Published in final edited form as:

Opt Lett. 2014 August 1; 39(15): 4372–4375.

Photoacoustic probe using a micro-ring resonator ultrasonic sensor for endoscopic applications

Biqin Dong^{1,2}, Siyu Chen¹, Zhen Zhang², Cheng Sun², and Hao F. Zhang^{1,*}

¹Department of Biomedical Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston IL 60208

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Northwestern University, Evanston IL 60208

Abstract

We designed an all-optical photoacoustic probe for endoscopic applications by employing an optically-transparent, coverslip-type, polymeric micro-ring resonator ultrasonic sensor. We experimentally quantified the axial, tangential, and radial resolutions and angular sensitive stability of this probe. Using this probe, we achieved volumetric imaging of several phantoms. Our all-optical probe design offers clear benefit in integrating photoacoustic endoscope with other optical endoscopic imaging modalities to facilitate the transformation from bench to bedside.

Photoacoustic (PA) endoscopy is an attractive novel endoscopic modality due to its capability of realizing photoacoustic microscopy (PAM) in hollow organs noninvasively or minimally-invasively. As an emerging label-free imaging technology, PAM offers unprecedented sensitivity to optical absorption contrast with physiologically relevant information [1,2], e.g. oxygen metabolism [3–5] and tumor progression [6], at diffraction-limited spatial resolution. Current PA endoscopic probes mainly use conventional piezoelectric transducers [7–12], which pose several constraints. First, the opaque nature of piezoelectric transducers requires several compromises in the delicate optical design of endoscopic systems, usually resulting in sacrificed spatial resolution and bulky dimension. Second, the sensitivity and detecting frequency bandwidth of piezoelectric transducers can be restricted due to miniaturization [13], leading to reduced signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and radial resolution. Although transparent indium tin oxide based piezoelectric transducers have been developed to overcome above limits [14,15], their bandwidth are highly limited and not sufficient for high-resolution PA imaging.

In contrast, optical ultrasound detection methods offer desirable solutions for aforementioned constraints in endoscopic applications. Several integrated photonics based prototypes, such as intrinsic optical fiber interferometer [16], waveguide Mach-Zehnder interferometer [17], and Fabry-Perot cavities [18], were introduced to PA endoscopy. Recently, we developed an all-optical PA endoscopic probe using an optically-transparent micro-ring resonator (MRR) ultrasonic sensor for PAM [19], which exhibits unique advantages for endoscopic applications. First, the optically-transparent fiber-based MRR introduces minimal interference to existing optical system. Second, highly sensitive

*Corresponding author: hfzhang@northwestern.edu.

ultrasound detection can be achieved by modulations in the effective optical path length and magnified by more than thousand-fold through optical resonance. Third, MRR offers a significantly broader ultrasonic detection bandwidth that, subsequently, improves radial resolution in volumetric imaging and saturation limit in functional photoacoustic imaging [17,20].

In this Letter, we demonstrated the feasibility of integrating an MRR ultrasonic sensor into a compact probe for 3D PA endoscopy. The axial, tangential, and radial resolutions of our PA probe can reach 16.0 μm , 15.7 μm and 4.5 μm , respectively. The sensitivity stability during an angular scan was also characterized experimentally, indicating a good stability over at least 180 degrees. Finally, 3D volumetric imaging of several custom phantoms was performed to demonstrate the probe's potential PA imaging abilities in tissue.

Figure 1 shows the design and working principle of the PA endoscopic probe. Fig. 1(a) is a photograph of a fully packaged probe and Fig. 1(b) is the schematic of the probe's design. We used a Gradient Index (GRIN) lens to focus the PA illuminating light coming out of a single-mode optical fiber (numerical aperture: 0.22). A prism was attached to the end of the GRIN lens for side-view illumination. Then, we glued an MRR ultrasonic sensor onto the side of the prism using UV-curable resin and carefully aligned the MRR to be concentric with the optical illuminating beam.

Details of the MRR ultrasonic sensor are shown in Fig. 1(c). Polymeric MRRs with U-shaped bus waveguides were fabricated on a 250- μm -thick fused quartz microscope coverslip using E-beam lithography and carefully diced to $2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^2$. The optical waveguide made from SU-8 has a square-shaped cross-section with a side length of 800 nm [18]. Both the input and output ends of the bus waveguide were precisely cleaved for fiber coupling. A single-mode polarization maintaining (PM) fiber was used to couple a transverse magnetic mode wave from a narrow-band tunable laser (765–780 nm, TLB-6712, New Focus) into the bus waveguide, and a multi-mode (MM) fiber was used to collect the transmitted light from the other end of the waveguide. The guided light wave inside the bus waveguide was evanescently coupled into the ring waveguide across a low-dielectric gap between the bus and ring waveguides. Light circulating inside the ring waveguide led to a strong optical resonance, which can be characterized by a narrow dip in the transmission spectrum due to destructive interference [Fig. 1(d)] between lights in the two waveguides. Assuming λ_r is the resonance wavelength, zero transmission at λ_r can be achieved when the intrinsic loss of the ring resonator matches the coupling loss, which is usually referred to as the critical coupling condition. The Q -factor of an MRR can be calculated by $\lambda_r / \Delta\lambda$, where $\Delta\lambda$ is the full width half maximum of the resonance dip. Practically, five MRRs with various gap sizes were fabricated with the arrangement shown in Fig. 1(c) and the one with critical coupling was experimentally selected to be used in the probe. The measured transmission spectrum [Fig. 1(d)] indicates a Q -factor of 4820. The optimal detection sensitivity at the maximum $dT/d\lambda$ is 10.5 at 771.46 nm, which was selected as the MRR operating wavelength.

We constructed a mechanical scanning endoscopic imaging system to characterize the performances of our PA probe and to demonstrate its volumetric PA imaging ability. As shown in Fig. 2, a short-pulse laser (SPOT, Elforlight Ltd.; wavelength: 532 nm, pulse

duration: 1 ns) was coupled into a single-mode fiber and focused onto the specimen through the endoscopic probe. The absorbed laser energy led to a transient thermo-elastic expansion of the sample and subsequently launched ultrasonic waves with a wide-range of frequency components. The ultrasound pressure induced changes in both the dimension and the refractive index of the polymer waveguide. These changes collectively altered the optical path length of the ring resonator and, thus, caused a shift in the resonant frequency. The shift in resonant frequency was measured as the voltage modulation in the transmitted optical signal by a photodetector. We used a low noise, high-sensitivity photodetector (APD210, Menlo System; bandwidth: 5 MHz – 1 GHz) to record the transmitted light through the bus waveguide. The detected signals were further amplified by 12 dB (FL500NL+, Mini-circuits; bandwidth: 10 MHz – 500 MHz) and digitized by a high-speed digitizer (CobraMax, GaGe; sampling rate: 3 GS/s, bandwidth: 1.5 GHz). Each time-resolved PA signal was then converted to a depth-resolved A-line after Hilbert transformation. The A-lines collected by a circular scan were further converted from the polar coordinate to the Cartesian coordinates by using the trigonometric functions, in order to reconstruct the actual geometry of sample being examined.

Based on the experimental setup aforementioned, the MRR ultrasonic sensor offers a sensitivity of 1.1 V/MPa [17]. The noise level directly measured from the time resolved signal is 0.32 mV, which corresponds to a noise equivalent pressure (NEP) of 35.2 Pa. The NEP of the MRR ultrasonic sensor is several orders of magnitude better than conventional piezoelectric transducers with comparable size, and it is comparable with the best optical detectors been reported.

The endoscopic probe was mounted on a home-made shaft, which was driven by a step motor for circumferential sector scan (B-scan) at a step size of 0.1125 degrees. A second linear motorized stage was used to translate the probe at a step size of 0.625- μ m along the axial direction, which completed a two-dimensional tangential-axial scan for volumetric imaging. Figure 3 shows the experimentally quantified spatial resolutions of the endoscopic probe. The axial edge spread function (ESF) was recorded by linearly scanning the edge of a piece of black tape [Fig. 3(a)] and the corresponding line spread function (LSF) was calculated by taking the first derivative of the ESF [Fig. 3(b)], suggesting that the axial resolution is 16.0 μ m. The tangential ESF is shown in Fig. 3(c) and its corresponding LSF is shown in Fig. 3(d), indicating a tangential resolution of 0.4 degrees, which can be converted to 15.7 μ m when the radius of imaging scan is 2.25 mm. The detected time-resolved PA impulse response shown in Fig. 3(e) indicates a bandwidth over 250 MHz after performing Fourier transform of the time domain signal. The radial resolution can be estimated by numerically shifting and summing two impulse responses and then evaluating the envelope provided by the Hilbert transformation [21]. As shown in Fig. 3(f), the contrast-to-noise ratio (CNR) was plotted against the shift distance between the two impulse responses and the contrast was defined as the difference between the peaks and the valley in the PA envelope. If we consider that the two peaks can be differentiated when the CNR of the envelope reaches 6-dB, our MRR-based endoscope can easily attain a sub-10- μ m axial resolution (up to 4.5 μ m). Although the axial, tangential, and radial resolutions were quantified in ideal conditions, they should provide good estimations of the actual

performances of probe in tissue imaging when ultrasonic and optical scattering and attenuation present.

The performance of our PA endoscope also depends on the MRR's detection sensitivity stability during scanning. Circular scans can perturb the input PM fiber, which may affect optical coupling by altering the intensity and polarization of the deliver laser, causing a shift in MRR's resonance frequency. We examined the influence of the potential perturbation by performing a 360-degree circular scan and measuring the transmission spectra at every 10-degree increment. Fig. 4(a) shows the detection sensitivities derived from the spectra at a fixed operating wavelength. Result shows a good consistency of detection sensitivities within a scan range of 180 degrees; but sensitivity drops by as much as 30% when the angle is beyond 180 degrees.

To test PA imaging capability in a full 360-degree scan, a phantom sample was made by bending a strip of black tape to create an absorption surface with a cylindrical contour. Fig. 4(b) shows a B-scan image of the phantom sample in polar coordinates and the intensity variation is not visually obvious. The start and end positions of the scan (marked by the solid line in Fig. 4(b)) overlapped very well; however, their peak signals show a difference in intensity which agree with the variation of angular sensitivity derived from the aforementioned sensitivity test. It suggests that the image from a circular scan needs to be compensated by normalizing the corresponding angular sensitivity.

To demonstrate the volumetric imaging ability of the endoscopy PA system, we imaged two phantom samples [Fig. 5] that mimic actual anatomic features for endoscopic imaging. The first phantom was a hollow black plastic tube fabricated by 3D printing as shown in Fig. 5(a). The inner surface of the tube was intentionally designed to have an uneven wavy-pattern. Furthermore, the parallel ridge-like features were caused by the layer-by-layer extrusion deposition process of the 3D printing (Replicator 2, MakerBot), spaced at roughly 150 μm per layer. A cylindrical 90-degree scan inside the tube was performed and the reconstructed 3D rendering clearly resolved the morphology of its inner surface [Fig 5(b)]. Fig 5(c) shows the A-line from the position highlighted in Fig 5(b). Ridge-like features with depth less than 100 μm can be easily recovered in the axial cross sectional view due to the improved spatial resolution. As noticed, the PA signal strength from the valleys of the wavy surface tends to be weaker, caused by the high numerical aperture of the GRIN lens limiting the depth of focus.

The second phantom shown in Fig. 5(d) was used to test the system's ability to resolve fine features such as blood vessels in tissue. Strands of human hairs (diameters: $\sim 100 \mu\text{m}$) were randomly inserted and sandwiched between layers of semi-transparent tape, which in turn were rolled into the shape of a tube. Fig 5(e) is the corresponding 3D PA image, showing clear boundaries between the highlighted hairs and background. Fig 5(f) is the A-line from the position highlighted in Fig 5(e), indicating a good signal-to-noise ratio. To further illustrate the spatial orientation of each hair, a cross section was taken and flattened to show the depth distribution of the imaged hairs. As a result of the high quality of optical focus and higher radial resolution offered by MRR ultrasonic sensor, hair with a relevantly high

absorption coefficient usually only appears at its top surface, showing a crescent-like shape in the cross section view.

Although PA endoscopy offers optical absorption imaging with exceptional resolution at improved penetration depth, only limited endothelium tissues possess strong optical absorption contrasts. As a result, it is often difficult to locate fields of interest and to provide a comprehensive anatomic view, thus limiting the practicality of PA endoscopy. Recently, increasing attention has been directed to integrate PA endoscopy with other imaging modalities, such as optical confocal microscopy [22] and optical coherence tomography (OCT) [23,24]. Additional contrasts can serve as guides to direct endoscopic PAM towards a specific region of interest. Since the thin transparent MRR ultrasonic sensor was used in our design, multi-modal incorporation can be easily achieved by inserting extra optical fibers to implement OCT or confocal microscopy without altering the optical design and ultrasound sensing components. Furthermore, the MRR ultrasonic sensor provides a sufficiently large detection bandwidth, which significantly improves the radial resolution of PA endoscopy. Consequently, isometric voxels in volumetric imaging, for the first time, has been realized in PA endoscopy. This greatly benefits the spatial image registrations of PA imaging with other optical imaging modalities.

In summary, we developed a novel all-optical PA endoscopic probe and demonstrated the feasibility of using a polymeric MRR ultrasonic sensor for optical-resolution 3D PA endoscopic imaging. The all-optical design shows a potential method to realize a miniature PA probe without compromising its acoustic sensitivity. Adding PAM into multimodal endoscopes is highly desired in clinical applications and scientific research for offering complementary anatomical and functional contrasts in various diagnostic capacities (e.g., gastrointestinal pathologies, prostate cancer, and coronary artery disease). Our design offers the potential for a robust multi-modal platform through the combination of endoscopic OCT and other optical imaging modalities.

Acknowledgments

We sincerely acknowledge the generous support from the National Institutes of Health grants 1R24EY022883 and 1R01EY019951 to HFZ, and the National Science Foundation grants CBET-1055379 and CBET-1066776 to HFZ, and CMMI-0955195 and CMMI-0751621 to CS.

Full references

1. Wang LV, Hu S. Photoacoustic tomography: In vivo imaging from organelles to organs. *Science*. 2012; 335:1458–1462. [PubMed: 22442475]
2. Yang J-M, Favazza C, Chen RM, Yao JJ, Cai X, Maslov K, Zhou Q, Shung KK, Wang LV. Simultaneous functional photoacoustic and ultrasonic endoscopy of internal organs in vivo. *Nat. Med*. 2012; 18:1297–1302. [PubMed: 22797808]
3. Zhang HF, Maslov K, Stoica G, Wang LV. Functional photoacoustic microscopy for high-resolution and noninvasive in vivo imaging. *Nat. Biotechnol*. 2006; 24:848–851. [PubMed: 16823374]
4. Liu T, Wei Q, Wang J, Jiao SL, Zhang HF. Combined photoacoustic microscopy and optical coherence tomography can measure metabolic rate of oxygen. *Biomed. Opt. Express*. 2011; 2:1359–1365. [PubMed: 21559147]

5. Chatni MR, Xia J, Sohn R, Maslov K, Guo Z, Zhang Y, Wang K, Xia Y, Anastasio M, Arbeit J, Wang LV. Tumor glucose metabolism imaged in vivo in small animals with whole-body photoacoustic computed tomography. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2012; 17:076012. [PubMed: 22894495]
6. Yao J, Maslov KI, Wang LV. In vivo photoacoustic tomography of total blood flow and potential imaging of cancer angiogenesis and hypermetabolism. *Technol Cancer Res Treat.* 2012; 11:301–307. [PubMed: 22417060]
7. Viator JA, Paltauf G, Jacques SL, Pahl SA. Design and testing of an endoscopic photoacoustic probe for determination of treatment depth after photodynamic therapy. *Proc. SPIE.* 2001; 4256:16.
8. Sethuraman S, Aglyamov SR, Amirian JH, Smalling RW, Emelianov SY. Intravascular photoacoustic imaging using an IVUS imaging catheter. *IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control.* 2007; 54:978. [PubMed: 17523562]
9. Yang J-M, Maslov K, Yang H-C, Zhou Q, Shung KK, Wang LV. Photoacoustic endoscopy. *Opt. Lett.* 2009; 34:1591–1593. [PubMed: 19448831]
10. Yuan Y, Yang S, Xing D. Preclinical photoacoustic imaging endoscope based on acousto-optic coaxial system using ring transducer array. *Opt. Lett.* 2010; 35:2266–2268. [PubMed: 20596215]
11. Xi L, Duan C, Xie H, Jiang H. Miniature probe combining optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy and optical coherence tomography for in vivo microcirculation study. *Appl. Opt.* 2013; 52:1928. [PubMed: 23518738]
12. Yang Y, Li X, Wang TH, Kumavor PD, Aguirre A, Shung KK, Zhou QF, Sanders M, Brewer M, Zhu Q. Integrated optical coherence tomography, ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging for ovarian tissue characterization. *Biomed. Opt. Express.* 2011; 2:2551–2561. [PubMed: 21991547]
13. Shung KK, Cannata JM, Zhou QF. Piezoelectric materials for high frequency medical imaging applications: A review. *J. Electroceram.* 2007; 19:141–147.
14. Niederhauser JJ, Jaeger M, Hejazi M, Keppner H, Frenz M. Transparent ITO coated PVDF transducer for optoacoustic depth profiling. *Opt. Comm.* 2005; 253:401–406.
15. Brodie G, Qiu YQ, Cochran S, Spalding G, Macdonald M. Optically transparent piezoelectric transducer for ultrasonic particle manipulation. *IEEE T Ultrason. Ferr.* 2014; 61:389–391.
16. Wild G, Hinckley S. Acousto-ultrasonic optical fiber sensors: Overview and state-of-the-art. *IEEE Sens. J.* 2008; 8:1184–1193.
17. Gallego D, Wang M, Hiltunen J, Myllyla R, Lamela H. Polymer inverted-rib optical waveguide interferometric sensor for optoacoustic imaging. *Proc. SPIE.* 2012; 8223:822343.
18. Zhang EZ, Beard PC. A miniature all-optical photoacoustic imaging probe. *Proc. SPIE.* 2011; 7899:78991F.
19. Li H, Dong B, Zhang Z, Sun C, Zhang HF. A transparent broadband ultrasonic detector based on micro-ring resonator for functional photoacoustic imaging. *Sci. Rep.* 2014; 4:4496. [PubMed: 24675547]
20. Wang J, Liu T, Jiao S, Chen R, Zhou Q, Shung KK, Wang LV, Zhang HF. Saturation effect in functional photoacoustic imaging. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2010; 15:5.
21. Ku G, Maslov K, Li L, Wang LV. Photoacoustic microscopy with 2- μ m transverse resolution. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 2010; 15:021302. [PubMed: 20459224]
22. Kiesslich R, Burg J, Vieth M, Gnaendiger J, Enders M, Delaney P, Polglase A, McLaren W, Janell D, Thomas S, Nafe B, Galle PR, Neurath MF. Confocal laser endoscopy for diagnosing intraepithelial neoplasias and colorectal cancer in vivo. *Gastroenterology.* 2004; 127:706–713. [PubMed: 15362025]
23. Yun SH, Tearney GJ, Vakoc BJ, Shishkov M, Oh WY, Desjardins AE, Suter MJ, Chan RC, Evans JA, Jang I-K, Nishioka NS, de Boer JF, Bouma BE. Comprehensive volumetric optical microscopy in vivo. *Nat. Med.* 2006; 12:1429–1433. [PubMed: 17115049]
24. Adler DC, Chen Y, Huber R, Schmitt J, Connolly J, Fujimoto JG. Three-dimensional endomicroscopy using optical coherence tomography. *Nat. Photon.* 2007; 1:709–716.

Fig.1.

(Color online) (a) Photograph of the MRR-based PA endoscopic probe. The outer diameter is 4.5 mm. Bar: 5 mm. (b) Illustration of the probe within the dashed-square in panel a. (c) Photograph of the packaged MRRs. Bar: 200 μm. (d) Typical transmission spectrum of MRR. T: normalized transmission, λ : wavelength. The dashed-line indicates the operation wavelength of the MRR at 771.46 nm where $dT/d\lambda$ is maximum.

Fig. 2.
(Color online) Schematic of the MRR-based PA endoscopic imaging system. APD: avalanche photodiode; AMP: amplifier.

Fig. 3.

(Color online) Spatial resolutions of the PA endoscopic probe. (a) Axial ESF measured by linearly translating the probe to images the edge of a black tape. (b) Axial LSF calculated from the ESF shown in panel (a). (c) Tangential ESF measured by circularly scanning the edge of a black tape. (d) Tangential LSF calculated from the ESF shown in panel (c). (e) Time-resolved PA impulse response measured by the MRR ultrasonic sensor. (f) Contrast-to-noise ratio calculated by the shift and sum method. Radial resolution was estimated at 6-dB (dashed line).

Fig. 4.
 (Color online) (a) Angular sensitivity variation of the MRR-based PA endoscopic probe. (b)
 A full 360-degree B-scan of a cylindrical black tape strip.

Fig. 5. (Color online) Phantom imaging results. (a) Photograph of the black plastic tube phantom. (b) 3D PA volumetric rendering of its inner surface. Axial cross-section within the region marked by the dashed-box is shown in the right panel. Bar: 500 μm . (c) A-line signal from position marked by the red line in the right figure in panel b. (d) Photograph of the hair phantom. (e) Volumetric rendering of the PA hair sample imaging. The flattened cross-

sectional view within dashed-region is shown in the lower panel. Bar: 500 μm . (f) A-line signal from the position marked by the red line in the bottom figure in panel e.