Published in final edited form as:

J Adolesc Res. 2015 September 1; 30(5): 586–606. doi:10.1177/0743558414561298.

“It felt good but weird at the same time”: Emerging adults’ first experiences of six different sexual behaviors

Sara A. Vasilenko, Megan K. Maas, and Eva S. Lefkowitz

The Pennsylvania State University

Abstract

Although sexual behavior is multidimensional, little research has focused on the experience of non-intercourse behaviors for adolescents and emerging adults. This paper uses open-ended coded data from a longitudinal study of college students ($N = 346$; Mean age = 18.5, 52% female, 27% Hispanic/Latino [HL], 25% non-HL European American, 23% non-HL Asian American, 16% non-HL African American, 9% non-HL Multiracial) to examine what emotional responses emerging adults report about their first experiences of six sexual behaviors. The four most common emotional reactions were happy, excited, fearful, and indifferent. Descriptions were largely positive, although mixed reactions were relatively common and emotional reactions varied by behavior. Results suggest the importance of including multiple types of sexual behaviors, as well as their possible positive and negative outcomes, in sexuality education programs.

First intercourse has been viewed as an important and meaningful life transition in both popular media (Kelly, 2010) and research (Tsui & Nicoladis, 2004). However, little is known about the first experiences of other types of sexual behaviors. In the last decade, researchers have advocated for studies of sexual behavior in adolescence and emerging adulthood that move beyond a focus on risk behavior and incorporate more normative perspectives on sexual behavior (Lefkowitz & Gillen, 2006; Tolman & McClellan, 2011). This conceptualization includes expanding the study of sexual behavior beyond penile-vaginal intercourse to include other, often less risky, sexual behaviors (Welsh, Rostosky, & Kawaguchi, 2000). In addition, researchers have suggested attention be paid to the *meaning* of sexual behaviors to adolescents (Russell, 2005; Welsh et al., 2000). In line with these perspectives, we use open-ended data to examine emerging adults’ emotional reactions to their first experiences of six different sexual behaviors, and how these reactions differ by gender.

We focus on the experience of first sexual behaviors among emerging adults, because much of what is known about first sexual behaviors focuses on adolescents (Vasilenko, Ram & Lefkowitz, 2011), even though a large minority of individuals do not engage in intercourse and other sexual behaviors in adolescence (about 40% for vaginal intercourse; Herbenick et al., 2010). Emerging adulthood is an important period in terms of exploration of relationships and sexuality (Arnett, 2000; Lefkowitz, 2005), and is a critical period for

understanding new experiences of sexual behaviors. Emerging adults, particularly those attending college, may feel more positive about sexual experiences during emerging adulthood compared to adolescence, as more peers are sexually active and they may experience more relaxed attitudes about sex on college campuses (Lefkowitz, 2005). Thus, emerging adulthood may represent a period in which transitioning to sexual behaviors is healthier for development than sexual behavior earlier in adolescence.

Emerging adults engage in a range of sexual behaviors in addition to vaginal intercourse. The average age of a first kiss is 15, with the vast majority of college students having kissed in their lifetime (Garcias, Cavalie, Goins, & King, 2008; Regan, Durvasula, Howell, Ureño, & Rea, 2004). About 80% of college students have ever engaged in genital touching, around 70% have engaged in both fellatio and cunnilingus, and nearly 30% have engaged in anal sex (Garcias et al., 2008). First intercourse can be associated with changes in mental health (Meier, 2007; Spriggs & Halpern, 2008; Vasilenko et al., 2011), and these changes may be due, in part, to the way individuals perceive and interpret their experience (Vasilenko, Lefkowitz, & Welsh, 2014). However, relatively little research has focused on how individuals perceive their first experiences of non-intercourse behaviors. Thus, more information is needed to fully understand emerging adults' sexual behavior and how it fits into their overall life and mental health.

The focus of much of the research on individuals' emotional reactions to their sexual behaviors has been on gender differences. This focus is consistent with conceptualizations of sexual scripts and double standards which portray sexual behavior differently for men and women (Hyde & Oliver, 2000). For example, women are predominantly socialized to prioritize commitment before engaging in sexual behavior (Bordini & Sperb, 2013; Crawford & Popp, 2003). Once in a committed relationship, there is little cultural encouragement to enjoy sexual behavior and much emphasis placed on pleasing a partner (Tolman, 2002; Wolf, 1997). For men, on the other hand, frequent and enjoyable sex is viewed as a more acceptable and integral part of masculinity (Smith, Guthrie, & Oakley, 2005). Men are viewed as more entitled to sexual pleasure than women are, whereas women must manage sexual responsibilities such as pregnancy and reputation in addition to pleasure (Tolman, 2002; Tolman & Diamond, 2001).. These double standards may influence how men and women experience and interpret their sexual behavior. For example, women may feel more guilt, shame, or regret about sexual behavior than men, who may experience more positive emotions (Fugere, Escoto, Cousins, Riggs, & Haerich, 2008). Thus, emerging adult women may feel more negative about their first sexual experiences than men do, as a result of strong cultural factors that view sex as more acceptable and positive for men than women.

Research has provided some support for these gender difference in emotional reactions to first vaginal sex. Qualitative research has shown that female and male adolescents view the meaning of first intercourse in ways consistent with sexual double standards; female adolescents are more likely to view their virginity as a precious gift to bestow upon a close partner, and male adolescents are more likely to view virginity as a stigma to remove (Carpenter, 2002). Female adolescents are more likely to report negative consequences, like guilt, and are less likely to feel satisfied by their first intercourse than male adolescents (Darling, Davidson, & Passarello, 1992; Dickson, Paul, Herbison, & Silva, 1998; Guggino

& Ponzetti, 1997; Higgins, Trussell, Moore, & Davidson, 2010; Sprecher, Barbee, & Schwartz, 1995; Wight, Parkes, Strange, Allen, Bonell, & Henderson, 2008). Although they have diminished somewhat in the past few decades, significant gender difference in feeling pleasure or guilt as a result of first intercourse remain (Sprecher, 2014). In addition, research has suggested that adolescent girls feel less positive overall about their first intercourse than boys (Reissing, Andruff, & Wentland, 2012). However, other research has suggested that girls or young women experience many positive consequences of sex. Although some specific negative consequences may be more common in girls and young women than boys and young men, their overall evaluations of first intercourse are equally positive in some studies (O'Sullivan & Hearn, 2008; Smiler, Ward, Caruthers, & Merriweather, 2005; Tsui & Nicoladis, 2004). Even when female adolescents feel *less* positive about their first intercourse experience than male adolescents, on average they view first intercourse as a positive experience (Reissing et al., 2012; Wight et al., 2008). In short, women's experience of first intercourse may be, on average, less positive and more negative than men's, but both view the event as a largely positive experience.

Less is known about the experience of first sexual behaviors other than vaginal intercourse. When reporting on the experience of a first kiss, both positive emotions, such as excitement and satisfaction, and negative emotions like fear and uncertainty, are common, but men report more positive experiences of their first kiss than women (Regan, Shen, de la Peña & Gosset, 2007). Women report more positive than negative feelings about their first experiences of fellatio and cunnilingus, although their descriptions of fellatio are less positive than those for intercourse and cunnilingus (Malacad & Hess, 2010). In addition to this literature, some research has examined feelings about other sexual behaviors not specific to first experiences, which gives some indication about how individuals may view these behaviors. One study of college women suggests that both female students and their male partners desire and enjoy cunnilingus, despite popular notions of men only being interested in fellatio (Bay-Cheng & Fava, 2011). Women also report more desire, wanting, and pleasure for kissing, vaginal intercourse and cunnilingus compared to erotic touching and fellatio (Bay-Cheng, Robinson, & Zucker, 2009). Another study found that male college students reported greater enjoyment of vaginal intercourse, anal sex and performing and receiving oral sex than female students did, whereas female students reported greater enjoyment of kissing (Garcias et al, 2008).

In the current paper we examine emerging adults' emotional reactions to their first experiences of six sexual behaviors: kissing, touching, performing oral sex, receiving oral sex, vaginal sex, and anal sex. We use coded data from an open-ended question about each first sexual experience, which enables us to understand what emerging adults themselves think is important about their behavior. This method is particularly useful for research on first experiences of non-intercourse sexual behaviors, about which relatively little is known. Rather than ask students to retrospectively report on all six behaviors, we followed students longitudinally, and asked them to describe how they felt about a particular sexual behavior within a few months of their first experience. This method differs from prior studies of first sexual intercourse, which often ask college students to reflect on behaviors that may have occurred many years ago. Our methods enable us to understand the more immediate

perceptions of sexual experiences, separate from changes that may occur due to time for reflection and breaking up with or strengthening a relationship with a partner (Smiler et al., 2005; Sprecher et al., 1995). In addition, these data allow us to focus on the transition to first sexual behavior during emerging adulthood, a period about which relatively little is known. In summary, we have two aims:

1. To identify commonly occurring emotional reactions to first experiences of kissing, touching, performing and receiving oral sex, vaginal sex, and anal sex.
2. To examine how engaging in these various behaviors differentially predicts reporting particular emotional reactions and overall level of positivity and negativity, and whether there are gender differences in these associations.

Due to the open-ended nature of our variables of interests, our analyses are largely exploratory. However, based on the literature on sexual double standards and gender differences reviewed above, we predict that, in general, female students will be less likely to report positive and more likely to report negative emotional reactions compared to male students, and this association may be stronger for more physically intimate behaviors (oral, vaginal, and anal sex).

Methods

Participants

Data are from the University Life Study (ULS; Patrick, Lefkowitz, & Maggs, 2014; Vasilenko, Duntzee, Zheng, & Lefkowitz, 2013), a longitudinal study of college students recruited during their first semester at a large, Northeastern University ($N = 744$). A stratified random sampling procedure with replacement was used to achieve a diverse sample of first-year college students. Because this paper focuses on first sexual behaviors, we only include the 346 individuals who reported engaging in at least one of six sexual behaviors for the first time during the course of the study. The sample was 52% female, 27% Hispanic/Latino [HL], 25% non-HL European American, 23% non-HL Asian American, 16% non-HL African American, 9% non-HL Multiracial, with a mean age of 18.5 ($SD = 0.4$) at Semester 1 (S1). More than half (58.5%) of the sample had a mother with a college degree or higher. The vast majority identified as heterosexual (98%), with 5 participants reporting a homosexual orientation and 2 participants reporting a bisexual orientation. Participants attended an average of 19.1 religious services a year ($SD = 19.6$). Overall, there were no significant differences between the analytic and full samples on gender, race/ethnicity, mother's education, age, or religiosity at S1 ($p > .05$). However, within our analytic sample, there were some demographic differences by behavior (i.e., between participants who transitioned to a specific behavior during the study and those who did not). Compared to participants who did not transition to kissing over the course of the study, those who transitioned to kissing were less likely to be White and more likely to be Asian: participants who transitioned to touching were less likely to be White and attended religious services more frequently: participants who transitioned to anal sex were more likely to be Black or Hispanic and less likely to be Asian. There were no difference between participants who did or did not transition to oral sex or vaginal sex during the study.

Procedures

Participants completed a web-based survey during each of their first seven semesters of college. Each participant received an email containing a secure link to the study, and received \$20–40 for completing this portion of the survey, depending on the semester.

Measures

In Semester 1 (S1), participants were asked questions about whether they had engaged in each of 6 behaviors in their lifetime: kissed a partner on the lips, touched with a partner under clothes or with no clothing on, performed oral sex on a partner, had a partner perform oral sex on them, vaginal sex, and anal sex. Oral sex was defined as “a person putting his or her mouth on a partner’s genitals”; vaginal sex was defined as “sex in which the penis penetrates the vagina”; anal sex was defined as “sex in which the penis penetrates the anus.” Each subsequent semester, participants who had not previously engaged in a behavior were asked about that behavior again. For instance, if a participant reported at S1 that she had never kissed someone, at S2 she was asked this question again. When a student replied “yes” to any of these behaviors between S2 and S7 of the study, s/he answered an open-ended question, “How did you feel about this first time?” Thus, students reported on perceptions of behaviors that occurred within approximately the last 6 months or less. In total, students reported 870 events of first sex across all behaviors between S2 and S7 of the study (see Table 1) and provided open-ended responses about these behaviors for 684 events. About one-third of the occasions of first behaviors occurred during S2 (first-year spring), one-third during S3–S4 (second year) and one-third during S5–S7 (third or fourth year). This pattern of first behaviors more commonly occurring in the early semesters of colleges was found across all behaviors, although nearly half (43%) of occurrences of anal sex occurred in the last 3 semesters of the study. Approximately half of participants in the analytic sample reported a first experience of one behavior during the course of the study, with about one-quarter reporting first experiences of two behaviors and one-quarter reporting 3 or more behaviors.

We created a coding scheme assessing the content and overall positivity and negativity of open-ended responses. We made a list of categories of possible responses based on prior research on first sexual behaviors (e.g. Brady & Halpern-Felsher, 2007; Bay-Cheng et al., 2009; Guggino & Ponzetti, 1997; O’Sullivan & Hearn, 2008; Reagan et al., 2007; Tsui & Nicoladis, 2004; Smiler et al., 2005; Wight et al., 2008). In addition, one undergraduate and one graduate student read through all participant responses to generate categories that occurred in the responses but were missing from the categories generated from the literature (i.e., proud, surprised, disgusted, strange and indifferent). Coders indicated first whether any emotional reaction was reported, and then checked whether or not each of ten types of reactions was reported (see Table 1 for list of emotional reactions). In addition to coding the content of these responses, we coded their overall positive and negative valence, similar to an approach used in prior work (Lefkowitz, 2005). Positivity and negativity were rated on separate scales, because it is possible for responses to be low in both positivity and negativity, or to contain meaningful amounts of both positivity and negativity. Both positivity and negativity were rated on a 1–5 scale: 1 (none), 2 (a little), 3 (some), 4 (pretty

much), 5 (a lot). Coders were instructed to rate the responses on the writer's portrayal, not on their personal evaluations of whether an event was positive or negative.

Four undergraduate coders trained and supervised by the first author coded all responses. Each coder coded each response, and discrepancies between coders were discussed with the full coding team. Final codes used in this paper represent the resolutions of these group meetings, rather than any individual coder's response. Inter-rater reliability was good for items used in subsequent analyses (average kappa for emotional reactions ranged from .83–.96; average ICC for positivity = .96 and negativity = .94).

Results

First, we examined frequencies of reporting the ten emotional reactions (Aim 1; Table 1). At least one emotional reaction was present in 67.1% of responses. Four emotional reactions (happy, excited, fearful, and indifferent) were present in more than 10% of responses, and were examined in further analyses. Examples of each reaction are presented here, with students' grammar and spelling mistakes retained. *Happy* was the most commonly reported emotional reaction, occurring in almost 25% of responses across all behaviors. Happiness included things like feeling "happy", "good" or "great." For example, a female student who reported first vaginal sex at S2 stated "It felt awesome and I'm happy I lost my virginity to my boyfriend and I am happy I did it at the time I did. I had a great time and I don't think I will ever regret it." A female student who reported her first kiss at S3 also expressed happiness about her experience, writing "It was great...well worth the wait for the perfect moment." *Fearful* was the next most commonly reported emotional reaction, occurring in almost 15% of responses. This category included responses indicating being "scared" or "nervous." For example, a male student who first reported performing oral sex at S2 wrote "i was very nervous and didnt know if she would enjoy it." *Indifferent* was present in almost 13% of responses, and indicated feeling "ok" or "indifferent." For example, a female student who first reported performing oral sex at S2 wrote "I didn't really feel one way or another about it." Similarly, another female student wrote "it was an interesting feeling...neither good nor bad" about her first experience of anal sex at S4. *Excited* was reported in about 10% of responses, and often included descriptions like "fun" or "exciting." For example, a male student who experienced his first kiss at S5 wrote "Heart racing- disbelief. Very exhilarating." Note that responses could be coded to contain more than one emotional reaction, and a common example of this co-occurrence is responses coded for both *fearful* and *excited*. For example, a male student said he was "Nervous and excited at the same time" about his experience of first vaginal sex at S4. Less commonly reported emotional reactions were: *strange*, *disgusted*, *surprised*, *relieved*, *proud*, and *sad*.

We also examined descriptive statistics for overall positivity and negativity (see Table 1). An example of a response high on positivity was a male student who first reported vaginal sex at S7 and wrote "it was one of the best experiences and i was very excited." Also rated high on positivity was a female students who wrote "It was perfect - better that I dreamed it would be" about her first kiss at S6. An example of a response high on negativity was "I felt like I had made a horrible mistake. I felt ill and sick with myself. I was depressed," written by a female student about her first vaginal sex at S4. Another example was a female student

who first reported performing oral sex at S4 and wrote “I hated myself for doing it- and I was extremely angry with my partner for wanting me to do it.” Some responses contained elements of both positivity and negativity. For example, two responses rated moderately high on both positivity and negativity were “Very Awkward but felt good” (female student, kiss, S7) and “I felt great and enjoyed it but worried about things that follow it because it was no protection involved” (female vaginal sex, S4). Mean positivity scores by behavior ranged from 1.5 (anal sex) to 2.5 (receiving oral sex); mean negativity scores ranged from 1.3 (touching) to 2.2 (anal sex).

To understand differences in odds of reporting specific emotional reactions and level of positivity and negativity by gender and type of behavior (Aim 2), we ran six multilevel models, with happy, fearful, indifferent, excited, positivity, and negativity as outcomes. This analytic strategy accounted for the nesting of the data due to the multiple events that could be reported by a single participant. The Level 1 equation includes vaginal sex as the reference group, with beta coefficients that examine how the other 5 behaviors differ from vaginal sex. Level 2 adds interactions testing gender differences in these associations. For models looking at odds of reporting different emotional reactions we used a Bernoulli distribution, which is appropriate for modeling binary outcomes, to predict the average odds of reporting an emotional reaction dependent on predictors (Garson, 2013). For models looking at positivity and negativity (continuous outcomes), we used a normal distribution to predict the level of each of these factor by the covariates.

Results of these multilevel models are presented in Table 2. Because the interpretation of the interaction terms is complex, we first present in detail how to interpret one model, followed by an overall summary of results for other models presented by each sexual behavior. As a sample interpretation, we turn to the model for *happy*, in which odds ratios are presented. The γ_{00} coefficient shows the odds of feeling happy for the reference group (female participant reports of vaginal sex) and the γ_{10} – γ_{50} coefficients indicate whether odds of happiness for other behaviors differed from odds for vaginal sex for female students. The γ_{01} – γ_{51} coefficients indicate the gender differences for each behavior. For example, female students had 31% lesser odds of feeling happy about touching compared to vaginal sex (γ_{20}), with no significant differences by gender (γ_{21}). There were no significant differences between odds of feeling happy for kissing and receiving oral sex compared to vaginal sex. The Male $\times$ Perform interaction (γ_{31}) is significant, suggesting that the negative effect of performing oral sex compared to vaginal sex is lessened for male compared to female students; male students had only 44% lesser odds (calculated by $\gamma_{30} + \gamma_{31}$) of reporting happiness about oral sex compared to vaginal sex (in contrast to 91% lesser odds for female students). No other gender differences in these associations were observed; thus, the differences are similar to the differences observed for vaginal sex (i.e. male students had greater odds of reporting). In sum, female students were equally likely to report happiness about vaginal sex, kissing and receiving oral sex, but were less likely to report happiness about touching, performing oral sex, and anal sex compared to vaginal sex. Male students were more likely to report feeling happy compared to female students across all behaviors.

Results are interpreted in the same way for the other three emotional reactions, and are similar for the overall positivity and negativity measures (except that they are reported as

beta coefficients rather than odds ratios; see Table 2). We summarize these results here more briefly by behavior. In general, male students were more likely to report feeling happy and excited and had more positivity across all behaviors. Female students reported more negativity about vaginal sex compared to men. Kissing was associated with greater odds of feeling excited and less negativity compared to vaginal sex, although the difference for negativity was weaker for male students. Touching was associated with lesser odds of feeling happy, but was associated with greater excitement and was rated as less negative compared to vaginal sex for women; for men, touching and vaginal sex were equally negative, and less negative than the level reported for women engaging in these behaviors. Performing oral sex was associated with lesser positivity and greater odds of feeling indifferent compared to vaginal sex for both male and female students. Performing oral sex was also associated with lesser odds of happiness, although this association was weaker for men; in addition, women, but not men, were more likely to feel fearful about performing oral sex compared to vaginal sex. Receiving oral sex, on the other hand, was associated with less negativity than vaginal sex, although this difference was weaker for men. Anal sex was associated with lesser odds of feeling happy, more negativity and less positivity compared to vaginal sex. Note that because of the low number of individuals ($N = 1$) reporting feeling excited about anal sex, the full model for excited did not converge, and we ran the model excluding occurrences of anal sex. Thus, though not directly tested, the failure to converge due to low rates of excitement about anal sex suggests that individuals were less likely to feel excited about anal sex compared to vaginal sex and other behaviors.

Discussion

This paper examined the subjective first experiences of six different sexual behaviors in college students by asking them to report their emotional reactions to these experiences in open-ended questions. College students reported, on average, more positive than negative emotional reactions to engaging in sexual behaviors for the first time. Although other research has found sexual activity to be enjoyable and a positive contributor to development and well-being in emerging adults (Tolman & Diamond, 2001), this work differs from other studies of adolescent sexual behavior, which focus on negative consequences for girls (Darling et al., 1992; Dickson et al., 1998; Guggino & Ponzetti, 1997; Sprecher et al., 1995). It is possible that first experiences of sexual behavior are more positive in emerging adulthood than in adolescence, as sex during emerging adulthood is more normative and individuals may be more prepared for the emotions experienced after engaging in sexual behaviors. This explanation is consistent with prior research that found the transition to first intercourse is associated with better mental health for male college students (Vasilenko et al., 2011), but poorer mental health for younger adolescent girls (Meier, 2007; Spriggs & Halpern, 2008).

However, mixed feelings such as experiencing both happiness and fear were common as well. This ambivalence suggests that, although sexual behavior is generally seen as a positive aspect of emerging adults' experiences, performing a novel behavior for the first time often is associated with apprehension. The ambivalence experienced in first-sexual experiences may be in part because first sexual experiences are seen as a marker for sexual identity development in emerging adulthood. Developmentally, emerging adults are

integrating their experiences with their self-perceptions (Arnett, 2000). First sexual experiences also contribute to the construction of the *sexual self*, or how one views oneself as a sexual person (Buzwell, & Rosenthal, 1996). Therefore, first sexual experiences in emerging adulthood, particularly with a variety of sexual behaviors, may contribute to the development of the sexual self in conjunction with identity development, and be associated with mixed feelings as adolescents make sense of these changes.

The transition to sexual behavior is also a highly gendered experience with different norms for men and women (Bordini & Sperb, 2013; Crawford & Popp, 2003). Our results show gender differences in the experiences of first sexual behaviors that are consistent with previous research. Specifically, past work has indicated that men are more sexually privileged and therefore more likely to experience pleasure, whereas women bear the risk of negative sexual reputations, making their sexual experiences more complicated (Tolman, 2005; Tolman, Striepe, & Harmon, 2003). First, male students were more likely to report happiness and positivity across all behaviors than female students, and female students felt more negative about engaging in vaginal and anal sex and performing oral sex than male students did. This gender difference was not surprising given that women are more likely than men to engage in sexual behaviors to establish a relationship or to please a partner before emotionally ready, referred to as sexual compliance (Impett & Peplau, 2002). Sexual compliance can be associated with ambivalence, regret, or emotional pain (Akintola, Ngubane, & Makhaba, 2012). Thus, female emerging adults may react more negatively to first sex because they may be more likely to engage in these behaviors to please their partners, rather than because they feel ready to have sex. Additionally, women's negative emotional reactions could be in part due to their first experience of vaginal sex not leading to the relational outcome they may have expected with their partner.

Women were also more likely to report feeling fearful about performing oral sex compared to vaginal sex, whereas men had similar odds of reporting being fearful for both events. This finding is consistent with research showing that female adolescents feel more negative about performing oral sex than engaging in vaginal sex (Malacad & Hess, 2010). Traditional sexual scripts suggest that men play a more active role in sexual behavior, whereas women are more passive or submissive (Greene & Faulkner, 2005). Thus, women may be more anxious about performing oral sex, where they play a more active role. In addition, because establishing relationships and pleasing one's partner are important motivators for women's sexual behavior (Tolman, 2002), women may feel pressure to perform adequately. Pressure to "perform or act sexual" emerges in narratives about adolescent girls' experiences with fellatio, which are often laden with fear or anxiety about performance (Burns, Futch, & Tolman, 2011). Finally, female emerging adults were more likely to report excitement about touching and kissing compared to vaginal sex, whereas male emerging adults were equally excited about all behaviors. This finding is consistent with older research suggesting that foreplay, which includes kissing and touching, is more valued and enjoyed by women, and often preferred over intercourse (Denney, Field, & Quadagno, 1984).

There were other differences by type of behavior that deserve mention. First, individuals' emotional reactions to performing oral and anal sex differed from reactions to other behaviors. For example, students were less likely to report feeling happy after performing

oral sex and engaging in anal sex and were more likely to feel indifferent about performing oral sex compared to engaging in vaginal sex. Feeling indifferent about performing oral sex could be due to the perception of oral sex as more casual and less intimate than vaginal sex (Chambers, 2007). Thus emerging adults could be less invested in outcomes of oral sex versus vaginal sex. Although performing oral sex can be associated with pleasure from making a partner happy, it involves less direct stimulation for sexual pleasure and could make an individual subject to negative evaluation by their partner, both of which may lead to more negative reactions. Finally, anal sex may have been viewed as more negative because it is stigmatized and can involve physical pain, particularly for the person being penetrated, which could cause distress to both women and their male partners.

These findings offer a new perspective on the experience of first sexual behaviors through recent, open-ended report of emotional reactions instead of retrospective reports. In general, first sexual experiences were viewed in positive ways and could have positive implications for longer-term mental, social, and physical well-being. Thus, sexuality education programs and promotion of safe sex practices could be more effective if they simultaneously recognize that sexual behaviors are pleasurable and should be enjoyable while also emphasizing the importance of engaging in these behaviors safely. In addition, sexuality education programs should discuss oral and anal sex when teaching about STI prevention and consensual sex as a way to acknowledge that these behaviors are a part of sexual activity, can be pleasurable, but should also be practiced safely and with consent from both partners. Additionally, kissing and touching can be emphasized as virtually risk-free sexual behaviors that are exciting as well as pleasurable.

There are several limitations to this research that provide areas for future research. First, our study only included college students. Individuals who do not attend college may experience first sexual behaviors differently from college students; thus, future research should recruit participants who are not in college to provide a broader understanding of sexual behavior among emerging adults. Second, individuals who enter college without having kissed a partner likely differ from those who had kissed a partner but not engaged in oral, vaginal or anal sex, and thus demographic or attitudinal differences between these types of individuals in our sample may have confounded the differences by behavior. Thus, research with a broader age range is needed to examine differences in sexual experiences at different developmental stages, and to untangle differences in experience that results from type of sexual behavior and other factors. In addition, this study focused only on emerging adults in the United States, and the experiences of first intercourse may be different in countries with more or less strict proscriptions against pre-marital sexual behavior. Third, we chose to use open-ended self-reports in order to gain exploratory information about what emerging adults felt about their experiences. However, in their responses, participants likely focused on the most salient aspect of their experience. Future research could use open or closed ended measures and ask further, more specific questions to provide a more detailed understanding of the experience.

In addition to these limitations, future research on the interpretations of first sexual experiences can be expanded in several ways. First, personal and situational factors, such as religiosity and self-esteem, or contextual factors, such as relationship status or alcohol use

before sex, may be associated with more positive or negative experiences. For example, first intercourse may be more positive with a relationship partner or in a longer-term relationship, particularly for women (Higgins et al., 2010; Smiler et al., 2005). Future research could further examine factors that may influence emotional reactions to sexual behavior. Second, individuals' reactions to their first sexual behavior may differ depending on the timing of the behavior, and patterns of spacing between behaviors. Future research could examine latent classes of timing (e.g., Haydon, Herring, Prinstein, & Halpern, Halpern, 2012) and how these patterns are associated with different emotional outcomes. Third, we focused on first sexual experiences, but reactions to subsequent experiences are likely different. Thus, an investigation into reactions to first and later experiences of the same behaviors would improve our understanding of sexual development. Fourth, individuals from different racial/ethnic backgrounds differ in their attitudes about sexual behavior (Meston & Ahrold, 2010), and thus may also differ in their reactions to first sexual behaviors (Higgins, et al. 2010). Although our sample was relatively heterogeneous in terms of race/ethnicity, it was too small to adequately test for these differences, and future research should address these differences. Finally, future research should examine first sexual experiences for gay, lesbian and bisexual youth, including how they experience both same-sex and opposite sex behaviors, as such experiences may play a role in their sexual identity development (Morgan, 2014).

Despite these limitations, this paper contributes to our understanding of emerging adult sexual behavior in several ways. First, it uses open-ended coding to understand how adolescents feel about their first sexual experiences, allowing us to explore their own emotional reactions without forcing responses into investigator-generated choices. Second, we examined six different behaviors, allowing us to better understand emerging adults' experience of non-intercourse behaviors. In general, emerging adults felt positive about their first sexual experiences. Yet, there were nuances in emotional reactions experienced among the different sexual behaviors as well as gender differences in experiences of sexual behaviors. These results provide possible new areas of emphasis for sexuality education and prevention programs, including the positive and possibly reinforcing nature of experiences of sexual behaviors, and the importance of non-intercourse behaviors, including some that carry little health risk.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Jennifer Maggs, Nicole Morgan, Meg Small, Shelley Vukman and the rest of the ULS team for their help with study design, data collection and coding, as well as our coders: Emily Fidler, Amanda Liddick, Emily Kerner and Tim Zaprazny.

Funding. This study was funded by grant R01 AA016016 from NIAAA to Jennifer Maggs, and Sara Vasilenko was funded by grants 2T32DA 017629-06A1 and P50 DA01007 from NIDA.

Biographies

Sara A. Vasilenko is a Research Associate at the Methodology Center at the Pennsylvania State University. Her research focuses on physical, mental and social health outcomes of sex for adolescents and emerging adults, as well as applying innovative methods to the study of adolescent development and sexual behavior.

Megan K. Maas is a doctoral student in Human Development and Family Studies at the Pennsylvania State University. Her research examines sexual behavior and sexual socialization processes through sexual media use and romantic experiences in adolescence and emerging adulthood.

Eva S. Lefkowitz is an associate professor in the department of Human Development and Family Studies at the Pennsylvania State University. Her research takes a developmental perspective on sexual behaviors and attitudes during adolescence and the transition to adulthood, emphasizing the multi-dimensional nature of sexual health.

References

- Akintola O, Ngubane L, Makhaba L. "I did it for him, not for me": An exploratory study of factors influencing sexual debut among female university students in Durban, South Africa. *Journal of Health Psychology*. 2012; 17:143–153. [PubMed: 21672963]
- Arnett JJ. Emerging adulthood: A theory of development from the late teens through the twenties. *American Psychologist*. 2000; 55:469–480. [PubMed: 10842426]
- Bay-Cheng LY, Fava NM. Young women's experiences and perceptions of cunnilingus during adolescence. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2011; 48:531–542. [PubMed: 21128154]
- Bay-Cheng LY, Robinson AD, Zucker AN. Behavioral and relational contexts of adolescent desire, wanting, and pleasure: Undergraduate women's retrospective accounts. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2009; 46:511–524. [PubMed: 19343570]
- Bordini GS, Sperb TM. Sexual double standard: A review of the literature between 2001 and 2010. *Sexuality & Culture*. 2012; 17:686–704.
- Brady SS, Halpern-Felsher BL. Adolescents' reported consequences of having oral sex versus vaginal sex. *Pediatrics*. 2007; 119:229–236. [PubMed: 17272611]
- Burns A, Futch VA, Tolman DL. "It's like doing homework: Academic achievement discourse in adolescent girls' fellatio narratives". *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*. 2011; 8:239–251.
- Buzwell S, Rosenthal D. Constructing a sexual self: Adolescents' sexual self-perceptions and sexual risk-taking. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. 1996; 6:489–513.
- Carpenter LM. Gender and the meaning and experience of virginity loss in the contemporary United States. *Gender and Society*. 2002; 16:345–365.
- Chambers WC. Oral sex: Varied behaviors and perceptions in a college population. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2007; 44:28–42. [PubMed: 17599262]
- Crawford M, Popp D. Sexual double standards: A review and methodological critique of two decades of research. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2003; 40:13–26. [PubMed: 12806528]
- Darling CA, Davidson JK, Passarello LC. The mystique of first intercourse among college youth: The role of partners, contraceptive practices and psychological reactions. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 1992; 21:97–117. [PubMed: 12343905]
- Denney NW, Field JK, Quadagno D. Sex differences in sexual needs and desires. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 1984; 13:233–245. [PubMed: 6466087]
- Dickson N, Paul C, Herbison P, Silva P. First sexual intercourse: age, coercion, and later regrets reported by a birth cohort. *British Medical Journal*. 1998; 316:29–33. [PubMed: 9451263]
- Fugere M, Escoto C, Cousins A, Riggs M, Haerich. Sexual attitudes and double standards: A literature review focusing on participant gender and ethnic background. *Sexuality & Culture*. 2008; 12:169–182.
- Garcias LT, Cavalie C, Goins L, King E. Enjoyment of sexual activities and attributions of enjoyment to the other gender. *The Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality*. 2008; 17:173–182.
- Garson, GD. Introductory guide to HLM with HLM 7 software. In: Garson, GD., editor. *Hierarchical Linear Modeling: Guide and Applications*. Los Angeles: Sage; 2013. p. 55-96.
- Greene K, Faulkner SL. Gender, belief in the sexual double standard and sexual talk in heterosexual dating relationships. *Sex Roles*. 2005; 53:239–251.

- Guggino JM, Ponzetti JJ. Gender differences in affective reactions to first coitus. *Journal of Adolescence*. 1997; 20:189–200. doi: [PubMed: 9104654]
- Haydon AA, Herring AH, Prinstein MJ, Halpern CT. Beyond age at first sex: Patterns of emerging sexual behavior in adolescence and young adulthood. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2011; 50:456–463. [PubMed: 22525108]
- Herbenick D, Reece M, Schick V, Sanders SA, Dodge B, Fortenberry JD. Sexual behavior in the United States: Results from a national probability sample of men and women aged 14–94. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010; 7:255–265. [PubMed: 21029383]
- Higgins JA, Trussell J, Moore NB, Davidson JK. Virginity lost, satisfaction gained? Physiological and psychological sexual satisfaction at heterosexual debut. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2010; 47:384–394. [PubMed: 20401787]
- Hyde, JS.; Oliver, MB. Gender differences in sexuality: Results from meta-analysis. In: Travis, CB.; White, Jacquelyn W., editors. *Sexuality, society, and feminism*. Washington, DC: US: American Psychological Association; 2000. p. 57-77.
- Impett EA, Peplau LA. Compliance: Gender, motivational and relationship perspectives. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2002; 40:87–100. [PubMed: 12806534]
- Kelly M. Virginity loss narratives in “teen drama” television programs. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2010; 47:479–489. [PubMed: 19662564]
- Lefkowitz ES. “Things have gotten better”: Developmental changes among emerging adults after the transition to university. *Journal of Adolescent Research*. 2005; 20:40–63.
- Lefkowitz, ES.; Gillen, MM. “Sex is just a normal part of life”: Sexuality in emerging adulthood. In: Arnett, JJ.; Tanner, JL., editors. *Emerging adults in America*. Washington D.C.: American Psychological Association; 2006. p. 235-255.
- Malacad BL, Hess GC. Oral sex: Behaviours and feelings of Canadian young women and implications for sex education. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*. 2010; 15:177–185. [PubMed: 20465400]
- Meier AM. Adolescent first sex and subsequent mental health. *American Journal of Sociology*. 2007; 112:1811–1847.
- Meston CM, Ahrold TK. Ethnic, gender, and acculturation influences on sexual behaviors. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*. 2010; 39:179–189. [PubMed: 18931901]
- Morgan, EM. Outcomes of sexual behaviors among sexual minority youth. In: Lefkowitz, ES.; Vasilenko, SA., editors. *Positive and negative outcomes of sexual behaviors*. New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development. Vol. 144. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2014. p. 21-36.
- O’Sullivan LF, Hearn KD. Predicting first intercourse among urban early adolescent girls: The role of emotions. *Cognition & Emotion*. 2008; 22:168–179.
- Patrick ME, Maggs JL, Lefkowitz ES. Daily associations between drinking and sex among college students: A longitudinal measurement Burst Design. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. 2014 n/a–n/a.
- Regan PC, Durvasula R, Howell L, Ureño O, Rea M. Gender, ethnicity, and the developmental timing of first sexual and romantic experiences. *Social Behavior and Personality*. 2004; 3:667–676.
- Regan PC, Shen W, de la Pena E, Gosset E. “Fireworks exploded in my mouth”: Affective responses before, during and after the very first kiss. *International Journal of Sexual Health*. 2007; 2:1–16.
- Reissing ED, Andruuff HL, Wentland JJ. Looking back: the experience of first sexual intercourse and current sexual adjustment in young heterosexual adults. *Journal of sex research*. 2012; 49:27–35. [PubMed: 21161815]
- Russell ST. Conceptualizing positive adolescent sexuality development. *Sexuality Research and Social Policy*. 2005; 2:4–12.
- Smiler AP, Ward LM, Caruthers A, Merriweather A. Pleasure, empowerment, and love: Factors associated with a positive first coitus. *Sexuality Research & Social Policy*. 2005; 2:41–55.
- Smith LH, Guthrie BJ, Oakley DJ. Studying adolescent male sexuality: Where are we? *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2005; 34 361-361-377.
- Sprecher S. Evidence of change in men’s versus women’s emotional reactions to first sexual intercourse: A 23-year study in a human sexuality course at a midwestern university. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2014; 51:466–472. [PubMed: 24611882]

- Sprecher S, Barbee A, Schwartz P. "Was it good for you, too?": Gender differences in first sexual intercourse experiences. *The Journal of Sex Research*. 1995; 32:3–15.
- Spriggs AL, Halpern CT. Sexual debut timing and depressive symptoms in emerging adulthood. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 2008; 37:1085–1096. [PubMed: 19802319]
- Tolman, D. *Dilemmas of desire*. New York, NY: Sage; 2002.
- Tolman, DL.; Diamond, LM. Sexuality and sexual desire. In: Worell, J., editor. *Encyclopedia of gender*. Vol. 2. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2001. p. 1005-1021.
- Tolman DL, McClelland SI. Normative sexuality development in adolescence: A decade in review, 2000–2009. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*. 2011; 21:242–255.
- Tolman DL, Striepe MI, Harmon T. Gender matters: Constructing a model of adolescent sexual health. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2003; 40:4–12. [PubMed: 12806527]
- Tsui L, Nicoladis E. Losing it: Similarities and differences in first intercourse experiences of men and women. *The Canadian Journal of Human Sexuality*. 2004; 13:95–106.
- Vasilenko SA, Duntzee CI, Zheng Y, Lefkowitz ES. Testing two process models of religiosity and sexual behavior. *Journal of Adolescence*. 2013; 36:667–673. [PubMed: 23849661]
- Vasilenko, SA.; Lefkowitz, ES.; Welsh, DP. Is sexual behavior healthy for adolescents? A conceptual framework for research on adolescent sexual behavior and physical, mental, and social health. In: Lefkowitz, ES.; Vasilenko, SA., editors. *New Directions for Child and Adolescent Development: Positive and negative outcomes of sexual behaviors*. Vol. 144. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 2014. p. 3-19. Chapter in press
- Vasilenko SA, Ram N, Lefkowitz ES. Body image and first sexual intercourse in late adolescence. *Journal of Adolescence*. 2011; 34:327–335. [PubMed: 20488512]
- Welsh, DP.; Rostosky, SS.; Kawaguchi, CM. A normative perspective of adolescent girls' developing sexuality. In: Travis, CB.; White, JW., editors. *Sexuality, society and feminism*. Washington: American Psychological Association; 2000. p. 111-140.
- Wight D, Parkes A, Strange V, Allen E, Bonell C, Henderson M. The quality of young people's heterosexual relationships: A longitudinal analysis of characteristics shaping subjective experience. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*. 2008; 40:226–237. [PubMed: 19067936]
- Wolf, N. *Promiscuities: The secret struggle for womanhood*. New York: Random House; 1997.

Table 1

Coded Categories of Descriptions of First Sexual Behaviors for Presence of Emotional Reactions and Overall Positivity and Negativity.

		All behaviors	Kissing <i>n</i> = 55	Touching <i>n</i> = 80	Perform oral <i>n</i> = 158	Receive oral <i>n</i> = 142	Vaginal <i>n</i> = 144	Anal <i>n</i> = 105
	Response example	Percent reporting feeling						
Happy	"It was an incredible experience. It felt really good."	24.7	29.1	20.0	12.0	38.7	33.3	14.3
Fearful	"I was very nervous and scared."	13.9	16.4	16.3	17.1	12.7	10.4	12.4
Indifferent	"I didn't feel one way or another about it."	12.9	9.1	12.5	15.8	13.4	10.4	13.3
Excited	"It was a fun and exciting experience."	10.2	23.6	27.5	9.5	5.6	7.6	1.0
Strange	"I felt weird because I didn't know what I was doing."	4.5	3.6	2.5	8.2	5.6	0.0	5.7
Disgusted	"Felt disgusting the next day. Swore to never do it again."	3.5	1.8	0.0	10.8	0.7	0.7	3.8
Surprised	"It was random and unexpected but it felt good."	1.8	3.6	2.5	0.6	2.8	1.4	1.0
Relieved	"Relieved-happy-relaxed."	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.4	0.0
Proud	"I was proud of myself."	0.3	1.8	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sad	"I was depressed."	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
	Response Example (High on Construct)	Mean (Standard Deviation)						
Positivity	"It was one of the best experiences and I was very excited."	2.1 (1.2)	2.4 (1.1)	2.3 (1.0)	1.8 (1.0)	2.5 (1.3)	2.3 (1.2)	1.5 (1.0)
Negativity	"I felt like I had made a horrible mistake. I felt ill and sick with myself. I was depressed."	1.7 (0.9)	1.4 (0.6)	1.3 (0.5)	1.8 (0.8)	1.4 (0.6)	1.8 (1.1)	2.2 (1.3)

Note. Numbers for emotional reactions indicate the percentage of responses that contained this response. Numbers for overall positivity and negativity indicate mean scores of responses measured on a 1–5 scale.

Table 2

Multilevel Models Predicting Presence of Four Most Common Feelings and Overall Positivity and Negativity in Reports of First Sexual Behaviors by Type of Behavior and Gender

	Happy	Fearful	Indifferent	Excited ^a	Positivity	Negativity
Intercept (Vaginal Female) γ_{00}	0.33***	0.16***	0.08***	0.03***	2.11***	2.12***
Male $\times$ Intercept ^b γ_{01}	2.45*	0.86	1.67	4.97**	0.37*	-0.68***
Kiss γ_{10}	1.82	1.38	1.40	4.95*	0.20	-0.70***
Male $\times$ Kiss ^b γ_{11}	0.44	0.73	0.61	0.30	0.54	0.6*
Touch γ_{20}	0.69*	1.24	2.48	4.15*	-0.08	-0.75***
Male $\times$ Touch γ_{21}	0.68	0.64	0.24	0.39	0.1	0.54*
Perform Oral γ_{30}	0.09***	3.01**	2.73*	1.45	-0.67***	-0.20
Male $\times$ Perform γ_{31}	4.04*	0.28*	0.45	0.86	0.21	0.34
Receive Oral γ_{40}	1.17	1.0	1.59	1.30	0.10	-0.61***
Male $\times$ Receive γ_{41}	0.94	0.83	0.65	0.42	0.18	0.43*
Anal γ_{50}	0.19***	1.38	1.83	---	-0.80***	0.57*
Male $\times$ Anal γ_{51}	1.80	0.34	0.85	---	0.11	-0.43

Note. $N = 684$ person-events, $N = 346$ persons. Gender is coded as female=0, male=1. Odds ratios are presented for feelings, and beta coefficients are presented for positivity and negativity. Models for feelings have dichotomous outcomes and were modeled with a Bernoulli distribution.

^a Because of the extremely low frequency ($n=1$) of reporting excitement about anal sex, we removed anal sex responses to achieve model convergence.

^b Gender interactions for the intercept indicate gender differences for the reference group (vaginal sex). Interactions for other behaviors indicate whether the gender difference is statistically different than that observed for the reference group. Thus, non-significant interactions for other behaviors indicate the gender difference is similar to that observed for vaginal sex.

* $p < .05$,

**

$p < .01$,

$p < .001$.