Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron Lett. 2015 September 9; 56(37): 5242–5244. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.07.059.

Synthesis of a reactive oxygen species responsive heterobifunctional thioketal linker

Xiaoxi Ling^a, Shaojuan Zhang^a, Pin Shao^a, Pengcheng Wang^b, Xiaochao Ma^b, and Mingfeng Bai^{a,*}

^aMolecular Imaging Laboratory, Department of Radiology, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA 15219, USA

^bCenter for Pharmacogenetics, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 15261, USA

Abstract

A new heterobifunctional reactive oxygen species (ROS) responsive thioketal linker and its synthesis are described. This linker allows for developing new ROS-responsive agents with two distinct functionalities using universal bioconjugation methods. The reaction kinetics of the thioketal cleavage in the presence of ROS is also described.

Graphical Abstract

Keywords

Thioketal; reactive oxygen species; stimuli responsive linker; protecting group; esterase; reaction kinetics

Photodynamic therapy (PDT), a non-invasive method for diseases treatment, has gained substantial attentions over the last decade.¹ In general, PDT utilizes light and photosensitizers to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS), which induce oxidative damage towards the target. Recently, Merlin and Murthy reported that thioketal can be selectively cleaved in the presence of ROS.² This structure was later utilized by Liu *et al* as the key linker in a polyprodrug construct, which combines PDT and chemotherapy.³ However, such homobifunctional thioketal linker is limited in linking two distinct functionalities because byproducts with two molecules of the same functionality coupled to one linker are often

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1-412-624-2565; fax: +1-412-624-2598; baim@upmc.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

collected (Scheme 1). Besides, these homobifunctional linkers restrict the functional group options of the molecules to be conjugated. Here, we report a heterobifunctional thioketal linker that allows the conjugation of two functionalities (such as a photosensitizer and a targeting ligand) with distinct functional groups. Such a linker will have great potential in developing ROS-responsive molecular agents for wide therapeutic and imaging applications.

Initially, we attempted to synthesize the heterobifunctional thioketal linker using similar methods as those described for developing heterobifunctional *S,S*-thioketal. Early success of such reaction was reported by Young *et al.*,^{4–6} in which aldehydes or ketones were treated with equal amount of thiolacetic acid and thiols to yield a mixed acylthio-thioacetal/ketal convertible to asymmetric dithioacetal/ketals. Later on, McNamara explored a route for an unsymmetrical dithioacetal⁷ by generating an *O*-trimethylsilyl hemithioacetal intermediate, which was later converted to the desired product through a low temperature transthioacetalization reaction catalyzed by boron trifluoride etherate. Additionally, Morton reported successful interconversion of methoxy acetal derivatives to thioacetals in good yield.⁸ Based on these reports, we opted to prepare an *O,S*-thioketal as the key precursor of the heterobifunctional *S,S*-thioketal, as outlined in Scheme 2. 3-Mercaptopropionic acid (**1**) was reacted with excess amount of 2,2-dimethoxypropane in dichloromethane at 40 °C in the presence of catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate. Three different ester products,⁹ *O,S*-thioketal **2**, enol thioether **3**, and *S,S*-thioketal **4**, were separated from the reaction mixture (structure determined with ¹H NMR, data not shown) with the desired *O,S*-thioketal **2** as the major product in 90% yield.¹⁰ However, the following transthioacetalization reaction⁸ with trifluoroacetamide protected β -mercaptoethylamine **5** was unsuccessful despite several attempts using various acids (*p*-toluenesulfonic acid, hydrochloric acid, and aluminum chloride).

In an effort to synthesize the heterobifunctional *S,S*-thioketal using an alternative but facile method, we chose to prepare the linker in a one-pot fashion (Scheme 3).^{7, 11} Stoichiometric amount of methyl 3-mercaptopropionate (**7**), trifluoroacetamide protected β -mercaptoethylamine **5** and acetone were mixed with boron trifluoride etherate⁷ at 0 °C. The desired product **6** was isolated from byproducts **8** and **9** at a 37% yield. Although this method caps the yield of the desired heterobifunctional *S,S*-thioketal **6** at 50%, as dictated by statistical distribution of the three possible combinations, it is a much more straightforward and easier method with an acceptable yield.

To prepare the linker for conjugation, it is necessary to selectively remove either the methyl ester or the trifluoroacetamide protecting group. Both methyl ester and trifluoroacetamide are popular small molecule protecting groups in bioconjugation that are relatively stable in many conditions but may be readily hydrolyzed in alkaline condition. Although reports^{12–14} of selective deprotection of methyl ester in the presence of trifluoroacetamide are known, the reaction conditions are not universally transferable to other structures due to poor efficiency or selectivity.¹⁵ Unfortunately, attempts using typical literature conditions (lithium hydroxide or triethylamine in aqueous methanol) were unsuccessful. To resolve this chemoselectivity problem, we decided to use porcine liver esterase (PLE) to remove the methyl ester. PLE earned most of its fame in enzymatic organic synthesis for its remarkable performance in asymmetric hydrolysis of methyl esters.¹⁶ While enantioselectivity is not a

concern in this ester hydrolysis, the PLE worked profoundly well due to the chemoselectivity associated with enzymatic reactions. Initial trial of adding PLE to a PBS buffer containing the thioketal linker precursor **6** in acetone gave the propionic acid product **10** in 32% yield. The reaction yield was later improved to 73% by carefully maintaining the pH of the reaction mixture around 7 – 8 with the addition of sodium hydroxide solution as the reaction proceeded. The resulting carboxylic acid **10** can then be coupled with a desired moiety using classical procedure before the trifluoroacetamide protection is removed for the second conjugation.

To validate the linker may be cleaved by ROS, we assessed the reaction kinetics of ROS triggered linker cleavage of thioketal **6** by ^1H NMR using simulated ROS condition.¹⁷ Thioketal linker **6** was dissolved in a 1 : 1 mixture of CD_3OD and D_2O containing catalytic amount of copper(II) chloride and 3-(trimethylsilyl)propionic-2,2,3,3- d_4 acid sodium salt (TMSP) as the internal reference. Upon addition of hydrogen peroxide solution, ROS was generated in the presence of copper(II) chloride.¹⁸ The decomposition of the thioketal group and generation of acetone ($\delta = 1.60$ and 2.20 ppm, respectively) were observed (see Figure SI-1) and quantified using TMSP as the internal reference at 37°C (see Figure 1(a)). During the first 1.9 h, limited amount of the thioketal was converted as the reaction was likely limited by the low concentration of ROS. This is supported by the slow broadening and disappearance of the hydrogen peroxide signal around 10.8 ppm (see Figure SI-2) and poor ^1H NMR spectrum resolution which may be associated with the presence of oxygen bubble (see Figure SI-1, spectra between 0 and 111 min) during this time period. Over the next hour, the reaction started to accelerate as the concentration of ROS increased (see Figure 1(b)), though the reaction kinetics were not accessible due to the dynamic change of the reaction condition and limited data. After 2.8 h the concentration of ROS was presumably high enough that its reaction with the thioketal became the rate-determining step. Hereafter, this reaction followed pseudo-first order kinetics with a rate constant of $1.30 (\pm 0.01) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a half-life of 1.5 h. After 10 h, over 96% of thioketal were oxidized. Additional signals which may be related to reaction intermediates or other side reactions¹⁹ were also observed, however, these did not influence the determination of the reaction rate.

In summary, we have developed a new heterobifunctional ROS-responsive thioketal linker with a carboxylic acid and a primary amine group as the conjugation sites. Such a heterobifunctional linker allows for developing ROS-responsive agents with two distinct functionalities, therefore, it has great promise in biomedical applications where on - demand photo activated bond cleavage is desirable. In addition, we found that PLE could be a useful tool to selectively remove methyl ester in the presence of trifluoroacetamide protecting group. Lastly, kinetic study showed that ROS effectively cleaved this thioketal linker and the cleavage reaction followed pseudo-first order kinetics in the presence of sufficient amount of ROS.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH Grant # R21CA174541 (PI: Bai) and NIH Grant # R01DK090305 (PI: Ma), as well as the startup fund provided by the Department of Radiology, University of Pittsburgh. The authors would also like to thank Dr. Damodaran Krishnan and Dr. Viswanathan Elumalai from the Department of Chemistry, University of Pittsburgh, for their generous help in the reaction kinetic study using ^1H NMR.

References and notes

1. Dolmans DEJGJ, Fukumura D, Jain RK. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2003; 3:380. [PubMed: 12724736]
2. Wilson DS, Dalmasso G, Wang L, Sitaraman SV, Merlin D, Murthy N. *Nat Mater*. 2010; 9:923. [PubMed: 20935658]
3. Yuan Y, Liu J, Liu B. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2014; 53:7163.
4. Gauthier JY, Henien T, Lo L, Thérien M, Young RN. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1988; 29:6729.
5. Thérien M, Gauthier JY, Young RN. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1988; 29:6733.
6. Young RN, Gauthier JY, Thérien M, Zamboni R. *Heterocycles*. 1989; 28:967.
7. McNamara JM, Leazer JL, Bhupathy M, Amato JS, Reamer RA, Reider PJ, Grabowski EJJ. *J Org Chem*. 1989; 54:3718.
8. Morton HE, Guindon Y. *J Org Chem*. 1985; 50:5379.
9. The propionic acid was converted to its methyl ester at the same time as the 2:2-dimethoxypropane is also a useful methyl esterification reagent, see: Rachele JR. *J Org Chem*. 1963; 28:2898.
10. Suaifan GARY, Arafat T, Threadgill MD. *Biorg Med Chem*. 2007; 15:3474.
11. Pihlaja K, Klika KD, Sinkkonen J, Ovcharenko VV, Maloshitskaya O, Sillanpää R, Czombos J. *J Org Chem*. 2002; 67:1910. [PubMed: 11895410]
12. Evans DA, Katz JL, Peterson GS, Hintermann T. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123:12411. [PubMed: 11734044]
13. Jantos K, Rodriguez R, Ladame S, Shirude PS, Balasubramanian S. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:13662. [PubMed: 17044674]
14. Gillies ER, Dolain C, Léger JM, Huc I. *J Org Chem*. 2006; 71:7931. [PubMed: 17025279]
15. Rota P, Allevi P, Agnolin IS, Mattina R, Papini N, Anastasia M. *Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry*. 2012; 10:2885. [PubMed: 22395901]
16. Domínguez de María P, García-Burgos CA, Bargeman G, van Gemert RW. *Synthesis*. 2007; 2007:1439.
17. Shim MS, Xia Y. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2013; 52:6926.
18. Perez-Benito JF. *Monatshefte für Chemie/Chemical Monthly*. 2001; 132:1477.
19. Jiang H, Chu G, Gong H, Qiao Q. *Journal of Chemical Research, Synopses*. 1999; 288

Figure 1.

(a) The natural logarithm of the percentage of residual thioetal signal from **6** (red; left y-axis) and the percentage of acetone signal (blue; right y-axis) in simulated ROS environment plotted against time. (b) Zoomed view of the first 3 h of (a).

Scheme 1.

Representative examples of ROS responsive linkers.

Scheme 2.
Synthesis of thioketal **6** using transthioketalization.

Scheme 3.
Synthesis of thioketal **6** using one-pot method.

Scheme 4.

Selective deprotection of **6** using PLE.