved axial oblique. Rest-state scans lasted 5 minutes (150 volumes). To correct for geometric distortion of the EPIs, B0 field maps were acquired in two separate acquisitions with two different echo times, with the following parameters: voxel size= $0.94\times0.94\times3.7\text{mm}^3$; TR=600ms; TE1=7ms; TE2=10 ms; FA= 60° ; FOV= $240\times240\text{mm}^2$; matrix= 256×128 ; slice thickness=4 mm, number of slices=33.

Preprocessing of Functional Data

All functional MRI (fMRI) data analysis was performed using AFNI (Cox, 1996) and FSL (<http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/>). The following preprocessing steps were performed: (1) EPI volumes were slice time corrected using the first slice as a reference, (2) motion corrected by rigid body alignment to the first EPI acquisition, (3) fieldmap correction, (4) images were deoblied, (5) the first three volumes were omitted, (6) data were then motion corrected (3dvolreg), (6) despiked to remove extreme time series outliers, and (7) band-pass filtered ($0.009<f<0.08$) and spatially smoothed with a 6mm full-width at half-maximum (FWHM) Gaussian kernel (Fox et al., 2005).

As individual differences in subject motion can contribute to resting-state correlations (Power, Barnes, Snyder, Schlaggar, & Petersen, 2012; Satterthwaite et al., 2013; Yan et al.,

2013), we examined motion for each subject. No subjects were excluded based on the following criteria: mean framewise motion displacement (i.e., volume to volume movement across the time series) >2 mm, and/or total scan time <4 minutes after censoring all time points with framewise motion displacement >0.2 mm and extreme timeseries displacement (i.e., time points where >10% of voxels were outliers) (Power et al., 2012; Satterthwaite et al., 2013; Yan et al., 2013). These specific thresholds for motion (mean framewise motion displacement <2mm and >4 minutes remaining after censoring time points for both framewise motion >0.2mm and extreme timeseries displacement >10% of voxels) were selected based on previous research to provide the most conservative criteria for motion correction. Importantly, there was no significant association between total censored time points and depression severity in the sample ($r = .26$, $p > .1$).

Normalized T1 anatomical images were segmented into gray matter, white matter, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) segments using FAST in FSL (Zhang, Brady, & Smith, 2001). White matter and CSF segments were used as masks to extract a representative time series from each tissue type.

Anterior Cingulate Cortex Seed Regions of Interest

To examine functional connectivity for key subregions of ACC implicated in depression, three bilateral seed regions of interest (ROI) were selected: pregenual ACC (pgACC: 1, 40, 16) (Andrews-Hanna et al., 2007) anterior subgenual ACC (anterior sgACC: 0, 38, -9) (Berman et al., 2011), and posterior subgenual ACC (posterior sgACC: 1, 25, -9) (Mayberg et al., 1999). The Wake Forest University (WFU) PickAtlas was used to generate a spherical 6-mm radius seed centered on the coordinates for each ROI (in MNI space) (Maldjian, Laurienti, Kraft, & Burdette, 2003). Seed ROI masks in MNI space were then resampled to the EPI resolution, 3.5 mm, and aligned to native space to create subject-specific ROIs. All subject-specific ROIs were checked to verify accurate subject-specific placement before completing the functional connectivity analyses.

Functional Connectivity Analysis

Functional connectivity was assessed for each seed ROI (pgACC, anterior sgACC, posterior sgACC) using the mean resting-state BOLD time series, extracted for each participant. The mean time series from each seed ROI was included in a GLM with nine regressors of no interest: (1–6) six motion parameters (three translations, three rotations) obtained from the rigid body alignment of EPI volumes and their six derivatives, (7) the white matter time series, (8) the ventricular (CSF) time series, and (9) a second-order polynomial to model baseline signal and slow drift. To further control for subject motion during the GLM, volumes were censored for extreme timeseries displacement (>10% of voxels were outliers), and framewise motion displacement >0.2 mm (Power et al., 2012; Yan et al., 2013).

To convert R^2 values output from the GLM to correlation coefficients (r), the following equation was used in AFNI: $3dcalc -a \text{Corr_S1+tlrc} [\text{sub-brick for } R^2] -b \text{Corr_S1+tlrc} [\text{sub-brick for Beta}] - \text{expr 'ispositive(b)*sqrt(a)-isnegative(b)*sqrt(a)'}.$ Next, correlation coefficients for each seed ROI were converted to z-scores via Fisher's r-to-z transform and corrected for degrees of freedom using the following equation: $z = \log((1+r)/(1-r)) \times$

$((n-3))/2$, where n =degrees of freedom or time points remaining after motion censoring. The resulting z-score maps were aligned to a standard MNI template, resampled to 3-mm³ voxels using AFNI, and entered into second-level statistical analyses.

Regression Analyses for Depression Severity and Functional Connectivity

We performed linear regression analyses (3dRegAna in AFNI) to examine the relationship between depression and functional connectivity for the pgACC, anterior sgACC, and posterior sgACC seed ROIs. To correct for multiple comparisons, we implemented a family-wise error (FWE) correction approach at the cluster level using a whole-brain mask (3dClustSim in AFNI) (Carp, 2012; Forman et al., 1995) and applied cluster-extent thresholding to our regression results. The cluster-extent threshold corresponded to the statistical probability ($\alpha=0.05$, or 5% chance) of identifying a random noise cluster at a predefined voxelwise threshold of $p<0.005$ (uncorrected). In the present study, using this whole-brain FWE cluster correction, a cluster-corrected size of 84 voxels was significant at $p_{FWE}<0.05$ in the regression analyses reported below for depression. Regression results were overlaid on the normalized mean anatomical image. For each regression, we also performed outlier tests (for residuals and leverage), and excluded anyone identified as an outlier. Depending on the regression, there were 0, 1, or 2 outliers.

Correlation Between Functional Connectivity and Positive and Negative Affect

As a follow-up analysis, we investigated whether the patterns of abnormal functional connectivity that were associated with depressive symptoms for the pgACC and sgACC (anterior and posterior seed ROIs) were also associated with positive or negative affect. We focused on the significant clusters identified in the regression analyses for each seed. The mean z-scores for voxels within each significant cluster were computed for this correlation analysis. We then computed the Pearson's correlation between the mean z-score for each cluster and total PANAS positive and negative scores.

RESULTS

Depression Severity Linked to Distinct Connectivity Patterns for Anterior Cingulate Subregions

To test our main hypothesis that depression severity would be associated with distinct patterns of functional connectivity for ACC subregions, we performed three separate linear regressions for depression, using pgACC, anterior sgACC, and posterior sgACC seed ROIs.

Pregenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex—Higher depression scores were associated with significantly decreased connectivity between pgACC and striatum bilaterally (right caudate extending to putamen, overall model $r^2 = .57$, $F_{1,26} = 34.1$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$; left caudate, overall model $r^2 = .47$, $F_{1,26} = 23.5$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$) (Figure 1, Table 2). Additionally, higher depression scores were associated with significantly increased connectivity between pgACC and right lingual gyrus (overall model $r^2 = .43$, $F_{1,26} = 20.0$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$) (Figure 1, Table 2). All results for the pgACC remained significant after including covariates for age and sex, and after excluding subjects identified as statistical outliers ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$).

Anterior Subgenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex—By contrast, higher depression scores were associated with significantly increased functional connectivity between the anterior sgACC and bilateral posterior cingulate (overall model $r^2 = .43$, $F_{1,26} = 26.3$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$), but significantly decreased functional connectivity between anterior sgACC and right anterior insula (overall model $r^2 = .52$, $F_{1,26} = 28.4$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$) (Figure 2, Table 2). Similar to the pgACC, all results for the anterior sgACC remained significant after including covariates for age and sex ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$). There were no subjects identified as outliers.

Posterior Subgenual Anterior Cingulate Cortex—For the posterior sgACC, higher depression scores were associated with significantly increased functional connectivity with right inferior frontal gyrus extending to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) (overall model $r^2 = .66$, $F_{1,26} = 51.5$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$), left inferior frontal gyrus (overall model $r^2 = .52$, $F_{1,26} = 28.2$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$), bilateral parahippocampal gyrus (overall model $r^2 = .53$, $F_{1,26} = 29.3$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$), and right cerebellum (overall model $r^2 = .61$, $F_{1,26} = 40.1$, $p_{FWE} < 0.05$) (Figure 3, Table 2). All results for the posterior sgACC remained significant after controlling for age and sex, and after excluding subjects identified as statistical outliers ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$).

Relationships Between Anterior Cingulate Connectivity And Affect

To examine the relationship between ACC connectivity and positive versus negative affect, we computed the correlations between PANAS positive and negative scores and connectivity for significant clusters identified in the regression analyses above. We found that the three ACC subregions exhibited distinct relationships between functional connectivity and positive and negative affect (Table 3). For the pgACC, there was a negative correlation between caudate connectivity and negative affect, and a positive correlation between caudate connectivity and positive affect. For the anterior sgACC, there was only a negative correlation between insula connectivity and negative affect. There were no significant associations between anterior sgACC-posterior cingulate connectivity and positive or negative affect. For the posterior sgACC, there were significant positive correlations between all clusters and negative affect, and a significant negative correlation between posterior sgACC-right inferior frontal gyrus/dlPFC and positive affect. There were no significant associations between posterior sgACC-parahippocampal, right cerebellum, or left inferior frontal gyrus connectivity and positive affect. All results for these relationships with affect remained significant after including covariates for age and sex ($p < 0.05$).

We also computed partial correlations between with PANAS measures and connectivity, to determine whether relationships between positive or negative affect and connectivity would remain after covarying for the other affect score. All ACC subregions and negative affect remained significant after covarying for positive affect ($p < 0.05$), with the exception of posterior sgACC-left inferior frontal gyrus connectivity ($p = 0.053$). By contrast, no correlations with positive affect remained significant after covarying for negative affect (each $p > .1$).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we used resting-state fMRI to determine whether subclinical levels of depression were associated with functional connectivity for subregions of the ACC previously implicated in major depression. Indeed, our results revealed several significant relationships between depression severity and functional connectivity between the ACC, subcortical, and cortical regions. For the pregenual ACC, depression severity was associated with diminished connectivity with the striatum. For the anterior subgenual ACC, by contrast, depression severity was related to heightened connectivity with posterior cingulate, but diminished connectivity with anterior insula. For the posterior subgenual ACC, depression was associated with heightened connectivity with lateral prefrontal, hippocampal, and cerebellar regions. Lastly, there were distinct correlations between connectivity for ACC subregions and measures of positive and negative affect. Below, we discuss each of the major findings in turn.

Our observed relationship between depression severity and diminished pgACC-striatum connectivity mirrors a previous resting-state fMRI finding in individuals with major depressive disorder (MDD) (Davey et al., 2012). The present findings are also consistent with structural and functional neuroimaging research associating striatal and medial prefrontal abnormalities with MDD (Kim, Hamilton, & Gotlib, 2008; Matsuo et al., 2008; Pizzagalli et al., 2009; Wacker, Dillon, & Pizzagalli, 2009). For example, several structural MRI studies have reported reduced caudate volume in MDD (e.g., Kim et al., 2008; Matsuo et al., 2008; Pizzagalli et al., 2009). Moreover, task-based functional imaging research has frequently found lower striatal activity to positive affect (Epstein et al., 2006; Heller et al., 2009; Keedwell, Andrew, Williams, Brammer, & Phillips, 2005; Surguladze et al., 2005; Tremblay et al., 2005) and rewarding stimuli (Pizzagalli et al., 2009; Smoski et al., 2009; Tremblay et al., 2005) in depression. Similarly, dysfunction in the medial prefrontal cortex, including the pgACC, has been reported in MDD during the processing of reward-related stimuli (Epstein et al., 2006; Heller et al., 2009; Keedwell et al., 2005; Smoski et al., 2009). Additionally, neuroimaging research has shown an association between increased in positive affect after antidepressant treatment in MDD and increased prefrontal-striatal connectivity (Heller et al., 2013). Together, these findings have lead researchers to suggest that abnormalities in reward circuitry, in particular medial prefrontal (including pgACC) and striatal regions, could contribute to the loss of interest/pleasure in previously rewarding activities, or anhedonia, a core symptom in MDD as well as in other psychiatric conditions (Der-Avakian & Markou, 2012; Pujara & Koenigs, 2013; Russo & Nestler, 2013; Treadway & Zald, 2013). Our follow-up analyses, which considered positive and negative affective traits separately, support this hypothesis; pgACC-caudate connectivity was significantly associated with positive affective traits. Understanding the neurobiological mechanisms underlying anhedonia or reward-related processing more generally could have important implications for the diagnosis and treatment of several psychiatric illnesses. Future research could explore the relationship between pgACC-striatum connectivity, anhedonia, and treatment response across a variety of psychiatric conditions.

With respect to increased anterior sgACC-posterior cingulate connectivity, our results demonstrate remarkable overlap with research associating MDD with enhanced resting-state

connectivity between posterior cingulate and sgACC (including anterior and posterior subregions) (Berman et al., 2011; Greicius et al., 2007; Kaiser, Andrews-Hanna, Wager, & Pizzagalli, 2015b; Zhu et al., 2012), two key regions of the default mode network (DMN). Although previous studies have found correlations between depression severity and heightened DMN connectivity in individuals with MDD (Greicius et al., 2007; Hamilton et al., 2011), our results are novel as we demonstrate the same relationship across participants with subclinical levels of depression. Building on neuroscientific research linking the DMN with self-related thought (Buckner, Andrews-Hanna, & Schacter, 2008; Gusnard, Akbudak, Shulman, & Raichle, 2001; Qin & Northoff, 2011; Whitfield-Gabrieli et al., 2011), several researchers have proposed that increased rumination, or negative self-focused cognition, in MDD could be linked to the DMN hyperconnectivity found in depression (Berman et al., 2011; Philippi & Koenigs, 2014; Sheline et al., 2009; Whitfield-Gabrieli & Ford, 2012). In support of these claims, neuroimaging studies have reported significant correlations between rumination and DMN connectivity in depression (Berman et al., 2014; Hamilton et al., 2011; Zhu et al., 2012). Moreover, various therapeutic interventions for depression have been shown to normalize activity in the DMN and reduce depressive symptoms (Li et al., 2012; Liston et al., 2014; Yoshimura et al., 2014). Taken together, elevated DMN connectivity in depression, in particular with the sgACC, could constitute a neurobiological marker or risk factor for developing the disorder. From a dimensional perspective, future work in a large sample with a broad distribution of depression severity (i.e., subclinical to clinical levels) will be required to determine whether there is a linear relationship between depression, rumination, and DMN connectivity.

We also observed an association between depression severity and reduced connectivity between anterior sgACC and anterior insula, a region consistently implicated in major depression (Mayberg et al., 1999; McGrath, Kelley, Holtzheimer, Iii, & et al., 2013; Sprengelmeyer et al., 2011; Takahashi et al., 2010). Moreover, several studies have shown changes in insula metabolism following successful treatment response in MDD across different therapeutic interventions (Kennedy et al., 2001; Mayberg et al., 2005; McGrath et al., 2013). More broadly, a recent meta-analysis found that gray matter reductions in the right and left insula were common across six different psychiatric diagnoses, including MDD (Goodkind et al., 2015). Although the role of insula dysfunction in MDD is not completely understood, the insula has been associated with affective and behavioral processes relevant to depression (i.e., interoceptive and emotional awareness) (Craig, 2009; Critchley, Wiens, Rotshtein, Ohman, & Dolan, 2004; Critchley, 2005). From a network perspective, the insula has been identified as a key region involved in switching between the DMN and the central executive network (Sridharan, Levitin, & Menon, 2008). Thus, in MDD, abnormalities in insula activity could impair the ability to flexibly move between internally-focused and externally-focused cognitive states, or DMN and central executive network respectively. Consistent with this possibility, a study showed that abnormalities in switching between these two networks, or dominance in the DMN in MDD, was correlated with maladaptive rumination (Hamilton et al., 2011).

Our results associating depression severity with increased functional connectivity between posterior sgACC and dlPFC are directly relevant to literature identifying the sgACC and the dlPFC as key regions altered in depression (Drevets et al., 2008b; Koenigs & Grafman,

2009; Mayberg, 2003). Specifically, neuroimaging studies have found evidence for heightened resting activity in sgACC (Drevets et al., 1992; Greicius et al., 2007; Mayberg et al., 1999), but reduced resting activity in dlPFC in major depression (Baxter et al., 1989; Koenigs et al., 2009; Mayberg et al., 1999). Additionally, resting-state fMRI studies have demonstrated aberrant functional connectivity between the medial prefrontal cortex, including the posterior sgACC, and dlPFC in MDD (Davey et al., 2012; Kaiser et al., 2015b). Moreover, neuromodulatory treatments for major depression, such as transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS) and deep brain stimulation, target the dlPFC and posterior sgACC (Fox, Buckner, White, Greicius, & Pascual-Leone, 2012; Mayberg et al., 2005). While the precise mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of these neural stimulation treatments for depression remain unknown, researchers have suggested that TMS targeted at increasing activity in dlPFC may function by reducing activity in distant limbic regions, including the posterior sgACC (Fox et al., 2012; George et al., 1997; Padberg & George, 2009). However, additional research is warranted to understand the relationship between posterior sgACC-dlPFC connectivity, depressive symptoms, and therapeutic changes after treatment in MDD.

Overall, the pattern of the connectivity findings in the present study is consistent with research associating major depression with reduced or hypoconnectivity between ACC subregions and brain regions within limbic and cognitive control networks (e.g., Davey et al., 2012; Kaiser et al., 2015b). For example, we found a relationship between higher levels of depression and weaker positive connectivity between the pgACC and caudate as well as weaker negative correlations between the posterior sgACC and dlPFC. However, more research is necessary to investigate the specific relationship between depression severity and large-scale network dysfunction, including for ACC subregions.

With respect to ACC subregion connectivity and affect, we found evidence for distinct relationships for anterior versus posterior subregions. More specifically, anterior ACC subregion connectivity (including pgACC and anterior sgACC) tended to be negatively correlated with negative affect, but positively correlated with positive affect. By contrast, posterior sgACC connectivity was positively correlated with negative affect, but negatively correlated with positive affect. In other words, anterior ACC subregions were associated with positive affect, whereas posterior ACC subregions were associated with negative affect. These results are consistent with neuroscientific research linking anterior sgACC/perigenual ACC regions with positive emotional stimuli, and more posterior sgACC regions with negative emotional stimuli (Myers-Schulz et al., 2012 for review). Additionally, as Myers-Schulz and Koenigs proposed (2012), these findings could help explain the apparently contradictory findings in major depression of diminished activity in anterior sgACC, but heightened activity in posterior sgACC (Drevets et al., 1997; Mayberg et al., 1999). Lastly, in the partial correlation analyses with positive and negative affect, only negative affect (after controlling for positive affect) remained significantly associated with ACC subregion connectivity. Together, trait levels of negative affect and depression severity predicted similar associations with ACC subregion connectivity and trait levels of negative affect and depression were significantly correlated. Thus, further research using both trait and state measures of positive and negative affect is necessary to distinguish among the unique contributions of positive versus negative affect in the pathophysiology of depression.

The current study focused on subclinical levels of depression severity. While we used a well-validated measure of depression severity, additional research will be crucial to determine whether these results replicate across different clinical and experimental depression measures.

Conclusions

In sum, we have presented novel results linking subclinical depression severity to the same alterations within neural circuits observed in major depression. Importantly, these findings are consistent with recent efforts in psychiatry and at the NIMH to move toward a dimensional approach to classifying mental conditions based on psychological, behavioral, and neurobiological measures. Future work will be necessary to explore whether neurobiological measures, such as anterior cingulate connectivity, can be used to predict psychopathology severity across both clinical and subclinical samples.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by NIH grants T32MH018931-23 (PI:Richard Davidson) and MH101162 (PI:Michael Koenigs).

REFERENCES

- Andrews-Hanna JR, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Lustig C, Head D, Raichle Marcus E, Buckner RL. Disruption of Large-Scale Brain Systems in Advanced Aging. *Neuron*. 2007; 56:924–935. [PubMed: 18054866]
- Baxter LR, Schwartz JM, Phelps ME, Mazziotta JC, Guze BH, Selin CE, Gerner RH, Sumida RM. Reduction of prefrontal cortex glucose metabolism common to three types of depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1989; 46:243–250. [PubMed: 2784046]
- Beck, A.; Steer, R.; Brown, G. Manual for Beck Depression Inventory II (BDI-II) Psychological Corporation. San Antonio, TX: 1996.
- Berman MG, Misic B, Buschkuhl M, Kross E, Deldin PJ, Peltier S, Churchill NW, Jaeggi SM, Vakorin V, McIntosh AR. Does resting-state connectivity reflect depressive rumination? A tale of two analyses. *NeuroImage*. 2014
- Berman MG, Peltier S, Nee DE, Kross E, Deldin PJ, Jonides J. Depression, rumination and the default network. *Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci*. 2011; 6:548–555. [PubMed: 20855296]
- Buckner Andrews-Hanna J, Schacter D. The Brain's Default Network. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2008; 1124:1–38. [PubMed: 18400922]
- Carp J. The secret lives of experiments: methods reporting in the fMRI literature. *NeuroImage*. 2012; 63:289–300. [PubMed: 22796459]
- Craig AD. How do you feel--now? The anterior insula and human awareness. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2009; 10:59–70. [PubMed: 19096369]
- Crawford JR, Henry JD. The Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (PANAS): Construct validity, measurement properties and normative data in a large non-clinical sample. *British journal of clinical psychology*. 2004; 43:245–265. [PubMed: 15333231]
- Critchley H, Wiens S, Rotshtein P, Ohman A, Dolan R. Neural systems supporting interoceptive awareness. *Nature neuroscience*. 2004; 7:189–195. [PubMed: 14730305]
- Critchley HD. Neural mechanisms of autonomic, affective, and cognitive integration. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology*. 2005; 493:154–166. [PubMed: 16254997]
- Davey CG, Harrison BJ, Yücel M, Allen NB. Regionally specific alterations in functional connectivity of the anterior cingulate cortex in major depressive disorder. *Psychol Med*. 2012; 42:2071–2081.
- Der-Avakian A, Markou A. The neurobiology of anhedonia and other reward-related deficits. *Trends Neurosci*. 2012; 35:68–77. [PubMed: 22177980]

- Drevets WC, Price JL, Furey ML. Brain structural and functional abnormalities in mood disorders: implications for neurocircuitry models of depression. *Brain Struct Funct*. 2008a; 213:93–118. [PubMed: 18704495]
- Drevets WC, Price JL, Simpson JR, Todd RD, Reich T, Vannier M, Raichle ME. Subgenual prefrontal cortex abnormalities in mood disorders. *Nature*. 1997; 386:824–827. [PubMed: 9126739]
- Drevets WC, Savitz J, Trimble M. The subgenual anterior cingulate cortex in mood disorders. *CNS spectrums*. 2008b; 13:663. [PubMed: 18704022]
- Drevets WC, Videen TO, Price JL, Preskorn SH, Carmichael ST, Raichle ME. A functional anatomical study of unipolar depression. *The Journal of Neuroscience*. 1992; 12:3628–3641. [PubMed: 1527602]
- Epstein J, Pan H, Kocsis JH, Yang Y, Butler T, Chusid J, Hochberg H, Murrough J, Strohmayer E, Stern E. Lack of ventral striatal response to positive stimuli in depressed versus normal subjects. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2006; 163
- Forman SD, Cohen JD, Fitzgerald M, Eddy WF, Mintun MA, Noll DC. Improved Assessment of Significant Activation in Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI): Use of a Cluster-Size Threshold. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine*. 1995; 33:636–647. [PubMed: 7596267]
- Fox MD, Buckner RL, White MP, Greicius MD, Pascual-Leone A. Efficacy of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation Targets for Depression Is Related to Intrinsic Functional Connectivity with the Subgenual Cingulate. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2012; 72:595–603. [PubMed: 22658708]
- Fox MD, Snyder AZ, Vincent JL, Corbetta M, Van Essen DC, Raichle ME. The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 2005; 102:9673–9678. [PubMed: 15976020]
- George MS, Wassermann EM, Kimbrell TA, Little JT, Williams WE, Danielson AL, Greenberg BD, Hallett M, Post RM. Mood improvement following daily left prefrontal repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in patients with depression: a placebo-controlled crossover trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1997; 154:1752–1756. [PubMed: 9396958]
- Goodkind M, Eickhoff SB, Oathes DJ, Jiang Y, Chang A, Jones-Hagata LB, Ortega BN, Zaiko YV, Roach EL, Korgaonkar MS. Identification of a Common Neurobiological Substrate for Mental Illness. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015; 72:305–315. [PubMed: 25651064]
- Greicius M, Flores BH, Menon V, Glover GH, Solvason HB, Kenna H, Reiss AL, Schatzberg AF. Resting-State Functional Connectivity in Major Depression: Abnormally Increased Contributions from Subgenual Cingulate Cortex and Thalamus. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2007; 62:429–437. [PubMed: 17210143]
- Gusnard DA, Akbudak E, Shulman GL, Raichle ME. Medial prefrontal cortex and self-referential mental activity: Relation to a default mode of brain function. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2001; 98:4259–4264.
- Hamilton JP, Furman DJ, Chang C, Thomason ME, Dennis E, Gotlib IH. Default-Mode and Task-Positive Network Activity in Major Depressive Disorder: Implications for Adaptive and Maladaptive Rumination. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2011; 70:327–333. [PubMed: 21459364]
- Heller AS, Johnstone T, Light SN, Peterson MJ, Kolden GG, Kalin NH, Davidson RJ. Relationships between changes in sustained fronto-striatal connectivity and positive affect in major depression resulting from antidepressant treatment. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2013; 170:197–206. [PubMed: 23223803]
- Heller AS, Johnstone T, Shackman AJ, Light SN, Peterson MJ, Kolden GG, Kalin NH, Davidson RJ. Reduced capacity to sustain positive emotion in major depression reflects diminished maintenance of fronto-striatal brain activation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2009; 106:22445–22450.
- Insel T, Cuthbert B, Garvey M, Heinssen R, Pine DS, Quinn K, Sanislow C, Wang P. Research domain criteria (RDoC): toward a new classification framework for research on mental disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2010; 167:748–751. [PubMed: 20595427]
- Kaiser RH, Andrews-Hanna JR, Spielberg JM, Warren SL, Sutton BP, Miller GA, Heller W, Banich MT. Distracted and down: neural mechanisms of affective interference in subclinical depression. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. 2015a; 10:654–663. [PubMed: 25062838]

- Kaiser RH, Andrews-Hanna JR, Wager TD, Pizzagalli DA. Large-scale network dysfunction in major depressive disorder: A meta-analysis of resting-state functional connectivity. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2015b
- Keedwell PA, Andrew C, Williams SC, Brammer MJ, Phillips ML. The neural correlates of anhedonia in major depressive disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2005; 58:843–853. [PubMed: 16043128]
- Kennedy SH, Evans KR, Krüger S, Mayberg HS, Meyer JH, McCann S, Arifuzzman AI, Houle S, Vaccarino FJ. Changes in regional brain glucose metabolism measured with positron emission tomography after paroxetine treatment of major depression. *Changes*. 2001; 158
- Kennedy SH, Konarski JZ, Segal ZV, Lau MA, Bieling PJ, McIntyre RS, Mayberg HS. Differences in Brain Glucose Metabolism Between Responders to CBT and Venlafaxine in a 16-Week Randomized Controlled Trial. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2007; 164:778–788. [PubMed: 17475737]
- Kim MJ, Hamilton JP, Gotlib IH. Reduced caudate gray matter volume in women with major depressive disorder. *Psychiatry Research: Neuroimaging*. 2008; 164:114–122. [PubMed: 18930633]
- Koenigs M, Grafman J. The functional neuroanatomy of depression: Distinct roles for ventromedial and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. *Behavioural brain research*. 2009; 201:239–243. [PubMed: 19428640]
- Koenigs M, Huey ED, Calamia M, Raymond V, Tranel D, Grafman J. Distinct regions of prefrontal cortex mediate resistance and vulnerability to depression. *J Neurosci*. 2008; 28:12341–12348. [PubMed: 19020027]
- Larsen RJ, Ketelaar T. Personality and susceptibility to positive and negative emotional states. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1991; 61:132. [PubMed: 1890584]
- Li B, Liu L, Friston KJ, Shen H, Wang L, Zeng L-L, Hu D. A treatment-resistant default mode subnetwork in major depression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2012
- Liston C, Chen AC, Zebly BD, Drysdale AT, Gordon R, Leuchter B, Voss HU, Casey BJ, Etkin A, Dubin MJ. Default Mode Network Mechanisms of Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation in Depression. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2014; 76:517–526. [PubMed: 24629537]
- Maldjian JA, Laurienti PJ, Kraft RA, Burdette JH. An automated method for neuroanatomic and cytoarchitectonic atlas-based interrogation of fMRI data sets. *NeuroImage*. 2003; 19:1233–1239. [PubMed: 12880848]
- Matsuo K, Rosenberg DR, Easter PC, MacMaster FP, Chen H-H, Nicoletti M, Caetano SC, Hatch JP, Soares JC. Striatal volume abnormalities in treatment-naïve patients diagnosed with pediatric major depressive disorder. *Journal of child and adolescent psychopharmacology*. 2008; 18:121–131. [PubMed: 18439110]
- Mayberg HS. Positron emission tomography imaging in depression: a neural systems perspective. *Neuroimaging Clin N Am*. 2003; 13:805–815. [PubMed: 15024963]
- Mayberg HS, Liotti M, Brannan SK, McGinnis S, Mahurin RK, Jerabek PA, Silva JA, Tekell JL, Martin CC, Lancaster JL. Reciprocal limbic-cortical function and negative mood: converging PET findings in depression and normal sadness. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 1999; 156:675–682. [PubMed: 10327898]
- Mayberg HS, Lozano AM, Voon V, McNeely HE, Seminowicz D, Hamani C, Schwalb JM, Kennedy SH. Deep brain stimulation for treatment-resistant depression. *Neuron*. 2005; 45:651–660. [PubMed: 15748841]
- McGrath CL, Kelley ME, Holtzheimer PE Iii, et al. Toward a neuroimaging treatment selection biomarker for major depressive disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2013; 70:821–829. [PubMed: 23760393]
- Myers-Schulz B, Koenigs M. Functional anatomy of ventromedial prefrontal cortex: implications for mood and anxiety disorders. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2012; 17:132–141. [PubMed: 21788943]
- Padberg F, George MS. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the prefrontal cortex in depression. *Experimental neurology*. 2009; 219:2–13. [PubMed: 19409383]
- Philippi CL, Koenigs M. The neuropsychology of self-reflection in psychiatric illness. *Journal of psychiatric research*. 2014; 54:55–63. [PubMed: 24685311]

- Pizzagalli DA, Holmes AJ, Dillon DG, Goetz EL, Birk JL, Ryan Bogdan A, Dougherty DD, Iosifescu DV, Rauch SL, Fava M. Reduced caudate and nucleus accumbens response to rewards in unmedicated individuals with major depressive disorder. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2009; 166:702–710. [PubMed: 19411368]
- Power JD, Barnes KA, Snyder AZ, Schlaggar BL, Petersen SE. Spurious but systematic correlations in functional connectivity MRI networks arise from subject motion. *NeuroImage*. 2012; 59:2142–2154. [PubMed: 22019881]
- Pujara M, Koenigs M. Mechanisms of Reward Circuit Dysfunction in Psychiatric Illness Prefrontal–Striatal Interactions. *The Neuroscientist*. 2013 1073858413499407.
- Qin P, Northoff G. How is our self related to midline regions and the default-mode network? *NeuroImage*. 2011; 57:1221–1233. [PubMed: 21609772]
- Russo SJ, Nestler EJ. The brain reward circuitry in mood disorders. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*. 2013; 14:609–625. [PubMed: 23942470]
- Satterthwaite TD, Elliott MA, Gerraty RT, Ruparel K, Loughead J, Calkins ME, Eickhoff SB, Hakonarson H, Gur RC, Gur RE, Wolf DH. An improved framework for confound regression and filtering for control of motion artifact in the preprocessing of resting-state functional connectivity data. *NeuroImage*. 2013; 64:240–256. [PubMed: 22926292]
- Sheline YI, Barch DM, Price JL, Rundle MM, Vaishnavi SN, Snyder AZ, Mintun MA, Wang S, Coalson RS, Raichle ME. The default mode network and self-referential processes in depression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2009; 106:1942–1947. [PubMed: 19171889]
- Smith K. Mental health: a world of depression. *Nature*. 2014; 515:181. [PubMed: 25391942]
- Smoski MJ, Felder J, Bizzell J, Green SR, Ernst M, Lynch TR, Dichter GS. fMRI of alterations in reward selection, anticipation, and feedback in major depressive disorder. *J Affect Disord*. 2009; 118:69–78. [PubMed: 19261334]
- Spielberg JM, Miller GA, Warren SL, Sutton BP, Banich M, Heller W. Transdiagnostic dimensions of anxiety and depression moderate motivation-related brain networks during goal maintenance. *Depress Anxiety*. 2014; 31:805–813. [PubMed: 24753242]
- Sprengelmeyer R, Steele JD, Mwangi B, Kumar P, Christmas D, Milders M, Matthews K. The insular cortex and the neuroanatomy of major depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2011; 133:120–127. [PubMed: 21531027]
- Sridharan D, Levitin DJ, Menon V. A critical role for the right fronto-insular cortex in switching between central-executive and default-mode networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:12569–12574. [PubMed: 18723676]
- Surguladze S, Brammer MJ, Keedwell P, Giampietro V, Young AW, Travis MJ, Williams SCR, Phillips ML. A differential pattern of neural response toward sad versus happy facial expressions in major depressive disorder. *Biological Psychiatry*. 2005; 57:201–209. [PubMed: 15691520]
- Takahashi T, Yücel M, Lorenzetti V, Tanino R, Whittle S, Suzuki M, Walterfang M, Pantelis C, Allen NB. Volumetric MRI study of the insular cortex in individuals with current and past major depression. *J Affect Disord*. 2010; 121:231–238. [PubMed: 19540599]
- Treadway MT, Zald DH. Parsing anhedonia translational models of reward-processing deficits in psychopathology. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*. 2013; 22:244–249. [PubMed: 24748727]
- Tremblay LK, Naranjo CA, Graham SJ, Herrmann N, Mayberg HS, Hevenor S, Busto UE. Functional neuroanatomical substrates of altered reward processing in major depressive disorder revealed by a dopaminergic probe. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2005; 62:1228–1236. [PubMed: 16275810]
- Wacker J, Dillon DG, Pizzagalli DA. The role of the nucleus accumbens and rostral anterior cingulate cortex in anhedonia: integration of resting EEG, fMRI, and volumetric techniques. *NeuroImage*. 2009; 46:327–337. [PubMed: 19457367]
- Watson D, Clark LA, Carey G. Positive and negative affectivity and their relation to anxiety and depressive disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*. 1988a; 97:346. [PubMed: 3192830]
- Watson D, Clark LA, Tellegen A. Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: the PANAS scales. *J Pers Soc Psychol*. 1988b; 54:1063. [PubMed: 3397865]
- Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Ford JM. Default mode network activity and connectivity in psychopathology. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol*. 2012; 8:49–76. [PubMed: 22224834]

- Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Moran JM, Nieto-Castañón A, Triantafyllou C, Saxe R, Gabrieli JDE. Associations and dissociations between default and self-reference networks in the human brain. *NeuroImage*. 2011; 55:225–232. [PubMed: 21111832]
- Widiger, TA.; Edmundson, M. Diagnoses, Dimensions, and DSM-5. In: Barlow, DH., editor. *The Oxford Handbook of Clinical Psychology: Updated Edition*. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2014. p. 256-280.
- Yan C-G, Cheung B, Kelly C, Colcombe S, Craddock RC, Di Martino A, Li Q, Zuo X-N, Castellanos FX, Milham MP. A comprehensive assessment of regional variation in the impact of head micromovements on functional connectomics. *NeuroImage*. 2013; 76:183–201. [PubMed: 23499792]
- Yoshimura S, Okamoto Y, Onoda K, Matsunaga M, Okada G, Kunisato Y, Yoshino A, Ueda K, Suzuki S-i, Yamawaki S. Cognitive behavioral therapy for depression changes medial prefrontal and ventral anterior cingulate cortex activity associated with self-referential processing. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*. 2014; 9:487–493. [PubMed: 23327934]
- Zhang Y, Brady M, Smith S. Segmentation of brain MR images through a hidden Markov random field model and the expectation-maximization algorithm. *Medical Imaging, IEEE Transactions on*. 2001; 20:45–57.
- Zhu X, Wang X, Xiao J, Liao J, Zhong M, Wang W, Yao S. Evidence of a dissociation pattern in resting-state default mode network connectivity in first-episode, treatment-naïve major depression patients. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012; 71:611–617. [PubMed: 22177602]

- We examined resting-state connectivity of ACC subregions in subclinical depression.
- Associations between depression severity and ACC subregions were distinct.
- Higher depression severity was related to reduced sgACC-striatal connectivity.
- Higher depression severity was related to enhanced anterior sgACC-PCC connectivity.
- Results link subclinical depression severity to the same neural correlates as MDD.

Figure 1. Depression severity was associated with distinct functional connectivity between pgACC, striatum, and visual cortex

A. pgACC seed ROI; B. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with diminished connectivity between pgACC and right caudate, *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and pgACC-right caudate connectivity values; C. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with diminished connectivity between pgACC and left caudate, *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and pgACC-left caudate connectivity values; D. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with increased connectivity between pgACC and right lingual gyrus, *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and pgACC-right lingual gyrus connectivity values. The seed ROI and all results are displayed on the group average structural MRI in MNI-space. All results survived whole-brain cluster correction ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$). Each regression line (plotted in black) depicts the predicted model for total BDI score and pgACC connectivity; confidence intervals are plotted in thin black lines. Color bar indicates uncorrected t-values for panels B-D.

Figure 2. Depression severity was associated with distinct functional connectivity between anterior sgACC, posterior cingulate, and insula

A. Anterior sgACC seed ROI; B. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with enhanced connectivity between anterior sgACC and bilateral posterior cingulate cortex (PCC), *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and the anterior sgACC-bilateral PCC connectivity values; C. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with diminished connectivity between anterior sgACC and right insula, *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and anterior sgACC-right insula connectivity values. The seed ROI and all results are displayed on the group average structural MRI in MNI-space. All results survived whole-brain cluster correction ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$). Each regression line (plotted in black) depicts the predicted model for total BDI score and pgACC connectivity; confidence intervals are plotted in thin black lines. Color bar indicates uncorrected t-values for panels B-C.

Figure 3. Depression severity was associated with enhanced functional connectivity between posterior sgACC, bilateral inferior frontal gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, and cerebellum

A. Posterior sgACC seed ROI; B. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with enhanced connectivity between posterior sgACC and right inferior frontal gyrus/dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (IFG/dIPFC), *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and posterior sgACC-right IFG/dIPFC connectivity values; C. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with increased connectivity between posterior sgACC and left IFG, *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and posterior sgACC-left IFG connectivity values; D. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with enhanced connectivity between posterior sgACC and left parahippocampal gyrus/thalamus (PHG/thal), *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and posterior sgACC-left PHG/thal connectivity values; E. *Top row*: Higher depression severity was associated with enhanced connectivity between posterior sgACC and right cerebellum (Cb), *Bottom row*: Scatterplot shows the relationship between total BDI score and posterior sgACC-right Cb connectivity values. The seed ROI and all results are displayed on the group average structural MRI in MNI-space. All results survived whole-brain cluster correction ($p_{FWE} < 0.05$). Each regression line (plotted in black) depicts the predicted model for total BDI score and pgACC connectivity; confidence intervals are plotted in thin black lines. Color bar indicates uncorrected t-values for panels B-E.

Table 1

Means and Correlations Between Depression Severity and Positive and Negative Affect

Mean $\pm$ SD	
BDI-II	4.2 $\pm$ 3.9
PANAS-positive	37.7 $\pm$ 6.1
PANAS-negative	13.6 $\pm$ 3.6
Correlation (<i>r</i>)	
BDI-II $\times$ PANAS-positive	−0.33 [#]
BDI-II $\times$ PANAS-negative	0.56 [*]
PANAS-positive $\times$ PANAS-negative	−0.40 [*]

Means, standard deviations (SD), and correlation results are reported (r-values) for all Pearson correlations. P-values:

^{*} $p < 0.05$,

[#] $p = 0.09$.

Abbreviations: BDI-II = Beck Depression Inventory-II (Beck, Steer, & Brown, 1996), PANAS = Positive and Negative Affect Scale (Watson, Clark, & Tellegen, 1988b), SD = standard deviation.

Table 2

Resting-State Functional Connectivity Results for Anterior Cingulate Subregions

Seed	Brain Region	MNI Coordinates	Cluster Size (voxels), t-value
pgACC	Right caudate	17, 15, -3	161, -5.84
pgACC	Left caudate	-19, 18, 3	87, -4.84
pgACC	Right lingual gyrus	5, -87-18	90, 4.47
Anterior sgACC	Bilateral posterior cingulate cortex	-10, -48, 36	288, 4.39
Anterior sgACC	Right insula	53, 12, -6	192, -5.34
Posterior sgACC	Right inferior frontal gyrus/dorsolateral prefrontal cortex	44, 33, 9	319, 7.17
Posterior sgACC	Left inferior frontal gyrus	-43, 27, 6	114, 5.31
Posterior sgACC	Bilateral parahippocampal gyrus extending to thalamus	-7, -9, -15	179, 5.41
Posterior sgACC	Right cerebellum	56, -54, -39	134, 6.33

All regression results were significant at whole-brain cluster-corrected $p_{FWE} < 0.05$.

Abbreviations: pgACC = pregenual anterior cingulate, sgACC = subgenual anterior cingulate.

Table 3

Correlations Between Functional Connectivity for Anterior Cingulate Subregions and Positive and Negative Affect

Functional connectivity for significant clusters	Positive Affect (r-value)	Negative Affect (r-value)
pgACC-R caudate	.41 [*]	-.54 ^{**}
pgACC-L caudate	.31	-.58 ^{**}
pgACC-R lingual gyrus	-.21	.54 ^{**}
Anterior sgACC-B PCC	-.10	.19
Anterior sgACC-R insula	.17	-.46 [*]
Posterior sgACC-R inferior frontal gyrus/dIPFC	-.45 [*]	.50 ^{**}
Posterior sgACC-L inferior frontal gyrus	-.17	.41 [*]
Posterior sgACC-parahippocampal gyrus	-.29	.48 [*]
Posterior sgACC-R cerebellum	-.23	.48 [*]

Correlation results are reported (r-values) for all Pearson correlations. P-values:

* p < 0.05,

** p < 0.01.

Abbreviations: R = right hemisphere, L = left hemisphere, B = bilateral, PCC = posterior cingulate cortex, dIPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, pgACC = pregenual anterior cingulate, sgACC = subgenual anterior cingulate.