Imaging assessments of lower urinary tract dysfunctions: Future steps

Fawzy F. Farag^{1,2}, John Heesakkers²

ABSTRACT

Urodynamic tests are the standard diagnostic method for lower urinary tract dysfunctions (LUTD). However, these tests are invasive. The current review describes the noninvasive imaging techniques that have been used to monitor LUTD. The main imaging technologies that have been applied in diagnosing LUTD were 2D ultrasonography, Doppler ultrasonography, and near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS). Ultrasonographic parameters, such as bladder wall thickness (BWT), detrusor wall thickness (DWT), and ultrasound-estimated bladder weight (UEBW), have been proposed as surrogates for bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) or detrusor overactivity (DO). Few studies have reported diagnostic cut-offs in diagnosing BOO or DO; thus, there is still a need to standardize the measurement method. NIRS can detect the hemodynamic changes related to DO and BOO in real-time, which could be advantageous in clinical practice, but the liability of NIRS to motion artefacts is a limitation. Bladder strain imaging in real-time using 2D ultrasound enables noninvasive estimation of the dynamic changes in the bladder wall during voiding. Many imaging techniques have been used to monitor the urinary bladder during the storage and voiding phases of the micturition cycle. These techniques were either static [i.e., measuring fixed parameters, such as BWT, DWT, UEBW, and intravesical prostatic protrusion (IVPP)] or dynamic (monitoring the structural and hemodynamic changes in the bladder wall in real-time). These techniques are currently being developed and standardized for potential use in diagnosing LUTD in clinical practice.

Key words: Imaging; noninvasive; spectroscopy, near-infrared; Urinary bladder, overactive; urinary bladder-neck obstruction.

Introduction

Lower urinary tract symptoms are either storage phase symptoms or voiding phase symptoms. Overactive bladder (OAB) syndrome is a complex of storage symptoms in the form of urgency with or without urgency incontinence, usually with frequency and nocturia in the absence of infection or any other obvious pathology.^[1] In Western nations, OAB is prevalent in approximately 13% of women and 11% of men older than 18 years of age.^[2] Voiding symptoms occur in the form of hesitancy, slow or intermittent stream, straining, and terminal dribble. Urodynamic tests in the form of pressure flow study (PFS) and filling cystometry are the standard diagnostic methods for bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) and OAB syndrome, respectively. However, these tests are invasive and are associated with potential patient morbidity.^[3] Therefore, efforts have been made to develop non-invasive techniques that can replace urodynamics. The current review describes imaging diagnostic techniques that

have been used to diagnose functional lower urinary tract disorders.

Ultrasonographic methods

Bladder wall thickness and detrusor wall thickness

Ultrastructural studies have shown that smooth muscle bulk is increased in bladder wall specimens obtained from patients with BOO.^[4] The presumption is that voiding against the increased resistance of the bladder outlet complex in these patients leads to increased bladder wall thickness (BWT).^[5] The same presumption could be true for patients with OAB who have frequent involuntary detrusor contractions against a closed urethral sphincter.^[5-8]

The ultrasonographic components of the urinary bladder are defined from outside-in as bladder adventitia (hyperechoic), detrusor muscle (hypoechoic) and bladder mucosa (hyperechoic).^[9,10] The measurement of BWT

¹Department of Urology, Sohag University Hospital, Sohag, Egypt

²Department of Urology, Radboud University Medical Centre, Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Submitted:
04.03.2014

Accepted:
08.04.2014

Correspondence:
Fawzy F. Farag, MD, PhD,
Department of Urology,
Sohag University Hospital,
Sohag, Egypt
Phone: +31(024) – 361 67 12
E-mail: ff.farag@gmail.com

©Copyright 2014 by Turkish Association of Urology

Available online at
www.turkishjournalofurology.com

includes all of these layers, while detrusor wall thickness (DWT) measures only the middle layer.

The mean BWT in healthy children is 2.13 ± 0.59 mm.^[11] Khullar et al.^[12] suggested a BWT of 5 mm as a diagnostic cut-off for detrusor overactivity (DO), with 84% sensitivity and 89% specificity in patients presenting with OAB symptoms. Robinson et al.^[5] suggested a BWT of 6.0 mm as a diagnostic cut-off for DO. BWT was found to be 3.67 ± 0.11 mm in a group of men older than 60 years of age who presented with LUTS.^[13] BWT was measured at 150-mL bladder filling in 174 patients; the authors reported a BWT of 5 mm to have 92% specificity and 54% sensitivity for detecting BOO.^[14] Kuo et al.^[7] suggested a cut-off of 0.75-mm DWT for bladder capacity, with 73% sensitivity and 67% specificity in diagnosing DO in women with OAB syndrome. Oelke et al.^[15] suggested 2 mm as the cut-off DWT for the diagnosis of BOO, with 95.5% PPV. Kessler et al.^[16] reported a 2.9-mm cut-off for DWT for a 100% PPV, with low sensitivity (43%).

Although some of these studies have shown good diagnostic accuracy for DO and BOO, there is still a need to standardize the performance measures, particularly the type of transducer, the frequency of the ultrasonic waves and zooming power applied during the measurement.

Ultrasound estimated bladder weight

Kojima et al.^[10] measured the BWT and inner and outer bladder dimensions using ultrasound in 10 bladder autopsies. Assuming the bladder to have a spherical shape, the authors subtracted the inner calculated bladder volume from the total bladder volume and multiplied the outcome by the specific gravity to obtain the ultrasonographic estimation of bladder weight (UEBW).

In a group of women with OAB, mixed urinary incontinence (MUI), and stress urinary incontinence (SUI), the mean UEBW was significantly greater in the OAB group (48 g in the OAB group, 35 g in the SUI group and 40 g in the MUI group, $p < 0.001$).^[17] UEBW has been suggested as a tool for post prostatectomy follow-up in men with BOO. UEBW significantly decreased from 52.9 ± 22.6 g to 31.6 ± 15.8 g ($p < 0.0001$) in approximately 33 patients 12-weeks post-prostatectomy.^[18] However, the assumption that the bladder has a spherical configuration appears to be unrealistic.

Ultrasonic estimated intra-vesical prostatic protrusion

An enlarged prostatic gland may protrude through the urinary bladder neck, leading to a sort of mechanical obstruction of the urinary outflow.^[19] The intra-vesical prostatic protrusion (IVPP) can be measured by ultrasound between the tip and base of the prostate and at the bladder circumference. IVPP was measured using transabdominal ultrasound in a study that included 200 men with LUTS.^[20] IVPP is classified into 3 grades: grade I < 5

mm, grade II = 5-10 mm, and grade III >10 mm. Reis et al.^[21] proposed a cut-off of 5 mm in diagnosing BOO, with 95% sensitivity and 50% specificity, respectively. IVPP appears to be an anatomical rather than a functional diagnostic tool for BOO, which means that the information about detrusor muscle contractility will be deficient.

Doppler ultrasonographic measurement of detrusor resistive index (RI)

The arterial resistive index (RI) was measured in 35 patients with high UEBW using the following formula: $RI = \frac{V_{\max} - V_{\min}}{V_{\max}}$, where $V_{\max}$ and $V_{\min}$ are the maximum and minimum blood flow velocities, respectively.^[22] RI showed a high diagnostic accuracy (86%) for BOO when measured at 3 different urinary bladder spots, with no significant difference in the readings obtained from empty or full urinary bladders.^[23]

Ultrasound bladder strain imaging

Real-time monitoring of change in the thickness of the bladder wall during voiding (i.e., bladder strain imaging) has been recently investigated by Farag and Idzenga.^[24] A group of 20 men with LUTS underwent conventional PFS. Radio frequency (RF) ultrasound data were acquired using an ultrasound system equipped with a linear array 7.5-MHz transducer. Just before the patient was granted permission to void, the transducer was placed opposite the bladder region at the onset of an increase in $P_{\det}$. Approximately 20 seconds of RF data were acquired. The ultrasound data that corresponded to the increase in $P_{\det}$ toward the opening pressure were selected for further analysis.

During the offline analysis of data, a region of interest (ROI) was selected within the bladder wall in the first obtained frame. A specific program measured the ROI width in each subsequent frame. The strain (ϵ) between the sequential frames was then built-up in an accumulative manner relative to the first frame. The $P_{\det}$ change, relative to the maximum ultrasound estimated strain ($\epsilon_{\max}$), was determined ($\Delta P_{\det} @ \epsilon_{\max}$) with regard to the first acquired ultrasound frame.

The measurements were successful in 13 of 20 patients. In 5 patients with only isovolumetric contraction, the axial strain showed a significantly positive correlation with $P_{\det}$ ($r = 0.70$ - 0.99 , $p < 0.05$). Eight patients voided during ultrasound strain imaging, 5 of these patients showed a significant positive correlation between the cumulative axial strain in the detrusor muscle and $P_{\det}$ ($r = 0.52$ - 0.81 , $p < 0.05$), and 6 of these 8 patients demonstrated a significant positive correlation between the axial strain and urinary flow ($r = 0.32$ - 0.90 , $p < 0.05$).

Near-infrared spectroscopy

Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is a function imaging technology that can detect oxygen-dependent hemodynamic changes.

es in the bladder wall either during voiding^[25,26] or during involuntary detrusor muscle contractions.^[27] Light in the NIR region of the light spectrum can penetrate the skin and reaches deeply located tissues before being absorbed by certain chromophores, including oxygenated hemoglobin (O₂Hb) and deoxygenated hemoglobin (HHb), in the human body. The measurement of changes in the concentration of O₂Hb and HHb in biological tissues in response to an abrupt event relative to baseline indicates the oxygen-dependent changes that occur in these tissues.^[28,29] The computed variable Hb_{sum} is the sum of O₂Hb + HHb, which represents the total hemoglobin.

Farag et al.^[30] investigated the reproducibility, sensitivity, and specificity of NIRS in the diagnosis of DO in a group of patients with OAB symptoms. The patients underwent filling cystometry with simultaneous noninvasive, transcutaneous NIRS of the bladder region. The obtained graphs showed curves that indicated DO and other curves that did not. These graphs were then separated and coded. The separated graphs representing both tests were presented to 3 urodynamicists for rating in a blinded and randomized manner. The rating session was repeated after 3 weeks to test the inter- and intra-observer agreements. Because of the occurrence of motion artifacts, the authors excluded 28% of the graphs from the rating process. The urodynamicists were asked to mark pressure changes that were suggestive of DO in the cystometry curves and to mark definite deviations from baseline in the NIRS curves. The NIRS curve representing Hb_{sum} showed an average 92% sensitivity and 72% specificity for DO (AUC, 0.80-0.82; p<0.001). The O₂Hb curves showed 82% sensitivity and 86% specificity for DO (AUC, 0.80-0.85; p<0.001). The HHb curve showed 77% sensitivity and 80% specificity for DO (AUC, 0.73-0.84; p<0.001). In observing the NIRS curve changes caused by DO, the inter- and intra-observer agreements were substantial (kappa >0.6).^[30] NIRS has been used to classify men with LUTS; in that study, the authors demonstrated that NIRS discriminated BOO with 100% sensitivity and 88% specificity.^[26]

In conclusion, ultrasonographic parameters, such as BWT, DWT, UEBW, and IVPP, have been proposed as surrogates for BOO or DO in patients with LUTS. Few studies have shown diagnostic cut-offs for diagnosing BOO or DO; thus, there is still a need to standardize the measurement method.

NIRS can detect the hemodynamic changes related to DO and BOO in real-time, which could be advantageous in clinical practice by reducing the liability from motion artifacts. NIRS can also help researchers to understand the underlying potential hemodynamic pathophysiologic mechanisms of LUTS.

Using noninvasive, patient friendly ultrasound, the estimation of bladder strain can be of great value when an extended measurement time window that covers the whole act of micturition

is applied. Such a screening may provide a good potential diagnostic tool for BOO in patients with LUTS and may provide insight into the basic dynamic and structural changes that occur in the bladder wall during contraction.

Peer-review: This manuscript was prepared by the invitation of the Editorial Board and its scientific evaluation was carried out by the Editorial Board.

Author Contributions: Concept - F.F.F., J.H.; Design - F.F.F., J.H.; Supervision - F.F.F., J.H.; Funding - F.F.F., J.H.; Materials - F.F.F., J.H.; Data Collection and/or Processing - F.F.F., J.H.; Analysis and/or Interpretation - F.F.F., J.H.; Literature Review - F.F.F., J.H.; Writer - F.F.F., J.H.; Critical Review - F.F.F., J.H.

Conflict of Interest: No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

References

1. Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, Griffiths D, Rosier P, Ulmsten U, et al. The standardisation of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the Standardisation Sub-committee of the International Continence Society. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2002;21:167-78.
2. Irwin DE, Milsom I, Hunskaar S, et al. Population-based survey of urinary incontinence, overactive bladder, and other lower urinary tract symptoms in five countries: Results of the EPIC study. *Eur Urol* 2006;50:1306.
3. Klingler HC, Madersbacher S, Djavan B, Schatzl G, Marberger M, Schmidbauer CP. Morbidity of the evaluation of the lower urinary tract with transurethral multichannel pressure-flow studies. *J Urol* 1998;159:191-4.
4. Elbadawi A, Yalla SV, Resnick NM. Structural basis of geriatric voiding dysfunction. IV. Bladder outlet obstruction. *J Urol* 1993;150:1681-95.
5. Robinson D, Anders K, Cardozo L, Bidmead J, Tooze-Hobson P, Khullar V. Can ultrasound replace ambulatory urodynamics when investigating women with irritative urinary symptoms? *BJOG* 2002;109:145-8.
6. Khullar V, Salvatore S, Cardozo L, Bourne TH, Abbott D, Kelleher C. A novel technique for measuring bladder wall thickness in women using transvaginal ultrasound. *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 1994;4:220-3.
7. Kuo HC. Measurement of detrusor wall thickness in women with overactive bladder by transvaginal and transabdominal sonography. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2009;20:1293-9.
8. Brading AF, Turner WH. The unstable bladder: towards a common mechanism. *Br J Urol* 1994;73:3-8.
9. Jequier S, Rousseau O. Sonographic measurements of the normal bladder wall in children. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1987;149:563-6.
10. Kojima M, Inui E, Ochiai A, Naya Y, Ukimura O, Watanabe H. Ultrasonic estimation of bladder weight as a measure of bladder

- hypertrophy in men with infravesical obstruction: a preliminary report. *Urology* 1996;47:942-7.
11. Jequier S, Rousseau O. Sonographic measurements of the normal bladder wall in children. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1987;149:563-6.
12. Khullar V, Cardozo LD, Salvatore S, Hill S. Ultrasound: a noninvasive screening test for detrusor instability. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1996;103:904-8.
13. Hakenberg OW, Linne C, Manseck A, Wirth MP. Bladder wall thickness in normal adults and men with mild lower urinary tract symptoms and benign prostatic enlargement. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2000;19:585-93.
14. Manieri C, Carter SS, Romano G, Trucchi A, Valenti M, Tubaro A. The diagnosis of bladder outlet obstruction in men by ultrasound measurement of bladder wall thickness. *J Urol* 1998;159:761-5.
15. Oelke M, Höfner K, Wiese B, Grünewald V, Jonas U. Increase in detrusor wall thickness indicates bladder outlet obstruction (BOO) in men. *World J Urol* 2002;19:443-52.
16. Kessler TM, Gerber R, Burkhard FC, Studer UE, Danuser H. Ultrasound assessment of detrusor thickness in men-can it predict bladder outlet obstruction and replace pressure flow study? *J Urol* 2006;175:2170-3.
17. Panayi DC, Khullar V, Digesu GA, Hendricken C, Fernando R, Tekkis P. Is ultrasound estimation of bladder weight a useful tool in the assessment of patients with lower urinary tract symptoms? *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2009;20:1445-9.
18. Kojima M, Inui E, Ochiai A, Naya Y, Kamoi K, Ukimura O, et al. Reversible change of bladder hypertrophy due to benign prostatic hyperplasia after surgical relief of obstruction. *J Urol* 1997;158:89-93.
19. Kuo HC. Clinical prostate score for diagnosis of bladder outlet obstruction by prostate measurements and uroflowmetry. *Urology* 1999;54:90-6.
20. Chia SJ, Heng CT, Chan SP, Foo KT. Correlation of intravesical prostatic protrusion with bladder outlet obstruction. *BJU Int* 2003;91:371-4.
21. Reis LO, Barreiro GC, Baracat J, Prudente A, D'Ancona CA. Intravesical protrusion of the prostate as a predictive method of bladder outlet obstruction. *Int Braz J Urol* 2008;34:627-37.
22. Naya Y. A possible use of color Doppler flow imaging in predicting the cause of bladder hypertrophy. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 1997;182:139-50.
23. Belenky A, Abarbanel Y, Cohen M, Yossepowitch O, Livne PM, Bachar GN. Detrusor resistive index evaluated by Doppler ultrasonography as a potential indicator of bladder outlet obstruction. *Urology* 2003;62:647-50.
24. Idzenga T, Farag F, Heesakkers J, Feitz W, de Korte C. Noninvasive measurement of detrusor muscle contraction using radiofrequency ultrasound strain imaging. *Neurourology and Urodynamics* 2012;31:758-9.
25. Macnab AJ, Stothers L. Near-infrared spectroscopy: validation of bladder-outlet obstruction assessment using non-invasive parameters. *Can J Urol* 2008;15:4241-8.
26. Stothers L, Guevara R, Macnab A. Classification of male lower urinary tract symptoms using mathematical modelling and a regression tree algorithm of noninvasive near-infrared spectroscopy parameters. *Eur Urol* 2010;57:327-32.
27. Farag FF, Martens FM, Feitz WF, Heesakkers JP. Feasibility of noninvasive near-infrared spectroscopy to diagnose detrusor overactivity. *Urol Int* 2011;87:330-5.
28. Matcher SJ, Cope M, Delpy DT. Use of the water absorption spectrum to quantify tissue chromophore concentration changes in near-infrared spectroscopy. *Phys Med Biol* 1994;39:177-96.
29. Ferrari M, Mottola L, Quaresima V. Principles, techniques, and limitations of near infrared spectroscopy. *Can J Appl Physiol* 2004;29:463-87.
30. Farag FF, Martens FM, D'Hauwers KW, Feitz WF, Heesakkers JP. Near-infrared spectroscopy: a novel, noninvasive, diagnostic method for detrusor overactivity in patients with overactive bladder symptoms--a preliminary and experimental study. *Eur Urol* 2011;59:757-62.