Published in final edited form as:

Neurosci Lett. 2015 September 14; 604: 193–198. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2015.07.043.

PAIN FROM INTRA-ARTICULAR NGF OR JOINT INJURY IN THE RAT REQUIRES CONTRIBUTIONS FROM PEPTIDERGIC JOINT AFFERENTS

Jeffrey V. Kras, Ph.D.^a, Christine L. Weisshaar, M.S.^a, Parul S. Pall, Ph.D.^a, and Beth A. Winkelstein, Ph.D.^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

^bDepartment of Neurosurgery, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104

Abstract

Non-physiological stretch of the cervical facet joint's capsular ligament induces persistent behavioral hypersensitivity and spinal neuronal hyperexcitability via an intra-articular NGF-dependent mechanism. Although that ligament is innervated by nociceptors, it is unknown if a subpopulation is exclusively responsible for the behavioral and spinal neuronal responses to intra-articular NGF and/or facet joint injury. This study ablated joint afferents using the neurotoxin saporin targeted to neurons involved in either peptidergic ([Sar⁹,Met(O₂)¹¹]-substance P-saporin (SSP-Sap)) or non-peptidergic (isolectin B4-saporin (IB4-Sap)) signaling to investigate the contributions of those neuronal populations to facet-mediated pain. SSP-Sap, but not IB4-Sap, injected into the bilateral C6/C7 facet joints 14 days prior to an intra-articular NGF injection prevents NGF-induced mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity in the forepaws. Similarly, only SSP-Sap prevents the increase in mechanical forepaw stimulation-induced firing of spinal neurons after intra-articular NGF. In addition, intra-articular SSP-Sap prevents both behavioral hypersensitivity and upregulation of NGF in the dorsal root ganglion after a facet joint distraction that normally induces pain. These findings collectively suggest that disruption of peptidergic signaling within the joint may be a potential treatment for facet pain, as well as other painful joint conditions associated with elevated NGF, such as osteoarthritis.

Keywords

NGF; facet joint; pain; trauma; neuronal hyperexcitability; afferent

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Beth A. Winkelstein, PhD, Dept. of Bioengineering, University of Pennsylvania, 210 S. 33rd Street, 240 Skirkanich Hall, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6392, 1-215-573-4589 (phone), 1-215-573-2071 (fax), winkelst@seas.upenn.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosures

All authors contributed substantially to the manuscript and approved the final content. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Introduction

Chronic pain affects nearly 1/3 of adults in the US annually, with neck and back pain being the most common [1]. The facet joint is the source of pain in up to 60% of neck trauma cases [2], with non-physiological capsular ligament stretch a common cause of pain [3]. Proprioceptors and nociceptors innervating the capsular ligament respond to its stretch [4,5,6]. Both peptidergic (expressing neuropeptides like substance P (SP)) and non-peptidergic (binding isolectin B4 (IB4)) primary afferents innervate the facet joint [7,8,9,10]. Clinically and experimentally, anesthetic nerve blocks demonstrate that joint afferents contribute to facet-mediated pain [11,12,13]. Although excessive facet capsular stretch induces persistent pain and spinal and afferent neuronal hyperexcitability [4,14,15,16], no study has identified whether a subpopulation of joint afferents mediates injury-induced facet pain.

Peptidergic afferents are sensitive to nerve growth factor (NGF), which is increased in the facet joint by day 1 after its injury [17]. Up to 1/3 of NGF-sensitive afferents bind IB4 [18]. NGF sensitizes adult sensory neurons [7]; intra-articular NGF induces pain and spinal neuronal hyperexcitability within 1 day [17]. Administration of anti-NGF antibodies attenuates experimental and clinical joint pain [19,20], and blocking intra-articular NGF after facet injury prevents pain and spinal neuronal dysfunction [17]. Although these findings support NGF's role in joint pain, the relative contributions of peptidergic and non-peptidergic afferents to NGF-induced joint pain is unknown.

This study investigated the separate contributions of peptidergic or non-peptidergic facet joint afferents in the development of behavioral hypersensitivity after intra-articular administration of NGF in the rat using targeted neuronal ablation. Because spinal neuronal hyperexcitability is a hallmark of persistent pain [21], extracellular potentials were recorded from the deep laminae (III–VI) of the dorsal horn, which contain mostly wide dynamic range (WDR) neurons [22], to assess neuronal firing evoked by peripheral mechanical stimuli. Based on those findings and the known role of intra-articular NGF in injury-induced joint pain [17], peptidergic signaling via SP within the facet was eliminated prior to imposing a typically painful facet injury in order to define the role of those joint afferents in pain initiation from joint trauma.

Materials & Methods

Male Holtzman rats (Harlan Sprague-Dawley) weighing 393 ± 30 g were housed under USDA- and AAALAC-compliant conditions with free access to food and water. All procedures were approved by our institutional IACUC and carried out under the guidelines of the Committee for Research and Ethical Issues of the IASP [23]. Rats were doubly-housed with 12-hour light/dark cycles and randomly assigned to groups before surgical or behavioral procedures. Quantitative analyses were performed without group identification to eliminate bias.

Joint Injection of Saporin followed by NGF

Neurons expressing the NK1 receptor (NK1R) were ablated using a targeted SP conjugate of the neurotoxin saporin, [Sar⁹,Met(O₂)₁₁]-substance P-saporin (SSP-Sap) (Advanced Targeting Systems), via intra-articular injection as described previously [10]: 100ng of SSP-Sap dissolved in PBS (5μL) and injected into the bilateral C6/C7 facet joints (n=20). Non-targeted saporin (100ng) was injected in separate rats as controls (Blank-Sap n=16). Additional rats received intra-articular injections of 5μg of saporin conjugated to isolectin B4 (IB4-Sap n=14) in 5μL of PBS to ablate non-peptidergic neurons, with similar control injections of 5μg of unconjugated saporin (Saporin n=9) in separate rats.

Fourteen days after saporin injections, rats were given an intra-articular injection of 3μg of rat β-NGF (R&D Systems) in 5μL of PBS (SSP-Sap+NGF n=12; Blank-Sap+NGF n=12; IB4-Sap+NGF n=8; Saporin+NGF n=9) or PBS (5μL) as vehicle (SSP-Sap+veh n=8; Blank-Sap+veh n=4; IB4-Sap+veh n=6), using the same procedures. That NGF dose induces behavioral hypersensitivity and spinal neuronal hyper excitability within 1 day [17]. Weight gain was monitored regularly after all procedures until each rat's study endpoint.

Behavioral Assessments

All behavioral tests were performed between 8 am and noon. Forepaw mechanical withdrawal thresholds were quantified for all rats using customary methods [10,24]. Rats were acclimated to the testing environment for 20 minutes prior to application of an ascending series of von Frey filaments to each forepaw; the lower of two consecutive filaments eliciting emphatic lifting of the forepaw was taken as the threshold. Thresholds in the bilateral forepaws were quantified and averaged over three testing rounds prior to NGF or vehicle injection (baseline) and on day 1 following it. Baseline responses were quantified and averaged over two days before injections.

A subset of rats in each group also was evaluated for thermal sensitivity after NGF or vehicle injection (SSP-Sap+NGF n=8; SSP-Sap+veh n=8; Blank-Sap+NGF n=4; Blank-Sap+veh n=4; IB4-Sap+NGF n=8; IB4-Sap+veh n=6; Saporin+NGF n=9). Thermal hypersensitivity was measured following mechanical assessment using a commercially available device (UC San Diego) and customary methods [25]. After acclimation to the apparatus for 30 minutes, the withdrawal latency for each rat was averaged across forepaws over three testing rounds on each day; baseline measurements were averaged over two days before injections.

Spinal Electrophysiological Recordings

After behavioral testing on day 1, spinal neuronal excitability was quantified in a subset of rats (SSP-Sap+NGF n=4; SSP-Sap+veh n=4; Blank-Sap+NGF n=4; IB4-Sap+NGF n=5; IB4-Sap+veh n=6; Saporin+NGF n=4) using customary methods [14,17]. Rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (45 mg/kg, i.p.) and maintained with supplementary doses (5–10 mg/kg, i.p.). The C6–C8 spinal cord was exposed and bathed in 37°C mineral oil. Rats were mounted onto a stereotaxic frame; core temperature was maintained at 35–37°C.

Extracellular potentials were recorded using tungsten electrodes lowered into laminae III–VI via a micropositioner [14,17]. Sensory neurons were selected for recording if brushing the forepaw plantar surface induced firing. A stimulus train, consisting of light brush (for 10-seconds), a series of von Frey filaments (1.4g, 4g, 10g, 26g) each applied for 5 stimulations of 1-second followed by 1-second of recovery, and a noxious pinch (60g, for 10-seconds), was applied to the forepaw. Stimuli were applied at 30-second intervals. This stimulation protocol was repeated for each identified mechanosensitive neuron.

Recordings were spike-sorted using Spike2 (CED). Evoked spikes were summed over the continuous 10-second stimulus period for both the brush and pinch. Neurons were classified as low threshold mechanoreceptive (LTM) or WDR, based on pinch-evoked firing [16]. The number of spikes evoked from the initial application of a von Frey filament until 1-second after its 5th application was summed. For each filament, the baseline spikes 1-second prior to its initial application were subtracted from the total spike count.

Facet Joint Injury & Assessment of NGF in the Dorsal Root Ganglion (DRG)

Separate groups of rats received SSP-Sap (SSP-Sap n=11) or non-targeted saporin (Blank-Sap n=7) as described above. Fourteen days later under inhalation isoflurane anesthesia, rats underwent either a facet joint distraction (FJD) (SSP-Sap+FJD n=7; Blank-Sap+FJD n=7) or sham (SSP-Sap+sham n=4), as previously described [10,24]. A loading device distracted the bilateral C6/C7 facet joints by displacing the C6 vertebra rostrally and holding C7 fixed. Sham procedures included mounting onto the device with no applied distraction. Forepaw mechanical withdrawal thresholds were measured at baseline (day 0) and days 1, 3, 5, and 7 after injury or sham procedures.

On day 7, rats were given an overdose of sodium pentobarbital and transcardially perfused with PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde. Bilateral C7 DRGs were harvested, post-fixed, and cryo-protected. Serial axial DRG sections (14µm thick) were thaw-mounted onto slides and incubated in DeCal Antigen Retrieval (BioGenex) solution for 2hrs. Slides were washed, blocked with goat serum, and incubated overnight with rabbit-anti-NGF antibody (1:100; Santa Cruz) at 4°C. Sections were incubated in an Alexa 488-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit secondary antibody (Life Technologies) for 2hrs at room temperature, washed, and coverslipped. Sections from naïve rats and with no primary antibody incubation were included as controls. NGF labeling was quantified using densitometry in four sections per rat [24]. The percentage of neurons expressing NGF was quantified by cropping each DRG (472×472 pixel area) and counting the number of neurons positive or negative for NGF.

Statistical Analyses

Withdrawal thresholds and latencies were separately compared between groups in JMP Pro v10.0.2 (SAS Institute Inc.) using univariate two-way repeated measures ANOVAs with group and time, their interaction, and individual rats nested within group as factors and a post hoc Tukey's HSD test. For all behavioral analyses, the number of repeats is the number of days responses were quantified. The total number of spikes evoked for each stimulus was compared between groups using a two-way nested ANOVA with group and stimulus as factors and neurons nested within rats, nested within group, with a post hoc Tukey's HSD

test. Differences in the ratio of WDR neurons were compared between groups using Pearson's chi-square test. NGF expression was compared between groups using separate ANOVAs with Bonferroni tests.

Results

Mechanical withdrawal thresholds at day 1 for Blank-Sap+NGF are reduced from baseline ($p<0.004$), with no other groups changed from baseline (Figure 1A). Further, the withdrawal threshold for Blank-Sap+NGF is lower ($p<0.001$) than all other groups (Figure 1A). The thermal withdrawal latency at day 1 after NGF with non-targeted saporin (Blank-Sap+NGF) decreases from baseline ($p<0.009$) and is lower than both SSP-Sap+NGF ($p<0.022$) and SSP-Sap+veh ($p<0.011$) (Figure 1B). From 95 neurons (average depth $658\pm19\mu\text{m}$), more spikes are evoked by stimulation with the 10g and 26g filaments in the Blank-Sap+NGF group than in either SSP-Sap+NGF ($p<0.008$) or SSP-Sap+veh ($p<0.044$) (Figures 1C–1D). There are no differences in the proportion of WDR neurons (Figure 1E) between groups.

The mechanical withdrawal thresholds for both IB4-Sap+NGF and Saporin+NGF are reduced at day 1 from their respective baseline responses ($p<0.001$) and not different from each other (Figure 2A). IB4-Sap+NGF and Saporin+NGF exhibit lower withdrawal thresholds than IB4-Sap+veh at day 1 ($p<0.006$) (Figure 2A). Similarly, the IB4-Sap+NGF ($p<0.001$) and Saporin+NGF ($p<0.001$) groups exhibit shorter thermal withdrawal latencies after NGF injection (Figure 2B). At day 1, only the withdrawal latency for Saporin+NGF is lower than IB4-Sap+veh ($p<0.046$) (Figure 2B). Stimulation by the 26g filament evokes more spikes (108 neurons; depth $741\pm16\mu\text{m}$) for IB4-Sap+NGF ($p<0.038$) and Saporin+NGF ($p<0.020$) than for IB4-Sap+veh (Figures 2C–2D). There is no difference in the number of WDR neurons (Figure 2E).

For those rats undergoing an FJD after intra-articular Blank-Sap, the withdrawal threshold decreases by day 1 and remains below baseline at all days ($p<0.001$) (Figure 3A). The withdrawal threshold for Blank-Sap+FJD is lower than that for SSP-Sap+FJD ($p<0.001$) and SSP-Sap+sham ($p<0.001$) for all days (Figure 3A). Neither of those groups changes from baseline (Figure 3A). Total NGF expression and the percentage of NGF-expressing neurons in the DRG increases ($p<0.001$) for Blank-Sap+FJ over both SSP-Sap+FJD and SSP-Sap+sham (Figures 3B–3F).

Discussion

This study defines peptidergic signaling in the facet as a critical initiator of both NGF- and injury-induced pain. Intra-articular NGF induces thermal and mechanical hypersensitivity associated with spinal neuronal hyperexcitability (Figures 1–2). Pharmacologic ablation of joint afferents involved in peptidergic signaling prevents onset of these NGF-induced responses; yet, elimination of non-peptidergic joint afferents prevents only thermal hypersensitivity. Ablating joint afferents that are sensitive to SP also prevents the pain and increased NGF expression in the DRG that are evident after a facet injury (Figure 3). Considering that intra-articular NGF increases early after a painful facet injury [17], NGF

likely acts on the subpopulation of joint afferents involved in peptidergic signaling to initiate injury-induced facet pain.

Intra-articular NGF increases thermal sensitivity, which is abolished when NK1R-bearing afferents are ablated (Figure 1). When non-peptidergic neurons are removed, thermal sensitivity after NGF injection is also not different from controls (Figure 2). Since the transient receptor potential vanilloid-1 responds to noxious thermal stimuli and is expressed on peptidergic and non-peptidergic afferents in the rat [26], both afferent populations may contribute to thermal sensitivity, which our findings support. In contrast, ablating joint afferents involved in peptidergic, but not non-peptidergic, signaling prevents mechanical hypersensitivity induced by intra-articular NGF (Figures 1–2). This result is not surprising since the NGF receptor, trkA, is expressed mainly by peptidergic afferents [7,27]. Peptidergic signaling also contributes to mechanical sensitivity after FJD (Figure 3). Because intra-articular NGF is necessary to initiate mechanical sensitivity after FJD [17] and non-peptidergic joint afferents do not contribute to NGF-induced mechanical sensitivity, those afferents likely do not contribute to FJD-mediated mechanical sensitivity. These findings suggest peptidergic signaling has more influence than non-peptidergic signaling on joint pain from both NGF and injury.

Ablating SP-sensitive spinal neurons prevents pain in the rat after nerve injury, inflammatory stimulus, and this facet joint injury [28,29], suggesting the *central* release of SP is required for pain maintenance after injury. Yet, the actions of SP are not limited to the spinal cord [30]. Intra-articular injection of SP sensitizes joint afferents [31], and inhibition of NK1R alleviates joint pain [32]. Because expression and release of SP from primary afferent neurons is regulated by NGF [27], the increased intra-articular NGF that initiates pain after FJD [17] likely stimulates SP synthesis and release. In fact, SP increases in the DRG 7 days after painful FJD [33], paralleling the increased NGF expression observed here (Figure 3). Ablating joint afferents sensitive to SP *before* injury prevents both NGF-induced and injury-induced facet pain (Figures 1 & 3), similar to blocking intra-articular NGF signaling at injury [17]. Yet, blocking intra-articular NGF even 1 day after injury does not alleviate established pain [17]. Antagonism of spinal NK1R prevents the behavioral effects induced by systemic NGF [34], demonstrating spinal SP signaling as necessary for NGF-induced pain. Although ablating afferents (Figure 3) or spinal neurons [29] bearing NK1R prevents injury-induced facet pain, assessing the temporal contribution of SP signaling to any form of facet pain would clarify relationships, if any, between NGF, substance P, and pain maintenance. Further, characterizing NK1R-bearing joint afferents and their role in development and/or maintenance of NGF- and injury-induced facet pain would identify population-specific receptors and associated signaling pathways underlying joint pain that could be new therapeutic targets.

Tensile loading of the cervical facet activates afferent fibers innervating that joint [4,6], which is hypothesized as initiating pain [21]. Indeed, blocking all joint afferent activity prevents both pain and spinal neuronal hyperexcitability after FJD [12], which is also achieved by blocking intra-articular NGF [17]. Interestingly, intra-articular NGF induces hyperexcitability in dorsal horn neurons even when non-peptidergic joint afferents are eliminated (Figure 2) but not when intra-articular peptidergic signaling is ablated (Figure 1).

Despite demonstrating that intact peptidergic signaling in the facet is necessary for NGF-induced spinal neuronal dysfunction, these findings do not distinguish whether intra-articular NGF mediates spinal hyperexcitability by increasing pain mediator release or inducing afferent sprouting, hyper innervating the joint and increasing its sensitivity to motion(s). Accordingly, defining whether intra-articular NGF induces afferent sprouting in the joint is critical for understanding the pathophysiology of this system.

Collectively, intra-articular NGF and peptidergic joint afferents contribute to the development of pain and spinal neuronal hyperexcitability after facet injury. Although peptidergic signaling contributes to the maintenance of arthritic joint pain [30,32], this is the first study demonstrating intact peptidergic signaling within the facet as necessary for pain onset after a joint injury that increases NGF expression [17]. However, additional negative treatment controls and assays quantifying thermal and mechanical sensitivity in rats undergoing FJD and NGF injections would strengthen these results and evaluate if priming effects contribute to NGF-induced sensitivity. Nevertheless, this work provides a basis for both defining the complex mechanism(s) that lead to persistent pain and neuronal hyperexcitability after facet joint trauma and identifying similarities with non-traumatic pain initiators.

Acknowledgments

The study sponsor had no involvement in the study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the manuscript, or the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. This work was funded by a grant from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases (#AR056288).

References

1. Johannes CB, Le TK, Zhou X, Johnston JA, Dworkin RH. The prevalence of chronic pain in United States adults: results of an internet-based survey. *J. Pain.* 2010; 11:1230–1239. [PubMed: 20797916]
2. Lord SM, Barnsley L, Wallis BJ, McDonald GJ, Bogduk N. Percutaneous radio-frequency neurotomy for chronic cervical zygapophyseal-joint pain. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1996; 335:1721–1726. [PubMed: 8929263]
3. Pearson AM, Ivancic PC, Ito S, Panjabi MM. Facet joint kinematics and injury mechanisms during simulated whiplash. *Spine.* 2004; 29:390–397. [PubMed: 15094535]
4. Lu Y, Chen C, Kallakuri S, Patwardhan A, Cavanaugh JM. Neurophysiological and biomechanical characterization of goat cervical facet joint capsules. *J. Orthop. Res.* 2005; 23:779–787. [PubMed: 16022990]
5. Cavanaugh JM, el-Bohy A, Hardy WN, Getchell TV, Getchell ML, King AI. Sensory innervation of soft tissues of the lumbar spine in the rat. *J. Orthop. Res.* 1989; 7:378–388. [PubMed: 2522984]
6. Chen C, Lu Y, Kallakuri S, Patwardhan A, Cavanaugh JM. Distribution of A-delta and C-fiber receptors in the cervical facet joint capsule and their response to stretch. *J. Bone Joint Surg. Am.* 2006; 88:1807–1816. [PubMed: 16882906]
7. McMahon SB. NGF as a mediator of inflammatory pain. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci.* 1996; 351:431–440. [PubMed: 8730782]
8. Ishikawa T, Miyagi M, Ohtori S, Aoki Y, Ozawa T, Doya H, Saito T, Moriya H, Takahashi K. Characteristics of sensory DRG neurons innervating the lumbar facet joints in rats. *Eur. Spine J.* 2005; 14:559–564. [PubMed: 15690212]
9. Kallakuri S, Singh A, Chen C, Cavanaugh JM. Demonstration of substance P, calcitonin gene-related peptide, and protein gene product 9.5 containing nerve fibers in human cervical facet joint capsules. *Spine.* 2004; 29:1182–1186. [PubMed: 15167655]

10. Kras JV, Tanaka K, Gilliland TM, Winkelstein BA. An anatomical and immunohistochemical characterization of afferents innervating the C6–C7 facet joint after painful joint loading in the rat. *Spine*. 2013; 38:E325–E331. [PubMed: 23324931]
11. Barnsley L, Lord S, Bogduk N. Comparative local anaesthetic blocks in the diagnosis of cervical zygapophysial joint pain. *Pain*. 1993; 55:99–106. [PubMed: 8278215]
12. Crosby ND, Gilliland TM, Winkelstein BA. Early afferent activity from the facet joint after painful trauma to its capsule potentiates neuronal excitability and glutamate signaling in the spinal cord. *Pain*. 2014; 155:1878–1887. [PubMed: 24978827]
13. Manchikanti L, Singh V, Falco FJ, Cash KM, Fellows B. Cervical medial branch blocks for chronic cervical facet joint pain: a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial with one-year follow-up. *Spine*. 2008; 33:1813–1820. [PubMed: 18670333]
14. Crosby ND, Weisshaar CL, Winkelstein BA. Spinal neuronal plasticity is evident within 1 day after a painful cervical facet joint injury. *Neurosci. Lett*. 2013; 542:102–106. [PubMed: 23523644]
15. Kras JV, Weisshaar CL, Quindlen J, Winkelstein BA. Brain-derived neurotrophic factor is upregulated in the cervical dorsal root ganglia and spinal cord and contributes to the maintenance of pain from facet joint injury in the rat. *J. Neurosci. Res*. 2013; 91:1312–1321. [PubMed: 23918351]
16. Quinn KP, Dong L, Golder FJ, Winkelstein BA. Neuronal hyperexcitability in the dorsal horn after painful facet joint injury. *Pain*. 2010; 151:414–421. [PubMed: 20739124]
17. Kras JV, Kartha S, Winkelstein BA. Intra-Articular Nerve Growth Factor Regulates Development, But Not Maintenance, of Injury-Induced Facet Joint Pain & Spinal Neuronal Hypersensitivity. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2015 in press.
18. Joseph EK, Levine JD. Hyperalgesic priming is restricted to isolectin B4-positive nociceptors. *Neuroscience*. 2010; 169:431–435. [PubMed: 20457222]
19. Ghilardi JR, Freeman KT, Jimenez-Andrade JM, Coughlin KA, Kaczmarek MJ, Castaneda-Corral G, Bloom AP, Kuskowski MA, Mantyh PW. Neuroplasticity of sensory and sympathetic nerve fibers in a mouse model of a painful joint. *Arthritis. Rheum*. 2012; 64:2223–2232. [PubMed: 22246649]
20. Lane NE, Schnitzer TJ, Birbara CA, Mokhtarani M, Shelton DL, Smith MD, Brown MT. Tanezumab for the treatment of pain from osteoarthritis of the knee. *N. Engl. J. Med*. 2010; 363:1521–1531. [PubMed: 20942668]
21. Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: a generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. *J. Pain*. 2009; 10:895–926. [PubMed: 19712899]
22. Dostrovsky, JO.; Craig, AD. Ascending projection systems. In: McMahon, SB.; Koltzenburg, M.; Tracey, I.; Turk, DC., editors. *Textbook of Pain*. Saunders: Philadelphia; 2013. p. 182–198.
23. Zimmermann M. Ethical guidelines for investigations of experimental pain in conscious animals. *Pain*. 1983; 16:109–110. [PubMed: 6877845]
24. Dong L, Guarino BB, Jordan-Sciutto KL, Winkelstein BA. Activating transcription factor 4, a mediator of the integrated stress response, is increased in the dorsal root ganglia following painful facet joint distraction. *Neuroscience*. 2011; 193:377–386. [PubMed: 21821103]
25. Nicholson KJ, Zhang S, Gilliland TM, Winkelstein BA. Riluzole effects on behavioral sensitivity and the development of axonal damage and spinal modifications that occur after painful nerve root compression. *J. Neurosurg. Spine*. 2014; 20:751–762. [PubMed: 24678596]
26. Yu L, Yang F, Luo H, Liu FY, Han JS, Xing GG, Wan Y. The role of TRPV1 in different subtypes of dorsal root ganglion neurons in rat chronic inflammatory nociception induced by complete Freund's adjuvant. *Mol. Pain*. 2008; 4:61. [PubMed: 19055783]
27. Merighi A, Carmignoto G, Gobbo S, Lossi L, Salio C, Vergnano AM, Zonta M. Neurotrophins in spinal cord nociceptive pathways. *Prog. Brain Res*. 2004; 146:291–321. [PubMed: 14699971]
28. Nichols ML, Allen BJ, Rogers SD, Ghilardi JR, Honore P, Luger NM, Finke MP, Li J, Lappi DA, Simone DA, Mantyh PW. Transmission of chronic nociception by spinal neurons expressing the substance P receptor. *Science*. 1999; 286:1558–1561. [PubMed: 10567262]
29. Weisshaar CL, Winkelstein BA. Ablating spinal NK1-bearing neurons eliminates the development of pain and reduces spinal neuronal hyperexcitability and inflammation from mechanical joint injury in the rat. *J. Pain*. 2014; 15:378–386. [PubMed: 24389017]

30. Keeble JE, Brain SD. A role for substance P in arthritis? *Neurosci. Lett.* 2004; 361:176–179. [PubMed: 15135922]
31. Heppelmann B, Pawlak M. Sensitisation of articular afferents in normal and inflamed knee joints by substance P in the rat. *Neurosci. Lett.* 1997; 223:97–100. [PubMed: 9089682]
32. Uematsu T, Sakai A, Ito H, Suzuki H. Intra-articular administration of tachykinin NK₁ receptor antagonists reduces hyperalgesia and cartilage destruction in the inflammatory joint in rats with adjuvant-induced arthritis. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2011; 668:163–168. [PubMed: 21749865]
33. Lee KE, Winkelstein BA. Joint distraction magnitude is associated with different behavioral outcomes and substance P levels for cervical facet joint loading in the rat. *J. Pain.* 2009; 10:436–445. [PubMed: 19327645]
34. Thompson SW, Dray A, McCarson KE, Krause JE, Urban L. Nerve growth factor induces mechanical allodynia associated with novel A fibre-evoked spinal reflex activity and enhanced neurokinin-1 receptor activation in the rat. *Pain.* 1995; 62:219–231. [PubMed: 8545148]

Highlights

- NGF injected in the cervical facet induces mechanical and thermal hypersensitivity.
- Spinal neuronal hyperexcitability develops 1 day after intra-articular NGF.
- Intact peptidergic signaling in the joint is necessary for NGF-induced sensitivity.
- Cervical facet injury induces behavioral sensitivity and increases NGF in the DRG.
- Injury-induced sensitivity and NGF require peptidergic signaling in the joint.

Figure 1.

Intra-articular NGF-induced behavioral hypersensitivity and neuronal hyperexcitability require joint afferents involved in peptidergic signaling. **(A)** Mechanical withdrawal threshold at day 1 is reduced from baseline for Blank-Sap+NGF (* $p < 0.004$; $n = 12$) and compared to SSP-Sap+NGF (+ $p < 0.001$; $n = 12$), SSP-Sap+veh (# $p < 0.001$; $n = 8$), and Blank-Sap+veh (‡ $p < 0.001$; $n = 4$). **(B)** Thermal withdrawal latency is reduced for Blank-Sap+NGF at day 1 compared to baseline (* $p < 0.009$; $n = 4$) and SSP-Sap+NGF (+ $p < 0.022$; $n = 8$) or SSP-Sap+veh (# $p < 0.011$; $n = 8$) but not Blank-Sap+veh ($n = 4$). **(C)** Spinal neuronal extracellular recordings show greater evoked firing for Blank-Sap+NGF ($n = 4$) than for SSP-Sap+NGF (+ $p < 0.008$; $n = 4$) and SSP-Sap+veh (# $p < 0.044$; $n = 4$) for 10g and 26g filaments **(D)**. The proportion of wide dynamic range neurons **(E)** is not different between groups.

Figure 2.

Ablating non-peptidergic joint afferents does not prevent intra-articular NGF-induced behavioral sensitivity or neuronal hyperexcitability. **(A)** Mechanical withdrawal threshold at day 1 is reduced compared to baseline for IB4-Sap+NGF (* $p < 0.001$; $n = 8$) and Saporin+NGF (# $p < 0.001$; $n = 9$); both exhibit lower thresholds than IB4-Sap+veh at day 1 (§ $p < 0.006$; $n = 6$). **(B)** Thermal withdrawal latency is reduced for IB4-Sap+NGF (* $p < 0.001$; $n = 8$) and Saporin+NGF (# $p < 0.001$; $n = 9$) compared to baseline, but only Saporin+NGF is lower than IB4-Sap+veh at day 1 (§ $p < 0.046$; $n = 6$). Spinal neuronal recordings **(C)** demonstrate the increased evoked firing (26g stimulation) for IB4-Sap+NGF (+ $p < 0.038$; $n = 5$) and Saporin+NGF (^ $p < 0.020$; $n = 4$) compared to IB4-Sap+veh ($n = 6$) **(D)**. The ratio of wide dynamic range neurons is not different between groups **(E)**.

Figure 3.

FJD-induced pain and increased NGF in the DRG utilizes joint afferents involved in peptidergic signaling. (A) Forepaw mechanical withdrawal threshold is reduced by day 1 and remains reduced through day 7 ($p < 0.001$) after injury for Blank-Sap+FJD ($n = 7$) and is lower than that for SSP-Sap+FJD ($*p < 0.001$; $n = 7$) or SSP-Sap+sham ($\#p < 0.001$; $n = 4$) at all days. NGF expression and the percentage of neurons expressing NGF (NGF⁺) are each increased at day 7 in the DRG after Blank-Sap+FJD ($n = 7$) (B) compared to SSP-Sap+FJD

(* $p < 0.001$; $n = 7$) (**C**) and SSP-Sap+ sham ($\#p < 0.001$; $n = 4$) (**D**) (**E–F**). 50 μ m scale bar in (**D**) applies to (**B–D**).