Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2013 January 18; 78(2): 738–743. doi:10.1021/jo3021677.

C–H Bonds as Ubiquitous Functionality: Preparation of Multiple Regioisomers of Arylated 1,2,4-Triazoles via C–H Arylation

Jung Min Joo, Pengfei Guo, and Dalibor Sames

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, 3000 Broadway, New York, New York, 10027

Dalibor Sames: sames@chem.columbia.edu

Abstract

We describe a general approach for the synthesis of complex aryl 1,2,4-triazoles. The electronic character of the C–H bonds and the triazole ring allows for the regioselective C–H arylation of 1-alkyl and 4-alkyl triazoles under catalytic conditions. We have also developed the SEM- and THP-switch as well as trans-*N*-alkylation, which enable sequential arylation of the triazole ring to prepare 3,5-diaryltriazoles. This straightforward strategy provides rapid access to a variety of arylated 1,2,4-triazoles and well complements existing cyclization methods.

1,2,4-Triazoles have emerged as an important heterocyclic class with a growing number of applications in medicinal chemistry and materials science.¹ Particularly, C-arylated triazoles display a broad range of biological activities, including anticancer and antipsychotic activities.² Also, conjugated triazoles and polymeric forms have been widely studied for the development of functional materials owing to interesting fluorescence and light emitting properties.³

In the context of a broad C–H functionalization program,⁴ we have been developing new catalytic methods, based on transition metal-carboxylate systems, for regioselective C–H arylation of heteroarenes, such as indoles, pyrroles, pyrazoles, imidazoles and pyridines.^{5, 6, 7} We herein report a general approach for the selective and sequential arylation of 1,2,4-triazoles. The synthesis of aryl triazoles typically relies on multi-step cyclocondensation reactions, which require direct handling of hazardous hydrazines.^{1, 8} Much less common is cross-coupling reactions of halogenated 1,2,4-triazoles, which achieved limited success in term of efficiency and selectivity.^{2d, 9}

During our study on the Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation of imidazoles, we observed that in the presence of a strong base (NaOt-Bu), the C-2 position of imidazoles is preferred over the

Correspondence to: Dalibor Sames, sames@chem.columbia.edu.

Supporting Information. ¹H and ¹³C spectra for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

C-5 (Figure 1A).^{5g} We also observed that in the arylation of pyridines, the presence of electron-withdrawing groups markedly increases the reactivity of the heteroarene ring (Figure 1B).^{5h} These results together suggest that 1,2,4-triazoles, electron-deficient due to the presence of an additional nitrogen atom (in comparison to imidazoles), should be arylated at the C-5 position of 1*H*-triazoles and both C-3 and C-5 of 4*H*-triazoles, *even possibly by a weak base* (Figure 1C). Presumably, the deprotonation is facilitated by complexation of the palladium complex to the N-4 nitrogen of 1*H*-triazoles and the N-1 (or N-2) of 4*H*-triazoles. In contrast, a palladation at the C-3 of 1*H*-triazoles is disfavored due to electronic repulsion with nitrogen lone pairs.^{5g,h} Although catalytic C–H arylations of 1,2,4-triazoles have been reported, these protocols are mostly limited to 1-methyltriazole.^{10, 11, 12, 13}

First, we examined a direct C–H arylation of 1-substituted triazoles to develop a bench-top procedure using air-stable phosphonium salts (Table 1). Screening experiments found that DMA, typically employed for C–H arylation of azoles, was not suitable for the reaction of 1,2,4-triazole in the presence of air-stable phosphonium salts (entry 1). In toluene, however, a weak base, such as pivalate, in situ generated by the addition of a catalytic amount of pivalic acid and a stoichiometric amount of potassium carbonate, was sufficient for the C-5 arylation of 1,2,4-triazoles (entry 2). The presence of the carboxylic acid co-catalyst was essential to achieve efficiency (entry 3).^{5a, 5c, 14} As a ligand, [P(*n*-Bu)Ad₂H]BF₄ was superior to the corresponding tricyclohexylphosphonium salt (entry 4). Consistent with our previous report showing the importance of the steric bulk of carboxylate base,^{5h} bulkier and more soluble carboxylic acid co-catalysts proved to be more efficient than pivalic acid although the benefit was modest (entries 5 and 6). Hence, readily available, inexpensive pivalic acid was used for the examination of the substrate scope. Both bromobenzene and chlorobenzene were competent haloarene donors for the coupling of 1-methyltriazole (entries 7 and 8). While iodobenzene did not perform well under the standard conditions, a less activated catalytic system derived from Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂ and Ag₂CO₃ furnished the arylated product in 70% yield (entry 9). Not only 1-methyltriazole but also 1-phenyltriazole can be transformed to the arylated product in high yield (entry 10). We also investigated the bulkier, thus less reactive tetrahydropyranyl protecting group (THP), which offers advantages over the SEM group in terms of cost and deprotection strategy. The bench-top procedure gave the desired product in moderate yield (entry 11), whereas the conditions developed for the C2-arylation of imidazoles^{5g} were very efficient, leading to the formation of **2d** in 90% yield after heating at 100 °C for only 2 h (entry 12). In this protocol based on NaOt-Bu, the generation of the active Pd catalyst from phosphonium salts was not practical (entry 13). It is also notable that phosphine-free conditions were not effective for this process, illustrating the importance of the generation of a phosphine-ligated palladium complex in the arylation of electron-deficient heterocycles (entries 14–17).^{5h}

The scope of haloarene donors was tested, revealing that both electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl bromides can be coupled with 1-SEM-1,2,4-triazoles (Figure 2). A variety of functional groups, including methoxy, dimethylamino, ester, and pyridyl groups, were tolerated.

However, the C-3 position of 1,2,4-triazoles shows very low reactivity in the Pd-catalyzed reaction conditions,¹⁵ which is consistent with the electronic argument we proposed for other azoles and pyridines^{5f-h} (see Figure 1). This regioselectivity rationale for C–H arylation of azoles and azines, based on the stability of the developing C–Pd bond, was recently supported by an independent theoretical study.¹⁶ In order to address the low reactivity of the C-3 position, we examined the SEM-switch in the context of 1,2,4-triazoles (Scheme 1). Similar to the SEM-switch of diazoles,^{5f,g} the SEM group can be transposed from N-1 to N-2 nitrogen in the presence of a catalytic amount of SEM-Cl. While N-4 nitrogen is more nucleophilic than N-2, the formation of a series of triazolium intermediates ultimately leads to the isolation of the least sterically hindered 3-aryltriazole **3a** as a consequence of thermodynamic control (for kinetic alkylation, vide infra). The alkylation at N-2, if it occurs, also results in the formation of **3a** via a 1,2-dialkyltriazolium salt. Through this process, the unreactive C-3 position is converted to the reactive C-5 position, where the second arylation can take place to provide diaryltriazole **4a**. Note that the SEM group of the triazole can be easily removed under mild acidic conditions.

Importantly, it was also found that the THP counterpart **2d** underwent a THP-switch to shift the THP group in a highly efficient and regioselective manner (Scheme 2).¹⁷ The loss of the THP group and reinstallation at the least hindered N-2 position produced 3-aryltriazole **3d**. A subsequent C-5 arylation and removal of the THP group at ambient temperature provided (NH)-free triazole **5** in high yield.

Furthermore, the resulting 1-SEM- and 1-THP-5-aryl triazoles, **2a** and **2d**, can be employed for trans-*N*-alkylation to furnish regioisomeric 4-methyl-4*H*-triazole **7** (Scheme 3). Under kinetic control, the alkylation occurs selectively at the more nucleophilic N-4 nitrogen to give the corresponding triazolium salts **6**, from which 4-alkyl-4*H*-triazole **7** can be obtained by cleavage of the protecting groups.

The arylation of 4-alkyltriazoles presents a serious challenge.^{18, 19} Nonetheless, our general protocol can be used for the arylation of 4*H*-triazoles, giving diaryltriazole **8** in 71% yield. This result represents the first catalytic C–H arylation of 4-alkyl-4*H*-triazoles.

In conclusion, we have developed a new approach for the synthesis of complex aryl 1,2,4-triazoles. Complementary to cyclization methods and catalytic *N*-arylation reactions, the direct C–H arylation of the 1,2,4-triazole ring provides rapid access to a variety of arylated products. It is the electronic character of the C–H bonds and triazole ring that allows for the regioselective C-5 arylation under practical laboratory conditions. It is also demonstrated that the protocol is quite general and applicable to not only 1-alkyltriazoles but also regioisomeric 4-alkyltriazoles. While the trans-*N*-alkylation was limited to the preparation of 4-methyl-triazoles, our approach based on the direct C–H arylation enables the preparation of both isomeric series of mono- and diarylated 1,2,4-triazoles.

Experimental Section

The arylation reaction was carried out in a capped glass vial (4 mL) equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a Teflon-lined cap and heated in a 34-well reaction block. All reagents

were used as received unless otherwise noted. Flash column chromatography was performed on silica gel (40–63 μm) using the indicated solvent system. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectra were recorded at 300 K on 300 or 400 Fourier transform NMR spectrometers in CDCl_3 or DMSO. Proton chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, δ scale) and are referenced to residual protium in the NMR solvent (CDCl_3 , δ 7.26; DMSO, δ 2.50). Data for ^1H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, m = multiplet and/or multiple resonances, br = broad), coupling constant (J) in Hertz, and integration. Carbon chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, δ scale) and are referenced to the carbon resonance of the NMR solvent (CDCl_3 , δ 77.0; DMSO, δ 40.0). Infrared (IR) spectra were reported in frequency of the absorption (cm^{-1}). High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were acquired on a high-resolution sector-type double-focusing mass spectrometer (ionization mode: FAB or EI).

1-Phenyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole (**1c**),²⁰ 1-methyl-5-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole (**2b**),^{10c} 1-phenyl-5-phenyl-1*H*-1,2,4-triazole (**2c**),²¹ and 4-methyl-3-phenyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole (**7**)²² are known compounds.

C–H arylation of 1,2,4-triazoles using K_2CO_3 and carboxylic acid on the benchtop (Table 1, Figure 2, and Scheme 1)

To a 4 mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar were sequentially added carboxylic acid (0.15 mmol), K_2CO_3 (207 mg, 1.5 mmol), triazole substrate (0.5 mmol), aryl halide (0.75 mmol), toluene (0.5 mL, 1 M), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (5.6 mg, 0.025 mmol) and $[\text{P}(n\text{-Bu})\text{Ad}_2\text{H}]\text{BF}_4^{5g}$ (22.3 mg, 0.05 mmol). The reaction mixture was purged with argon through a Teflon-lined cap. Then the cap was replaced with a new Teflon-lined solid cap. The reaction vial was moved to a pre-heated reaction block (120 $^\circ\text{C}$). After stirring for 18 h at 120 $^\circ\text{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 $^\circ\text{C}$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to provide the desired arylated product.

C–H arylation of 1-THP-1*H*-1,2,4-triazoles using NaOt-Bu in the glovebox (entry 12 of Tables 1 and Scheme 2)

To a 4 mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar were sequentially added triazole substrate (0.5 mmol), aryl halide (0.75 mmol) and toluene (0.5 mL, 1 M). The reaction mixture was purged with argon through a Teflon-lined cap. Then the reaction vial was moved to a glove box. $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (5.6 mg, 0.025 mmol), $\text{P}(n\text{-Bu})\text{Ad}_2$ (13.4 mg, 0.038 mmol), and NaOt-Bu (96 mg, 1.0 mmol), which were stored under argon in the glovebox, were added to the reaction mixture. The cap was replaced with a new Teflon-lined solid cap. The reaction vial was removed from the glovebox and then moved to a pre-heated reaction block (100 $^\circ\text{C}$). After stirring for 2 h at 100 $^\circ\text{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 $^\circ\text{C}$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to provide the desired arylated product.

C–H arylation of 4-alkyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole using K_2CO_3 and pivalic acid in the glovebox (Scheme 3)

To a 4 mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar were sequentially added pivalic acid (15.3 mg, 0.15 mmol), K_2CO_3 (207 mg, 1.5 mmol), triazole substrate (0.5 mmol), aryl

halide (0.75 mmol) and toluene (0.5 mL, 1 M). The reaction mixture was purged with argon through a Teflon-lined cap. Then the reaction vial was moved to a glove box. Pd(OAc)₂ (5.6 mg, 0.025 mmol) and P(*n*-Bu)Ad₂ (13.4 mg, 0.038 mmol), which were stored under argon in the glovebox, were added to the reaction mixture. The cap was replaced with a new Teflon-lined solid cap. The reaction vial was removed from the glovebox and then moved to a pre-heated reaction block (100 °C). After stirring for 18 h at 100 °C, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 °C and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography to provide the desired arylated product.

Trans-*N*-Alkylation (Scheme 3)

To a stirred solution of **2a** or **2d** (1.0 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at 25 °C was added trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (1.5 mmol). Then the reaction mixture was stirred at 25 °C until the starting material was completely consumed (~2 h). Then HCl in EtOH (1 N, 2 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. After stirring for 3 h at 25 °C, the reaction mixture was neutralized with saturated aqueous Na₂CO₃ solution (10 mL). The organic layer was collected and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL×3). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography to provide the product **7**.

1-((2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (1a).²³—To a stirred solution of 1,2,4-triazole (1.39 g, 20.0 mmol) in THF (20.0 mL, 1.0 M) at 25 °C under argon atmosphere, were sequentially added NaH (60% in mineral oil, 800 mg, 20.0 mmol) and SEMCl (3.54 mL, 20.0 mmol). After stirring for 16 h at 25 °C, the reaction mixture was quenched with water (5 mL) and transferred to a 125 mL separatory funnel, which contained water (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was collected and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (25 mL×3). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=1:1) to provide **1a** as a colorless oil (2.74 g, 68% yield). IR (film) 2954, 1508, 1272, 1103 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.23 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 5.49 (s, 2H), 3.65 – 3.55 (m, 2H), 0.94 – 0.85 (m, 2H), –0.03 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.0, 143.6, 77.7, 67.5, 17.7, –1.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for C₈H₁₈N₃OSi [M+H]⁺ 200.1219, found 200.1209.

1-(Tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (1d)—To a stirred solution of 1,2,4-triazole (3.45 g, 50.0 mmol) in THF (25.0 mL, 2.0 M) at 25 °C were sequentially added 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran (9.0 mL, 100.0 mmol) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (951 mg, 5.0 mmol). Then the reaction mixture was heated to 70 °C. After stirring for 2 h at 70 °C, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 °C and then washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution (20 mL). The organic layer was collected and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (20 mL×3). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc) to provide **1d** as a colorless oil (6.89 g, 90% yield). IR (film) 2947, 1506, 1276, 1046 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.26 (s, 1H), 7.93 (s, 1H), 5.45 (dd, *J* = 8.5, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.07 – 4.01 (m, 1H), 3.76 – 3.60 (m, 1H), 2.16 – 1.89 (m, 3H), 1.77 – 1.52 (m,

3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 151.6, 141.9, 85.9, 67.5, 30.4, 24.7, 21.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{12}\text{N}_3\text{O}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 154.0980, found 154.0982.

5-Phenyl-1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (2a)—Purification by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=8:2) provided **2a** as a yellow oil (127 mg, 92% yield). IR (film) 2952, 1461, 1249, 1093 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.94 – 7.90 (m, 2H), 7.54 – 7.48 (m, 3H), 5.51 (s, 2H), 3.84 – 3.74 (m, 2H), 1.02 – 0.92 (m, 2H), 0.00 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 155.8, 150.7, 130.4, 129.0, 128.9, 127.5, 77.2, 67.4, 17.9, –1.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_3\text{OSi}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 276.1532, found 276.1522.

5-Phenyl-1-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (2d)—Purification by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=7:3) provided **2d** as a colorless oil (103 mg, 90% yield). IR (film) 2943, 1455, 1043 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 6.5, 2.8 Hz, 2H), 7.55 – 7.48 (m, 3H), 5.34 (dd, J = 9.8, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.21 – 4.11 (m, 1H), 3.67 (td, J = 11.4, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (qd, J = 13.6, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.17 – 2.05 (m, 1H), 1.93 – 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.82 – 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.66 – 1.54 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 155.5, 150.9, 130.3, 129.0, 128.8, 127.9, 83.9, 67.6, 29.6, 24.7, 22.4; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_3\text{O}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 230.1293, found 230.1304.

5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (2e)—Purification by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=6:4) provided **2e** as a colorless oil (107 mg, 70% yield). IR (film) 2953, 1613, 1492, 1252 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.48 (s, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.79 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 0.97 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 0.00 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 161.3, 155.7, 150.6, 130.5, 120.0, 114.3, 77.2, 67.3, 55.3, 17.9, –1.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 306.1638, found 306.1648.

N,N-Dimethyl-3-(1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)aniline (2f)—Purification by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=6:4) provided **2f** as a colorless oil (126 mg, 79% yield). IR (film) 2952, 1606, 1492, 1278 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.41 – 7.32 (m, 1H), 7.30 – 7.26 (m, 1H), 7.25 – 7.22 (m, 1H), 6.87 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.54 (s, 2H), 3.82 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 3.02 (s, 6H), 0.99 (t, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 0.03 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 156.7, 150.6, 129.5, 128.1, 116.7, 114.2, 112.8, 77.2, 67.2, 40.4, 17.9, –1.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_4\text{OSi}$ $[\text{M}]^+$ 318.1876, found 318.1874.

Ethyl 3-(1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)benzoate (2g)—Purification by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=6:4) provided **2g** as a colorless oil (120 mg, 69% yield). IR (film) 2953, 1722, 1247, 1108 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.19 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (s, 1H), 7.63 – 7.57 (m, 1H), 5.51 (s, 2H), 4.41 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.80 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.40 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 0.99 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 0.00 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 165.8, 155.0, 150.8, 133.0, 131.4, 130.1, 129.0, 127.9, 77.3, 67.5, 61.3, 17.9, 14.3, –1.5; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_3\text{O}_3\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 348.1743, found 348.1736.

3-(1-((2-(Trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazol-5-yl)pyridine (2h)—

Purification by flash column chromatography (DCM) provided **2h** as a colorless oil (106 mg, 77% yield). IR (film) 2953, 1413, 1249, 1093 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.72 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 8.27 – 8.21 (m, 1H), 7.97 (s, 1H), 7.43 (dd, $J = 7.9, 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 5.50 (s, 2H), 3.77 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 0.02 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 153.1, 151.2, 151.0, 149.6, 136.1, 123.8, 123.5, 77.3, 67.6, 17.8, –1.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_4\text{OSi}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 277.1485, found 277.1474.

3-Phenyl-1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (3a)—To a 4 mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar were sequentially added **2a** (414 mg, 1.5 mmol), SEMCl (0.075 mmol) and CH_3CN (1.5 mL). Then the reaction mixture was heated to 80 $^\circ\text{C}$. After stirring for 20 h at 80 $^\circ\text{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 $^\circ\text{C}$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=8:2) to provide **3a** as an amorphous white solid (394 mg, 95% yield). IR (film) 2953, 1496, 1249, 1103 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.25 (s, 1H), 8.13 (dd, $J = 8.2, 1.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.47 – 7.38 (m, 3H), 5.52 (s, 2H), 3.69 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 0.95 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), –0.01 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 162.8, 144.4, 130.7, 129.4, 128.6, 126.4, 77.8, 67.5, 17.7, –1.5; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_3\text{OSi}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 276.1532, found 276.1525.

3-Phenyl-1-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (3d)—To a 4 mL glass vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar were sequentially added **2d** (345 mg, 1.5 mmol), 3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran (3.0 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (0.15 mmol) and THF (1.5 mL). Then the reaction mixture was heated to 70 $^\circ\text{C}$. After stirring for 2 h at 70 $^\circ\text{C}$, the reaction mixture was cooled to 25 $^\circ\text{C}$, diluted with THF (10 mL) and then washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 solution (10 mL). The organic layer was collected and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (10 mL $\times$ 3). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=6:4) to provide **3d** as a white solid (327 mg, 95% yield). mp 52 – 56 $^\circ\text{C}$; IR (film) 2944, 1494, 1441, 1043 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.28 (d, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 1H), 8.16 – 8.08 (m, 2H), 7.47 – 7.34 (m, 3H), 5.52 – 5.45 (m, 1H), 4.14 – 4.05 (m, 1H), 3.78 – 3.67 (m, 1H), 2.20 – 2.10 (m, 2H), 2.09 – 1.95 (m, 1H), 1.77 – 1.58 (m, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 162.3, 142.7, 130.9, 129.2, 128.5, 126.4, 86.0, 67.6, 30.5, 24.7, 21.8; HRMS (EI) calcd for $\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_3\text{O}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 230.1293, found 230.1295.

5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1-((2-(trimethylsilyl)ethoxy)methyl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (4a)—Purification by flash column chromatography (DCM) provided **4a** as a white solid (124 mg, 65% yield). mp 79 – 82 $^\circ\text{C}$; IR (film) 2953, 1609, 1516, 1091 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.23 – 8.16 (m, 2H), 7.57 – 7.52 (m, 2H), 7.50 – 7.38 (m, 4H), 7.09 – 7.04 (m, 1H), 5.54 (s, 2H), 3.91 – 3.84 (m, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 1.01 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 0.02 (s, 9H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 161.1, 159.8, 156.6, 130.9, 129.9, 129.3, 128.9, 128.5, 126.5, 121.3, 116.7, 114.0, 77.2, 67.3, 55.4, 17.9, –1.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2\text{Si}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 382.1951, found 382.1966.

5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1-(tetrahydro-2H-pyran-2-yl)-1H-1,2,4-triazole (4d)—Purification by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=8:2) provided **4d** as an amorphous white solid (144 mg, 86% yield). IR (film) 2941, 1605, 1485, 1043 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 8.25 – 8.18 (m, 2H), 7.48 – 7.34 (m, 6H), 7.07 (ddd, $J = 7.7, 2.5, 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.38 (dd, $J = 9.7, 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 4.27 – 4.15 (m, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.70 (td, $J = 11.3, 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.68 – 2.56 (m, 1H), 2.19 – 2.10 (m, 1H), 1.95 – 1.87 (m, 1H), 1.85 – 1.75 (m, 1H), 1.69 – 1.55 (m, 2H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 161.2, 159.8, 156.2, 131.0, 129.9, 129.1, 128.3, 126.6, 121.3, 116.6, 114.0, 84.0, 67.6, 55.3, 29.6, 24.7, 22.4; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{22}\text{N}_3\text{O}_2$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 336.1712, found 336.1712.

5-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-3-phenyl-1H-1,2,4-triazole (5)—To a stirred solution of **4a** (1.0 mmol) in EtOH (0.5 mL) at 25 °C was added HCl in EtOH (1 N, 2 mL). After stirring at 40 °C for 3 h, the reaction mixture was neutralized with saturated aqueous Na_2CO_3 solution (10 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (10 mL $\times$ 3). The combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (Hexanes:EtOAc=7:3) to provide **5** as an amorphous white solid (225 mg, 90% yield). In solution, **5** is present as three tautomeric forms, 1*H*-, 2*H*-, and 4*H*-triazoles. IR (film) 2526, 2074, 1462, 1117 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO) δ 8.10 (d, $J = 6.0$ Hz, 2H), 7.74 – 7.66 (m, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.62 – 7.39 (m, 4H), 7.13 – 6.96 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) δ 160.1, 130.6, 130.1, 129.4, 126.5, 118.9, 115.9, 111.6, 55.7; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_3\text{O}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 252.1137, found 252.1143.

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-phenyl-4H-1,2,4-triazole (8)²⁴—Purification by flash column chromatography (EtOAc:MeOH=97:3) provided **8** as a white solid (94 mg, 71% yield). mp 205 – 208 °C; IR (film) 1614, 1482, 1255, 1028 cm^{-1} ; ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 7.70 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.65 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.52 – 7.46 (m, 3H), 7.01 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.69 (s, 3H); ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 161.0, 155.6, 155.6, 130.4, 130.0, 128.8, 127.0, 119.0, 114.3, 55.4, 33.3; HRMS (FAB) calcd for $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{16}\text{N}_3\text{O}$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ 266.1293, found 266.1290.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

We thank the National Institute of Health (GM60326 and MH086545) for support of this work.

References

1. Review: Curtis ADM, N Jennings N, Katritzky AR, Ramsden CA, Scriven EFV, Taylor RJK. Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry. 2008; Vol. 4OxfordElsevier:159–209.
2. Recent examples: Abrams T, Barsanti PA, Ding Y, Duhl D, Han W, Hu C, Pan Y. WO 2011/128381. :A1. Wu T, Gijzen HJM, Rombouts FJR, Bischoff FP, Berthelot DJC, Oehlrich D, De Cleyen MAJ, Pieters SMA, Minne GB, Velter AI, Van Brandt SFA, Surkyn M. WO 2011/006903. :A1. Sugane T, Tobe T, Hamaguchi W, Shimada I, Maeno K, Miyata J, Suzuki T, Kimizuka T, Kohara A, Morita T, Doihara H, Saita K, Aota M, Furutani M, Shimada Y, Hamada N, Sakamoto S, Tsukamoto Si. J. Med. Chem. 2011; 54:387. [PubMed: 21141920] Romagnoli R,

- Baraldi PG, Cruz-Lopez O, Lopez Cara C, Carrion MD, Brancale A, Hamel E, Chen L, Bortolozzi R, Basso G, Viola G. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010; 53:4248. [PubMed: 20420439]
3. Recent examples: Wu PL, Feng XJ, Tam HL, Wong MS, Cheah KW. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:886. [PubMed: 19154170] Tao Y, Wang Q, Ao L, Zhong C, Yang C, Qin J, Ma D. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 2010; 114:601.
4. Godula K, Sames D. *Science.* 2006; 312:67. [PubMed: 16601184]
5. C–H arylation of indoles and pyrroles: Lane BS, Sames D. *Org. Lett.* 2004; 6:2897. [PubMed: 15330642] Lane BS, Brown MA, Sames D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:8050. [PubMed: 15926829] Wang X, Lane BS, Sames D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:4996. [PubMed: 15810815] Wang X, Gribkov DV, Sames D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2007; 72:1476. [PubMed: 17288392] C–H arylation of imidazoles and pyrazoles: Touré BB, Lane BS, Sames D. *Org. Lett.* 2006; 8:1979. [PubMed: 16671761] Goikhman R, Jacques TL, Sames D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:3042. [PubMed: 19206533] Joo JM, Touré BB, Sames D. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:4911. [PubMed: 20608749] C–H arylation of pyridines: Guo P, Joo JM, Rakshit S, Sames D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:16338. [PubMed: 21939181]
6. Reviews on catalytic C–H bond functionalization reactions. Kakiuchi F, Chatani N. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2003; 345:1077. Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M. *Chem. Rev.* 2007; 107:174. [PubMed: 17212475] Satoh T, Miura M. *Chem. Lett.* 2007; 36:200. Seregin IV, Gevorgyan V. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007; 36:1173. [PubMed: 17576484] Bellina F, Rossi R. *Tetrahedron.* 2009; 65:10269. Chen X, Engle KM, Wang D-H, Yu JQ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:5094. Colby DA, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. *Chem. Rev.* 2010; 110:624. [PubMed: 19438203]
7. For recent examples of regioselective C–H arylation of arenes and heteroarenes, see: Duong HA, Gilligan RE, Cooke ML, Phipps RJ, Gaunt MJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:463. Kirchberg S, Tani S, Ueda K, Yamaguchi J, Studer A, Itami K. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2011; 50:2387. Ye M, Gao G-L, Edmunds AJF, Worthington PA, Morris JA, Yu J-Q. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:19090. [PubMed: 22059931] Berman AM, Lewis JC, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:14926. [PubMed: 18855360]
8. Moulin A, Bibian M, Blayo AL, Habnoui SE, Martinez J, Fehrentz JA. *Chem. Rev.* 2010; 110:1809. [PubMed: 20151658]
9. Suzuki: Maes W, Verstappen B, Dehaen W. *Tetrahedron.* 2006; 62:2677. Wille S, Hein M, Miethchen R. *Tetrahedron.* 2006; 62:3301. Negishi: Blair VL, Blakemore DC, Hay D, Hevia E, Pryde DC. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2011; 52:4590.
10. The C5-arylation of 1-methyl-1,2,4-triazole has been reported. Pd: Chiong HA, Daugulis O. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:1449. [PubMed: 17358073] Nandurkar NS, Bhanushali MJ, Bhor MD, Bhanage BM. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2008; 49:1045. Cu: Do HQ, Daugulis O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:12404. [PubMed: 17887762] Do HQ, Khan RMK, Daugulis O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:15185. [PubMed: 18855471]
11. Intramolecular arylation reactions of 1,2,4-triazoles: Laleu B, Lautens M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:9164. [PubMed: 18947186]
12. A C5-arylation of 3-phenyl-(NH)-triazole was reported using a Rh catalyst (45%). Lewis JC, Wu JY, Bergman RG, Ellman JA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:1589.
13. For an example of the arylation of isomeric 1,2,3-triazoles, see: Chuprakov S, Chernyak N, Dudnik AS, Gevorgyan V. *Org. Lett.* 2007; 9:2333. [PubMed: 17489598]
14. For importance of carboxylate base/ligand in transition metal catalyzed C–H arylation of (hetero)arenes, see: Lafrance M, Fagnou K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:16496. [PubMed: 17177387] García-Cuadrado D, de Mendoza P, Braga AAC, Maseras F, Echavarren AM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:6880. [PubMed: 17461585] Also, see references 5a and 5c.
15. 1-Methyl-5-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoles (**2b**) gave the corresponding C3-arylated product in 20~25 % yield along with the unconsumed starting material under the standard conditions.
16. Petit A, Flygare J, Miller AT, Winkel G, Ess DH. *Org. Lett.* 2012; 14:3680. [PubMed: 22780880]
17. A THP switch of pyrazoles was reported. McLaughlin M, Marcantonio K, Chen C-y, Davies IW. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:4309. [PubMed: 18444682]
18. For the arylation of 4-alkyltriazoles using a stoichiometric amount of copper, see: Kawano T, Yoshizumi T, Hirano K, Satoh T, Miura M. *Org. Lett.* 2009; 11:3072. [PubMed: 19552445]

19. The reaction with phosphonium salts resulted in incomplete conversion (~35% yield), whereas the use of stronger bases produced unidentifiable side products.
20. Meyer D, Strassner T. J. Org. Chem. 2011; 76:305. [PubMed: 21114248]
21. Liebscher J, Rumler A. J. Prakt. Chem. 1984; 326:311.
22. Ivanova NV, Sviridov SI, Shorshnev SV, Stepanov AE. Synthesis. 2006:156.
23. Fugina N, Holzer W, Wasicky M. Heterocycles. 1992; 34:303.
24. Gautun OR, Carlsen PHJ. Molecules. 2001; 6:969.

Figure 1.
Regioselectivity of the direct C–H arylation governed by the electronic character of the C–H bonds and heteroarene rings

Figure 2.
Bromoarene scope

Scheme 1.
SEM-switch

Scheme 2.
THP-switch

Scheme 3.
Trans-*N*-alkylation and arylation of a 4*H*-triazole

Table 1

C–H arylation of 1-alkyl-1,2,4-triazoles^{a, b, c}

entry	R	X	Ligand	Base	Solvent
1	SEM	Br	[PCy ₃ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	DMA 2 ^a , 10
2	SEM	Br	[PCy ₃ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^a , 74
3	SEM	Br	[PCy ₃ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃	toluene 2 ^a , 20
4	SEM	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^a , 90
5	SEM	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /EtMe ₂ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^a , 92
6	SEM	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CCl ₃ / <i>n</i> -BuMe ₃ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^a , 96
7	Me	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /EtMe ₂ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^b , 92
8	Me	Cl	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /EtMe ₂ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^b , 83
9 ^d	Me	I	Pd(PPh ₃) ₂ Cl ₂	Ag ₂ CO ₃	DMA 2 ^b , 70
10	Ph	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /EtMe ₂ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^c , 82
11	THP	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	K ₂ CO ₃ /EtMe ₂ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^d , 40
12 ^e	THP	Br	P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂	NaO <i>n</i> -Bu	toluene 2 ^d , 90
13 ^e	THP	Br	[P(<i>n</i> -Bu)Ad ₂ H]BF ₄	NaO <i>n</i> -Bu	toluene 2 ^d , 9
14	SEM	Br	none	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	DMA 2 ^a , 0
15	THP	Br	none	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	DMA 2 ^d , 0
16	SEM	Br	none	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^a , 0
17	THP	Br	none	K ₂ CO ₃ /Me ₃ CCO ₂ H	toluene 2 ^d , 0

^a All reactions were performed on a 0.5 mmol scale.

^b Yield was determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product using (CHCl₃)₂ as internal standard except entries 5, 7, 10, 12 where yields of isolated products are reported.

^c Reaction conditions unless otherwise noted: 1.5 equiv PhX, 5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 10 mol % ligand, 3.0 equiv K₂CO₃, 0.3 equiv carboxylic acid, solvent (1.0 M), 120 °C, 16–20 h.

^d Reaction conditions: 1.5 equiv PhI, 5 mol % Pd(PPh₃)₂Cl₂, 2.0 equiv Ag₂CO₃, DMA (1.0 M), 120 °C, 18 h.

^e Reaction conditions: 1.5 equiv PhBr, 5 mol % Pd(OAc)₂, 7.5 mol % P(*n*-Bu)Ad₂, 2.0 equiv NaO*t*-Bu, toluene (1.0 M), 100 °C, 2 h.