Published in final edited form as:

Adv Tech Biol Med. 2015 September 9; 3(2): .

Current Challenges in Bone Biology

Hemanth Akkiraju and Anja Nohe*

University of Delaware, Newark, 19716, Delaware

The bone is a very important organ that supports is one of the many bodily functions. It has very diverse functions from the general support of the human body to energy regulation and balance [1]. Bones are formed during the development either through intramembranous (flat bones) ossification or endochondral ossification (long bones) [2–4]. The human body is composed of over 270 bones at birth and fuse to become 206 in totals at adulthood that all hold crucial functions. Bones consisting of mineralized bone tissue also consists of bone marrow, nerves and blood vessels and the communication between cells in the tissues is tightly regulated by the bone environment. Bone is an active tissue that is maintained by bone cells such as osteoblasts that form bone and osteoclasts that resorb bone [5]. Additionally, within the collagen and mineral matrix osteocytes are also embedded and respond to the bone environment [6,7]. The balance between these cells is necessary to maintain bone function. Bone research is considerably a challenging field due to the intricately dense structural composition of the bone morphology. While other tissues can be easily processed and prepared for experiments, working with bone is difficult [8,9]. Due to its composition of collagen fibers and minerals, bone creates a very dense structure, in which the bone cells are embedded [10]. Therefore, studying intracellular dynamics of the bone cells embedded within the mineralized tissue has proven to be challenging a task.

Imaging cells at subcellular level within the bone environment is very challenging. Conventional intracellular studies are performed on decalcified thin tissue slices embedded in paraffin [9]. However, this kind of bone sample preparation can lead to significant changes in bones biochemical properties of antigenicity and to its mineral structure [9]. Alternatively, non-decalcified bone samples can be processed in resin based polymers and be labeled fluorescently for target proteins [8,11,12]. However, current methods are tedious and very limited. Most approaches used to image cells within the bone such as MRI, Micro-CT or Ultrasound can image bone structure and recently cells, however these techniques are limited by their low resolution at the cellular level [13].

Tissues embedded within the bone itself such as the bone marrow niche and blood vessels are easier to analyze. For example real time imaging of the bone marrow niche within bone was recently achieved [14,15]. Similarly, fluorescent imaging of cells within the bone marrow niche was also achieved [16]. However, determining the localization of cell types and protein expression dynamics of single cells within the bone is still very difficult. Recent

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Corresponding author: Anja Nohe, Director, The Laboratory of Cellular Signaling and Dynamics, Department of Biological Sciences, 321 Wolf Hall, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, Tel: 302-831-2959; Fax: 302-831-2281; anjanohe@udel.edu.

advancements in imaging techniques allows for the identification of osteocytes embedded in the bone matrix [17]. However, more research is needed to identify intracellular protein activities of the cell bodies embedded within mineralized matrix.

Alternatively, researchers study cell dynamics in ex vivo models. Several ex vivo models of bone are developed to study cellular dynamics of bone [18–21]. These novel ex vivo bone cultures are proposed for studying inflammatory responses, cancer metastasis, and also Zetos bone bioreactor used to study bone growth utilizing mechanosensitive loading are a few good examples [19,22,23]. These ex vivo models can overcome many ethical and clinical issues that are otherwise not permissive for animal or human trials. Such model systems also allow for the imaging of bone cells more feasible [24]. One model for example uses trabecular bone samples and replaces the cells in the 3D architecture with live cells. This allows for a controlled environment within the ex vivo model structure to study bone cell function [25]. Other researchers try to recreate specific bone environments for cells by using hydrogels or porous microspheres to support 3D growth of cells [26,27]. As these data show the cells in a 3D environment show often completely different cellular dynamics as compared to their 2D cultures [28]. Although mimicking the tissue environment through ex vivo model systems makes a significant breakthrough in testing cellular responses but it is still hard to replicate the exact environmental processes.

To better understand bone function we desperately need the development of new protocols and methods to drive bone research. This is especially important to address the cause of bone diseases and their possible treatment options. Bone diseases such as osteoporosis tremendously impact on the quality of life of individuals. Musculoskeletal diseases affect one out of every two people in the United States age 18 and over, and nearly three out of four age 65 and over [29]. However, in order to develop treatments one needs to understand the basic cellular mechanisms first.

Acknowledgements

We thank NIH/NIAMS for funding 5RO1AR06424302.

References

1. Zhang Q, Riddle RC, Clemens TL. Bone and the regulation of global energy balance. *J Intern Med.* 2015; 277:681–689. [PubMed: 25597336]
2. Raggatt LJ, Partridge NC. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of bone remodeling. *J Biol Chem.* 2010; 285:25103–25108. [PubMed: 20501658]
3. Mackie EJ, Ahmed YA, Tatarczuch L, Chen KS, Mirams M. Endochondral ossification: How cartilage is converted into bone in the developing skeleton. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2008; 40:46–62. [PubMed: 17659995]
4. Opperman LA. Cranial sutures as intramembranous bone growth sites. *Dev Dyn.* 2000; 219:472–485. [PubMed: 11084647]
5. Tanaka Y, Nakayama S, Okada Y. Osteoblasts and osteoclasts in bone remodeling and inflammation. *Curr Drug Targets Inflamm Allergy.* 2005; 4:325–328. [PubMed: 16101541]
6. Lanyon LE. Osteocytes, strain detection, bone modeling and remodeling. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 1993; 53(Suppl 1):S102–S106. [PubMed: 8275362]
7. Aarden EM, Burger EH, Nijweide PJ. Function of osteocytes in bone. *J Cell Biochem.* 1994; 55:287–299. [PubMed: 7962159]

8. Yang R, Davies CM, Archer CW, Richards RG. Immunohistochemistry of matrix markers in Technovit 9100 New-embedded undecalcified bone sections. *Eur Cell Mater.* 2003; 6:57–71. [PubMed: 14722903]
9. Wittenburg G, Volkel C, Mai R, Lauer G. Immunohistochemical comparison of differentiation markers on paraffin and plastic embedded human bone samples. *J Physiol Pharmacol.* 2009; 60(Suppl 8):43–49. [PubMed: 20400791]
10. Reddi AH, Anderson WA. Collagenous bone matrix-induced endochondral ossification hemopoiesis. *J Cell Biol.* 1976; 69:557–572. [PubMed: 1270511]
11. Erben RG. Embedding of bone samples in methylmethacrylate: An improved method suitable for bone histomorphometry, histochemistry, and immunohistochemistry. *J Histochem Cytochem.* 1997; 45:307–313. [PubMed: 9016319]
12. Goldschlager T, Abdelkader A, Kerr J, Boundy I, Jenkin G. Undecalcified bone preparation for histology, histomorphometry and fluorochrome analysis. *J Vis Exp.* 2010
13. Bengtsson NE, Kim S, Lin L, Walter GA, Scott EW. Ultra-high-field MRI real-time imaging of HSC engraftment of the bone marrow niche. *Leukemia.* 2011; 25:1223–1231. [PubMed: 21494252]
14. Xie Y, Yin T, Wiegraabe W, He XC, Miller D, et al. Detection of functional haematopoietic stem cell niche using real-time imaging. *Nature.* 2009; 457:97–101. [PubMed: 19052548]
15. Lassailly F, Foster K, Lopez Onieva L, Currie E, Bonnet D. Multimodal imaging reveals structural and functional heterogeneity in different bone marrow compartments: Functional implications on hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood.* 2013; 122:1730–1740. [PubMed: 23814020]
16. Morrison SJ, Scadden DT. The bone marrow niche for haematopoietic stem cells. *Nature.* 2014; 505:327–334. [PubMed: 24429631]
17. Webster DJ, Schneider P, Dallas SL, Müller R. Studying osteocytes within their environment. *Bone.* 2013; 54:285–295. [PubMed: 23318973]
18. Knothe Tate ML, Knothe U. An ex vivo model to study transport processes and fluid flow in loaded bone. *J Biomech.* 2000; 33:247–254. [PubMed: 10653041]
19. Sloan AJ, Taylor SY, Smith EL, Roberts JL, Chen L, et al. A novel ex vivo culture model for inflammatory bone destruction. *J Dent Res.* 2013; 92:728–734. [PubMed: 23857868]
20. Mantalaris A, Keng P, Bourne P, Chang AY, Wu JH. Engineering a human bone marrow model: A case study on ex vivo erythropoiesis. *Biotechnol Prog.* 1998; 14:126–133. [PubMed: 9496677]
21. Smith EL, Locke M, Waddington RJ, Sloan AJ. An ex vivo rodent mandible culture model for bone repair. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods.* 2010; 16:1287–1296. [PubMed: 20218818]
22. Endres S, Kratz M, Wunsch S, Jones DB, Zetos. A culture loading system for trabecular bone. Investigation of different loading signal intensities on bovine bone cylinders. *J Musculoskelet Neuronal Interact.* 2009; 9:173–183. [PubMed: 19724152]
23. Curtin P, Youm H, Salih E. Three-dimensional cancer-bone metastasis model using ex-vivo co-cultures of live calvarial bones and cancer cells. *Biomaterials.* 2012; 33:1065–1078. [PubMed: 22071100]
24. Chan ME, Lu XL, Huo B, Baik AD, Chiang V, et al. A Trabecular Bone Explant Model of Osteocyte-Osteoblast Co-Culture for Bone Mechanobiology. *Cell Mol Bioeng.* 2009; 2:405–415. [PubMed: 20827376]
25. Cartmell SH, Porter BD, García AJ, Guldberg RE. Effects of medium perfusion rate on cell-seeded three-dimensional bone constructs in vitro. *Tissue Eng.* 2003; 9:1197–1203. [PubMed: 14670107]
26. Liu X, Ma PX. Polymeric scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. *Ann Biomed Eng.* 2004; 32:477–486. [PubMed: 15095822]
27. Kim J, Kim IS, Cho TH, Lee KB, Hwang SJ, et al. Bone regeneration using hyaluronic acid-based hydrogel with bone morphogenic protein-2 and human mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomaterials.* 2007; 28:1830–1837. [PubMed: 17208295]
28. Pampaloni F, Reynaud EG, Stelzer EH. The third dimension bridges the gap between cell culture and live tissue. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2007; 8:839–845. [PubMed: 17684528]
29. <http://www.usbji.org/programs/bmus>.